

# Conjugation, loop and closure invariants of the iterated-integrals signature

Joscha Diehl (Greifswald University), Rosa Preiß (TU Berlin),  
Jeremy Reizenstein (Meta AI)

December 27, 2024

Given a feature set for the shape of a closed loop, it is natural to ask which features in that set do not change when the starting point of the path is moved. For example, in two dimensions, the area enclosed by the path does not depend on the starting point. In the present article, we characterize such loop invariants among all those features known as iterated integrals of a given path. Furthermore, we relate these to conjugation invariants, which are a canonical object of study when treating (tree reduced) paths as a group with multiplication given by the concatenation. Finally, closure invariants are a third class in this context which is of particular relevance when studying piecewise linear trajectories, e.g. given by linear interpolation of time series.

**KEYWORDS.** invariant features; concatenation of paths; combinatorial necklaces; shuffle algebra; free Lie algebra; signed area; signed volume; tree-like equivalence.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Acknowledgements . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Conjugation invariants</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Characterizing conjugation invariants . . . . .	5
2.2	Examples . . . . .	9
2.2.1	Steps . . . . .	9
2.2.2	Signed volume . . . . .	10
2.3	Shuffle structure . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Loop invariants</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Characterizing loop invariants . . . . .	13

<b>4</b>	<b>Closure invariants</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Outlook</b>	<b>28</b>

## 1 Introduction

Finite-dimensional curves are a fundamental object of study in both theoretical and applied mathematics. Invariants of such curves are relevant both from theoretical and practical perspectives.

Invariants with respect to group actions on the ambient space, which are often relevant in data science [MA17], have been studied through the lens of iterated-integrals in [Che58, DR19, DPRT23].

When acting on the curve itself, the most well-known invariance built into the signature is the reparametrization invariance [FV10, Proposition 7.10]; see also [DEFT20] for related invariance to “time-warping” in the case of discrete time series.

In the current work we consider invariance under certain operations on the curves, namely

- conjugation of paths (this corresponds to conjugation of group elements)  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  **conjugation invariants** (Section 2),
- cyclic “permutation” of loops  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  **loop invariants** (Section 3), and
- closure of paths  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  **closure invariants** (Section 4).

### Notation

- The **tensor algebra** over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$T(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n},$$

and the product space

$$T((\mathbb{R}^d)) := \prod_{n \geq 0} T_n(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Both come equipped with the **concatenation product**  $\bullet$  (i.e. the tensor product), which we sometimes simply write as  $xy = x \bullet y$ , as well as the **shuffle product**  $\sqcup$ . See for example [Reu93]. The coproduct dual to the concatenation product is denoted  $\Delta_\bullet$ , the **deconcatenation**.

On  $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$  we use the Lie bracket  $[x, y] := xy - yx$ .

For example

$$\Delta_{\bullet}143 = e \otimes 143 + 1 \otimes 43 + 14 \otimes 3 + 143 \otimes e.$$

where  $e$  denotes the empty word.

Write  $\pi_n$  for the projection onto  $T_n := T_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , on either space. Write  $T_{\leq n}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq n} T_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

We use the following bilinear pairing of  $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\langle \sum_w c_w w, v \rangle := c_v.$$

An element  $g \in T((\mathbb{R}^d))$  is **grouplike** if for all  $\phi, \psi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle \langle g, \psi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \sqcup \psi \rangle.$$

Endowed with the commutator of the concatenation product,  $[x, y] := xy - yx$ ,  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a Lie algebra. The sub-Lie algebra generated by  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is denote  $\mathbf{FL}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Its elements are called **Lie polynomials**. It can be shown to be the **free Lie algebra over**  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . It is graded  $\mathbf{FL}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{FL}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and its formal completion  $\prod_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{FL}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called the space of **Lie series**.

- Concatenation of curves  $A, B$  with matching end- and startpoints is denoted  $A \sqcup B$ .
- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  the non-negative integers.

## 1.1 Acknowledgements

For all AXXXXXX-denoted integer sequences, we refer to the OEIS [OEI24]. R.P. acknowledges support from DFG CRC/TRR 388 “Rough Analysis, Stochastic Dynamics and Related Fields”, Project A04. R.P. would like to thank Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, Xi Geng and Michael Joswig for interesting suggestions. Furthermore, R.P. thanks Felix Lotter for the collaboration on the project [LP24], which gave valuable impulses for the present article, as well as acknowledges a guest status at MPI MiS Leipzig that facilitated the collaboration. J.D. acknowledges support from DFG (Project 539875438, SPP “Combinatorial Synergies”).

## 2 Conjugation invariants

We consider the groupoid of smooth paths in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  modulo thin homotopy (alternatively: up to reparametrization and tree-like equivalence, [BGLY16]). Paths  $A, B$  with fitting end- and start-point can be concatenated, denoted by  $A \sqcup B$ , and every path has an inverse  $A^{-1}$  which is (the equivalence class of) the path run backwards. The iterated-integrals signature  $\text{sig}$  is a well-defined function from this groupoid to  $T((\mathbb{R}^d))$ . For a




Figure 2.1: Two conjugate paths. Their signatures will agree on the conjugation invariants. It can clearly be seen that their signed areas are different, so  $\mathbf{12} - \mathbf{21}$  is not a conjugation invariant.

path  $B : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is defined recursively on its components by  $\langle \mathbf{sig}(B), \mathbf{e} \rangle = 1$  and, for any letter  $i$  and any word  $w$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{sig}(B), wi \rangle = \int_{t=a}^{t=b} \langle \mathbf{sig}(B|_{[a,t]}), w \rangle dB^i(t)$ . An introduction to signatures is given by [CK16]. It is from this signature object that we seek to find invariants.

**Definition 2.1.** An element  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called a **conjugation invariant** if for any two paths  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{sig}(A), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(B \sqcup A \sqcup B^{-1}), \phi \rangle$ . Equivalently, if for any two paths  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{sig}(A \sqcup B), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(B \sqcup A), \phi \rangle$ .

For example, single letters are conjugation invariant, since conjugate paths have the same total displacement. Alternatively, this follows because addition of the displacement vectors is commutative. The shuffle of conjugation invariants is conjugation invariant, for similar reasons as in [DR19], and obviously conjugation invariants form a linear space. Hence, conjugation invariants form a (graded) subalgebra of  $(T(\mathbb{R}^d), \sqcup)$ . We write

$$\text{ConjInv} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{ConjInv}_n,$$

for this graded subalgebra.

In Figure 2.1, two two-dimensional paths which are conjugate are shown. It is evident that the signed area of these two paths differs, hence  $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{12} - \mathbf{21})$  is *not* a conjugation invariant.

**Definition 2.2.** Given a word  $w$ , the element  $\text{rot}(w) \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the sum of its “rotations”, i.e. cyclic permutations.

