

QUANTUM BEREZINIAN FOR QUANTUM AFFINE SUPERALGEBRA $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$

NAIHUAN JING, ZHENG LI, AND JIAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the quantum Berezinian for the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ and show that the coefficients of the quantum Berezinian belong to the center of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$. We also construct another family of central elements which can be expressed in the quantum Berezinian by a Liouville-type theorem. Moreover, we prove analogues of the Jacobi identities, the Schur complementary theorem, the Sylvester theorem and the MacMahon Master theorem for the generator matrices of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N}$ be the general linear Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{C} , there is a natural homomorphism from the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ to the enveloping superalgebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$. Nazarov [21] showed that the coefficients of the Berezinian of the matrix $(t_{ij}(z + (m - n - j)))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \oplus (t_{ij}^*(z - (m + n - j + 1)))_{m+1 \leq i, j \leq m+n}$ belong to the center of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$, therefore naturally project to the center of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$. This gives rise to the Capelli identity in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ and $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ [21, 20, 22]. Gow [6] showed that the center of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ is generated by the coefficients of the Berezinian. Further properties of the Berezinian and $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$ were investigated in [24, 16, 25, 2]. Nazarov also constructed another family of central elements by deriving the Liouville-type formulas, and this family is also related to the Berezinian. Recently Bagnoli and Kozic also constructed central elements via the Berezinian for the double Yangian $DY(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ [1].

The quantum superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ [5, 3, 26] is a deformation of the enveloping algebra $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ of the affine Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N}$, its specialization at the trivial center is also called the quantum loop superalgebra [27, 12]. The associative algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ is generated by the quantum root vectors $l_{ij}^{\pm(r)}$ subject to the relations (2.18), (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21). The generators $l_{ij}^{\pm(r)}$ can be also defined as the coefficients of the generator series $l_{ij}^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^{\pm(r)} z^{\mp r}$ subject the RLL relations governed by the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (2.10). Quantum affine superalgebras have found applications in physical models and also appeared in the AdS/CFT correspondence [13]. On the other hand, the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ [20, 8, 14] and double Yangian $DY(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ [28, 1] can be viewed as some limits of the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$, therefore one may expect that important structures may be lifted to the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$.

MSC (2010): Primary: 17B37; Secondary: 81R50.

Keywords: Quantum affine superalgebras, center, Berezinian, Liouville-type theorem, minor identities.

In this paper, we will construct two families of central elements for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ which are quantum analog of the central elements for the super Yangian given by Nazarov. Our first result is the following description of the quantum Berezinian:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.1) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_M} (-q)^{-l(\sigma)} L^\pm(z)_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})_{\sigma(M),M} \\
&\times \sum_{\tau \in S_N} (-q)^{-l(\tau)} \left(L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1} \right)_{M+1,M+\tau(1)} \cdots \left(L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1} \right)_{M+N,M+\tau(N)} \\
&= b_0^\pm + b_1^\pm z + b_2^\pm z^2 + \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

Then we show that the coefficients $b_i^\pm (i \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ of the quantum Berezinian belong to the center of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$.

We also show that the Liouville theorem holds in the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ which provides another family of central elements. Let D be the following diagonal element

$$(1.2) \quad D = \text{diag}[q^2, q^4, \dots, q^{2M}, q^{2M}, \dots, q^{2M-2N+2}].$$

Proposition 1.1. *There exist a series $\mathfrak{z}^+(z)$ in z and a series $\mathfrak{z}^-(z)$ in z^{-1} with coefficients in the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ such that*

$$(1.3) \quad L^\pm(zq^{2N-2M})^{st} D (L^\pm(z)^{-1})^{st} = \mathfrak{z}^\pm(z) D$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad (L^\pm(z)^{-1})^{st} D^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{2N-2M})^{st} = \mathfrak{z}^\pm(z) D^{-1}.$$

Moreover, the coefficients of the series $\mathfrak{z}^\pm(u)$ belong to the center of the algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$.

