

Newman-Janis Algorithm from Taub-NUT Instantons

Joon-Hwi Kim¹

¹Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

We explicitly establish that the Kerr metric represents a pair of self-dual and anti-self-dual gravitational dyons (Taub-NUT instantons). We show that the Newman-Janis algorithm precisely originates from this fact. More generally, this program of understanding four-dimensional black holes as systems of chiral dyons extends to Kerr-Newman and Kerr-Taub-NUT solutions as well.

Introduction.—The Newman-Janis algorithm (NJA) [1] remains a fascinating enigma in theoretical physics. Observed sixty years ago, the algorithm “derives” the rotating black hole solution of Kerr [2] by applying a “complex coordinate transformation” to the static black hole solution of Schwarzschild. This process, however, involves a series of mysterious steps: some elements in the metric have to be promoted to particular combinations of certain complex variables without a good justification, which are then complex-transformed through an ad-hoc prescription. Crucially, these manipulations have not been grounded in any established principle, so the algorithm still stands today as a merely formal trick without a geometrical or physical foundation.

Such a lack of a clear explanation is unsettling, especially since the algorithm holds the historical significance as the very insight that gave birth to the charged rotating black hole solution, known as the Kerr-Newman solution [3]. Notably, the decades-long search for possible explanations [4–75] has resulted in a variety of perspectives on the algorithm’s true identity. At one extreme, there lies the view that the NJA is a “*fluke*” [47] that merely tricks one’s eyes. E.g., the work [27] portrays this view by providing an alternative derivation of rotating black hole solutions while describing the NJA as “methods which transcend logic.” In the middle ground, there lies most of works viewing the NJA as a *noteworthy technical trick*. This line of research focuses on resolving some of the algorithm’s inherent ambiguities, identifying underlying assumptions, or testing its generalizations to larger classes of solutions. Finally, the other extreme arises from the serious stance taken by Newman himself. For him the algorithm must be signaling a *deeper physical principle*, which he speculates as an electric-magnetic duality between orbital and spin angular momenta [62]. Eventually, this sparks the curious idea of unifying spacetime and spin intrinsically into a complex manifold [60–71].

Meanwhile, interestingly, a recent line of work [76–91] has confirmed that there indeed exists a genuine physical content in the NJA: black holes are the *simplest* objects in terms of their gravitational interactions. This modern approach strikingly takes a “particle physics” perspective and imagines observing the Kerr black hole by throwing gravitons (or gravitational waves) from far away, as if one detects the internal structure of proton by firing electrons in a particle collider. Intriguingly, it is then revealed, in the language of scattering cross-sections, that the Kerr black hole, despite the images of its extended




FIG. 1. The ring singularity of Kerr black hole turns into a pair of SD and ASD Taub-NUT instantons.

geometrical features such as the ring singularity or the horizon that we have in our minds, behaves simply like an *ideally pointlike* object when the incident gravitons are all circularly polarized in either right or left handedness, i.e., self-dual (SD) or anti-self-dual (ASD) [77–83, 89, 90]. In this sense, the Kerr black hole’s “graviton X-ray image” shows just *two* objects: a point that absorbs only SD gravitons and another point that absorbs only ASD gravitons! This stunning simplicity is then identified as the physical root of the NJA [80], as the coordinates of those points are accordingly complex-valued [60].

Crucially, this new finding of *field theorists* decisively asserts that the NJA encodes something invariantly physical, as it is recast as a statement about scattering amplitudes: a gauge-invariant physical observable. Unfortunately, it is also by this reason that they did not demystify every aspect of the algorithm in its original, *relativist* form as a statement about bulk metrics. Moreover, since the graviton approach is inherently perturbative, an exact resummed picture is lacking. Consequently, there remains a question of finding a faithful explanation of the NJA within a more traditional relativist, or geometer, angle that would also incorporate the field theorists’ new insight. “Can there be a lucid exact metric-level representation of Kerr solution that vividly manifests the simplicity of its graviton X-ray image?”

Remarkably, in this letter we disclose a fascinating new way of understanding the Kerr solution which completely resolves this curiosity. We establish at the exact metric level that the Kerr geometry secretly describes a pair of SD and ASD *Taub-NUT instantons* [92, 93], which are known to describe the gravitational analog of dyons. Crucially, these SD and ASD dyons are exactly the ideally pointlike objects in the graviton X-ray image, rendering the imageries of the field theorists into reality! Furthermore, this realization leads to a *principled derivation of the Kerr metric* as a system of SD and ASD dyons, from which the NJA in the original relativist sense is faith-

fully deciphered. In consequence, we elevate the NJA to a systematic and rigorous derivation of the Kerr metric. Lastly, we describe how this “factorization” of black holes into Taub-NUT instantons works in more general circumstances, notably for the Kerr-Newman case.

Factorization of Kerr.—The Kerr metric [2] is a stationary solution to vacuum Einstein’s equations, characterized by two parameters M and a . As the left-hand side of Fig. 1 depicts, its curvature singularity famously appears as a *ring* of radius a . Mathematically, it is described by the zero locus of a quartic polynomial:

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a^2)^2 + (2az)^2 = 0. \quad (1)$$

However, intriguingly, this ring singularity can also appear as a set of *two disjoint points*. If we allow x, y, z in Eq. (1) to take complex values, we obtain a complex-geometrical object [94] in the affine space \mathbb{C}^3 . The ring singularity is now the slice of this object by the real section $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, consider the slice $x, y, z \in i\mathbb{R}$. By Wick-rotating x, y, z in Eq. (1), we find

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + a^2)^2 - (2az)^2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

which boils down to $x^2 + y^2 + (z \pm a)^2 = 0$. This admits just two points for the solutions: $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, \pm a)$.

Notably, this innocuous “duality” between the ring and the pair of points acquires a far-reaching significance when we add the physical semantics. The above equation for the ring singularity is derived in Kerr-Schild (KS) coordinates, where the Kerr metric reads

$$-dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 + \frac{2Mr^3}{r^4 + a^2z^2} \left(-dt + \frac{rx - ay}{r^2 + a^2} dx + \frac{ry + ax}{r^2 + a^2} dy + \frac{z}{r} dz \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

Here r denotes a function of the coordinates x, y, z which the following equation implicitly defines:

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^2 + a^2} + \frac{z^2}{r^2} = 1. \quad (4)$$

Namely, in an equal-time snapshot, the two-surfaces of constant r appear as oblate spheroids, which degenerate to a disc of radius a at $r = 0$. The boundary of this disc is exactly the ring singularity described in Eq. (1).

Meanwhile, by analytic continuation, one can think of this metric as a solution to *holomorphic complexified* vacuum Einstein’s equations [95, 96]. In this context we perform a *total Wick rotation* to the metric, $x^\mu \mapsto ix^\mu$, as a change of coordinates. The resulting line element is

$$dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 + \frac{2iM\tilde{r}^3}{\tilde{r}^4 - a^2z^2} \left(dt - \frac{\tilde{r}x + iay}{\tilde{r}^2 - a^2} dx - \frac{\tilde{r}y - iax}{\tilde{r}^2 - a^2} dy - \frac{z}{\tilde{r}} dz \right)^2, \quad (5)$$

where \tilde{r} is defined as a function of the transformed x, y, z :

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\tilde{r}^2 - a^2} + \frac{z^2}{\tilde{r}^2} = 1. \quad (6)$$

An explicit relation is $r(ix, iy, iz) = i\tilde{r}(x, y, z)$, which follows from analytic continuation. Intuitively, the Wick rotation has turned the *oblate* spheroids into *prolate* spheroids. As a result, the line element in Eq. (5) is now ill-defined over a “needle” along $x, y = 0, z \in [-a, a]$ as the degenerate prolate spheroid at $r = a$. But intriguingly, the curvature invariants indicate that the true singularities are only the endpoints of this needle, which are exactly the two points described by Eq. (2).