**Remark 2.3.** This is related to Poincaré’s concept of equipollent, [TT99, Theorem 3.3].

For example

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rot}(1) &= 1 \\
\text{rot}(11) &= 2 \cdot 11 \\
\text{rot}(12) &= 12 + 21 \\
\text{rot}(122) &= 122 + 212 + 221 \\
\text{rot}(1212) &= 2(1212 + 2121)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for a word  $w$ , all the words occurring in  $\text{rot } w$  have the same coefficient, which is  $\text{rep}(w)$ , the number of times  $w$  contains its smallest repeating pattern.

$$\text{rep}(w) := \max \{n \mid \exists v : w = v^n\}$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Given a word  $w$ ,  $\text{rot}(w)$  is a conjugation invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $B$  be paths. Chen's identity ([FV10, Theorem 7.11]) states that for any word  $w$ ,

$$\langle \text{sig}(A \sqcup B), w \rangle = \sum_{uv=w} \langle \text{sig}(A), u \rangle \langle \text{sig}(B), v \rangle \quad (1)$$

Let  $w$  be a word. If the word  $v$  occurs in  $\text{rot}(w)$ , then any rotation of  $v$  will as well.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \text{sig}(A \sqcup B), \text{rot}(w) \rangle &= \text{rep}(w) \sum_{uv \text{ occurs in } \text{rot}(w)} \langle \text{sig}(A), u \rangle \langle \text{sig}(B), v \rangle \\
&= \text{rep}(w) \sum_{vu \text{ occurs in } \text{rot}(w)} \langle \text{sig}(A), u \rangle \langle \text{sig}(B), v \rangle \\
&= \langle \text{sig}(B \sqcup A), \text{rot}(w) \rangle \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.5.** *If  $v$  and  $w$  are words, then  $v$  occurs in  $\text{rot}(w)$  if and only if  $\text{rot}(v) = \text{rot}(w)$ . Thus the relation given by*

$$v \sim w \quad \text{if } v \text{ occurs in } \text{rot}(w)$$

*is an equivalence relation. Values of the form  $\text{rot}(w)$  for words  $w$  of length  $m$  correspond to  $m$ -ary necklaces ([Reu93, Chapter 7]). Their count is the sum of the dimensions of the graded parts of the free Lie algebra,  $\text{FL}_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $k$  dividing  $m$ , which correspond to aperiodic necklaces.*

## 2.1 Characterizing conjugation invariants

**Proposition 2.6.** *For an element  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the following are equivalent*

Level	signature	logsignature	conjugation	minimal $\sqcup$ -generators of conj. inv.
	elements	elements A001037	invariants A000031	
1	2	2	2	2
2	4 (6)	1 (3)	3 (5)	0 (2)
3	8 (14)	2 (5)	4 (9)	0 (2)
4	16 (30)	3 (8)	6 (15)	1 (3)
5	32 (62)	6 (14)	8 (23)	0 (3)
6	64 (126)	9 (23)	14 (37)	4 (7)

Table 2.1: Sizes of various sets for two-dimensional paths, along with cumulative sums, ignoring level 0. Up to level 6 the algebra appears free (the last column is equal to the Euler transform of the first column).

Level	signature	logsignature	conjugation	minimal $\sqcup$ -generators of conj. inv.
	elements	elements A027376	invariants A001867	
1	3	3	3	3
2	9 (12)	3 (6)	6 (9)	0
3	27 (39)	8 (14)	11 (20)	1
4	81 (120)	18 (32)	24 (44)	6
5	243 (363)	48 (80)	51 (95)	6
6	729 (1092)	116 (196)	130 (225)	38

Table 2.2: Sizes of various sets for three-dimensional paths, along with cumulative sums, ignoring level 0. The algebra is not free: if it were, the 38 in the last row would be a 37.

1.  $\phi$  is conjugation invariant.
2. For all grouplike elements  $g, h$

$$\langle hgh^{-1}, \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle.$$

3. For all Lie polynomials  $L$  and all elements  $q \in T_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\langle [L, q], \phi \rangle = 0. \quad (2)$$

4. For every letter  $\mathbf{i}$  and all elements  $q \in T_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\langle [\mathbf{i}, q], \phi \rangle = 0. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* “1.  $\Leftrightarrow$  2.”: This follows from the fact that

$$\text{sig}(B \sqcup A \sqcup B^{-1}) = \text{sig}(B) \text{sig}(A) \text{sig}(B)^{-1},$$

and the fact that  $\text{sig}(B)$  is grouplike.

“1.  $\Rightarrow$  2.”: By assumption, there is some  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$\phi \in T_{\leq n}(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (4)$$

Let  $g, h$  be given grouplikes. By the Chow/Chen theorem ([FV10, Theorem 7.28]), there exist piecewise smooth paths  $B, A$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\leq n} \text{sig}(B) &= \pi_{\leq n} h \\ \pi_{\leq n} \text{sig}(A) &= \pi_{\leq n} g. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using (4) repeatedly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle hgh^{-1}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \pi_{\leq n}(hgh^{-1}), \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_{\leq n}(h)\pi_{\leq n}(g)\pi_{\leq n}(h^{-1}), \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_{\leq n}(\text{sig}(B))\pi_{\leq n}(\text{sig}(A))\pi_{\leq n}(\text{sig}(B)^{-1}), \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(B) \text{sig}(A) \text{sig}(B)^{-1}, \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(A), \phi \rangle \\ &= \langle g, \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

1.  $\Rightarrow$  3.: Let  $L, g$  be given. Then, by 2., for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\langle \exp(tL)g \exp(-tL), \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle.$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $t$ , at  $t = 0$ , we deduce

$$\langle Lg - gL, \phi \rangle = 0,$$

for any grouplike element  $g$ . Since grouplike elements span (level by level) the space  $T_{\geq 1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with zero constant term ([DR19, Lemma 3.4]) the result follows.

1.  $\Leftarrow$  3.: Let  $h, g$  grouplike be given. By 2. it suffices to show

$$\langle hgh^{-1}, \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle.$$

Let  $L := \log h$  and consider

$$f(t) := \langle \exp(tL)g \exp(-tL), \phi \rangle.$$

Then

$$f'(t) = \langle L \exp(tL)g \exp(-tL) - \exp(tL)g \exp(-tL)L, \phi \rangle =: \langle Lg_t - g_tL, \phi \rangle,$$

with  $g_t$  grouplike. Hence, by assumption,  $f'(t) = 0$  for every  $t$ . Hence

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle = f(0) = f(1) = \langle hgh^{-1}, \phi \rangle.$$

The equivalence of the final statement follows from Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\mathbf{FL}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the free Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then*

$$[\mathbf{FL}(\mathbb{R}^d), T(\mathbb{R}^d)] = [\mathbb{R}^d, T(\mathbb{R}^d)].$$

*Proof.* Any  $L$  can be written as a linear combination of "right-combs"  $[\mathbf{i}_1, [\mathbf{i}_2, \dots [\mathbf{i}_{n-1}, \mathbf{i}_n] \dots]]$ , and for such an element and  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , by the Jacobi identity,

$$[[\mathbf{i}_1, [\mathbf{i}_2, \dots [\mathbf{i}_{n-1}, \mathbf{i}_n] \dots]], \phi] = [[[\mathbf{i}_2, \dots [\mathbf{i}_{n-1}, \mathbf{i}_n] \dots], [\phi, \mathbf{i}_1]] + [[\phi, [\mathbf{i}_2, \dots [\mathbf{i}_{n-1}, \mathbf{i}_n] \dots]], \mathbf{i}_1].$$

The second term is in  $[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d] = [\mathbb{R}^d, T(\mathbb{R}^d)]$  and the first is in  $[\mathbf{FL}_{\leq n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), T(\mathbb{R}^d)]$  where  $\mathbf{FL}_{\leq n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \mathbf{FL}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap T_{\leq n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By induction the claim follows.  $\square$

This leads to another proof of Proposition 2.4.

**Proposition 2.8.** *For any word  $w$ , for any  $M, N \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\langle [M, N], \text{rot}(w) \rangle = 0.$$

*In particular:  $\text{rot}(w)$  is a conjugation invariant.*

*Proof.* It is enough to verify this for  $M$  a word of length  $m$ ,  $N$  a word of length  $n$  with  $m + n = |w|$ , and  $MN$  (and then also  $NM$ ) being a cyclic rotation of  $w$ . In this case

$$\langle MN, \text{rot}(w) \rangle = 1 = \langle NM, \text{rot}(w) \rangle,$$

which proves the first claim.

