

# On the distribution of polynomial Farey points and Chebyshev's bias phenomenon

Bittu Chahal<sup>a</sup>, Sneha Chaubey<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, IIT Delhi, New Delhi 110020*

---

## Abstract

We study two types of problems for polynomial Farey fractions. For a positive integer  $Q$ , and polynomial  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  with  $P(0) = 0$ , we define polynomial Farey fractions as

$$\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} := \left\{ \frac{a}{q} : 1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q, \gcd(P(a), q) = 1 \right\}.$$

The classical Farey fractions are obtained by considering  $P(x) = x$ . In this article, we determine the global and local distribution of the sequence of polynomial Farey fractions via discrepancy and pair correlation measure, respectively. In particular, we establish that the sequence of polynomial Farey fractions is uniformly distributed modulo one and that the pair correlation measure of  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}$  exists and provide an upper bound. Further, restricting the polynomial Farey denominators to certain subsets of primes, we explicitly find the pair correlation measure and show it to be Poissonian. Finally, we study Chebyshev's bias type of questions for the classical and polynomial Farey denominators along arithmetic progressions and obtain an  $\Omega$ -result for the error term of its counting function.

*Keywords:* Farey fractions, discrepancy, pair correlation, exponential sums, polynomial congruences, Chebyshev's bias.

*2020 MSC:* 11B57, 11J71, 11K38, 11L07, 11R42.

---

## 1. Introduction and main results

Questions on the distribution of sequences have been studied throughout the history of number theory. In this article, we will focus on the global and local distribution of sequences emerging from the Farey subdivision of the unit interval. Let  $\mathbf{c} = (c_\nu, c_{\nu-1}, \dots, c_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$  be a fixed non-zero vector and  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x$  be a polynomial. Denote

$$\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} := \left\{ \frac{a}{q} : 1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q, \gcd(P(a), q) = 1 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

If  $P(x) = x(x+1)$  then for instance,

$$\mathcal{F}_{5,P} = \left\{ \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, 1 \right\}.$$

The motivation to define this sequence comes from a geometric point of view via visibility. The classical Farey fractions of order  $Q$  are given by

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \left\{ \frac{a}{q} : 1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q, \gcd(a, q) = 1 \right\}.$$

They are in a one-to-one correspondence with visible lattice points in the triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, Q)$ , and  $(Q, Q)$  along straight lines passing through the origin. A point  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is called visible from the origin if there is no other point of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  on the straight line joining the origin and point  $(a, b)$ . Hence, the sequence  $\mathcal{F}_Q$  can be viewed in terms of visible lattice points:

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \{a/q \mid 1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q; (a, q) \text{ is visible from origin} \}.$$

Several mathematicians [4, 6, 29] have studied various problems on the distribution of visible lattice points in planar and convex domains and then generalizations. Recently, the authors in [13] introduced polynomial visible lattice points. It is defined as: let  $\mathbf{c} = (c_n, c_{n-1}, \dots, c_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a fixed vector with  $c_n \neq 0$ ,  $c_i \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\gcd(c_n, c_{n-1}, \dots, c_1) = 1$ , let  $F(\mathbf{c}) = \{y = qP_{\mathbf{c}}(x) \mid q \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$ , where  $P_{\mathbf{c}}(x) = c_n x^n + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + c_1 x$ . A point  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  is called  $F(\mathbf{c})$ -visible if there is no other point on the curve  $y = qP_{\mathbf{c}}(x)$  joining the origin and the point  $(a, b)$ . Denote the set of all  $F(\mathbf{c})$ -visible points in  $\mathbb{N}^2$  by  $V(\mathbf{c})$ . It is proved in [13] that the natural density of the polynomial visible lattice points is equal to one. Note that the natural density of the polynomial visible lattice points for the curve  $y = mx^b$  for  $b \geq 1$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{\zeta(b+1)}$  [20]. We denote the set of fractions  $a/q \in \mathcal{F}_Q$  such that  $(a, q)$  is  $F(\mathbf{c})$ -visible as:

$$\mathcal{F}_{Q,V} := \left\{ \frac{a}{q} \mid 1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q, \gcd(a, q) = 1, \text{ and } (a, q) \in V(\mathbf{c}) \right\}.$$

This gives a relation between  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,V}$  and the visible lattice points through polynomial curves in the triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(Q, 0)$ , and  $(Q, Q)$ . It is natural to study the distributional properties of the above sequence but it turns out to be a difficult problem with no arithmetic description of the visibility property in this setting. Instead, we focus on a subset of  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,V}$  defined in (1) as

$$\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} := \left\{ \frac{a}{q} : 1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q, \gcd(P(a), q) = 1 \right\}$$

which has a one-to-one correspondence with visible lattice points through polynomial curves.

Our interest in this article lies in understanding the distribution of the sequence in  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}$ . In the first part, we consider the global distribution of the sequence. One aspect of studying the global distribution of a sequence is via equidistribution. While equidistribution modulo one is a qualitative asymptotic property, it is natural to have a corresponding quantitative concept which applies to finite sequences (or finite truncations of infinite sequences) such as the concept of discrepancy of a sequence, which is defined as follows:

For any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , let  $A(\alpha; N)$  be the number of terms of the sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \cap \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  modulo one that do not exceed  $\alpha$ . Then the absolute discrepancy of the sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is given by

$$D_N(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} R_N(\alpha), \tag{2}$$

where

$$R_N(\alpha) = \left| \frac{A(\alpha; N)}{N} - \alpha \right|. \quad (3)$$

The classical work of Franel [19] and Landau [39] showed that the quantitative statement about the uniform distribution of Farey fractions and the Riemann hypothesis are equivalent. In particular, Franel proved that the supremum of the real parts of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function is the infimum of  $\theta$  for which the following estimate holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(Q)} R_{N(Q)}^2(\gamma_i) = O(Q^{-2+2\theta}).$$

Specifically, the Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(Q)} R_{N(Q)}^2(\gamma_i) = O(Q^{-1+\epsilon}), \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Landau [39] gave a similar version by proving that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if, for all  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N(Q)} |R_{N(Q)}| = O(Q^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

A lot of efforts have been made to prove the above estimates in terms of discrepancy. The first significant result concerning the distribution of Farey fractions was established by Erdős. et al. [18]. Neville [46] proved that  $D_{N(Q)}(\mathcal{F}_Q) = O(\log Q/Q)$ . Thereafter, it was improved by Niederreiter [47] to  $D_{N(Q)}(\mathcal{F}_Q) \asymp 1/Q$  for all  $Q \geq 1$ . A closed-form formula for the discrepancy was later established by [17] who showed that  $D_{N(Q)}(\mathcal{F}_Q) = 1/Q$  holds for every  $Q$ . Discrepancy of Farey sequence with certain congruence constraints on denominators appeared in the works of Alkan et al. [1, 2] and Ledoan [40] too. Our first main result establishes the discrepancy of the polynomial Farey sequence (1). The key idea in obtaining the best possible bounds for the discrepancy in the case of polynomial Farey fractions is to get non-trivial bounds for twists of Merten's function by the arithmetic function  $f_P(n)$  (7).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\nu \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $\mathbf{c} = (c_\nu, \dots, c_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$  be a fixed non-zero vector and  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. For all  $Q \geq 1$ , we have*

$$D_{N_{Q,P}}(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}) \asymp \frac{1}{Q},$$

where the implied constants depend on the polynomial  $P(x)$ .

From Theorem 1.1, it follows that  $R_{N_{Q,P}}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$  as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Consequently, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** *The Farey sequence  $(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P})_{Q \geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo one.*

To understand the finer distribution of a sequence, such as randomness, local clustering, and periodic patterns of a sequence, quantities such as gap distribution,  $k$ -level correlation measure can be studied. These deal with the distribution on the scale of mean gap  $1/N$ . In the next section, we compute the 2-level or the pair correlation of polynomial Farey fractions.

### 1.1. Correlations of Farey fractions

The motivation to study the correlations of sequences comes from applications in physics, where physicists study the spectra of high energies. Lately, there has been a lot of interest in these notions in applications in number theory, mathematical physics, and probability theory. It has particularly attracted significant interest in number theory following Montgomery [43] and Hejhal's [26] work on the correlations of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function, and Rudnick and Sarnak's [50] work on the correlations of zeros of  $L$ -functions. Let  $F$  be a finite set of  $N$  elements in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and for every interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$\mathcal{S}_F(I) := \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ (a, b) \in F^2 : a \neq b, a - b \in \frac{1}{N}I + \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The limiting pair correlation measure of an increasing sequence  $(F_n)_n$ , for every interval  $I$  is given (if it exists) by

$$\mathcal{S}(I) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{F_n}(I).$$

If

$$\mathcal{S}(I) = \int_I g(x) dx, \tag{4}$$

then  $g$  is called the limiting pair correlation function of  $(F_n)_n$ . The pair correlation is said to be Poissonian if  $g(x) \equiv 1$ .

