# P3S-Diffusion: A Selective Subject-driven Generation Framework via Point Supervision

Junjie Hu<sup>1</sup>, Shuyong Gao<sup>1</sup>, Lingyi Hong<sup>1</sup>, Qishan Wang<sup>1</sup>,

Yuzhou Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Yan Wang<sup>1,2</sup> and Wenqiang Zhang<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shanghai Key Lab of Intelligent Information Processing,

School of Computer Science, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

<sup>2</sup>Shanghai Engineering Research Center of AI & Robotics, Academy for Engineering & Technology,

Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

<sup>3</sup>Engineering Research Center of AI & Robotics, Ministry of Education, Academy for Engineering & Technology, Fudan University, Shanghai, China.

{jjhu23,lyhong22,yzzhao22}@m.fudan.edu.cn

{sygao18,qswang20,yanwang19,wqzhang}@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract-Recent research in subject-driven generation increasingly emphasizes the importance of selective subject features. Nevertheless, accurately selecting the content in a given reference image still poses challenges, especially when selecting the similar subjects in an image (e.g., two different dogs). Some methods attempt to use text prompts or pixel masks to isolate specific elements. However, text prompts often fall short in precisely describing specific content, and pixel masks are often expensive. To address this, we introduce P3S-Diffusion, a novel architecture designed for context-selected subject-driven generation via point supervision. P3S-Diffusion leverages minimal cost label (e.g., points) to generate subject-driven images. During fine-tuning, it can generate an expanded base mask from these points, obviating the need for additional segmentation models. The mask is employed for inpainting and aligning with subject representation. The P3S-Diffusion preserves fine features of the subjects through Multi-layers Condition Injection. Enhanced by the Attention Consistency Loss for improved training, extensive experiments demonstrate its excellent feature preservation and image generation capabilities.

*Index Terms*—Diffusion model, subject-driven generation, subject representation, point supervision.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**R**ECENTLY Text-to-Image (T2I) generative models demonstrate the ability to generate high-quality and textrelated images. However, relying solely on textual descriptions cannot accurately generate the images we want. Even if using large language models (LLM) to expand text descriptions to detailed ones, it is still not possible to generate images that meet the requirement. Furthermore, inversion techniques [1] can help to find a text embedding but still can't accurately reconstruct the appearance of given subjects. So now there are many models [2] dedicated to utilizing more control conditions (e.g., image prompt, sketch, depth map, etc.) to guide T2I diffusion models.

Subject-driven generation aims to generate realistic and fidelity-preserving images where the subject is the same as the reference image. Given a few images of a particular subject (3-5), models should accurately reconstruct the appearance

of the given subjects using special prompts while retaining editability.

In this work, we present a new approach named as P3S-Diffusion, which generate Point Supervision Selective Subject-driven image for personalized generation using point labels to select target subject. Recent research [2], [3] have tried to utilize extra conditions (e.g., additional text prompts and mask images) to accurately select the subject in the input image. However, text prompts often fail to describe specific content accurately, and pixel masks are always expensive. Therefore, we weaken the selection criteria and make it possible to use only points to guide diffusion model in learning special subjects. A novel Attention Consistency Loss is proposed to enhance the correlation between text prompts and generated images.

Our P3S-Diffusion consists of two parts:RNGR-Encoder and Multi-layers Condition Injection. We eliminate the confusion of similar subjects using RNGR-Encoder, a new image encoder that **R**educes **N**egative information and **G**enerates spatial bias **R**epresentations of the subject. RNGR-Encoder first utilizes CLIP [4] image encoder to get the patch-level relation of the subjects and reduce negative information. Furthermore, we propose a detailed subject condition injection, which utilizes a trainable copy of U-Net to inject multilayers subject information. To strengthen editable generation capability, we propose the *timestep-based weight scheduler*, which can flexibly adjust the weight and trend of control condition injection to balance prompt consistency and identity preservation. We summarize our main contributions as follows:

- We propose a novel framework, named as P3S-Diffusion for selective subject-driven image generation.Comparing to existing text prompts or mask supervision methods, our method requires the least additional control and can accurately select the target subject.It can be flexibly applied to diffusion models and compatible with ControlNets.
- RNGR-Encoder and Multi-layers Condition Injection are proposed to select target subject and encode selected feature to original U-Net.We minimize the Attention



Fig. 1. Selective subject comparison. Our results not only excel in selective subject generations but also performs well in terms of fidelity to the reference subjects.

