THE BRANCHING MODELS OF KWON AND SUNDARAM VIA FLAGGED HIVES

SATHISH KUMAR AND JACINTA TORRES

ABSTRACT. We prove a bijection between the branching models of Kwon and Sundaram, conjectured previously by Lenart–Lecouvey. To do so, we use a symmetry of Littlewood–Richardson coefficients in terms of the hive model. Along the way, we obtain a new branching model in terms of *flagged hives*.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the representation theory of Lie algebras, branching problems study the restriction of a finite-dimensional irreducible highest-weight representation of a semisimple Lie algebra to nice subalgebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra and \mathfrak{k} be a semisimple Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Recall that the finite-dimensional irreducible highest-weight representations of \mathfrak{g} are parametrized by dominant integral weights $\mathcal{P}^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $V(\nu)$ denote the irreducible highest weight representation indexed by $\nu \in \mathcal{P}^+(\mathfrak{g})$, and consider its restriction to \mathfrak{k} :

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathfrak{k}}^{\mathfrak{g}}V(\nu) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}^{+}(\mathfrak{k})} V(\mu)^{\bigoplus c_{\mu}^{\nu}}.$$
(1)

The coefficients c^{ν}_{μ} in (1) are called branching coefficients. A combinatorial rule for computing these coefficients is called a branching rule. By a combinatorial rule we mean associating a combinatorial set (model) to each pair (ν, μ) whose cardinality is c^{ν}_{μ} .

Throughout this paper, we fix \mathfrak{g} to be the special linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{k} to be the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (thought of as the fixed point subalgebra for the non-trivial Dynkin diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$). For the restriction problem in this case, various combinatorial models based on tableaux are known. There is the classical model by Littlewood, in terms of Littlewood– Richardson tableaux, for the stable case [Lit44, Lit77]. An elegant extension of that rule beyond the stable case was found by Sundaram [Sun86]. Later, a rule in terms of tableaux and Littlemann paths [ST18], which was conjectured by Naito–Sagaki, was proven via its relationship to Sundaram's rule. There is another, more recent extension of the Littlewood branching rule by Kwon [Kwo18a, Kwo18b], which is formulated in a more general context, for all classical types, using a combinatorial model for classical crystals known as the spinor model.

In [LL20], Lenart–Lecouvey use the branching models of Kwon and Sundaram to obtain combinatorial descriptions of generalized exponents in type C_n . They conjecture an explicit bijection between these two models which we intend to settle

Date: March 2024.

in this work. The main tool in our proof is the hive model for the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients and Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns [BZ96, HK06]. In fact we use the *flagged hive model* studied in [KRV21, KRSKV24], where they use the same to study saturation property for some structure constants using their connections to crystals.

Another important element in our proof is a symmetry of Littlewood–Richardson coefficients by Kushwaha–Raghavan–Viswanath [KRV21]. The symmetry of Littlewood–Richardson coefficients via hive models was first studied in [HK06] by Henriques–Kamnitzer referred to as the combinatorial *R*-matrix in [LL20]. In [TKA18], another symmetry was developed by Azenhas–King–Terada. It is an interesting question to ask whether these bijections coincide, or if they restrict to bijections between Kwon's and Sundaram's models for c^{ν}_{μ} .

Organization of the paper. In § 2 we fix the basic notations to work with. In § 3 we recall the branching models of Kwon (in fact, an equivalent formulation from [LL20]) and Sundaram in [Kwo18a] and [Sun86]. We also state our main theorem in this section. In § 4 we recall and use the combinatorics of hives to spell out the proof.

2. NOTATION

A partition is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers $\nu := \nu_1 \ge \nu_2 \ge \cdots$ such that $\nu_k = 0$ for some $k \ge 1$. The maximal j such that $\nu_j \ne 0$ is called the number of parts or length of ν ; we will denote this quantity by $\ell(\nu)$. We will abuse notation and denote a partition by $\nu = (\nu_1, ..., \nu_k)$ for $k \ge \ell(\nu)$. Given a partition ν we will often consider its Young diagram which is a left and top justified collection of boxes with ν_k many boxes in the k^{th} row for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We will denote the Young diagram of ν again by ν .

