

REFLEXIVE MODULES AND AUSLANDER-TYPE CONDITIONS

NORIHITO HANIHARA

ABSTRACT. We study the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ of reflexive modules over a two-sided Noetherian ring Λ . We show that the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian if and only if Λ satisfies certain Auslander-type condition on the minimal injective resolution of the ring itself. Furthermore, we establish a Morita theorem which characterizes the category of reflexive modules among quasi-abelian categories in terms of generator-cogenerators.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Auslander-type conditions	3
3. The category of reflexive modules	5
4. Artin algebras	11
5. Non-maximum exact structures	12
6. Morita theorem	15
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Λ be a (not necessarily commutative) left and right Noetherian ring. A finitely generated module M over Λ is called *reflexive* if the evaluation map

$$M \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, \Lambda), \Lambda)$$

is an isomorphism. In what follows we will write $(-)^*$ for $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$ or $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(-, \Lambda)$, and denote by $\text{ref } \Lambda$ the category of reflexive Λ -modules.

Reflexive modules are classically studied in commutative algebra and are also one of the important concepts since the early stage of representation theory [3] including the Auslander correspondence [4, 20]. They also attract broad modern interest, for example, in the study of non-commutative resolutions, representation theory, and algebraic geometry [27, 21, 22, 7, 24].

While the definition of reflexivity is quite elementary and the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ makes sense for arbitrary two-sided Noetherian rings, one needs some constraints on the ring Λ for this category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ to behave well. One well-established sufficient condition is that Λ should be a commutative normal domain (which is a typical setup in the theory of non-commutative resolutions). It ensures, for example, that $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is precisely the category of second syzygies, and that the inclusion $\text{ref } \Lambda \subset \text{mod } \Lambda$ has a left adjoint (given by the double dual $M \mapsto M^{**}$).

The aim of this paper is to demonstrate that such nice behaviors of the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is governed by the *Auslander-type condition*, more precisely, the *(2, 2)-condition* [17] which is a requirement on the minimal injective resolution on the ring Λ viewed as a module over itself. Examples of Noetherian rings satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition include commutative Noetherian normal domains, and Auslander algebras of representation-finite algebras.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 16D80, 16E65, 13C13, 16G10, 13C60, 14A22.

Key words and phrases. Reflexive module, Auslander-type condition, quasi-abelian category, Morita-Tachikawa correspondence, exact structure, Morita theorem.

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP22KJ0737.

Recall that for each positive integer l and n , we say that Λ satisfies the (l, n) -condition [17] if, in the minimal injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

of Λ in $\text{Mod } \Lambda$, we have $\text{flat. dim } I^i < l$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. We say that Λ satisfies the *two-sided* (l, n) -condition if both Λ and Λ^{op} satisfy the (l, n) -condition. For example, the $(1, n)$ -condition is nothing but the dominant dimension [26] of Λ being at most n . Also, Λ is n -Gorenstein [10] precisely when Λ satisfies the (l, l) -condition for all $1 \leq l \leq n$.

Such Auslander-type conditions give one of the non-commutative analogues of Gorenstein ring, and appear in various contexts including the study of Auslander correspondence, non-commutative algebraic geometry, and cluster theory. We refer to [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 18, 20, 23, 27] for some of such studies.

The main result of this paper is the following characterization of the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ to be quasi-abelian in the sense explained below.

Theorem 1.1 (=3.8). *Let Λ be a two-sided Noetherian ring. Then the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian if and only if Λ satisfies the two-sided $(2, 2)$ -condition.*

Here, an additive category \mathcal{C} is *quasi-abelian* if it has kernels and cokernels, kernels are closed under push-outs, and cokernels are closed under pull-backs. It implies that \mathcal{C} has the maximum exact structure given by the class of all kernel-cokernel pairs. This means that the category \mathcal{C} has an intrinsic homological algebra.

To be explicit, we record the following summary of the desirable behaviors of the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ in the setting of 1.1. Note that (1)(2) appear in [6, 1.7].

Proposition 1.2. *In the situation of 1.1, we have the following.*

- (1) $\text{ref } \Lambda$ coincides with the category of second sygygies in $\text{mod } \Lambda$.
- (2) $\text{ref } \Lambda \subset \text{mod } \Lambda$ is closed under kernels and extensions.
- (3) The inclusion $\text{ref } \Lambda \subset \text{mod } \Lambda$ has a left adjoint given by the double dual $X \mapsto X^{**}$.

We further discuss when the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is moreover abelian. In fact we have the following simple and analogous characterization.

Theorem 1.3 (=3.19). *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring. Then category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is abelian if and only if it satisfies the $(1, 2)$ -condition, in other words, $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$.*

Note that by left-right symmetry of the dominant dimension [13], the $(1, 2)$ -condition and the two-sided $(1, 2)$ -condition are equivalent. Furthermore, we know that any Noetherian ring of dominant dimension greater than 1 is Artinian [15, Proposition 7], thus what 1.3 gives is Morita-Tachikawa correspondence (see 4.1) for Artinian rings (not necessarily module-finite over the center).

Apart from the maximum exact structure in 1.1, we will present in 5.1 how to produce more exact structures on $\text{ref } \Lambda$ from certain Serre subcategories of $\text{mod } \Lambda$.

Now, our main result 1.1 motivates us to study *Morita theory* for quasi-abelian categories. Most classically, Morita theorem gives a characterization of module categories among abelian categories in terms of projective generators [11]. In particular, it yields a complete characterization of rings having the equivalent module categories. Such rings are called Morita equivalent, and Morita theory can be understood as describing the structure of the category of rings up to Morita equivalence.

Our Morita theorem for quasi-abelian categories gives a characterization of the category of reflexives among quasi-abelian categories. We say that an object M in an exact category is a *generator-cogenerator* if each object admits a deflation from (resp. an inflation into) an object in $\text{add } M$.

Theorem 1.4 (=6.2). *Let \mathcal{C} be a quasi-abelian category. Suppose that there is a generator-cogenerator $M \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\Lambda := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ is two-sided Noetherian. Then Λ satisfies the two-sided $(2, 2)$ -condition and the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda$ is an equivalence.*

Consequently, we obtain a characterization of *reflexive equivalence* of Noetherian rings (6.3), that is, those having the equivalent category of reflexives. We refer to [21] for a result for module-finite algebras.

Acknowledgements. The author is deeply grateful to Alexey Bondal, Martin Kalck, Henning Krause, Osamu Iyama, Bernhard Keller, Hiroki Matsui, and Shinnosuke Okawa for stimulating discussions and valuable comments.

2. AUSLANDER-TYPE CONDITIONS

Throughout this paper, a Noetherian ring will mean a (not necessarily commutative) left and right Noetherian ring unless stated otherwise.

2.1. Auslander-type conditions and Auslander-Gorenstein rings. We review the concept of *Auslander-type conditions* following [17]. It is a vast generalization of dominant dimension [26] which plays a prominent role in Auslander correspondences [4, 20], and at the same time covers the notion of *Auslander-Gorenstein rings* [5, 10].

Before that we recall the notion of (strong) grade which gives an important reformulation of Auslander-type conditions and will be used throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring and $M \in \text{Mod } \Lambda$.

- (1) The *grade* of M , denoted $\text{grade } M$, is the minimum integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(M, \Lambda) \neq 0$.
- (2) The *strong grade* of M , denoted $\text{s.grade } M$, is defined as

$$\text{s.grade } M = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^n(N, \Lambda) \neq 0 \text{ for some submodule } N \subset M\}.$$

In other words, we have $\text{s.grade } M \geq n$ if and only if $\text{grade } N \geq n$ for all submodules $N \subset M$.

The following description of the strong grade is useful.

Proposition 2.2. Let $X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda$ and $0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be the minimal injective resolution. Then

$$\text{s.grade } X = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, I^n) \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, for each $n \geq 0$ the subcategory $\{X \in \text{Mod } \Lambda \mid \text{s.grade } X \geq n\} \subset \text{Mod } \Lambda$ is a Serre subcategory.