For the usual Farey fractions, Boca and Zaharescu [10] proved that the pair correlation is non-Poissonian and it is given by

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{6}{\pi^2 \lambda^2} \sum_{1 \leq k < \frac{\pi^2 \lambda}{3}} \phi(k) \log \frac{\pi^2 \lambda}{3k}. \tag{5}$$

The formula in (5) appeared in the main term of the second moment of a large sieve matrix [8]. Results on the pair correlation for Farey sequences with divisibility restrictions on the Farey denominators were obtained in [9] and [57]. In [11], the authors extended this study for Farey fractions with square-free denominators and proved that its pair correlation function exists and it is non-Poissonian. Our following main result concerns the pair correlation statistic for polynomial Farey fractions. A novel difficulty in proving a closed-form formula for the pair correlation measure in this case is establishing a closed-form formula for an exponential sum over polynomial Farey fractions. Even conditionally, it seems quite far to obtain a main term as, in the appendix, we discuss a result for the twisted exponential sum by Möbius function.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\nu \geq 2$  be an integer and let  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. Then the limiting pair correlation measure  $\mathcal{S}(\Lambda)$  of the sequence  $(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P})_Q$  exists, and the limiting pair correlation is non-Poissonian. Moreover, for any arbitrarily small  $\epsilon > 0$ , the limiting pair correlation measure is bounded by*

$$\mathcal{S}(\Lambda) \ll \frac{1}{\beta_P^{1+\epsilon}} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{1}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \sum_{1 \leq m < \frac{2\lambda}{\beta_P}} h(m) \log \left( \frac{2\lambda}{m\beta_P} \right) d\lambda \tag{6}$$

for any  $\Lambda > 0$ , where  $\beta_P = \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2} \right)$ ,  $h(m) = \frac{\sigma(m)}{m^{1+\epsilon}}$ , and

$$f_P(p) := |\{1 \leq d \leq p : P(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}|. \tag{7}$$

There has been significant interest in determining values  $\tau > 0$  for which there are infinitely many primes that satisfy the Diophantine inequality

$$||\alpha p|| < p^{-\tau+\epsilon}, \quad (8)$$

where  $||t||$  denotes the distance from the nearest integer to a real number  $t$ . Vinogradov [54] was the first to determine that  $\tau = 1/5$  and subsequently, his result was improved by various authors [53, 23, 24, 30, 31, 32, 25, 33]. The inequality in (8) can be seen in terms of the existence of a fraction with prime denominator  $a/p$  satisfying  $|\alpha - \frac{a}{p}| < p^{-1-\tau+\epsilon}$ . All the above results on  $\tau$  are equivalent to quantitative statements on the gap between the Farey fractions with prime denominators. Motivated by this connection, we study the pair correlation statistics of polynomial Farey sequence with prime denominators. For each integer  $Q$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  be a subset of prime numbers that are less than or equal to  $Q$ . The polynomial Farey sequence with prime denominators is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} := \left\{ \frac{a}{p} : 1 \leq a \leq p \leq Q, \gcd(P(a), p) = 1, p \in \mathcal{B}_Q \right\}. \quad (9)$$

A similar set to  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  was considered by Xiao [55], where he studied the pair correlation of usual Farey fractions with denominators in  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *The limiting pair correlation of the sequence  $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P})_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  and is Poissonian if and only if  $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 = o(|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}|^2)$ .*

If  $P(x) = x$  and for each  $Q$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is the set of prime numbers that are less than or equal to  $Q$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_Q$  is the set of Farey fractions with prime denominators, then by the prime number theorem and partial summation formula with Theorem 1.4, we immediately deduce the following result of Xiong et al. [56].

**Corollary 1.5.** *[56, Theorem 1] The limiting pair correlation of the sequence  $(\mathcal{M}_Q)_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  and is constant equal to 1.*

Using Theorem 1.4, we next investigate the distribution of the fractions in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}$  for different choices of the set  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ .

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be distinct positive even integers. A prime  $p$  is said to be a prime  $k$ -tuple if  $p + a_1, \dots, p + a_k$  are all prime. Assuming Hardy-Littlewood conjecture [21], there are infinitely many prime  $k$ -tuples. A prime  $p$  is said to be Piatetski-Shapiro prime if it is of the form  $[n^c]$  for some positive integer  $n$  and  $1 < c < 2$ . For more details on the Piatetski-Shapiro prime, one may refer to the well-known article of [48]. A prime  $p$  is said to be Chen prime if  $p + 2$  is either a prime or a product of two primes (see [14]).

**Corollary 1.6.** *If  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is either the set of Piatetski-Shapiro primes or Chen primes, then the limiting pair correlation of the sequence  $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P})_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  and it is Poissonian. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is the set of prime  $k$ -tuples, then assuming Hardy-Littlewood conjecture, the limiting pair correlation of the sequence  $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P})_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  and is constant equal to 1.*

Let  $q$  be a positive integer. For any choice of  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ , let

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} n_i q^i : n_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\} \setminus \mathcal{D}, k \geq 0 \right\}$$

be a set of integers with no digit in base  $q$  in the set  $\mathcal{D}$ . A prime  $p \in \mathbf{A}$  is said to be prime with restricted digits. One may refer to the renowned article of Maynard [42] on more on primes with restricted digits.

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $q$  be a positive integer. For any choice of  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$  with  $|\mathcal{D}| \leq q^{23/80}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_{Q,P} \in \mathbf{A}$  be the set of primes with restricted digits. Then the limiting pair correlation of the sequence  $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_{Q,P}})_{Q \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  and is Poissonian.*

In the next section, we study the problem of the distribution of polynomial Farey sequence along arithmetic progressions and bias over one from another.

### 1.2. Bias for Farey fractions

The prime numbers in arithmetic progressions  $a + nq$ , with  $a$  relatively prime to  $q$ , are evenly distributed. It was asserted by Chebyshev that there are more primes  $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  than  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Littlewood [41] disproved Chebyshev's assertion by proving that the set of values of  $x$  for which the difference  $\pi(x, 4, 3) - \pi(x, 4, 1)$  is positive is unbounded, also there exists an unbounded set of values of  $x$  for which the difference  $\pi(x, 4, 3) - \pi(x, 4, 1)$  is negative. In this next part, we study Chebyshev's bias question for classical and polynomial Farey fractions. Let  $q$  and  $l$  be positive integers; we denote the number of polynomial Farey fractions with denominators in an arithmetic progression as

$$S(Q; q, l) := \# \left\{ \frac{a}{n} \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P} \mid n \equiv l \pmod{q} \right\}. \quad (10)$$

We ask the following questions for Farey fractions analogous to prime number races.

- Does there exist positive integers  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  with  $l_1 \not\equiv l_2 \pmod{q}$  such that

$$S(Q; q, l_1) > S(Q; q, l_2) \text{ for all } Q > Q_0?$$

- Are there arbitrarily large values of  $Q$  for which  $S(Q; q, l_1) < S(Q; q, l_2)$ , and arbitrarily large values of  $Q$  for which  $S(Q; q, l_1) > S(Q; q, l_2)$ ? In other words, does the function  $S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2)$  change sign infinitely often?

In here, we address the questions listed above. To state our results, we need the following condition:

**Haselgrove's condition for modulus  $q$**  [36, p. 309]: For all Dirichlet characters  $\chi \pmod{q}$  we have  $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $\nu, J \geq 1$  be integers and let  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant and factorization

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^J m_i(x)^{e_i}, \quad (11)$$

where  $m_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are irreducible polynomials. Let  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m_i(x))$  be number fields with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K_i}$  be an ideal with the unique prime factorization such that  $p \in \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some  $i$  and  $p|q$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq J$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_i(s, \chi')$  be the Hecke  $L$ -functions associated with Hecke characters  $\chi' \pmod{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}$ . We next state the analogous Haselgrove's condition for Hecke  $L$ -functions:

**Haselgrove's condition for Hecke  $L$ -function mod  $\mathfrak{q}$ :** For all Hecke characters  $\chi \pmod{\mathfrak{q}}$  we have  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

Our main result for races of classical and polynomial Farey fractions is as follows.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $q \geq 2, l_1, l_2$  be positive integers such that  $l_1 \not\equiv l_2 \pmod{q}$  and  $\gcd(q, l_1 l_2) = 1$ . Let  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be as in (11). Assuming Haselgrove's condition for Hecke  $L$ -function  $\mathcal{L}_i(s, \chi)$  modulo  $\mathfrak{q}$ , the set of values of  $Q$  for which the difference  $S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2)$  is strictly positive and the set of values of  $Q$  for which the difference  $S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2)$  is strictly negative are unbounded.*

**Remark 1.9.** *Note that Theorem 1.8 does not give any information on the frequency of sign changes of the function  $S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2)$ . We use a result of Kaczorowski and Wiertelak [34, Lemma 3.1] to gain information about the frequency of sign changes. Applying [34, Lemma 3.1] to the function*

$$\mathcal{A}(Q) = S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) \pm cQ^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon},$$

*we get a sequence  $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{\lfloor \log T \rfloor}$  in the interval  $(1, T]$  of length  $\log T$  such that  $\text{sgn} \mathcal{A}(Q_i) \neq \text{sgn} \mathcal{A}(Q_{i+1})$  and  $|\mathcal{A}(Q_i)| > Q_i^{1/2-\epsilon}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}(Q)$  has at least  $\gg \log T$  oscillations of size  $Q^{1/2-\epsilon}$ , in the interval  $(1, T]$ .*

Moreover, we prove an  $\Omega$ -result for the error term in the asymptotic formula of  $S(Q; q, l)$  in Proposition 2.2.

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $q \geq 2$  and  $l$  be positive integers with  $\gcd(q, l) = 1$ . Let  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be as in (11). If  $\Theta$  denotes the supremum of real parts of the zeros of the Hecke  $L$ -functions  $\mathcal{L}_i(s, \chi)$  modulo  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , assuming the Haselgrove's condition, we have*

$$S(Q; q, l) - \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) = \Omega_{\pm}(Q^{\Theta-\epsilon}),$$

where  $f_P(p)$  is as in (7).

### 1.3. Organization

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some preliminary results required to prove our main results. We discuss the discrepancy of polynomial Farey fractions in Section 3. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the proof of results concerning the correlation of polynomial Farey fractions. We establish the results on races of Farey fractions in Section 6. In an appendix in Section 7, we prove the result for the exponential sum twisted by Möbius function.

#### 1.4. Notation

We write  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  or equivalently  $f(x) \ll g(x)$  if there exist a constant  $C$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$  for all  $x$ . And  $f(x) = \Omega_{\pm}(g(x))$  implies that  $\limsup f(x)/g(x) > 0$  and  $\liminf f(x)/g(x) < 0$ . We write  $f(x) \sim g(x)$  when  $\lim f(x)/g(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .  $f(x) \asymp g(x)$  means that there exist constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that  $C_1g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C_2g(x)$ .  $L(s, \chi)$  is the Dirichlet L-function, and  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$  is Hecke L-function. Here,  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$  are Dirichlet character and Hecke character, respectively. We use  $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$  and  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer function.

