HBT interferometry and Quantum Detection of Primordial Gravitational Waves in Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Sugumi Kanno ^{*a,b*}, Hiroki Matsui ^{*b*}, Shinji Mukohyama ^{*b,c*}

^aDepartment of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

^bCenter for Gravitational Physics and Quantum Information, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

^cKavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

E-mail: kanno.sugumi@phys.kyushu-u.ac.jp, hiroki.matsui@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp, shinji.mukohyama@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: Hořava-Lifshitz gravity (to be precise, its projectable version) is recognized as a renormalizable, unitary, and asymptotically free quantum field theory of gravity. Notably, one of its cosmological predictions is that it can produce scaleinvariant primordial density fluctuations and primordial gravitational waves without relying on inflation. In this paper, we investigate the quantum nature of the primordial gravitational waves generated in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity. It has been suggested that, for some inflationary models, the non-classicality of primordial gravitational waves in the squeezed coherent quantum state can be detected using the Hanbury Brown - Twiss (HBT) interferometry. We show that in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, scaleinvariant primordial gravitational waves can be generated during both the radiationdominated and matter-dominated eras of the Universe. Moreover, the frequency range of their quantum signatures is shown to extend beyond that of inflationary models.

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1
2	Hoř	ava-Lifshitz gravity	3
3	Qua	antum states of Primordial Gravitational Waves	4
	3.1	Squeezed states	5
	3.2	Squeezed coherent states	6
4	Graviton statistics and HBT interferometry		7
	4.1	Fano factor	7
	4.2	Hanbury Brown - Twiss interferometry	8
5	Possible detection of non-classical PGWs in Hořava-Lifshitz cosmol-		
	ogy		9
	5.1	Radiation-dominated Universe	9
	5.2	Matter-dominated Universe	13
6	Cor	clusion and discussions	15

1 Introduction

Attempts to construct a quantum field theory of gravity face many challenges. As is well known, general relativity (GR) is recognized as being non-renormalizable, mainly because the Newtonian constant G_N has dimensions (the mass dimension is $[G_N] = -2$). Non-renormalizability is known to cause uncontrollable ultraviolet (UV) divergences. While adding higher curvature terms to the Einstein-Hilbert action can make the theory renormalizable [1], it also risks generating massive ghosts, leading to a non-unitary quantum theory in the UV regime.

To address these issues, Hořava-Lifshitz (HL) gravity theory [2, 3] has been proposed. This theory allows for renormalization based on power counting by including higher spatial curvature terms. The action and equations of motion of HL gravity include only up to second-order time derivatives, thus avoiding the issue of Ostrogradsky ghosts arising from higher-order time derivatives. Recently, the HL theory (to be precise, its projectable version) has been demonstrated to be perturbatively renormalizable [4, 5] and asymptotically free [6, 7], and it is considered a viable UV completion path for quantum gravity.

One of the fundamental features of HL gravity is its anisotropic scaling, also known as Lifshitz scaling. In this scaling, the time coordinate t and spatial coordinate vector \vec{x} scale as $t \to b^z t$ and $\vec{x} \to b\vec{x}$ respectively, where z is the dynamical critical exponent. In 3 + 1 dimensions, the anisotropic scaling with z = 3 in the UV breaks Lorentz symmetry but ensures renormalizability. The Lorentz symmetry is preserved in the infrared (IR) regime. This theory has an outstanding cosmological prediction that scale-invariant primordial perturbations can be generated in any expansion of the early Universe $a \propto t^n$ with n > 1/3 [8]. Additionally, this theory can potentially solve the horizon problem without inflation and offer a solution to the flatness problem through the so-called anisotropic instanton [9]. Furthermore, the HL gravity addresses perturbation issues associated with the DeWitt boundary condition in quantum cosmology [10, 11]. From these cosmological consequences, the HL gravity offers more than merely serving as a candidate for quantum gravity theories.

In this paper, we explore the quantum signature of Primordial Gravitational Waves (PGWs) in HL gravity. As previously mentioned, HL gravity can provide scaleinvariant primordial perturbations without inflation, leading one to question how the predictions of HL gravity differ from those of inflationary models. Specifically, the generated PGWs are in a squeezed coherent quantum state, offering a chance to detect their non-classicality by using Hanbury Brown - Twiss (HBT) interferometry. The HBT interferometry was originally introduced in the field of radio astronomy [12, 13], where it was demonstrated that measuring intensity-intensity correlations could accurately determine the diameters of stars. In quantum optics, these correlations have been used to explore the non-classical properties of photons. This concept was first applied to cosmology in [14]. In this work, we discuss the non-classicality of the PGWs in HL gravity, particularly utilizing the recent approach suggested by Refs [15, 16].

In particular, we show how the quantum statistics of PGWs predicted by HL gravity differ from those in conventional inflationary models. Our analysis shows that PGWs with frequencies higher than 10 kHz (in a radiation-dominated Universe) or 10^{-3} Hz (in a matter-dominated Universe) potentially offer the possibility of detecting their non-classical nature for future observations using HBT interferometry. In contrast, inflationary models require frequencies higher than 10 kHz [15, 16]. This suggests that while the PGWs predictions of HL gravity in a radiation-dominated Universe and inflationary models are the same in terms of quantum properties, the

HL predictions in a matter-dominated Universe differ from inflationary predictions. Consequently, this difference is expected to be observable in future experiments.

The rest of the present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief review of the construction of the HL gravity in 3+1 dimensions. In Section 3, we derive the mode functions of PGWs in HL gravity and introduce squeezed states and squeezed coherent states. We show that PGWs with squeezed coherent states could arise from the usual interaction between PGWs and the scalar field. In Section 4, we calculate the graviton statistics of the squeezed coherent state and introduce the non-classicality condition of PGWs detectable using the HBT interferometry. In Section 5, we provide calculations of PGWs generated in radiation- or matter-dominated Universe and predict the frequency range of non-classical PGWs that could be detected with HBT interferometry. In Section 6, we conclude our work.