The following computation of the flat dimension of injective modules is also fundamental.

Proposition 2.3 ([6, 2.1]). Let I be an injective module over a Noetherian ring Λ . Then for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ and $n \geq 0$ we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_{\Lambda}^n(X, I) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^n(X, \Lambda), I).$$

Therefore, one has $\text{flat. dim } I < l$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^l(X, \Lambda), I) = 0$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.

Proof. This is easily seen by computing from the projective resolution of X and using the formula $P \otimes_{\Lambda} I = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^*, I)$ for $P \in \text{proj } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. \square

We are now ready to state the definition of the Auslander-type condition. The equivalence of the conditions below follows easily from 2.2 and 2.3.

Definition 2.4 ([17]). Let l and n be positive integers. The (l, n) -condition on a Noetherian ring Λ is the following equivalent conditions, where $0 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is the minimal injective resolution in $\text{Mod } \Lambda$.

- (i) $\text{flat. dim } I^i < l$ for all $0 \leq i < n$.
- (ii) $\text{s.grade Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^l(X, \Lambda) \geq n$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.

The $(l, n)^{\text{op}}$ -condition on Λ is by definition the (l, n) -condition on Λ^{op} . We say that Λ satisfies the *two-sided* (l, n) -condition if it satisfies the (l, n) - and $(l, n)^{\text{op}}$ -conditions.

The (l, n) -conditions include the following notion of n -Gorenstein rings.

Definition 2.5 ([5, 10]). Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. A Noetherian ring Λ is *n-Gorenstein* if in the minimal injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow \dots,$$

one has $\text{flat. dim } I^i \leq i$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. We say that a Noetherian ring Λ is *Auslander-Gorenstein* if it is n -Gorenstein for all n and $\text{inj. dim } \Lambda < \infty$ on each side. Similarly, we say Λ is *Auslander-regular* if it is n -Gorenstein for all n and $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda < \infty$.

Indeed, Λ is n -Gorenstein if and only if it satisfies the (l, l) -condition for all $1 \leq l \leq n$. It is known that being n -Gorenstein is left-right symmetric [10, 3.7].

2.2. Commutative rings. Let us specialize the above notion to commutative rings which are one of our important examples. This will show that Auslander-type conditions indeed generalize commutative Gorenstein rings. Throughout this subsection we let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. We refer to [25] for more information. Let us record the proof of the following, which should be the most fundamental one on the flat dimension of injective modules over commutative rings.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$. Then $\text{flat. dim } E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is finite if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein. In this case, one has $\text{flat. dim } E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. We use the following to compute the flat dimension of an injective module I : For a Noetherian ring Λ and $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$, there is an isomorphism $\text{Tor}_i^{\Lambda}(M, I) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^i(M, \Lambda), I)$ (cf. [6, 2.1]).

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ and consider the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}))$ for each $M \in \text{mod } R$. The flat dimension of $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is $\leq n$ if and only if this is 0 for all $M \in \text{mod } R$ and $i > n$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$ is finitely generated and $\text{Ass } E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, the set of associated primes of the right-hand-side is contained in $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$, thus it is 0 if and only if it is annihilated by $-\otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Localizing this at \mathfrak{p} yields $\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}), E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}))$, which is 0 if and only if $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Noting that the functor $-\otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}: \text{mod } R \rightarrow \text{mod } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is essentially surjective, we conclude that $\text{flat. dim } E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq n$ exactly when $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein of dimension $\leq n$. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ be the minimal injective resolution. Suppose that $\text{flat. dim } I^n < \infty$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then every indecomposable summand of I^n has flat dimension precisely n .*

Proof. Let $I = E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ be any indecomposable summand of I^n , and let m be its flat dimension. By 2.6 we have that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an m -dimensional Gorenstein ring. Also, localizing the resolution we have the minimal injective resolution $0 \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow I_{\mathfrak{p}}^0 \rightarrow I_{\mathfrak{p}}^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I_{\mathfrak{p}}^n \rightarrow \cdots$ with $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ a direct summand of $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^n$. We then conclude that $n = \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = m$. \square

Recall the following conditions on a ring R :

- (S_n): $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{n, \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}\}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$,
- (G_n): $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ with $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq n$.

Note that our notation is different from [25]. The following result characterizes commutative n -Gorenstein rings.

Proposition 2.8 ([14, 25]). *For a commutative Noetherian ring R and $n \geq 1$, the following are equivalent.*

- (i) R is n -Gorenstein, that is, satisfies the (l, l) -condition for each $1 \leq l \leq n$.
- (ii) R satisfies the (l, n) -condition for some $l \geq 1$.
- (iii) R satisfies the (G_{n-1}) - and (S_n) -conditions.

Proof. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader. We denote by $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ the minimal injective resolution of R .

If R is n -Gorenstein, then it satisfies in particular the (n, n) -condition, thus we have (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Conversely, if $\text{flat. dim } I^i < \infty$ for $0 \leq i < n$, then $\text{flat. dim } I^i = i$ by 2.7, so we have (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

We next prove (i) \Rightarrow (iii). We first prove the (G_{n-1}) -condition. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ be of height $m \leq n-1$. Note that by $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^m(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } I^m$. Then since $\text{flat. dim } I^m$ is finite, we deduce by 2.6 that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein. We now turn to the (S_n) -condition. By the (G_{n-1}) -condition, it is enough to show that if $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \geq n$ then $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq n$. The (G_{n-1}) -condition also implies that $I^i = \bigoplus_{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}=i} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. This shows any height $\geq n$ prime is associated to I^j with $j \geq n$, which yields $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq n$.

Finally, we show (iii) \Rightarrow (i). Recall that for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$, the number of summands $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ appearing in I^i is given by $\dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{p}), R_{\mathfrak{p}})$. We see by the (S_n) -condition that if $\text{Ass}(I^0 \oplus \cdots \oplus I^{n-1})$ consists of prime ideals of height $\leq n-1$. Also, the (G_{n-1}) -condition shows $I^i = \bigoplus_{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}=i} E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then 2.6 implies that R is n -Gorenstein. \square

As before, we end this section with the following typical example. We say that R is *generically Gorenstein* if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R$. Also, the (R_n) -condition requires $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to be regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ with $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} \leq n$.

Example 2.9. Consider a commutative Noetherian ring.

(1) Any domain is 1-Gorenstein. In fact, we have the following implications:

$$\text{domain} \implies \text{generically Gorenstein} \iff 1\text{-Gorenstein}.$$

(2) Any normal domain is 2-Gorenstein. In fact, we have the following implications:

$$\text{normal} \iff (R_1) + (S_2) \implies (G_1) + (S_2) \iff 2\text{-Gorenstein}.$$

3. THE CATEGORY OF REFLEXIVE MODULES

3.1. Reflexive modules over Noetherian rings with the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. It is well-known (e.g. [21, 22]) that reflexive modules over normal domains have a nice behavior. We observe in this section that the theory works equally well in the setting of Noetherian rings satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. Let us review some basic notions.

Definition 3.1. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring, $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$, and $n \geq 1$ an integer.

- (1) X is an n -th syzygy if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q^{n-1}$ with $Q^i \in \text{proj } \Lambda$.
- (2) X is n -torsion free if one has $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We use the terms *torsion-free* for 1-torsion freeness, and *reflexive* for 2-torsion freeness.

We denote by $(-)^*$ the functors $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda): \text{mod } \Lambda \leftrightarrow \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. The Auslander-Bridger sequence [3] below justifies the terminologies “torsion-free” and “reflexive”.

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^1(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X^{**} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

It is easy to see that any n -torsion free module is an n -th syzygy. The converse is a deep problem which led to the study of Auslander-Gorenstein rings [5, 6]. For a positive integer n we denote by Ω^n the category of n -th syzygies.