Let ν, μ be partitions with $\mu \subset \nu$, (that is, the Young diagram of μ is a subset of the Young diagram of ν , or equivalently, $\mu_j \leq \nu_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). A semi-standard tableau of (skew) shape ν/μ is a function assigning a non-negative integer to each box of ν such that it is weakly increasing as we go from left to right along a row and strictly increasing as we go from top to bottom along a column, and such that it is constant and equal to 0 precisely on the boxes corresponding to μ . Usually, a positive integer k will be fixed and $[0, k] := \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ will be used as a codomain for the filling function. In this case, we will denote the set of semi-standard tableaux of shape ν/μ by $\text{SSYT}_k(\nu/\mu)$. The image of a box under this function will be simply referred to as the entry in the box. Whenever $\mu = (0)$, the set of semi-standard tableaux of shape ν/μ are typically known as semi-standard Young tableaux of (straight) shape ν , while semi-standard Young tableaux of shape $(m)^d/\nu$ are typically referred to as contretableux of shape ν' , where ν' is the complement of ν in $(m)^d$ (for example, the contretableau in Example 4.1 has shape (6, 3, 2, 0)).

A semistandard tableau of shape ν/μ can equivalently be realized as a sequence of k many partitions

$$\nu^{(0)} = \mu \subset \nu^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset \nu^{(k)} = \nu,$$

where, $\nu^{(i)}$ is defined to be the sub-shape of ν/μ which is the pre-image of [0, i]. The semistandard-ness condition translates to the condition that $\nu^{(i+1)}/\nu^{(i)}$ is a horizontal strip (i.e., in any column of the Young diagram of $\nu^{(i+1)}$ there is at most

 $\mathbf{2}$

one box of $\nu^{(i+1)}$ that is not a box of $\nu^{(i)}$). This is the key idea behind the definition of Gelfand-Tsetlin (GT) patterns (see § 4.1) and more generally skew GT patterns (see [KRSKV24]).

The north-western row word, a.k.a. the reverse row word of a semi-standard tableau T is obtained by reading the entries of its rows (excluding the entry 0) right to left starting from the top row and proceeding downward. We will denote this word by w(T) (see Example 3.2). The *content* of a semi-standard tableau T is defined to be the content of its reverse row word. The content of a word w is $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots)$ where, α_i equals the number of times i appear in w. A Yamanouchi word is a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_l$ such that, for each $1 \le k \le l$, the content of the subword $w^k := w_1 \cdots w_k$ is a partition.

Fix a positive integer k. Let L_k^* denote the free monoid of words in the alphabets [1, k] with respect to the concatenation operation. The congruence relation generated by the following Knuth relations is called the plactic congruence, and the corresponding quotient monoid is called the plactic monoid:

K1 $xzy \equiv zxy$ if $x \le y < z$ K2 $yxz \equiv yzx$ if $x < y \le z$

Two words are said to be Knuth equivalent if they are plactic congruent (i.e., they differ by a series of Knuth relations).

Theorem 2.1. [Ful97, Theorem 2.1] Every word is Knuth equivalent to the word of a unique semistandard Young tableau.

We now briefly recall the Schützenberger involution on the set of semi-standard Young tableaux. For $T \in SSYT_k(\nu)$, if $w(T) = w_1w_2 \dots w_m$ then the Schützenberger involution S(T) is the unique tableau in the plactic class of the word S(w(T)) := $w'_m \dots w'_2 w'_1$ where, w'_t denotes $k + 1 - w_t$. It is a well-known fact that the tableau S(T) has shape equal to ν and the map S is an involution on $Tab_k(\nu)$. We remark here that the word S(w(T)) is the reading word of a contretableau C of shape ν' . Thus, S(T) can be defined equivalently as the rectification of this contretableau, rect(C). (Rectification of a semistandard tableau T is the unique semistandard Young tableau whose reverse row word is Knuth equivalent to that of T. Refer [Ful97] for more details.)