2 Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

In this section, we briefly introduce the basic framework of the projectable HL gravity. The basic variables are the lapse function N, the shift vector N^i , and the spatial metric g_{ij} with the positive definite signature (+, +, +). In the IR, one can construct the 3+1 dimensional metric out of the basic variables as in the Arnowitt, Deser and Misner (ADM) formalism [17],

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}dt^{2} + g_{ij}(dx^{i} + N^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + N^{j}dt), \qquad (2.1)$$

The shift vector N^i and the spatial metric g_{ij} in general depend on all four coordinates. On the other hand, we consider the projectable HL gravity and thus assume that the lapse function N is a function of time only.

The 3 + 1 dimensional action S describing projectable HL gravity is written, in the notation of [18], as

$$S_{\rm HL} = \frac{\mathcal{M}^2}{2} \int dt d^3 x N \sqrt{g} \left(K^{ij} K_{ij} - \lambda K^2 + c_g^2 R - 2\Lambda + \mathcal{O}_{z>1} \right), \qquad (2.2)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the overall mass scale. The extrinsic curvature tensor K_{ij} is defined by $K_{ij} = (\partial_t g_{ij} - g_{jk} \nabla_i N^k - g_{ik} \nabla_j N^k)/(2N)$, with ∇_i being the spatial covariant derivative compatible with g_{ij} , $K^{ij} = g^{ik} g^{jl} K_{kl}$, $K = g^{ij} K_{ij}$ and R is the Ricci scalar of g_{ij} , where g^{ij} is the inverse of g_{ij} . The constants Λ and c_g are the cosmological constant and the propagation speed of tensor gravitational waves, and we set $c_g = 1$. The higher dimensional operators $\mathcal{O}_{z>1}$ is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{z>1}}{2} = c_1 \nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^i R^{jk} + c_2 \nabla_i R \nabla^i R + c_3 R_i^j R_j^k R_k^i + c_4 R R_i^j R_j^i + c_5 R^3 + c_6 R_i^j R_j^i + c_7 R^2 .$$
(2.3)

All coupling constants in the action, Λ and c_g mentioned above as well as λ and c_n $(n = 1, \dots, 7)$ are subject to running under the renormalization group (RG) flow.

3 Quantum states of Primordial Gravitational Waves

Hereafter, we will consider a flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background and the metric is given by

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)(\gamma_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where we set N = 1, $N^i = 0$ and h_{ij} is a transverse traceless tensor satisfying $|h_{ij}| \ll \gamma_{ij}$.

The action for the tensor field in a flat FLRW background is given by [8],

$$S_{\rm HL}^{(2)} = \frac{\mathcal{M}^2}{8} \int dt d^3x a^3 \left[\dot{h}^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} + h^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} + \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2 \mathcal{M}^2}\right)^2 h^{ij} \Delta^3 h_{ij} \right]$$
(3.2)

where $\Delta = g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j = a(t)^{-2} \gamma^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j$ is the Laplacian associated with the spatial metric g_{ij} , ¹ and we have dropped the couplings c_6 and c_7 for simplicity. We have also introduced a new parameter ν which is derived from c_1 and c_2 . Hereafter, we will introduce the conformal time η with $d\eta = dt/a$, and the transverse traceless tensor $h_{ij}(\eta, x^i)$ can be expanded in terms of plane waves with wavenumber \boldsymbol{k} as

$$h_{ij}(\eta, x^i) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathcal{M}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{s} h^s_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} p^s_{ij}(k) , \qquad (3.3)$$

where $s = \pm$ is the polarization label and $p_{ij}^s(k)$ is the polarization tensor normalized as $p_{ij}^{*s} p_{ij}^{s'} = 2\delta^{ss'}$. For convenience, we discretized the **k**-mode with a width $\mathbf{k} = (\frac{2\pi n_x}{L_x}, \frac{2\pi n_y}{L_y}, \frac{2\pi n_z}{L_z})$ in a three-dimensional volume $V = L_x L_y L_z$ where $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z)$ are integers. The spatial Laplacian leads to $\Delta e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = a(t)^{-2}k^2e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$. By using the above Fourier expansion of $h_{ij}(\eta, x^i)$, we can obtain the following action,

$$S_{\rm HL}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}\eta \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{s} a^2 \left[h_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime s} h_{-\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime s} - k^2 h_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s} h_{-\boldsymbol{k}}^{s} - \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2 \mathcal{M}^2} \right)^2 \frac{k^6}{a^4} h_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s} h_{-\boldsymbol{k}}^{s} \right], \qquad (3.4)$$

where k is the magnitude of the wave number \mathbf{k} and ' is the derivative with respect to η . By defining $\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}(\eta) = a(\eta)h_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}(\eta)$, the tensor field $\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{s}(\eta)$ satisfies the equation of motion,

$$\tilde{h}_{k}^{''s} + \left(\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{2} \mathcal{M}^{2}} \right)^{2} \frac{k^{6}}{a^{4}} + k^{2} - \frac{a''}{a} \right) \tilde{h}_{k}^{s} = 0.$$
(3.5)

¹We performed the integral by parts, $-\int d^3x a^3 \left[g^{kl}\nabla_k h^{ij}\nabla_l h_{ij}\right] = +\int d^3x a^3 \left[h^{ij}\Delta h_{ij}\right]$.

In the UV limit $\frac{k}{a} \gg \nu \mathcal{M}$, Eq. (3.5) reduces,

$$\tilde{h}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime\prime s} + \left(\frac{k^6}{a^4\nu^4\mathcal{M}^4} - \frac{a^{\prime\prime}}{a}\right)\tilde{h}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^s = 0.$$
(3.6)

In this case, we can exactly derive the following mode function,

$$\tilde{h}^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) = \frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2k^{3}}} a(\eta) \exp\left(-i\frac{k^{3}}{\nu^{2}\mathcal{M}^{2}} \int^{\eta} \frac{d\eta'}{a^{2}(\eta')}\right) , \qquad (3.7)$$

and the corresponding power spectrum is given by

$$P(k) = k^3 |h_k^s|^2 \propto \mathcal{M}^2, \qquad (3.8)$$

which means the scale-invariant [8].