Proposition 3.2 ([6, 1.7]). *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring.*

- (1) $\Omega^2 = \text{ref } \Lambda$ if and only if $\text{grade Ext}_\Lambda^2(X, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.
- (2) $\Omega^1 \subset \text{mod } \Lambda$ is extension-closed if and only if $\text{s.grade Ext}_\Lambda^2(X, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.

We note an easy observation which we use later.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \geq 0$ and suppose that $\text{grade } E \geq n$. Then $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(E, M) = 0$ for all n -th syzygy M and $0 \leq i < n$.*

Proof. Pick an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_{n-1}$ with $Q_i \in \text{proj } \Lambda$ and put $M_i := \text{Im}(Q_{i-1} \rightarrow Q_i)$. Then applying $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(E, -)$ we have isomorphisms $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(E, M) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{i-1}(E, M_1) \xleftarrow{\cong} \cdots \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(E, M_{i-1}) \xleftarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(E, M_i)$ by $\text{grade } E \geq i$, and $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(E, M_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(E, Q_i) = 0$. \square

Remark 3.4. Suppose that $\text{s.grade Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(X, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. Then $\text{grade Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $M \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with $P \in \text{proj } \Lambda$. It gives an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P^* \rightarrow N^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(M, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0$. We want to show that the map $N^{**} \rightarrow P^{**}$ is injective. Let K be the kernel and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & M \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & N^{**} & \longrightarrow & P^{**}. \end{array}$$

Since $K \cap N = \text{Ker}(N \rightarrow P) = 0$, we see that K maps injectively to $\text{Coker}(N \rightarrow N^{**}) = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } N, \Lambda)$. Now, $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } N, \Lambda)$ has $\text{s.grade} \geq 1$ by assumption, so $\text{grade } K \geq 1$. Since K is torsion-free, this forces $K = 0$, as desired. \square

3.2. Exact categories. Let us start by recalling the notion of Quillen's *exact categories*. We call a sequence $L \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} M$ in an additive category a *kernel-cokernel pair* if $f = \ker g$ and $g = \operatorname{coker} f$.

Definition 3.5. An *exact structure* on an additive category \mathcal{E} is a class \mathcal{S} of kernel-cokernel pairs in \mathcal{E} satisfying the following conditions, where we call a kernel-cokernel pair $L \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} M$ in \mathcal{S} a *conflation*, and we say f is an *admissible monomorphism* or an *inflation*, and g is an *admissible epimorphism* or a *deflation*.

- (i) \mathcal{S} is closed under isomorphisms, and the trivial sequences $L \xrightarrow{1} L \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{1} L$ are in \mathcal{S} .
- (ii) Admissible monomorphisms (resp. admissible epimorphisms) are closed under compositions.
- (iii) For any conflation $L \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} M$ and any morphism $a: L \rightarrow L'$ (resp. $c: M' \rightarrow M$), there exists a push-out of f along a (resp. a pull-back of g along c), and the obtained sequences below are conflations.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{g} & M \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ L' & \longrightarrow & N' & \longrightarrow & M \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L & \longrightarrow & N'' & \longrightarrow & M' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ L & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

An *exact category* is an additive category endowed with an exact structure.

Let us also recall the following notion which will simplify our statements.

Definition 3.6. A *quasi-abelian category* is an additive category satisfying the following.

- (i) Any morphism has a kernel and a cokernel.
- (ii) Kernels are closed under push-outs and cokernels are closed under pull-backs.

It is related to exact categories as follows.

Proposition 3.7 (See [8, 4.4]). *Let \mathcal{E} be a quasi-abelian category. Then the class of all kernel-cokernel pairs forms an exact structure on \mathcal{E} .*

3.3. The maximum exact structure. The main result of this section is the following characterization of when $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian in terms of Auslander-type conditions.

Theorem 3.8. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring. The category $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian if and only if Λ satisfies the two-sided (2, 2)-condition.*

Let us discuss the existence of kernels and cokernels in the category $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$. Note that, since we have a duality $(-)^*: \operatorname{ref} \Lambda \leftrightarrow \operatorname{ref} \Lambda^{\operatorname{op}}$ for arbitrary Noetherian rings, the conditions in 3.9 and in 3.10 are opposite to each other, that is, the conditions for Λ in 3.9 are equivalent to the ones for $\Lambda^{\operatorname{op}}$ in 3.10.

Lemma 3.9. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ has kernels.
- (ii) $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda \subset \operatorname{mod} \Lambda$ is closed under kernels.
- (iii) $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda = \Omega^2$.
- (iv) $\operatorname{grade} \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(X, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $X \in \operatorname{mod} \Lambda$.

In this case, the kernel in $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ is computed as the kernel in $\operatorname{mod} \Lambda$.

Proof. The assertions (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) are clear. We see (i) \Rightarrow (ii) by noting $\Lambda \in \operatorname{ref} \Lambda$. Looking at the kernel between maps of projectives, we deduce (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Finally, (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) is [3, 2.26], see also [6, 1.6(a)]. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ has cokernels.
- (ii) $X \rightarrow X^{**}$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X^{**}, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, M)$ for all $M \in \operatorname{ref} \Lambda$.

In this case, the cokernel in $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ is computed as the double dual of the cokernel in $\operatorname{mod} \Lambda$.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Setting $M = \Lambda$ we see that X^* is reflexive. It follows that $X^{**} \in \operatorname{ref} \Lambda$, and we deduce that $\operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ has cokernels, which are computed as the double dual of the cokernel in $\operatorname{mod} \Lambda$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $X \in \operatorname{mod} \Lambda$ and $M \in \operatorname{ref} \Lambda$ and consider the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X^{**}, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, M)$. Given a map $X \rightarrow M$, applying the double dual yields a morphism $X^{**} \rightarrow M$ since M is reflexive, which shows

surjectivity. It remains to prove injectivity. Since the cokernel of the map $X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda)$, this is equivalent to showing $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda), M) = 0$, that is, $\text{grade Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(Y, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $Y \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. Notice that the map $(-)^*: \text{ref } \Lambda \leftrightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ is a duality. Then $\text{ref } \Lambda$ having cokernels means $\text{ref } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ has kernels. By 3.9, this shows $\text{grade Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(Y, \Lambda) \geq 1$ for all $Y \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. \square

The above justifies the following terminology.

Definition 3.11. Let Λ be a Noetherian ring satisfying the condition in 3.10. We call a morphism $X \rightarrow X^{**}$, or the module X^{**} , the *reflexive hull* of X .

We next discuss kernel-cokernel pairs in $\text{ref } \Lambda$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring such that $\text{ref } \Lambda$ has kernels and cokernels. A complex $L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is a kernel-cokernel pair if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (i) $f = \ker g$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$.
- (ii) $C := \text{Coker } f \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ is torsion-free and g factors as $M \rightarrow C \hookrightarrow C^{**} \xrightarrow{\cong} N$.

Proof. By 3.9, the first condition is equivalent to $f = \ker g$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. By 3.10, the second condition is equivalent to $g = \text{coker } f$. \square

3.3.1. *Proof of 3.8, if part.* Now we assume that Λ is a Noetherian ring satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. We shall prove that the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian. We need a preparation on characterizations of admissible monomorphisms and epimorphisms.

Lemma 3.13. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition and $f: L \rightarrow M$ be a morphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$.*

- (1) *There is a kernel-cokernel pair $L \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ if and only if f is a monomorphism in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with torsion-free cokernel.*
- (2) *There is a kernel-cokernel pair $K \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ if and only if the cokernel has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$.*

Proof. (1) Since Λ satisfies the (2, 2)-condition, we have by 3.9 that $\text{ref } \Lambda \subset \text{mod } \Lambda$ is closed under kernels. Then the assertion follows immediately from 3.12.