Remarkably, the physical interpretation of these point singularities turn out to be *Taub-NUT instantons*, which are “extremal” cases of the Taub-NUT [97, 98] solution for the mass being $+i$ (SD) or $-i$ (ASD) times the NUT charge. If one zooms to the upper tip of the needle by taking $z \mapsto z + a$ in Eq. (5) and then sending $a \rightarrow \infty$, one exactly finds the SD Taub-NUT metric established by Ref. [75]. Similarly, the lower tip of the needle describes the ASD Taub-NUT metric. In Fig. 1, these instantons are depicted as a black dot (\bullet) and a white dot (\circ), respectively.

What is the physical interpretation of the bulk part of the needle, then? As is well-known, the Taub-NUT solution serves as the *gravitational analog of dyon*, i.e., a particle carrying both electric and magnetic charges [53, 99]. As such, its metric develops a line defect as the analog of the Dirac string, dubbed *Misner string* [100, 101]. Consistent with this fact, the bulk part of the needle precisely turns out to be a finite Misner string, transporting a gravitomagnetic flux between the instantons. In Fig. 1, this string is depicted as a dotted line connecting the dots.

To show this explicitly, one zooms to an arbitrary point $z = \eta a \in (-a, a)$ on the needle. The resulting line element is $(dt - 2iM d \log(x - iy))^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2$ [102], describing the image of the flat spacetime under a large diffeomorphism that creates a gravitomagnetic flux line.

In conclusion, the Kerr black hole “factorizes” into SD and ASD Taub-NUT instantons in a Wick-rotated frame [103], joined by a finite Misner string.

It is instructive to think of the charges and positions of these “gravitational dyons” as if measured in the original, asymptotically mostly-plus signature frame [104]:

$$\begin{cases} \text{SD instanton, } \bullet : (M/2, -iM/2) \text{ at } \vec{x} = +i\vec{a}, \\ \text{ASD instanton, } \circ : (M/2, +iM/2) \text{ at } \vec{x} = -i\vec{a}. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Here we have denoted $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$ and $\vec{a} = (0, 0, a)$. Note that the masses simply add up to the total value, M . And crucially, the angular momentum of the Kerr black hole arises as the gravitomagnetic dipole moment from a *static* configuration of opposite magnetic monopoles, like the N and S poles of a bar magnet: $\vec{J} = (-iM/2)(2i\vec{a}) = M\vec{a}$! Furthermore, all the black hole’s spin-induced multipole moments, which famously take the same magnitude with alternating signs [1, 61, 105], are exactly reproduced by this static distribution of point dyonic charges. Hence overall, it seems equally physical to understand the Kerr solution as a pair of chiral gravitational dyons.

Surely, this new interpretation deviates from the conventional view. However, as long as the Kerr metric

can be viewed as a holomorphic saddle in complexified general relativity, the two perspectives are equivalent descriptions of the same object. To emphasize this point, we have taken a “passive” (coordinate change) approach for relating the metrics. Especially, their singularities are understood as “shadows” of the same complex-geometrical entity.

Remarkably, as will be shown shortly, this equivalence between the two manifestations of Kerr is the physical origin of the NJA. Said in another way, the NJA has encoded a genuine physical statement about the singularity structure of the Kerr metric when understood as a holomorphic saddle in complexified general relativity. This could be the relativist take on the physical content of the NJA.

A few remarks are in order. The insight that the Kerr solution may represent a dipolar configuration had been noticed in the work [106], which provided an indirect argument based on the falloff behavior of a metric component in the setup of Kaluza-Klein compactification. Also notable is the recent analysis [107] on extremal Kerr-Newman. Here, we have provided a direct reasoning for the Kerr solution in four dimensions, in terms of concrete limits to each part of the explicit metric in Eq. (5).

Our construction is also distinct from the “black di-hole” [108–120] implementations of Kerr, which are simply just *real* metrics and involve no self-duality relation between mass and NUT charge.

Lastly, works [73, 121] have shown that the SD Kerr-Taub-NUT solution is a SD Taub-NUT solution. Crucially, this probes only the *SD sector* of our full-fledged, non-SD statement about Kerr. On a related note, Newman [60, 61] has conceived of the source of the Kerr solution formally as a *single* worldline in complex spacetime, which to us is evidently *one* of the SD or ASD instantons. However, a complete understanding of the NJA necessitates seeing *two* objects of both chiralities, so the crucial leap is to step out from the SD regime.

Nonlinear superposition of SD and ASD solutions.—Now we enter the central part of this paper. In the following, we show that “superposing” the SD and ASD Taub-NUT metrics together derives the Kerr metric in the precise form that arises from the NJA.

Surely, such a superposition between SD and ASD solutions is of a highly *nonlinear* character, crying out for a proper explanation. In this regard, we take advantage of the property of KS metrics that the exact vacuum Einstein’s equations are solved if the linearized equations are solved [122–124]. For simplicity, we limit our attention to KS metrics arising from null vector fields in (complexified) Minkowski space that are stationary, geodesic, shear-free, and unit-normalized as $\ell^0 = -1$, which we refer to as *canonical* null vector fields. They may be viewed as *projective* objects, as their normalization is uniquely fixed by $\ell^0 = -1$. We also borrow perspectives from a modern discourse [125] on KS solutions and assign the “single copy solution” $A_\mu = \theta \ell_\mu$ and “double copy solution” $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \theta \ell_\mu \ell_\nu$ for each canonical null vector

field ℓ , where ϵ is a perturbation parameter. It is easy to show that A_μ solves Maxwell’s equations as a gauge potential in flat spacetime while $g_{\mu\nu}$ solves the vacuum Einstein’s equations, if $\theta = \partial_\mu \ell^\mu$ is the expansion of the congruence of ℓ .

Building upon these ideas, we obtain the following theorem in the supplemental material. Suppose two canonical null vector fields $\ell_{1,2}$ with expansions $\theta_{1,2}$. If their single copy solutions are respectively SD and ASD, then $\eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\ell_\mu \ell_\nu$ describes the *nonlinear superposition* between their double copy solutions, where

$$\ell^\mu \propto \frac{\ell_1^\mu + \ell_2^\mu}{2} + \frac{\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2}{2} u^\mu + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} u^\nu \ell_1^\rho \ell_2^\sigma \quad (8)$$

defines another canonical null vector field with expansion $\theta_1 + \theta_2$. Here $u^\mu = \delta^\mu_0$ is the vector characterizing the stationary direction. Crucial to this result is the spinorial origin of the above formula, where ℓ_1 controls the SD spinor index while ℓ_2 controls the ASD spinor index:

$$\ell^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \propto \ell_1^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \delta_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \ell_2^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}, \quad \delta_{\beta\dot{\beta}} = -u_\mu (\sigma^\mu)_{\beta\dot{\beta}}. \quad (9)$$

Notably, Eqs. (8) and (9) portray a highly nonlinear interaction between canonical null vector fields.

Derivation of Kerr Metric.—With this theorem, we can finally derive the Kerr metric (without the quotation marks!).

Here, we take a top-down angle in a parallel universe where the Taub-NUT solution [97, 98] and its SD limit [92, 126] are well-understood while the Kerr solution [2] is not known. As multi-centered instanton solutions famously exist in the SD sector [92, 126], it is natural to wonder if Taub-NUT instantons of different chiralities can also be superposed. Amusingly, the above theorem returns a positive answer for two centers, deriving a “new” black hole solution with angular momentum as a gravitomagnetic dipole moment.

The point of departure is the *single KS metric for SD Taub-NUT instanton* [75], obtained from the well-known [92, 93] metric via a coordinate transformation. Notably, this is the double copy solution for the canonical null vector field $\tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}} \eta^\alpha / (\eta^\beta \delta_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \tilde{o}^{\dot{\beta}})$. Here η^α is an arbitrary constant reference spinor controlling the direction of the Misner string, while the SD spinor $\tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ describes a “square root” of the position vector \vec{x} [127]. The associated single copy solution is the SD dyon in electromagnetism [75].