3.1 Squeezed states

Hereafter, we briefly introduce the Bogoliubov coefficients and squeezed operators. We promote the tensor field $\tilde{h}^{s}_{k}(\eta)$ to the operator, which is expanded as

$$\tilde{h}^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) = a^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}} u_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) + a^{s\dagger}_{-\boldsymbol{k}} u^{*}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) , \ \left[a^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}}, a^{s'\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right] = \delta^{ss'} \delta_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{p}} , \qquad (3.9)$$

where * denotes complex conjugate. We can also expand the tensor field $h^s_{k}(\eta)$ by $v_k(\eta)$ such as

$$\tilde{h}^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) = b^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) + b^{s\dagger}_{-\boldsymbol{k}} v^{*}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) , \ \left[b^{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}}, b^{s'\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{p}} \right] = \delta^{ss'} \delta_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{p}} .$$

$$(3.10)$$

We define the initial vacuum $|0\rangle_a$ and late vacuum $|0\rangle_b$ respectively as

$$a_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s}|0\rangle_{a} = 0, \qquad b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s}|0\rangle_{b} = 0.$$
 (3.11)

The Bogoliubov coefficients α_k, β_k are defined as,

$$v_k^* = \alpha_k u_k^* + \beta_k u_k \,, \tag{3.12}$$

where normalization is kept, if $|\alpha_k|^2 - |\beta_k|^2 = 1$. The operators are related via the Bogoliubov transformation:

$$b_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \alpha_k a_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \beta_k^* a_{-\boldsymbol{k}}^\dagger, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = \alpha_k^* a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + \beta_k a_{-\mathbf{k}}. \tag{3.14}$$

The standard parametrization of the Bogoliubov coefficients is given by

$$\alpha_k \equiv \cosh r_k \,, \quad \beta_k \equiv e^{i\varphi} \sinh r_k \,, \tag{3.15}$$

where φ is an arbitrary phase factor. The parameter r_k is known as the squeezing parameter and written as,

$$\tanh r_k = \left| \frac{\beta_k}{\alpha_k^*} \right|,\tag{3.16}$$

By using the above relation, the initial vacuum can be given by

$$|0\rangle_{a} = \prod_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{in\varphi} \frac{\tanh^{n} r_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\cosh r_{\boldsymbol{k}}} |n_{\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle_{b} \otimes |n_{-\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle_{b}, \qquad (3.17)$$

where $|n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle_b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \langle b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \rangle^n |0_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle_b$ and $|0\rangle_b = |0_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle_b \otimes |0_{-\mathbf{k}}\rangle_b$. It turns out that the initial vacuum is expressed in terms of a two-mode squeezed state of the mode \mathbf{k} and $-\mathbf{k}$ from the viewpoint of the late vacuum. Also, the mean particle density is in proportion to $|\beta_k|^2$.

3.2 Squeezed coherent states

We will now consider the interaction with matter fields to produce coherent states. If the PGWs interact with matter fields perturbatively, coherent states are generated during the history of the Universe. The coherent state is given as

$$|\xi_k\rangle_a = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi_k|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_k^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n_k\rangle_a.$$
 (3.18)

Now, we introduce the displacement operator

$$\hat{D}^{a}(\xi_{k}) = \exp\left[\xi_{k} a_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\dagger} - \xi_{k}^{*} a_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right], \qquad (3.19)$$

and find the relation,

$$\hat{D}^a(\xi_k)|0\rangle_a = |\xi_k\rangle_a.$$
(3.20)

To consider a realistic cosmological situation, we introduce a free scalar field ϕ in the projectable HL gravity. The action of ϕ reads

$$S_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^3 x N \sqrt{g} \left[\dot{\phi}^2 + \phi \Delta \phi + \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{\phi}^2 \mathcal{M}^2} \right)^2 \phi \Delta^3 \phi \right], \qquad (3.21)$$

where $\Delta = g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j$ is the Laplacian associated with the spatial metric g_{ij} and we have dropped the z = 2 term for simplicity. By using the second-order perturbative expansions on the total action of the HL gravity, we have

$$S^{(2)} = \int dt d^3x \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}^2}{2} \left(a^3 \dot{h}^{ij} \dot{h}_{ij} - a h^{kl} \left(\partial^i \partial_i \right) h_{kl} - \frac{1}{a^3 \nu^4 \mathcal{M}^4} h^{kl} \left(\partial^i \partial_i \right)^3 h_{kl} \right) - \frac{a}{2} \phi \left(h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \right) \phi - \left(\frac{3}{2a^3 \nu_{\phi}^4 \mathcal{M}^4} \right) \phi \left(h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \right) \left(\gamma^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \right)^2 \phi + \cdots \right].$$
(3.22)

To construct the displacement operator by using the interaction Hamiltonian, we select the terms with two scalars and one tensor. By using the Fourier expansion of the PGWs and scalar field, we obtain the interaction Hamiltonian for the PGWs,

$$i \int d\eta H_{\rm int} = i \int d\eta d^3 x \left[\frac{a^2}{2} \phi \left(h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \right) \phi + \left(\frac{3}{2a^2 \nu_{\phi}^4 \mathcal{M}^4} \right) \phi \left(h^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \right) \left(\gamma^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \right)^2 \phi \right]$$

$$= i \int d\eta \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{s} \left\{ \frac{a^2}{2\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} \left(p^{ij,s} (-\mathbf{k}) p_i p_j \right) h_{-\mathbf{k}}^s \phi_{\mathbf{p}} \phi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}} + \frac{3}{2a^2 \nu_{\phi}^4 \mathcal{M}^5} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} \left(p^{ij,s} (-\mathbf{k}) p_i p_j \right) \left(\gamma^{ij} p_i p_j \right)^2 h_{-\mathbf{k}}^s \phi_{\mathbf{p}} \phi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}} \right\}, \qquad (3.23)$$

where we replaced $h^s_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $h^s_{-\mathbf{k}}$ in the last equation for convenience and we expanded $\phi(\eta, x^i)$ in terms of plane waves,

$$\phi(\eta, x^i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\eta) e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}} \,. \tag{3.24}$$