3. The branching models of Kwon and Sundaram

Let ν, μ, λ be partitions, with $\lambda, \mu \subset \nu$. From now on we will fix a positive integer n, and assume, unless otherwise stated, that $\ell(\nu) \leq 2n-1$. A semi-standard tableau of shape ν/μ and content λ is said to be a Littlewood–Richardson (LR) tableau if its reverse row word is a Yamanouchi word. We denote the set of LR tableaux of shape ν/μ and content λ by LR($\nu/\mu, \lambda$). The numbers $c_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu} := |\text{LR}(\nu/\mu, \lambda)|$ are called the *LR coefficients*. Let T_{μ} be the unique semi-standard Young tableau of shape and content μ . We say that a semi-standard Young tableau *T* is μ -dominant if it satisfies the following condition:

$$w(T_{\mu}) * w(T)$$
 is a Yamanouchi word. (2)

We denote the set of all μ -dominant semi-standard Young tableau of shape λ and content $\nu - \mu$ by $LR^{\nu}_{\mu,\lambda}$.

Example 3.1. Let $\nu = (5,3,1), \mu = (3,1), \lambda = (3,1,1)$. In this case, there is a unique Littlewood–Richardson tableau of shape ν/μ and content λ :



Now, from a Littlewood–Richardson tableau T of shape ν/μ and content λ one can easily obtain its *companion tableau* c(T) by placing in the k-th row of the Young diagram of λ the numbers of the rows of T containing an entry k.

Example 3.2. For T as in Example 3.1, we have

$$c(T) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}}{2}$$
 and also $T_{\mu} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{2}$, $w(T_{\mu}) = 1112$, $w(T) = 21123$.

Note that $w(T_{\mu}) * w(T) = 111221123$ is a Yamanouchi word.

The companion tableau c(T) of T is a μ -dominant semi-standard Young tableau of shape ν and weight $\nu - \mu$. In fact, it is well known that the companion map induces a bijection

$$c: \operatorname{LR}(\nu/\mu, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{LR}_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu}$$
.

3.1. Representation theory and symmetry of LR-coefficients. The set of dominant integral weights for the special linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of integer partitions ν for which $\ell(\nu) \leq 2n - 1$. Therefore the irreducible finite-dimensional highest weight representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are indexed by partitions ν for which $\ell(\nu) \leq 2n - 1$. Let $V(\nu)$ be the finite-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ module indexed by ν . For partitions λ and μ whose length is at most 2n - 1, the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients are the tensor product multiplicities defining the branching

$$V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\nu} V(\nu)^{\bigoplus c_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu}}.$$

By the symmetry of tensor products, it is then clear that $c_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu} = c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$; this property is called the *symmetry of Littlewood–Richardson coefficients*. In this work, we will recurr to a bijection $LR_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu} \xleftarrow{U} LR_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ from [KRV21] via the *hive model* (cf. § 4).

3.2. Branching models. The set of irreducible finite-dimensional highest weight representations for the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are indexed by partitions μ for which $\ell(\mu) \leq n$. Let $V^{\sigma}(\mu)$ denote the simple $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ module of highest weight μ . From now on, in this section, we fix ν to be a partition with at most 2n-1 parts and μ a partition with at most n parts. Consider the branching of $V(\nu)$ after restriction to $\mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$:

$$\operatorname{res}_{\mathfrak{sp}(2n,\mathbb{C})}^{\mathfrak{sl}(2n,\mathbb{C})}V(\nu) = \bigoplus_{\mu} V^{\sigma}(\mu)^{\bigoplus c_{\mu}^{\nu}}.$$

A partition λ is even if $\lambda_{2i-1} = \lambda_{2i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let λ be an even partition.