(2) If there is a kernel-cokernel pair $K \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M$, then by 3.12, we must have $\text{Coker } f = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } C, \Lambda)$ for $C := \text{Im } f$. Since Λ^{op} satisfies the (2, 2)-condition, this has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$. Conversely, if $\text{s.grade Coker } f \geq 2$, it is easily seen that $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(L, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(K, -)$ is exact on $\text{ref } \Lambda$, that is, f is a cokernel. \square

We note some examples of non-admissible monomorphisms or epimorphisms.

Example 3.14. Let $\Lambda = R$ be a commutative Noetherian normal domain. Let $x \in R$ be a non-unit. Then $f: R \xrightarrow{x} R$ is a non-admissible monomorphism. In fact, its cokernel in $\text{ref } R$ is $(R/(x))^{**} = 0$, so $\ker(\text{coker } f) = \ker(R \rightarrow 0) = 1_R \not\subseteq f$. Similarly, the map f is an epimorphism in $\text{ref } R$, but it is not an admissible epimorphism.

Now we are ready to prove the ‘if’ part of 3.8.

Proof. Since Λ satisfies the (2, 2)-condition and the opposite, the condition in 3.9(iv) holds for Λ and Λ^{op} , therefore $\text{ref } \Lambda$ has kernels and cokernels.

It remains to show that kernels (resp. cokernels) are closed under pull-backs (resp. push-outs). First consider the assertion for the push-out. Let $L \rightarrow M$ be an admissible monomorphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. Then by 3.13(1) it extends to an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with X torsion-free. Let $L \rightarrow N$ be

an arbitrary morphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ and consider the diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & Y^{**} & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the upper left square is a push-out in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, and $Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is the reflexive hull, so that pasting the left squares yields a push-out in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. We have to show that in the exact sequence of the last row, the module $Z \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ is torsion-free. Since X is torsion-free, we see by the middle exact sequence that Y is also torsion-free. Then the lower right square yields an exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$ yields an isomorphism $Z^* \xrightarrow{\simeq} X^*$ by $\text{grade } \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \geq 2$, hence $X^{**} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Z^{**}$. Comparing this isomorphism with (3.2), we get an exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^1(\text{Tr } Z, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda)$$

since X is torsion-free. On the other hand, the middle exact sequence in (3.1) yields an exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N^{**} \longrightarrow Y^{**} \longrightarrow X^{**}.$$

Indeed, dualizing the sequence yields $0 \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow Y^* \rightarrow N^*$, whose cokernel C is a submodule of $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(X, \Lambda)$. Since X is torsion-free, it is a syzygy: $X = \Omega X'$ for some $X' \in \text{mod } \Lambda$. This shows that $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(X, \Lambda) = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(X', \Lambda)$ has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$, and therefore $\text{grade } C \geq 2$. Then taking the dual once more yields the desired sequence. Now, comparing the middle exact sequence of (3.1) and its double dual (3.4), we see that the map $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda)$ is injective. We conclude by (3.3) that $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(\text{Tr } Z, \Lambda) = 0$, that is, Z is torsion-free.

Next we consider the pull-back. Let $f: L \rightarrow M$ be an admissible epimorphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. By 3.13(2) it extends to an exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow L \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \searrow \\ X \\ \nearrow \end{array} M \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with $X := \text{Im } f$ and $\text{s.grade } Y \geq 2$. Now let $N \rightarrow M$ be an arbitrary morphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ and we want to form a pull-back of f along it. Note that the pull-back in the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is computed as a pull-back in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ since $\text{ref } \Lambda \subset \text{mod } \Lambda$ is closed under kernels by 3.9. Let Z be the image of $N \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y$, so that we get the diagram on the right below, with $X' := \text{Ker}(N \rightarrow Z)$. It is easy to see that its left square is a pull-back.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Also, consider the pull-back of the left half of the exact sequence in (3.5) along $X' \rightarrow X$, which yields a diagram on the left above. Now, pasting these pull-back squares yields a desired pull-back square (formed by L', L, N , and M) which lies in $\text{ref } \Lambda$, so the pull-back of the short exact sequence $K \rightarrow L \rightarrow M$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is $K \rightarrow L' \xrightarrow{f'} N$. Now, we have $\text{Coker } f' = Z \subset Y$ and $\text{s.grade } Y \geq 2$, thus $\text{s.grade } Z \geq 2$. We conclude by 3.13(2) that $K \rightarrow L' \xrightarrow{f'} N$ is also a kernel-cokernel pair. \square

3.3.2. *Proof of 3.8, only if part.* We prove the remaining half of 3.8.

Proof. Suppose that Λ is a Noetherian ring such that $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian. Since $\text{ref } \Lambda$ has kernels and cokernels, the conditions in 3.9 and 3.10 hold.

Let $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ be a conflation in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. Then the sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ is exact in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. We claim that the cokernel of the last map has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$. Let $X = \text{Coker}(M \rightarrow N)$ and $Y \subset X$ an arbitrary submodule. Pick a surjection $P \rightarrow Y$ from a projective module P and lift to $P \rightarrow N$. We take a pull-back of $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ along $P \rightarrow N$ to get a sequence on the first row below. This is a kernel-cokernel pair by our assumption that $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then, letting Z be the image of $K \rightarrow P$, we see by 3.10 that $Z \rightarrow P$ is the reflexive hull. Applying $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow P \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$, we immediately see $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(Y, \Lambda) = \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(Y, \Lambda) = 0$. This proves $\text{s.grade } X \geq 2$.

We next show that for any $A \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$, the module $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(A, \Lambda) \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ appears as the cokernel of the deflation. For this it is enough to start from $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ and consider the space $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda)$. Since it is the cokernel of $X \rightarrow X^{**}$, we may assume that X is torsion-free by replacing X by its image in X^{**} . Now, for a torsion-free module X , pick an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with $L, M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$, for example, M is projective and $L = \Omega X$. Then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow X^{**}$$

is a conflation in $\text{ref } \Lambda$, and the cokernel of the deflation is $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda)$.

The previous claims yield that Λ satisfies the $(2, 2)$ -condition. Since $(\text{ref } \Lambda)^{\text{op}} = \text{ref } (\Lambda^{\text{op}})$ is also a quasi-abelian category, we see that Λ^{op} also satisfies the $(2, 2)$ -condition. \square

3.4. Consequences and remarks. We list some immediate consequences of 3.8 for some special classes of rings satisfying the two-sided $(2, 2)$ -conditions.

First, we obtain the following, in view of 2.9. Compare [7], where they give this result for $\dim R = 2$ using a categorical argument.

Corollary 3.15. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian normal domain. Then the category $\text{ref } R$ is quasi-abelian.*

For Artin algebras of global dimension 2, we obtain a part of [18, 2.1].

Corollary 3.16. *Let Λ be an Artin algebra of global dimension 2. Then $\text{proj } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian if and only if Λ satisfies the two-sided $(2, 2)$ -condition.*

We record the following characterization of conflations in $\text{ref } \Lambda$.

Proposition 3.17. *Consider the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ with the maximum exact structure. For a complex $L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$, the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *This is a conflation in $\text{ref } \Lambda$.*
- (ii) *$f = \ker g$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, $C := \text{Coker } f \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ is torsion-free, and g factors as $M \twoheadrightarrow C \hookrightarrow C^{**} \xrightarrow{\cong} N$.*
- (iii) *$0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ is exact in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ and $\text{Coker } g$ has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$.*

We note the following information where (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) a special case of [16, 2.4].

Remark 3.18. If Λ satisfies the two-sided $(2, 2)$ -condition, the following are equivalent for $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$.

- (i) $X \simeq \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(A, \Lambda)$ for some $A \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.
- (ii) $\text{s.grade } X \geq 2$.
- (iii) $\text{grade } X \geq 2$.

Indeed, we only have to show that $\text{grade } X \geq 2$ implies $X \simeq \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(A, \Lambda)$ for some $A \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. Pick an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with $L, M, N \in \text{ref } \Lambda$. For example, one can take $M \rightarrow N$ as a projective presentation of X and L as its cokernel. Let C be the image of $M \rightarrow N$. Since $\text{grade } X \geq 2$ we see as in the proof of 3.10 that $C \rightarrow N$ is the reflexive hull, and hence the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ is isomorphic to $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C^{**} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } C, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0$, in particular $X \simeq \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } C, \Lambda)$.

