

Multi-Condition Fault Diagnosis of Dynamic Systems: A Survey, Insights, and Prospects

Pengyu Han, Zeyi Liu, Xiao He, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Steven X. Ding, and Donghua Zhou, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—With the increasing complexity of industrial production systems, accurate fault diagnosis is essential to ensure safe and efficient system operation. However, due to changes in production demands, dynamic process adjustments, and complex external environmental disturbances, multiple operating conditions frequently arise during production. The multi-condition characteristics pose significant challenges to traditional fault diagnosis methods. In this context, multi-condition fault diagnosis has gradually become a key area of research, attracting extensive attention from both academia and industry. This paper aims to provide a systematic and comprehensive review of existing research in the field. Firstly, the mathematical definition of the problem is presented, followed by an overview of the current research status. Subsequently, the existing literature is reviewed and categorized from the perspectives of single-model and multi-model approaches. In addition, standard evaluation metrics and typical real-world application scenarios are summarized and analyzed. Finally, the key challenges and prospects in the field are thoroughly discussed.

Note to Practitioners—As industrial production systems grow more complex, traditional fault diagnosis methods face considerable challenges in addressing multi-condition issues arising from changing production demands, dynamic process adjustments, and disturbances from complex external environments. As a result, multi-condition fault diagnosis has become a key area of research and has garnered extensive attention in recent years. This paper presents a systematic review of multi-condition fault diagnosis for dynamic systems, ranging from developmental overview to key frameworks, evaluation metrics, and typical application scenarios. To assist researchers in quickly grasping the current trends in the industry, this paper further summarizes the challenges and future prospects within the field.

Index Terms—Fault diagnosis, multi-condition, data-driven, artificial intelligence.

I. INTRODUCTION

WITH the continuous advancement of automation, the scale and complexity of industrial production systems are also steadily increasing [1]–[4]. Modern industrial systems typically consist of multiple interconnected subsystems, operating in highly automated environments that impose stricter

demands on system stability and reliability [5], [6]. A failure in such systems can significantly decrease production efficiency, disrupt regular production schedules, and even jeopardize operational safety, leading to substantial economic losses or even casualties [7]–[10]. Against this backdrop, the development of efficient and accurate fault diagnosis methods has become critically important. Accurate fault diagnosis not only facilitates the timely detection and localization of system anomalies but also prevents secondary hazards, thereby improving system reliability while reducing maintenance costs. As a result, fault diagnosis has increasingly emerged as a focal point of research interest in recent years [11]–[14].

Fault diagnosis methods are generally categorized into model-based [15], [16] and data-driven approaches [17]. Model-based methods rely on constructing accurate mathematical models of the system. By applying identical control signals to both the physical system and its mathematical model, residual signals are generated and subsequently analyzed using evaluation and classification functions to detect and classify faults [18]. Nevertheless, as the complexity of modern industrial equipment increases, it has become progressively challenging to develop precise mathematical models, thereby limiting the practical applicability of model-based approaches. In contrast, advancements in sensor technology have enabled industrial processes to acquire vast amounts of operational data, which have laid a strong foundation for the development of data-driven methods [19]–[21]. Data-driven approaches encompass techniques such as signal processing [22], [23] and machine learning [24], [25]. Notably, with the rapid development of artificial intelligence [26], [27], machine learning-based fault diagnosis methods have been widely applied in complex systems. These methods demonstrate superior capabilities in feature extraction and learning, achieving remarkable performance in numerous fault diagnosis tasks [28]–[31].

However, in many industrial systems, changes in production demands, dynamic adjustments in processes, or complex variations in external environments often lead to significant shifts in data distributions [32]–[35]. Such distribution shifts may lead to diagnostic models trained under specific operating conditions failing to sustain stable performance in other conditions, or even result in complete failure. This issue is particularly pronounced in industrial scenarios characterized by diverse and frequently changing operating conditions, posing significant challenges to the adaptability, robustness, and generalization capabilities of fault diagnosis methods [35]–[38]. *Multi-condition fault diagnosis* (MCFD) aims to address this issue, with the primary objective of ensuring that diagnostic

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 62473223 and 624B2087, and Beijing Natural Science Foundation under grant L241016. (*Corresponding author: Xiao He.*)

Pengyu Han, Zeyi Liu and Xiao He are with the Department of Automation, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China. (emails: hpy24@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, liuzu21@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, hexiao@tsinghua.edu.cn).

Steven X. Ding is with the Institute for Automatic Control and Complex Systems, University of Duisburg-Essen, 47057 Duisburg, Germany. (e-mail: steven.ding@uni-due.de).

Donghua Zhou is with the College of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266000, P. R. China, and also with the Department of Automation, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China. (e-mail: zdh@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn).

models can deliver consistent and reliable performance across diverse operating conditions. Unlike single-condition diagnosis, MCFD must account for the substantial differences in data distributions across various conditions [39]–[42], as well as the unpredictability posed by unknown conditions [43]–[45]. This introduces multiple challenges, including how to construct models that can accommodate the complex nonlinear relationships between operating conditions, how to address performance degradation caused by data distribution shifts, and how to achieve efficient and accurate fault identification under unknown conditions. To overcome these challenges, MCFD has emerged as a key research focus in the field of fault diagnosis in recent years, garnering significant attention from both academia and industry [46], [47].

This paper reviews approximately 200 relevant studies in the field of MCFD over the past two decades, aiming to provide a comprehensive and systematic overview of this area. The related materials organized for this review are available at <https://github.com/THUFDD/Multi-Condition-Fault-Diagnosis>, and the repository will be continuously updated. In Section II, we present the mathematical definition of the MCFD problem and provide a brief overview of the current research status in the area. Sections III and IV focus on single-model and multi-model MCFD approaches, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1. These sections aim to offer an in-depth review of related research while analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of each method. Section V outlines the commonly used evaluation metrics for MCFD tasks and discusses their distinct features. Additionally, in Section VI, we explore typical application scenarios for MCFD, including mechanical systems and chemical processes. Finally, Section VII provides a summary of the paper and discusses future research directions and development prospects.




Fig. 1. Overview of different methods in multi-condition fault diagnosis.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

A. Problem Formulation

Due to the influences of load fluctuations, external environmental disturbances, and other factors, industrial processes can be considered as stochastic processes [48], denoted as:

$$\{X(\omega, t) : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\{X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}\}$ represents the random variables in this process. The *cumulative distribution function* (CDF) of this random variable is defined as follows:

$$F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n}) = P(X_{t_1} \leq x_{t_1}, X_{t_2} \leq x_{t_2}, \dots, X_{t_n} \leq x_{t_n}). \quad (2)$$

If for any time points t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n and any time shift τ , the following constraint is satisfied:

$$F(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \dots, x_{t_n}) = F(x_{t_1+\tau}, x_{t_2+\tau}, \dots, x_{t_n+\tau}), \quad (3)$$

then the process is considered stationary, indicating the cumulative distribution function does not vary with time [49]. If this constraint is not satisfied, the process is regarded as non-stationary. In the following description, if a multi-condition scenario consists solely of stationary processes, it is referred to as *steady*. Conversely, if the multi-condition scenario includes at least one non-stationary process, it is termed *unsteady*. Figs. 2a and 2b illustrate the schematic diagrams of steady and unsteady scenarios, respectively.




Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of different multi-condition scenarios. (a) Steady multi-condition scenario. (b) Unsteady multi-condition scenario.

B. Research status of MCFD

Data-driven fault diagnosis can be categorized into two approaches. The first approach is the *fault detection and isolation* (FDI) method, which involves two sequential steps [50], [51]. Initially, fault detection is performed to identify anomalies in the process. If an anomaly is detected, the model subsequently triggers the fault isolation module to locate the fault source. The second approach considers the fault diagnosis problem as a fault classification task, directly predicting fault types using classification models. In recent years, MCFD has garnered increasing attention. By performing keyword searches on Web of Science, it is observed that the number of publications in the field has exhibited a steady upward trend, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

While considerable research has been conducted on MCFD, the field still lacks a systematic review that thoroughly synthesizes existing studies. Therefore, this article aims to fill




Fig. 3. Statistical chart of publication counts for various methods in MCFD based on Web of Science data.

this gap by providing a comprehensive review of MCFD, highlighting key developments, methodologies, and future research opportunities.

In the existing literature, various terms have been used to describe MCFD, as shown in Fig. 4, such as "multimode," "multiple operating modes," "variable working conditions," "time-varying operating condition," "different working conditions," etc. These terms convey the same concept. Therefore, to maintain consistency, the term "multiple operating conditions" or "multi-condition" will be adopted in subsequent discussions to describe variations such as changes in load, speed, or production schemes.




Fig. 4. Proportion of different descriptions for MCFD in the literature.

C. Classification of existing MCFD approaches

Based on a comprehensive literature review, current MCFD methods can be broadly categorized into two types: single-model-based approaches and multi-model-based approaches. Single-model approaches focus on utilizing a unified model to reduce the interference of condition-specific information with fault-specific information, enabling the extraction of fault-invariant features and ensuring satisfactory accuracy across various operating conditions. In contrast, multi-model approaches leverage offline multi-condition data to construct separate sub-models for each operating condition, incorporating condition information during fault diagnosis. From the perspective of task applicability, single-model approaches are

more suitable for tasks where the differences in data distribution across operating conditions are relatively small. These methods are particularly advantageous in scenarios involving diverse operating conditions or the presence of unknown conditions. In contrast, multi-model approaches are more appropriate for scenarios with a limited number of well-defined and known operating conditions. Their advantages become evident when there are substantial distributional differences between operating conditions and sufficient data is available for each condition. Sections III and IV will explore these two categories of methods in detail. Fig. 5 depicts the approximate proportions of various frameworks in the surveyed literature.




Fig. 5. Proportion of different frameworks for MCFD methods in the surveyed literature.

III. SINGLE-MODEL-BASED MCFD METHODS

Single-model methods can be divided into handcrafted feature-based and learned representation-based frameworks. The former offers the advantage of intuitive and partially interpretable feature extraction processes but requires additional classifiers to produce the final diagnostic results. In comparison, the latter typically employs end-to-end models, which can directly generate diagnostic outcomes in a single step. However, as learned representation-based frameworks rely on machine learning for feature representation learning, they often exhibit a "black-box" nature, resulting in weaker interpretability. In this section, a detailed review of the existing methods based on these two types of frameworks will be provided.

A. Handcrafted feature-based framework

In the field of multi-condition mechanical fault diagnosis [52]–[56], methods based on handcrafted features are widely applied. These methods typically first employ *time-frequency analysis* (TFA) algorithms or other data preprocess approaches [57] aiming to mitigate the influence caused by condition variations. Common TFA methods include *Fourier transform* (FT) [58], [59], *wavelet transform* (WT) [60], *synchro extracting transform* (SET) [61]–[63], etc. Subsequently, the extracted features are used to identify specific faults using either manual approaches or machine learning-based classifiers [64]. The structural schematic of the handcrafted feature-based framework is illustrated in Fig. 6.




Fig. 6. Structural schematic of the handcrafted feature-based framework.