3.2.1. Sundaram's branching model. We say that a Littlewood-Richardson tableau of shape ν/μ and content λ satisfies the Sundaram property, if, for each $i = 0, ..., \frac{1}{2}\ell(\lambda)$, the entry 2i + 1 appears in row n + i or above in the Young diagram of ν . We denote the set of $T \in LR(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$ satisfying the Sundaram property by $LRS(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$.

Example 3.3. Let n = 3. The tableau in Example 3.1 satisfies the Sundaram condition, but



does not.

The following theorem is due to Sundaram.

Theorem 3.4. [Sun86, Theorem 12.1] The branching coefficient c^{ν}_{μ} equals the cardinality of the set

$$LRS(\nu, \mu) := [] LRS(\nu/\mu, \lambda),$$

where the union is taken over all even partitions λ .

3.2.2. Kwon's branching model. A tableau of shape μ (recall that $\ell(\mu) \leq n$) is said to satisfy the Kwon property if the entries in row *i* are at least 2i-1, for i = 1, ..., n. Denote the subset of $LR^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$ consisting of tableaux *T* such that their evacuation S(T) satisfies the Kwon property by $LRK^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$.

The following theorem is a reformulation of Kwon's branching rule by Lecouvey–Lenart [LL20, Lemma 6.11].

Theorem 3.5. [Kwo18a, LL20] The branching coefficient c^{ν}_{μ} equals the cardinality of the set

$$LRK(\nu,\mu) := \bigcup LRK_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu},$$

where, the union is taken over all even partitions λ .

3.3. Main result. We state our main theorem below.

Theorem 3.6. The composition

$$LR(\nu/\mu,\lambda) \xrightarrow{c} LR^{\nu}_{\mu,\lambda} \xrightarrow{U} LR^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$$

restricts to a bijection

$$LRS(\nu/\mu, \lambda) \rightarrow LRK^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu},$$

where $U : LR^{\nu}_{\mu,\lambda} \to LR^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the bijection by Kushwaha–Raghavan–Viswanath [KRV21]. (In fact, $U = \text{rect} \circ C \circ \hat{P} \circ \varphi$; see Section 4 for notation). Therefore, the above composition induces a bijection between LRS (ν, μ) and LRK (ν, μ) .

In [LL20], Lenart–Lecouvey conjectured a very similar bijection, induced by the composition

$$\operatorname{LR}(\nu/\mu,\lambda) \xrightarrow{c} \operatorname{LR}^{\nu}_{\mu,\lambda} \xrightarrow{U'} \operatorname{LR}^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu},$$

where U' is the symmetry defined by Henriques–Kamnitzer, also known as the combinatorial R-matrix.

We prove Theorem 3.6 in Section 4 and as a byproduct, we obtain a new branching model in terms of the *flagged hive model* (see Remark 4.8).

4. The flagged hive model

In this section, we recollect the notions of Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns, hives and their connections with tableaux and use it to prove Theorem 3.6.

4.1. **Gelfand-Tsetlin Patterns.** Fix a positive integer m. A Gelfand-Tsetlin (GT) pattern P is a triangular array of numbers $(p_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \le i \le m, \\ 1 \le j \le i}}$ such that

$$p_{i+1,j} \ge p_{ij} \ge p_{i+1,j+1} \tag{3}$$

for all appropriate values of i and j.





The inequalities in (3) implies that $P_k := (p_{k,1}, p_{k,2}, \ldots, p_{k,k}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is weakly decreasing $(1 \le k \le m)$. This in turn implies that an integral GT pattern P (i.e., $p_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$) is in fact a sequence of partitions $(0) \subset P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \ldots \subset P_m$ such that the length of P_k is at most k and the successive quotients P_{k+1}/P_k are horizontal strips $(1 \le k \le m - 1)$. Recall from Section 2 that this defines a semi-standard Young tableau of shape P_m . We denote this semi-standard tableau by T(P). Note that this map defines a bijection between GT patterns and semi-standard Young tableaux. Given a semi-standard Young tableau R, we will denote the associated GT pattern by GT(R).