Consistency Loss between original U-Net and trainable copies to enhance text-image alignment. Additionally, we introduce a *timestep-based weight scheduler* to relieve excessive control and increase the diversity of generation.
Extensive experiments show that our method can generate

• Extensive experiments show that our method can generate high-quality subject preserving images. Especially when there are multiple similar targets in the reference image, our method can accurately select the target subject and achieve the best results among all methods.

#### II. RELATED WORK

**Text-to-image diffusion models.** In recent years, diffusion models [5], [6], [7] have developed rapidly due to their excellent image generation capabilities. The emergence of latent diffusion models has made it possible to quickly generate high-quality images and has achieved significant commercial success. StableDiffusion [7], DALL-E2 [8], Imagen [9] trained on large-scale image-text pair datasets, have become mainstream in text-to-image generation. DiTs [10] replaces the U-Net in the diffusion model with transformers, further improving the text-to-image generation performance and scalability. The latest diffusion models, such as StableDiffusion-XL, Playground v2 [11] can generate images with resolutions of  $1024 \times 1024$  or even higher, and can accurately generate text in the images.

**Controllable generation.** In addition to using text prompts for conditional image generation, current diffusion models can add many additional inputs (e.g., edges, depth maps and segmentation maps) to achieve controllable image generation. ControlNet [2] utilize a trainable copy of the U-Net to integrate multimodal information. It freezes the original U-Net and only fine-tunes the trainable copy to apply control as a plugin. IP adapter [12] takes the reference images as feature inputs and achieves image-to-image generation by injecting

cross-attention between the reference image and the denoising image into the source model. Other methods [13], [14], [15] use DDIM inversion [16] to generate noised images and realize image editing by manipulate the noised images.

Subject-driven generation. Subject-driven image generation can be divided into two frameworks based on the methods: those that require test-time fine-tuning of the reference images and those that do not. For methods that require test-time finetuning, Text Inversion [1] uses text vectors as optimizable parameters to search for specific vectors in the text space to generate similar images. Dreambooth [17] fine-tunes the entire U-Net layers on reference images and proposes prior preservation loss to solve the language drift problem. Custom diffusion [18] and SVDiff [19] only fine-tune the most important parts of the U-Net, greatly reducing the number of parameters that need to be optimized. Some fine-tuningfree methods [20], [21], [12], [22], [23], [24], [3], [25] add additional adapters, using image encoder to encode reference images to embeddings as the subject representation. BLIP-Diffusion [24] can realize efficient zero-shot generation. SSR-Encoder [3] utilizes CLIP hidden state features to encode subject representation and can select target subject with text prompts or masks. ELITE [20] proposes global and local mapping networks for fast and accurate customized textto-image generation. Instantbooth [25] uses learnable image encoder and encodes input images as textual token, learning rich visual feature representations by introducing a few adapter layers to the pre-trained model.

However, the above methods cannot accurately select the subject concepts that need to be learned or require additional text descriptions or expensive pixel-level masks. Our method uses two points to label the positive and negative regions in the reference image, enabling selective subject generation with minimal annotation cost.



Fig. 2. Overview of our method. Given point-image pairs (p, I), the RNGR-Encoder will calculate the patch-level similarity on the hidden state feature from CLIP image encoder. It achieves obtaining a rough mask from point information without the need for any additional segmentation models. Then we inpaint the masked image and enhance subject presentation by image-image cross-attention. After that, the encoded feature serve as the input of the trainable copy and add conditions to the original U-Net by Multi-layers Condition Injection.