The second claim then follows from Proposition 2.6  $\square$



**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\text{shift}_m$  be the automorphism of words of length  $m$ , which performs a rotation taking the last letter to the front. This is extended linearly to an automorphism of level  $m$  of the tensor algebra. For example  $\text{shift}_3(231) = 123$  and

$$\text{shift}_5(12345 + 3 \cdot 12344) = 51234 + 3 \cdot 41234$$

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $\phi$  be a conjugation invariant at level  $m$ . Then  $\phi = \text{shift}_m(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.6, for any letter  $i$  and any  $\phi$  having zero constant term

$$\langle i\phi - \phi i, \phi \rangle = 0. \quad (5)$$

Write  $\phi = \sum c_w w$  for some coefficients  $c_w \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $w = w_1 \dots w_m$  any word and let  $\phi = w_2 \dots w_m i$ ,  $i = w_1$ . Then (5) implies

$$c_w = c_{w_2 \dots w_m w_1}.$$

Hence  $\phi = \text{shift}_m(\phi)$ . □

**Theorem 2.11.**

$$\text{ConjInv} = \text{im rot}.$$

*Proof.*  $\supseteq$ : Proposition 2.4.

$\subseteq$ : Using Proposition 2.10 it remains to show that for  $\phi \in T_m$ ,

$$\phi \in \text{im rot} \Leftrightarrow \phi = \text{shift}_m(\phi).$$

And indeed, “ $\Rightarrow$ ” is immediate. Regarding “ $\Leftarrow$ ”, we only have to note for  $\phi \in \pi_m \text{im rot}$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{shift}_m^i(\phi).$$

□

## 2.2 Examples

### 2.2.1 Steps

The first conjugation invariants in two dimensions which is not just a shuffle of letters is at level 4. A representative new invariant is  $\phi = 1212 + 2121$ . It is instructive to consider a piecewise linear path as an illustration. Take the path  $\gamma$  which travels  $a$  to the right,  $b$  up,  $c$  to the right and  $d$  up as shown in Figure 2.2. In this case  $\langle \text{sig}(\gamma), 2121 \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \text{sig}(\gamma), 1212 \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(\gamma), \phi \rangle = abcd$ .




Figure 2.2: The path  $\gamma$  which consists of four axis-aligned line segments.

### 2.2.2 Signed volume

For paths in more than two dimensions, the first conjugation invariants which are not just shuffles of letters occur at level 3, in the homogeneity class(es) which contain one each of three distinct letters. Specifically, using the first three letters,  $\text{rot}(123)$  and  $\text{rot}(132)$  are distinct conjugation invariants, but only their sum  $\text{rot}(123) + \text{rot}(132)$  is a shuffle of letters. Their difference, the new conjugation invariant  $\text{rot}(123) - \text{rot}(132)$ , is the signed volume as described in [DR19].

### 2.3 Shuffle structure

**Definition 2.12.** We call a finite subset  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\} \subset T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  algebraically independent, or shuffle independent, if there is no polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  other than  $P = 0$  such that  $P_{\sqcup}(s_1, \dots, s_m) = 0$ , where  $P_{\sqcup}$  is such that each multiplication in  $P$  is replaced by a shuffle product. An infinite subset  $S$  of  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called algebraically independent, or shuffle independent, if each finite subset of  $S$  is shuffle independent.

**Proposition 2.13.** *If  $d \geq 2$ , there are infinitely many shuffle-independent conjugation invariants in  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be arbitrary. We look at the axis parallel path with  $n$  steps  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $x$  direction and  $n$  steps of size 1 in  $y$  direction. Under the signature, the conjugation invariants

$$\text{rot}(1^{\bullet m+1}(21)^{\bullet n-1}2)$$

evaluate to

$$\frac{1}{n!} x_1 \cdots x_n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m$$

By algebraic independence of  $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m)_{m=1}^n$ , we obtain that there are at least  $n$  shuffle-algebraically-independent conjugation invariants.

Since  $n$  was arbitrary, by the basis exchange property for algebraic independence (see

for example [Mil22, Chapter 9]<sup>1</sup>), we thus conclude that there exists a set of infinitely many shuffle-algebraically-independent conjugation invariants.  $\square$

**Remark 2.14.** *For dimension 2 and up, the conjugation invariants are not free as a commutative algebra. Indeed, this can be verified by dimension counting.*

*For dimension 2 a relation appears on level 12, see Section 3.1.*

*For dimension 3 and 4 we provide explicit relations. For dimension 3, there is a relation on level 6 (see Table 2.2), given by*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2 \cdot 1 \sqcup 1 \sqcup \text{rot}(2233) + 2 \cdot 1 \sqcup 2 \sqcup \text{rot}(1323) - 2 \sqcup 2 \sqcup \text{rot}(1313) \\ &\quad - 4 \cdot 1 \sqcup 3 \sqcup \text{rot}(1223) - 4 \cdot 2 \sqcup 3 \sqcup \text{rot}(1132) + 2 \cdot 3 \sqcup 3 \sqcup \text{rot}(1122) \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot \text{rot}(132) \sqcup \text{rot}(132) - 2 \cdot 1 \sqcup 2 \sqcup 3 \sqcup \text{rot}(132) + 1 \sqcup 1 \sqcup 2 \sqcup 2 \sqcup 3 \sqcup 3. \end{aligned}$$

*For dimension 4, the following non-trivial relation from [AGRR21, p.496] (there, for certain cyclic quasisymmetric functions)*

$$1 \sqcup \text{vol}_3(2, 3, 4) - 2 \sqcup \text{vol}_3(1, 3, 4) + 3 \sqcup \text{vol}_3(1, 2, 4) - 4 \sqcup \text{vol}_3(1, 2, 3),$$

*holds, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}_3(a, b, c) &:= \text{rot}(abc) - \text{rot}(bac) \\ &= abc + bca + cab - bac - acb - cba \end{aligned}$$

*is the three-dimensional signed volume in the  $a - b - c$  subspace. This relation is comprehensible through the presentation of  $\text{vol}_3$  as*

$$\text{vol}_3(a, b, c) = a \sqcup (bc - cb) - b \sqcup (ac - ca) + c \sqcup (ab - ba)$$

### 3 Loop invariants

Loops form an important class of curves, in which the start- and endpoint coincide. They appear in topology [Hat02] and data science (contours of 2D objects, periodic time series [BKTdS14, Section 2.1.4]).

We are now interested in identifying the signature elements which are invariant for loops, i.e. closed paths, upon changing their starting points. Two closed paths  $A$  and  $B$  are said to **differ only by their starting point** if there exist paths  $C$  and  $D$  such that  $A$  and  $C \sqcup D$  are the same path and  $B$  and  $D \sqcup C$  are the same path. An example is shown in Figure 3.1.

---

<sup>1</sup>Algebraic independence is traditionally formulated in the language of field extensions. For this, consider the field of fractions of the shuffle algebra  $(T(\mathbb{R}^d), \sqcup)$  as a field extension of  $\mathbb{R}$ .




Figure 3.1: Two paths  $A$  and  $B$  which are loops differing only by starting point.  $A$  is the concatenation of a path  $C$  from  $a$  to  $b$  and a path  $D$  from  $b$  to  $a$ , while  $B$  is the concatenation of  $D$  with  $C$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A signature element  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called a **loop invariant** if for any two closed paths  $A$  and  $B$  which only differ by their starting point,  $\langle \text{sig}(A), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(B), \phi \rangle$ .

A signature element  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called a **conjugation invariant for loops** if for any closed path  $A$  and any path  $B$ ,  $\langle \text{sig}(A), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(B \sqcup A \sqcup B^{-1}), \phi \rangle$ .

The next statement shows that the two notions are equivalent.

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\phi$  is a conjugation invariant for loops if and only if  $\phi$  is a loop invariant.

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ : (The basic idea: notice that  $A$  and  $B$  in Figure 3.1 are conjugate paths.)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two closed paths which differ only by starting point. Then there exist paths  $C$  and  $D$  such that  $\text{sig}(A) = \text{sig}(C \sqcup D)$  and  $\text{sig}(B) = \text{sig}(D \sqcup C)$ . Then  $\text{sig}(B) = \text{sig}(D \sqcup A \sqcup D^{-1})$ .

$$\langle \text{sig}(B), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(D \sqcup A \sqcup D^{-1}), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(A), \phi \rangle$$

where the last step used that  $\phi$  is a conjugation invariant for loops.