These properties perfectly fulfill the premises of our theorem. Consequently, the nonlinear superposition between SD and ASD instantons, centered at \vec{x}_1 and \vec{x}_2 , is the double copy solution of the canonical null vector field

$$\ell^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \propto \tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} \eta^\beta \delta_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \tilde{\eta}^{\dot{\beta}} o_2^\alpha \propto \tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^\alpha, \quad (10)$$

which simply transvects the spinors $\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}}(\vec{x}) = \tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_1)$ and $o_2^\alpha(\vec{x}) = o^\alpha(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_2)$. Remarkably, this solution exactly is the Kerr metric for mass $M = \epsilon$ and angular momentum $\vec{J} = -iM(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2)/2$, centered at $(\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2)/2$!




FIG. 2. In an accurate sense, the NJA first decomposed a Schwarzschild black hole into SD (●) and ASD (○) Taub-NUT instantons and then shifted them independently.

NJA dechiphered.—Crucially, this derivation of the Kerr metric precisely reproduces the NJA and thus completely identifies its origin. To be maximally explicit, let us describe the inverse metric as in the original paper [1].

Provided that the Schwarzschild solution is physically identified as the nonlinear superposition of SD and ASD instantons overlapped at the same point, our theorem dictates that its inverse metric is given by

$$\partial_{\text{flat}}^2 - M \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} + \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{\dot{\alpha}} o^{\alpha}}{\langle o | \delta | \tilde{o} \rangle} \partial_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \right)^2, \quad (11)$$

where $\partial_{\text{flat}}^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu}$. Crucially, each term here acquires a unique interpretation as per our theorem and the physical interpretation. As a result, there exists a unique way of transforming each element of Eq. (11) such that the instantons become displaced by \vec{x}_1 and \vec{x}_2 . In particular, Eq. (10) dictates the transformation of the null vector, while the KS potential is mandated to be the total expansion. Therefore, *without ambiguity* we find

$$\partial_{\text{flat}}^2 - M \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_1|} + \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_2|} \right) \left(\frac{\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^{\alpha}}{\langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle} \partial_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \right)^2, \quad (12)$$

which exactly represents the Kerr solution derived above.

In conclusion, the NJA, namely the “ad-hoc” recipe for transforming the null tetrad and KS potential of the Schwarzschild inverse metric, is concretely and faithfully derived as an inevitable consequence of the following: (1) the equivalence between Kerr and a pair of SD and ASD Taub-NUT instantons (the *factorization of Kerr*), and (2) the *nonlinear superposition theorem* that can construct a non-SD KS solution out of SD and ASD KS solutions. Notably, in the supplemental material, we have further explicitly elaborated on how the equations in the original paper [1] are *literally* reproduced when we take $\vec{x}_1 = (0, 0, +ia)$ and $\vec{x}_2 = (0, 0, -ia)$.

Crucially, this derivation reveals that the NJA is *not* a coordinate transformation as originally conceived [1]. The instantons with zero and nonzero separations, in other words Schwarzschild and Kerr, are never diffeomorphic! In our view, the suggestiveness of the coordinate transformation is rather a red herring and encodes nothing more than the niceness of spheroidal coordinates. To make this point explicit we have exclusively worked in Cartesian-like (KS) coordinates and assumed completely general displacements \vec{x}_1 and \vec{x}_2 for the “complex shifts.”

Moreover, it should be evident that the NJA is not about one object [60, 61], but two. It is not a “complex shift” of Schwarzschild but rather *splits* Schwarzschild into SD and ASD parts and then *separates* them. In the original picture [1], the SD and ASD instantons are treated as “a single object plus *complex conjugate*,” but this is misleading in our view since it is crucial to adopt the *holomorphic* complexified perspective where the two instantons, and chiralities, are independent.

It should be clear that our derivation of the Kerr metric involves no tautology. Importantly, the KS metric for the SD Taub-NUT solution is taken as an *external input* that anchors to an established fact [75, 92, 93] lying outside of this entire discourse about the NJA. It is then shown that the SD and ASD Taub-NUT metrics *coalesce into* a new metric. This rediscovers the factorization of Kerr from an angle distinct from our first discussion. The direction of logic here might be contrasted with that in the recent works [128, 129]: by taking Kerr as an input, the (conjectural) SD Taub-NUT metric was obtained by a *projection onto* the SD sector.

Lastly, it shall be reiterated that the NJA is completely deciphered if and only if both of our points above, (1) and (2), are manifested in the full-fledged (non-SD) gravity. To our best knowledge, previous works have noticed only either one of these points. Works [106, 107] observe the first point in certain setups, but the relevance to the NJA is not noticed. Works [30, 50] essentially recognize the formula in Eq. (8), but its physical origin is left unclear since the first point is not realized. Similarly, the structure of the formula in Eq. (10) has been effectively observed in the work [128], but the first point is not fully realized beyond the SD sector. Moreover, the relevance to the NJA is overlooked so that it is not discussed how precisely the original form of the NJA arises.

Taub-NUT instantons as atoms for black holes.—Our conclusions indicate that an invariant physical statement is encapsulated in the NJA:

$$\text{Kerr} = \text{SD instanton (●)} + \text{ASD instanton (○)}. \quad (13)$$

Amusingly, this exactly explains the field theorists’ simplicity of Kerr. Taub-NUT instantons are the most ideal object in asymptotically flat spacetimes in terms of scattering amplitudes, featuring a helicity selection rule due to the integrability of SD gravity [74]. This is the origin of the “same-helicity spin exponentiation” [77–83, 89, 90].

Generalizing this key lesson, we launch a program of comprehending black holes in four dimensions by using Taub-NUT instantons as elementary building blocks.

Firstly, we construct the *electric-magnetic dual counterpart of the Kerr solution*:

$$\text{Kerr}^* = -\text{SD instanton (●)} + \text{ASD instanton (○)}. \quad (14)$$

The nonlinear superposition theorem admits no freedom for relative coefficients, but there exist *binary* choices for the null congruences: outgoing ($\tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}}, o^{\alpha}$) or ingoing




FIG. 3. Implementing pure mass and NUT charge with Taub-NUT instantons.

$(\tilde{t}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \iota^{\alpha})$. Switching to ingoing flips the sign of the expansion, and in this sense the instantons can be “subtracted” like the above. Taking $\ell^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \propto \tilde{\iota}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^{\alpha}$, we obtain

$$dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \quad (15)$$

$$- \frac{2Na\tilde{r}z}{\tilde{r}^4 - a^2z^2} \left(dt + \frac{\tilde{r}}{a} \left(\frac{zx + i\tilde{r}y}{\tilde{r}^2 - z^2} dx + \frac{zy - i\tilde{r}x}{\tilde{r}^2 - z^2} dy - dz \right) \right)^2,$$

which describes a massless black hole with NUT charge N and mass (gravitoelectric) dipole moment $-Na$. One may repeat the exercise of zooming to each part of this metric to explicitly verify the nonlinear superposition.

Moreover, we can also obtain the generic *Kerr-Taub-NUT solution* in the double KS form [130–133], by simply adding up the two KS perturbations in Eqs. (5) and (15) [134]. This construction is facilitated by the fact that the two canonical null vector fields $\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\iota}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^{\alpha}$ share a common spinor o_2^{α} , making them mutually orthogonal.

Finally, we derive *charged black holes* from *charged Taub-NUT instantons*. To this end, a generalization of the nonlinear superposition theorem is provided in the supplemental material, building upon the insight that modifying the KS potential can create a Maxwell stress-energy in spacetime. For a single SD charged instanton, no such modification arise since SD electromagnetic fields carry no stress-energy: $T^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha\dot{\beta}\beta} = \tilde{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} F^{\alpha\beta}$ [135]. For the pair of SD and ASD charged instantons, we find that the KS potential exactly needs to be modified as

$$\frac{M}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_1|} + \frac{M}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_2|} - \frac{Q^2}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_1||\vec{x} - \vec{x}_2|}, \quad (16)$$

reproducing the complexification prescription posited in Ref. [3]. This systematically derives the Kerr-Newman solution. Amusingly, our physical picture reveals that the new term in Eq. (16) has described the static electromagnetic interaction energy between SD and ASD dyons, intriguingly reinterpreting the quadratic power of Q^2 .