#### **III.** The Method

Subject-driven image generation aims to generate target images with high fidelity and creative editability according to given reference images. Usually, the content in an image is diverse, and relying solely on the provided text description make it difficult to accurately select the subject we want to retain. Compared to additional text prompts or expensive pixel-level masks, we propose P3S-Diffusion , a specialized framework that can use a point to supervise model selection of corresponding features.

Formally, for a given reference image I and a point p, the RNGR-Encoder effectively identifies the target subject and reduces negative information. The processed image I is encoded to be a latent embedding and added to the original image embedding. The aligned feature is integrated into the original model with trainable copies of U-Net model layers. In the generation process, image embedding is added to the noise to give it a latent bias towards the subject. Enabling the model to have higher stability against different random noises and produce selected subjects with high fidelity. The overall methodology is illustrated in Fig. 2.

In general, our P3S-Diffusion based on text-to-image diffusion models [7]. It consists of two main parts: RNGR-Encoder (Sec. III-A) and Multi-layers Condition Injection (Sec. III-B).

## A. Point Supervision Selective Subject Representation Encoder

Considering that when there are multiple semantically similar subjects (like two different dogs) in an image, the diffusion model trained on this image may not be able to distinguish the features of different entities. This results in confusion in subject representation, causing the diffusion model to mix the different subjects. To solve this problem, we need to obtain a mask for negative information and remove irrelevant subject information from the image. However, it is difficult to segment point-annotated images without using additional pretrained segment models. Several works [18], [17] have proven that fine-tune a diffusion model with unique identifier will guide the model to focus on specific subjects without being influenced by the background. The minor inconsistencies and unrealistic parts in the training image will not affect the subject representation.

Thus, we only need to generate a rough mask to eliminate negative information and fill the mask to remove unrelated subjects. We utilize CLIP image encoder to encode the image to feature embedding and calculate the similarity between the patch where the point is located and all other patches. Mathematically, given a image I point coordinates  $p = \{x, y\}$ , we can calculate the patch similarity map  $M_{\{Negative, Positive\}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  as follows:

$$M_{\{N,P\}} = \{M_{i,j}, M_{i,j} = Cos(patch_{x',y'}, patch_{i,j})\}.$$
 (1)

Considering that CLIP is trained on class-level annotation, it fails to accurately recognize objects with different instances of the same class. We utilize a negative similarity map to modify the positive similarity map and perform Gaussian filtering to smooth the similarity map. We then use the Otsu method to binarize the similarity map and remove outliers that are not connected to the specified points:

$$Mask = Otsu(\Psi(M_P * (1 - M_N))), \qquad (2)$$



Fig. 3. **Subject-driven Generation**. We utilize Multi-layers Condition Injection to add conditions. Specifically, we add the hidden states both in self and cross attention layers to the original model through a zero convolution. This can inject a detailed image representation and keep the generated subject. During training, we adopt denoising reconstruction loss  $\mathcal{L}_{LDM}$  and attention consistency loss  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  for consistent representation of attention of the selected subject.

$$I' = inpainting(I, Mask), \tag{3}$$

where  $\Psi$  is a Gaussian filter and Otsu is Otsu method. After obtaining a rough mask of negative subject, we use an inpainting model [7] to complete the masked image. In fact, the masked area can be filled with any content as long as it is not similar to the target subject. The feature extraction capability of the diffusion model allows it to focus on specific subject regions with the text prompts.