#### 1.5. Acknowledgements

The first named author acknowledges the support from the University Grants Commission, Department of Higher Education, Government of India, under NTA Ref. no. 191620135578. The second named author acknowledges the support from Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF) sanction no. CRG/2023/001743. The authors are also grateful to Greg Martin and Stephan Baier for generous discussions which inspired some of the contents of this work.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review some results which are essential in proving our main results. We recall a result proved in [13] on an estimate of the average of the counting function for integer solutions  $1 \leq m \leq n$  of the polynomial congruence  $P(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For a fixed non-zero vector  $\mathbf{c} = (c_n, c_{n-1}, \dots, c_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $P(x) = c_n x^n + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + c_1 x$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. If  $f_P(m) = |\{1 \leq d \leq m : P(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\}|$ , then as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\sum_{m \leq x} f_P(m) \sim Cx(\log x)^{J-1},$$

where  $J \geq 2$  is the number of distinct irreducible factors of the polynomial  $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

*Proof.* See [13, Lemma 2.1]. □

We next prove an asymptotic formula for the number of polynomial Farey fractions with denominators in an arithmetic progression.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\nu \geq 1$  be an integer and  $P(x) = c_{\nu} x^{\nu} + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. If  $S(Q; q, l)$  be as in (10). Then*

$$S(Q; q, l) = \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + O_q \left(Q^{\frac{3}{2} + \epsilon}\right),$$

where  $f_P(p)$  is as in (7).



*Proof.* We begin with the sum

$$S(Q; q, l) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q \\ n \equiv l \pmod{Q}}} \sum_{\substack{a \leq n \\ \gcd(P(a), n) = 1}} 1. \quad (12)$$

For fixed positive integers  $q, l$  with  $\gcd(q, l) = 1$ , in view of the identity

$$\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \chi(n\bar{l}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv l \pmod{q}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The sum in (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S(Q; q, l) &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(n \pmod{q})} \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_{n \leq Q} \chi(n) \sum_{\substack{a \leq n \\ \gcd(P(a), n) = 1}} 1 = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(n \pmod{q})} \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_{n \leq Q} \chi(n) \sum_{\substack{a \leq n \\ d|P(a) \\ d|n}} \mu(d) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(n \pmod{q})} \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_{n \leq Q} \chi(n) \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{a \leq n \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(n \pmod{q})} \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d) f_P(d)}{d} = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi} \sum_{(n \pmod{q})} \bar{\chi}(l) \sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $K(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d) f_P(d)}{d}$ . Note that the arithmetic function  $n\chi(n)K(n)$  is multiplicative. The Dirichlet series of  $n\chi(n)K(n)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)K(n)}{n^{s-1}} = \prod_p \left\{ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p} \right) \left( \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s-1}} + \frac{\chi(p^2)}{p^{2s-2}} + \cdots \right) \right\} \\ &= \prod_p \left\{ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p} \right) \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{s-1}}} \right\} = L(s-1, \chi) \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p) f_P(p)}{p^s} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which is absolutely convergent for  $\Re(s) > 2$ . Moreover, the product term on the far right side is absolutely convergent for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Thus, the Dirichlet series  $F(s)$  has an analytic continuation to the half plane  $\Re(s) > 1$  except for a simple pole at  $s = 2$  in the case of principal Dirichlet character  $\chi_0$ . We use Perron's formula [51, Theorem 2, p. 132] for the Dirichlet series  $F(s)$  with some fixed  $\alpha = 2 + 1/\log Q$  to obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha - iT}^{\alpha + iT} \frac{F(s) Q^s}{s} ds + R(T), \quad (15)$$

where  $R(T) \ll \frac{Q^\alpha}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K(n)}{n^\alpha |\log(Q/n)|}$ . Using the fact that  $K(n) \ll n^\epsilon$ , one can obtain bound for  $R(T)$  in a similar way as in Davenport [16] (see p. 106-107). Therefore,

$$R(T) \ll \frac{Q^{2+\epsilon} \log Q}{T}.$$

To estimate the integral in (15), we shift the path of integration into a rectangular contour with line segments connecting the points  $\alpha - iT, \alpha + iT, 3/2 + \epsilon + iT$ , and  $3/2 + \epsilon - iT$ . We first consider the principal character  $\chi_0 \pmod{q}$ . Applying Cauchy's residue theorem, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha - iT}^{\alpha + iT} \frac{F(s) Q^s}{s} ds = \frac{Q^2}{2} \prod_{p|q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left( 1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 I_j, \quad (16)$$

where  $I_1$  and  $I_3$  are the integrals along the horizontal line segments connecting the points  $3/2 + \epsilon + iT, \alpha + iT$  and  $3/2 - iT, \alpha - iT$ , respectively. The first term in the above identity is due to the simple pole of the integrand at  $s = 2$ . To estimate the integrals  $I_1$  and  $I_3$ , we use the standard bounds for  $\zeta(s)$  (see [52, p. 47]).

Thus,

$$I_1, I_3 \ll_q \frac{\log T}{T^{3/4}} \int_{3/2+\epsilon}^2 Q^\sigma d\sigma + \frac{\log T}{T} \int_2^\alpha Q^\sigma d\sigma \ll_q \frac{Q^2 \log T}{T^{3/4} \log Q} + \frac{Q^\alpha \log T}{T \log Q}.$$

We use [12, Lemma 2.2] to estimate the integral  $I_2$  and obtain

$$I_2 \ll_q Q^{3/2+\epsilon} \int_0^T \frac{|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it)|}{|\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it|} \ll_q Q^{3/2+\epsilon} \log T.$$

We next consider the case for the non-principal character  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ . We continue with the same contour defined above and use the bounds for  $L(s-1, \chi)$  (see [37]). Therefore

$$I_1, I_3 \ll_q \frac{\log T}{T^{181/216}} \int_{3/2+\epsilon}^2 Q^\sigma d\sigma + \frac{\log T}{T} \int_2^\alpha Q^\sigma d\sigma \ll_q \frac{Q^2 \log T}{T^{181/216} \log Q} + \frac{Q^\alpha \log T}{T \log Q},$$

and

$$I_2 \ll_q Q^{3/2+\epsilon} \int_0^T \frac{|L(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it)|}{|\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it|} \ll_q Q^{3/2+\epsilon} \log T.$$

Collecting all the above estimates in (15) and choosing  $T = Q$ , for  $\chi = \chi_0$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi_0(n) K(n) = \frac{Q^2}{2} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + O_q \left(Q^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\right), \quad (17)$$

and for  $\chi \neq \chi_0$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n) = O_q \left(Q^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\right). \quad (18)$$

Inserting (17) and (18) into (13) gives the required result.  $\square$

The error term in Proposition 2.2 is sharpened in terms of  $\Omega$ -result in Theorem 1.10. The exponent  $3/2 + \epsilon$  in the error term is sharpened to the supremum of the real part of zeros of Hecke L-function with a saving of  $\epsilon$ .

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2 is the following corollary which is obtained upon taking  $q = 1$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\nu \geq 1$  be an integer and  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. If  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}$  is as in (1), then*

$$\mathcal{N}_{Q,P} = \#\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} = \frac{Q^2}{2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + O \left(Q^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}\right), \quad (19)$$

The Poisson summation formula is crucial in proving our result.

**Proposition 2.4.** [44, p. 538] (Poisson's summation formula). *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\hat{f}$  be the Fourier transform of  $f$ , then we have*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m).$$

Next, we state a result for counting the lattice points in a bounded domain with some coprimality restrictions.

**Proposition 2.5.** [7, Lemma 2] *Let  $\Omega \subset [1, R]^2$  be a bounded region, and  $f$  is a continuously differentiable function on  $\Omega$ . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{(a,b) \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ \gcd(a,b)=1}} f(a,b) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \iint_{\Omega} f(x,y) dx dy + O\left(\left(\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|_{\infty} + \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \text{Area}(\Omega) \log R + \|f\|_{\infty}(R + \text{length}(\partial\Omega) \log R)\right).$$

Moreover, we prove an asymptotic result to count the number of polynomial Farey fractions of order  $Q$  with denominators in  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\nu \geq 1$  be an integer and  $P(x) = c_{\nu}x^{\nu} + c_{\nu-1}x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. If  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}$  is as in (9), then*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} = \#\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p + O_P(|\mathcal{B}_Q|).$$

*Proof.* We begin by expressing the number of fractions in  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}$  as a summation and then use the Möbius sum for the coprimality condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p \\ \gcd(P(a), p)=1}} 1 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{a \leq p} \sum_{\substack{d|P(a) \\ d|p}} \mu(d) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{a \leq p} 1 - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} 1 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} f_P(p) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p + O_P(|\mathcal{B}_Q|). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we applied Lagrange's theorem [22, Chapter 7] which implies that  $P(x)$  has at most  $\deg(P)$  roots modulo  $p$ , thus  $f_P(p) \leq \deg(P)$ .  $\square$

We also recall the well known Abel's summation formula.

**Proposition 2.7.** [3, Theorem 4.2] *For any arithmetical function  $a(n)$  let*

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a(n),$$

where  $A(x) = 0$  if  $x < 1$ . Assume the function  $f$  has a continuous derivative on the interval  $[y, x]$ , where  $0 < y < x$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{y < n \leq x} a(n)f(n) = A(x)f(x) - A(y)f(y) - \int_y^x A(t)f'(t)dt.$$

We next state a classical result of Landau on the singularities of the Mellin transform of a non-negative function.