The same analysis applies to magnetic charges. In general, the *electrically and magnetically charged Kerr-Taub-NUT solution* can be identified as a system of chiral Einstein-Maxwell dyons. It will be interesting to pursue further generalizations [136, 137].

Conclusion.—This paper faithfully deciphers the NJA from the fact that the Kerr metric represents the nonlinear superposition between SD and ASD Taub-NUT solutions.

The true worth of a conundrum often lies in the new avenues it unveils once deciphered. We propose the program of investigating four-dimensional black holes as systems of Taub-NUT instantons. This new angle will have implications on the post-Minkowskian and self-force programs [138].

Our realizations also concretely validate Newman’s later works [60–68]. The duality proposed in Ref. [62] is physically implemented as Kerr’s axial-type angular momentum turns into a vector-type angular momentum stored in static monopoles. The modern refinement [69–71] of the spin-spacetime unification arises when the complex positions of the instantons are described with coincident twistors. This motivates a “googly” perturbation theory, comprehending Kerr as an ASD perturbation away from the integrable SD Taub-NUT.

Acknowledgements.—J.-H. K. would like to thank Clifford Cheung, Maciej Dunajski, Alfredo Guevara, Sangmin Lee, Lionel Mason, Vinicius Nevoa, Donal O’Connell, Julio Parra-Martinez, Saul Teukolsky, and Justin Vines for discussions and comments. This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, under Award Number DE-SC0011632. J.-H. K. is also supported by Ilju Academy and Culture Foundation. The author would like to thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics, Florence during the conference “The Mathematics behind Scattering Amplitudes” held in August 2024, for hospitality and stimulating environment. The author would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the programme Twistors in Geometry & Physics, where part of work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by EPSRC grant EP/Z000580/1.

I. NONLINEAR SUPERPOSITION THEOREMS

The problem of “patching” SD and ASD spacetimes together had been a dream of the Plebański school from decades ago [139–141] (the author is grateful to Maciej Dunajski for pointing this out). Here, we investigate this problem in a narrow setup: *stationary KS spacetimes*.

Suppose complexified Minkowski space, described in Cartesian coordinates x^μ and equipped with a unit vector u^μ that will characterize a stationary direction. Let $u^2 = -1$ in the mostly-plus Lorentzian real section. We study the geometry of null congruences here. All indices are raised and lowered with the flat metric, $\eta_{\mu\nu}$.

(DEFINITION) A null vector field ℓ is *canonical* if it is stationary, null, geodesic, shear-free, and unit-normalized as $-u \cdot \ell = 1$. Notably, these conditions together implies that the matrix $\partial_\mu \ell_\nu$ takes the following general form:

$$\partial_\mu \ell_\nu = \frac{\theta}{2} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \ell_{(\mu} (2u - \ell)_{\nu)} \right) + \frac{\psi}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u^\rho \ell^\sigma. \quad (17)$$

Here θ and ψ are the *expansion* and *twist* of the congruence associated with ℓ . These can be conveniently described by the *complex expansions*, $\rho^\pm = (\theta \pm i\psi)/2$. Ref. [124] makes a fruitful use of the above formula.

With this definition, the following facts hold.

(PROPOSITION 1) Suppose a collection of canonical null vector fields ℓ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that $\sum_i c_i \theta_i = 0$ for a set of constants c_i . If there exists a scalar function χ such that $\sum_i c_i \theta_i \ell_{i\mu} = \partial_\mu \chi$, then there exists a one-form field ξ_μ such that $\sum_i c_i \theta_i \ell_{i\mu} \ell_{i\nu} = 2\partial_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)}$. Explicitly, $\xi_\mu = \chi u_\mu - \sum_i c_i \ell_{i\mu}$.

(PROPOSITION 2) Let $\delta_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}} = -u_\mu (\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}$. If ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are canonical null vector fields, then so are

$$\ell^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{\ell_1^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \delta_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \ell_2^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}}{1 + \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 / 2}, \quad \tilde{\ell}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{\ell_2^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \delta_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \ell_1^{\dot{\beta}\alpha}}{1 + \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 / 2}. \quad (18)$$

Moreover, their complex expansions are simply $(\rho^+, \rho^-) = (\rho_1^+, \rho_2^-)$ for ℓ and $(\tilde{\rho}^+, \tilde{\rho}^-) = (\tilde{\rho}_2^+, \tilde{\rho}_1^-)$ for $\tilde{\ell}$.

The geodesic shear-free condition plays an important role in (PROPOSITION 1). (PROPOSITION 2) can be shown by direct computation, where the chiral nature of the formulae in Eq. (18) is crucial.

Now the following lemma follows.

(LEMMA 1) Consider the canonical null vector fields ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ , and $\tilde{\ell}$ in (PROPOSITION 2). Their expansions satisfy the relation $\theta + \tilde{\theta} = (\rho_1^+ + \rho_2^-) + (\rho_2^+ + \rho_1^-) = \theta_1 + \theta_2$. Moreover, with $\chi = \log((1 + \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 / 2)^2)$ they satisfy

$$\theta \ell_\mu + \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\ell}_\mu = \theta_1 \ell_{1\mu} + \theta_2 \ell_{2\mu} + \partial_\mu \chi, \quad (19)$$

thus fulfilling the necessary conditions for (PROPOSITION 1). Therefore it follows that

$$\theta \ell_\mu \ell_\nu + \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\ell}_\mu \tilde{\ell}_\nu = \theta_1 \ell_{1\mu} \ell_{1\nu} + \theta_2 \ell_{2\mu} \ell_{2\nu} + 2\partial_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)} \quad (20)$$

for some ξ_μ . Explicitly, $\xi_\mu = \chi u_\mu - \ell_\mu - \tilde{\ell}_\mu + \ell_{1\mu} + \ell_{2\mu}$.

Notably, the left-hand sides will describe single KS fields if $\tilde{\theta} = \rho_2^+ + \rho_1^-$ can be made to vanish.

(THEOREM 1) If the complex expansions of the canonical null vector fields ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are “chiral” as $\rho_1^+ \neq 0, \rho_1^- = 0$ and $\rho_2^+ = 0, \rho_2^- \neq 0$, then $\exists \chi, \xi_\mu$ such that $\theta \ell_\mu = \theta_1 \ell_{1\mu} + \theta_2 \ell_{2\mu} + \partial_\mu \chi$ and $\theta \ell_\mu \ell_\nu = \theta_1 \ell_{1\mu} \ell_{1\nu} + \theta_2 \ell_{2\mu} \ell_{2\nu} + 2\partial_{(\mu} \xi_{\nu)}$.

Physically, the above theorem implies that a pair of SD and ASD KS solutions in Maxwell theory defines a vacuum KS solution in general relativity, as is stated in the main text. This is due to the following facts, along with the linearization property of KS metrics [122–124].

(PROPOSITION 3) A necessary condition for a canonical null vector field ℓ to define a nonvanishing SD field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu (\theta \ell_\nu) - \partial_\nu (\theta \ell_\mu)$ is that $\rho^+ \neq 0$ and $\rho^- = 0$.

(PROPOSITION 4) Given a canonical null vector field ℓ and its expansion θ , the gauge potential $A_\mu = \theta \ell_\mu$ defines a vacuum Maxwell field in complexified Minkowski space while the KS metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \theta \ell_\mu \ell_\nu$ is Ricci-flat.

Therefore, the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \theta \ell_\mu \ell_\nu$ describes a nonlinear superposition between the vacuum KS metrics $g_{1\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \theta_1 \ell_{1\mu} \ell_{1\nu}$ and $g_{2\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon \theta_2 \ell_{2\mu} \ell_{2\nu}$, in the concrete sense that its Riemann tensor equals the sum of the Riemann tensors of g_1 and g_2 at leading order in the perturbation parameter ϵ .