In fact, the two families of central elements are related with the help of the Berezinian as we have the following Liouville-type theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *In $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$, one has that*

$$B_q(L^\pm(zq^{-2})) = \mathfrak{z}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N-2}) B_q(L^\pm(z)).$$

The generators $l_{ij}^\pm(r)$ of the algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ can be bigraded according to how far they are from the diagonal and the degree r . Then the Harish-Chandra homomorphism can be defined as the projection

$$(1.5) \quad HS : U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N}) \longrightarrow U_0,$$

where U_0 is the subspace spanned by those monomials containing no generators $l_{ij}^\pm(r)$ with $i \neq j$. Then the image of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism of the Berezinian is given explicitly as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.6) \quad HS(B_q(L(z))) &= l_{11}^\pm(z) l_{22}^\pm(zq^2) \cdots l_{MM}^\pm(zq^{2M-2}) \\
&\cdot l_{M+1,M+1}^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1} \cdots l_{M+N,M+N}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Like quantum determinants [11], we also find that the quantum Berezinian satisfies various minor identities such as Jacobi's theorem (Theorem. 4.2), Schur complement theorem (Theorem. 4.4) and Sylvester's theorem (Theorem. 4.7) etc. However, there are subtleties in the super case, since these identities cannot be proved using similar methods as in quantum determinants. Instead we show these identities using the techniques of quasideterminants, so we also give decompositions of the quantum Berezinian into mutually commutative quasideterminants in Theorem 4.1.

Finally we derive analogue of the MacMahon Master theorem [19] for the quantum Berezinian.

Theorem 1.3. *We have the identity*

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \text{str} \mathcal{S}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) = 0,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \text{str} \mathcal{A}_r^q \mathcal{S}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q$ denote the antisymmetrizer and symmetrizer over the copies of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{M|N})$ labeled by $r+1, \dots, k$.

We remark that many results in quantum affine superalgebras can be compared with those for the Yangians [17, 18, 19] and quantum affine algebras [10, 15].

2. QUANTUM AFFINE SUPERALGEBRA $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$

In this section, we present the RTT realization of the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$. For any $1 \leq i \leq M+N$, the parity of i is defined as

$$\bar{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \leq M \\ 1 & \text{if } i > M, \end{cases}$$

Let $q_i = q^{1-2\bar{i}}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, M+N\}$, E_{ij} be the unit matrix in $\text{End} \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$, the R -matrix $R(z, w) \in (\text{End} \mathbb{C}^{M|N} \otimes \text{End} \mathbb{C}^{M|N})[z, w]$ is defined as

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} R(z, w) = & \sum_{i \in I} (zq_i - wq_i^{-1}) E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + (z - w) \sum_{i \neq j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} \\ & + z \sum_{i < j} (q_j - q_j^{-1}) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + w \sum_{i > j} (q_j - q_j^{-1}) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies the following *quantum Yang-Baxter equation*

$$(2.10) \quad R_{12}(z_1, z_2) R_{13}(z_1, z_3) R_{23}(z_2, z_3) = R_{23}(z_2, z_3) R_{13}(z_1, z_3) R_{12}(z_1, z_2).$$

Introduce the graded permutation operator P on the tensor product $V \otimes V$ such that

$$P = \sum_{i, j=1}^{M+N} (-1)^{\bar{j}} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}.$$

Let $R = R(1, 0)$, $R' = PR^{-1}P$. Then $R(z, w) = zR - wR'$ and $R - R' = (q - q^{-1})P$. We also need the R matrix:

$$(2.11) \quad \overline{R}(z/w) = \frac{R(z, w)}{zq - wq^{-1}}.$$

Denote $\overline{R}_{21}(z) = P_{12}\overline{R}_{12}(z)P_{12}$, then

$$(2.12) \quad \overline{R}_{12}\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)\overline{R}_{21}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) = 1, \quad \overline{R}_{21}\left(\frac{w}{z}\right) = \overline{R}_{q^{-1}}\left(\frac{z}{w}\right).$$

For a matrix $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{M+N} a_{ij}E_{ij}$, the supertranspose is defined by

$$A^{st} = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{\bar{i}(\bar{i}+\bar{j})} a_{ji}E_{ij},$$

and the supertrace str by

$$str(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{M+N} (-1)^{\bar{i}} a_{ii}$$

For any $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ we will denote by st_a the corresponding partial transposition on the algebra $(\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{M|N})^{\otimes k}$ which acts as st on the a -th copy of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{M|N}$ and as the identity map on all the other tensor factors.