Given an integral GT pattern P, one could also define a contretableau C(P) as follows:

First, let k denote the largest part of P_m (i.e., $k = p_{m,1}$). Then the sequence of partitions $\mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(P_m) \subset \mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(P_{m-1}) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(P_1) \subset \mathbf{k}$ defines a contretableau, where $\operatorname{rev}(\gamma)$ denotes $(\gamma_m, \ldots, \gamma_1)$, the reverse of $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$ and \mathbf{k} denotes the partition (k, \ldots, k) with m parts. This is because, if λ and μ are partitions with $\mu \subset \lambda$ then and if $k \geq \lambda_1$ then $\mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(\lambda) \subset \mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(\mu)$; moreover, it is easy to see with help of Young diagrams that if λ/μ is a horizontal strip, then so is $\mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(\mu)/\mathbf{k} - \operatorname{rev}(\lambda)$.

Example 4.1. Let P be the GT pattern appearing in Figure 1. We have m = 4, and $P_4 = (6, 3, 2, 0), P_3 = (4, 3, 0, 0), P_2 = (3, 1, 0, 0), P_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. Then

T(P) =	1	9	2	2	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1	2	2	5	4	4	a = 1 C(D)	0	0	0	0	1	1
	2 4	১ 4	3				and $C(P) =$	0	0	0	2	2	3
	4	4						1	1	2	3	3	4

Recall the Schützenberger involution S on semi-standard Young tableaux defined in Section 2. It is well-known that this operation coincides with the Lusztig– Schützenberger involution in the context of crystals [Sch72, Gan80, BZ96]. Note that the contents of T(P) and C(P) are reverses of each other. In fact, they are related by the Schützenberger involution. This is made precise in Proposition 4.2 below.

Proposition 4.2. Given a GT pattern P, the tableau and contretableau associated with it are swapped by the Schützenberger involution, that is S(T(P)) = rect(C(P)).

Proof. Let P be a GT pattern of size m. It follows from the definitions of T(P) and C(P) that the reverse reading word of T(P), respectively the reverse reading word of C(P), are obtained from the NE diagonals of P in the following way. The *i*-th NE diagonal of P, $p_{m,i}, ..., p_{i,i}$ determines the entries in the *i*-th row of T(P) read from right to left, respectively the entries in the m - i-th row of C(P) read from left to right. This is done very simply: the number of j's in row i of T(P), respectively the number of m - j's in row m - i of C(P), is given by $p_{j,i} - p_{j-1,i}$, working with the convention that $p_{i,j} = 0$ whenever j < i.

4.2. Hive polytopes. Fix a positive integer m. A m + 1-triangular grid as in Figure 2 will be called a m-hive triangle.



FIGURE 2. The 4-hive triangle

Observe that the unit rhombi in a m-hive triangle are of three kinds based on their orientation:



A *m*-hive is a labelling of the m + 1-hive triangle such that the content of each small rhombus is positive. Here, the *content* of a small rhombus is the sum of



FIGURE 3.

the labels on its obtuse-angled nodes minus the sum of the labels on its acuteangled nodes (in Figure 5, the content of the displayed NE rhombus would be $h_{i,j}h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i+1,j}h_{i,j+1}$).

Given partitions λ, μ and ν with at most m non-zero parts, the hive polytope $\operatorname{Hive}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ is the set of all m-hives with the boundaries labelled as in Figure 3 (left).

4.2.1. Hives and LR coefficients.

Theorem 4.3. [Buc00, KT99] The LR coefficient $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ is given by the number of integral points in the hive polytope Hive (λ, μ, ν) .