Hence, we can define the interaction Hamiltonian as

$$i \int \mathrm{d}\eta \, H_{\rm int} = -\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{s} \left[\xi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s} a_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s\dagger} - \xi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s*} a_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s} \right] \,, \tag{3.25}$$

where the coherent parameter ξ_k^s is given by

$$\xi_{k}^{s} = -\frac{i}{\mathcal{M}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{V}}\int \mathrm{d}\eta \sum_{p} \left\{ \frac{a}{2} \left(p^{ij,s}(-\boldsymbol{k})p_{i}p_{j} \right) u_{k}^{*}(\eta)\phi_{p}\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3}{2a^{3}\nu_{\phi}^{4}\mathcal{M}^{4}} \left(p^{ij,s}(-\boldsymbol{k})p_{i}p_{j} \right) \left(\gamma^{ij}p_{i}p_{j} \right)^{2} u_{k}^{*}(\eta)\phi_{p}\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}} \right\},$$
(3.26)

where ξ_k^s is a non-dimensional quantity. This interaction generates a coherent state such as

$$|\xi_k\rangle_a = \exp\left[-i\int d\eta \,H_{\rm int}\right]|0\rangle_a$$
$$= \prod_{\boldsymbol{k}}\prod_s \exp\left[\xi_k^s \,a_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{s\dagger} - \xi_k^{s*} \,a_{\boldsymbol{k}}^s\right]|0\rangle_a\,.$$
(3.27)

Thus, the initial state $|0\rangle_a$ in the presence of scalar fields becomes a coherent state [19].

4 Graviton statistics and HBT interferometry

4.1 Fano factor

In squeezed vacuum states, the expected number of gravitons is given by

$${}_{a}\langle 0|n_{\boldsymbol{k}}|0\rangle_{a} = {}_{a}\langle 0|n_{-\boldsymbol{k}}|0\rangle_{a} = |\beta_{k}|^{2} = \sinh^{2}r_{k}, \qquad (4.1)$$

and the standard variance is computed as

$$(\Delta n)^2 = {}_a \langle 0 | (n_{\mathbf{k}} + n_{-\mathbf{k}})^2 | 0 \rangle_a - {}_a \langle 0 | n_{\mathbf{k}} + n_{-\mathbf{k}} | 0 \rangle_a^2$$

= 4 sinh² r_k + 4 sinh⁴ r_k, (4.2)

where we assumed that n_k and n_{-k} are indistinguishable and calculated the standard variance for the sum of them. Then, we can calculate the Fano factor as

$$F = \frac{(\Delta n)^2}{{}_{\rm I}\langle 0|n_{\boldsymbol{k}} + n_{-\boldsymbol{k}}|0\rangle_{\rm I}} = 2 + 2\sinh^2 r_k > 1, \qquad (4.3)$$

which shows that the graviton distribution in the squeezed vacuum is super-Poissonian.

Next, let us consider the coherent state. The expectation number of gravitons can be calculated as

$${}_{a}\langle\xi_{k}|n_{\boldsymbol{k}}|\xi_{k}\rangle_{a} = {}_{a}\langle\xi_{k}|n_{-\boldsymbol{k}}|\xi_{k}\rangle_{a} = {}_{b}\langle\xi_{k}|\hat{S}^{\dagger}(\zeta)n_{\boldsymbol{k}}\hat{S}(\zeta)|\xi_{k}\rangle_{b}$$
$$= |\xi_{k}|^{2} \left[e^{-2r_{k}}\cos^{2}\left(\theta - \frac{\varphi}{2}\right) + e^{2r_{k}}\sin^{2}\left(\theta - \frac{\varphi}{2}\right)\right] + \sinh^{2}r_{k}, \qquad (4.4)$$

where $\xi_k = |\xi_k| e^{i\theta}$. The standard variance is also calculated as

$$(\Delta n)^2 = {}_a \langle \xi_k | (n_k + n_{-k})^2 | \xi_k \rangle_a - {}_a \langle \xi_k | n_k + n_{-k} | \xi_k \rangle_a^2$$

= 2|\xi_k|^2 \left[e^{-4r_k} \cos^2 \left(\theta - \frac{\varphi}{2} \right) + e^{4r_k} \sin^2 \left(\theta - \frac{\varphi}{2} \right) \right] + 4 \sinh^2 r_k + 4 \sinh^4 r_k, \quad (4.5)

Finally, the Fano factor is found to be

$$F = \frac{|\xi_k|^2 e^{-4r_k} + 2\sinh^2 r_k + 2\sinh^4 r_k}{|\xi_k|^2 e^{-2r_k} + \sinh^2 r_k},$$
(4.6)

where we consider the case $\theta - \varphi/2 = 0$. If the Fano factor F satisfies F < 1, *i.e.*

$$|\xi_k|^2 \left(e^{-2r_k} - e^{-4r_k} \right) > \sinh^2 r_k + 2\sinh^4 r_k \,, \tag{4.7}$$

the graviton distribution in the squeezed coherent state can be sub-Poissonian. For $r_k \gg 1$, the condition (4.7) is reduced to

$$\sinh^6 r_k < \frac{1}{8} |\xi_k|^2 \,. \tag{4.8}$$

4.2 Hanbury Brown - Twiss interferometry

The HBT interferometry is used to measure intensity-intensity correlations, specifically, the second-order coherence function, which is defined as:

$$g^{(2)}(\tau) = \frac{\langle a^{\dagger}(t)a^{\dagger}(t+\tau)a(t+\tau)a(t)\rangle}{\langle a^{\dagger}(t)a(t)\rangle\langle a^{\dagger}(t+\tau)a(t+\tau)\rangle}.$$
(4.9)

Here, τ represents the time delay between the signals received by the two detectors. This function can be expressed using the Fano factor as follows:

$$g^{(2)}(0) = 1 + \frac{(\Delta n)^2 - \langle n \rangle}{\langle n \rangle^2} = 1 + \frac{F - 1}{\langle n \rangle}.$$
 (4.10)

Therefore, $g^{(2)}(0)$ will be less than one when the Fano factor is below one. If the early Universe went through a phase where the Fano factor was less than one, it could allow us to observe a non-classical signature in PGWs using the HBT interferometry.