In order to move the generated image distribution in the latent space towards the reference image distribution and highlight the target subject, we encode the completed image into the latent space and compute cross-attention with the output of the last layer of the CLIP image encoder. Concretely,  $I'_{latent}$  is the latent image and  $c_s$  is the last hidden state of CLIP image encoder. We create linear projections  $W^Q$  for  $I'_{latent}$  as query and  $W^K, W^V$  for  $c_s$  as key and value. The total latent input can be formulated as Eq.4:

$$I^{''} = I^{'} + Softmax(\frac{Q_i K_c^T}{\sqrt{d}})V_c, \tag{4}$$

$$Q_i = W^Q \mathcal{Z}(I', \theta^z), K_c = W^K c_s, V_c = W^V c_s,$$
(5)

where  $I^{''}$  is the input of trainable copy and  $\mathcal{Z}(;\theta)$  is a  $1 \times 1$  convolution layer with both weight and bias initialized to zeros.

#### B. Subject-driven Generation

In our approach, the encoded latent feature is projected into spatial-transformer blocks in the original U-Net for controllable generation. To achieve this, we copy the layers of a simplified U-Net (with only one ResNet block in each block), each corresponding to a spatial-transformer layer in the original U-Net. Inspired by [18] [26], self-attention and cross-attention layers have the greatest impact on the generation results of the model. The injection is composed of two parts: self attention condition injection and cross-attention enhancement, as shown on Fig. 3.

**Multi-layers Condition Injection.** Our approach creates a trainable copy of the simplified U-Net  $\mathcal{F}(x;\theta)$ . For each denoising step t, we compute the hidden state features in each self-attention layer. Mathematically, the hidden state features can be represented in the following form:

$$f_i = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{F}_i(x_t, c, t, \theta_i), \theta_i^z), \tag{6}$$

where  $f = \{f_1, f_2, ..., f_n\}$  is a set of the self-attention hidden features and n is the number of self attention layers. Different from Control-Net which add conditions to output blocks as residual connection, we deliver the hidden features to the self-attention layers in the original U-Net as follow:

$$Q = W^{Q} z_{i}, K' = W^{K}[z_{i}, f_{i}], V' = W^{V}[z_{i} + \lambda f_{i}, f_{i}], \quad (7)$$

$$Attention = Softmax(\frac{QK'^{T}}{\sqrt{d}})V', \qquad (8)$$

where  $z_i$  is the hidden state feature in the original U-Net. We concatenate and add the additional features to the original selfattention layers to strengthen the presentation of the selected subject. The layers are controlled by a hyperparameter  $\lambda = 0.2$ . This approach can not only preserves the detailed features in the reference images(such as dog's fur patterns, patterns on backpacks, etc.), but also make model pay more attention to the target subject during training, reducing conceptual confusion caused by the background. To accelerate convergence speed and reduce blurring in generated images, we also applied a zero convolution on the hidden features.

Prior research [23], [27], [28] shows that in the early stages of the denoising process, a rough structural layout of the image will be formed. Applying control to the diffusion model too early can result in the generated image matching the reference image very well, but causing low diversity. Different from the *delayed subject conditioning* [23] in prior works [29], we propose a simple *timestep-based weight scheduler*, which allows for arbitrary changes in control intensity during the inference phase, striking a balance between identity preservation and editability. Specifically, we use a hyperparameter  $\varepsilon$  as the weight to perform conditional injection on the original U-Net, which uses only text prompts. Our *timestep-based weight scheduler* can be mathematically represented as:

$$\epsilon_t = \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon_t * f, x_t, c, t, \theta), \tag{9}$$

$$\varepsilon_t = 1 - \alpha (\frac{t}{T})^k + \beta, \tag{10}$$

where  $\epsilon_t$  is the predicted noise on the time-step t.  $\alpha, \beta, k$  control multiplier, exponential descent, and bias separately. Empirically, we set  $\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.2, k = 2$  to balance prompt consistency and identity preservation.

In addition, we also explored other weight control methods in section IV-C.