Lastly, we record some results for KS solutions in Einstein-Maxwell theory, which follow from direct computation.

(LEMMA 2) If ℓ is a canonical null vector field in complexified Minkowski space with complex expansions ρ^\pm , then the gauge potential $A_\mu = \theta \ell_\mu$ and the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon (\theta - 2\alpha \rho^+ \rho^-) \ell_\mu \ell_\nu$ together solves the complexified Einstein-Maxwell equations in a curved geometry, $G^\mu{}_\nu[g] = \epsilon \alpha T^\mu{}_\nu[A, g]$. Here $G^\mu{}_\nu[g]$ is the Einstein tensor associated with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, and $T^\mu{}_\nu[A, g]$ is the Maxwell stress-energy tensor.

(THEOREM 2) Given two canonical null vector fields ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , $A_\mu = \theta \ell_\mu$ and $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon (\theta_1 + \theta_2 - 2\alpha \theta_1 \theta_2) \ell_\mu \ell_\nu$ together solves the Einstein-Maxwell equations described above if $A_{1\mu} = \theta_1 \ell_{1\mu}$ and $A_{2\mu} = \theta_2 \ell_{2\mu}$ describe SD and ASD Maxwell fields in complexified Minkowski space.

Note that $A_\mu = \theta \ell_\mu$ describes a unit charged solution in electromagnetism, so an appropriate rescaling will incorporate an arbitrary charge Q . For deriving the Kerr-Newman solution, a factor of $(Q/8\pi\epsilon_0)^2$ arises from the field strength squared in the Maxwell stress-energy tensor, as the SD and ASD dyons carry electromagnetic charges $(Q/2, \mp iQ/2)$. Consequently, the perturbation parameters take the values $\epsilon = GM/c^2$ and $\epsilon\alpha = (8\pi G/c^4) \cdot (1/\mu_0) \cdot (Q/8\pi\epsilon_0)^2/c^2 = Gk_e Q^2/2c^4$. Hence, in the relativists' natural unit ($c=1, G=1, k_e=1$) we find $\epsilon = M$ and $\epsilon\alpha = Q^2/2$ as desired.

II. NJA IN SPHEROIDAL COORDINATES

The original paper [1] describes the NJA in terms of the null tetrads adapted to the KS metric. We show that their equations are literally equivalent to those obtained in this paper: Eqs. (11) and (12).

Recall the spinors $\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $o_2^{\dot{\alpha}}$ in Eq. (12). Using $\delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -u_\mu (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, another set of spinors can be defined:

$$\tilde{l}_{2\dot{\alpha}} = -o_2^\alpha \delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \iota_{1\alpha} = -\delta_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} \implies [\tilde{o}_1 \tilde{l}_2] = \langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle, \quad \langle \iota_1 o_2 \rangle = \langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle. \quad (21)$$

In turn, a null tetrad in flat spacetime is obtained:

$$\ell^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^\alpha}{\langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle}, \quad n^{\circ\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{\tilde{l}_2^{\dot{\alpha}} \iota_1^\alpha}{\langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle}, \quad m^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} \iota_1^\alpha}{\langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle}, \quad \tilde{m}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \frac{\tilde{l}_2^{\dot{\alpha}} o_2^\alpha}{\langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle} \implies \eta^{\mu\nu} = -\ell^{(\mu} n^{\circ\nu)} + m^{(\mu} \tilde{m}^{\nu)}. \quad (22)$$

An explicit realization of these spinors is obtained as the following. First of all, the outgoing canonical null vector field associated with the Schwarzschild solution centered at the origin, $\ell^\mu = (1, x/|\vec{x}|, y/|\vec{x}|, z/|\vec{x}|)$, decomposes into spinors as $\ell^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}} o^\alpha / \langle \delta | \delta | \tilde{o} \rangle$ with $\tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}} \propto (1, (x+iy)/(|\vec{x}|+z))$ and $o^\alpha \propto (1, (x-iy)/(|\vec{x}|+z))$. By definition, the spinors $\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}}, o_2^\alpha$ follow by literally displacing $\tilde{o}^{\dot{\alpha}}, o^\alpha$ with \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 . Taking $\vec{x}_1 = +i\vec{a}$ and $\vec{x}_2 = -i\vec{a}$ with $\vec{a} = (0, 0, a)$, we can set $\tilde{o}_1^{\dot{\alpha}} = (1, \tilde{\zeta})$, $o_2^\alpha = (1, \zeta)$, $\tilde{l}_2^{\dot{\alpha}} = (-\tilde{\zeta}, 1)$, $\iota_1^\alpha = (-\zeta, 1)$, and $1/\langle o_2 | \delta | \tilde{o}_1 \rangle = (r+z)/2r$, where

$$\tilde{\zeta} = \frac{x+iy}{|\vec{x}-i\vec{a}|+(z-ia)} = \frac{x+iy}{r+z} \frac{r}{r-ia}, \quad \zeta = \frac{x-iy}{|\vec{x}+i\vec{a}|+(z+ia)} = \frac{x-iy}{r+z} \frac{r}{r+ia}. \quad (23)$$

Here r denotes the function of x, y, z implicitly defined by Eq. (4). We have also taken $|\vec{x} \mp i\vec{a}| = \sqrt{(\vec{x} \mp i\vec{a})^2} = r \mp ia z/r$ by adopting a branch cut prescription [60, 80].

Now it is straightforward to compute the Cartesian components of the flat null tetrad in Eq. (22). Amusingly, the Cartesian components of ℓ simplify to $(1, \sin\theta \cos\varphi, \sin\theta \sin\varphi, \cos\theta)$ by devising a nice set of ‘‘angular variables’’ (θ, φ) as $x \pm iy = (r \mp ia) \sin\theta e^{\pm i\varphi}$, $z = r \cos\theta$, which are known to constitute the twisted oblate spheroidal (TOS) coordinate system. Notably, this implies that the components of ℓ will be simply $(1, 1, 0, 0)$ in the (t, r, θ, φ) coordinate system. Thus, by introducing the retarded time $u = t - r$, it follows that

$$\ell = \partial_r, \quad n^\circ = 2\partial_u - \partial_r, \quad m = \frac{e^{i\varphi}}{r+ia \cos\theta} \left(ia \sin\theta (\partial_u - \partial_r) + \partial_\theta + \frac{i}{\sin\theta} \partial_\varphi \right) \quad (24)$$

in the (u, r, θ, φ) coordinate system, where \tilde{m} is given by replacing i with $-i$ in m .

Finally, the null tetrad (ℓ, n, m, \tilde{m}) for the curved inverse metric in Eq. (12) follows by simply perturbing the second tetrad by $M\theta\ell$. Using $|\vec{x} \mp i\vec{a}| = r \mp ia \cos\theta$, it follows that

$$n = 2\partial_u - \partial_r + M \left(\frac{1}{r-ia \cos\theta} + \frac{1}{r+ia \cos\theta} \right) \partial_r. \quad (25)$$

Along with Eq. (24), this exactly reproduces Eq. (7) of Ref. [1]. Taking the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ also reproduces Eq. (4) of Ref. [1]. The original NJA could invert this limit in the suggestive manner because the TOS coordinates could smoothly interpolate between the Kerr and Schwarzschild geometries.

Finally, it could be also instructive to boil down our construction of Kerr-Newman, Kerr*, Kerr-Taub-NUT, and at most generally charged Kerr-Taub-NUT, to statements about null tetrads in the TOS coordinate system. One may also work in the Wick-rotated frame where the displacements are real as $\vec{x}_1 = (0, 0, a)$ and $\vec{x}_2 = (0, 0, -a)$, in which case the radial variable is $\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{2} (|\vec{x} - \vec{a}| + |\vec{x} + \vec{a}|)$ appearing in Eq. (6). Intriguingly, the particularly nice choice of ‘‘angular variables’’ that maximally simplifies the output naturally arise in split signature which one enters by Wick rotating $y \mapsto iy$. The ‘‘twisted prolate hyperboloidal coordinate system’’ is given by $x \pm jy = (\tilde{r} \pm ja) \sin\theta e^{\pm j\varphi}$, $z = \tilde{r} \cos\theta$, if j denotes the unit split complex number ($j^2 = 1$).

