

On the annulus complex of a handlebody

Dongqi Sun*

College of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University,
Harbin 150001, CHINA.

Email: sundq1029@hrbeu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we give the definition of the annulus complex of a handlebody and use the combinatorial methods to prove its connectivity.

Keywords: 3-manifold; handlebody; annulus complex; connectivity

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 57K30; 57M50

1 Introduction

For an orientable closed surface, Harvey[1] introduced its curve complex. Since then, many other simplicial complexes appears. A common characteristic of these complexes is their connectivity.

Similarly, for a handlebody, some complexes are also defined. Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus g . It is known that the disk complex \mathcal{D} of H_g is connected, namely, any two essential disks in \mathcal{D} are connected by a path in \mathcal{D} . In fact, \mathcal{D} is contractible, see [2]. In addition, other complexes of H_g have been demonstrated to be connected, such as cut system complex, pants complex, separating disk complex, half disk complex, disk pants graph, nonseparating disk pants graph and so on. For example, see [3, 4, 5, 6] .

There are some useful tools to prove the connectivity of these complexes, such as curve surgery, Morse/Cerf theory, and Teichmüller theory. For example, see [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Putman[14] proved the connectivity using the basic combinatorial group theory, which was also adopted in[15]. Putman's method

*Supported by a grant of NSFC (Grant No.12101153)

requires the use of a set of appropriate generators of the mapping class group of ∂H_g .

In the present paper, we define the annulus complex of a handlebody H_g , and then prove the connectivity of the annulus complex using combinatorial topology methods. As defined below, the vertices of the annulus complex are essential annuli in H_g , and the boundary curves of these annuli are complicated. Consequently, employing other methods to establish the connectivity of the annulus complex is challenging. So this article uses the traditional combinatorial methods.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic definitions and some conclusions. In Section 3, we discuss the properties of essential annuli in a handlebody. In Section 4, we prove the connectivity of the annulus complex of a handlebody, see Theorem 4.6.

Throughout this paper, the number of elements in a set C is denoted by $|C|$. The intersection number of the objects A and B is denoted by $|A \cap B|$. We always assume $|A \cap B|$ is minimal, namely, $|A \cap B| = \min\{|A' \cap B'| \mid A' \text{ is isotopic to } A, B' \text{ is isotopic to } B\}$. The interior of the object A is denoted by $\text{int}(A)$.

The definitions and terminologies not defined here are standard. See, for example, [16] or [17].

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some basic definitions and useful results.

Definition 2.1. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$, and D be an essential disk in H_g . Let α be a simple arc in ∂H_g with $\alpha \cap D = \alpha \cap \partial D = \partial \alpha$ and both points of $\partial \alpha$ meeting ∂D from the same side of ∂D . Let $N(\alpha) = \alpha \times [0, 1]$ be the regular neighborhood of α in ∂H_g , $\alpha = \alpha \times \{\frac{1}{2}\}$, and $N(\alpha) \cap D = \partial \alpha \times [0, 1]$. Connect $N(\alpha)$ and D along $\partial \alpha \times [0, 1]$ and push $\alpha \times (0, 1)$ into $\text{int}(H_g)$ slightly. Then we get a properly embedded annulus denoted by $A = D \cup (\alpha \times [0, 1])$. We say that A is the band-sum of D along α . This process is called doing a band-sum to disk D along an arc α to get an annulus. See Fig.1.*

From the above definition, we know that if an essential annulus $A = D \cup (\alpha \times [0, 1])$, then ∂ -compressing A along a disk which intersects α transversely nonemptily can regain the essential disk D .




Figure 1: Do band-sum to D along α in H_g .

Definition 2.2. Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$, and D be an essential disk in H_g . Let α be a simple arc in ∂H_g with $\alpha \cap D = \alpha \cap \partial D = \partial \alpha$ and both points of $\partial \alpha$ meeting ∂D from the same side of ∂D . If doing band-sum to D along α results in an essential annulus in H_g , then the arc α is called an essential arc associated with D .