3.5. Abelian categories. We have seen that the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian if and only if Λ satisfies the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. We further give a characterization when $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is abelian.

Theorem 3.19. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring. Then $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is abelian if and only if $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$.*

Remark 3.20. It should be noted that $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$ implies Λ is Artinian [15, Proposition 7]. Therefore, when Λ is finitely generated over its center, the situation of 3.19 is the same as the situation of Morita-Tachikawa correspondence (see 4.1).

Let Λ be an arbitrary Noetherian ring. We say that a Λ -module C is *torsion* if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions.

- $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(C, \Lambda) = 0$.
- The natural map $C \rightarrow C^{**}$ is 0.

The following observation is crucial.

Lemma 3.21. *Let Λ be an arbitrary Noetherian ring. Then $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$ if and only if $\text{s.grade } C \geq 2$ for every torsion Λ -module C .*

Proof. By the above equivalent conditions and the Auslander-Bridger sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^1(\text{Tr } C, \Lambda) \rightarrow C \rightarrow C^{**}$, the torsion Λ -modules are exactly the modules of the form $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^1(X, \Lambda)$ for some $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. We then obtain the desired result by recalling that $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$ is nothing but the (1, 2)-condition on Λ . \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this subsection.

Proof of 3.19. Note first that the condition $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$ is nothing but the (1, 2)-condition. By left-right symmetry of dominant dimension [13], this is equivalent to the two-sided (1, 2)-condition. In particular, it satisfies the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. Note also that abelian categories are exactly the quasi-abelian categories where every monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) is admissible (with respect to the maximum exact structure).

Suppose that $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$, so that $\text{s.grade } \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^1(X, \Lambda) \geq 2$ for all $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. We want to prove that every monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is admissible.

Let $L \rightarrow M$ be a monomorphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$, which is just a monomorphism in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. In view of 3.13(1), we have to show that the cokernel C in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ is torsion-free, in other words, that the torsion part $T := \text{Ker}(C \rightarrow C^{**}) = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^1(\text{Tr } C, \Lambda)$ is 0. By assumption we have $\text{grade } T \geq 2$, thus $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T, N) = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(T, N) = 0$ for all $N \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ by 3.3. Then, applying $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T, -)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ yields an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(T, L) \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{array},$$

which shows $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(T, C) = 0$, hence $T = 0$.

We next consider an epimorphism $M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. It is easy to see that $M \rightarrow N$ is an epimorphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ if and only if the cokernel C in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ is torsion. Then by 3.21 and 3.13(2), we see that $M \rightarrow N$ is admissible.

Now suppose conversely that $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is abelian. By 3.21 we have to show that $\text{s.grade } C \geq 2$ for all torsion Λ -module C . Let C be as such and consider a projective presentation $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$. Then $f: P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ is an epimorphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ since C is torsion, which extends to a conflation $\text{Ker } f \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ by our assumption that $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is abelian. By 3.17, we conclude that the cokernel C of the deflation has $\text{s.grade } \geq 2$. \square

4. ARTIN ALGEBRAS

We apply the results in the previous section to finite dimensional over a field k . Let Λ be such an algebra and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda \longrightarrow I^0 \longrightarrow I^1 \longrightarrow I^2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

be the minimal injective resolution in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Then,

- the (1, 2)-condition says $\text{proj. dim } I^0 = \text{proj. dim } I^1 = 0$, that is, $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$.
- the (2, 2)-condition says $\text{proj. dim } I^0 \leq 1$ and $\text{proj. dim } I^1 \leq 1$,

Let us recall the following classical result on finite dimensional algebras of $\text{dom. dim } \geq 2$. We say that pairs (Σ, A) and (Σ', A') consisting of a finite dimensional algebra Σ (resp. Σ') and $A \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ (resp. $A' \in \text{mod } \Sigma'$) are *Morita equivalent* if there is an equivalence $\text{mod } \Sigma \simeq \text{mod } \Sigma'$ restricting to an equivalence $\text{add } A \simeq \text{add } A'$. Also, we say that a module $A \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ is a *generator* (resp. a *cogenerator*) if $\Sigma \in \text{add } A$ (resp. $D\Sigma \in \text{add } A$).

Theorem 4.1 (Morita-Tachikawa correspondence). *There exists a bijection between the following.*

- (i) *The set of Morita equivalence classes of pairs (Σ, A) , where Σ is a finite dimensional algebra and $A \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ a generator-cogenerator.*
- (ii) *The set of Morita equivalence classes of finite dimensional algebras Λ with $\text{dom. dim } \Lambda \geq 2$.*

The correspondence is given by $(\Sigma, A) \mapsto \text{End}_\Sigma(A)$.

Let Λ be a finite dimensional algebra of $\text{dom. dim } \geq 2$. Then by 3.19 the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is abelian. On the other hand, the Morita-Tachikawa correspondence above says that there is a finite dimensional algebra Σ and a generator-cogenerator $A \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ such that $\Lambda = \text{End}_\Sigma(A)$. Our interpretation of 4.1 in terms of 3.19 is the following, which says that the abelian category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is nothing but the module category over the original algebra Σ .

Proposition 4.2. *We have an equivalence $\text{ref } \Lambda \simeq \text{mod } \Sigma$.*

Proof. By Morita-Tachikawa correspondence we may start from the pair (Σ, A) and put $\Lambda = \text{End}_\Sigma(A)$. We show that the functor

$$\text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, -): \text{mod } \Sigma \longrightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda$$

is an equivalence.

We first note that this functor is well-defined, that is, the functor takes value in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. Indeed, take an injective resolution $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1$ of $X \in \text{mod } \Sigma$, which yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, I^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, I^1)$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Since A is a cogenerator the last two terms lie in $\text{proj } \Lambda$, thus $\text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, X)$ is a second syzygy, hence is reflexive.

Next, it is well-known that A being a generator implies that the functor $\text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, -)$ is fully faithful (see e.g. the proof of 6.2 below).

Finally, we show that the functor is dense. If $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ with $P^0, P^1 \in \text{proj } \Lambda$. Since $\text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, -): \text{add } A \rightarrow \text{proj } \Lambda$ is an equivalence, the map $P^0 \rightarrow P^1$ comes from a map $A^0 \rightarrow A^1$ in $\text{add } A \subset \text{mod } \Sigma$. Letting $B \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ be its kernel, we see that $M = \text{Hom}_\Sigma(A, B)$. \square

For example, one can take (higher) Auslander algebras as algebras [19, 20] of dominant dimension ≥ 2 .

Example 4.3. Let $n \geq 1$ and let Λ be an n -Auslander algebra, that is one has $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda \leq n+1 \leq \text{dom. dim } \Lambda$. Let (Σ, A) be the pair consisting of a finite dimensional algebra Σ and $A \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ an n -cluster tilting object. By 4.2 we see that $\text{mod } \Sigma \simeq \text{ref } \Lambda$, which is a description of the original module category $\text{mod } \Sigma$ in terms of the (higher) Auslander algebra Λ .

As another instance of an interpretation of our result, we recall the following result on finite dimensional algebras satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition.

Theorem 4.4 (Auslander correspondence for torsion(-free) classes, [18]). *Let Λ be a finite dimensional algebra. There exists a bijection between the following.*

- (i) *The set of equivalence classes of categories \mathcal{C} such that there is a finite dimensional algebra Σ such that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to a torsion-free class in $\text{mod } \Sigma$.*

- (ii) *The set of Morita equivalence classes of finite dimensional algebras Λ of global dimension ≤ 2 satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition.*

Applying 3.8 to such Auslander algebras of torsion(-free) classes, we get the following which is a part of [18, 2.1].