We present here the bijective map $\varphi : LR_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu} \longrightarrow Hive(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ for the comfort of the reader. Let $R \in LR_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$. We first compute the GT pattern GT(R) defined by R. Next, we obtain a new m + 1 triangular arrangement of numbers

$$GT(R)^p := (a_{i,j})_{\substack{0 \le i \le m, \\ 0 \le j \le i}}$$

defined by taking partial sums along the rows of GT(R) i.e., define $a_{0,0} := 0$ and for $1 \le i \le m$, define $a_{i,j} := \sum_{k=1}^{j} p_{i,k}$, where $p_{i,j}$ are the entries of the GT pattern

9

GT(R). Now let $\lambda^p = (\lambda_0^p, \lambda_1^p, ..., \lambda_m^p)$ denote the m + 1 vector consisting of partial sums for λ , i.e., $\lambda_j^p = \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i^p$. The hive $\varphi(R)$ is obtained from $GT(R)^p$ by adding λ_j^p to each entry of $GT(R)^p$ that has the form $a_{i,j}$. That is,

$$\varphi(R) := (a_{i,j} + \lambda_j^p)_{\substack{0 \le i \le m, \\ 0 \le j \le i}}$$

Example 4.4. Let m = 6, $\nu = (5, 4, 3, 3, 0, 0)$, $\lambda = (2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\mu = (4, 3, 2, 2, 0, 0)$, and let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 3 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{LR}(\nu/\lambda, \mu), \text{ whose companion tableau is } c(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we have GT(T) and $GT(T)^p$ are given by



The partial sums vector for λ is (0, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4). We therefore proceed to add the entries of the partial sums vector to $GT(T)^p$ to get the hive $\varphi(c(T))$, that is, we add 0 to the first row, 2 to the second row, 3 to the third row, and 4 to the 5-th, 6-th and 7-th rows:

4.2.2. Flagged hives. A flag $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_m)$ is a weakly increasing *m*-tuple of positive integers such that $i \leq \phi_i \leq m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. The flagged hive polytope, denoted by $\operatorname{Hive}(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \phi)$, corresponding to a flag ϕ is defined to be the set of all hives in $\operatorname{Hive}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ for which given any k, the contents of the first $m - \phi_k$ northeast rhombi in the k^{th} (slanted) column are 0.

See Figure 4 for an illustration of the set of all northeast rhombi (shaded in the figure) determined by the flag (2,3,3,4) whose contents are all required to be 0.



FIGURE 4. The region corresponding to the flag $\phi = (2, 3, 3, 4)$ for a 4-hive.

Given a partition λ and a flag ϕ , we define the set of flagged tableaux SSYT (λ, ϕ) to be the set of all semi-standard Young tableaux of shape λ such that each entry in the k^{th} row is at most ϕ_k , for all k.

Proposition 4.5. [KRV21] For partitions λ, μ, ν with at most m parts, the set of μ -dominant flagged tableaux of shape λ with flag ϕ and weight $\nu - \mu$ (i.e., $LR^{\nu}_{\mu,\lambda} \cap SSYT(\lambda, \phi)$) is enumerated by the number of integral points in Hive $(\mu, \lambda, \nu, \phi)$.

4.3. Hives and GT patterns. Given a hive $h = (h_{i,j})$, one can obtain a GT pattern $P(h) := p = (p_{i,j})$ by taking the successive differences along the rows (i.e., $p_{i,j} := h_{i+1,j+1} - h_{i+1,j}$). The assumption that the contents of the northeast and southeast rhombi of h are non-negative translates to the GT inequalities in (3).

One could also take the northeast differences and get a GT pattern $\hat{P}(h)$ out of it in a similar fashion (i.e., $\hat{p}_{i,j} := h_{m-i+j,m-i} - h_{m-i+j-1,m-i}$). In this case, the contents of the northeast and vertical rhombi of h being non-negative translates to the GT inequalities in (3)

Proposition 4.6. [KRV21, Proposition 4], [Buc00, Appendix A]

Let $h \in \operatorname{Hive}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be an integral hive. Then,

- (1) T(P(h)) is a λ -dominant tableau of shape μ and weight $\nu \lambda$.
- (2) $C(\hat{P}(h))$ is a μ -dominant contretableau of shape λ and weight $\nu \mu$.