$\Leftarrow$ : (The basic idea may be illustrated by Figure 3.2.)

Let  $A$  be a closed path and  $B$  be a path. We observe that  $B \sqcup A \sqcup B^{-1}$  is a closed path. We further observe that  $B \sqcup A \sqcup B^{-1}$  and  $A \sqcup B^{-1} \sqcup B$  are closed paths differing only by starting point. Therefore

$$\langle \text{sig}(B \sqcup A \sqcup B^{-1}), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(A \sqcup B^{-1} \sqcup B), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(A), \phi \rangle$$

where the last step used the fact that two tree-equivalent paths have the same signature.  $\square$

We denote

$$\text{LoopInv} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{LoopInv}_n,$$




Figure 3.2: A closed path  $A$  conjugated by a path  $B$ .

the graded subalgebra of loop invariants (which, by the previous statements is the same as the subalgebra of conjugation invariants for loops).

Clearly

$$\text{ConjInv} \subset \text{LoopInv},$$

and the inclusion is strict for  $d \geq 2$ , since for example the area [12–21](#) is a loop invariant but not a conjugation invariant.

### 3.1 Characterizing loop invariants

Analogously to the previous subsection, we get the following equivalence.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For an element  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the following are equivalent*

1.  $\phi$  is loop invariant
2. For all grouplikes  $h, g$  satisfying  $\langle g, i \rangle = 0$  for all letters  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , we have

$$\langle hgh^{-1}, \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle.$$

3. For all Lie polynomials  $L$  and all grouplikes  $g$  satisfying  $\langle g, i \rangle = 0$  for all letters  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , we have

$$\langle [L, g], \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Another characterization of loop invariants will be given in Theorem 3.10.

We now consider the linear span of those grouplike  $g$  satisfying  $\langle g, i \rangle = 0$  for all letters  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , used in Proposition 3.3.ii.

**Definition 3.4.** Let

$$V := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$$

$$V_n := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \pi_n g \mid g \text{ grouplike}, \langle g, i \rangle = 0 \text{ for all letters } i = 1, \dots, d \},$$

the “linear span of grouplike elements with zero increment” and

$$S := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} S_n, \quad S_n := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\mathbf{i} \sqcup T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid i = 1, \dots, d\},$$

the shuffle ideal generated by the letters.

**Lemma 3.5.** *With respect to the usual inner product (which declares words to be orthogonal)*

$$V_n^\perp = S_n, \quad V_n = S_n^\perp.$$

*Proof.* Since both  $V_n$  and  $S_n$  are finite-dimensional, and hence closed, subspaces, it is enough to show one of the equalities. We show the second.

Clearly

$$V_n \subset S_n^\perp, \tag{6}$$

since for every  $g$  with zero increments, any letter  $i$  and any word  $w$  with  $|w| = n - 1$ ,

$$\langle \pi_n g, \mathbf{i} \sqcup w \rangle = \langle g, \mathbf{i} \sqcup w \rangle = \langle g, \mathbf{i} \rangle \cdot_{\mathbb{R}} \langle g, w \rangle = 0.$$

We will now show  $T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) = S_n \oplus R_n$  for some space  $R_n$ , that satisfies

$$\forall r \in R_n \setminus \{0\} : \exists v \in V_n : \langle v, r \rangle \neq 0.$$

This gives

$$\dim V_n \geq \dim R_n = \dim T_n - \dim S_n = \dim S_n^\perp.$$

This, together with (6), implies  $V_n = S_n^\perp$ .

It remains to construct  $R_n$ . Consider the Lyndon basis of the free Lie algebra

$$P_h, \quad h \text{ Lyndon word,}$$

and the corresponding coordinates of the first kind

$$\zeta_h, \quad h \text{ Lyndon word,}$$

satisfying

$$\langle \exp\left(\sum_h c_h P_h\right), \zeta_w \rangle = c_w.$$

It is known, [DLPR21], that the coordinates of the first kind (freely) shuffle-generate the tensor algebra. Let

$$R_n := \pi_n \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\text{shuffle monomials in non-letter words}\}.$$

Then

$$R_n = \pi_n \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\text{shuffle monomials in non-letter coordinates of the first kind}\}.$$

Then clearly  $T_n = S_n \oplus R_n$ . For  $\zeta_{h_1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \zeta_{h_k}$  a monomial in non-letter coordinates of the first kind (with total degree  $n$ ) let

$$g := \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k P_{h_i}\right).$$

We then get

$$\langle \pi_n g, \zeta_{h_1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \zeta_{h_k} \rangle = \langle g, \zeta_{h_1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \zeta_{h_k} \rangle = \langle g, \zeta_{h_1} \rangle \cdots \langle g, \zeta_{h_k} \rangle = 1,$$

as desired □

**Proposition 3.6.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$V_n = \pi_n (\mathbb{R} \oplus \text{span}\{L_1 \cdots L_k | L_1, \dots, L_k \text{ non-letter Lie polynomials, } k \in \mathbb{N}\}).$$

*Proof.* Write  $W_n$  for the right-hand side of the claimed identity.

“ $\supseteq$ ”: Let  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  be homogeneous, non-letter Lie elements. Let  $n$  be the degree of  $L_1 \cdots L_k$ . Then

$$\pi_n \exp(t_1 L_1) \cdots \exp(t_k L_k) \in V_n,$$

for all  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $\langle \exp(t_j L_j), \mathbf{i} \rangle = 0$ , for all  $j = 1, \dots, k, i = 1, \dots, d$ .

The space  $V_n$  is closed, hence

$$V_n \ni \frac{d}{dt_1} \Big|_{t_1=0} \cdots \frac{d}{dt_k} \Big|_{t_k=0} \exp(t_1 L_1) \cdots \exp(t_k L_k) = L_1 \cdots L_k,$$

as desired.

We shall obtain a space  $R_n$  such that  $T_n = S_n \oplus R_n$  and such that

$$\forall r \in R_n \setminus \{0\} : \exists w \in W_n : \langle w, r \rangle \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\dim W_n \geq \dim R_n = \dim S_n^\perp = \dim V_n,$$

and, since  $W_n \subseteq V_n$  is shown above, we get  $W_n = V_n$ .

Let  $L_i, i \in I$ , be a homogeneous basis for the Lie algebra. Assume without loss of generality, that  $\{1, \dots, d\} \subset I$  and  $L_i = \mathbf{i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Let  $f_i, i \in I$ , be a (homogeneous)

realization of the dual basis inside of  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . That is,  $f_i \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are homogeneous elements satisfying

$$\langle f_i, L_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \quad i, j \in I.$$

It is well-known (see for example [DLPR21, Corollary 4.5]) that the  $f_i$  freely shuffle-generate all of  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Define

$$R_n := \pi_n \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\text{shuffle monomials in non-letter words}\}.$$

Then

$$R_n := \pi_n \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\text{shuffle monomials in non-letter } f_i\}.$$

As before,  $T_n = S_n \oplus R_n$ . Let  $f_{i_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_{i_k} \in R_n$  be given and assume for simplicity that the  $f_{i_\ell}$  are pairwise different (otherwise multiplicities appear in what follows). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_k}, f_{i_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup f_{i_k} \rangle &= \langle \Delta_{\sqcup}^{\otimes k} L_{i_1} \cdots L_{i_k}, f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{i_k} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \langle L_{i_{\sigma(1)}}, f_{i_1} \rangle \cdots \langle L_{i_{\sigma(k)}}, f_{i_k} \rangle \\ &= \langle L_{i_1}, f_{i_1} \rangle \cdots \langle L_{i_k}, f_{i_k} \rangle = 1, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

As immediate corollary of Proposition 3.6 we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.7.**  *$V$  can be identified with the enveloping algebra of the first term in the derived series of the free Lie algebra  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  (also called “derived free Lie Algebra”). Further*

$$\dim V_n = [(1 - q)^d / (1 - dq)]_{q^n},$$

the coefficient of  $q^n$  in the power series  $(1 - q)^d / (1 - dq)$ .