**Proposition 2.8.** [38] Let  $A(x)$  be a real-valued function in one variable, and  $A(x)$  does not change its sign for  $x > x_0$ , where  $x_0$  is a sufficiently large real number. Suppose also for some real number  $\beta < \gamma$ , that Mellin transform  $g(s) := \int_1^\infty A(x)x^{-s-1}dx$  is analytic for  $\Re(s) > \gamma$ , can be analytically continued to the real segment  $(\beta, \gamma]$ . Then  $g(s)$  represents an analytic function in the half plane  $\Re(s) > \beta$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** [44, Lemma 15.1] Suppose that  $A(x)$  is a bounded Riemann integrable function in any finite interval  $1 \leq x \leq X$ , and that  $A(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x > X_0$ . Let  $\sigma_c$  denote the infimum of those  $\sigma$  for which  $\int_{X_0}^\infty A(x)x^{-\sigma}dx < \infty$ . Then the function

$$F(s) = \int_1^\infty A(x)x^{-s}dx$$

is analytic in the half plane  $\sigma > \sigma_c$ , but not at the point  $s = \sigma_c$ .

### 3. Discrepancy of polynomial Farey fractions

In this section, we study the global statistics of polynomial Farey fractions. More generally, we analyze the discrepancy of fractions in  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}$ . We first prove an asymptotic result for the Mertens function twisted by the arithmetic function  $f_P$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\nu \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + \dots + c_1 x + c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant and  $f_P(n) = |\{1 \leq d \leq n \mid P(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}|$ . Then for all  $x \geq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) f_P(n) \ll x \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\log x}\right),$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant.

*Proof.* The Dirichlet series of  $\mu(n)f_P(n)$  is given by

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mu(n)f_P(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^s}\right).$$

Let us assume that  $P(x)$  is irreducible, and let  $\Delta \neq 0$  be the discriminant of  $P(x)$ . We can express the Dirichlet series  $F(s)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) &= \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{f_P(p)} (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Applying Dedekind's theorem (see Theorem 5.5.1, [45]) implies that for primes  $p \nmid \Delta$ ,  $f_P(p)$  is the number of

prime ideals of a ring of integers,  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(P(x))$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{f_P(p)} &= \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \|\mathcal{P}\|=p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|^s}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \|\mathcal{P}\| \neq p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{P}\|^s}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta_K(s)} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a prime ideal,  $\|\mathcal{P}\|$  denotes the norm of an ideal  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $\zeta_K(s)$  is the Dedekind zeta function of the number field  $K$ . Thus, the above estimate, in conjunction with (20), gives

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{\zeta_K(s)} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1},$$

which is absolutely convergent for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Moreover, the product terms are absolutely convergent for  $\Re(s) > 1/2$ . Employing Perron's formula for Dirichlet series  $F(s)$  [51, Theorem 2, p. 132], we obtain for some fixed  $\alpha > 1$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) f_P(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha - iT}^{\alpha + iT} F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + R(T), \quad (21)$$

where  $R(T) \ll \frac{x^\alpha}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_P(n)}{n^\alpha |\log(x/n)|}$ . Let  $T \geq 2$  and  $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{\log x}$ . Employing Huxley's [28] bound for  $f_P(n)$  gives  $f_P(n) \leq (\log n)^{[\deg P] + 1}$ . We bound  $R(T)$  in a similar way as Davenport [16]:

$$R(T) \ll \frac{x^{1+\epsilon} \log x}{T}.$$

To estimate the integral in (21), we use the zero-free region for  $\zeta_K(s)$  (see [35, Theorem 1.1]) and shift the path of integration to a rectangular contour that includes line segments connecting the points  $\alpha - iT$ ,  $\alpha + iT$ ,  $\beta + iT$ , and  $\beta - iT$ . By Cauchy's residue theorem, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha - iT}^{\alpha + iT} F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{\alpha - iT}^{\beta - iT} + \int_{\beta - iT}^{\beta + iT} + \int_{\beta + iT}^{\alpha + iT} \right) F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \quad (22)$$

By Theorem 1.1 of [35],  $\zeta_K(s)$  has no zeros in the half-plane  $\Re(s) \geq \beta$ , except for at most one real zero, where  $\beta = 1 - (c_1 \log d_K + c_2 n_K \log t + c_3 n_K)^{-1}$ ;  $n_K, d_K$  denote degree and discriminant of the number field  $K$ , respectively. Suppose there is no real zero of  $\zeta_K(s)$  in the region  $\Re(s) > \beta$ . We estimate the integrals  $I_1$  and  $I_3$  using the bounds for  $\zeta_K(s)$  (see [49, Theorem 4]) and obtain

$$I_3 \ll \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \frac{x^\sigma}{|\sigma + iT| |\zeta_K(\sigma + iT)|} d\sigma \ll \frac{T^\epsilon}{T} \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} x^\sigma d\sigma \ll \frac{x^\alpha}{T^{1-\epsilon} \log x}, \quad (23)$$

A similar estimate holds true for  $I_1$ . The integral  $I_2$  is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\ll \int_{\beta - iT}^{\beta + iT} \frac{|x^s|}{|s| |\zeta_K(s)|} dt \ll x^\beta T^\epsilon \int_0^T \frac{1}{\beta + t} dt \ll x^\beta T^\epsilon \log T \\ &\ll x \exp(\epsilon \log T + \log \log T) \exp\left(\frac{-\log x}{c_1 \log d_K + c_2 n_K \log T + c_3 n_K}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Inserting (23) and (24) into (22) and choosing  $\log T = \sqrt{\log x}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-iT}^{\alpha+iT} F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \ll x \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\log x}\right). \quad (25)$$

Suppose  $\zeta_K(s)$  has a real zero near one within the rectangular contour with vertices  $\alpha \pm iT, \beta \pm iT$ . Then, the integrand in (22) has a simple pole in this rectangle. By Cauchy's residue theorem and the above arguments, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-iT}^{\alpha+iT} F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \ll x \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\log x}\right).$$

Hence, collecting all estimates with  $\log T = \sqrt{\log x}$  completes the proof of Lemma 3.1 for the irreducible case.

Next, we assume that  $P(x)$  is reducible with the factorization

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^J m_i(x)^{e_i},$$

where  $m_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are irreducible polynomials. Let  $\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^J \Delta_i$  be the discriminant of  $P(x)$ , where  $\Delta_i \neq 0$  is discriminant of  $m_i(x)$ . For primes  $p \nmid \Delta$ ,

$$f_P(p) = \sum_{i=1}^J f_{m_i}(p),$$

where  $f_{m_i}(p)$  is the number of prime ideals of a ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}$  and  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m_i(x))$ . We follow the proof of irreducible case along with the above identity to obtain

$$\prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{f_P(p)} = \prod_{i=1}^J \frac{1}{\zeta_{K_i}(s)} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1}$$

and

$$F(s) = \prod_{i=1}^J \frac{1}{\zeta_{K_i}(s)} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1}.$$

Using Perron's formula for the Dirichlet series  $F(s)$  and proceeding in a similar way as for the irreducible case completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  be a real number. To establish the upper bound for the discrepancy, we write

$$A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P} \cap [0, \alpha]} 1.$$

We next consider the far-right side of (3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) - \alpha \mathcal{N}_{Q,P} &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \left( \sum_{\substack{a \leq \alpha q \\ \gcd(P(a), q) = 1}} 1 - \alpha \sum_{\substack{a \leq q \\ \gcd(P(a), q) = 1}} 1 \right) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \left( \sum_{\substack{a \leq \alpha q \\ d|P(a) \\ d|q}} \mu(d) - \alpha \sum_{\substack{a \leq q \\ d|P(a) \\ d|q}} \mu(d) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left( \sum_{\substack{a \leq \alpha q \\ d|P(a)}} 1 - \alpha \sum_{\substack{a \leq q \\ d|P(a)}} 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_P(d)$  counts the number of the solutions of polynomial congruence  $P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ , so using this fact in the above estimate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) - \alpha \mathcal{N}_{Q,P} &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha q}{d} \right\rfloor f_P(d) - \alpha \left\lfloor \frac{q}{d} \right\rfloor f_P(d) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) f_P(d) \left( \alpha \left\{ \frac{q}{d} \right\} - \left\{ \frac{\alpha q}{d} \right\} \right) = - \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) f_P(d) \left\{ \frac{\alpha q}{d} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking absolute values on both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) - \alpha \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}| &= \left| \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) f_P(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ d|q}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha q}{d} \right\} \right| = \left| \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) f_P(d) \sum_{q \leq \frac{Q}{d}} \{ \alpha q \} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{q \leq Q} \{ \alpha q \} \sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} \mu(d) f_P(d) \right| \leq \sum_{q \leq Q} \left| \sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} \mu(d) f_P(d) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} \mu(d) f_P(d) \ll \sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} \exp(-c\sqrt{\log d}).$$

Thus, the above estimate with (26) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) - \alpha \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}| &\ll \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} \exp(-c\sqrt{\log d}) \ll \sum_{d \leq Q} \exp(-c\sqrt{\log d}) \sum_{q \leq \frac{Q}{d}} 1 \\ &\ll Q \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{1}{d \exp(c\sqrt{\log d})} \ll Q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$R_{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}} |A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) - \alpha \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}| \ll \frac{1}{Q} \quad (27)$$

uniformly in  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Next, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrarily small and we take  $\alpha = 1/Q - \epsilon$  to obtain a lower bound for  $D_{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P})$ . By the definition of  $A(\alpha; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P})$ , we have  $A(1/Q - \epsilon; \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}) = 0$ . By (2) and (3), we get

$$D_{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}) \geq R_{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}(\alpha) = R_{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}\left(\frac{1}{Q} - \epsilon\right) = \frac{1}{Q} - \epsilon$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, one can thus deduce

$$D_{\mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}) \geq \frac{1}{Q}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