We introduce the following normalized R -matrix

$$(2.13) \quad R(x) = f(x)\overline{R}(x),$$

where

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k x^k, \quad f_k = f_k(q),$$

is a formal power series in x uniquely determined by the relation

$$f(xq^{2N-2M}) = f(x) \frac{(1 - xq^{-2})(1 - xq^{2N-2M+2})}{(1 - x)(1 - xq^{2N-2M})}.$$

The R -matrix (2.13) satisfies the *crossing symmetry relations* [23]:

$$(2.14) \quad (R_{12}(x)^{-1})^{st_2} D_2 R_{12}(xq^{2N-2M})^{st_2} = D_2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{12}(xq^{2N-2M})^{st_1} D_1 (R_{12}(x)^{-1})^{st_1} = D_1,$$

where D denotes the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix

$$(2.15) \quad D = \text{diag}[q^2, q^4, \dots, q^{2M}, q^{2M}, \dots, q^{2M-2N+2}].$$

Following [23] we introduce the quantum affine superalgebra in the R -matrix format.

Definition 2.1. The quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ is the superalgebra generated by $l_{ij}^{\pm(r)}$ where $1 \leq i, j \leq M + N$ and r runs over nonnegative integers. Let $L^{\pm}(u) = (l_{ij}^{\pm}(u))$ be the matrix

$$(2.16) \quad L^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{M+N} l_{ij}^{\pm}(u) \otimes E_{ij},$$

where $l_{ij}^\pm(u)$ are formal series in $u^{\mp 1}$ respectively:

$$(2.17) \quad l_{ij}^\pm(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^{\pm(r)} u^{\mp r}.$$

The defining relations are

$$(2.18) \quad l_{ji}^{+(0)} = l_{ij}^{-(0)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq M + N,$$

$$(2.19) \quad l_{ii}^{-(0)} l_{ii}^{+(0)} = l_{ii}^{+(0)} l_{ii}^{-(0)} = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M + N,$$

$$(2.20) \quad R(z/w) L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(w) = L_2^\pm(w) L_1^\pm(z) R(z/w),$$

$$(2.21) \quad R(zq^c/w) L_1^+(z) L_2^-(w) = L_2^-(w) L_1^+(z) R(zq^{-c}/w).$$

The q -Yangian $Y_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ generated by the $(l_{ij}^{+(0)})^{-1}, l_{ij}^{+(r)}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq M + N$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The q -Yangian $Y_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined similarly.

Note that when $N = 0$ the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|0})$ descends to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_M)$ studied by Ding and Frenkel [4].

Lemma 2.2. *The following mapping $\omega_{M|N}$ defines an anti-isomorphism of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$*

$$(2.22) \quad \omega_{M|N}(L^\pm(z)) = L^\pm(z)^{-1}.$$

Proof. The equation (2.20) is equivalent to

$$(2.23) \quad R(z/w) L_2^{-1}(w) L_1^{-1}(z) = L_1^{-1}(z) L_2^{-1}(w) R(z/w).$$

Not that $\omega_{M|N}$ is an involution. This completes the proof. \square

By relation (2.12), multiplying both sides of (2.23) by the inverse of $R(z/w)$ from the left and right we get that

$$(2.24) \quad R_{q^{-1}}(z/w) L_1^{-1}(z) L_2^{-1}(w) = L_2^{-1}(w) L_1^{-1}(z) R_{q^{-1}}(z/w).$$

Denote the generator matrix of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{N|M})$ by $\bar{L}^\pm(z)$. We have the following isomorphism.

Lemma 2.3. *The mapping $\rho_{M|N} : Y_q^\pm(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N}) \rightarrow Y_q^\mp(\mathfrak{gl}_{N|M})$ defined by*

$$(2.25) \quad \rho_{M|N}(l_{ij}^\pm(z)) = \bar{l}_{M+N+1-i, M+N+1-j}^\mp(1/z)$$

is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. The defining relations (2.20) can be written in terms of $l_{ij}^\pm(u)$ as

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \delta_{a=c}(zq_a - wq_a^{-1}) l_{ab}^\pm(z) l_{cd}^\pm(w) (-1)^{\bar{a}(\bar{c}+\bar{d})} + \delta_{a \neq c}(z-w) l_{ab}^\pm(z) l_{cd}^\pm(w) (-1)^{\bar{a}(\bar{c}+\bar{d})} \\ & + \delta_{a > c} w(q - q^{-1}) l_{cb}^\pm(z) l_{ad}^\pm(w) (-1)^{\bar{c}\bar{d}} + \delta_{a < c} z(q - q^{-1}) l_{cb}^\pm(z) l_{ad}^\pm(w) (-1)^{\bar{c}\bar{d}} \\ & = \delta_{b=d}(zq_b - wq_b^{-1}) l_{cd}^\pm(w) l_{ab}^\pm(z) (-1)^{\bar{b}(\bar{c}+\bar{d})} + \delta_{b \neq d}(z-w) l_{cd}^\pm(w) l_{ab}^\pm(z) (-1)^{\bar{b}(\bar{c}+\bar{d})} \\ & + \delta_{d > b} w(q - q^{-1}) l_{cb}^\pm(w) l_{ad}^\pm(z) (-1)^{\bar{c}\bar{d}} + \delta_{d < b} z(q - q^{-1}) l_{cb}^\pm(w) l_{ad}^\pm(z) (-1)^{\bar{c}\bar{d}} \end{aligned}$$