Essential annulus is a type of simple essential orientable surface in the handlebody. Let \mathfrak{A} be a collection of pairwise disjoint non-parallel essential annuli in H_g . \mathfrak{A} is *maximal* if whenever A is an essential annulus in H_g with $A \cap \mathfrak{A} = \emptyset$ then A is parallel to a component of \mathfrak{A} in H_g . Rubinstein-Scharlemann[18], Lei-Tang[19] and Yin-Tang-Lei[20] studied the $|\mathfrak{A}|$.

Proposition 2.3. [18] Let H_2 be an orientable handlebody of genus 2. Then a maximal collection of essential annuli \mathfrak{A} could contain exactly 1, or 2, or at most 3 annuli.

Proposition 2.4. [19, 20] Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 3$. Then for a maximal collection of essential annuli \mathfrak{A} , $2 \leq |\mathfrak{A}| \leq 4g - 5$ and the bound is best.

Now the annulus complex of a handlebody is defined as follows.

Definition 2.5. Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$. The annulus complex \mathcal{A} of H_g is a simplicial complex whose vertices are the isotopy classes of essential annuli in H_g and a collection of $k + 1$ distinct vertices constitute a k -simplex if there are pairwise disjoint representatives.

Similar to the dimension of the disk complex, the *dimension* of the annulus complex \mathcal{A} is defined to be the biggest dimension of the simplices in \mathcal{A} .

By Proposition 2.3, we have the following proposition about the dimension of the annulus complex \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 2.6. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$. The dimension of the annulus complex \mathcal{A} is $4g - 6$.*

3 The properties of essential annuli in a handlebody

In this section, several properties of the essential annuli in H_g are considered.

Proposition 3.1. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$, and D be an essential disk in H_g . Then there are at least two different essential annuli A_1 and A_2 with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, both of which are obtained by doing band-sum to D along disjoint arcs.*

Proof. There are two cases for the essential disk D to be considered.

Case 1: D is separating in H_g .

Assume $H_g \setminus D = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2}$ with $g(H_{g_i}) = g_i \geq 1$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $g_1 + g_2 = g$. Then there exist essential arcs α_i ($i = 1, 2$) associated with D such that $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_1}$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial H_{g_2}$. See Fig. 2.




Figure 2: D is separating in H_g .

Let $A_1 = D \cup (\alpha_1 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_2 = D \cup (\alpha_2 \times [0, 1])$. It is easy to see that A_1 is not isotopic to A_2 and $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

Case 2: D is nonseparating in H_g .

Now $H_g \setminus D = H'$ is a handlebody of genus $g(H') = g - 1$. Assume the two cutting sections of D on $\partial H'$ are denoted by D' and D'' . Since $g \geq 2$, $\chi(\partial H' \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)) = 2 - 2(g - 1) - 2 = 2 - 2g \leq -2$. Thus, there exist two




Figure 3: D is nonseparating in H_g .

disjoint essential arcs α_1 and α_2 associated with D such that $\partial\alpha_1 \in \partial D'$ and $\partial\alpha_2 \in \partial D''$. See Fig.3.

Now $A_1 = D \cup (\alpha_1 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_2 = D \cup (\alpha_2 \times [0, 1])$ are two essential annuli in H_g . It is easy to see that A_1 is not isotopic to A_2 and $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 2$, and A be an essential annulus in H_g . If $A = D \cup (\alpha \times [0, 1])$, then for A and D , either both are separating in H_g or both are nonseparating in H_g .*

Proof. There are two cases for D to be considered.

Case 1: D is separating in H_g .

Let $H_g \setminus D = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2}$ with $g_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$ and $g_1 + g_2 = g$. Without loss of generality, assume $\alpha \subset \partial H_{g_2}$. Then $H_g \setminus A$ contains two components with one of which isotopic to H_{g_2} and the other isotopic to the handlebody obtained by adding a 1-handle to H_{g_1} . So A is separating in H_g .