5 Possible detection of non-classical PGWs in Hořava-Lifshitz cosmology

5.1 Radiation-dominated Universe

Hereafter, we will focus on the HL gravity. For simplicity, we assumed the mode function changes from the anisotropic (UV) regime $\frac{k}{a} > \nu \mathcal{M}$ to ordinary (IR) regime $\frac{k}{a} < \nu \mathcal{M}$. First, we assume the radiation-dominated Universe in which the scale factor is written as

$$a(\eta) = C_r \eta, \qquad 0 < \eta < \eta_{\rm eq},\tag{5.1}$$

where η_{eq} is the conformal time of the matter-radiation equality. Since we are considering the radiation-dominated Universe, we have to be careful whether it is a thermal vacuum or not. However, the gravitational interaction is extremely weak and there is no need to consider a graviton thermal bath as long as the Planck temperature is not reached. Thus, in this paper, we do not consider the thermal vacuum [20]. Then, Eq. (3.5) gives the positive frequency mode in the UV and IR regimes respectively as

$$\begin{cases} u_k(\eta) = \frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2k^3}} C_r \eta \exp\left(i\frac{k^3}{\nu^2 \mathcal{M}^2 C_r^2 \eta}\right), \\ v_k(\eta) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{-ik\eta}, \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

where we have used Eq. (3.7) as the mode function in the UV regime.

The corresponding Bogoliubov coefficients read,

$$\alpha_k = \frac{e^{2ik\eta_1} \left(2k\eta_1 + i\right)}{2k\eta_1}, \quad \beta_k = -\frac{i}{2k\eta_1}, \tag{5.3}$$

and the squeezing parameter is written by

$$\sinh r_k = \left| \frac{1}{2\eta_1 k} \right|,\tag{5.4}$$

where we used the matching condition at $\eta = \eta_1$ with $\nu \mathcal{M} = \frac{k}{C_r \eta_1}$.

We translate the comoving wave number k into the physical frequency at present [21]. The physical frequency is written by

$$2\pi f = \frac{k}{a(t_0)},$$
 (5.5)

where t_0 is the present value of cosmic time and we shall set $a(t_0) = 1$. We estimate the quantity $k\eta_1$ and obtain the following relation,

$$k\eta_1 = 2\pi f a(t_0)\eta_1 = \frac{(2\pi f a(t_0))^2}{\nu \mathcal{M} C_r},$$
(5.6)

where we used $a(\eta) = C_r \eta$ and $\frac{k}{a(\eta_1)} = \nu \mathcal{M}$. By using the following relation

$$a(t_0) = \sqrt{2C_r} \left(\frac{t_0}{t_{\rm eq}}\right)^{2/3} t_{\rm eq}^{1/2} \,, \tag{5.7}$$

we can obtain the following expression

$$k\eta_1 = \frac{2(2\pi f)^2}{\nu \mathcal{M}} \left(\frac{t_0^{2/3}}{t_{\rm eq}^{2/3}} t_{\rm eq}^{1/2}\right)^2 \equiv \left(\frac{f}{f_1}\right)^2, \qquad (5.8)$$

where

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{t_{\rm eq}^{1/6}}{t_0^{2/3}} \sqrt{\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{2}} \simeq 10^9 \sqrt{\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}}} \quad [\rm Hz] \,, \tag{5.9}$$

where we introduced the Planck mass $M_{\rm pl}^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G}$.

When the system was in the vacuum state before the de Sitter-radiation transition, after the transition the mean particle density N_f is given by

$$N_f = |\beta_k|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{f_1}{f}\right)^4 \,. \tag{5.10}$$

By using the above quantity we have the amplitude of the PGWs [21],

$$h_0^2 \Omega_{\rm gw}(f) \simeq 3.6 \left(\frac{N_f}{10^{37}}\right) \left(\frac{f}{1 \rm kHz}\right)^4$$
$$\simeq 10^{-13} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}}\right)^2, \qquad (5.11)$$

which is the same as the inflation prediction with H_{inf} replaced by $\nu \mathcal{M}$.

Plugging (5.8) back into Eq. (5.4), we have

$$\sinh r_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f_1}{f}\right)^2,\tag{5.12}$$

which is the same form as the inflation models [21]. Hereafter, we drop the spin degree of freedom of $|\xi_k^s|$ for simplicity. Combining the above relation with the condition (4.7), we obtain the condition to observe non-classical PGWs where we assume $r_k \gg 1$,

$$f > \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} |\xi_k|^{-\frac{1}{6}} f_1 = \left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} 10^9 |\xi_k|^{-\frac{1}{6}} \sqrt{\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}}} \quad [\text{Hz}], \qquad (5.13)$$

which is consistent with the standard prediction of the inflation [15, 16] when we replace the mass scale $\nu \mathcal{M}$ into the inflationary Hubble expansion rate H_{inf} .

Now, let us estimate the coherent parameter $|\xi_k|$ for the radiation-dominated Universe. By using Eq (3.26), we obtain

$$\xi_{k} = -\frac{i}{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} \int_{\eta_{0}}^{\eta_{1}} \mathrm{d}\eta \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} \left\{ \frac{a(\eta)}{2} p^{2} u_{k}^{*}(\eta) \phi_{\boldsymbol{p}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}} + \frac{3}{2a(\eta)^{3} \nu_{\phi}^{4} \mathcal{M}^{4}} p^{6} u_{k}^{*}(\eta) \phi_{\boldsymbol{p}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{p}} \right\},$$
(5.14)

where we can approximately use Eq. (3.7) as the mode functions of the PGWs and scalar field. By using the following expressions,

$$u_{k}^{*}(\eta) = \frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2k^{3}}} a(\eta) e^{+i\frac{k^{3}}{\nu^{2}\mathcal{M}^{2}C_{r}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{1}}\right]},$$
(5.15)

$$\phi_p = \frac{\nu_\phi \mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2p^3}} e^{-i\frac{p^3}{\nu_\phi^2 \mathcal{M}^2 C_r^2} \left[\frac{1}{\eta_0} - \frac{1}{\eta_1}\right]},\tag{5.16}$$

$$\phi_{k-p} = \frac{\nu_{\phi} \mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2(k-p)^3}} e^{-i\frac{(k-p)^3}{\nu_{\phi}^2 \mathcal{M}^2 C_r^2} \left[\frac{1}{\eta_0} - \frac{1}{\eta_1}\right]},$$
(5.17)