- [1] E. T. Newman and A. I. Janis, “Note on the Kerr spinning particle metric,” *J. Math. Phys.* **6** (1965) 915–917.
- [2] R. P. Kerr, “Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11** (1963) 237–238.
- [3] E. T. Newman, R. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, and R. Torrence, “Metric of a rotating, charged mass,” *J. Math. Phys.* **6** (1965) 918–919.
- [4] H. Erbin, “Deciphering and generalizing Demiański–Janis–Newman algorithm,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **48** no. 5, (2016) 56, [arXiv:1411.2909 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [5] H. Erbin, “Janis–Newman algorithm: simplifications and gauge field transformation,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47** (2015) 19, [arXiv:1410.2602 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [6] H. Erbin, “Janis–Newman algorithm: generating rotating and NUT charged black holes,” *Universe* **3** no. 1, (2017) 19, [arXiv:1701.00037 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [7] O. Brauer, H. A. Camargo, and M. Socolovsky, “Newman–Janis Algorithm Revisited,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **54** no. 1, (2015) 302–314, [arXiv:1404.1949 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [8] A. J. Keane, “An extension of the Newman–Janis algorithm,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) 155003, [arXiv:1407.4478 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [9] R. Ferraro, “Untangling the Newman–Janis algorithm,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **46** (2014) 1705, [arXiv:1311.3946 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [10] R. Whisker, *Braneworld Black Holes*. PhD thesis, Durham U., 11, 2009. [arXiv:0810.1534 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [11] H. Erbin and L. Heurtier, “Supergravity, complex parameters and the Janis–Newman algorithm,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** no. 16, (2015) 165005, [arXiv:1501.02188 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] H. Erbin and L. Heurtier, “Five-dimensional Janis–Newman algorithm,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** no. 16, (2015) 165004, [arXiv:1411.2030 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [13] Z. Mirzaiyan, B. Mirza, and E. Sharifian, “Generating five-dimensional Myers–Perry black hole solution using quaternions,” *Annals Phys.* **389** (2018) 11–18, [arXiv:1708.08969 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] M. Tavakoli and B. Mirza, “Generating odd-dimensional rotating black holes with equal angular momenta by using the Kerr–Schild Cartesian form of metric,” *Annals Phys.* **415** (2020) 168123, [arXiv:2003.08311 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] S. Yazadjiev, “Newman–Janis method and rotating dilaton axion black hole,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32** (2000) 2345–2352, [arXiv:gr-qc/9907092](#).
- [16] D. J. Cirilo Lombardo, “The Newman–Janis algorithm, rotating solutions and Einstein–Born–Infeld black holes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 1407–1417, [arXiv:gr-qc/0612063](#).
- [17] M. D. Roberts, “Torsional dipoles,” *Can. J. Phys.* **66** (1988) 338–340.
- [18] D. Hansen and N. Yunes, “Applicability of the Newman–Janis Algorithm to Black Hole Solutions of Modified Gravity Theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88** no. 10, (2013) 104020, [arXiv:1308.6631 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [19] E. N. Glass and J. P. Krisch, “Kottler–Lambda–Kerr spacetime,” [arXiv:gr-qc/0405143](#).
- [20] M. F. A. R. Sakti, H. L. Prihadi, A. Suroso, and F. P. Zen, “Rotating and twisting charged black holes with cloud of strings and quintessence,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1949** no. 1, (2021) 012016, [arXiv:1911.07569 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [21] C. A. Benavides-Gallego, A. Abdujabbarov, and C. Bambi, “Rotating and nonlinear magnetic-charged black hole surrounded by quintessence,” *Physical Review D* **101** no. 4, (2020) 044038.
- [22] A. Smailagic and E. Spallucci, ““Kerr” black hole: The lord of the string,” *Physics Letters B* **688** no. 1, (2010) 82–87.
- [23] C. Bambi and L. Modesto, “Rotating regular black holes,” *Physics Letters B* **721** no. 4–5, (2013) 329–334.
- [24] S. G. Ghosh, “Rotating black hole and quintessence,” *The European Physical Journal C* **76** no. 4, (2016) 222.
- [25] M. Azreg-Ainou, “Generating rotating regular black hole solutions without complexification,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90** no. 6, (2014) 064041, [arXiv:1405.2569 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [26] F. J. Ernst, “New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem,” *Physical Review* **167** no. 5, (1968) 1175.
- [27] F. J. Ernst, “New formulation of the axially symmetric gravitational field problem. II,” *Physical Review* **168** no. 5, (1968) 1415.
- [28] D. Rajan, “Complex Spacetimes and the Newman–Janis trick,” Master’s thesis, Victoria U., Wellington, 2015.
- [29] D. Rajan and M. Visser, “Global properties of physically interesting Lorentzian spacetimes,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **25** no. 14, (2016) 1650106, [arXiv:1601.03355 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [30] D. Rajan and M. Visser, “Cartesian Kerr–Schild variation on the Newman–Janis trick,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26** no. 14, (2017) 1750167, [arXiv:1601.03532 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [31] G. Giampieri, “Introducing angular momentum into a black hole using complex variables,” *Gravity Research Foundation* (1990) .
- [32] H. Quevedo, “Complex transformations of the curvature tensor,” *General relativity and gravitation* **24** (1992) 693–703.
- [33] A. Harvey, “Complex transformation of the Kasner metric,” *General relativity and gravitation* **21** (1989) 1021–1026.
- [34] E. J. Flaherty, *Hermitian and Kählerian geometry in relativity*. Springer, 1976.
- [35] N. O. Santos, “Newtonian potential and the complex space,” *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento* (1971–1985) **14** (1975) 327–329.
- [36] E. Ayón-Beato, M. Hassaine, and D. Higuera-Borja, “Role of symmetries in the Kerr–Schild derivation of the Kerr black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 6, (2016) 064073, [arXiv:1512.06870 \[hep-th\]](#). [Addendum: *Phys.Rev.D* **96**, 049902 (2017)].
- [37] C. Lan, Z.-X. Liu, and Y.-G. Miao, “Generalization of on-shell construction of Ricci-flat axisymmetric black holes from Schwarzschild black holes via Newman–Janis algorithm,” [arXiv:2410.02178 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [38] A. Kamenshchik and P. Petriakova, “Newman–Janis algorithm’s application to regular black hole models,” *Physical Review D* **107** no. 12, (2023) 124020.
- [39] P. Beltracchi and P. Gondolo, “Physical interpretation of Newman–Janis rotating systems. I. a unique family of Kerr–Schild systems,” *Physical Review D* **104** no. 12, (2021) 124066.
- [40] P. Beltracchi and P. Gondolo, “Physical interpretation of Newman–Janis rotating systems. II. general systems,” *Physical Review D* **104** no. 12, (2021) 124067.
- [41] R. Canonico, L. Parisi, and G. Vilasi, “The Newman Janis Algorithm: A Review of Some Results,” *Proc. Geom. Int. Quant.* **12** (2011) 159–169.
- [42] R. Canonico, L. Parisi, and G. Vilasi, “Theoretical models for astrophysical objects and the Newman–Janis algorithm,” in *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Geometry, Integrability and Quantization*, vol. 11, pp. 85–98, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, 2010.
- [43] S. P. Drake and R. Turolla, “The Application of the Newman–Janis algorithm in obtaining interior solutions of the Kerr metric,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 1883–1897, [arXiv:gr-qc/9703084](#).
- [44] L. Herrera and J. Jiménez, “The complexification of a nonrotating sphere: An extension of the Newman–Janis algorithm,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **23** no. 12, (1982) 2339–2345.
- [45] N. Ibohal, “Rotating metrics admitting non-perfect fluids,” *General Relativity and Gravitation* **37** no. 1, (2005) 19–51.
- [46] S. Viaggiu, “Interior Kerr solutions with the Newman–Janis algorithm starting with static physically reasonable space-times,” *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **15** no. 09, (2006) 1441–1453.
- [47] S. P. Drake and P. Szekeres, “Uniqueness of the Newman–Janis Algorithm in Generating the Kerr–Newman