From the RLL relation in terms of generators, we see that $\rho_{M|N}$ obeys the relation. It is obviously that $\rho_{M|N}$ is bijective. \square

We will simply denote $\omega_{M|N}$ and $\rho_{M|N}$ by ω and ρ respectively if there is no confusion in the context.

3. THE CENTER OF $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$

In the super Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$, Nazarov constructed two families of central elements [21]. The first family was the coefficients of the Berezinian, and the second was related to the Berezinian by the Liouville-type formulas. In this section, we will construct two families of central elements for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ which are quantum analog of the central elements for the super Yangian given by Nazarov.

The Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_m is generated by the elements T_1, \dots, T_{m-1} subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) &= 0, \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \\ T_i T_j &= T_j T_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ we let $\sigma_i = (i, i+1)$ be the adjacent transposition in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_m . Choose a reduced decomposition $\sigma = \sigma_{i_1} \dots \sigma_{i_l}$ of any element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and set $T_\sigma = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_l}$. This element does not depend on the choice of reduced decomposition of σ .

Setting $\hat{R} = PR$, we get

$$\check{R}_k \check{R}_{k+1} \check{R}_k = \check{R}_{k+1} \check{R}_k \check{R}_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad (\check{R}_k - q)(\check{R}_k + q^{-1}) = 0,$$

where $\check{R}_k = P_{k,k+1} R_{k,k+1}$. Then we obtain a representation of the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_m on the tensor product space $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$ defined by [7]

$$(3.27) \quad T_k \mapsto \check{R}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

The q -symmetrizer \mathcal{S}_m and q -antisymmetrizer \mathcal{A}_m of the Hecke algebra are defined as

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{S}_m = \frac{q^{-m(m-1)/2}}{[m]_q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} q^{l(\sigma)} T_\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_m = \frac{q^{m(m-1)/2}}{[m]_q!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} (-q)^{-l(\sigma)} T_\sigma,$$

respectively. Here, $[m]_q! = [1]_q \dots [m]_q$ and $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$.

Let $\hat{R}(z/w) = PR(z/w)$. Then

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 &= \frac{1}{q^2 - q^{-2}} \hat{R}(q^2), & \mathcal{S}_{m+1} &= \frac{1}{q^{m+1} - q^{-m-1}} \mathcal{S}_m \hat{R}_{m,m+1}(q^{2m}) \mathcal{S}_m, \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= \frac{1}{q^2 - q^{-2}} \hat{R}(q^{-2}), & \mathcal{A}_{m+1} &= \frac{1}{q^{m+1} - q^{-m-1}} \mathcal{A}_m \hat{R}_{m,m+1}(q^{-2m}) \mathcal{A}_m, \end{aligned}$$

Consider the tensor product $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N}) \otimes (\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{M|N})^{\otimes m}$, we have the following relation: the RLL relation and the Yang-Baxter equation imply that

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}_m^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \dots L_m^\pm(zq^{2m-2}) \\ &= L_1^\pm(zq^{2m-2}) L_2^\pm(zq^{2m-4}) \dots L_m^\pm(z) \mathcal{A}_m^q. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{O} the projector onto the even and the odd subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{M|N}$, respectively. \mathcal{E}_a and \mathcal{O}_a mean that the projectors act on the a -th factor of the tensor product. The quantum Berezinian of $L^\pm(z)$ is defined as

$$B_q(L^\pm(z)) = \text{str}_{1,\dots,M+N} \mathcal{E}_1 \cdots \mathcal{E}_M \mathcal{O}_{M+1} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{M+N} \mathcal{A}_M^q L_1^\pm(z) \cdots L_M^\pm(zq^{2M-2}) \\ \times L_{M+1}^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1} \cdots L_{M+N}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1} (\mathcal{S}_N^{q^{-1}})_{\{M+1,\dots,M+N\}},$$