we obtain

$$\xi_{k} = -\frac{i}{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} \int_{\eta_{0}}^{\eta_{1}} \mathrm{d}\eta \frac{\nu \nu_{\phi}^{2} \mathcal{M}^{3}}{\sqrt{2k^{3}}} \sum_{p} \left\{ \frac{a(\eta)^{2}}{4} \frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(k-p)^{3}}} + \frac{3}{4a(\eta)^{2} \nu_{\phi}^{4} \mathcal{M}^{4}} \frac{p^{\frac{9}{2}}}{\sqrt{(k-p)^{3}}} \right\} e^{+\frac{i}{\mathcal{M}^{2} C_{r}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{1}}\right] \left(\frac{k^{3}}{\nu^{2}} - \frac{p^{3}}{\nu_{\phi}^{2}} - \frac{(k-p)^{3}}{\nu_{\phi}^{2}}\right)}, \quad (5.18)$$

where it may be important to determine whether we can drop the exponential factor. Conservatively, we should take $\eta_0 \sim \eta_1$ and $\nu \sim \nu_{\phi}$. By using the matching condition $\nu \mathcal{M} = \frac{k}{C_r \eta_1}$, we obtain the condition under which the exponential factor can be dropped as

$$\frac{k^3}{\nu^2 \mathcal{M}^2 C_r^2} \frac{1}{\eta_1} \sim k\eta_1 = \left(\frac{f}{f_1}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{f}{10^9 \sqrt{\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}}}} \left[\text{Hz}\right]\right)^2 \ll 1.$$
(5.19)

For $f < 10^5$ and reasonable values of $\nu \mathcal{M}$, this condition holds and we can safely neglect the exponential factor. For a large three-dimensional volume V the mode p for the scalar field is treated as continuous, $\frac{1}{V}\sum_{p} \rightarrow \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 p}{(2\pi)^3}$. Thus, we obtain approximately

$$\xi_{k} = -\frac{i\sqrt{2V}}{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\eta_{0}}^{\eta_{1}} \mathrm{d}\eta \frac{\nu \nu_{\phi}^{2} \mathcal{M}^{3}}{\sqrt{2k^{3}}} \int_{p \sim k} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ \frac{a(\eta)^{2}}{4} \frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(k-p)^{3}}} + \frac{3}{4a(\eta)^{2} \nu_{\phi}^{4} \mathcal{M}^{4}} \frac{p^{\frac{9}{2}}}{\sqrt{(k-p)^{3}}} \right\}$$
$$\simeq -\frac{i\nu \nu_{\phi}^{2} \mathcal{M}^{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sqrt{\frac{V}{k^{3}}} \int_{\eta_{0}}^{\eta_{1}} \mathrm{d}\eta \left\{ \frac{a(\eta)^{2}}{4} k^{2} + \frac{3k^{6}}{4a(\eta)^{2} \nu_{\phi}^{4} \mathcal{M}^{4}} \right\},$$
(5.20)

where we approximate $\int_{p \sim k} d^3 p \sim k^3$. Now, we obtain the following estimate,

$$|\xi_k| \simeq \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{V}}{96\pi^3} \frac{\nu_{\phi}^2}{\nu} (k\eta_1) + \frac{3k^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{V}}{32\pi^3} \frac{\nu^3}{\nu_{\phi}^2} (k\eta_1) \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\eta_0}\right) , \qquad (5.21)$$

where we take $\eta_1 > \eta_0$.

First, we will assume the case where the tree interaction is dominant and consider only the first term in Eq. (5.21). Utilizing the non-classicality condition (5.13), we obtain

$$f > \left(\frac{144\pi^3}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{19}} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\phi}^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{19}} f_1^{\frac{16}{19}} = 8.5 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\phi}^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{19}} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4}M_{\rm pl}}\right)^{\frac{8}{19}} \quad [\rm Hz]\,, \quad (5.22)$$

where we take $V = H_0^{-3}$ with the current Hubble constant H_0 . Next, we will examine the case where the second term, characterized by the higher-order interaction of the scalar field and PGWs, is dominant. Utilizing the non-classicality condition (5.13), we obtain

$$f > \left(\frac{16\pi^3}{9V}\right)^{\frac{1}{19}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\phi}^2}{\nu^3}\right)^{\frac{2}{19}} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\eta_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{19}} f_1^{\frac{16}{19}}$$
$$= 7.2 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{\nu_{\phi}^2}{\nu^3}\right)^{\frac{2}{19}} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\eta_1}\right)^{\frac{2}{19}} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4}M_{\rm pl}}\right)^{\frac{8}{19}} \quad [\text{Hz}]. \tag{5.23}$$

Conservatively, we set $\nu \sim \nu_{\phi} \sim 10^{-4}$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim M_{\rm pl}$. Additionally, while $(\eta_0/\eta_1) < 1$, a conservative estimate would be $(\eta_0/\eta_1)^{\frac{2}{19}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. However, when η_0 is significantly smaller than η_1 , i.e. if the PGWs and scalar field can interact sufficiently, the frequency range where quantum effects can be observed becomes lower. Interestingly, if the effect of $(\eta_0/\eta_1)^{\frac{2}{19}}$ is ignored, the quantum nature constraints on tree interaction and higher-order interaction of the scalar field and PGWs are of the same order. Regarding the frequency and quantum nature of PGWs like the squeezing parameter, there are no differences between HL gravity in the radiation-dominated Universe and inflationary models [22–24]. Although the coherent parameter $|\xi_k|$ derived from the interaction Hamiltonian of scalar fields and PGWs in HL gravity theory differs from that in inflation theory, the observational quantum signature of PGWs based on HL gravity are the same as the inflationary predictions. In the radiation-dominated Universe, detecting the non-classicality of PGWs using the HBT interferometry requires a frequency higher than 10 kHz, which is the same order as inflationary predictions [15, 16]. However, the predictions of HL gravity in the radiation-dominated Universe are unique. If the cosmic expansion differs from that expected in the radiation-dominated Universe, this constraint may also change. Indeed, in the next section, we shall consider the matter-dominated Universe, and show the condition for the detectability of non-classicality is notably weaker.