Proposition 4.5. *Let Σ be a finite dimensional algebra and let $M \in \text{mod } \Sigma$ such that $\text{add } M \subset \text{mod } \Sigma$ is a torsion-free class. Then $\text{add } M$ is quasi-abelian.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \text{End}_\Sigma(M)$. By 4.4, the algebra Λ has global dimension ≤ 2 and satisfies the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. We have $\text{ref } \Lambda \simeq \text{proj } \Lambda \simeq \text{add } M$ by $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda \leq 2$, and this category is quasi-abelian by 3.8. \square

In view of the above results, it would be interesting to ask the following.

Question 4.6. Let Λ be a finite dimensional algebra satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. Does there exist a finite dimensional algebra Σ such that category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ has a nice representation theoretic meaning in terms of Σ ?

When Λ has dominant dimension ≥ 2 , then $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is nothing but a module category over some Σ by 4.2. Also, when Λ has global dimension ≤ 2 , then $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is a torsion(-free) class in a module category over some Σ by 4.4. The above question asks whether one can find such a Σ for general Λ .

5. NON-MAXIMUM EXACT STRUCTURES

Let Λ be a Noetherian ring satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. We have seen in 3.8 that the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is quasi-abelian, so it has a maximum exact structure. The aim of this section is to give a systematic construction of exact structures on $\text{ref } \Lambda$.

For a Noetherian ring Λ we set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{s.grade } X \geq 2\},$$

which is a Serre subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ (see 2.2).

Theorem 5.1. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring satisfying the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. Consider the following two classes.*

- (i) *Exact structures on $\text{ref } \Lambda$.*
- (ii) *Serre subcategories of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ contained in \mathcal{D} .*

Then we have well-defined correspondences given as follows:

- *From (i) to (ii): For an exact structure \mathcal{S} (the class of conflations), assign the subcategory $\{\text{Coker } g \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \text{ is in } \mathcal{S}\}$.*
- *From (ii) to (i): For a Serre subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$, declare the sequence $L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ to be a conflation if and only if $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ is exact in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ and $\text{Coker } g \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Moreover, the composite (ii) \rightarrow (i) \rightarrow (ii) is the identity on the class (ii).

Let us show that the correspondence from (ii) to (i) is well-defined.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$ a Serre subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Then the class*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) := \{L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \text{ in } \text{ref } \Lambda \mid 0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \text{ is exact in } \text{mod } \Lambda \text{ and } \text{Coker } g \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

forms an exact structure on $\text{ref } \Lambda$.

We start with a preparation which is a generalization of 3.13.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a Serre subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ and let $f: L \rightarrow M$ be a morphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$.*

- (1) *There is a sequence $L \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if f is a monomorphism in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, $X := \text{Coker } f \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ is torsion-free, and satisfies $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{A}$.*
- (2) *There is a sequence $K \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $\text{Coker } f \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. (1) If f extends to a sequence $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, then $A := \text{Coker } g$ lies in \mathcal{A} . Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. This first shows that X , being a submodule of N , is torsion-free. Also, since $A \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$ has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$, we see that $X \rightarrow N$ is the reflexive hull, hence $A = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda)$.

Suppose conversely that $X = \text{Coker } f$ is torsion-free and $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the Auslander-Bridger sequence yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow X^{**} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0$, and we get a sequence $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow X^{**}$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$.

(2) This is clear. \square

Now we are able to prove well-definedness of the correspondence from (ii) to (i) in 5.1.

Proof of 5.2. We have to check the axioms in 3.5.

(i) Clearly, $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under isomorphisms and contains split exact sequences.

(ii) We show that admissible monomorphisms are closed under compositions. Let $L \xrightarrow{f} M$ and $M \xrightarrow{g} N$ be admissible monomorphisms. By 5.3(1), we know that $X := \text{Coker } f$ and $Z := \text{Coker } g$ are torsion-free, and that $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda), \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Z, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{A}$. If we put $Y := \text{Coker}(gf)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z & & \end{array}$$

shows Y is also torsion-free. It remains to prove $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{A}$. Dualizing the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$, we get $0 \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow Y^* \rightarrow Z^*$ whose cokernel C is a submodule of $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(X, \Lambda)$. Since X is torsion-free, we see that $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(X, \Lambda)$ has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$, hence $\text{grade } C \geq 2$. It follows that the doubly dualized sequence $0 \rightarrow X^{**} \rightarrow Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ is exact. Then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X^{**} & \longrightarrow & Y^{**} & \longrightarrow & Z^{**} & & \end{array}$$

yields an exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Z, \Lambda),$$

which shows that the middle term $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda)$ also lies in \mathcal{A} .

(ii)^{op} We next show that admissible epimorphisms are closed under compositions. If $f: L \rightarrow M$ and $g: M \rightarrow N$ are admissible epimorphisms, it is easy to see that we have an exact sequence $\text{Coker } f \rightarrow \text{Coker}(gf) \rightarrow \text{Coker } g$. Then $\text{Coker } f$ and $\text{Coker } g$ lying in \mathcal{A} implies $\text{Coker}(gf) \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) We show that admissible monomorphisms are closed under push-outs. Let $f: L \rightarrow M$ be an admissible monomorphism and $g: M \rightarrow N$ an arbitrary morphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$. As in the proof of 3.8, the push-out of f along g in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ is computed as the reflexive hull of the push-out in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, and we get the following diagram identical to (3.1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{h} & Y^{**} & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We want to show that h is an admissible monomorphism, which is to say, by 5.3(1), that Z is torsion-free and $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Z, \Lambda) \in \mathcal{A}$. We know from the proof of 3.8 that Z is indeed torsion-free. Also, the last two rows

yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \rightarrow 0$, hence $X^{**} \xrightarrow{\cong} Z^{**}$ by $\text{grade Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Y, \Lambda) \geq 2$. Comparing these we get a surjection $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } X, \Lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}^2(\text{Tr } Z, \Lambda)$, which implies the codomain is in \mathcal{A} since the domain is.

(iii)^{op} We finally prove that the admissible epimorphisms are closed under pull-backs, but this is done analogously to the proof of 3.8, see the last paragraph there. \square

Let us turn to the converse correspondence.

Proposition 5.4. *For an exact structure \mathcal{S} on $\text{ref } \Lambda$, the category $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) := \{\text{Coker } g \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \text{ is in } \mathcal{S}\}$ is a Serre subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$.*

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *Let \mathcal{S} be an exact structure on $\text{ref } \Lambda$. Then for each $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ there is a conflation $M \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} P$ in \mathcal{S} with $P, Q \in \text{proj } \Lambda$ such that $\text{Coker } g = A$.*

Proof. If $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ there is a conflation $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ which is part of an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. First, pick a surjection $P \rightarrow A$ with $P \in \text{proj } \Lambda$ and lift it to $P \rightarrow N$, which yields the commutative diagram below by taking a pull-back of $M \rightarrow N \leftarrow P$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then the first row, being the pull-back of the conflation in the second row, is a conflation.

Next, pick a surjection $Q \rightarrow K$ with $Q \in \text{proj } \Lambda$, which yields the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2 A & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Now, the map $Q \rightarrow P$ is a deflation since it is a composite $Q \rightarrow K \rightarrow P$ of deflations, and therefore the first row is also a conflation. \square

Proof of 5.4. We have to show that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed under submodules, quotient modules, and extensions.

Let $B \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ so that we have a conflation $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ such that $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Let $A \subset B$ be a submodule, take a surjection $P \rightarrow A$, and lift it to $P \rightarrow N$. Taking the pull-back K of $M \rightarrow N \leftarrow P$, we get a commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then the first row, being a pull-back of a conflation in the second row, is also a conflation, thus $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$.