Attention Consistency Loss. During the training phase, due to differences in input images, the cross-attention layers of the original model and the trainable copies may focus on different regions, leading to the model ignoring the detailed features of the reference image (such as color, pattern, etc.). To address this, we propose a novel Attention Consistency Loss  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$ . This loss is designed to enhance similarity between the cross-attention map  $M_c$  of the trainable copies and the one  $M_o$  of the original U-Net. Specifically, we only choose the last cross-attention layer to calculate the MSE loss.  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  can be mathematically represented as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ac} = MSE(M_c, M_o)/avg = Mean(||M_c, M_o||^2)/avg,$$
(11)

$$avg = (Sum(M_c) + Sum(M_o))/2,$$
(12)

$$M_c = Softmax(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}}), M_o = Softmax(\frac{Q'K'^T}{\sqrt{d}}).$$
(13)

Following the Stable diffusion model [7], we also utilize a latent denoising loss  $\mathcal{L}_{LDM}$  to Minimize the KL divergence between the generated image distribution and the target image distribution, as demonstrated in Eq.14:

$$\mathcal{L}_{LDM} = \mathbb{E}_{x_0, t, \epsilon} [||\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, c_t)||_2^2],$$
(14)

where  $x_t$  is the noisy image at time step t,  $\epsilon$  is the added noise and  $\epsilon_{\theta}$  is the predicted noise in the parameters  $\theta$ .

Thus, our total loss can be presented as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{LDM}} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{ac}, \tag{15}$$

where  $\gamma$  is set as a constant, empirically set to 0.1. Meanwhile, since the original model is frozen, the changes in its cross-attention map will be smaller than those of the trainable copies. Therefore, minimizing their cross-attention map consistency loss can also make the model focus more on the target subject, thereby alleviating semantic drift.

#### **IV. EXPERIMENTS**

In this section, we present our experiments and training details. Our method can select the target subject in the reference image through two points and exclude negative subjects. Inspired by prior works [17], we also use a unique identifier [V] to represent the target subject.

#### A. Setup

**Training Details.** Our method is based on StableDiffusion v1-5 [7] model. To encode the visual inputs and calculate the patch similarity, we use OpenAI's clip-vit-large-patch14 vision model, which can also encode text prompt in SD v1-5. For every input classes, we train our models for 60 epochs on a single NVIDIA RTX3090 GPU, with a learning rate of 1e-5. The training process takes about 6 minutes. During inference, we use DDIM as the sampler, with a step size of 50 and a guidance scale set to 7.5. To realize Classifier-Free Guidance, we drop out 10% of the conditions.

**Evaluation Metrics.** To evaluate our method, we use DreamBench datasets [17] which includes 30 categories, with 4-7 images per category. In order to evaluate the performance of the model in detail, we adopted the following evaluation indicators:DINO Scores [30], CLIP-I, CLIP-T, CLIP-Iv, DINOv. CLIP-I is the cosine similarity between the CLIP embeddings of generated images and source images. Considering that CLIP focuses more on features of different categories and is not sensitive to differences between similar objects, we utilize GroundingDINOv2 to accurately determine image similarity. Image similarity is used to evaluate the fidelity of generated images. To evaluate the diversity, we use CLIP-T which calculate the cosine similarity between the CLIP embeddings of generated images and text prompts. CLIP-Iv and DINO-v represent the variance of the generated images' CLIP-I and DINOv2 scores, which can be used to measure the stability of generating images.

**Comparisons.** We compare our results with recent works using the hyperparameters provided in their work or the most commonly used codes. For each method, we set the same random seed before training and generating on each class. Following [17], we use 25 different text prompts and generate 4 images for each prompts. Each class will generate 100 images, with a total of 3000 images for 30 classes. We calculate the cosine similarity between each generated images and all reference images and take the average as the score for the image.

#### **B.** Experiment Results

**Qualitative results.** Fig. 4 demonstrates the overall generated subject images. The result consists of two parts: single subject image generation and similar subject image generation. A large number of generated images have proven that our method can stably and effectively preserve the features of the target subject, and faithfully generate according to the given text prompts. Our method achieved stunning results in the single subject image generation. When generating subject images of similar subjects, relying on the supervision of positive and negative points, our method can accurately extract the features of the target subject without being affected by negative targets.