5.2 Matter-dominated Universe

Next, we consider the PGWs in the matter-dominated Universe where the scale factor is written as

$$a(\eta) = C_m \eta^2 \,. \tag{5.24}$$

Then, Eq. (3.5) gives the positive frequency mode at UV or IR regime as

$$\begin{cases} u_k(\eta) = \frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{2k^3}} C_m \eta^2 \exp\left(i\frac{k^3}{3C_m^2 \nu^2 \mathcal{M}^2 \eta^3}\right), \\ v_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{k\eta}\right) e^{-ik\eta}. \end{cases}$$
(5.25)

The corresponding Bogoliubov coefficients read,

$$\alpha_k = \frac{e^{\frac{4ik\eta_2}{3}}(-3 + 2k\eta_2(k\eta_2 + 2i))}{2k^2\eta_2^2}, \quad \beta_k = \frac{e^{\frac{2ik\eta_2}{3}}(3 - 2ik\eta_2)}{2k^2\eta_2^2}, \quad (5.26)$$

and the squeezing parameter reads,

$$\sinh r_k = \left| \frac{3 - 2ik\eta_1}{2k^2\eta_1^2} \right|,$$
(5.27)

where we used the matching condition at $\eta = \eta_2$ with $\nu \mathcal{M} = \frac{k}{C_m \eta_2^2}$.

We estimate the quantity $k\eta_2$ and obtain the following relation,

$$k\eta_2 = 2\pi f a(t_0)\eta_2 = \frac{(2\pi f a(t_0))^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_m^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$
(5.28)

where we used $a(\eta) = C_m \eta^2$ and $\frac{k}{a(\eta_2)} = \nu \mathcal{M}$. By using the relation

$$a(t_0) = C_m^{1/3} \left(3t_0\right)^{2/3} \,, \tag{5.29}$$

we obtain the following expression

$$k\eta_2 = \frac{(2\pi f)^{\frac{3}{2}} (3t_0)}{\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \equiv \left(\frac{f}{f_2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad (5.30)$$

where f_2 is given by

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{9t_0^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} [\rm Hz].$$
(5.31)

Plugging this back into Eq. (5.27), we get

$$\sinh r_k \simeq \frac{3}{2k^2\eta_2^2} = \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f_2}{f}\right)^3,$$
 (5.32)

where we assume $k\eta_2 \ll 1$. Combining the above relation with the condition (4.7), we obtain the condition to observe non-classicality PGWs [15, 16] where we assume $r_k \gg 1$,

$$f > \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} |\xi_k|^{-\frac{1}{9}} f_2 = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} |\xi_k|^{-\frac{1}{9}} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} [\text{Hz}].$$
(5.33)

Now, let us estimate the coherent parameter $|\xi_k|$ for the matter-dominated Universe. As in the calculation for the case of the radiation-dominated Universe, by using Eq (3.26), we obtain

$$\xi_{k} = -\frac{i}{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} \int_{\eta_{0}}^{\eta_{2}} \mathrm{d}\eta \frac{\nu \nu_{\phi}^{2} \mathcal{M}^{3}}{\sqrt{2k^{3}}} \sum_{p} \left\{ \frac{a(\eta)^{2}}{4} \frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{(k-p)^{3}}} + \frac{3}{4a(\eta)^{2} \nu_{\phi}^{4} \mathcal{M}^{4}} \frac{p^{\frac{9}{2}}}{\sqrt{(k-p)^{3}}} \right\} e^{+\frac{i}{3\mathcal{M}^{2}C_{m}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\eta_{0}^{3}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{2}^{3}}\right] \left(\frac{k^{3}}{\nu^{2}} - \frac{p^{3}}{\nu_{\phi}^{2}} - \frac{(k-p)^{3}}{\nu_{\phi}^{2}}\right)} \\ \simeq -\frac{i\nu\nu_{\phi}^{2} \mathcal{M}^{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sqrt{\frac{V}{k^{3}}} \int_{\eta_{0}}^{\eta_{2}} \mathrm{d}\eta \left\{\frac{a(\eta)^{2}}{4} k^{2} + \frac{3k^{6}}{4a(\eta)^{2} \nu_{\phi}^{4} \mathcal{M}^{4}}\right\},$$
(5.34)

where we have dropped the exponential factor in the last equation as in the previous radiation case. Now, we obtain the following expression,

$$|\xi_k| \simeq \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{V}}{160\pi^3} \frac{\nu_{\phi}^2}{\nu} (k\eta_2) + \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{V}}{32\pi^3} \frac{\nu^3}{\nu_{\phi}^2} (k\eta_2) \left(\frac{\eta_2}{\eta_0}\right)^3 , \qquad (5.35)$$

where we have used $\frac{k}{C_m \eta_2^2} = \nu \mathcal{M}$, and taken $\eta_2 > \eta_0$.

First, we will consider the case where the tree interaction is dominant and the first term in the above expression is dominant. Utilizing the non-classicality condition (5.33), we obtain

$$f > \left(\frac{291600\pi^3}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{24}} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\phi}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} f_2^{\frac{7}{8}} = 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\phi}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4} M_{\rm pl}}\right)^{\frac{7}{24}} [\rm Hz]. \quad (5.36)$$

Next, we will examine the case where the second term, characterized by the higherorder interaction of the scalar field and PGWs, is dominant. Utilizing the nonclassicality condition (5.33), we obtain

$$f > \left(\frac{11664\pi^3}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{24}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\phi}^2}{\nu^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\eta_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} f_2^{\frac{7}{8}}$$
$$= 1.0 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{\nu_{\phi}^2}{\nu^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} \left(\frac{\eta_0}{\eta_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\nu \mathcal{M}}{10^{-4}M_{\rm pl}}\right)^{\frac{7}{24}} \quad [\text{Hz}]. \tag{5.37}$$

Compared to the radiation-dominated Universe, the frequency of PGWs required to detect non-classicality using the HBT interferometry is significantly reduced to $f \gtrsim 10^{-3}$ Hz with a conservative set-up. Specifically, the lowest frequency required for the detectability of non-classicality decreases by a factor of 10^{-7} in comparison with the radiation-dominated Universe. Conservatively, the non-classicality of PGWs generated during the matter-dominated Universe can be detected using the LISA detector.

6 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have investigated the quantum signature of PGWs generated in HL gravity. This theory uniquely allows for the generation of scale-invariant primordial perturbations without inflation, while satisfying the renormalizability, unitarity and asymptotic freedom as a quantum field theory of gravity. We have focused on examining the non-classical nature of PGWs in HL gravity and the potential for detecting this non-classicality.