Qin *et al.* proposed an *adaptive fast chirplet transform* (AFCT) based on the adaptive optimal search angle band to effectively extract fault features under time-varying speed condition [65]. Wang *et al.* proposed a sparse and low-rank decomposition of the time-frequency representation technique to effectively capture the underlying characteristic frequencies of bearings under variable speed conditions [66]. While in [67], Ding *et al.* put forward a low-rank constrained *multi-kernel general parameterized TF transform* (MKGPTFT) to generate high-quality time-frequency plane for accurate fault diagnosis. In [68], an angle-time double-layer decomposition structure termed *order-frequency Holo-Hilbert spectral analysis* (OFHHSA) is established to reveal the interaction relationship between time-based carriers and angle-based modulations. An *adaptive time frequency extraction mode decomposition* (ATFEMD) method was proposed in [69] to solve the problems of time-frequency energy lack of concentration, poor robustness of instantaneous frequency extraction, and mode aliasing in signal decomposition. It uses ridge extraction to capture the distinctive time-frequency information within the time-frequency distribution, ensuring that the model can be well adapted to realistic scenarios. By combining EMD and *adaptive time-varying parameter short-time Fourier synchronous squeezing transform* (AFSST), Wei *et al.* proposed a bearing fault diagnosis method [70]. Compared with traditional methods, this approach can obtain higher frequency resolution at a lower sampling rate. In [71], the multispectral lossless preprocessing module was established to eliminate the influence of variable rotating speeds and avoid the loss of fault information. Schmidt *et al.* proposed a systematic framework for obtaining consistent feature planes under time-varying operating conditions for gearbox fault diagnosis [72].

In addition, *order tracking* (OT) is also a common data processing method in MCFD. In [73], an extension to non-stationary conditions of the *harmonic order tracking approach* (HOTA) was introduced. This method can obtain condition-invariant patterns, allowing nonskilled personnel to perform reliable diagnostics and simplifying the development of automated diagnostic methods based on machine learning algorithms. While in [74], a hybrid approach is proposed for diagnosing faults in roller bearings under variable speed conditions. This method integrates *computed order tracking* (COT) with a *variational mode decomposition* (VMD)-based time-frequency representation to capture the relationship between the angular information of the vibration signal and the fault characteristic order. Wang *et al.* proposed an IEWT-based enhanced envelope order spectrum method, which integrates the advantages of the *improved empirical wavelet transform* (IEWT), COT, and *singular value ratio spectrum* (SVRS) denoising technology to achieve reliable and efficient fault diagnosis under variable speed conditions [75]. In [76], Hu

et al. proposed a *tacholes order tracking* method (TLOT) based on ridge extraction method to address the challenge of non-stationary vibration signals due to varying rotational speed conditions.

B. Learned representation-based framework

Learned representation-based methods typically employ deep learning approaches to extract fault-invariant features, aiming to develop a generalized model applicable across different operating conditions. The schematic diagram of its framework is shown in Fig. 7. One common strategy within this category is *domain adaption* (DA) [77]–[86]. The core idea is to initially train a model using data from the source domain, followed by fine-tuning the model with a subset of data from the target domain [30]. By aligning the data distributions across different domains, knowledge from the source domain can be transferred to the target domain, thereby ensuring accurate fault diagnosis in the target domain [87]–[93], as illustrated in Fig. 8.




Fig. 7. Structural schematic of the learned representation-based framework.




Fig. 8. Schematic diagrams of domain adaption.

In [94], a *convolutional neural networks-bidirectional long short-term memory* (CNN-BiLSTM) network combined with improved *particle swarm optimization* (PSO) was developed for precise bearing fault diagnosis. The method leverages temporal features and transfers pre-trained models to new conditions, addressing data scarcity in varied working environments. [95] introduced a deformable CNN-DLSTM model integrated with transfer learning strategies to improve bearing fault diagnosis under multiple operating conditions. This method enables the model to learn transferable features, achieving robust performance with minimal data from new conditions. Shao *et al.* proposed a modified transfer CNN driven by thermal images, which incorporates stochastic pooling and LReLU to achieve high adaptability [96]. Su *et al.* introduced a *dilated convolution deep belief network-dynamic multi-layer perceptron* (DCDBN-DMLP) which utilizes a multi-layer *maximum mean discrepancy* (MMD) technique to reduce distribution discrepancy [97]. An *et al.* proposed an *unsupervised contrast domain adaptive network* (UCDAN) for cross-domain bearing fault diagnosis [98]. It uses contrast estimation terms to increase the distance between samples

from different classes. In [99], an end-to-end prototype-guided bi-level adversarial domain adaptation network is proposed to narrow the domain shift due to different operating conditions. A *prototype and stochastic neural network-based twice adversarial domain adaptation* (PSNN-TADA) was proposed to effectively align category-wise fault features [100]. This method adopts a stochastic neural network-based classifier to mitigate the misaligned fault features in the target domain, ensuring more discriminative decision boundaries. [101] and [102] used *adaptive batch normalization* (AdaBN) related strategies to enhance the model adaptation to the distribution of the target domain.

Although the aforementioned methods have demonstrated promising results in specific application scenarios, they are highly dependent on precise condition identification [103], [104]. In practical industrial scenarios, unknown conditions frequently arise due to unpredictable variations in operating conditions [105]–[107]. In this context, *domain generalization* (DG) has emerged as a promising approach to tackle the challenge of unknown operating conditions in MCFD [108], [109]. In the absence of information from the target domain, these methods construct a model using the available condition data to mitigate the impact of varying conditions. When new samples arrive, no further adaptation is required, and satisfactory results can be obtained by directly applying the offline model. The core idea is to extract diverse domain-invariant representations and enhance the differentiation within the feature space. This framework makes the model more robust to out-of-distribution data, allowing it to generalize effectively to previously unseen operating conditions [110]–[112]. The schematic diagrams of domain generalization are presented in Fig. 9.




Fig. 9. Schematic diagrams of domain generalization.

In [113], a *ProbSparse attention-based transformer* (PSAT) for in situ fault diagnosis is proposed, which reduces the model complexity and storage cost of diagnostic samples. Han *et al.* proposed a hybrid generalization network termed the *intrinsic and extrinsic domain generalization network* (IEDGNet) to solve the diagnosis problem under unseen operating conditions [114]. It uses the triplet loss minimization of the intrinsic multi-source data to reduce the distribution change caused by varying operating conditions, ensuring robustness and generalization ability. In [115], Li *et al.* introduced a *cross-domain augmentation* (CDA) approach for fault diagnosis under unseen operating conditions. By using an *adversarial domain-augmented generalization* (ADAG) method with convex combinations of feature-label pairs, this approach promotes model generalization by learning domain-invariant features across multi-source and augmented domains. To tackle limited sam-

ple availability in fault diagnosis, Zheng *et al.* introduced a meta-learning approach with adaptive input and attention mechanisms [116], enhancing feature extraction and generalization capabilities. Similarly, Li *et al.* also proposed a meta-learning method for bearing fault diagnosis under complex operating conditions with limited data [117]. By converting raw signals into time-frequency images and applying meta-learning protocols, it leverages prior knowledge from multiple tasks to achieve fast and accurate few-shot learning for unseen conditions. To address the issue of limited labeled samples, a mutual-assistance network for *semi-supervised domain generalization fault diagnosis* (SemiDGFD) is proposed in [118]. This method employs a pseudo-label technique and uses an entropy-based purification mechanism to enhance pseudo-label quality. In [119], Shi *et al.* proposed a *reliable feature-assisted contrastive generalization net* (RFACGN) to address the challenges of lack of explanation in intelligent fault diagnosis. The method uses a contrastive framework to minimize domain-specific knowledge and a multi-branch module to focus on fault-related features while also introducing a confidence metric to evaluate the reliability of the results. Wang *et al.* proposed an *enhanced transformer with asymmetric loss function* (ETALF) approach to tackle few-shot fault diagnosis with noisy labels [120]. This method enhances robustness to label noise by dynamically measuring fault sample similarities and using an asymmetric loss function to improve diagnostic accuracy. Liu *et al.* proposed an *information-induced feature decomposition and augmentation* framework (IIFDA) to address bearing fault diagnosis under non-stationary conditions [121]. The work discussed in [122], [123] tackles the data imbalance problem under various operating conditions. These two methods utilize *batch normalization* (BN) to mitigate the distribution difference between the training dataset and the testing dataset.

IV. MULTI-MODEL-BASED MCFD METHODS

The multi-model approach can be further divided into two categories: fusion-guided and identification-guided methods. The advantage of fusion-guided methods lies in their ability to integrate the results from multiple sub-models, thereby fully mining and utilizing the available information. However, the diagnostic accuracy of this approach may be negatively affected by erroneous sub-models. In contrast, identification-guided methods only invoke the sub-model corresponding to the current operating condition. If the condition identification is accurate, this method can theoretically achieve optimal performance. However, because the sub-models are trained on offline data, this approach is less adaptive when encountering unknown operating conditions. In this section, we will provide a detailed review of the existing literature based on these two frameworks.

A. Fusion-guided framework

Given the availability of data from multiple operating conditions during the offline stage, directly constructing a single diagnostic model may lead to performance degradation due to the interference between condition information and fault

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF RECENT TYPICAL SINGLE-MODEL-BASED MCFD APPROACHES

Year	Literature	Technical roadmap	Main issues		System object
			steady	unsteady	
2013	Xue <i>et al.</i> [124]	handcrafted feature		✓	motor
2017	Sapena <i>et al.</i> [73]	handcrafted feature		✓	motor
2017	Zhang <i>et al.</i> [125]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2018	Chen <i>et al.</i> [126]	handcrafted feature	✓		gearbox
2019	Hasan <i>et al.</i> [127]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2019	Li <i>et al.</i> [128]	handcrafted feature		✓	gearbox
2020	Singh <i>et al.</i> [129]	learned representation	✓		gearbox
2020	Wu <i>et al.</i> [130]	learned representation	✓		chemical processes
2020	Peng <i>et al.</i> [131]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2020	Gu <i>et al.</i> [132]	handcrafted feature		✓	bearing
2021	Jahagirdar <i>et al.</i> [133]	handcrafted feature		✓	bearing
2021	Schmidt <i>et al.</i> [72]	handcrafted feature		✓	gearbox
2021	Han <i>et al.</i> [134]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2021	Mao <i>et al.</i> [135]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2021	Li <i>et al.</i> [136]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2021	Chen <i>et al.</i> [137]	handcrafted feature		✓	gearbox
2022	Atta <i>et al.</i> [138]	handcrafted feature		✓	bearing
2022	Karabacak <i>et al.</i> [139]	handcrafted feature	✓		gearbox
2022	Kavianpour <i>et al.</i> [140]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2022	Park <i>et al.</i> [141]	handcrafted feature		✓	motor
2022	Su <i>et al.</i> [142]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2022	Xu <i>et al.</i> [143]	learned representation		✓	motor
2022	Li <i>et al.</i> [144]	handcrafted feature		✓	bearing
2022	Li <i>et al.</i> [145]	learned representation	✓		nuclear power plant
2023	Shi <i>et al.</i> [146]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	An <i>et al.</i> [147]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Chen <i>et al.</i> [148]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Ren <i>et al.</i> [108]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Chen <i>et al.</i> [149]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Liu <i>et al.</i> [121]	learned representation		✓	bearing
2023	Jiang <i>et al.</i> [150]	learned representation	✓		chillers
2023	Zhang <i>et al.</i> [151]	handcrafted feature		✓	gearbox
2023	Bai <i>et al.</i> [152]	handcrafted feature	✓		bearing
2023	Yu <i>et al.</i> [153]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Ding <i>et al.</i> [154]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Li <i>et al.</i> [155]	learned representation	✓		bearing and gear
2023	Zhang <i>et al.</i> [156]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2023	Zhu <i>et al.</i> [157]	learned representation	✓		bearing and rotor
2024	Shi <i>et al.</i> [158]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2024	Wang <i>et al.</i> [113]	learned representation	✓		industrial robots
2024	Fang <i>et al.</i> [159]	handcrafted feature	✓		compressors
2024	Mao <i>et al.</i> [160]	learned representation	✓		satellite
2024	Che <i>et al.</i> [161]	learned representation	✓		bearing
2024	Zhang <i>et al.</i> [162]	learned representation	✓		gearbox

information. To tackle this issue, the fusion-guided framework develops individual sub-models for each operating condition using offline-collected data. In the online stage, incoming samples are processed by each sub-model, and the outputs are combined using a decision fusion strategy to generate the final fault diagnosis result. This type of approach makes efficient use of data from various operating conditions, aiming to reduce information loss [174], [176]. The structural schematic of the fusion-guided framework is illustrated in Fig. 10.