**Quantitative comparison.** Table I presents our quantitative comparison with other method on DreamBench. Overall, P3S-Diffusion basically outweighs previous method, especially in subject alignment including CLIP-I and DINOv2. Our method has a great advantage in preserving the target subject features and can also ensure the diversity of generation. In terms of the stability of generated images including CLIP-Iv and DINO-v, our method achieves the highest CLIP-Iv and the second highest DINO-v scores. This shows that our method is less affected by random numbers and can stably generate subject images. Additionally, high image fidelity and low variance also prove that our method can stably and effectively generate high-fidelity subject images.

**Qualitative comparison.** For the problem of being unable to identify similar subjects, as shown on Fig.1, our method can accurately extract the features of the target subject using

#### Single Subject Generation





wearing -/blue/red glass

"in jungle"

"on beach"

"in jungle'

"in snow" "autumn leaves"

"on cobblestone street" "city background"

"on top of a purple rug"





"on beach" \*statue

"pink" "city background" "Eiffel Tower









"on beach" "on cobblestone street" "sunflowers"





"in snow"

"on beach" "on cobblestone street"

top of mirror"





"autumn leaves" "mountain" "wheat field"

#### Selective Subject Generation via points

### selected subject "wear different glasses' "city background" "swim in the pool" "eat noodles" "a chef outfit" "in different suit" "city background" "wear red hat" "on purple fabric" "on wooden table" on pink/purple board" "on wooden floor" "on beach" "purple" "cube shaped" "sun flowers' "on the "autumn leaves"

Fig. 4. Qualitative results of P3S-Diffusion .Our method is adaptable for both single subject generation and selective subject generation with points.

 TABLE I

 QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON.METRICS THAT ARE BOLD AND UNDERLINED REPRESENT METHODS THAT RANK 1ST AND 2ND.

Method	CLIP-I↑	CLIP-T↑	DINOv2↑	CLIP-Iv $\downarrow$	DINO-v↓
DreamBooth [17] CustomDiffusion [18] BLIP-Diffusion [24] SSR-Encoder[3]	0.7511 0.6832 0.7428 <b>0.7793</b>	0.2541 <b>0.2762</b> 0.2207 0.2355	0.4737 0.3869 0.5121 0.5323	0.0078 0.0066 0.0046 <b>0.0032</b>	0.0280 0.0188 <b>0.0127</b> <u>0.0140</u>
Ours Ours W/ fixed weight Ours W/ $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$ (all U-Net) Ours W/o $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$	$\frac{0.7748}{0.7596}\\0.6474\\0.7099$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2383 \\ 0.2420 \\ \underline{0.2564} \\ 0.2506 \end{array}$	<b>0.5491</b> <u>0.5390</u> <u>0.3762</u> 0.4783	$     \begin{array}{r}       0.0045 \\       0.0049 \\       0.0050 \\       0.0056     \end{array} $	0.0187 0.0177 0.0144 0.0161

A

TABLE II ABLATION RESULTS. THE IMPACT OF EACH PART ON THE PROMPT CONSISTENCY AND IDENTITY PRESERVATION.

Method	CLIP-I↑	CLIP-T↑	DINOv2↑
W/o RNGR-Encoder W/o $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$ W/ weight scheduler	0.7117 0.7099 <u>0.7596</u>	<b>0.2602</b> <u>0.2506</u> 0.2420	0.4632 0.4783 <u>0.5390</u>
Ours(Full)	0.7748	0.2383	0.5491



Fig. 5. **Result of different weight**. Adjust the control weights between original U-Net and trainable copy will balance the prompt consistency and identity preservation. MLP represents a learnable control weight parameter.

two points and faithfully generate it according to the reference image and the given text. Other methods cannot distinguish different subjects in the reference image and will incorrectly generate images with mixed features or multiple subjects.

In summary, our method can utilize point supervision to ensure an accurate representation of the selected image subjects. Thereby addressing key challenges faced by other current methods.