**Remark 3.8.**  *$V$  can also be characterized as the intersection*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^d \ker \partial_i,$$

where  $\partial_a w$  is the sum of all words obtained by removing, one-by-one, the occurrences of  $a$  in  $w$ ;  $\partial_a$  is a derivation. See [Reu93, 1.6.5 Derivations] [BRRZ08, Proposition 6.1], [BRZ08, Section 3].



**Example 3.9.**  $d = 3$ , then

$$(1 - q)^3 / (1 - 3q) = 1 + 3q^2 + 8q^3 + 24q^4 + 72q^5 + 216q^6 + 648q^7 + 1944q^8 + \dots,$$

and hence, for example  $\dim V_1 = 0, \dim V_2 = 3, \dim V_8 = 1944$ . This is OEIS sequence A118264.

*Proof of Corollary 3.7.* First,

$$\left[ \frac{1}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^n},$$

is the dimension of  $T_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which in a PBW basis is spanned by all monomials in a basis of the free Lie algebra with total degree  $n$ . From these monomials we have to remove all monomials that contain some letter  $\mathbf{i}$ . There are

$$\left[ \frac{1}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^n} - \left[ \frac{1}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^{n-1}} = \left[ \frac{1 - q}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^n},$$

many monomials that do not contain  $\mathbf{1}$ . Then, of the remaining monomials there are

$$\left[ \frac{1 - q}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^n} - \left[ \frac{1 - q}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^{n-1}} = \left[ \frac{(1 - q)^2}{1 - dq} \right]_{q^n},$$

many monomials that do not contain  $\mathbf{2}$ . Iterating, we obtain the claimed generating function.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.**  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a loop invariant if and only if

$$\langle [v, \mathbf{i}], \phi \rangle = 0 \tag{7}$$

for all  $v \in V$  and all letters  $i$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.2, loop invariants are conjugation invariants for loops. By the Chen-Chow theorem ([FV10, Theorem 7.28]),  $\langle hgh^{-1}, \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle$  for all grouplikes  $h$  is equivalent to when we just consider the signatures of piecewise linear paths for  $h$ . But then since we consider all  $g$  with  $\langle g, \mathbf{i} \rangle = 0$ , it is in fact enough to only consider linear paths for  $h$ , and by derivation and linearization this is equivalent to  $\langle [v, \mathbf{i}], \phi \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$  and all letters  $i$ .  $\square$

The space of loop invariants is thus given by  $[V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp$ . Elements of  $S$  evaluate to zero on loops and hence, trivially, are loop invariants.

**Definition 3.11.** We call the quotient vector space  $[V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp / S$  the space of **letter-reduced loop invariants**.

**Now: we do not know whether there are “non-trivial” loop invariants apart from (shuffles of) the area and conjugation invariants.**

**Conjecture 3.12.** *We have  $\text{LoopInv} = S + \text{AreaConj}$ , where  $\text{AreaConj}$  is the shuffle subalgebra of  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  generated by  $(\mathbf{ij} - \mathbf{ji})_{i,j=1\dots d}$  and  $\text{ConjInv}$ .*

It might help to do dimension counting directly in the sub Hopf algebra of loops  $V$  and its graded dual to not be bothered by the trivial loop invariants.

**Lemma 3.13.**

$$[v, \mathbf{i}] \in V \text{ for all letters } i \text{ and } v \in V$$

*Proof.*  $v \in V$ ,  $i$  a letter,  $w = w_1 \dots w_k$  any word,  $j$  a letter. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v\mathbf{i}, w \sqcup \mathbf{j} \rangle &= \langle v \otimes \mathbf{i}, \Delta_{\bullet} w \sqcup \Delta_{\bullet} \mathbf{j} \rangle \\ &= \langle v \otimes \mathbf{i}, \Delta_{\bullet} w \sqcup (\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j} \otimes \mathbf{e}) \rangle \\ &= \langle v \otimes i, w \otimes \mathbf{j} \rangle + \langle v \otimes i, w_1 \dots w_{k-1} \sqcup \mathbf{j} \otimes w_k \rangle \\ &= \langle v \otimes \mathbf{i}, w \otimes \mathbf{j} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\langle \mathbf{i}v, w \sqcup \mathbf{j} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{i} \otimes v, \mathbf{j} \otimes w \rangle,$$

and the claim follows. □

We can then write the dimension of the letter-reduced loop invariants as

$$\begin{aligned} \dim([V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp / S_n) &= \dim([V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp) - \dim S_n \\ &= \dim T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) - \dim[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^d] - \dim T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) + \dim S_n^\perp \\ &= \dim S_n^\perp - \dim[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^d] \\ &= \dim V_n - \dim[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^d] \\ &= \dim(V_n / \dim[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^d]). \end{aligned}$$

**What we need to solve Conjecture 3.12 is the dimension of the intersection of the conjugation invariants with  $S$ , i.e. the dimension of the conjugation invariants which are zero on all loops.**

By Proposition 2.6

$$\text{ConjInv} = [T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp.$$

**Definition 3.14.** We define the space of **letter-reduced conjugation invariants** as  $[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp / S$ .

Note that  $S$  is *not* a subspace of  $[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp$ , the quotient is to be read as

$$[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp/S := ([T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp + S)/S \cong [T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp/([T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp \cap S).$$

Since  $T(\mathbb{R}^d) \supset V$ , we have  $[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d] \supset [V, \mathbb{R}^d]$ , and thus

$$[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp \subset [V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp.$$

Since  $S \subset [V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp$ , we have  $[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp \subset [V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp$ , and so finally

$$[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp/S = ([T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp + S)/S \subset [V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp/S.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim[T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp/S_n &= \dim([T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp + S_n)/S_n \\ &= \dim([T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp + S_n) - \dim S_n \\ &= \dim S_n^\perp - \dim([T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp + S_n)^\perp \\ &= \dim V_n - \dim([T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d] \cap V_n) \\ &= \dim(V_n/[T_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d]) \end{aligned}$$

Based on Tables 3.1 and 3.1 we have the following stronger conjecture for two and three dimensions.

**Conjecture 3.15.** *For  $d = 2, 3$ ,*

$$[T(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}^d] \cap V = [V, \mathbb{R}^d] \oplus \{\mathbf{ij} - \mathbf{ji} \mid \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} = 1, \dots, \mathbf{d}\},$$

*and consequently  $\text{LoopInv} = S + \text{ConjInv} + \{\mathbf{ij} - \mathbf{ji} \mid \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} = 1, \dots, \mathbf{d}\}$ .*

Table 3.1 shows that this does not hold for  $d \geq 4$ .

**Conjecture 3.16.** *In  $d = 2$ , no homogeneous generator of the conjugation invariants for level  $n \geq 2$  is a shuffle with letters, i.e. for  $n \geq 2$ , generators of the conjugations invariants are generators of the letter-reduced conjugation invariants. Or in other words, any conjugation invariant that is a shuffle with letters is a shuffle of conjugation invariants with letters.*

In  $d = 3$ , this is not true because of signed volume. Indeed,  $\text{rot } \mathbf{123} - \text{rot } \mathbf{132} = \mathbf{1} \sqcup (\mathbf{23} - \mathbf{32}) - \mathbf{2} \sqcup (\mathbf{13} - \mathbf{31}) + \mathbf{3} \sqcup (\mathbf{12} - \mathbf{21})$ . However, we conjecture that the signed volume is the only exception in  $d = 3$ .