In [171], Ye *et al.* proposed a *deep negative correlation multisource domain adaptation network* (DNC-MDAN). This method integrates features adapted from multiple source domains into a DNC-based ensemble classifier, where the final result is obtained by averaging the outputs of the sub-classifiers. [169] proposed an ensemble transfer convolutional neural networks approach. In this method, a corresponding




Fig. 10. Structural schematic of the fusion-guided framework.

CNN is trained on each source domain and fine-tuned with target domain data. A decision fusion strategy is then designed to integrate the predictions from all CNNs, producing a comprehensive result. [172] proposed a *decision self-regulating*

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF RECENT TYPICAL MULTI-MODEL-BASED MCFD APPROACHES

Year	Literature	Technical roadmap	Main issues		System object
			steady	unsteady	
2013	Yu <i>et al.</i> [163]	fusion-guided	✓		chemical process
2016	Jiang <i>et al.</i> [164]	identification-guided	✓		chemical process
2018	Chen <i>et al.</i> [165]	identification-guided	✓		electrical traction systems
2018	Ma <i>et al.</i> [166]	fusion-guided	✓		modern hot strip mill process
2019	Shang <i>et al.</i> [167]	identification-guided	✓		sensor
2020	Song <i>et al.</i> [168]	fusion-guided	✓		chemical process
2020	He <i>et al.</i> [169]	fusion-guided	✓		bearing and gear
2022	Liang <i>et al.</i> [170]	identification-guided	✓		fixed-wing unmanned aerial vehicles
2022	Ye <i>et al.</i> [171]	fusion-guided	✓		rotor and bearing
2023	Xu <i>et al.</i> [172]	fusion-guided	✓		gearbox
2023	Li <i>et al.</i> [173]	fusion-guided	✓		chemical process
2023	Zhou <i>et al.</i> [174]	fusion-guided	✓		chemical process
2023	Liu <i>et al.</i> [175]	fusion-guided	✓		chemical process
2024	Chen <i>et al.</i> [176]	fusion-guided	✓		bearing
2024	Gao <i>et al.</i> [177]	fusion-guided	✓		bearing

network (DSRN) that constructs multiple CNN sub-classifiers and employs a score unit to regulate the diagnostic results of each sub-model under specific operating conditions. The proposed method also addresses the issue of class imbalance in fault diagnosis tasks using multi-classifiers and ensemble learning algorithms. An *evidential ensemble preference-guided learning* (EEPL) approach is proposed in [175], which utilizes recursive *evidential reasoning* (ER) technology to integrate information from base classifiers within an ensemble-based *broad learning system* (BLS). Additionally, this method incorporates an iterative parameter updating mechanism, enabling real-time adjustments according to varying operating conditions. [163] proposed a *Bayesian inference-based Gaussian mixture contribution* (BIGMC) index, which integrates multiple local contribution indices into the global contribution index. This index can be used to identify key fault variables. Building on this, [166] introduced the *Bayesian inference-based robust Gaussian mixture contribution* (BIRGMC) index, which takes into account the relationship between various industrial operating conditions and comprehensive quality-related faults. In [173], Li *et al.* proposed a *feature-level and class-level multisource domain adaptation approach* (FC-MSDA), which integrates multiple predictions from domain-specific classifiers via an information fusion module. This fusion module leverages the similarity of shared features between the source and target domains.

B. Identification-guided framework

Similarly, the identification-guided MCFD method also builds submodels for each known operating condition during the offline stage. The main difference from the fusion-guided framework is that, during the online phase, this method first identifies the operating condition and then selects the corresponding submodel to perform fault diagnosis, as shown in Fig. 11.

[164] employed a condition identification method based on Bayesian inference, utilizing independent local *principal component analysis* (PCA) models for each condition to eliminate




Fig. 11. Structural schematic of the identification-guided framework.

collinearity among variables and extract latent factors while also applying a *genetic algorithm* (GA) for *optimal principal component* (OPC) selection. Then, a Bayesian diagnosis system based on OPC is constructed to diagnose faults. [165] established individual PCA models for each operating condition during the offline stage. Once the operating condition is identified during the online stage, the corresponding submodel is applied to reduce the dimensionality of the samples. This facilitates fault detection and isolation, thereby enabling accurate diagnosis of incipient multi-condition sensor faults in the electrical traction system. [167] proposed a *condition-independent Bayesian learning-based recursive transformation component statistical analysis* (CIBL-RTCSA) method for addressing incipient sensor fault in multi-operating conditions. During the offline stage, this method independently calculates reference statistics for each condition. In the online phase, a window-switching-based multiple RTCSA approach is employed after performing condition identification to achieve enhanced fault detection and isolation performance. In [170], Liang *et al.* proposed a data-driven framework for fault diagnosis in fixed-wing unmanned aerial vehicles under multiple operating conditions. The framework employs DBSCAN and KNN algorithms based on *shared nearest neighbor based distance* (SNND) for offline condition classification and online condition recognition. Once the operating condition is identi-

fied, a *multiple condition oriented dynamic KPCA* (MDKPCA) algorithm is applied for fault diagnosis.

V. EVALUATION METRIC

Evaluation metrics are of great significance in evaluating the diagnostic performance of MCFD systems by offering quantifiable standards for measurement. Since the MCFD task aims to identify specific fault types, it is typically regarded as a multi-class classification problem. In the subsequent descriptions, for an MCFD task with m types of faults, *true positive* (TP) refers to the number of samples correctly predicted as positive; *true negative* (TN) refers to the number of samples correctly predicted as negative; *false positive* (FP) refers to the number of samples incorrectly predicted as positive; and *false negative* (FN) refers to the number of samples incorrectly predicted as negative. The rest of this section outlines several commonly used evaluation metrics for tackling the MCFD problem.

A. False Alarm Rate

False Alarm Rate is a critical performance metric in fault diagnosis, reflecting the percentage of samples that are mistakenly classified as positive cases. A high false alarm rate can lead to unnecessary operational and maintenance costs and may result in frequent equipment shutdowns in non-fault scenarios, affecting production efficiency. Therefore, minimizing the false alarm rate is crucial for enhancing the reliability and practicality of a fault diagnosis system. The definition is as follows:

$$\text{FAR} = \frac{\text{FP}}{\text{FP} + \text{TN}}. \quad (4)$$

B. Missing Alarm Rate

Missing Alarm Rate represents the proportion of actual fault instances the system fails to identify. Specifically, it refers to the proportion of samples incorrectly classified as negative. A high missing alarm rate indicates that critical fault information might be overlooked, significantly increasing the risk of accidents or system failures, which can potentially lead to catastrophic consequences. The definition is as follows:

$$\text{MAR} = \frac{\text{FN}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}. \quad (5)$$

C. Accuracy

Accuracy measures the proportion of correctly predicted samples out of the total samples tested. It is one of the most commonly used and convenient evaluation metrics for assessing diagnostic performance. Its definition is as follows:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN} + \text{TN} + \text{FP}}. \quad (6)$$

D. G-mean

G-mean computes the geometric mean of sensitivity (Recall) and specificity. It effectively reduces the bias introduced by class imbalance in the evaluation process by calculating the Recall for each class individually and applying appropriate weighting. Therefore, it serves as a widely adopted evaluation

metric for addressing imbalanced diagnostic tasks. The specific definition is as follows:

$$\text{G-mean} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\text{TP}_i}{\text{TP}_i + \text{FN}_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \quad (7)$$

E. Macro-F1-score

Macro-F1-score is used to evaluate the overall performance of a model in balancing accuracy and coverage, especially in scenarios with imbalanced sample classes. For the i -th class, the Precision and Recall values can be calculated as follows:

$$\text{Precision}_i = \frac{\text{TP}_i}{\text{TP}_i + \text{FP}_i}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\text{Recall}_i = \frac{\text{TP}_i}{\text{TP}_i + \text{FN}_i}. \quad (9)$$

Building on this, the Macro Average Precision and Recall are determined by taking the arithmetic mean of the metrics for each individual class.

$$\text{Macro-Precision} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \text{Precision}_i}{m}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\text{Macro-Recall} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \text{Recall}_i}{m}. \quad (11)$$

The Macro-F1-Score is then obtained by calculating the harmonic mean of Macro-Precision and Macro-Recall, as illustrated below:

$$\text{Macro-F1-score} = \frac{2 \times \text{Macro-Precision} \times \text{Macro-Recall}}{\text{Macro-Precision} + \text{Macro-Recall}}. \quad (12)$$

VI. TYPICAL REAL-WORLD APPLICATION

MCFD has been widely applied in various domains, such as mechanical systems, chemical systems, energy systems, and satellite systems, as shown in Tables I and II. Due to the distinct data characteristics of different diagnostic targets, the challenges posed to the diagnostic models also vary. In this section, we will provide a brief overview of the two main application scenarios of MCFD: mechanical systems and chemical systems.

A. Mechanical System

Mechanical components are essential elements of industrial equipment. Their operational status directly affects the reliability and safety of the entire system [178], [179]. A typical mechanical system is composed of multiple critical components, such as bearings, rotors, and gearboxes, as shown in Fig. 12. The cooperative functioning of these components determines the overall performance of the equipment. It also significantly influences its service life and maintenance costs.