Case 2: D is nonseparating in H_g .

Let $H_g \setminus D = H'$. So H' is a handlebody of genus $g(H') = g - 1$. Assume the two cutting sections of D on $\partial H'$ are denoted by D' and D'' . Without loss of generality, assume $\partial\alpha \in \partial D'$. Then $H_g \setminus A$ is isotopic to the handlebody which is obtained by adding a 1-handle to H' . So A is nonseparating in H_g .

□

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be an essential annulus in H_g . Then ∂ -compressing A may result in different essential disks in H_g .*

The following is an example of Proposition 3.3.

Example 3.4. *As in Fig.4, D_1 and D_2 are two different nonseparating essential disks in H_5 . α_1 and α_2 are all simple arcs connecting ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 on ∂H_5 .*

Push $\text{int}(D_1 \cup (\alpha_1 \times [0, 1]) \cup D_2)$ into $\text{int}(H_5)$ to obtain an essential disk D' in H_5 . Similarly, push $\text{int}(D_1 \cup (\alpha_2 \times [0, 1]) \cup D_2)$ into $\text{int}(H_5)$ to obtain an essential disk D'' in H_5 . Then D' and D'' are disjoint different essential disks in H_5 . Now doing band-sum to D' along α_2 can result in an essential annulus A in H_5 . It is easy to see that A can also be obtained by doing band-sum to D'' along α_1 . In other words, ∂ -compressing A can result in D' and D'' , and these two disks are different.




Figure 4: ∂ -compressing A results in different disks.

4 The connectivity of the annulus complex

Firstly, consider the annulus complex of the orientable handlebody of genus two.

Theorem 4.1. *Let H_2 be an orientable handlebody of genus 2. Then the annulus complex \mathcal{A} of H_2 is not connected.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, there exists a maximal collection of essential annuli, say \mathfrak{A} , in H_2 which contains only one essential annulus. Assume $\mathfrak{A} = \{A\}$. Since \mathfrak{A} is maximal, for any other essential annulus A' in H_2 which is different from A , we know that $A \cap A' \neq \emptyset$. Thus, for any $B \in \mathcal{A}$, A and B can't be connected by a path in \mathcal{A} . So \mathcal{A} is not connected. \square

Remark 4.2. *For the solid torus, there doesn't exist essential annulus in it. So the annulus complex of the solid torus is empty. By Theorem 4.1, we know the annulus complex \mathcal{A} of H_2 is not connected. So our main theorem is about the orientable handlebody of genus at least 3.*

From now on, we always assume the genus of H_g is at least 3. All the arcs considered here are simple.

To detect the connectivity of \mathcal{A} , we need to discuss the intersections of annuli in \mathcal{A} . Since H_g is an irreducible 3-manifold, using the usually cutting-pasting methods in combinatorial topology, we can assume each component of $A_1 \cap A_2$ is an arc in $A_i (i = 1, 2)$ in the sense of isotopy.

We first prove the connectivity of \mathcal{A} for two special cases, and then prove the connectivity of \mathcal{A} for the general case.

Lemma 4.3. *If each component of $A_1 \cap A_2$ is an arc with boundary points belonging to the same component of ∂A_i for $i = 1, 2$, then A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Assume $|A_1 \cap A_2| = m$. The conclusion can be obtained by the induction on m .

If $m = 0$, then A_1 and A_2 are disjoint. Thus, A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} .

Assume for $m = k > 0$, the conclusion is correct.

When $m = k + 1$. Choose one component of $A_1 \cap A_2$, say α , which is outermost relative to A_2 . Namely, one component of $A_2 \setminus \alpha$ is a disk, say E_1 , which intersects A_1 only in the arc α . Now $A_1 \setminus \alpha$ contains two components with one of which a disk, say E_2 .