Level	conjugation invariants $[T_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^2]^\perp$ A000031	minimal $\sqcup$ -gens of conj. inv.	$V_n$	$[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^2]$	im rcl $\circ$ rot (letter-reduced conj. inv.)	letter-reduced loop inv.
1	2	2	0	0	0	0
2	3	0	1	0	0	1
3	4	0	2	2	0	0
4	6	1	4	3	1	1
5	8	0	8	8	0	0
6	14	4	16	12	4	4
7	20	0	32	32	0	0
8	36	9	64	54	10	10
9	60	8	128	120	8	8
10	108	20	256	232	24	24
11	188	32	512	480	32	32
12	352	68	1024	940		
13	632		2048	1932		

Table 3.1: Sizes of various sets for two-dimensional paths, ignoring level 0. rcl is the right closure operator. The conjugation invariants are not free (otherwise the number of minimal generators on level 12 would be equal to 64).

Level	conjugation invariants $[T_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^3]^\perp$ A001867	minimal $\sqcup$ -gens of conj. inv.	$V_n$ A118264	$[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^3]$	im rcl $\circ$ rot (letter-reduced conj. inv.)	letter-reduced loop inv.
1	3	3	0	0	0	0
2	6	0	3	0	0	3
3	11	1	8	8	0	0
4	24	6	24	18	6	6
5	51	6	72	66	6	6
6	130	38	216	178	38	38
7	315	54	648	594	54	54
8	834		1944	1716	228	228
9	2195		5832	5324	508	508
10	5934		17496	15960		

Table 3.2: Sizes of various sets for three-dimensional paths, ignoring level 0. rcl is the right closure operator.

Level	conjugation invariants $[T_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^4]^\perp$	minimal $\sqcup$ -gens of conj. inv.	$V_n$ A118265	$[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^4]$	im rcl $\circ$ rot (letter-reduced conj. inv.)	
	A001868				letter-reduced loop inv.	
1	4	4	0	0	0	0
2	10	0	6	0	0	6
3	24	4	20	20	0	0
4	70	20	81	60	20	21
5	208	36	324	288	36	36
6	700		1296	1094	202	202
7	2344		5184	4648	536	536
8	8230		20736	18444	2292	2292
9	29144		82944			
10	104968		331776			

Table 3.3: Sizes of various sets for four-dimensional paths, ignoring level 0. rcl is the right closure operator. The algebra is not free (otherwise the number of minimal generators on level 4 would be equal to 19).

Level	conjugation invariants $[T_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^5]^\perp$	minimal $\sqcup$ -gens of conj. inv.	$V_n$ A118266	$[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^5]$	im rcl $\circ$ rot (letter-reduced conj. inv.)	
	A001869				letter-reduced loop inv.	
1	5	5	0	0	0	0
2	15	0	10	0	0	10
3	45	10	40	40	0	0
4	165	50	205	150	50	55
5	629	127	1024	898	126	126
6	2635		5120	4360	760	760
7	11165		25600	22760	2840	2840
8	48915		128000	114070	13930	13930
9	217045		640000			
10	976887		3200000			

Table 3.4: Sizes of various sets for five-dimensional paths, ignoring level 0. rcl is the right closure operator. (The number of minimal generators of a free algebra would be 45 on level 4.)

Level	conjugation invariants $[T_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^6]^\perp$ A054625	minimal $\sqcup$ -gens of conj. inv.	$V_n$	$[V_{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^6]$	im rcl $\circ$ rot (letter-reduced conj. inv.)	letter-reduced loop inv.
1	6	6	0	0	0	0
2	21	0	15	0	0	15
3	76	20	70	70	0	0
4	336	105	435	315	105	120
5	1560		2604	2268	336	336
6	7826		15625	13356	2268	2269
7	39996		93750	83010	10740	10740
8	210126		562500			
9	1119796					
10	6047412					

Table 3.5: Sizes of various sets for six-dimensional paths, ignoring level 0. rcl is the right closure operator. First column is <https://oeis.org/A054625>. (The number of minimal generators of a free algebra would be 90 on level 4.)

## 4 Closure invariants

Right-closing a path means completing it into a closed path by adding a straight line from its end point to its start point. We consider signature elements which do not change when you do this.

**Definition 4.1.** An element  $\tau \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called a **right-closure invariant** if

$$\langle \text{sig}(X), \tau \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X \sqcup R_X), \tau \rangle$$

for all paths  $X$  and  $R_X$  the linear path which has the same increment as the reversed path  $X^{-1}$ .

It is a **left-closure invariant** if

$$\langle \text{sig}(X), \tau \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(R_X \sqcup X), \tau \rangle$$

for all paths  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** For an element  $\phi \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$  following are equivalent:

1.  $\phi$  is right-closure invariant.
2. For all  $g$  grouplike with zero increment and any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\langle g \exp(z), \phi \rangle = \langle g, \phi \rangle. \tag{8}$$

3. For all  $v \in V$ , all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and for all  $k \geq 1$

$$\langle v z^k, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a right closure invariant. Then, for any loop  $O$  and any linear path  $L$ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{sig}(O \sqcup L), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(O \sqcup L \sqcup L^{-1}), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(O), \phi \rangle$$

as  $R_{O \sqcup L} = L^{-1}$ . Thus  $\langle \mathbf{sig}(O) \exp(z), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(O), \phi \rangle$  for arbitrary  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and due to Chen-Chow, this generalizes from  $\mathbf{sig}(O)$  to any grouplike  $g$  with zero increment.

Let  $\phi$  be such that it satisfies equation (8), and  $X$  be an arbitrary path. Then there is  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\mathbf{sig}(R_X) = \exp(a)$ , and so

$$\langle \mathbf{sig}(X), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(X \sqcup R_X \sqcup R_X^{-1}), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(X \sqcup R_X) \exp(-a), \phi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(X \sqcup R_X), \phi \rangle,$$

as  $\mathbf{sig}(X \sqcup R_X)$  is grouplike with zero increment.

Let  $g$  be grouplike with zero increment,  $z \in T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Now  $t \mapsto \langle g \exp(tz), \phi \rangle$  is a polynomial in  $t$ , and thus constant if and only if all derivatives in  $t = 0$  are zero. Then, using 1. and 2.,  $\phi$  is a right-closure invariant if and only if

$$\langle gz^{\bullet n}, \phi \rangle = 0,$$

for all grouplike  $g$  with zero increment, for all  $n \geq 1$  and all linear combinations of letters  $z$ . Using the linearization of Lemma 3.5, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.**  *$\phi$  is both a left- and a right-closure invariant if and only if it is both a right-closure invariant and a loop invariant. We call these the **loop-and-closure invariants**.*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be both a left- and right-closure invariant. Then, for any loop  $O$  and any linear  $L$ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{sig}(O), u \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(O \sqcup L), u \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(L^{-1} \sqcup O \sqcup L), u \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where in the first equality we have used right-closure invariance, and left-closure invariance in the second. Iteratively, we see that  $\langle \mathbf{sig}(\cdot), u \rangle$  is invariant under conjugation of loops by any piecewise linear paths, and thus, using Chen-Chow, we see that  $u$  is a loop invariant.