#### C. Ablation study.

Our ablation study explore the impact of different modules on the fidelity and prompt consistency of generated images, as show on Table II. We found that the RNGR-Encoder and  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  make the generated image more in line with the subject representation, but reduce editability. The timestepbased weight scheduler helps improve prompt consistency but reduce identity preservation. We have analyzed the reasons for these phenomena below.

	TABLE III
١	TINY EXPERIMENT. WE NAIVELY USE VARIABLE WEIGHTS THAT
	INCREASE OR DECREASE QUADRATICALLY WITH TIME STEPS.

Weight curve	CLIP-I↑	CLIP-T↑	DINOv2↑
Increasing weight	0.6991	0.2553	0.4531
Decreasing weight	0.7025	0.2393	0.4530

TABLE IV DIFFERENT ATTENTION CONSISTENCY LOSS. WE COMPARE THE IMPACT OF USING  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  IN DIFFERENT LAYERS.

$\mathcal{L}_{ac}$	CLIP-I↑	CLIP-T↑	DINOv2↑
W/o $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$	0.7099	0.2506	0.4783
W/ the last layer	<b>0.7596</b>	0.2420	<b>0.5390</b>
W/ the whole U-Net	0.6474	<b>0.2564</b>	0.3762

**RNGR-Encoder.** We conducted ablation study on RNGR-Encoder,  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$ , and *timestep-based weight scheduler*. RNGR-Encoder can encode the hidden features of CLIP image encoder into the latent space representation of the image, and add a bias to the target image distribution, making its distribution distinct from other image distributions. This allows the model to generate more realistic images, and obtain a higher subject alignment score.

Attention consistency loss. Attention consistency loss can align the attention of the trainable copy with the source model, allowing they to focus on the same area in the generated images. Additionally,  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  makes the attention of the trainable copy close to the attention of the frozen and slower-changing source model, alleviating the semantic drift. We only use the attention map of the last layer in the U-Net to calculate  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$ . Table IV shows the results of using  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  in different layers.

This ablation study demonstrate that  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  is able to significantly improve image fidelity while sacrificing a small portion of generated diversity. Using it on the last layer of U-Net yields the best results. While  $\mathcal{L}_{ac}$  is applied to the whole U-Net, the increase in gradient will lead to slower convergence of the model and result in underfitting and difficulty in personalization generation.

**Timestep-based weight scheduler.** Adjust the control weights between original U-Net and trainable copy will balance the prompt consistency and identity preservation as shown on Fig. 5. Besides using fixed weights, we also demonstrate the results using different weights at different time steps

on Table III. The quadratic decreasing weight uses a smaller weight in the early stage of denoising, which ensures the diversity of the generated image structure and can obtain a higher diversity score. The increasing weight is the opposite.

As shown on Fig. 5, we find that as the control weight increases, the fidelity of the image continues to improve, but the editability decreases. We also try to utilize a trainable MLP to learn the optimal weight. However, it can only balance editability and fidelity.

Compared to applying control to U-Net at all time steps t, our schedule can effectively control the weights of injected conditions under different time steps. By giving lower weights in the early denoising stage and higher weights in the middle and later stages, our method can effectively balance prompt consistency and identity preservation. Although the image fidelity is very high without using timestep-based weight scheduler, it can cause the background of the generated image to be very similar to the reference image, as shown on [23].

#### V. CONCLUTION

In this paper, we introduced the P3S-Diffusion, a method of selective subject-driven generation via point supervision. In this method, we implemented a feature that was not present in previous methods: using only points to annotate the target subject allows the model to perform selective subject generation. Our method can effectively preserve the main features of the reference images and faithfully generate the images according to the given text prompts.

However, our method also has some limitations. It is difficult to generate high-fidelity images of subjects with rich details. Additionally, our point supervision method does not perform well on non-salient images.