Now let $A'_1 = (A_1 \setminus E_2) \cup E_1$. In the sense of isotopy, A'_1 is an essential annulus in H_g with $A'_1 \cap A_1 = \phi$ and $|A'_1 \cap A_2| < |A_1 \cap A_2|$. So $|A'_1 \cap A_2| \leq k$. Then by the induction, there exists a path $A'_1 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A'_1 to A_2 . So there exists a path $A_1 - A'_1 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

□

Because of Lemma 4.3, for any essential annuli $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A_i = D_i \cup (\alpha_i \times [0, 1]) (i = 1, 2)$, we can assume $(\alpha_1 \times ([0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1])) \cap (\alpha_2 \times ([0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1))) = \phi$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 3$, and D be an essential disk in H_g . Suppose $A_1 = D \cup (\alpha_1 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_2 = D \cup (\alpha_2 \times [0, 1])$. Then A_1 and A_2 are connected by a path in the annulus complex \mathcal{A} of H_g .*

Proof. There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1: α_1 and α_2 are on the same side of D .

Subcase 1.1: D is separating in H_g . Let $H_g \setminus D = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2}$ with $g_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$ and $g_1 + g_2 = g$. See Fig.5(a).




Figure 5: D is separating with α_1 and α_2 on the same side of D .

Without loss of generality, suppose α_1 and α_2 are all contained in ∂H_{g_1} . As in Fig.5(b), $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$. Then we can choose an essential arc $\alpha_3 \subset \partial H_{g_2}$ associated with D to make the annulus $A_3 = D \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ essential in H_g . Now $A_3 \cap A_1 = A_3 \cap A_2 = \phi$. So $A_1 - A_3 - A_2$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Subcase 1.2: D is nonseparating in H_g . Let $H_g \setminus D = H'$. Then H' is a genus $g - 1$ handlebody. Assume the two cutting sections of D on $\partial H'$ are denoted by D' and D'' . Without loss of generality, assume $\partial\alpha_i \in \partial D'$ for $i = 1, 2$. See Fig.6.




Figure 6: D is nonseparating with α_1 and α_2 on the same side of D .

Now consider $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2|$. The conclusion is obtained by the induction on $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2|$.

When $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2| = 0$. It is easy to see that $|A_1 \cap A_2| = 2$. See Fig.7.




Figure 7: D is nonseparating with α_1 and α_2 on the same side of D and $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 = \phi$.

At this time, $\chi((\partial H' \setminus (D' \cup D'')) \setminus (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2)) = 2 - 2(g - 1) - 2 + 2 = 4 - 2g \leq -2$.

So we can choose an essential properly embedded arc, say α_3 , associated with D in $\partial H' \setminus (D' \cup D'')$ such that $\partial\alpha_3 \in \partial D''$ and $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 \cap \alpha_3 = \phi$. Now $A_3 = D \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ is an essential annulus in H_g with $A_1 \cap A_3 = A_2 \cap A_3 = \phi$. So $A_1 - A_3 - A_2$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 . The conclusion is correct.

Assume for $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2| = k > 0$, the conclusion is correct.

When $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2| = k + 1$. Let $\partial\alpha_1 = \{P_1, P_2\}$ and $\partial\alpha_2 = \{P_3, P_4\}$. Without loss of generality, choose the point P_3 . Now there exists a point of $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2$, say P , which is closest to P_3 in α_2 . Here, P closest to P_3 in α_2 means that $\alpha_2 \setminus P = \gamma \cup \gamma'$, $\partial\gamma = \{P, P_3\}$ and $\text{int}(\gamma) \cap \alpha_1 = \phi$. Let $\alpha_1 \setminus P = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2$. Now there are two essential properly embedded arcs $(\alpha_1 \setminus \beta_1) \cup \gamma = \beta_2 \cup \gamma$ and $(\alpha_1 \setminus \beta_2) \cup \gamma = \beta_1 \cup \gamma$ associated with D . Push these two arcs slightly to make them disjoint from α_1 . Let α_3 denote any one of these two arcs. It is easy to see that $|\alpha_3 \cap \alpha_2| < |\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2|$. So $|\alpha_3 \cap \alpha_2| \leq k$. Let $A_3 = D \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$. By the induction, there exists a path $A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_3 to A_2 . Since $|\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_3| = 0$, similar to the above discussion, there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A_3$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_3 . Thus, there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Case 2: α_1 and α_2 are on different sides of D .