Let now  $v$  be a right-closure invariant and a loop invariant. Then, for any loop  $O$  and linear  $L$ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{sig}(O), v \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(L^{-1} \sqcup O \sqcup L), v \rangle = \langle \mathbf{sig}(L^{-1} \sqcup O), v \rangle,$$

where we used loop invariance in the first equality, and right-closure invariance in the second equality. Since  $L$  was arbitrary linear,  $L^{-1}$  is an arbitrary linear path, and so  $v$  is a left-closure invariant.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *In  $d = 2$ , the intersection of right-closure invariants with conjugation invariants is  $\{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot} \cap \text{im rot}$ . Let  $O$  be any loop, and  $O_1, O_2$  be any two paths such that  $O = O_1 \sqcup O_2$ . Then, for any linear  $L_1, L_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{sig}(O), u \rangle &= \langle \text{sig}(O_1 \sqcup O_2), u \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(O_2 \sqcup O_1), u \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(O_2 \sqcup O_1 \sqcup L_1), u \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(L_2^{-1} \sqcup O_2 \sqcup O_1 \sqcup L_1 \sqcup L_2), u \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(L_2^{-1} \sqcup O_2 \sqcup O_1 \sqcup L_1 \sqcup L_2 \sqcup L_1^{-1}), u \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(O_1 \sqcup L_1 \sqcup L_2 \sqcup L_1^{-1} \sqcup L_2^{-1} \sqcup O_2), u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So  $\langle \text{sig}(\cdot), u \rangle$  is invariant under insertion of parallelograms  $L_1 \sqcup L_2 \sqcup L_1^{-1} \sqcup L_2^{-1}$  into loops. As up to tree-like equivalence, we may construct any two-dimensional piecewise linear loop by repeated insertion of parallelograms starting from the constant path (loop),  $\langle \text{sig}(\cdot), u \rangle$  is thus zero on any piecewise linear loop. Thus by closure invariance it is zero on any piecewise linear path, and so  $u = 0$  by Chen-Chow.  $\square$

Conjecturally, this holds for every  $d$ .

**Conjecture 4.5.** *For any  $d$ , the intersection of right-closure invariants with conjugation invariants is  $\{0\}$ .*

**Definition 4.6.** The **right-closure operator** is defined as

$$\text{rcl} = \sqcup \circ (\text{id} \otimes H) \circ \Delta_\bullet$$

where  $H(\mathbf{i}_1 \dots \mathbf{i}_n) := \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \mathbf{i}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{i}_n$ . The following lemma shows, that it is the algebraic realization of right-closing a path.

**Lemma 4.7.**

$$\langle \text{sig}(X), \text{rcl}(w) \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X \sqcup R_X), w \rangle,$$

with  $R_X$  as above.

*Proof.* First,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{sig}(R_X), v_1 \dots v_n \rangle &= \langle \exp(-X_{0,1}), v_1 \dots v_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \langle X_{0,1}, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle X_{0,1}, v_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \langle \text{sig}(X), v_1 \rangle \dots \langle \text{sig}(X), v_n \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(X), H(v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{sig}(X \sqcup R_X), w \rangle &= \langle \text{sig}(X) \text{sig}(R_X), w \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(X) \otimes \text{sig}(R_X), \Delta_\bullet w \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(X) \otimes \text{sig}(X), (\text{id} \otimes H) \circ \Delta_\bullet w \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_\sqcup \text{sig}(X), (\text{id} \otimes H) \circ \Delta_\bullet w \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{sig}(X), \sqcup \circ (\text{id} \otimes H) \circ \Delta_\bullet w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$



**Corollary 4.8.** *rcl is idempotent (a projection), and  $\phi$  is a right-closure invariant if and only if  $\phi \in \text{im rcl}$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 4.7. □

**Lemma 4.9.**

$$\ker \text{rcl} = S.$$

*Proof.*  $\phi \in S$  if and only if for all grouplike  $g$  with zero increment

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Now

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle = \langle g, \text{rcl}(\phi) \rangle.$$

So that, if  $\text{rcl}(\phi) = 0$ , we have  $\phi \in S$ .

If  $\phi \in S$ , then for all grouplike  $g$  with zero increment

$$\langle g, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = \langle g, \text{rcl}(\phi) \rangle = \langle g', \text{rcl}(\phi) \rangle,$$

for any  $g'$  that closes to  $g$ . Since  $g$  was arbitrary with zero increment, we get

$$0 = \langle g', \text{rcl}(\phi) \rangle,$$

for all grouplike elements  $g'$ , which shows  $\text{rcl}(\phi) = 0$ . □

**Proposition 4.10.** *The dimension of the right closure invariants (equal to the dimension of the left closure invariants) is given by*

$$\dim \text{proj}_n \text{im rcl} = \dim T_n(\mathbb{R}^d) - \dim S_n = \dim V_n,$$

and thus given by Corollary 3.7

**Proposition 4.11.** *The loop-and-closure invariants are isomorphic, as a graded algebra, to the letter-reduced loop invariants.*

*Proof.* The isomorphism is given by  $[v] \mapsto \text{rcl}(v)$ ,  $v \in \text{LoopInv}$ . This map is well-defined and injective as  $\ker \text{rcl} = S$ , Lemma 4.9. It is graded, as  $\text{rcl}$  is graded, it is an algebra homomorphism, as  $\text{rcl}$  is a shuffle homomorphism and  $S$  is a shuffle ideal. Finally, it is surjective, as the loop-and-closure invariants are contained both in the image of  $\text{rcl}$  and in the loop invariants, and  $\text{rcl}$  is a projection. □

This isomorphism is compatible with signatures of loops in the sense that

$$\langle \text{sig}(O), [v] \rangle := \langle \text{sig}(O), v \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(O), \text{rcl}(v) \rangle$$

for any  $v \in \text{LoopInv}$ .

**Lemma 4.12.**

$$\text{rcl LoopInv} \subset \text{LoopInv}$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \text{LoopInv}$ . Then, for any loop  $O$  and any path  $X$ ,

$$\langle \text{sig}(O), \text{rcl}(u) \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(O), u \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X^{-1} \sqcup O \sqcup X), u \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X^{-1} \sqcup O \sqcup X), \text{rcl}(u) \rangle,$$

and thus  $\text{rcl}(u)$  is a loop invariant.  $\square$

We conclude

$$\text{rcl LoopInv} \cong [V, \mathbb{R}^d]^\perp / S$$

since the kernel of  $\text{rcl}$  is precisely  $S$ .

**Corollary 4.13.** *The intersection of right-closure invariants with  $S$  is  $\{0\}$ .*

*Proof.*  $T(\mathbb{R}^d) = S \oplus \text{im rcl}$ , since  $\text{rcl}$  is a projection.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.14.**  $\text{LoopInv} = \ker(\text{rcl} - \text{lcl})$

*Proof.* If  $\phi \in \text{LoopInv}$ , then

$$\langle \text{sig}(X), \text{rcl}(\phi) \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X \sqcup R_X), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(R_X \sqcup X), \phi \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X), \text{lcl}(\phi) \rangle$$

for arbitrary  $X$ , so  $\text{rcl}(\phi) = \text{lcl}(\phi)$ .

If  $\text{rcl}(y) = \text{lcl}(y)$ , then

$$\langle \text{sig}(R_X \sqcup X), y \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X), \text{lcl}(y) \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X), \text{rcl}(y) \rangle = \langle \text{sig}(X \sqcup R_X), y \rangle$$

so iteratively,  $\langle \text{sig}(\cdot), y \rangle$  is invariant under conjugation with piecewise linear paths, and so by Chen-Chow  $y$  is a loop invariant.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.15.** *We have*

$$(12 - 21)^{\sqcup 2} = 2 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(1212).$$

*More generally*

$$(12 - 21) \sqcup (13 - 31) = 2 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(1213)$$

and

$$(12 - 21)^{\sqcup 3} = 4 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(121212) + 16 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(121122),$$

or more generally

$$(12 - 21)^{\sqcup 2} \sqcup (13 - 31) = 4 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(121213) + 8 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(121123) + 8 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(212113)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (12 - 21) \sqcup (13 - 31) \sqcup (23 - 32) \\ &= -8 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot } 121323 - 16 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot } 212133 - 16 \cdot \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot } 122133. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(ij - ji)^{\sqcup n}$  is contained in  $\text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot}$  for  $n \geq 2$ , and more generally  $(ij - ji)^{\sqcup n} \sqcup (ik - ki)^{\sqcup m} \sqcup (jk - kj)^{\sqcup l}$  is contained in  $\text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot}$  for  $n + m + l \geq 2$ .

However,  $(12 - 21) \sqcup (34 - 43)$  is not contained in  $\text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot}$  for  $d \geq 4$ .