We next consider the quotients. Let again $B \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. Then by 5.5 we may take a conflation $L \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ such that $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ and $P_0, P_1 \in \text{proj } \Lambda$. Let $B \twoheadrightarrow C$ be a quotient module. Let $X = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$ and take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ with $Q \in \text{proj } \Lambda$, which yields the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We claim that the leftmost square is a push-out in $\text{ref } \Lambda$, which shows that the second row is a conflation, hence $C \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$. Let us put $Y = \text{Im}(P_1 \rightarrow P_0)$, and let Z be the push-out of $M \leftarrow L \rightarrow P_1$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Then we have a natural map $Z \rightarrow Q$, and commutative diagrams below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & X \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

The right commutative diagram shows that $Y \rightarrow X$ is injective whose cokernel is $\text{Ker}(B \rightarrow C)$, hence in particular has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$. Then the last two rows in the left diagram shows that $Z \rightarrow Q$ is injective whose cokernel has $\text{s.grade} \geq 2$. This implies that $Z \rightarrow Q$ is the reflexive hull, which gives our claim.

It remains to prove that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ is closed under extensions, but in view of 5.5 this is a straightforward consequence of the horseshoe lemma. \square

Now we summarize the proof of 5.1.

Proof of 5.1. The maps are well-defined by 5.2 and 5.4. To prove that the composite (ii) \rightarrow (i) \rightarrow (ii) is the identity, we have to check that every object in \mathcal{A} appears as the cokernel of an $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ -deflation. We can indeed take it, for example, as $0 \rightarrow \Omega^2 A \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_0, P_1 \in \text{proj } \Lambda$. \square

The following example shows that the above correspondences are not bijections. In fact, while the class (i) is clearly invariant under the reflexive equivalence, the class (ii) does depend on it.

Example 5.6. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and let $S = k[[x, y]]$. Let G be a finite small subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(k)$, and let $R = S^G$ the invariant ring. Then we have $S * G \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_R(S)$ and a reflexive equivalence

$$\text{Hom}_R(S, -): \text{ref } R \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{ref } S * G .$$

Note that since R and $S * G$ is of dimension 2, the category \mathcal{D} is nothing but the finite length modules over these rings, and the Serre subcategories of $\text{fl } \Lambda$ is classified by the subsets of simple Λ -modules.

Remark 5.7. This should be compared with the results in [9], which gives a classification of exact structures on an additive category \mathcal{E} in terms of its functor category $\text{mod } \mathcal{E}$. Note that Theorem 5.1 above is for $\mathcal{E} = \text{ref } \Lambda$ is in terms of $\text{mod } \Lambda$, not $\text{mod } \mathcal{E}$, so the results are independent in this sense.

6. MORITA THEOREM

6.1. Statements and examples. We establish Morita theorem characterizing the category of reflexive modules among quasi-abelian categories. Let us prepare one notion on exact categories.

Definition 6.1. Let \mathcal{E} be an exact category. An object $M \in \mathcal{E}$ is called

- a *generator* if for any $X \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an admissible epimorphism $N \rightarrow X$ with $N \in \text{add } M$,
- a *cogenerator* if for any $X \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an admissible monomorphism $X \rightarrow N$ with $N \in \text{add } M$,
- a *generator-cogenerator* if it is both a generator and a cogenerator.

Our Morita theorem for quasi-abelian categories is the following.

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a quasi-abelian category. Suppose that there is a generator-cogenerator $M \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\Lambda := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ is two-sided Noetherian. Then Λ satisfies the two-sided (2, 2)-condition and we have an equivalence*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -): \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{ref } \Lambda .$$

Consequently we obtain the following characterization of reflexive equivalence. We refer to [21, 2.4] for an analogous result for module-finite algebras over commutative Noetherian normal domains.

Theorem 6.3. *Let Λ be a Noetherian ring satisfying the two-sided (2,2)-condition. The following are equivalent for a Noetherian ring Γ .*

(i) *There is an equivalence of categories $\text{ref } \Lambda \simeq \text{ref } \Gamma$.*

(ii) *There is a generator-cogenerator $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ in the maximum exact structure such that $\Gamma \simeq \text{End}_\Lambda(M)$.*

In this case, Γ also satisfies the two-sided (2,2)-condition.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $\Gamma \in \text{ref } \Gamma$ is certainly a generator-cogenerator, we may set $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ to be the object corresponding to $\Gamma \in \text{ref } \Gamma$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) This is a consequence of 6.2.

The last assertion follows from 3.8 as it shows the two-sided (2,2)-condition is preserved under reflexive equivalences. \square

Since one can freely add summands for generator-cogenerators, we have the following consequence.

Example 6.4. Let Λ be a Noetherian rings satisfying the two-sided (2,2)-condition. Then for any $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ the module $\Lambda \oplus M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ is also a generator-cogenerator. It follows that whenever $\Gamma = \text{End}_\Lambda(\Lambda \oplus M)$ is Noetherian, we have a reflexive equivalence

$$\text{ref } \Lambda \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{ref } \Gamma .$$

In particular, Γ also satisfies the two-sided (2,2)-condition.

Let us also discuss how to explain [21, 2.4] in our context. Let R be a commutative Noetherian normal domain, and let Λ be a symmetric R -algebra (that is, $\Lambda \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ in $\text{Mod } \Lambda \otimes_R \Lambda^{\text{op}}$). We say $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ is a *height 1 progenerator* if $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{mod } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a progenerator for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ of height 1.

Proposition 6.5 ([21, 2.4]). *Let $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ be a height 1 progenerator and $\Gamma = \text{End}_\Lambda(M)$. Then the functor $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, -): \text{ref } \Lambda \rightarrow \text{ref } \Gamma$ is an equivalence.*

In view of 6.2, this is a consequence of the following observation.

Proposition 6.6. *Any height 1 progenerator is a generator-cogenerator.*

Proof. Suppose that $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ is height 1 progenerator and we show that it is a generator-cogenerator. Let $X \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ and take a right (add M)-approximation $f: N \rightarrow X$. Since approximations are preserved under localizations, the map $N_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a right (add $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$)-approximation, and hence is surjective since $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{proj } \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that $\text{Coker } f \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ has codimension ≥ 2 , and thus s.grade ≥ 2 since R is normal. Therefore, f is an (admissible) epimorphism in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ by 3.17, and hence $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ is a generator. Now, if M is a height 1 progenerator, then so is $M^* \in \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ by $(M^*)_{\mathfrak{p}} = (M_{\mathfrak{p}})^*$. It follows from the previous step that $M^* \in \text{ref } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ is a generator, and then by duality we deduce that $M \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ is a cogenerator. \square

Note that the converse of the above implication does not hold. Indeed, let R be an arbitrary commutative Noetherian domain and $\Lambda = R[x]/(x^2)$. Then $R \oplus \Lambda \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ is a generator-cogenerator but not a height 1 projective.

6.2. Proof. The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of 6.2. Let us start with some preparatory lemmas. Recall that a ring A is *left coherent* (resp. *right coherent*) if the category $\text{proj } A$ has weak kernels (resp. weak cokernels). A *coherent ring* is a ring which is left and right coherent.

Lemma 6.7. *Let \mathcal{E} be an exact category, $M \in \mathcal{E}$, and $\Lambda = \text{End}_\Lambda(M)$.*

(1) *If M is a cogenerator and Λ is left coherent, then $\text{add } M$ is contravariantly finite.*

(2) *If M is a generator and Λ is right coherent, then $\text{add } M$ is covariantly finite.*

Proof. We only prove (1). Let $X \in \mathcal{E}$. Since M is a cogenerator, we have a conflation $X \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow Y$ and an admissible monomorphism $Y \rightarrow M^1$ with $M^0, M^1 \in \text{add } M$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -)$ we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, M^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, M^1)$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Since $\Lambda = \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ is left coherent, we see that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X)$ is finitely presented as a left Λ -module, and hence X has a right (add M)-approximation. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Let \mathcal{E} be an exact category and $M \in \mathcal{E}$ a generator-cogenerator such that $\Lambda = \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ is coherent.*

- (1) For each $X \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an admissible epimorphism $M_0 \rightarrow X$ which is a right $(\text{add } M)$ -approximation.
(2) For each $X \in \mathcal{E}$ there is an admissible monomorphism $X \rightarrow M^0$ which is a left $(\text{add } M)$ -approximation.