The main findings are as follows. First, we have demonstrated that HL gravity can generate scale-invariant PGWs during both the radiation-dominated and matterdominated Universe, as originally suggested in [8]. We have analytically constructed the mode functions for UV and IR modes in these stages of the Universe. Second, we have shown that for the radiation-dominated Universe, the squeezing parameter takes the same form as in the inflationary model, but for the matter-dominated Universe, it does not. Third, when scalar fields are present, the squeezed quantum state of PGWs transitions into a coherent state. We calculated the coherent parameter that arises from the interaction between PGWs and the scalar field in HL gravity. Owing to the higher-dimensional operators characteristic of HL gravity, both the amplitude of the PGWs and the scalar field, as well as the coherent parameter, become substantially enhanced. This enhancement opens the possibility of experimentally probing the non-classicality of PGWs through HBT interferometry. Specifically, we have shown that detecting PGWs with frequencies higher than 10 kHz (if generated in the radiation-dominated Universe) or 10^{-3} Hz (if generated in the matter-dominated Universe) enables us to detect their non-classicality with HBT interferometry, whereas inflationary models require frequencies higher than 10 kHz [15, 16].

In this paper, we explored the possibility of testing the quantum nature of the PGWs generated in the radiation-dominated Universe and matter-dominated Universe. While the predictions for the radiation-dominated Universe were found to be the same as those of inflationary models, the lowest frequency required for the detectability of non-classicality of the PGWs may be relaxed by more general cosmic expansion, as demonstrated in the matter-dominated Universe. Since the HL gravity can generally generate scale-invariant PGWs in any expansion of the early Universe $a \propto t^n$ with n > 1/3 [8], it is expected that the condition for the detectability of non-classicality may be sufficiently weaker, and we will deal with this in future work. Our results indicate that HL gravity not only serves as a candidate for quantum gravity theories but also offers significant cosmological predictions. Particularly, the distinct squeezing and coherent parameters in HL gravity suggest different observational outcomes for the quantum signature of PGWs compared to inflationary models [22–24].

Acknowledgments

We thank Jiro Soda for useful discussion and comments. S. K. was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP22K03621, JP22H01220, 24K21548 and MEXT KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas A "Extreme Universe" No. 24H00967. H. M. was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP22KJ1782 and No. JP23K13100. S. M was supported in part by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grantsin-Aid for Scientific Research No. 24K07017 and the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan.

References

- K.S. Stelle, Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 953.
- [2] P. Horava, Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 084008
 [0901.3775].
- [3] P. Horava, Spectral Dimension of the Universe in Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 161301 [0902.3657].

- [4] A.O. Barvinsky, D. Blas, M. Herrero-Valea, S.M. Sibiryakov and C.F. Steinwachs, Renormalization of Hořava gravity, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 064022 [1512.02250].
- [5] A.O. Barvinsky, D. Blas, M. Herrero-Valea, S.M. Sibiryakov and C.F. Steinwachs, Renormalization of gauge theories in the background-field approach, JHEP 07 (2018) 035 [1705.03480].
- [6] A.O. Barvinsky, A.V. Kurov and S.M. Sibiryakov, Asymptotic freedom in (3+1)-dimensional projectable Hořava gravity: Connecting the ultraviolet and infrared domains, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) L121503 [2310.07841].
- [7] A.O. Barvinsky, A.V. Kurov and S.M. Sibiryakov, Renormalization group flow of projectable Hořava gravity in (3+1) dimensions, 2411.13574.
- [8] S. Mukohyama, Scale-invariant cosmological perturbations from Horava-Lifshitz gravity without inflation, JCAP 06 (2009) 001 [0904.2190].
- [9] S.F. Bramberger, A. Coates, J.a. Magueijo, S. Mukohyama, R. Namba and Y. Watanabe, Solving the flatness problem with an anisotropic instanton in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 043512 [1709.07084].
- [10] H. Matsui, S. Mukohyama and A. Naruko, DeWitt boundary condition is consistent in Hořava-Lifshitz quantum gravity, Phys. Lett. B 833 (2022) 137340 [2111.00665].
- [11] P. Martens, H. Matsui and S. Mukohyama, DeWitt wave function in Hořava-Lifshitz cosmology with tensor perturbation, JCAP 11 (2022) 031 [2205.11746].
- [12] R. Hanbury Brown and R.Q. Twiss, A Test of a new type of stellar interferometer on Sirius, Nature 178 (1956) 1046.
- [13] R.H. Brown and R.Q. Twiss, Correlation between Photons in two Coherent Beams of Light, Nature 177 (1956) 27.
- [14] M. Giovannini, Hanbury Brown-Twiss interferometry and second-order correlations of inflaton quanta, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 023515 [1011.1673].
- [15] S. Kanno and J. Soda, Detecting nonclassical primordial gravitational waves with Hanbury-Brown-Twiss interferometry, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 084010 [1810.07604].
- [16] S. Kanno, Nonclassical primordial gravitational waves from the initial entangled state, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 123536 [1905.06800].
- [17] R.L. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, The Dynamics of general relativity, Gen. Rel. Grav. 40 (2008) 1997 [gr-qc/0405109].
- [18] S. Mukohyama, Horava-Lifshitz Cosmology: A Review, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 223101 [1007.5199].
- [19] R.J. Glauber, The Quantum theory of optical coherence, Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 2529.

- [20] S. Koh, S.P. Kim and D.J. Song, Gravitational wave spectrum in inflation with nonclassical states, JHEP 12 (2004) 060 [gr-qc/0402065].
- [21] M. Maggiore, Gravitational wave experiments and early universe cosmology, Phys. Rept. 331 (2000) 283 [gr-qc/9909001].
- [22] M.-a. Watanabe, S. Kanno and J. Soda, Inflationary Universe with Anisotropic Hair, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 191302 [0902.2833].
- [23] J. Soda, Statistical Anisotropy from Anisotropic Inflation, Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (2012) 083001 [1201.6434].
- [24] N. Barnaby and M. Peloso, Large Nongaussianity in Axion Inflation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 181301 [1011.1500].