#### 4. Correlation of Polynomial Farey fractions

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. We begin with an asymptotic result for the exponential sum over the Farey fractions in  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $r$  and  $\nu \geq 2$  be integers and let  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P}} e(r\gamma) \ll Q^{1+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q|r}} \frac{1}{q^\epsilon},$$

where  $e(x) = \exp(2\pi i x)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P}} e(r\gamma) &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ \gcd(P(a), q) = 1}} e\left(\frac{ar}{q}\right) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q} e\left(\frac{ar}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{d|P(a) \\ d|q}} \mu(d) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ d|q}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ d|P(a)}} e\left(\frac{ar}{q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leq \frac{Q}{d} \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq qd} e\left(\frac{ar}{qd}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We first consider the innermost sum in the above equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq qd \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} e\left(\frac{ar}{qd}\right) &= \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq d \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} + \sum_{\substack{d < a \leq 2d \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} + \dots + \sum_{\substack{(q-1)d < a \leq qd \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \right) e\left(\frac{ar}{qd}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq d \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} e\left(\frac{r(id+a)}{qd}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{ri}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq d \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} e\left(\frac{ra}{qd}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In view of the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^m e(nl/m) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m|l, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

the first sum on the right-hand side of (29) is  $q$  if  $q|r$  and 0 otherwise. So (29) in conjunction with (28) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P}} e(r\gamma) &= \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leq \frac{Q}{d} \\ q|r}} q \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq d \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} e\left(\frac{ra}{qd}\right) = \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q|r}} q \sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq d \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} e\left(\frac{ra}{qd}\right) \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q|r}} q \sum_{d \leq \frac{Q}{q}} f_P(d) \sim \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q|r}} Q \log\left(\frac{Q}{q}\right) \\ &\ll Q^{1+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q|r}} \frac{1}{q^\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second last step, we used Proposition 2.1. This gives the required result.  $\square$

#### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3, we need to estimate, for any positive real number  $\Lambda$ , the quantity

$$S_{\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{N_{Q,P}} \#\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P}^2 : \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in \frac{1}{N_{Q,P}}(0, \Lambda) + \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad (30)$$



as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $H$  be any continuously differentiable function with  $\text{Supp } H \subset (0, \Lambda)$ . We use the approach of Boca and Zaharescu in [10], and change the problem of counting the tuples in (30) into estimating the exponential sum over polynomial Farey fractions. We have

$$S_{Q,P} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P}} e(r\gamma_1) \sum_{\gamma_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{Q,P}} e(r\gamma_2), \quad (31)$$

where  $c_r = \frac{1}{N_{Q,P}} \widehat{H} \left( \frac{r}{N_{Q,P}} \right)$  is Fourier coefficient of the Fourier series  $h(y) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r e(ry)$  and  $\widehat{H}$  is the Fourier transform of  $H$ . We employ Lemma 4.1 in (31) to obtain

$$S_{Q,P} \ll Q^{2+2\epsilon} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r \sum_{\substack{q_1 \leq Q \\ q_1 | r}} \frac{1}{q_1^\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{q_2 \leq Q \\ q_2 | r}} \frac{1}{q_2^\epsilon} \ll Q^{2+2\epsilon} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \leq Q} \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^\epsilon} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{[q_1, q_2]n}. \quad (32)$$

To estimate the innermost sum, we use the Fourier transform and Proposition 2.4. Therefore

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{[q_1, q_2]n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{[q_1, q_2]} H \left( \frac{n \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}{[q_1, q_2]} \right). \quad (33)$$

Incorporating (33) into (32) leads us to the following outcome

$$\begin{aligned} S_{Q,P} &\ll Q^{2+2\epsilon} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \leq Q} \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^\epsilon} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{[q_1, q_2]} H \left( \frac{n \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}{[q_1, q_2]} \right) \\ &\ll Q^{2+2\epsilon} \sum_{q_1, q_2 \leq Q} \frac{(q_1, q_2)}{(q_1 q_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H \left( \frac{n \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}{[q_1, q_2]} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Take  $(q_1, q_2) = \delta$ , so that  $q_1 = q'_1 \delta$  and  $q_2 = q'_2 \delta$  with  $(q'_1, q'_2) = 1$ . Substituting this into equation (34), we arrive at the following expression

$$S_{Q,P} \ll Q^{2+2\epsilon} \sum_{\delta \leq Q} \frac{1}{\delta^{1+2\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{q'_1 \leq \frac{Q}{\delta}, q'_2 \leq \frac{Q}{\delta} \\ (q'_1, q'_2) = 1}} \frac{1}{(q'_1 q'_2)^{1+\epsilon}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H \left( \frac{n \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}{q'_1 q'_2 \delta} \right). \quad (35)$$

We use the fact that  $\text{Supp } H \subset (0, \lambda)$  and (19), for a non-zero contribution from  $H$ , one must have  $0 < \frac{n \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}{q'_1 q'_2 \delta} < \Lambda$  which implies  $\delta n < \frac{2\Lambda}{\beta_P} = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ . By utilizing the aforementioned inequality and taking into account the observation that

$$H \left( \frac{n \mathcal{N}_{Q,P}}{q'_1 q'_2 \delta} \right) = H \left( \frac{n \beta_P Q^2}{2q'_1 q'_2 \delta} \right) + O \left( \frac{n}{q'_1 q'_2 \delta} Q^{1+\epsilon} \right), \quad (36)$$

the sum in (35) can be expressed as

$$S_{Q,P} \ll Q^{2+2\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{\delta, n \geq 1 \\ \delta n < \mathcal{C}_\Lambda}} \frac{1}{\delta^{1+2\epsilon}} \sum_{\substack{q'_1 \leq \frac{Q}{\delta}, q'_2 \leq \frac{Q}{\delta} \\ (q'_1, q'_2) = 1}} \frac{1}{(q'_1 q'_2)^{1+\epsilon}} H \left( \frac{n \beta_P Q^2}{2q'_1 q'_2 \delta} \right). \quad (37)$$

In order to approximate the inner sum in (37), we employ Proposition 2.5, which gives an asymptotic result for counting the number of lattice points with some weight within a bounded region. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{q'_1 \leq \frac{Q}{\delta}, q'_2 \leq \frac{Q}{\delta} \\ (q'_1, q'_2) = 1}} \frac{1}{(q'_1 q'_2)^{1+\epsilon}} H \left( \frac{n \beta_P Q^2}{2q'_1 q'_2 \delta} \right) &\ll \int_0^{\frac{Q}{\delta}} \int_0^{\frac{Q}{\delta}} \frac{1}{(xy)^{1+\epsilon}} H \left( \frac{n \beta_P Q^2}{2xy\delta} \right) dx dy \\ &\ll \frac{1}{Q^{2\epsilon}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{1}{(xy)^{1+\epsilon}} H \left( \frac{n \beta_P}{2xy\delta} \right) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Further, we put  $\lambda = \frac{n\beta_P}{2xy\delta}$ , then the double integral transforms into the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{1}{(xy)^{1+\epsilon}} H\left(\frac{n\beta_P}{2xy\delta}\right) dx dy &= \left(\frac{2\delta}{n\beta_P}\right)^\epsilon \int_0^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \int_{\frac{n\beta_P}{2x}}^\Lambda \frac{H(\lambda)}{x\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} d\lambda dx \\
&= \left(\frac{2\delta}{n\beta_P}\right)^\epsilon \int_{\frac{\delta n\beta_P}{2}}^\Lambda \int_{\frac{n\beta_P}{2\lambda}}^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \frac{H(\lambda)}{x\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} dx d\lambda \\
&= \left(\frac{2\delta}{n\beta_P}\right)^\epsilon \int_{\frac{\delta n\beta_P}{2}}^\Lambda \frac{H(\lambda)}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \log\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\delta n\beta_P}\right) d\lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

So, (38) and (39) in conjunction with (37) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{Q,P} &\ll \frac{Q^2}{\beta_P^\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{\delta, n \geq 1 \\ \delta n < \mathcal{C}_\Lambda}} \frac{1}{n^\epsilon \delta^{1+\epsilon}} \int_{\frac{\delta n\beta_P}{2}}^\Lambda \frac{H(\lambda)}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \log\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\delta n\beta_P}\right) d\lambda \\
&\ll \frac{Q^2}{\beta_P^\epsilon} \sum_{1 \leq m < \mathcal{C}_\Lambda} \int_{\frac{m\beta_P}{2}}^\Lambda \frac{H(\lambda)}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \log\left(\frac{2\lambda}{m\beta_P}\right) d\lambda \sum_{\delta n=m} \frac{1}{n^\epsilon \delta^{1+\epsilon}} \\
&\ll \frac{Q^2}{\beta_P^\epsilon} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{H(\lambda)}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \sum_{1 \leq m < \mathcal{C}_\Lambda} h(m) \log\left(\frac{2\lambda}{m\beta_P}\right) d\lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where

$$h(m) := \sum_{\delta n=m} \frac{1}{n^\epsilon \delta^{1+\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{m^\epsilon} \sum_{\delta|m} \frac{1}{\delta} = \frac{\sigma(m)}{m^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

Using (19) and (40), one concludes that

$$\frac{S_{Q,P}}{\#\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}} \ll \frac{1}{\beta_P^{1+\epsilon}} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{H(\lambda)}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \sum_{1 \leq m < \mathcal{C}_\Lambda} h(m) \log\left(\frac{2\lambda}{m\beta_P}\right) d\lambda.$$

Next, we use the standard approximation argument to approximate  $H$  by the characteristic function of  $(0, \lambda)$  to obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}(\Lambda) = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} S_{\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}}(\Lambda) \ll \frac{1}{\beta_P^{1+\epsilon}} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{1}{\lambda^{1-\epsilon}} \sum_{1 \leq m < \mathcal{C}_\Lambda} h(m) \log\left(\frac{2\lambda}{m\beta_P}\right) d\lambda.$$

The vanishing of the right hand side of the above estimate on the interval  $[0, \beta_P/2]$  shows that the pair correlation of the sequence  $(\mathcal{F}_{Q,P})_Q$  is non-Poissonian. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 5. Polynomial Farey fractions with prime denominators