Proof. We only prove (1). Since M is a generator we have an admissible epimorphism $M_1 \rightarrow X$, and since M is a cogenerator we also have a right $(\text{add } M)$ -approximation $M_2 \rightarrow X$ by 6.7(1). Then $M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow X$ is an admissible epimorphism which is a right $(\text{add } M)$ -approximation. \square

The following step is crucial.

Lemma 6.9. *Let $M \in \mathcal{E}$ be a generator-cogenerator such that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ is coherent. Then for every $X \in \mathcal{E}$ we have the following.*

- (1) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, X)^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, M)$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.
(2) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, M)^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, X)$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$.

Therefore, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{mod } \Lambda \\ & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, -) \nearrow & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{E} & & (-)^* \\ & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(-, M) \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}. \end{array}$$

Proof. We only prove (1). Since M is a generator we have an admissible epimorphism $M_0 \rightarrow X$, which we may assume to be a right $(\text{add } M)$ -approximation by 6.8 since M is a cogenerator as well. Taking the similar morphism for the kernel, we get a presentation

$$M_1 \longrightarrow M_0 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

which induces an exact sequence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, M_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, X) \rightarrow 0$ in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. Applying $(-)^* = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$ to this and comparing it with the one obtained by applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(-, M)$ to the above presentation, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, X)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, M_0)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, M_1) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M_0, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M_1, M) \end{array}$$

in $\text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ whose right two vertical maps are isomorphism. We conclude that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, X)^* \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, M)$, as desired. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result 6.2 of this section.

Proof of 6.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a quasi-abelian category and $M \in \mathcal{C}$ be a generator-cogenerator such that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) = \Lambda$ is Noetherian.

We first show that the functor $F = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \Lambda$ is fully faithful. This is the standard “generator correspondence”. We have to prove that

$$F_{X,Y}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(FX, FY)$$

is an isomorphism for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. This is clearly the case for $X \in \text{add } M$. By 6.8, we may take an admissible epimorphism $M_0 \rightarrow X$ which is a right $(\text{add } M)$ -approximation. Taking the similar morphism for the kernel, we get a presentation $M_1 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ which yields an exact sequence $FM_1 \rightarrow FM_0 \rightarrow FX \rightarrow 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, FY)$ and comparing with the one obtained by applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, Y)$ to the presentation, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M_0, Y) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M_1, Y) \\ & & \downarrow F_{X,Y} & & \downarrow F_{M_0,Y} \wr & & \downarrow F_{M_1,Y} \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(FX, FY) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(FM_0, FY) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(FM_1, FY), \end{array}$$

in which the right two vertical maps are isomorphisms. We conclude by five lemma that so is the remaining one $F_{X,Y}$. This proves that F is fully faithful.

We know by 6.7 that the above functor values in $\text{mod } \Lambda$, and moreover in $\text{ref } \Lambda$ by 6.9. It remains to show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda$ is essentially surjective. Let $L \in \text{ref } \Lambda$ and pick an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow P^0 \xrightarrow{f} P^1$ with $P^0, P^1 \in \text{proj } \Lambda$. Let $M^0 \xrightarrow{g} M^1$ be the morphism in $\text{add } M \subset \mathcal{C}$ corresponding to f under the equivalence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, -): \text{add } M \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{proj } \Lambda$. Since \mathcal{C} has kernels, we may set $X = \text{Ker } g$ in \mathcal{C} , which then shows $L \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, X)$.

We have proved that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{ref } \Lambda$. We conclude by 3.8 that Λ satisfies the two-sided (2, 2)-condition. \square

Remark 6.10. We made no essential use of the condition \mathcal{C} is quasi-abelian in the course of the above proof. In fact, for an arbitrary exact category \mathcal{E} and a generator-cogenerator $M \in \mathcal{E}$ with coherent endomorphism ring Λ , we have a fully faithful functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, -): \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \text{ref } \Lambda$$

which can be seen to be an equivalence, for example, when \mathcal{E} has kernels. However, this is not an optimal result, for example in the following points.

- We would like the equivalence to preserve the exact structures, but there is no known natural exact structure on $\text{ref } \Lambda$ for general Λ .
- Since the category $\text{ref } \Lambda$ does not necessarily have kernels (see 3.9), it is not natural to assume that \mathcal{E} has kernels.

It would therefore be an interesting task to formulate a categorical structure (possibly weaker than an exact structure or perhaps just additive categories) and characterize the category of reflexive modules among them.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin and W. Schelter, Graded algebras of global dimension 3, *Adv. Math.* 66 (1987), no. 2, 171-216.
- [2] M. Artin, J. Tate, and M. Van den Bergh, Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves, in: *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I*, 33-85, *Progr. Math.* 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [3] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969.
- [4] M. Auslander, Representation dimension of Artin algebras, *Queen Mary College mathematics notes*, London, 1971.
- [5] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, k -Gorenstein algebras and syzygy modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 92 (1994) 1-27.
- [6] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, Syzygy modules over Noetherian rings, *J. Algebra* 183 (1996) 167-185.
- [7] A. Bodzenta and A. Bondal, Reconstruction of a surface from the category of reflexive sheaves, *Adv. Math.* 434 (2023), Paper No. 109338, 38 pp.
- [8] T. Bühler, Exact categories, *Expo. Math.* 28 (2010), no. 1, 1-69.
- [9] H. Enomoto, Classification of exact structures and Cohen-Macaulay-finite algebras, *Adv. Math.* 335 (2018) 838-877.
- [10] R. M. Fossum, P. A. Griffith, and I. Reiten, *Trivial extensions of abelian categories*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 456, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. xi+122 pp.
- [11] P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 90 (1962), pp. 323-448.
- [12] V. Ginzburg, Calabi-Yau algebras, [arXiv:0612139](https://arxiv.org/abs/0612139).
- [13] M. Hoshino, On dominant dimension of Noetherian rings, *Osaka J. Math.* 26 (1989), no. 2, 275-280.
- [14] F. Ischebeck, Eine Dualität zwischen den Funktoren Ext und Tor, (German) *J. Algebra* 11 (1969), 510-531.
- [15] Y. Iwanaga and H. Sato, Minimal injective resolutions of Gorenstein rings, *Comm. Algebra* 18 (1990), no. 11, 3835-3856.
- [16] O. Iyama, Symmetry and duality on n -Gorenstein rings, *J. Algebra* 269 (2003), no. 2, 528-535.
- [17] O. Iyama, τ -categories III: Auslander orders and Auslander-Reiten quivers, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 8 (2005), no. 5, 601-619.
- [18] O. Iyama, The relationship between homological properties and representation theoretic realization of artin algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (2005) no.2, 709-734.
- [19] O. Iyama, Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories, *Adv. Math.* 210 (2007) 22-50.
- [20] O. Iyama, Auslander correspondence, *Adv. Math.* 210 (2007) 51-82.
- [21] O. Iyama and I. Reiten, Fomin-Zelevinsky mutation and tilting modules over Calabi-Yau algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* 130 (2008), no. 4, 1087-1149.
- [22] O. Iyama and M. Wemyss, Maximal modifications and Auslander-Reiten duality for non-isolated singularities, *Invent. Math.* 197 (2014), no. 3, 521-586.
- [23] B. Keller, Deformed Calabi-Yau completions, with an appendix by M. Van den Bergh, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 654 (2011) 125-180.
- [24] H. Krause, On Matlis reflexive modules, [arXiv:2404.16711](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16711).

- [25] I. Reiten, and R. Fossum, Commutative n -Gorenstein rings, Math. Scand. 31 (1972), 33–48.
- [26] H. Tachikawa, Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations. QF-3 and QF-1 rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 351. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. xi+172 pp.
- [27] M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative crepant resolutions, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, 749–770, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744 MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN
Email address: `hanihara@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`