In fact, the map $T \circ P$ (resp. $C \circ \hat{P}$) is a bijection from $\operatorname{Hive}(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ onto $LR^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$ (resp. the set of μ -dominant contretableaux of shape λ and weight $\nu - \lambda$).

4.4. **Proof of the main theorem.** Fix m = 2n in what follows and let λ, μ and ν be partitions such that length of ν is at most 2n - 1, length of μ is at most n and λ is even.

Proposition 4.7. The image of $LRS(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$ under the companion map c is the set of μ -dominant tableaux in $SSYT(\lambda, \phi)$ of weight $\nu - \mu$ where $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{2n})$ is the flag for which $\phi_k = n + \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$.

Proof. The image is a μ -dominant tableau of shape λ and weight $\nu - \mu$ is known already (see § 3). The Sundaram condition precisely translates under the map c to the fact that given any positive integer t, the entries in rows 2t + 1 are bounded above by n+t. The remaining bounds follow from the fact that c(T) is μ -dominant and μ has at most n parts.

Remark 4.8. By Proposition 4.5, we see that $\text{LRS}(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\text{Hive}(\mu, \lambda, \nu, \phi)$ where ϕ is as in Proposition 4.7. This gives a new combinatorial model for the branching coefficients c_{λ}^{ν} , namely, the set of integral points in the (disjoint) union of the flagged hive polytopes $\bigcup \text{Hive}(\mu, \lambda, \nu, \phi)$ where, the union is over all even partitions λ .

Proposition 4.9. Given any $h \in \text{Hive}(\mu, \lambda, \nu, \phi)$, we have $\text{rect}(C(\hat{P}(h))) \in \text{LRK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$.

Proof. It is a well-known fact that the Knuth relations preserve μ dominance. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 4.6 that $\operatorname{rect}(C(\hat{P}(h))) \in \operatorname{LR}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$. By Proposition 4.2 it is enough to prove that $T(\hat{P}(h))$ satisfies the Kwon property. Ob-



FIGURE 5.

serve that a flat NE rhombus like the one in Figure 5 gives rise to the equality $\hat{p}_{n-j,i-j} = \hat{p}_{n-j+1,i-j+1}$ because the content of the NE rhombus is $h_{i,j} - h_{i+1,j} - (h_{i,j+1} - h_{i+1,j+1}) = \hat{p}_{n-j,i-j} - \hat{p}_{n-j+1,i-j+1} = 0$. Also, we have $\hat{p}_{2n,n+t} = 0$ for all positive integers t (because μ has at most n parts). These relations in $\hat{P}(h)$ translate exactly to the Kwon condition on $T(\hat{P}(h))$.

We now restate and prove Theorem 3.6:

Theorem 4.10. The following map is a bijection:

$$\operatorname{rect} \circ C \circ P \circ \varphi \circ c : \operatorname{LRS}(\nu/\mu, \lambda) \longrightarrow \operatorname{LRK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}.$$
(4)

Proof. Since all the maps involved here are invertible, it is enough to show that the image is a subset of $\text{LRK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$. By Proposition 4.7 the companion map c induces a bijection between $\text{LRS}(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$ and $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, \phi) \cap \text{LR}_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu}$. By Proposition 4.5, the map φ induces a bijection between $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, \phi) \cap \text{LR}_{\mu,\lambda}^{\nu}$ and $\text{Hive}(\mu, \lambda, \nu, \phi)$. Finally apply Proposition 4.9.



FIGURE 6. A flagged hive h and the corresponding GT pattern $\hat{P}(h)$.