### 5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we intent to estimate, for any positive real number  $\Lambda$ , subset of primes  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ , and polynomial  $P(x) = c_\nu x^\nu + c_{\nu-1} x^{\nu-1} + \dots + c_1 x$ , the quantity

$$S_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,P}}(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,P}} \#\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,P}^2 : \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,P}}(0, \Lambda) + \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{41}$$

as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $H$  be any continuously differentiable function with  $\text{Supp } H \subset (0, \Lambda)$ . We begin in the same line as we did in the proof of Theorem 1.3, so using (31), we obtain

$$S = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q,P}} e(r\gamma) \right)^2. \tag{42}$$

Next, we estimate the exponential sum over polynomial Farey fractions with denominators in  $\mathcal{B}_Q$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} e(r\gamma) &= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p \\ \gcd(P(a), p) = 1}} e\left(\frac{ar}{p}\right) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{a \leq p} e\left(\frac{ar}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{d|P(a) \\ d|p}} \mu(d) \\
&= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{a \leq p} e\left(\frac{ar}{p}\right) - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} e\left(\frac{ar}{p}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p|r}} p - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} e\left(\frac{ar}{p}\right). \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (42) and (43), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r \sum_{\substack{p_1 \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p_1|r}} p_1 \sum_{\substack{p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p_2|r}} p_2 - 2 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r \sum_{\substack{p_1 \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p_1|r}} p_1 \sum_{p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p_2 \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_2}}} e\left(\frac{ar}{p_2}\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r \left( \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq p \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} e\left(\frac{ar}{p}\right) \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p_1 p_2 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{[p_1, p_2]r} + O\left(|\mathcal{B}_Q| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{pr}\right) + O\left(|\mathcal{B}_Q|^2 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r\right). \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used Lagrange's theorem [22, Chapter 7]. Note that  $c_r$  is a Fourier coefficient, we estimate the sum of Fourier coefficients using Proposition 2.4 as in (33). Therefore,

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{[p_1, p_2]r} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{[p_1, p_2]} H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{[p_1, p_2]}\right),$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{pr} = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{p} H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{p}\right), \quad \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H(r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}).$$

Inserting the above estimates into (44) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \frac{p_1 p_2}{[p_1, p_2]} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{[p_1, p_2]}\right) + O\left(|\mathcal{B}_Q| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{p}\right)\right) \\
&\quad + O\left(|\mathcal{B}_Q|^2 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H(r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P})\right). \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{Supp } H \subset (0, \Lambda)$  for some  $\Lambda > 0$ . So for sufficiently large  $Q$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} > \Lambda$ , we have  $H(r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}) = 0$ , this yields

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} c_r = 0.$$

If  $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 = o(|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}|^2)$  then  $p^2 = o(|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}|^2)$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{B}_Q$ , this yields  $H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{p}\right) = 0$  for large  $Q$ .

Hence

$$S = \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \frac{p_1 p_2}{[p_1, p_2]} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{[p_1, p_2]}\right) = \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} H\left(\frac{r \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}}{[p_1, p_2]}\right).$$

We use [56, Lemma 3] to estimate the inner sum and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x) dx}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} p_1 p_2 + O\left(\sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q} 1\right) \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x) dx + O_H(|\mathcal{B}_Q|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x) dx.$$

Approximating the smooth function  $H$  with the characteristic function of the interval  $(0, \Lambda)$ , by the standard approximation argument, we deduce that the pair correlation function of the sequence  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}$  is constant equal to 1 as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ .

For the other direction, suppose the pair correlation of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}$  is Poissonian. On contrary assume that  $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 \neq o(|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}|^2)$ . We approximate  $H$  in (45) by the characteristic function of  $(0, \Lambda)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \left[ \frac{p_1 p_2 \Lambda}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \right] + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p \left[ \frac{p \Lambda}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \right] + O\left(|\mathcal{B}_Q| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \left[ \frac{p \Lambda}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \right]\right) \\ &= \frac{\Lambda}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p_1 p_2 + \frac{\Lambda}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 + O\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p\right) + O\left(|\mathcal{B}_Q| \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} \left[ \frac{p \Lambda}{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}} \right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 \neq o(|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}|^2)$  then  $S(\Lambda) = S/\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P} \neq \Lambda$ , so the pair correlation is not Poissonian which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.6

In order to prove Corollary 1.6, we need to prove that the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 = o(|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}|^2), \quad (46)$$

stated in Theorem 1.4 holds true whenever  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is either the set of Piatetski-Shapiro primes, Chen primes, or prime  $k$  tuples.

Case-I: Suppose  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is the set of Piatetski-Shapiro prime less than or equal to  $Q$ . We use Proposition 2.7 and the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem [48] to estimate the sum on the left-hand side of (46):

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 = \frac{Q^3}{c \log Q} + O\left(\frac{Q^3}{\log^2 Q}\right), \quad (47)$$

where  $c$  is constant appeared in Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem. To estimate the right-hand side of (46), we use Proposition 2.6, 2.7, and the Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem. Therefore,

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}| = \frac{Q^2}{c' \log Q} + O\left(\frac{Q^2}{\log Q}\right),$$

where  $c'$  is a constant. Thus the above estimate with (47) gives the required result.

Case-II: Suppose  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is the set of Chen primes. To prove the asymptotic formula in (46) for Chen primes, we apply Proposition 2.7, Chen's result [14], and Proposition 2.6 and obtain

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 \ll \frac{Q^3}{\log Q} \text{ and } |\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}| \gg \frac{Q^2}{\log^2 Q}.$$

The above estimates together give the required result.

Case-III: Let  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  be a set of prime  $k$  tuples which are less than or equal to  $Q$ . We use Proposition 2.6 and 2.7 with the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture to derive the asymptotic formulas

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}| \sim \frac{c' Q^2}{(\log Q)^k},$$

and

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 \sim \frac{c Q^3}{(\log Q)^k},$$

where  $c$  and  $c'$  are constants. Collecting the above estimates establishes the required result in (46). This completes the proof of Corollary 1.6.

### 5.3. Proof of Corollary 1.7

Suppose  $\mathcal{B}_Q$  is the set of primes with restricted digits. Employing Proposition 2.7 with Maynard's result [42, Theorem 1.2] on the cardinality of primes with restricted digits, we have

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}_Q} p^2 = \frac{c Q^{2 + \frac{\log(q-|\mathcal{D}|)}{\log q}}}{\log Q} + O\left(\frac{Q^{2 + \frac{\log(q-|\mathcal{D}|)}{\log q}}}{\log^2 Q}\right),$$

where  $c$  is a constant. The right-hand side of (46) is estimated using Proposition 2.6 and 2.7 with [42, Theorem 1.2]

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}_Q, P}| = \frac{c' Q^{1 + \frac{\log(q-|\mathcal{D}|)}{\log q}}}{\log Q} + O\left(\frac{Q^{1 + \frac{\log(q-|\mathcal{D}|)}{\log q}}}{\log^2 Q}\right),$$

where  $c'$  is a constant. Therefore, the above two estimates together with (46) give the required result.

## 6. Races for Farey fractions

### 6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.8

We will use the Knapowski and Turan [36] approach to prove the Theorem 1.8. We begin with the integral

$$\int_1^\infty (S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) \pm c Q^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon}) Q^{-s-1} dQ, \text{ for } \epsilon > 0.$$

We next consider the sum

$$S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) = \left( \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q \\ n \equiv l_1 \pmod{q}}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{n \leq Q \\ n \equiv l_2 \pmod{q}}} 1 \right) \sum_{\substack{a \leq n \\ \gcd(P(a), n) = 1}} 1. \quad (48)$$

Using (13) the sum in (48) can be written as

$$S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) = \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \left( \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) \right) \sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n),$$

where  $K(n) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d) f_P(d)}{d}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty (S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) \pm cQ^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) Q^{-s-1} dQ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) \int_1^\infty \frac{\sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n)}{Q^{s+1}} dQ \\ &\pm \frac{c}{s - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Since the integral on the right-hand side represents the Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\chi(n) K(n)}{n^{s-1}}$  as a Mellin transform. Thus

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n)}{Q^{s+1}} dQ = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\chi(n) K(n)}{n^{s-1}}. \quad (50)$$

The above estimate with (14) yields

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\sum_{n \leq Q} n \chi(n) K(n)}{Q^{s+1}} dQ = \frac{L(s-1, \chi)}{s} \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p) f_P(p)}{p^s} \right), \text{ for } \sigma > 2.$$

This along with (49) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty (S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) \pm cQ^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}) Q^{-s-1} dQ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) \frac{1}{s} L(s-1, \chi) \\ &\times \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p) f_P(p)}{p^s} \right) \pm \frac{c}{s - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) \frac{1}{s} L(s-1, \chi) \\ &\times \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p) f_P(p)}{p^s} \right) \pm \frac{c}{s - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In the last step, we used the fact that  $\bar{\chi}_0(l_1) = \bar{\chi}_0(l_2) = 1$  for  $(l_1, q) = (l_2, q) = 1$ . We will apply Proposition 2.8 with

$$\mathcal{A}(Q) = S(Q; q, l_1) - S(Q; q, l_2) \pm cQ^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

Thus by (51), we have

$$g(s) = \int_1^\infty \mathcal{A}(Q) Q^{-s-1} dQ = \frac{1}{s \phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) L(s-1, \chi) \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p) f_P(p)}{p^s} \right) \pm \frac{c}{s - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

Since  $L(s-1, \chi)$  is entire for non-principal Dirichlet character  $\chi \pmod{q}$  and  $\prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(p) f_P(p)}{p^s} \right)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > 1$  so  $g(s)$  is analytic in the half plane  $\sigma > 1$ . Let  $\Delta \neq 0$  be discriminant of  $P(x)$ . The

product term on the last equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) &= \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{f_P(p)} \mathcal{P}_1(\Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_1(\Delta) = \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\chi(p^2)f_P(p)(f_P(p)-1)}{2p^{2s}} + \dots + \frac{\chi(p^{f_P(p)})}{p^{sf_P(p)}}\right)\right).$$

Let us assume that  $P(x)$  is irreducible. An application of Dedekind's theorem (see Theorem 5.5.1, [45]) implies that for primes  $p \nmid \Delta$ ,  $f_P(p)$  is the number of prime ideals of a ring of integers,  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(P(x))$ .