Faults in mechanical systems not only disrupt production processes but also pose significant safety risks to personnel, potentially leading to equipment damage, financial losses, and even casualties [181]–[183]. Therefore, it is crucial to

TABLE III
SUMMARY OF TYPICAL MULTIPLE OPERATING CONDITIONS MECHANICAL DATASETS

Name	Fault			Operating condition		Sampling			Operational variables	Channels
	types	levels	compound fault	non-stationary	stationary	variables	frequency	period		
MCC5-THU [199]	7	3	2	48	24	vibration	12.8kHz	60s	speed, load	6
CWRU [200]	3	3	\	\	4	vibration	12kHz	\	speed, load	3
	3	4	\	\	4	vibration	48kHz	\	speed, load	3
Ottawa [201]	2	\	\	6	\	vibration	200kHz	10s	speed	2
KAIST [202]	4	3	\	\	3	vibration current temperature	25.6kHz	60s	load	4 3 1
	3	\	\	1	1	vibration current	25.6kHz 100kHz	2700s	speed	4 3
PU [203]	2	5	3	\	4	current, vibration	64kHz	4s	speed, load, radial force	20
PBTU [204]	2	3	\	\	21	vibration	51.2kHz	10s	speed, load	6
XJTU-SY [205]	3	\	2	\	3	vibration	25.6kHz	\	speed, radial force	2
JNU [206]	3	\	1	\	3	vibration	50kHz	20s	speed, load	1

Notes: The "Fault types" section only counts the number of faults in the dataset, excluding healthy conditions;
The \ symbol indicates that the information is either not mentioned in the referenced literature or is inconvenient to present.

and direction for advancing MCFD research in the context of chemical systems.

VII. CONCLUSION AND PROSPECTS

In this paper, we have provided a comprehensive review of the literature on multi-condition fault diagnosis. To ensure clarity, we have mathematically defined the multi-condition scenario. Subsequently, we have presented a detailed summary of relevant studies and categorized the various MCFD methods. We have also discussed the various evaluation metrics employed to assess MCFD methods. Additionally, we have analyzed the application scenarios and challenges associated with MCFD methods. In recent years, multi-condition fault diagnosis has garnered significant attention as an expanding research field. Based on a survey of the existing literature, we have summarized the potential future development of MCFD as follows:

- 1) In the existing literature, it is typically assumed that the number of faulty and healthy samples is balanced. However, in the actual application scenario, after the system fails, engineers will stop the operation of the equipment as soon as possible to prevent further fault progression and mitigate the risk of more severe consequences. As a result, the number of fault samples collected is much lower than that of healthy samples, leading to a bias in the trained model. Therefore, addressing the class imbalance in the design process is of critical importance, particularly for data-driven approaches.
- 2) With the development of deep learning technology, many DNN-based MCFD methods have demonstrated outstanding performance. However, the black-box nature of deep learning undermines engineers' trust in diagnostic results. Therefore, increasing the interpretability of the model is critical, as this will help with the actual landing and application of MCFD. In this context, *explainable*

artificial intelligence (XAI) is considered a promising solution. This approach can assist the model in fault localization and isolation, thereby further reducing operation and maintenance costs.

- 3) During the literature review process, it was observed that the majority of existing MCFD papers utilize domain adaptation-based methods. These approaches are generally based on the assumption that newly arrived samples can be accurately subjected to condition identification. However, in actual industrial scenarios, real-time condition identification during online operations is highly challenging due to factors such as environmental noise. Therefore, developing an effective condition identification model is of paramount importance for MCFD.
- 4) Most of the algorithms proposed in the existing literature train models offline and are directly deployed at the online stage. Due to the complexity of real-world environments, it is impossible to collect a sufficiently comprehensive dataset to address all possible situations. Therefore, updating the model in real-time during the online process becomes a research direction worth exploring. By utilizing new data collected online, the model can be adjusted in real-time, allowing it to adapt to dynamic environments.
- 5) Most existing MCFD studies operate under the default assumption that the label distribution remains consistent across different operating conditions while the data distribution varies. However, in real-world scenarios, label shifts may exist between different operating conditions. In such cases, fault diagnosis methods that rely solely on extracting domain-invariant features are unlikely to perform satisfactorily. Therefore, investigating and designing strategies capable of handling label shifts can effectively expand the application scenarios of multi-condition fault diagnosis.

6) Existing evaluation metrics in the field of MCFD primarily rely on common machine learning metrics. However, to address issues related to data distribution, adopting a probabilistic framework would provide a more scientifically robust approach. From data distribution representation to operating condition identification, fault diagnosis, and evaluation, the development of a systematic probabilistic framework presents a promising and valuable research direction.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Chen, W. Qin, G. He, J. Li, R. Huang, G. Jin, and W. Li, "Explainable Deep Ensemble Model for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Conditions," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, pp. 17737–17750, Aug. 2023.
- [2] Z. Liu, J. Zhang, and X. He, "A discrimination-guided active learning method based on marginal representations for industrial compound fault diagnosis," *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 2023.
- [3] X. He, C. Li, and Z. Liu, "A real-time adaptive fault diagnosis scheme for dynamic systems with performance degradation," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 2023.
- [4] X. He, Z. Liu, S. Hu, C. Liu, and D. Zhou, "Real-time safety assessment techniques of dynamic systems (in chinese)," *Acta Automatica Sinica*, pp. 1–22, 2024.
- [5] S. Ma and Y. Li, "Adaptive fuzzy fault-tolerant control for active seat suspension systems with full-state constraints," *Systems Science & Control Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 2153391, 2023.
- [6] H. Geng, A. Mousavi, N. Markatos, K. Chen, and X. Gou, "Reliable cost prediction and control for intelligent manufacture: A key performance indicator perspective," *International Journal of Network Dynamics and Intelligence*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- [7] X. Zhang, S. Liu, L. Li, J. Lei, and G. Chang, "Multiscale holospectrum convolutional neural network-based fault diagnosis of rolling bearings with variable operating conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 32, p. 105027, Oct. 2021.
- [8] Z. Hei, H. Yang, W. Sun, M. Zhong, G. Wang, A. Kumar, J. Xiang, and Y. Zhou, "Multiscale Conditional Adversarial Networks based domain-adaptive method for rotating machinery fault diagnosis under variable working conditions," *ISA Transactions*, p. S0019057824004087, Sept. 2024.
- [9] H. Su, L. Xiang, A. Hu, B. Gao, and X. Yang, "A novel hybrid method based on KELM with SAPSO for fault diagnosis of rolling bearing under variable operating conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 177, p. 109276, June 2021.
- [10] Z. Liu and X. He, "Online dynamic hybrid broad learning system for real-time safety assessment of dynamic systems," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 8928–8938, 2024.
- [11] P. Han, S. Chen, Z. Liu, and X. He, "Imbalanced real-time fault diagnosis based on minority-prioritized online semi-supervised random vector functional link network," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 2024.
- [12] C. Huo, Q. Jiang, Y. Shen, C. Qian, and Q. Zhang, "New transfer learning fault diagnosis method of rolling bearing based on ADC-CNN and LATL under variable conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 188, p. 110587, Jan. 2022.
- [13] G. Jin, T. Zhu, M. W. Akram, Y. Jin, and C. Zhu, "An Adaptive Anti-Noise Neural Network for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Noise and Varying Load Conditions," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 74793–74807, 2020.
- [14] Z. Liu, X. He, B. Huang, and D. Zhou, "A review on incremental learning-based fault diagnosis of dynamic systems," *Authorea Preprints*, 2024.
- [15] S. X. Ding, *Model-based fault diagnosis techniques: design schemes, algorithms, and tools*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [16] M. Zhong, X. Zhu, T. Xue, and L. Zhang, "An overview of recent advances in model-based event-triggered fault detection and estimation," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 929–943, 2023.
- [17] H. Fan, Z. Ren, X. Zhang, X. Cao, H. Ma, and J. Huang, "A gray texture image data-driven intelligent fault diagnosis method of induction motor rotor-bearing system under variable load conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 233, p. 114742, June 2024.
- [18] Z. Zhao, P. X. Liu, and J. Gao, "Model-based fault diagnosis methods for systems with stochastic process—a survey," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 513, pp. 137–152, 2022.
- [19] Z. An, S. Li, J. Wang, and X. Jiang, "A novel bearing intelligent fault diagnosis framework under time-varying working conditions using recurrent neural network," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 100, pp. 155–170, May 2020.
- [20] J. Zhang, X. Song, L. Gao, W. Shen, and J. Chen, "L2-Norm Shapelet Dictionary Learning-Based Bearing-Fault Diagnosis in Uncertain Working Conditions," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 22, pp. 2647–2657, Feb. 2022.
- [21] Z. Liu, J. Zhang, X. He, Q. Zhang, G. Sun, and D. Zhou, "Fault diagnosis of rotating machinery with limited expert interaction: A multicriteria active learning approach based on broad learning system," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 953–960, 2022.
- [22] H. Xu, S. Zhou, and T. Yang, "Stochastic resonance of a high-order-degradation bistable system and its application in fault diagnosis with variable speed condition," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 186, p. 109852, Mar. 2023.
- [23] P. Borghesani, P. Pennacchi, R. Randall, N. Sawalhi, and R. Ricci, "Application of cepstrum pre-whitening for the diagnosis of bearing faults under variable speed conditions," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 36, pp. 370–384, Apr. 2013.
- [24] X. Zhao, J. Yao, W. Deng, P. Ding, J. Zhuang, and Z. Liu, "Multiscale Deep Graph Convolutional Networks for Intelligent Fault Diagnosis of Rotor-Bearing System Under Fluctuating Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 19, pp. 166–176, Jan. 2023.
- [25] Z. Lu, L. Liang, J. Zhu, W. Zou, and L. Mao, "Rotating Machinery Fault Diagnosis Under Multiple Working Conditions via a Time-Series Transformer Enhanced by Convolutional Neural Network," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- [26] X. Yue, J. Chen, and G. Zhong, "Metal surface defect detection based on metal-yolox," *International Journal of Network Dynamics and Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 100020–100020, 2023.
- [27] A. Dong, A. Starr, and Y. Zhao, "Neural network-based parametric system identification: A review," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 54, no. 13, pp. 2676–2688, 2023.
- [28] Z. Wu, J. Guo, Y. Liu, L. Li, and Y. Ji, "An Iterative Resampling Deep Decoupling Domain Adaptation method for class-imbalance bearing fault diagnosis under variant working conditions," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 252, p. 124240, Oct. 2024.
- [29] B. Yang, T. Wang, J. Xie, and J. Yang, "Deep Adversarial Hybrid Domain-Adaptation Network for Varying Working Conditions Fault Diagnosis of High-Speed Train Bogie," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–10, 2023.
- [30] L. Yang, X. Jiang, X. Li, and Z. Zhu, "Self-Supervised Learning With Signal Masking and Reconstruction for Machinery Fault Diagnosis Under Limited Labeled Data and Varying Working Condition," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, pp. 24862–24873, Oct. 2023.
- [31] W. Li, X. Zhong, H. Shao, B. Cai, and X. Yang, "Multi-mode data augmentation and fault diagnosis of rotating machinery using modified ACGAN designed with new framework," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 52, p. 101552, Apr. 2022.
- [32] H. Fan, Z. Ren, X. Cao, X. Zhang, and J. Huang, "A GTI&Ada-Act LMCNN Method for Intelligent Fault Diagnosis of Motor Rotor-Bearing Unit Under Variable Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–14, 2024.
- [33] Y. Zou, Y. Liu, J. Deng, Y. Jiang, and W. Zhang, "A novel transfer learning method for bearing fault diagnosis under different working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 171, p. 108767, Feb. 2021.
- [34] Z. Liu and Z. Peng, "Few-shot bearing fault diagnosis by semi-supervised meta-learning with graph convolutional neural network under variable working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 240, p. 115402, Jan. 2025.
- [35] Z. Yuyan, Z. Yafeng, L. Hao, M. Wuyi, D. Wenliao, W. Xiaoyu, Z. Yongqi, and Y. Lingdi, "Semi-supervised diagnosis method for coupling faults of key rotating components based on EEMD-KPCA under cross working conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 35, p. 025014, Feb. 2024.
- [36] X. Zhu, X. Zhao, J. Yao, W. Deng, H. Shao, and Z. Liu, "Adaptive Multiscale Convolution Manifold Embedding Networks for Intelligent