- Metric,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **32** (2000) 445–458, [arXiv:gr-qc/9807001](#).
- [48] M. Gurses and G. Feza, “Lorentz Covariant Treatment of the Kerr-Schild Metric,” *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975) 2385.
- [49] R. J. Finkelstein, “The General Relativistic Fields of a Charged Rotating Source,” *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975) 1271.
- [50] M. M. Schiffer, R. J. Adler, J. Mark, and C. Sheffield, “Kerr geometry as complexified schwarzschild geometry,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **14** no. 1, (1973) 52–56.
- [51] C. J. Talbot, “Newman-Penrose approach to twisting degenerate metrics,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **13** no. 1, (1969) 45–61.
- [52] M. Demiański, “New Kerr-like space-time,” *Physics Letters A* **42** no. 2, (1972) 157–159.
- [53] M. Demiański and E. T. Newman, “Combined Kerr-NUT solution of the einstein field equations.,” tech. rep., Univ., Warsaw. Univ. of Pittsburgh, 1966.
- [54] B. Carter, “Global structure of the Kerr family of gravitational fields,” *Phys. Rev.* **174** (1968) 1559–1571.
- [55] R. P. Kerr, “Discovering the Kerr and Kerr-Schild metrics,” in *Kerr Fest: Black Holes in Astrophysics, General Relativity and Quantum Gravity*. 6, 2007. [arXiv:0706.1109 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [56] T. Adamo and E. T. Newman, “The Kerr-Newman metric: A Review,” *Scholarpedia* **9** (2014) 31791, [arXiv:1410.6626 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [57] T. M. Adamo, C. N. Kozameh, and E. T. Newman, “Null Geodesic Congruences, Asymptotically Flat Space-Times and Their Physical Interpretation,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **12** (2009) 6, [arXiv:0906.2155 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [58] E. T. Newman, “The remarkable efficacy of complex methods in general relativity,” *Highlights in Gravitation and Cosmology* **67** (1988) .
- [59] E. T. Newman, “Complex coordinate transformations and the Schwarzschild-Kerr metrics,” *J. Math. Phys.* **14** no. 6, (1973) 774.
- [60] E. T. Newman, “On a classical, geometric origin of magnetic moments, spin angular momentum and the Dirac gyromagnetic ratio,” *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 104005, [arXiv:gr-qc/0201055](#).
- [61] E. T. Newman, “Maxwell’s equations and complex Minkowski space,” *J. Math. Phys.* **14** (1973) 102–103.
- [62] E. T. Newman and J. Winicour, “A curiosity concerning angular momentum,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **15** no. 7, (1974) 1113–1115.
- [63] Newman, Ezra T, “Complex space-time & some curious consequences,” in *Feldafing Conference of the Max-Planck Inst. on Quantum Theory and the Structure of Space-time*, pp. 117–127. 1974.
- [64] E. T. Newman, “Complex coordinate transformations and the Schwarzschild-Kerr metrics,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **14** no. 6, (1973) 774–776.
- [65] E. T. Newman, “Maxwell fields and shear-free null geodesic congruences,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **21** no. 13, (2004) 3197.
- [66] E. T. Newman, “Heaven and its properties,” *General Relativity and Gravitation* **7** no. 1, (1976) 107–111.
- [67] M. Ko, M. Ludvigsen, E. Newman, and K. Tod, “The theory of \mathcal{H} -space,” *Physics Reports* **71** no. 2, (1981) 51–139.
- [68] E. J. Flaherty Jr., “Complex variables in relativity,” in *General Relativity and Gravitation: One Hundred Years After the Birth of Albert Einstein*, vol. 2, pp. 207–239, International Society on General Relativity and Gravitation. 1980.
- [69] J.-H. Kim, “Asymptotic Spinspacetime,” [arXiv:2309.11886 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [70] J.-H. Kim, “Curved spinspacetime.” In preparation.
- [71] J.-H. Kim and S. Lee, “Symplectic perturbation theory in massive twistor space: A zig-zag theory of massive spinning particles,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.06203* (2023) .
- [72] A. Guevara, B. Maybee, A. Ochirov, D. O’Connell, and J. Vines, “A worldsheet for Kerr,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 201, [arXiv:2012.11570 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [73] E. Crawley, A. Guevara, N. Miller, and A. Strominger, “Black holes in Klein space,” *JHEP* **10** (2022) 135, [arXiv:2112.03954 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [74] T. Adamo, G. Bogna, L. Mason, and A. Sharma, “Scattering on self-dual Taub-NUT,” [arXiv:2309.03834 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [75] J.-H. Kim, “Single Kerr-Schild Metric for Taub-NUT Instanton,” [arXiv:2405.09518 \[hep-th\]](#). Accepted for publication as a letter in *Phys. Rev. D*.
- [76] N. Arkani-Hamed, T.-C. Huang, and Y.-t. Huang, “Scattering amplitudes for all masses and spins,” *JHEP* **11** (2021) 070, [arXiv:1709.04891 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [77] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov, and J. Vines, “Scattering of Spinning Black Holes from Exponentiated Soft Factors,” *JHEP* **09** (2019) 056, [arXiv:1812.06895 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [78] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov, and J. Vines, “Black-hole scattering with general spin directions from minimal-coupling amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** no. 10, (2019) 104024, [arXiv:1906.10071 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [79] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang, J.-W. Kim, and S. Lee, “The simplest massive S-matrix: from minimal coupling to black holes,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2019** no. 4, (2019) 1–74.
- [80] N. Arkani-Hamed, Y.-t. Huang, and D. O’Connell, “Kerr black holes as elementary particles,” *JHEP* **01** (2020) 046, [arXiv:1906.10100 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [81] H. Johansson and A. Ochirov, “Double copy for massive quantum particles with spin,” *JHEP* **09** (2019) 040, [arXiv:1906.12292 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [82] R. Aoude, K. Haddad, and A. Helset, “On-shell heavy particle effective theories,” *JHEP* **05** (2020) 051, [arXiv:2001.09164 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [83] A. Lazopoulos, A. Ochirov, and C. Shi, “All-multiplicity amplitudes with four massive quarks and identical-helicity gluons,” *JHEP* **03** (2022) 009, [arXiv:2111.06847 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [84] R. Aoude and A. Ochirov, “Classical observables from coherent-spin amplitudes,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2021** no. 10, (2021) 1–42.
- [85] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang, and J.-W. Kim, “Classical potential for general spinning bodies,” *JHEP* **09** (2020) 074, [arXiv:1908.08463 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [86] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-t. Huang, and J.-W. Kim, “Kerr-Newman stress-tensor from minimal coupling,” *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020** no. 12, (2020) 1–21.
- [87] Y.-T. Huang, U. Kol, and D. O’Connell, “Double copy of electric-magnetic duality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** no. 4, (2020) 046005, [arXiv:1911.06318 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [88] W. T. Emond, Y.-T. Huang, U. Kol, N. Moynihan, and D. O’Connell, “Amplitudes from Coulomb to Kerr-Taub-NUT,” *JHEP* **05** (2022) 055, [arXiv:2010.07861 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [89] Y. F. Bautista, G. Bonelli, C. Iossa, A. Tanzini, and Z. Zhou, “Black hole perturbation theory meets CFT2: Kerr-Compton amplitudes from Nekrasov-Shatashvili functions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **109** no. 8, (2024) 084071, [arXiv:2312.05965 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [90] Y. F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh, and J. Vines, “Scattering in Black Hole Backgrounds and Higher-Spin Amplitudes: Part II,” [arXiv:2212.07965 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [91] Y. F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh, and J. Vines, “Scattering in black hole backgrounds and higher-spin amplitudes. Part I,” *JHEP* **03** (2023) 136, [arXiv:2107.10179 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [92] S. W. Hawking, “Gravitational Instantons,” *Phys. Lett. A* **60** (1977) 81.
- [93] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Gravitational Multi-Instantons,” *Phys. Lett. B* **78** (1978) 430.
- [94] complex algebraic variety
- [95] J. F. Plebanski, “Some solutions of complex einstein equations,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **16** no. 12, (1975) 2395–2402.
- [96] J. F. Plebanski, “On the separation of Einsteinian substructures,” *J. Math. Phys.* **18** (1977) 2511–2520.
- [97] A. H. Taub, “Empty space-times admitting a three parameter group of motions,” *Annals Math.* **53** (1951) 472–490.
- [98] E. Newman, L. Tamburino, and T. Unti, “Empty space