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{f_P(p)} &= \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \|\mathfrak{P}\|=p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi'(\mathfrak{P})}{\|\mathfrak{P}\|^s}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \left(1 - \frac{\chi'(\mathfrak{P})}{\|\mathfrak{P}\|^s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \|\mathfrak{P}\| \neq p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi'(\mathfrak{P})}{\|\mathfrak{P}\|^s}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_p \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \left(1 - \frac{\chi'(\mathfrak{P})}{\|\mathfrak{P}\|^s}\right) \prod_{p|\Delta} \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \left(1 - \frac{\chi'(\mathfrak{P})}{\|\mathfrak{P}\|^s}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{P}_2(\Delta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')} \prod_{p|\Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \mathcal{P}_2(\Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where  $\|\mathfrak{P}\|$  denotes the norm of a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is Hecke  $L$ -function modulo  $\mathfrak{q}$ . So, from (52) and (53), we have

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')} \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p|\Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \mathcal{P}_1(\Delta) \mathcal{P}_2(\Delta).$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(s) &= \frac{1}{s\phi(\mathfrak{q})} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) \frac{L(s-1, \chi)}{\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')} \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{p|\Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \mathcal{P}_1(\Delta) \mathcal{P}_2(\Delta) \pm \frac{c}{s - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $1/\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$  is analytic in the half plane  $\sigma \geq 1$  for all character  $\chi'$  as none of the denominators  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$  have zeros in the half-plane  $\sigma \geq 1$ . The products on the right-hand side run over the prime divisors of  $\Delta \neq 0$ , so they are finite. The product terms  $\mathcal{P}_1(\Delta)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2(\Delta)$  are convergent in the half plane  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, the product  $\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\sigma \geq 1$ . Hence, the function  $g(s)$  is analytic in the half plane  $\sigma \geq 1$ .

By the Haselgrove's condition,  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi') \neq 0$  on the real segment  $0 < \sigma < 1$ , so  $1/\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$  defines an analytic function on the real segment  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . Hence,  $g(s)$  is regular on the real segment  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon < \sigma < 1$ .

Suppose there exists a positive constant  $Q_0$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(Q)$  does not change its sign for  $Q > Q_0$ . Then by Proposition 2.8,  $g(s)$  is an analytic function in the half plane  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ . Therefore, each zero of the denominator  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$  must also be a zero of  $L(s-1, \chi)$ . However, it is known that almost all nontrivial zeros of  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$  are close to the critical line  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Similarly, almost all nontrivial zeros of  $L(s-1, \chi)$  are close to the critical line  $\sigma = 3/2$ . Thus, the zeros of the denominator  $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi')$ , which are canceled by the zeros of  $L(s-1, \chi)$ , are negligible. Hence, there exist zeros of the denominator on the line  $\sigma = 1/2$ , which are not the zeros of the numerator. Any such zero is a pole of  $g(s)$ , and this contradicts through Proposition 2.8, the assumption that there exists  $Q_0$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(Q)$  does not change sign for  $Q > Q_0$ . Subsequently, we assume that  $P(x)$  is reducible with the factorization

$$P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^J m_i(x)^{e_i},$$

where  $m_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  are irreducible polynomials. Let  $\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^J \Delta_i$  be the discriminant of  $P(x)$ , where  $\Delta_i \neq 0$  is discriminant of  $m_i(x)$ . For primes  $p \nmid \Delta$

$$f_P(p) = \sum_{i=1}^J f_{m_i}(p),$$

where  $f_{m_i}(p)$  is the number of prime ideals of a ring of integers,  $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}$  and  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(m_i(x))$ , by an application of Dedekind's Theorem (see Theorem 5.5.1, [45]). We follow the proof of irreducible case and the above identity to obtain

$$\prod_{p \nmid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}\right)^{f_P(p)} = \prod_{i=1}^J \mathcal{L}_{K_i}(s, \chi')^{-1} \prod_{p \mid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_p (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1},$$

and

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^J \mathcal{L}_{K_i}(s, \chi')^{-1} \prod_{p \mid \Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p \mid \Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_p (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1}. \quad (54)$$

By the same argument made for irreducible case,  $g(s)$  is analytic in the half-plane  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  and can be analytically continued on the real segment  $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon < \sigma < 1$ . Suppose there exists a positive constant  $Q_0$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(Q)$  does not change its sign for  $Q > Q_0$ . In a similar manner as for the irreducible case, we get a contradiction in view of Proposition 2.8 on the assumption that  $\mathcal{A}(Q)$  does not change the sign for  $Q > Q_0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

## 6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.10

In order to prove Theorem 1.10, we use Proposition 2.9, which is an analog of Landau's result [44, Theorem 1.7]. We begin with the identity

$$\mathcal{A}(Q) = -S(Q; q, l) + \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p \mid q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + Q^{\Theta - \epsilon},$$



where  $\Theta$  denotes the supremum of the real parts of zeros of the Hecke  $L$ -function  $\mathcal{L}_{K_i}(s, \chi')$  modulo  $\mathfrak{q}$ . The second term on the right-hand side of the above identity is the main term in the asymptotic formula of  $S(Q; q, l)$  (see Proposition 2.2). For  $\sigma > 2$ , we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_1^\infty \mathcal{A}(Q)Q^{-s-1}dQ &= \int_1^\infty \left( -S(Q; q, l) + \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + Q^{\Theta-\epsilon} \right) Q^{-s-1}dQ \\
&= \frac{-1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \bar{\chi}(l) \frac{1}{s} L(s-1, \chi) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) + \frac{1}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\
&\times \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) \frac{1}{s-2} + \frac{1}{s-\Theta+\epsilon} \\
&= \frac{-1}{s\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod{q} \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} \bar{\chi}(l_1) L(s-1, \chi) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) - \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{s\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s-1}}\right) \\
&\times \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi_0(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) + \frac{1}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) \frac{1}{s-2} + \frac{1}{s-\Theta+\epsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

By (54), we have

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^J \mathcal{L}_{K_i}(s, \chi')^{-1} \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right) \prod_{p|\Delta} (1 + O(|p^{-s}|))^{-1} \prod_p (1 + O(|p^{-2s}|))^{-1}.$$

Note that the second term in equation (55) has a pole at  $s = 2$  due to  $\zeta(s-1)$ , which will be canceled by the pole of the third term at  $s = 2$ . Thus, the right-hand side of (55) is analytic in the half-plane  $\Re(s) > 1$  and using the Haselgrove's condition for Hecke  $L$ -function, it can be analytically continued on the real segment  $(\Theta, \epsilon]$  with a simple pole at  $s = \Theta - \epsilon$ . This yields,  $\int_1^\infty \mathcal{A}(Q)Q^{-\sigma-1}dQ < \infty$  for  $\sigma > \Theta - \epsilon$ . Let us assume that

$$S(Q; q, l) - \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) < Q^{\Theta-\epsilon} \text{ for all } Q > Q_0(\epsilon). \tag{56}$$

Employing Proposition 2.9, we deduce that the integral  $\int_1^\infty \mathcal{A}(Q)Q^{-s-1}dQ$  is analytic in the half plane  $\Re(s) > \Theta - \epsilon$ . In view of the definition of  $\Theta$ , the product  $\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)f_P(p)}{p^s}\right)$  has poles with  $\Re(s) > \Theta - \epsilon$ , due to the zeros of  $\mathcal{L}_{K_i}(s, \chi')$ . This leads to a contradiction, and one can deduce that the assertion (56) is not true. Hence

$$S(Q; q, l) - \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) = \Omega_+(Q^{\Theta-\epsilon}).$$

To derive the corresponding estimate for  $\Omega_-$ , we proceed in a similar manner with

$$\mathcal{A}(Q) = S(Q; q, l) - \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + Q^{\Theta-\epsilon},$$

and obtain

$$S(Q; q, l) - \frac{Q^2}{2\phi(q)} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p \nmid q} \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) = \Omega_-(Q^{\Theta-\epsilon}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.10.

## 7. Appendix

Initially, while dealing with the exponential sum over polynomial Farey fractions to obtain a closed-form formula, we ended up with an exponential sum twisted by Möbius function with coprimality condition. With this motivation, we prove a result for exponential sum twisted by the Möbius function with some coprimality restriction (a slight variation of a consequence of Dartyge and Martin. [15, Lemma 1]).

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $q \geq 2, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  under the GRH, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ \gcd(n, q) = 1}} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{t\bar{n}_q}{q}\right) \ll z^{\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(q)^2, \quad (57)$$

where  $\tau(q)$  denotes the number of positive divisors of  $q$ .

*Proof.* We begin by collecting the summands on the left hand side in (57)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{t\bar{n}_q}{q}\right) &= \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{t\bar{n}_q}{q}\right) = \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} e\left(\frac{t\bar{a}}{q}\right) \sum_{n \equiv a \pmod{q}} \mu(n) \\ &= \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} e\left(\frac{t\bar{a}}{q}\right) \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) \frac{1}{q} \sum_{h=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{h(n-a)}{q}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{h=0}^{q-1} \left( \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} e\left(\frac{t\bar{a} - ha}{q}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{hn}{q}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} e\left(\frac{t\bar{a}}{q}\right) \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{h=1}^{q-1} \left( \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} e\left(\frac{t\bar{a} - ha}{q}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{hn}{q}\right) \right) \\ &= S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Now, assuming GRH, we estimate the first sum on the right-hand side of (58) as

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{q} \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} e\left(\frac{t\bar{a}}{q}\right) \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) \ll \frac{1}{q} \sum_a \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} z^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \ll z^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}. \quad (59)$$

Next, the second sum on the right-hand side of (58) is complete Kloosterman sum, using the result of Hooley [27, Lemma 2] and for the inner most sum using Baker and Harman[5, Theorem], we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\ll \frac{1}{q} \sum_{h=1}^{q-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \gcd(h, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(q) \left| \sum_{n \leq z} \mu(n) e\left(\frac{hn}{q}\right) \right| \\ &\ll z^{\frac{3}{4} + \epsilon} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tau(q) \sum_{h=1}^{q-1} \gcd(h, q)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Clearly,  $\gcd(h, q) = d$  if and only if  $d|h$ ,  $d|q$  and  $\gcd\left(\frac{h}{d}, \frac{q}{d}\right) = 1$ . Therefore, the number of  $1 \leq h \leq q$  with  $\gcd(h, q) = d$  are  $\phi\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)$ . So the sum in (60) becomes

$$\sum_{h=1}^q \gcd(h, q)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{d|q} d^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \leq \sum_{d|q} \frac{q}{d^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq q\tau(q).$$

The above estimate, in conjunction with (60), gives

$$S_2 \ll z^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(q)^2. \quad (61)$$

Hence, the result in (57) follows from (58), (59) and (61).  $\square$

We next give a different proof for the asymptotic formula of  $|\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}|$  with a better error term.