Subcase 2.1: D is separating in H_g . Let $H_g \setminus D = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2}$ with $g_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$ and $g_1 + g_2 = g$. See Fig.2.

Without loss of generality, assume $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_1}$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial H_{g_2}$. Then $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$. So A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} .

Subcase 2.2: D is nonseparating in H_g . Let $H_g \setminus D = H'$. Then H' is a handlebody of genus $g - 1 \geq 2$. Assume the two cutting sections of D on $\partial H'$ are denoted by D' and D'' . Without loss of generality, assume $\partial\alpha_1 \in \partial D'$ and $\partial\alpha_2 \in \partial D''$. See Fig.8.




Figure 8: D is nonseparating with α_1 and α_2 on different sides of D .

If $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 = \phi$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$ and A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . If $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 \neq \phi$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$. At this time, $\chi(\partial H' \setminus (D' \cup D'')) = 2 - 2(g - 1) - 2 =$

$2-2g \leq -4$. So we can choose two disjoint essential properly embedded arcs, say α'_1 and α'_2 , associated with D' and D'' respectively in $\partial H'$ such that $\partial\alpha'_1 \in \partial D'$ and $\partial\alpha'_2 \in \partial D''$. So $A'_1 = D \cup (\alpha'_1 \times [0, 1])$ and $A'_2 = D \cup (\alpha'_2 \times [0, 1])$ are disjoint essential annuli in H_g , and A'_1 and A'_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . Now A_1 and A'_1 are all obtained by doing band-sum to D along arcs from the same side of D . By Case 1, there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A'_1$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A'_1 . Similarly, A'_2 and A_2 are all obtained by doing band-sum to D along arcs from the same side of D . By Case 1, there exists a path $A'_2 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A'_2 to A_2 . So there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A'_1 - A'_2 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

□

Theorem 4.5. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 3$, and D_1 and D_2 be different essential disks in H_g with $D_1 \cap D_2 = \phi$. Suppose $A_1 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_1 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_2 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_2 \times [0, 1])$. Then A_1 and A_2 are connected by a path in the annulus complex \mathcal{A} of H_g .*

Proof. There are three cases to be considered.

Case 1: Both D_1 and D_2 are separating in H_g .

Let $H_g \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2) = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2} \cup H_{g_3}$ with $g_1 + g_2 + g_3 = g$ and $g_i \geq 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

See Fig.9.




Figure 9: Both of D_1 and D_2 are separating in H_g .

As in Fig.9, $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_1}$ or $\alpha_1 \subset \partial(H_{g_2} \cup H_{g_3})$, and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial(H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2})$ or $\alpha_2 \subset \partial H_{g_3}$. So there are four subcases to be considered.

Subcase 1.1: $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_1}$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial H_{g_3}$.

Then $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 = \phi$. At this time, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$. So A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} .

Subcase 1.2: $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_1}$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial(H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2})$.

If $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$, then A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . If $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$, then we can choose an essential arc α_3 associated with D_2 in ∂H_{g_3} . Now the essential annulus $A_3 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ is disjoint from both A_1 and A_2 . So $A_1 - A_3 - A_2$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Subcase 1.3: $\alpha_1 \subset \partial(H_{g_2} \cup H_{g_3})$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial H_{g_3}$.

If $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$, then A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . If $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$, then we can choose an essential arc α_3 associated with D_1 in ∂H_{g_1} . Now the essential annulus $A_3 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ is disjoint from both A_1 and A_2 . So $A_1 - A_3 - A_2$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Subcase 1.4: $\alpha_1 \subset \partial(H_{g_2} \cup H_{g_3})$ and $\alpha_2 \subset \partial(H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2})$.