- Fault Diagnosis of Servo Motor-Cylindrical Rolling Bearing Under Variable Working Conditions," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 29, pp. 2230–2240, June 2024.
- [37] G. Du, Q. Xu, and X. Yang, "Fault diagnosis of rotating machinery components using a deep kernel extreme learning machine under different working conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 31, p. 115901, Nov. 2020.
- [38] C. Li, Q. Hu, S. Zhao, J. Wu, J. Xiong, and Q. Zhang, "A Fault Diagnosis Method for Rotating Machinery by Multimode Feature Entropy and Mutual Cooperation Broad Learning System," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 24, pp. 3084–3097, Feb. 2024.
- [39] Z. Zhang, H. Chen, S. Li, Z. An, and J. Wang, "A novel geodesic flow kernel based domain adaptation approach for intelligent fault diagnosis under varying working condition," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 376, pp. 54–64, Feb. 2020.
- [40] D. Xu, Y. Li, Y. Song, L. Jia, and Y. Liu, "IFDS: An Intelligent Fault Diagnosis System With Multisource Unsupervised Domain Adaptation for Different Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [41] C. Chen, F. Shen, J. Xu, and R. Yan, "Domain Adaptation-Based Transfer Learning for Gear Fault Diagnosis Under Varying Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [42] K. Zhang, J. Chen, S. He, F. Li, Y. Feng, and Z. Zhou, "Triplet metric driven multi-head GNN augmented with decoupling adversarial learning for intelligent fault diagnosis of machines under varying working condition," *JOURNAL OF MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS*, vol. 62, pp. 1–16, Jan. 2022.
- [43] Y. Geng, Z. Wang, L. Jia, Y. Qin, and X. Chen, "Bogie fault diagnosis under variable operating conditions based on fast kurtogram and deep residual learning towards imbalanced data," *Measurement*, vol. 166, p. 108191, Dec. 2020.
- [44] K. Zhang, J. Chen, T. Zhang, S. He, T. Pan, and Z. Zhou, "Intelligent fault diagnosis of mechanical equipment under varying working condition via iterative matching network augmented with selective Signal reuse strategy," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 57, pp. 400–415, Oct. 2020.
- [45] X. Liu, H. Ma, and Y. Liu, "A Novel Transfer Learning Method Based on Conditional Variational Generative Adversarial Networks for Fault Diagnosis of Wind Turbine Gearboxes under Variable Working Conditions," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, p. 5441, Apr. 2022.
- [46] T. Li, Z. Zhao, C. Sun, R. Yan, and X. Chen, "Domain Adversarial Graph Convolutional Network for Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- [47] Y. Tang, X. Zhang, G. Qin, Z. Long, S. Huang, D. Song, and H. Shao, "Graph Cardinality Preserved Attention Network for Fault Diagnosis of Induction Motor Under Varying Speed and Load Condition," *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL INFORMATICS*, vol. 18, pp. 3702–3712, June 2022.
- [48] J. Zhang, D. Zhou, M. Chen, and D. Wu, "Data-driven anomaly monitoring methods for multimode processes: overview and perspectives (in chinese)," *SCIENTIA SINICA Informationis*, vol. 53, pp. 2087–2106, 2023.
- [49] M. S. Kan, A. C. Tan, and J. Mathew, "A review on prognostic techniques for non-stationary and non-linear rotating systems," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 62–63, pp. 1–20, Oct. 2015.
- [50] Z. Zhou, C. Wen, and C. Yang, "Fault Isolation Based On k-Nearest Neighbor Rule For Industrial Processes," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, pp. 1–1, 2016.
- [51] Y. Wang, C. Wen, and X. Wu, "Fault detection and isolation of floating wind turbine pitch system based on kalman filter and multi-attention Idcnn," *Systems Science & Control Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 2362169, 2024.
- [52] A. Stefani, A. Bellini, and F. Filippetti, "Diagnosis of Induction Machines' Rotor Faults in Time-Varying Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 56, pp. 4548–4556, Nov. 2009.
- [53] D. Liu, W. Cheng, and W. Wen, "An Online Bearing Fault Diagnosis Technique via Improved Demodulation Spectrum Analysis Under Variable Speed Conditions," *IEEE Systems Journal*, vol. 14, pp. 2323–2334, June 2020.
- [54] S. Zhao, P. Wang, and S. Li, "Study on the Fault Diagnosis Method of Scraper Conveyor Gear under Time-Varying Load Condition," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, p. 5053, July 2020.
- [55] G. Tang, Y. Huang, and Y. Wang, "Fractional frequency band entropy for bearing fault diagnosis under varying speed conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 171, p. 108777, Feb. 2021.
- [56] Y. Li, Y. Yang, S. S. Afshari, X. Liang, and Z. Chen, "Adaptive Cost Function Ridge Estimation for Rolling Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Speed Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–12, 2022.
- [57] W. Huang, J. Cheng, and Y. Yang, "Rolling bearing fault diagnosis and performance degradation assessment under variable operation conditions based on nuisance attribute projection," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 114, pp. 165–188, Jan. 2019.
- [58] Z. Wang, T. Liu, X. Wu, and Y. Wang, "A Fault Diagnosis Method Based on NTFES-FCCT for Variable Working Condition Bearing Signals," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 24, pp. 20989–20998, July 2024.
- [59] S. Liu, C. Liu, Y. Wu, S. Liu, and W. Liu, "Tachless Order Tracking Method Based on STF_rFT for Fault Diagnosis of Wind Turbine Gearbox Under Variable Operating Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–11, 2024.
- [60] Y. Gritti, A. Stefani, C. Rossi, F. Filippetti, and A. Chatti, "Experimental validation of doubly fed induction machine electrical faults diagnosis under time-varying conditions," *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 81, pp. 751–766, Mar. 2011.
- [61] Y. Ma, Y. Lv, R. Yuan, and G. Song, "Matching Synchroextracting Transform for Mechanical Fault Diagnosis Under Variable-Speed Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–12, 2022.
- [62] H. Wu, Y. Lv, R. Yuan, X. Yang, K. Feng, and W. Zhu, "Synchro-Reassigned Extracting Transform: An Effective Tool for Rotating Machinery Fault Diagnosis Under Varying Speed Condition," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–16, 2023.
- [63] G. Yu, X. Huang, T. Lin, and H. Dong, "A non-linear time–frequency tool for machinery fault diagnosis under varying speed condition," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 186, p. 109849, Mar. 2023.
- [64] Z. Shan, Z. Wang, J. Yang, Q. Ma, and T. Gong, "Novel Time–Frequency Mode Decomposition and Information Fusion for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Varying-Speed Condition," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–10, 2023.
- [65] Y. Qin, R. Yang, H. Shi, B. He, and Y. Mao, "Adaptive Fast Chirplet Transform and Its Application Into Rolling Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Time-Varying Speed Condition," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–12, 2023.
- [66] R. Wang, H. Fang, L. Yu, L. Yu, and J. Chen, "Sparse and low-rank decomposition of the time-frequency representation for bearing fault diagnosis under variable speed conditions," *ISA TRANSACTIONS*, vol. 128, pp. 579–598, Sept. 2022.
- [67] C. Ding, B. Wang, X. Jiang, G. Du, W. Huang, and Z. Zhu, "Low-Rank Constrained Multikernel General Parameterized Time-Frequency Transform and Its Application in Rotating Machine Fault Diagnosis Under Varying Speed Condition," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- [68] W. Ying, J. Zheng, W. Huang, J. Tong, H. Pan, and Y. Li, "Order-frequency Holo-Hilbert spectral analysis for machinery fault diagnosis under time-varying operating conditions," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 146, pp. 472–483, Mar. 2024.
- [69] J. Huo, J. Yang, D. Yao, R. Sun, Z. Hu, Z. Chen, and C. Gao, "Bearing fault diagnosis under variable speed conditions on adaptive time frequency extraction mode decomposition," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 35, p. 076102, July 2024.
- [70] M. Wei, J. Yang, D. Yao, J. Wang, and Z. Hu, "Fault diagnosis of bearings in multiple working conditions based on adaptive time-varying parameters short-time Fourier synchronous squeeze transform," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 33, p. 124002, Dec. 2022.
- [71] W. Song, L. Guo, A. Duan, H. Gao, Y. Yu, T. Feng, T. Chen, and W. Ma, "Multispectral Balanced Automatic Fault Diagnosis for Rolling Bearings under Variable Speed Conditions," *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, vol. 2023, pp. 1–17, Oct. 2023.
- [72] S. Schmidt, P. S. Heyns, and K. C. Gryllias, "An informative frequency band identification framework for gearbox fault diagnosis under time-varying operating conditions," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 158, p. 107771, Sept. 2021.
- [73] A. Sapena-Bano, J. Burriel-Valencia, M. Pineda-Sanchez, R. Puche-Panadero, and M. Riera-Guasp, "The Harmonic Order Tracking Analysis Method for the Fault Diagnosis in Induction Motors Under Time-Varying Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 32, pp. 244–256, Mar. 2017.
- [74] Y. Wang, L. Yang, J. Xiang, J. Yang, and S. He, "A hybrid approach to fault diagnosis of roller bearings under variable speed conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 28, p. 125104, Dec. 2017.