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $P(x) = c_n x^n + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + c_1 x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial with non-zero discriminant. If  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,P}$  is as in (1), then*

$$\mathcal{N}_{Q,P} = \#\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} = \frac{Q^2}{2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + O(Q^{1+\epsilon}), \quad (62)$$

where

$$f_P(m) = |\{1 \leq d \leq m \mid P(d) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\}|.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\#\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq q \\ \gcd(P(a), q) = 1}} 1.$$

We proceed by expressing the condition  $\gcd(P(a), q) = 1$ , in terms of Möbius sum

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} &= \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{a \leq q \\ d \mid P(a) \\ d \mid q}} \mu(d) = \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ d \mid q}} \sum_{\substack{a \leq q \\ P(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ d \mid q}} \left( \frac{q}{d} f_P(d) + O(f_P(d)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq Q} \mu(d) f_P(d) \left( \frac{Q^2}{2d^2} + O\left(\frac{Q}{d}\right) \right) + O\left( Q \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{1}{d} f_P(d) \right) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{2} \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{\mu(d) f_P(d)}{d^2} + O\left( Q \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{1}{d} f_P(d) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Next, we deal with the error term using Proposition 2.1 and 2.7. Therefore

$$\sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{1}{d} f_P(d) = \frac{1}{Q} Q(\log Q)^{J-1} + \int_1^Q \frac{t(\log t)^{J-1}}{t^2} dt \ll (\log Q)^J. \quad (64)$$

The above estimate with (63) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{F}_{Q,P} &= \frac{Q^2}{2} \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{\mu(d) f_P(d)}{d^2} + O(Q(\log Q)^J) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d) f_P(d)}{d^2} + O\left( Q^2 \sum_{d > Q} \frac{f_P(d)}{d^2} \right) + O(Q(\log Q)^J) \\ &= \frac{Q^2}{2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f_P(p)}{p^2}\right) + O(Q^{1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## References

- [1] E. Alkan, A. H. Ledoan, M. Vâjăitu, and A. Zaharescu. Discrepancy of fractions with divisibility constraints. *Monatsh. Math.*, 149(3):179–192, 2006.
- [2] E. Alkan, A. H. Ledoan, M. Vâjăitu, and A. Zaharescu. Discrepancy of sets of fractions with congruence constraints. *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 51(3):265–276, 2006.
- [3] Tom M. Apostol. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [4] R. C. Baker. Primitive lattice points in planar domains. *Acta Arith.*, 142(3):267–302, 2010.
- [5] R. C. Baker and G. Harman. Exponential sums formed with the Möbius function. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 43(2):193–198, 1991.
- [6] F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, and A. Zaharescu. Distribution of lattice points visible from the origin. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 213(2):433–470, 2000.
- [7] F. P. Boca, C. Cobeli, and A. Zaharescu. A conjecture of R. R. Hall on Farey points. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 535:207–236, 2001.
- [8] F. P. Boca and M. Radziwiłł. Limiting distribution of eigenvalues in the large sieve matrix. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 22(7):2287–2329, 2020.
- [9] F. P. Boca and M. Siskaki. A note on the pair correlation of Farey fractions. *Acta Arith.*, 205(2):121–135, 2022.
- [10] F. P. Boca and A. Zaharescu. The correlations of Farey fractions. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 72(1):25–39, 2005.
- [11] B. Chahal and S. Chaubey. Pair correlation of Farey fractions with square-free denominators. *Acta Arith.*, 215(4):289–307, 2024.
- [12] B. Chahal, S. Chaubey, and S. Goel. On the distribution of index of Farey sequences. *Res. Number Theory*, 10(2):Paper No. 27, 34, 2024.
- [13] S. Chaubey, A. K. Pandey, and S. Regavin. On the density of visible lattice points along polynomials. *preprint, arXiv:2109.08431v1*, 2021.
- [14] J. R. Chen. On the representation of a larger even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes. *Sci. Sinica*, 16:157–176, 1973.
- [15] C. Dartyge and G. Martin. Exponential sums with reducible polynomials. *Discrete Anal.*, pages Paper No. 15, 31, 2019.

- [16] H. Davenport. On some infinite series involving arithmetical functions (ii). *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, (1):313–320, 1937.
- [17] F. Dress. Discrépance des suites de Farey. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 11(2):345–367, 1999.
- [18] P. Erdős, M. Kac, E. R. van Kampen, and A. Wintner. Ramanujan sums and almost periodic functions. *Studia Math.*, 9:43–53, 1940.
- [19] J. Franel. Les suites de farey et le problème des nombres premiers. *Göttinger Nachr.*, pages 198–201, 1924.
- [20] E. H. Goins, P. E. Harris, B. Kubik, and A. Mbirika. Lattice point visibility on generalized lines of sight. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 125(7):593–601, 2018.
- [21] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. Some problems of ‘Partitio numerorum’; III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes. *Acta Math.*, 44(1):1–70, 1923.
- [22] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, sixth edition, 2008. Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman, With a foreword by Andrew Wiles.
- [23] G. Harman. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 27(1):9–18, 1983.
- [24] G. Harman. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. II. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 72(2):241–260, 1996.
- [25] D. R. Heath-Brown and C. Jia. The distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 84(1):79–104, 2002.
- [26] D. A. Hejhal. On the triple correlation of zeros of the zeta function. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (7):293–302, 1994.
- [27] C. Hooley. An asymptotic formula in the theory of numbers. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 7:396–413, 1957.
- [28] M. N. Huxley. A note on polynomial congruences. In *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 1 (Durham, 1979)*, pages 193–196. Academic Press, London-New York, 1981.
- [29] M. N. Huxley and W. G. Nowak. Primitive lattice points in convex planar domains. *Acta Arith.*, 76(3):271–283, 1996.
- [30] C. Jia. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. In *Lecture notes in contemporary mathematics, 1989*, pages 39–48. Sci. Press Beijing, Beijing, 1990.
- [31] C. Jia. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. *J. Number Theory*, 45(3):241–253, 1993.

- [32] C. Jia. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. II. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 43(7):703–721, 2000.
- [33] C. Jia. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo one. In *Number theoretic methods (Iizuka, 2001)*, volume 8 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 151–157. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.
- [34] J. Kaczorowski and K. Wiertelak. Oscillations of a given size of some arithmetic error terms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(9):5023–5039, 2009.
- [35] H. Kadiri. Explicit zero-free regions for Dedekind zeta functions. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 8(1):125–147, 2012.
- [36] S. Knapowski and P. Turán. Comparative prime-number theory. I. Introduction. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 13:299–314, 1962.
- [37] G. Kolesnik. On the order of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 82(2):479–484, 1979.
- [38] E. Landau. Über einen Satz von Tschebyschef. *Math. Ann.*, 61(4):527–550, 1906.
- [39] E. Landau. Bemerkungen zu der vorstehenden abhandlung von herrn franel. *Göttinger Nachr.*, pages 202–206, 1924.
- [40] A. H. Ledoan. The discrepancy of Farey series. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 156(2):465–480, 2018.
- [41] J. E. Littlewood. Sur la distribution des nombres premiers. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris*, 158:1869–1872, 1914.
- [42] J. Maynard. Primes with restricted digits. *Invent. Math.*, 217(1):127–218, 2019.
- [43] H. L. Montgomery. The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function. In *Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972)*, pages 181–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [44] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory*, volume 97 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [45] M. R. Murty and J. Esmonde. *Problems in algebraic number theory*, volume 190 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2005.
- [46] E. H. Neville. The structure of Farey series. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 51:132–144, 1949.
- [47] H. Niederreiter. The distribution of Farey points. *Math. Ann.*, 201:341–345, 1973.
- [48] I. I. Pjateckii-Sapiro. On the distribution of prime numbers in sequences of the form  $[f(n)]$ . *Mat. Sbornik N.S.*, 33(75):559–566, 1953.
- [49] H. Rademacher. On the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem and some applications. *Math. Z.*, 72:192–204, 1959/60.

- [50] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak. Zeros of principal  $L$ -functions and random matrix theory. *Duke Math. J.*, 81(2):269–322, 1996.
- [51] G. Tenenbaum. *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, volume 163 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, third edition, 2015. Translated from the 2008 French edition by Patrick D. F. Ion.
- [52] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1986. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown.
- [53] R. C. Vaughan. On the distribution of  $\alpha p$  modulo 1. *Mathematika*, 24(2):135–141, 1977.
- [54] I. M. Vinogradov. *The method of trigonometrical sums in the theory of numbers*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004. Translated from the Russian, revised and annotated by K. F. Roth and Anne Davenport, Reprint of the 1954 translation.
- [55] J. Xiao. *Distribution of some arithmetic sequences*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- [56] M. Xiong and A. Zaharescu. Pair correlation of rationals with prime denominators. *J. Number Theory*, 128(10):2795–2807, 2008.
- [57] M. Xiong and A. Zaharescu. Correlation of fractions with divisibility constraints. *Math. Nachr.*, 284(2-3):393–407, 2011.