#### REFERENCES

- Rinon Gal, Yuval Alaluf, Yuval Atzmon, Or Patashnik, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01618, 2022.
- [2] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836– 3847, 2023.
- [3] Yuxuan Zhang, Yiren Song, Jiaming Liu, Rui Wang, Jinpeng Yu, Hao Tang, Huaxia Li, Xu Tang, Yao Hu, Han Pan, and Zhongliang Jing. Ssr-encoder: Encoding selective subject representation for subject-driven generation, 2024.
- [4] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [5] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- [6] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in neural information processing systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- [7] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision* and pattern recognition, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [8] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2):3, 2022.

- [9] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022.
- [10] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference* on Computer Vision, pages 4195–4205, 2023.
- [11] Daiqing Li, Aleks Kamko, Ali Sabet, Ehsan Akhgari, Linmiao Xu, and Suhail Doshi. Playground v2.
- [12] Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibo Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721, 2023.
- [13] Guillaume Couairon, Jakob Verbeek, Holger Schwenk, and Matthieu Cord. Diffedit: Diffusion-based semantic image editing with mask guidance. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11427, 2022.
- [14] Takahiro Shirakawa and Seiichi Uchida. Noisecollage: A layout-aware text-to-image diffusion model based on noise cropping and merging. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2024.
- [15] Yujun Shi, Chuhui Xue, Jiachun Pan, Wenqing Zhang, Vincent YF Tan, and Song Bai. Dragdiffusion: Harnessing diffusion models for interactive point-based image editing. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14435, 2023.
- [16] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502, 2020.
- [17] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22500–22510, 2023.
- [18] Nupur Kumari, Bingliang Zhang, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Multi-concept customization of text-to-image diffusion. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1931–1941, 2023.
- [19] Ligong Han, Yinxiao Li, Han Zhang, Peyman Milanfar, Dimitris Metaxas, and Feng Yang. Svdiff: Compact parameter space for diffusion fine-tuning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference* on Computer Vision, pages 7323–7334, 2023.
- [20] Yuxiang Wei, Yabo Zhang, Zhilong Ji, Jinfeng Bai, Lei Zhang, and Wangmeng Zuo. Elite: Encoding visual concepts into textual embeddings for customized text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 15943– 15953, 2023.
- [21] Xuhui Jia, Yang Zhao, Kelvin CK Chan, Yandong Li, Han Zhang, Boqing Gong, Tingbo Hou, Huisheng Wang, and Yu-Chuan Su. Taming encoder for zero fine-tuning image customization with text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02642*, 2023.
- [22] Rinon Gal, Moab Arar, Yuval Atzmon, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Encoder-based domain tuning for fast personalization of text-to-image models. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 42(4):1–13, 2023.
- [23] Guangxuan Xiao, Tianwei Yin, William T Freeman, Frédo Durand, and Song Han. Fastcomposer: Tuning-free multi-subject image generation with localized attention. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10431, 2023.
- [24] Dongxu Li, Junnan Li, and Steven Hoi. Blip-diffusion: Pre-trained subject representation for controllable text-to-image generation and editing. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- [25] Jing Shi, Wei Xiong, Zhe Lin, and Hyun Joon Jung. Instantbooth: Personalized text-to-image generation without test-time finetuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03411, 2023.
- [26] Zhongcong Xu, Jianfeng Zhang, Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Yan, Jia-Wei Liu, Chenxu Zhang, Jiashi Feng, and Mike Zheng Shou. Magicanimate: Temporally consistent human image animation using diffusion model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16498, 2023.
- [27] Daniel Roich, Ron Mokady, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Pivotal tuning for latent-based editing of real images. ACM Transactions on graphics (TOG), 42(1):1–13, 2022.
- [28] Rinon Gal, Moab Arar, Yuval Atzmon, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Designing an encoder for fast personalization of text-to-image models. arXiv e-prints, pages arXiv–2302, 2023.
- [29] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4401–4410, 2019.
- [30] Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in

self-supervised vision transformers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision, pages 9650–9660, 2021.