If $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$, then A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . If $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$, then we can choose an essential arc α_3 associated with D_1 in ∂H_{g_1} , and choose an essential arc α_4 associated with D_2 in ∂H_{g_3} . Now there are two essential annuli $A_3 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_4 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_4 \times [0, 1])$ in H_g . It is easy to see that $A_1 \cap A_3 = A_4 \cap A_2 = A_3 \cap A_4 = \phi$. So $A_1 - A_3 - A_4 - A_2$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Case 2: One of D_1 and D_2 is separating and the other is nonseparating in H_g . Without loss of generality, assume D_1 is separating and D_2 is nonseparating in H_g .

Let $H_g \setminus D_1 = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2}$ with $g_1 + g_2 = g$ and $g_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$. Without loss of generality, assume $D_2 \subset H_{g_2}$. See Fig.10.




Figure 10: D_1 is separating and D_2 is nonseparating in H_g .

Now there are two subcases to be considered.

Subcase 2.1: $g(H_{g_2}) = g_2 \geq 2$.

Firstly, if $\alpha_2 \cap D_1 = \phi$, then $\alpha_2 \subset \partial H_{g_2}$. If $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 = \phi$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$ and A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . If $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2 \neq \phi$, then $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_2}$. At this time, we can choose an essential arc, say α_3 , associated with D_1 in ∂H_{g_1} to make the

essential annulus $A_3 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ disjoint from both A_1 and A_2 . Thus, $A_1 - A_3 - A_2$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Secondly, if $\alpha_2 \cap D_1 \neq \phi$. Since $g(H_{g_2}) = g_2 \geq 2$, there exists an essential arc, say α_3 , associated with D_2 in ∂H_{g_2} such that $\alpha_3 \cap D_1 = \phi$. Let $A_3 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$. Then A_3 and A_2 are all essential annuli obtained by doing band-sum to D_2 along arcs. So by Theorem 4.4, there exists a path $A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_3 to A_2 . Now consider the arc α_1 . If $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_1}$, then $\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_3 = \phi$ and $A_1 \cap A_3 = \phi$. Thus, there exists a path $A_1 - A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 . If $\alpha_1 \subset \partial H_{g_2}$, then there exists an essential arc, say α_4 , associated with D_1 in ∂H_{g_1} . Let $A_4 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_4 \times [0, 1])$. Since D_1 is separating in H_g , α_1 and α_4 are on different sides of D_1 . So $A_1 \cap A_4 = \phi$ and $A_1 - A_4$ is a path in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_4 . At this time, $A_4 \cap A_3 = \phi$. Thus, there exists a path $A_1 - A_4 - A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Subcase 2.2: $g(H_{g_2}) = g_2 = 1$.

At this time, H_{g_2} is a solid torus and D_2 is a meridian disk in H_{g_2} . Since $g(H_{g_1}) = g_1 \geq 2$, there exists an essential separating disk, say D_3 , in H_{g_1} with $D_3 \cap D_1 = \phi$. Now D_3 is also an essential separating disk in H_g which is disjoint from D_2 . As in Fig. 11, assume $H_g \setminus D_3 = H^1 \cup H^2$ and $D_2 \in H^2$. Since $g(H^1) \geq 1$, we can choose an essential arc, say α_3 , associated with D_3 in ∂H^1 to make the annulus $A_3 = D_3 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ essential in H_g . For one thing, since $H_g \setminus D_3 = H^1 \cup H^2$, $g(H^2) \geq 2$ and $D_2 \in H^2$, similar to Subcase 2.1, there exists a path $A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_3 to A_2 . For another, since D_1 and D_3 are disjoint separating essential disks in H_g , similar to Case 1, there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A_3$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_3 . Thus, there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A_3 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .




Figure 11: There exists an essential separating disk D_3 .

Case 3: Both D_1 and D_2 are nonseparating in H_g .