- [75] X. Wang, F. Zhou, Y. He, and Y. Wu, "Weak fault diagnosis of rolling bearing under variable speed condition using IEWT-based enhanced envelope order spectrum," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 30, p. 035003, Mar. 2019.
- [76] Z. Hu, Q. Li, J. Yang, D. Yao, and J. Wang, "A TLOT train gearbox fault diagnosis method based on ridge extraction under variable speed conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 35, p. 066117, June 2024.
- [77] B. Xia, K. Wang, A. Xu, P. Zeng, N. Yang, and B. Li, "Intelligent Fault Diagnosis for Bearings of Industrial Robot Joints Under Varying Working Conditions Based on Deep Adversarial Domain Adaptation," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–13, 2022.
- [78] S. Han and Z. Feng, "Intelligent fault diagnosis of planetary gearboxes under time-varying conditions based on dynamic adversarial balance adaptation with multi-label information confusion," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 34, p. 065014, June 2023.
- [79] P. Lei, C. Shen, D. Wang, L. Chen, Z. Zhou, and Z. Zhu, "A new transferable bearing fault diagnosis method with adaptive manifold probability distribution under different working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 173, p. 108565, Mar. 2021.
- [80] S. Yang, X. Kong, Q. Wang, Z. Li, H. Cheng, and K. Xu, "Deep multiple auto-encoder with attention mechanism network: A dynamic domain adaptation method for rotary machine fault diagnosis under different working conditions," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 249, p. 108639, Aug. 2022.
- [81] W. Qian, S. Li, P. Yi, and K. Zhang, "A novel transfer learning method for robust fault diagnosis of rotating machines under variable working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 138, pp. 514–525, May 2019.
- [82] A. Choudhary, T. Mian, S. Fatima, and B. K. Panigrahi, "Passive Thermography Based Bearing Fault Diagnosis Using Transfer Learning With Varying Working Conditions," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, pp. 4628–4637, Mar. 2023.
- [83] H. Wu, J. Li, Q. Zhang, J. Tao, and Z. Meng, "Intelligent fault diagnosis of rolling bearings under varying operating conditions based on domain-adversarial neural network and attention mechanism," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 130, pp. 477–489, Nov. 2022.
- [84] Z. Tong, W. Li, B. Zhang, F. Jiang, and G. Zhou, "Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Working Conditions Based on Domain Adaptation Using Feature Transfer Learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 76187–76197, 2018.
- [85] Z. Wu, H. Zhang, J. Guo, Y. Ji, and M. Pecht, "Imbalanced bearing fault diagnosis under variant working conditions using cost-sensitive deep domain adaptation network," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 193, p. 116459, May 2022.
- [86] R. Wang, W. Huang, J. Wang, C. Shen, and Z. Zhu, "Multisource Domain Feature Adaptation Network for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Time-Varying Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–10, 2022.
- [87] Z. An, S. Li, Y. Xin, K. Xu, and H. Ma, "An intelligent fault diagnosis framework dealing with arbitrary length inputs under different working conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 30, p. 125107, Dec. 2019.
- [88] X. Yu, Z. Liang, Y. Wang, H. Yin, X. Liu, W. Yu, and Y. Huang, "A wavelet packet transform-based deep feature transfer learning method for bearing fault diagnosis under different working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 201, p. 111597, Sept. 2022.
- [89] Z. An, S. Li, J. Wang, Y. Xin, and K. Xu, "Generalization of deep neural network for bearing fault diagnosis under different working conditions using multiple kernel method," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 352, pp. 42–53, Aug. 2019.
- [90] W. Qian, S. Li, T. Yao, and K. Xu, "Discriminative feature-based adaptive distribution alignment (DFADA) for rotating machine fault diagnosis under variable working conditions," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 99, p. 106886, Feb. 2021.
- [91] Y. Yao, Q. Chen, G. Gui, S. Yang, and S. Zhang, "A hierarchical adversarial multi-target domain adaptation for gear fault diagnosis under variable working condition based on raw acoustic signal," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 123, p. 106449, Aug. 2023.
- [92] B. Zhang, W. Li, X.-L. Li, and S.-K. Ng, "Intelligent Fault Diagnosis Under Varying Working Conditions Based on Domain Adaptive Convolutional Neural Networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 66367–66384, 2018.
- [93] Z. Lei, G. Wen, S. Dong, X. Huang, H. Zhou, Z. Zhang, and X. Chen, "An Intelligent Fault Diagnosis Method Based on Domain Adaptation and Its Application for Bearings Under Polytropic Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–14, 2021.
- [94] B. Song, Y. Liu, J. Fang, W. Liu, M. Zhong, and X. Liu, "An optimized CNN-BiLSTM network for bearing fault diagnosis under multiple working conditions with limited training samples," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 574, p. 127284, Mar. 2024.
- [95] Z. Wang, Q. Liu, H. Chen, and X. Chu, "A deformable CNN-DLSTM based transfer learning method for fault diagnosis of rolling bearing under multiple working conditions," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 59, pp. 4811–4825, Aug. 2021.
- [96] H. Shao, M. Xia, G. Han, Y. Zhang, and J. Wan, "Intelligent Fault Diagnosis of Rotor-Bearing System Under Varying Working Conditions With Modified Transfer Convolutional Neural Network and Thermal Images," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 17, pp. 3488–3496, May 2021.
- [97] H. Su, X. Yang, L. Xiang, A. Hu, and Y. Xu, "A novel method based on deep transfer unsupervised learning network for bearing fault diagnosis under variable working condition of unequal quantity," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 242, p. 108381, Apr. 2022.
- [98] Y. An, K. Zhang, Y. Chai, Q. Liu, and X. Huang, "Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Working Conditions Base on Contrastive Domain Adaptation Method," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–11, 2022.
- [99] J. Kuang, G. Xu, S. Zhang, C. Han, Q. Wu, and F. Wei, "Prototype-guided bi-level adversarial domain adaptation network for intelligent fault diagnosis of rotating machinery under various working conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 33, p. 115014, Nov. 2022.
- [100] X. Yang, X. Yuan, T. Ye, W. Zhu, F. Zhou, and J. Jin, "PSNN-TADA: Prototype and Stochastic Neural Network-Based Twice Adversarial Domain Adaptation for Fault Diagnosis Under Varying Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- [101] X. Zhai, F. Qiao, Y. Ma, and H. Lu, "A Novel Fault Diagnosis Method Under Dynamic Working Conditions Based on a CNN With an Adaptive Learning Rate," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–12, 2022.
- [102] T. Hu, T. Tang, R. Lin, M. Chen, S. Han, and J. Wu, "A simple data augmentation algorithm and a self-adaptive convolutional architecture for few-shot fault diagnosis under different working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 156, p. 107539, May 2020.
- [103] K. Xu, S. Li, X. Jiang, Z. An, J. Wang, and T. Yu, "A renewable fusion fault diagnosis network for the variable speed conditions under unbalanced samples," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 379, pp. 12–29, Feb. 2020.
- [104] H. Shi, S. Cao, H. Zuo, J. Ma, and C. Lin, "Deep subdomain adversarial network with self-supervised learning for aero-engine high speed bearing fault diagnosis with unknown working conditions," *Measurement*, vol. 241, p. 115668, Feb. 2025.
- [105] J. Tang, G. Zheng, C. Wei, W. Huang, and X. Ding, "Signal-Transformer: A Robust and Interpretable Method for Rotating Machinery Intelligent Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Operating Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–11, 2022.
- [106] Z. Lei, P. Zhang, Y. Chen, K. Feng, G. Wen, Z. Liu, R. Yan, X. Chen, and C. Yang, "Prior knowledge-embedded meta-transfer learning for few-shot fault diagnosis under variable operating conditions," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 200, p. 110491, Oct. 2023.
- [107] K. Xu, X. Kong, Q. Wang, S. Yang, N. Huang, and J. Wang, "A bearing fault diagnosis method without fault data in new working condition combined dynamic model with deep learning," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 54, p. 101795, Oct. 2022.
- [108] H. Ren, J. Wang, Z. Zhu, J. Shi, and W. Huang, "Domain fuzzy generalization networks for semi-supervised intelligent fault diagnosis under unseen working conditions," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 200, p. 110579, Oct. 2023.
- [109] Z. Tang, Z. Su, S. Wang, M. Luo, H. Luo, and L. Bo, "Fault Diagnosis of Rotating Machinery Toward Unseen Working Condition: A Regularized Domain Adaptive Weight Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 20, pp. 9130–9140, July 2024.
- [110] Y. Shi, A. Deng, M. Deng, M. Xu, Y. Liu, X. Ding, and W. Bian, "Domain augmentation generalization network for real-time fault diagnosis under unseen working conditions," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 235, p. 109188, July 2023.
- [111] B. Wang, L. Wen, X. Li, and L. Gao, "Adaptive Class Center Generalization Network: A Sparse Domain-Regressive Framework for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Unknown Working Conditions," *IEEE*

- Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- [112] X. Liu, W. Sun, H. Li, Q. Li, Z. Ma, and C. Yang, “Unknown working condition fault diagnosis of rotate machine without training sample based on local fault semantic attribute,” *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 61, p. 102515, Aug. 2024.
- [113] Y. Wang, Y. He, B. Kang, J. Liu, and C. Sun, “ProbSparse Attention-Based Fault Diagnosis for Industrial Robots Under Different Working Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–12, 2024.
- [114] T. Han, Y.-F. Li, and M. Qian, “A Hybrid Generalization Network for Intelligent Fault Diagnosis of Rotating Machinery Under Unseen Working Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–11, 2021.
- [115] Q. Li, L. Chen, L. Kong, D. Wang, M. Xia, and C. Shen, “Cross-domain augmentation diagnosis: An adversarial domain-augmented generalization method for fault diagnosis under unseen working conditions,” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 234, p. 109171, June 2023.
- [116] Z. Zheng, C. Yi, and J. Lin, “A Novel Meta-Learning Network With Adaptive Input Length and Attention Mechanism for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Different Working Conditions,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, pp. 27696–27708, Nov. 2023.
- [117] C. Li, S. Li, A. Zhang, Q. He, Z. Liao, and J. Hu, “Meta-learning for few-shot bearing fault diagnosis under complex working conditions,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 439, pp. 197–211, June 2021.
- [118] C. Zhao and W. Shen, “Mutual-assistance semisupervised domain generalization network for intelligent fault diagnosis under unseen working conditions,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 189, p. 110074, Apr. 2023.
- [119] Z. Shi, J. Chen, X. Zhang, Y. Zi, C. Li, and J. Chen, “A reliable feature-assisted contrastive generalization net for intelligent fault diagnosis under unseen machines and working conditions,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 188, p. 110011, Apr. 2023.
- [120] H. Wang, C. Li, P. Ding, S. Li, T. Li, C. Liu, X. Zhang, and Z. Hong, “A novel transformer-based few-shot learning method for intelligent fault diagnosis with noisy labels under varying working conditions,” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 251, p. 110400, Nov. 2024.
- [121] J. Liu, H. Cao, and Y. Luo, “An information-induced fault diagnosis framework generalizing from stationary to unknown nonstationary working conditions,” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 237, p. 109380, Sept. 2023.
- [122] B. Zhao, X. Zhang, H. Li, and Z. Yang, “Intelligent fault diagnosis of rolling bearings based on normalized CNN considering data imbalance and variable working conditions,” *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 199, p. 105971, July 2020.
- [123] C. Wang, H. Li, K. Zhang, S. Hu, and B. Sun, “Intelligent fault diagnosis of planetary gearbox based on adaptive normalized CNN under complex variable working conditions and data imbalance,” *Measurement*, vol. 180, p. 109565, Aug. 2021.
- [124] H. Xue, H. Wang, P. Chen, K. Li, and L. Song, “Automatic diagnosis method for structural fault of rotating machinery based on distinctive frequency components and rotatng vector machines under varied operating conditions,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 116, pp. 326–335, Sept. 2013.
- [125] R. Zhang, H. Tao, L. Wu, and Y. Guan, “Transfer Learning With Neural Networks for Bearing Fault Diagnosis in Changing Working Conditions,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 14347–14357, 2017.
- [126] J. Chen, D. Zhou, C. Lyu, and C. Lu, “An integrated method based on CEEMD-SampEn and the correlation analysis algorithm for the fault diagnosis of a gearbox under different working conditions,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 113, pp. 102–111, Dec. 2018.
- [127] M. J. Hasan, M. M. Islam, and J.-M. Kim, “Acoustic spectral imaging and transfer learning for reliable bearing fault diagnosis under variable speed conditions,” *Measurement*, vol. 138, pp. 620–631, May 2019.
- [128] Y. Li, K. Feng, X. Liang, and M. J. Zuo, “A fault diagnosis method for planetary gearboxes under non-stationary working conditions using improved Vold-Kalman filter and multi-scale sample entropy,” *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 439, pp. 271–286, Jan. 2019.
- [129] J. Singh, M. Azamfar, A. Ainapure, and J. Lee, “Deep learning-based cross-domain adaptation for gearbox fault diagnosis under variable speed conditions,” *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 31, p. 055601, May 2020.
- [130] H. Wu and J. Zhao, “Fault detection and diagnosis based on transfer learning for multimode chemical processes,” *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, vol. 135, p. 106731, Apr. 2020.
- [131] D. Peng, H. Wang, Z. Liu, W. Zhang, M. J. Zuo, and J. Chen, “Multibranch and Multiscale CNN for Fault Diagnosis of Wheelset Bearings Under Strong Noise and Variable Load Condition,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 16, pp. 4949–4960, July 2020.
- [132] Y. Gu, L. Zeng, and G. Qiu, “Bearing fault diagnosis with varying conditions using angular domain resampling technology, SDP and DCNN,” *Measurement*, vol. 156, p. 107616, May 2020.
- [133] A. C. Jahagirdar and K. K. Gupta, “Cumulative Distribution Sharpness Profiling Based Bearing Fault Diagnosis Framework Under Variable Speed Conditions,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 21, pp. 15124–15132, July 2021.
- [134] B. Han, X. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. An, S. Jia, and G. Zhang, “Hybrid distance-guided adversarial network for intelligent fault diagnosis under different working conditions,” *Measurement*, vol. 176, p. 109197, May 2021.
- [135] W. Mao, Y. Liu, L. Ding, A. Safian, and X. Liang, “A New Structured Domain Adversarial Neural Network for Transfer Fault Diagnosis of Rolling Bearings Under Different Working Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–13, 2021.
- [136] Q. Li, C. Shen, L. Chen, and Z. Zhu, “Knowledge mapping-based adversarial domain adaptation: A novel fault diagnosis method with high generalizability under variable working conditions,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 147, p. 107095, Jan. 2021.
- [137] X. Chen and Z. Feng, “Order spectrum analysis enhanced by surrogate test and Vold-Kalman filtering for rotating machinery fault diagnosis under time-varying speed conditions,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 154, p. 107585, June 2021.
- [138] M. E. E.-D. Atta, D. K. Ibrahim, and M. I. Gilany, “Detection and Diagnosis of Bearing Faults Under Fixed and Time-Varying Speed Conditions Using Persistence Spectrum and Multi-Scale Structural Similarity Index,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 22, pp. 2637–2646, Feb. 2022.
- [139] Y. E. Karabacak, N. Gürsel Özmen, and L. Gümüsel, “Intelligent worm gearbox fault diagnosis under various working conditions using vibration, sound and thermal features,” *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 186, p. 108463, Jan. 2022.
- [140] M. Kavianpour, A. Ramezani, and M. T. Beheshti, “A class alignment method based on graph convolution neural network for bearing fault diagnosis in presence of missing data and changing working conditions,” *Measurement*, vol. 199, p. 111536, Aug. 2022.
- [141] C. H. Park, H. Kim, C. Suh, M. Chae, H. Yoon, and B. D. Youn, “A health image for deep learning-based fault diagnosis of a permanent magnet synchronous motor under variable operating conditions: Instantaneous current residual map,” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 226, p. 108715, Oct. 2022.
- [142] K. Su, J. Liu, and H. Xiong, “A multi-level adaptation scheme for hierarchical bearing fault diagnosis under variable working conditions,” *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 64, pp. 251–260, July 2022.
- [143] Y. Xu, X. Yan, B. Sun, and Z. Liu, “Global contextual residual convolutional neural networks for motor fault diagnosis under variable-speed conditions,” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 225, p. 108618, Sept. 2022.
- [144] Q. Li, “New Approach for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Based on Fractional Spatio-Temporal Sparse Low Rank Matrix Under Multichannel Time-Varying Speed Condition,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–12, 2022.
- [145] J. Li, M. Lin, Y. Li, and X. Wang, “Transfer learning network for nuclear power plant fault diagnosis with unlabeled data under varying operating conditions,” *ENERGY*, vol. 254, p. 124358, Sept. 2022.
- [146] P. Shi, S. Wu, X. Xu, B. Zhang, P. Liang, and Z. Qiao, “TSN: A novel intelligent fault diagnosis method for bearing with small samples under variable working conditions,” *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 240, p. 109575, Dec. 2023.
- [147] Y. An, K. Zhang, Y. Chai, Q. Liu, and X. Huang, “Domain adaptation network base on contrastive learning for bearings fault diagnosis under variable working conditions,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 212, p. 118802, Feb. 2023.
- [148] Y. Chen, D. Zhang, and R. Yan, “Domain Adaptation Networks With Parameter-Free Adaptively Rectified Linear Units for Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Operating Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2023.
- [149] J. Chen, W. Hu, D. Cao, Z. Zhang, Z. Chen, and F. Blaabjerg, “A Meta-Learning Method for Electric Machine Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Varying Working Conditions With Limited Data,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 19, pp. 2552–2564, Mar. 2023.

- [150] K. Jiang, X. Gao, H. Gao, H. Han, and Y. Qi, "VIT-GADG: A Generative Domain-Generalized Framework for Chillers Fault Diagnosis Under Unseen Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–13, 2023.
- [151] Y. Zhang, X. Qin, Y. Han, and Q. Huang, "Vibration amplitude normalization enhanced fault diagnosis under conditions of variable speed and extremely limited samples," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 34, p. 125111, Dec. 2023.
- [152] R. Bai, Z. Meng, Q. Xu, and F. Fan, "Fractional Fourier and time domain recurrence plot fusion combining convolutional neural network for bearing fault diagnosis under variable working conditions," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 232, p. 109076, Apr. 2023.
- [153] Z. Yu, C. Zhang, and C. Deng, "An improved GNN using dynamic graph embedding mechanism: A novel end-to-end framework for rolling bearing fault diagnosis under variable working conditions," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 200, p. 110534, Oct. 2023.
- [154] Y. Ding, M. Jia, J. Zhuang, Y. Cao, X. Zhao, and C.-G. Lee, "Deep imbalanced domain adaptation for transfer learning fault diagnosis of bearings under multiple working conditions," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 230, p. 108890, Feb. 2023.
- [155] J. Li, R. Huang, Z. Chen, G. He, K. C. Gryllias, and W. Li, "Deep continual transfer learning with dynamic weight aggregation for fault diagnosis of industrial streaming data under varying working conditions," *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 55, p. 101883, Jan. 2023.
- [156] L. Zhang, H. Li, J. Cui, W. Li, and X. Wang, "Class Subdomain Adaptation Network for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–17, 2023.
- [157] J. Zhu, Y. Wang, M. Xia, D. Williams, and C. W. De Silva, "A New Multisensor Partial Domain Adaptation Method for Machinery Fault Diagnosis Under Different Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–10, 2023.
- [158] M. Shi, C. Ding, S. Chang, C. Shen, W. Huang, and Z. Zhu, "Cross-Domain Class Incremental Broad Network for Continuous Diagnosis of Rotating Machinery Faults Under Variable Operating Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 20, pp. 6356–6368, Apr. 2024.
- [159] Z. Fang, W. Wang, Y. Cao, Q. Li, Y. Lin, T. Li, D. Wu, and S. Wu, "Reciprocating compressors intelligent fault diagnosis under multiple operating conditions based on adaptive variable scale morphological filter," *Measurement*, vol. 224, p. 113778, Jan. 2024.
- [160] Z. Mao, S. Ma, W. Liu, and B. Jiang, "DCDAN-Based Incipient Fault Diagnosis for Satellite ACS Under Variable Operating Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 20, pp. 3115–3123, Mar. 2024.
- [161] C. Che, Y. Zhang, H. Wang, and M. Xiong, "Interpretable multi-domain meta-transfer learning for few-shot fault diagnosis of rolling bearing under variable working conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 35, p. 076103, July 2024.
- [162] Y. Zhang, J. Ding, Y. Li, Z. Ren, and K. Feng, "Multi-modal data cross-domain fusion network for gearbox fault diagnosis under variable operating conditions," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 133, p. 108236, July 2024.
- [163] J. Yu, "A new fault diagnosis method of multimode processes using Bayesian inference based Gaussian mixture contribution decomposition," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 26, pp. 456–466, Jan. 2013.
- [164] Q. Jiang, B. Huang, and X. Yan, "GMM and optimal principal components-based Bayesian method for multimode fault diagnosis," *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, vol. 84, pp. 338–349, Jan. 2016.
- [165] H. Chen, B. Jiang, and N. Lu, "A Multi-mode Incipient Sensor Fault Detection and Diagnosis Method for Electrical Traction Systems," *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, vol. 16, pp. 1783–1793, Aug. 2018.
- [166] L. Ma, J. Dong, and K. Peng, "Root cause diagnosis of quality-related faults in industrial multimode processes using robust Gaussian mixture model and transfer entropy," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 285, pp. 60–73, Apr. 2018.
- [167] J. Shang, D. Zhou, M. Chen, H. Ji, and H. Zhang, "Incipient sensor fault diagnosis in multimode processes using conditionally independent Bayesian learning based recursive transformed component statistical analysis," *Journal of Process Control*, vol. 77, pp. 7–19, May 2019.
- [168] B. Song, S. Tan, H. Shi, and B. Zhao, "Fault detection and diagnosis via standardized k nearest neighbor for multimode process," *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, vol. 106, pp. 1–8, Jan. 2020.
- [169] Z. He, H. Shao, X. Zhong, and X. Zhao, "Ensemble transfer CNNs driven by multi-channel signals for fault diagnosis of rotating machinery cross working conditions," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 207, p. 106396, Nov. 2020.
- [170] S. Liang, S. Zhang, Y. Huang, X. Zheng, J. Cheng, and S. Wu, "Data-driven fault diagnosis of FW-UAVs with consideration of multiple operation conditions," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 126, pp. 472–485, July 2022.
- [171] Z. Ye and J. Yu, "Deep Negative Correlation Multisource Domains Adaptation Network for Machinery Fault Diagnosis Under Different Working Conditions," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 27, pp. 5914–5925, Dec. 2022.
- [172] M. Xu, Y. Han, X. Ding, H. Shao, and Y. Shao, "Decision Self-Regulating Network for Imbalanced Working Conditions Identification in the Application of Gearbox Intelligent Fault Diagnosis," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- [173] S. Li and J. Yu, "A Multisource Domain Adaptation Network for Process Fault Diagnosis Under Different Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 70, pp. 6272–6283, June 2023.
- [174] H. Zhou, H. Yin, and Y. Chai, "Multi-grained mode partition and robust fault diagnosis for multimode industrial processes," *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, vol. 231, p. 109011, Mar. 2023.
- [175] Z. Liu, C. Li, and X. He, "Evidential Ensemble Preference-Guided Learning Approach for Real-Time Multimode Fault Diagnosis," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, pp. 1–10, 2023.
- [176] X. Chen, H. Yin, Q. Chen, L. Chen, and C. Shen, "Multi-source subdomain negative transfer suppression and multiple pseudo-labels guidance alignment: A method for fault diagnosis under cross-working conditions," *ISA Transactions*, p. S0019057824003847, Aug. 2024.
- [177] T. Gao, J. Yang, and Q. Tang, "A multi-source domain information fusion network for rotating machinery fault diagnosis under variable operating conditions," *Information Fusion*, vol. 106, p. 102278, June 2024.
- [178] X. Lu, W. Xu, Q. Jiang, Y. Shen, F. Xu, and Q. Zhu, "Category-aware dual adversarial domain adaptation model for rolling bearings fault diagnosis under variable conditions," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 34, p. 095104, Sept. 2023.
- [179] M. Sohaib and J.-M. Kim, "Fault Diagnosis of Rotary Machine Bearings Under Inconsistent Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 69, pp. 3334–3347, June 2020.
- [180] Z. Liu, J. Zhang, and X. He, "A discrimination-guided active learning method based on marginal representations for industrial compound fault diagnosis," *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 6411–6422, 2024.
- [181] J. Ge, D. Zhou, X. Zhuang, X. Wang, W. Sun, H. Yao, and J. Xiang, "CA-MSPNet: Multiscale Module and Coordinate Attention Mechanism Strategy Combined With ProtoNet for Gearbox Fault Diagnosis Using Limited Infrared Thermal Images Under Variable Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, pp. 1–13, 2024.
- [182] F. Shen, C. Chen, J. Xu, and R. Yan, "A Fast Multi-tasking Solution: NMF-Theoretic Co-clustering for Gear Fault Diagnosis under Variable Working Conditions," *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 33, p. 16, Dec. 2020.
- [183] Z. An, X. Jiang, and J. Liu, "Mode-Decoupling Auto-Encoder for Machinery Fault Diagnosis Under Unknown Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, pp. 1–14, 2023.
- [184] J. Chen, C. Zhao, and J. Ding, "A Flexible Probabilistic Framework With Concurrent Analysis of Continuous and Categorical Data for Industrial Fault Detection and Diagnosis," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 19, pp. 10578–10590, Oct. 2023.
- [185] J. Miao, J. Wang, and Q. Miao, "An Enhanced Multifeature Fusion Method for Rotating Component Fault Diagnosis in Different Working Conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, vol. 70, pp. 1611–1620, Dec. 2021.
- [186] Y. Tian, J. Ma, C. Lu, and Z. Wang, "Rolling bearing fault diagnosis under variable conditions using LMD-SVD and extreme learning machine," *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, vol. 90, pp. 175–186, Aug. 2015.
- [187] L. Fu, S. Wang, R. Chen, Z. Ma, J. Ma, B. Yao, and F. Xu, "Intelligent Fault Diagnosis of Rolling Bearings Based on an Improved Empirical