Note that we have  $u = v + \text{shuffle of letters}$  if and only if  $\text{rcl}(u) = \text{rcl}(v)$ , so shuffles of areas can be considered letter-reduced conjugation invariants if and only if they are in  $\text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot}$ .

**Conjecture 4.16.**  $(i_1 i_2 - i_2 i_1) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (i_{2k-1} i_{2k} - i_{2k} i_{2k-1})$  is not an element of  $\text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot}$  if all  $i_j$  are distinct, but is contained in  $\text{im rcl} \circ \text{rot}$  if the letters are non-distinct.

Furthermore,  $(ij - ji) \sqcup u \in \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}$  for all  $u \in \text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}$ .

**Proposition 4.17.** If  $d \geq 2$ , there are infinitely many algebraically (shuffle) independent loop-and-closure invariants in  $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The proof is very similar to that of Proposition 2.13.

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be arbitrary. We look at the axis parallel path with  $8n$  steps given by  $B_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_{2n}$  where each  $B_i$  is a rectangle, given by  $B_i = (a_i \cdot e_1) \sqcup e_2 \sqcup (-a_i \cdot e_1) \sqcup (-e_2)$ .

Under the signature, the loop-and-closure invariants

$$\text{rcl} \circ \text{rot}(1^{\bullet 2m+1} (2121)^{\bullet 2n-1} 2)$$

evaluate to

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{n!} a_1^2 \dots a_{2n}^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2n} 2a_i^{2m}$$

By algebraic independence of  $(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_i^{2m})_{m=1}^n$  we obtain that there are at least  $n$  shuffle-independent loop-and-closure invariants.

Since  $n$  was arbitrary, by the basis exchange property for algebraic independence, we thus conclude that there exists a set of infinitely many algebraically independent loop-and-closure invariants.  $\square$

## 5 Outlook

In addition to the Conjectures 3.12, 3.15, 3.16, 4.5 and 4.16, we are interested in the following questions:

- As is known in the literature on higher parallel transport, and elaborated in a notation close to ours in [CDEFT24, Section 3], the iterated-integrals signature of a closed loop can be found in a related *surface signature*. Does this viewpoint help to understand the loop invariants?
- What about expected signatures [CL16]? Is there a nice algebraic description of expected signature varieties [AFS19, Section 7] and in particular expected loop invariants for for example Brownian loops (see e.g. [RY99, Section 3] and [ABJ02]<sup>2</sup>), i.e. Brownian motion  $B : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with drift  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , conditioned on the event that  $B(0) = B(T)$ ?
- How do conjugation invariants, loop invariants and closure invariants relate for the iterated sums signature [DEFT20]? In this setting, the signature characterizes the time series not up to tree-like equivalence, but up to time-warping. So the situation is certainly different. This is interesting future work, where we will build upon [AGRR21].
- The maybe most important open question is the following: If we are given the value of all loop invariants for a loop  $O$ , then to what extent does this characterize  $O$ ? In other words, what is the equivalence relation given by *all the loop invariants of the loops  $O$  and  $O'$  agree?* This question is also posed in the Outlook of [LP24], in their setting of cyclic polytopes / positive matrices. It is an analogous question to [DR19, Conjecture 7.2], which will be answered in [DLNP].
- We ask for a geometric interpretation of loop invariants beyond signed area and even dimensional signed volumes (cf. [DR19], [ALM23] for signed volumes).

## References

- [ABJ02] Jean-Philippe Anker, Philippe Bougerol, and Thierry Jeulin. The infinite brownian loop on a symmetric space. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 18(1):41–97, 2002. 28
- [AFS19] Carlos Améndola, Peter Friz, and Bernd Sturmfels. Varieties of Signature Tensors. *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, 7:e10, 2019. 28
- [AGRR21] Ron M Adin, Ira M Gessel, Victor Reiner, and Yuval Roichman. Cyclic quasi-symmetric functions. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 243(1):437–500, 2021. 11, 28
- [ALM23] Carlos Améndola, Darrick Lee, and Chiara Meroni. Convex hulls of curves:

---

<sup>2</sup>Though we only refer to the  $\mathbb{R}^d$  valued finite time  $T$  case for now

- Volumes and signatures. In *International Conference on Geometric Science of Information*, pages 455–464. Springer, 2023. 28
- [BGLY16] Horatio Boedihardjo, Xi Geng, Terry Lyons, and Danyu Yang. The signature of a rough path: uniqueness. *Advances in Mathematics*, 293:720–737, 2016. 3
- [BKTdS14] Gustavo E.A. P.A. Batista, Eamonn J. Keogh, Oben Moses Tataw, and Vinícius M.A. de Souza. CID: an efficient complexity-invariant distance for time series. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 28(3):634–669, 2014. 11
- [BRRZ08] Nantel Bergeron, Christophe Reutenauer, Mercedes Rosas, and Mike Zabrocki. Invariants and coinvariants of the symmetric group in noncommuting variables. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 60(2):266–296, 2008. 16
- [BRZ08] Emmanuel Briand, Mercedes Rosas, and Mike Zabrocki. On the sn-module structure of the noncommutative harmonics. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 115(6):1077–1085, 2008. 16
- [CDEFT24] Ilya Chevyrev, Joscha Diehl, Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, and Nikolas Tapia. A multiplicative surface signature through its magnus expansion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.16856*, 2024. 28
- [Che58] Kuo-Tsai Chen. Integration of paths—a faithful representation of paths by non-commutative formal power series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 89:395–407, 1958. 2
- [CK16] Ilya Chevyrev and Andrey Kormilitzin. A primer on the signature method in machine learning. *arXiv preprint 1603.03788*, 2016. 4
- [CL16] Ilya Chevyrev and Terry Lyons. Characteristic functions of measures on geometric rough paths. *The Annals of Probability*, 44(6):4049 – 4082, 2016. 28
- [DEFT20] Joscha Diehl, Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, and Nikolas Tapia. Time-warping invariants of multidimensional time series. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 170(1):265–290, 2020. 2, 28
- [DLNP] Joscha Diehl, Terry Lyons, Hao Ni, and Rosa Preiß. Signature invariants characterize orbits of paths under compact matrix group action. Work in progress. 28
- [DLPR21] Joscha Diehl, Terry Lyons, Rosa Preiß, and Jeremy Reizenstein. Areas of areas generate the shuffle algebra, 2021. 14, 16
- [DPRT23] Joscha Diehl, Rosa Preiß, Michael Ruddy, and Nikolas Tapia. The moving-frame method for the iterated-integrals signature: Orthogonal invariants. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 23(4):1273–1333, 2023. 2
- [DR19] Joscha Diehl and Jeremy Reizenstein. Invariants of multidimensional time

- series based on their iterated-integral signature. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 164(1):83–122, 2019. 2, 4, 8, 10, 28
- [FV10] Peter K Friz and Nicolas B Victoir. *Multidimensional stochastic processes as rough paths: theory and applications*, volume 120. Cambridge University Press, 2010. 2, 5, 7, 17
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002. 11
- [LP24] Felix Lotter and Rosa Preiß. Cyclic polytopes through the lens of iterated integrals. *arXiv preprint 2412.11283*, 2024. 3, 28
- [MA17] Jafet Morales and David Akopian. Physical activity recognition by smartphones, a survey. *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, 37(3):388–400, 2017. 2
- [Mil22] James S. Milne. Fields and galois theory (v5.10), 2022. Available at [www.jmilne.org/math/](http://www.jmilne.org/math/). 11
- [OEI24] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences, 2024. Published electronically at <https://oeis.org>. 3
- [Reu93] Christophe Reutenauer. *Free Lie Algebras*. Oxford University Press, 1993. 2, 5, 16
- [RY99] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999. 28
- [TT99] Tran Ton-That. Poincaré’s proof of the so-called birkhoff-witt theorem, 1999. Unpublished manuscript. 4