Now there are two subcases to be considered.

Subcase 3.1: $H_g \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$ has two components. Let $H_g \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2) = H_{g_1} \cup H_{g_2}$ with $g_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$ and $g_1 + g_2 = g - 1 \geq 2$. See Fig.12.




Figure 12: The union of D_1 and D_2 is separating in H_g .

When $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$, A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} .

When $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$. As in Fig.12, since $g_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$, we can choose an essential arc, say α_3 , associated with D_1 in ∂H_{g_1} such that $\alpha_3 \cap D_2 = \phi$, and choose an essential arc, say α_4 , associated with D_2 in ∂H_{g_2} such that $\alpha_4 \cap D_1 = \phi$. So $\alpha_3 \cap \alpha_4 = \phi$. Let $A_3 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_4 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_4 \times [0, 1])$. It is easy to see that $A_3 \cap A_4 = \phi$. Since A_1 and A_3 are all obtained by doing band-sum to D_1 , by Theorem4.4, there exists a path $A_1 - \dots - A_3$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_3 . Similarly, since A_4 and A_2 are all obtained by doing band-sum to D_2 , by Theorem4.4, there exists a path $A_4 - \dots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_4 to A_2 . So there exists a path $A_1 - \dots - A_3 - A_4 - \dots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 .

Subcase 3.2: $H_g \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$ is a handlebody. Let $H_g \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2) = H'$. So $g(H') = g - 2 \geq 1$. See Fig.13.




Figure 13: The union of D_1 and D_2 is nonseparating in H_g .

As in Fig.13, for $i = 1, 2$, assume the two cutting sections of D_i on $\partial H'$ are denoted by D_i' and D_i'' . Without loss of generality, assume $\partial\alpha_1 \in \partial D_1'$ and $\partial\alpha_2 \in \partial D_2''$.

If $A_1 \cap A_2 = \phi$, then A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} . If $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \phi$, then we get a path from A_1 to A_2 as follows. Let $S_{g-2,4} = \partial H' \setminus (D_1' \cup D_2' \cup D_1'' \cup D_2'')$.

So $S_{g-2,4}$ is an orientable surface with genus $g - 2$ and 4 boundary components. Since $g \geq 3$, $\chi(S_{g-2,4}) = 2 - 2(g - 2) - 4 = 2 - 2g \leq -4$. So there exist two disjoint essential arcs, say α_3 and α_4 , in $S_{g-2,4}$ such that $\partial\alpha_3 \in \partial D'_1$, $\partial\alpha_4 \in \partial D'_2$. $A_3 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_3 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_4 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_4 \times [0, 1])$ are all essential annuli in H_g . Since $\alpha_3 \cap \alpha_4 = \emptyset$, $A_3 \cap A_4 = \emptyset$ and A_3 and A_4 are connected in \mathcal{A} . Since A_1 and A_3 are all obtained by doing band-sum to D_1 , by Theorem4.4, there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A_3$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_3 . Similarly, since A_4 and A_2 are all obtained by doing band-sum to D_2 , by Theorem4.4, there exists a path $A_4 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_4 to A_2 . So there exists a path $A_1 - \cdots - A_3 - A_4 - \cdots - A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 . □

The following is our main theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let H_g be an orientable handlebody of genus $g \geq 3$. Then the annulus complex \mathcal{A} of H_g is connected.*

Proof. Choose any two essential annuli A_1 and A_2 in \mathcal{A} . Let $A_1 = D_1 \cup (\alpha_1 \times [0, 1])$ and $A_2 = D_2 \cup (\alpha_2 \times [0, 1])$.

There are two cases to be considered.

Case 1: $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$.

If $D_1 = D_2 = D$, then by Theorem4.4, A_1 and A_2 are connected by a path in \mathcal{A} .

If D_1 and D_2 are different essential disks in H_g , then by Theorem4.5, A_1 and A_2 are connected by a path in \mathcal{A} .