- Wavelet Transform and ResNet Under Variable Conditions,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, pp. 29097–29108, Dec. 2023.
- [188] F. Chen, X. Wang, Y. Zhu, W. Yuan, and Y. Hu, “Time-frequency Transformer with shifted windows for journal bearing-rotor systems fault diagnosis under multiple working conditions,” *MEASUREMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY*, vol. 34, p. 085121, Aug. 2023.
- [189] F. Li, R. Li, L. Tian, L. Chen, and J. Liu, “Data-driven time-frequency analysis method based on variational mode decomposition and its application to gear fault diagnosis in variable working conditions,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 116, pp. 462–479, Feb. 2019.
- [190] J. Wang, F. Cheng, W. Qiao, and L. Qu, “Multiscale Filtering Reconstruction for Wind Turbine Gearbox Fault Diagnosis Under Varying-Speed and Noisy Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 65, pp. 4268–4278, May 2018.
- [191] R. Li, J. Wu, Y. Li, and Y. Cheng, “PeriodNet: Noise-Robust Fault Diagnosis Method Under Varying Speed Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 14045–14059, Oct. 2024.
- [192] P. Li, B. Anduv, X. Zhu, X. Jin, and Z. Du, “Across working conditions fault diagnosis for chillers based on IoT intelligent agent with deep learning model,” *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 268, p. 112188, Aug. 2022.
- [193] Z. Sun, Y. Wang, and J. Gao, “Intelligent fault diagnosis of rotating machinery under varying working conditions with global-local neighborhood and sparse graphs embedding deep regularized autoencoder,” *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 124, p. 106590, Sept. 2023.
- [194] C. Shen, X. Wang, D. Wang, Y. Li, J. Zhu, and M. Gong, “Dynamic Joint Distribution Alignment Network for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Working Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 70, pp. 1–13, 2021.
- [195] J. Wu, T. Tang, M. Chen, Y. Wang, and K. Wang, “A study on adaptation lightweight architecture based deep learning models for bearing fault diagnosis under varying working conditions,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 160, p. 113710, Dec. 2020.
- [196] J. Zhu, N. Chen, and C. Shen, “A New Deep Transfer Learning Method for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Different Working Conditions,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 20, pp. 8394–8402, Aug. 2020.
- [197] C. Chen, F. Shen, J. Xu, and R. Yan, “Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis-Based Gear Fault Diagnosis Under Variable Working Conditions,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 69, pp. 2845–2857, June 2020.
- [198] M. Zhang, D. Wang, W. Lu, J. Yang, Z. Li, and B. Liang, “A Deep Transfer Model With Wasserstein Distance Guided Multi-Adversarial Networks for Bearing Fault Diagnosis Under Different Working Conditions,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 65303–65318, 2019.
- [199] S. Chen, Z. Liu, X. He, D. Zou, and D. Zhou, “Multi-mode fault diagnosis datasets of gearbox under variable working conditions,” *Data in Brief*, vol. 54, p. 110453, 2024.
- [200] “Bearing data center, case western reserve university.” Online. http://csegroups.case.edu/bearing_datacenter/pages/download-data-file.
- [201] H. Huang and N. Baddour, “Bearing vibration data collected under time-varying rotational speed conditions,” vol. 21, pp. 1745–1749, 2018.
- [202] W. Jung, S.-H. Kim, S.-H. Yun, J. Bae, and Y.-H. Park, “Vibration, acoustic, temperature, and motor current dataset of rotating machine under varying operating conditions for fault diagnosis,” *Data in Brief*, vol. 48, p. 109049, 2023.
- [203] C. Lessmeier, J. K. Kimotho, D. Zimmer, and W. Sestro, “Condition monitoring of bearing damage in electromechanical drive systems by using motor current signals of electric motors: A benchmark data set for data-driven classification,” in *PHM Society European Conference*, vol. 3, 2016.
- [204] A. P. Daga, A. Fasana, S. Marchesiello, and L. Garibaldi, “The politecnico di torino rolling bearing test rig: Description and analysis of open access data,” vol. 120, pp. 252–273, 2019.
- [205] Y. Lei, T. Han, B. Wang, N. Li, T. Yan, and J. Yang, “Xjtu-sy rolling element bearing accelerated life test datasets: A tutorial (in chinese),” *Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 55, no. 16, pp. 1–6, 2019.
- [206] K. Li, X. Ping, H. Wang, P. Chen, and Y. Cao, “Sequential fuzzy diagnosis method for motor roller bearing in variable operating conditions based on vibration analysis,” *Sensors*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 8013–8041, 2013.
- [207] B. Song, H. Yan, H. Shi, and S. Tan, “Multisubspace elastic network for multimode quality-related process monitoring,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 5874–5883, 2019.
- [208] J. Zhang, D. Zhou, and M. Chen, “Self-learning sparse pca for multimode process monitoring,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 29–39, 2022.
- [209] Z. Guo, Y. Wan, and H. Ye, “An unsupervised fault-detection method for railway turnouts,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 69, no. 11, pp. 8881–8901, 2020.
- [210] T. Cong, R. Tan, J. R. Ottewill, N. F. Thornhill, and J. Baranowski, “Anomaly detection and mode identification in multimode processes using the field kalman filter,” *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 2192–2205, 2020.
- [211] B. Wang, Z. Li, Z. Dai, N. Lawrence, and X. Yan, “Data-driven mode identification and unsupervised fault detection for nonlinear multimode processes,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 3651–3661, 2019.
- [212] X. Peng, Y. Tang, W. Du, and F. Qian, “Multimode process monitoring and fault detection: A sparse modeling and dictionary learning method,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 4866–4875, 2017.
- [213] A. Haghani, T. Jeansch, and S. X. Ding, “Quality-related fault detection in industrial multimode dynamic processes,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 6446–6453, 2014.
- [214] Z. Chen, C. Liu, S. X. Ding, T. Peng, C. Yang, W. Gui, and Y. A. Shardt, “A just-in-time-learning-aided canonical correlation analysis method for multimode process monitoring and fault detection,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 5259–5270, 2020.



Pengyu Han received the B.E. degree from the School of Automation, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree at the Department of Automation, Tsinghua University. His research interests include machine learning and fault diagnosis based on data-driven methods.



Zeyi Liu received the B.E. degree with the School of Computer and Information Science, Southwest University, China. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Department of Automation, Tsinghua University. His research interests include machine learning, information fusion, fuzzy logic and their applications such as safety assessment and fault diagnosis. He has authored more than 10 papers in refereed international journals and conferences. He serves as a reviewer of refereed journals and conferences such as *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, and *IEEE T. Cybern.*.



Xiao He (M'12–SM'20) received the B.Eng. in information technology from the Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, in 2004, and the Ph.D. degree in control science and engineering from the Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, in 2010.

He is currently a tenured professor with the Department of Automation, Tsinghua University. He has authored more than 100 papers in refereed international journals. His research interests include fault diagnosis and fault-tolerant control, networked systems, cyber-physical systems, as well as their applications. Dr. He is now a Full Member of Sigma Xi, the Scientific Research Society, a Senior Member of the Chinese Association of Automation, and a Senior Member of the IEEE. He is an Associate Editor of the *Control Engineering Practice*.



Steven X. Ding received the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the Gerhard-Mercator University of Duisburg, Duisburg, Germany, in 1992.

He is currently a Full Professor of control engineering and the Head of the Institute for Automatic Control and Complex Systems, the University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg. His research interests include model-based and data-driven fault diagnosis, fault-tolerant systems, real-time control, and their application in industry with a focus on automotive systems and chemical processes.



Donghua Zhou (Fellow, IEEE) received the B.Eng., M.Sci., and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, in 1985, 1988, and 1990, respectively.

He was an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow with the University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany, from 1995 to 1996, and a Visiting Scholar with Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA, from 2001 to 2002. He joined Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, in 1996, where he was promoted to a Full Professor in 1997 and was the Head of the

Department of Automation from 2008 to 2015. He was the Vice-President of Shandong University of Science and Technology, China, from 2015 to 2023. He has authored or co-authored over 320 peer-reviewed international journal articles and nine monographs in the areas of fault diagnosis, fault-tolerant control, and operational safety evaluation.

Dr. Zhou is a fellow of IET, CAA, and AAIA; a member of IFAC TC on SAFEPROCESS; an Associate Editor of *Journal of Process Control* and *Fundamental Research*; and the Vice-Chairperson of Chinese Association of Automation (CAA). He was also the NOC Chair of the Sixth IFAC Symposium on SAFEPROCESS in 2006.