- generalization of the Schwarzschild metric,” *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 915.
- [99] J. Dowker, “The NUT solution as a gravitational dyon,” *General Relativity and Gravitation* **5** (1974) 603–613.
- [100] C. W. Misner, “The Flatter regions of Newman, Unti and Tamburino’s generalized Schwarzschild space,” *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 924–938.
- [101] W. B. Bonnor, “A new interpretation of the NUT metric in general relativity,” *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **66** no. 1, (1969) 145–151.
- [102] The divergence of the line element in the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$ can be compensated by a diffeomorphism $(x + iy) \mapsto (x + iy) - 2iGm((1 - \eta^2)a - 2iGm - 2\eta z)/(x - iy)$, $(x - iy) \mapsto (x - iy)$.
- [103] Crucial here is the Wick rotation of spatial coordinates.
- [104] Note that the gravitational charges gain a factor of $-i$ when the time coordinate gets Wick rotated back.
- [105] A. I. Janis and E. T. Newman, “Structure of gravitational sources,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **6** no. 6, (1965) 902–914.
- [106] D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, “Magnetic Monopoles in Kaluza-Klein Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **226** (1983) 29–48.
- [107] J. Boruch, L. V. Iliesiu, S. Murthy, and G. J. Turiaci, “New forms of attraction: Attractor saddles for the black hole index,” [arXiv:2310.07763 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [108] V. S. Manko, E. D. Rodchenko, E. Ruiz, and M. B. Sadovnikova, “Formation of a Kerr black hole from two stringy NUT objects,” *Moscow Univ. Phys. Bull.* **64** (2009) 359, [arXiv:0901.3168 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [109] G. Clément, “Rotating magnetized black diholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98** no. 10, (2018) 104003, [arXiv:1807.01379 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [110] G. Clément, “The gravimagnetic dipole,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38** no. 7, (2021) 075003.
- [111] W. Bonnor, “An exact solution of the einstein-maxwell equations referring to a magnetic dipole,” *Zeitschrift für Physik* **190** no. 4, (1966) 444–445.
- [112] R. Emparan, “Black diholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 104009, [arXiv:hep-th/9906160](#).
- [113] Y. C. Liang and E. Teo, “Black diholes with unbalanced magnetic charges,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 024019, [arXiv:hep-th/0101221](#).
- [114] R. Emparan and E. Teo, “Macroscopic and microscopic description of black diholes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **610** (2001) 190–214, [arXiv:hep-th/0104206](#).
- [115] E. Teo, “Black diholes in five-dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 084003, [arXiv:hep-th/0307188](#).
- [116] V. S. Manko, E. Ruiz, and J. Sanchez-Mondragon, “Asymmetric black diholes,” *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1122** no. 1, (2009) 152–155.
- [117] Y. Chen and E. Teo, “Balanced electric-magnetic dihole in Kaluza-Klein theory,” *JHEP* **09** (2012) 085, [arXiv:1208.0415 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [118] V. S. Manko, R. I. Rabadán, and J. D. Sanabria-Gómez, “Stationary black diholes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** no. 6, (2014) 064049, [arXiv:1311.2326 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [119] I. Cabrera-Munguia, C. Lämmerzahl, and A. Macías, “Asymmetric black dyonic holes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **743** (2015) 357–365.
- [120] V. S. Manko and E. Ruiz, “Metric for two equal Kerr black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96** no. 10, (2017) 104016, [arXiv:1702.05802 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [121] A. M. Ghezelbash, R. B. Mann, and R. D. Sorkin, “The Disjointed thermodynamics of rotating black holes with a NUT twist,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **775** (2007) 95–119, [arXiv:hep-th/0703030](#).
- [122] B. C. Xanthopoulos, “Exact vacuum solutions of einstein’s equation from linearized solutions,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **19** no. 7, (1978) 1607–1609.
- [123] A. I. Harte and J. Vines, “Generating exact solutions to Einstein’s equation using linearized approximations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 8, (2016) 084009, [arXiv:1608.04359 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [124] J. Vines, “Scattering of two spinning black holes in post-Minkowskian gravity, to all orders in spin, and effective-one-body mappings,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **35** no. 8, (2018) 084002.
- [125] R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, “Black holes and the double copy,” *JHEP* **12** (2014) 056, [arXiv:1410.0239 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [126] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Classification of Gravitational Instanton Symmetries,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **66** (1979) 291–310.
- [127] Concretely, the eigenspinor of $\tilde{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{x}\cdot\vec{\sigma})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ with eigenvalue $+|\vec{x}|/2$.
- [128] D. A. Easson, G. Herczeg, T. Manton, and M. Pezzelle, “Isometries and the double copy,” *JHEP* **09** (2023) 162, [arXiv:2306.13687 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [129] J. Desai, G. Herczeg, D. McNutt, and M. Pezzelle, “Taub-NUT Instanton as the self-dual Analog of Kerr,” [arXiv:2405.15946 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [130] J. F. Plebański, “A class of solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations,” *Annals Phys.* **90** no. 1, (1975) 196–255.
- [131] Z. W. Chong, G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, and C. N. Pope, “Separability and killing tensors in Kerr-Taub-NUT-de sitter metrics in higher dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **609** (2005) 124–132, [arXiv:hep-th/0405061](#).
- [132] K. Farnsworth, M. L. Graesser, and G. Herczeg, “Double Kerr-Schild spacetimes and the Newman-Penrose map,” *JHEP* **10** (2023) 010, [arXiv:2306.16445 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [133] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, “The classical double copy for Taub-NUT spacetime,” *Phys. Lett. B* **750** (2015) 272–277, [arXiv:1507.01869 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [134] In the SD limit, $N = -iM$, this double KS metric describes a SD Taub-NUT instanton with mass $2M$. This is consistent with Refs. [73, 121].
- [135] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time: Volume 1, Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*, vol. 1. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [136] J. F. Plebanski and M. Demianski, “Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity,” *Annals Phys.* **98** (1976) 98–127.
- [137] R. Debever, “On type d expanding solutions of einstein-maxwell equations,” *Bulletin de la Société mathématique de Belgique* **23** (1971) 360–376.
- [138] E.g., the Killing-Yano tensor of the Kerr geometry decomposes into the conformal Killing-Yano tensors of the instantons. Investigating slow-velocity scatterings [142–144] will be also interesting.
- [139] J. F. Plebański, M. Przanowski, and S. Formański, “Linear superposition of two type-N nonlinear gravitons,” *Physics Letters A* **246** no. 1-2, (1998) 25–31.
- [140] D. Robinson, “Extending half-flat metrics,” *Twistor Newsletter* **44** (1998) 10–11.
- [141] D. Robinson, “Some real and complex solutions of einstein’s equations,” *General relativity and gravitation* **19** (1987) 693–698.
- [142] G. W. Gibbons and N. S. Manton, “Classical and Quantum Dynamics of BPS Monopoles,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **274** (1986) 183–224.
- [143] M. F. Atiyah and N. Hitchin, *The geometry and dynamics of magnetic monopoles*, vol. 8. Princeton University Press, 2014.
- [144] R. C. Ferrell and D. M. Eardley, “Slow-motion scattering and coalescence of maximally charged black holes,” *Physical review letters* **59** no. 14, (1987) 1617.