Case 2: $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$.

If $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$, then by the connectivity of the disk complex \mathcal{D} , there exists a path $D_1 = E_1 - \cdots - E_j - \cdots - E_n = D_2$ in \mathcal{D} from D_1 to D_2 . For each $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$, since E_j is an essential disk in H_g , there exists an essential arc, say β_j , associated with E_j in ∂H_g . Now for each $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$, $A'_j = E_j \cup (\beta_j \times [0, 1])$ is an essential annulus in H_g . Let $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$, $\beta_n = \alpha_2$, $A'_1 = A_1$ and $A'_n = A_2$. Thus, for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, the essential annuli A'_j and A'_{j+1} are obtained by doing band-sum to disjoint essential disks E_j and E_{j+1} along arcs β_i and β_{i+1} , respectively. By Theorem4.5, A'_j and A'_{j+1} are connected by a path $A'_j - \cdots - A'_{j+1}$ in \mathcal{A} . Thus, there exists a path $A_1 = A'_1 - \cdots - A'_2 - \cdots - A'_j - \cdots - A'_{n-1} - \cdots - A'_n = A_2$ in \mathcal{A} from A_1 to A_2 . So A_1 and A_2 are connected in \mathcal{A} .

□

References

- [1] W. Harvey. Geometric structure of surface mapping class groups. in *Homological Group Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979), 255-269.
- [2] D. McCullough. Virtually geometrically finite mapping class groups of 3-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 1991, **33(1)**: 1-65.
- [3] S. Cho, Y. Koda, A. Seo. Arc complexes, sphere complexes, and Goeritz groups. *Michigan Math. J.*, 2016, **65**: 333-351.
- [4] J. Johnson. Heegaard splittings and the pants complex. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2006, **6**: 853-874.
- [5] B. Wajnryb. Mapping class group of a handlebody. *Fund. Math.*, 1998, **158(3)**: 195-228.
- [6] D.Q. Sun. Connectedness of nonseparating disk pants graph of a handlebody. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2018, **27(13)**: 1842006.
- [7] B. Farb, N.V. Ivanov. The Torelli geometry and its applications: research announcement. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 2005, **12(2-3)**: 293-301.
- [8] J.L. Harer. The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface. *Invent. Math.*, 1986, **84(1)**: 157-176.
- [9] A. Hatcher, W. Thurston. A presentation for the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface. *Topology*, 1980, **19(3)**: 221-237.
- [10] A. Hatcher. On triangulations of surfaces. *Topology Appl.*, 1991, **40(2)**: 189-194.
- [11] N.V. Ivanov. Complexes of curves and Teichmüller modular groups. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 1987, **42(3)**: 49-91, 255.

- [12] H. Masur, S. Schleimer. The pants complex has only one end. in *Spaces of Kleinian Groups* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006), pp. 209-218.
- [13] R.C. Penner. The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1987, **113(2)**: 299-339.
- [14] A. Putman. A note on the connectivity of certain complexes associated to surfaces. *Enseign. Math.*, 2008, **54(3-4)**: 287-301.
- [15] Q.L. Guo, Q. Liu. Connectivity of certain simplicial complexes associated to a handlebody. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2016, **25(11)**: 1650064.
- [16] J. Hempel. 3-Manifold. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Vol. 86 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1975)
- [17] W. Jaco. Lectures on three-manifold topology. *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, Vol. 43 (American Mathematical Society, 1981).
- [18] H. Rubinstein, M. Scharlemann. Genus two Heegaard splittings of orientable three-manifolds. in Proceedings of the Kirbyfest, *Geometry and Topology Monographs*, 1999, **2**: 489-553.
- [19] F.C. Lei, J.Y. Tang. On maximal collections of essential annuli in a handlebody. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2006, **15(10)**: 1363-1369.
- [20] X.B. Yin, J.Y. Tang, F.C. Lei. On maximal collections of essential annuli in a handlebody II. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 2009, **18(2)**: 199-208.