We work out our bijection for an example in detail:

Example 4.11. Let n = 3 and

$$T = \frac{\begin{array}{c|ccccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \in \mathrm{LRS}(\nu/\mu, \lambda)$$

where $\nu = (5, 4, 3, 3), \mu = (2, 1, 1)$, and $\lambda = (4, 4, 2, 1)$. Then the companion tableau c(T) is given by

$$c(T) = \frac{\begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 4 \\ \end{array}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}} \in \mathrm{LR}^{\nu}_{\mu,\lambda}$$

The corresponding hive $h := \varphi(c(T))$ and GT pattern $\hat{P}(h)$ turn out to be, respectively:

14 15 $\mathbf{2}$

The Young tableau and the contretableau corresponding to $\hat{P}(h)$ are

$$T(\hat{P}(h)) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C(\hat{P}(h)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, the rectification of the contretableau $C(\hat{P}(h))$ is

$$\operatorname{rect}(C(\hat{P}(h)))) = \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{matrix}} \in LRK^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$$

5. Acknowledgements

J.T. was supported by the grant SONATA NCN UMO-2021/43/D/ST1/02290 and partially supported by the grant MAESTRO NCN-UMO-2019/34/A/ST1/00263.

References

[Buc00]	Anders Skovsted Buch. The saturation conjecture (after A. Knutson and T. Tao). Enseign Math. (2), 46(1-2):43–60, 2000 With an appendix by William Fulton.
[BZ96]	Arkady Berenstein and Andrei Zelevinsky. Canonical bases for the quantum group
[12200]	of type a r and piecewise-linear combinatorics. 1996.
[Ful97]	William Fulton. Young tableaux, volume 35 of London Mathematical Society Student
	Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With applications to represen-
	tation theory and geometry.
[Gan 80]	Emden R Gansner. On the equality of two plane partition correspondences. <i>Discrete</i> Mathematics, 30(2):121–132, 1980.
[HK06]	André Henriques and Joel Kampitzer. The octahedron recurrence and gln crystals
[III100]	Advances in Mathematics 206(1):211–249 2006
[KRSKV24]	Siddheswar Kundu KN Baghavan V Sathish Kumar and Sankaran Viswanath Sat-
[1110511+21]	uration for flagged skew littlewood-richardson coefficients. Algebraic Combinatorics
	7(3):659–678 2024
[KRV21]	Mrigendra Singh Kushwaha, K. N. Baghayan, and Sankaran Viswanath. The satura-
[]	tion problem for refined Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. Sém. Lothar. Combin.
	85B:Art. 52, 12, 2021.
[KT99]	Allen Knutson and Terence Tao. The honeycomb model of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ tensor products.
]	I. Proof of the saturation conjecture. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12(4):1055–1090, 1999.
[Kwo18a]	Jae-Hoon Kwon. Combinatorial extension of stable branching rules for classical
	groups. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 370(9):6125–6152, 2018.
[Kwo18b]	Jae-Hoon Kwon. Lusztig data of kashiwara-nakashima tableaux in types b and c.
	Journal of Algebra, 503:222–264, 2018.
[Lit44]	Dudley Ernest Littlewood. On invariant theory under restricted groups. <i>Philosophical</i>
	Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical
	Sciences, 239(809):387-417, 1944.
[Lit77]	Dudley Ernest Littlewood. The theory of group characters and matrix representations
	of groups, volume 357. American Mathematical Soc., 1977.
[LL20]	Cédric Lecouvey and Cristian Lenart. Combinatorics of generalized exponents. In-
	ternational Mathematics Research Notices, 2020(16):4942–4992, 2020.
[Sch72]	Marcel Paul Schützenberger. Promotion des morphismes d'ensembles ordonnés. Dis-
	crete Mathematics, 2(1):73–94, 1972.
[ST18]	Bea Schumann and Jacinta Torres. A non-levi branching rule in terms of littelmann
	paths. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 117(5):1077–1100, 2018.
[Sun86]	Sheila Sundaram. On the combinatorics of representations of Sp (2n, C). PhD thesis,
	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1986.
[TKA18]	Itaru Terada, Ronald C King, and Olga Azenhas. The symmetry of littlewood-
	richardson coefficients: A new hive model involutory bijection. SIAM Journal on

Discrete Mathematics, 32(4):2850–2899, 2018.

HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, PRAYAGRAJ, INDIA *Email address*: vsathishkumar@hri.res.in

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY IN KRAKÓW, POLAND Email address: jacinta.torres@uj.edu.pl