where $(\mathcal{S}_N^{q^{-1}})_{\{M+1,\dots,M+N\}}$ is the N -th q^{-1} -symmetrizer acting on the $M+1, \dots, M+N$ -th factors. It can be verified that

$$(3.31) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_M} (-q)^{-l(\sigma)} L^\pm(z)_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})_{\sigma(M),M} \\ \times \sum_{\tau \in S_N} (-q)^{-l(\tau)} \left(L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1} \right)_{M+1,M+\tau(1)} \cdots \left(L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1} \right)_{M+N,M+\tau(N)}$$

In the following we will give a family of central elements in $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ and show that they are related to quantum Berezinian.

Proposition 3.1. *There exist a series $\mathfrak{z}^+(z)$ in z and a series $\mathfrak{z}^-(z)$ in z^{-1} with coefficients in the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ such that*

$$(3.32) \quad L^\pm(zq^{2N-2M})^{st} D(L^\pm(z)^{-1})^{st} = \mathfrak{z}^\pm(z) D$$

and

$$(3.33) \quad (L^\pm(z)^{-1})^{st} D^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{2N-2M})^{st} = \mathfrak{z}^\pm(z) D^{-1}.$$

Moreover, the coefficients of the series $\mathfrak{z}^\pm(u)$ belong to the center of the algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$.

Proof. Multiply both sides of the relation of (2.20) by $L_2^\pm(w)^{-1}$ from the left and the right and apply the transposition st_2 to get

$$R(z/w)^{st_2} \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1} \right)^{st} L_1^\pm(z) = L_1^\pm(z) \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1} \right)^{st} R(z/w)^{st_2}$$

and hence

$$(3.34) \quad \left(R(z/w)^{st_2} \right)^{-1} L_1^\pm(z) \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1} \right)^{st} = \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1} \right)^{st} L_1^\pm(z) \left(R(z/w)^{st_2} \right)^{-1}.$$

Using the crossing symmetry relation (2.14) to replace the R -matrix by

$$\left(R(z/w)^{st_2} \right)^{-1} = D_2^{-1} \left(R(q^{2M-2N} z/w)^{-1} \right)^{st_2} D_2$$

we get that

$$\left(R(q^{2M-2N} z/w)^{-1} \right)^{st_2} D_2 L_1^\pm(z) \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1} \right)^{st} D_2^{-1} \\ = D_2 \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1} \right)^{st} L_1^\pm(z) D_2^{-1} \left(R(q^{2M-2N} z/w)^{-1} \right)^{st_2}.$$

Observe that the R -matrix $R - R'$ equals $(q - q^{-1})P$, where P is the permutation operator. Therefore,

$$\left((R - R')^{-1}\right)^{st_2} = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} Q \quad \text{with} \quad Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{M+N} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ij} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j} + \bar{i} + \bar{j}}.$$

Hence, by taking $z = wq^{2N-2M}$ we get

$$(3.35) \quad QD_2L_1^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})\left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}D_2^{-1} = D_2\left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}L_1^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})D_2^{-1}Q.$$

Since Q is an operator in $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{M+N} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{M+N}$ with a one-dimensional image, both sides must be equal to $Q\mathfrak{z}^\pm(w)$ for some series $\mathfrak{z}^\pm(w)$ with coefficients in the quantum affine superalgebra. Using the relations $QX_1 = QX_2^{st}$ and $X_1Q = X_2^{st}Q$ which hold for an arbitrary matrix X , we can write the equation of $\mathfrak{z}^\pm(w)$ as

$$(3.36) \quad QD_2^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})^{st}D_2\left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1}\right)^{st} = QD_2\mathfrak{z}^\pm(w)$$

and

$$(3.37) \quad \left(L_2^\pm(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}D_2^{-1}L_2^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})^{st}Q = D_2^{-1}Q\mathfrak{z}^\pm(w).$$

By taking supertrace over the first copy of $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^n$ on both sides of (3.36) and (3.37) we arrive at (3.32) and (3.33), respectively.

We will now use (3.33) to show that the series $\mathfrak{z}^-(w)$ commutes with $L^+(z)$. We have

$$(3.38) \quad L_1^+(z)\mathfrak{z}^-(w) = L_1^+(z)D_2\left(L_2^-(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}D_2^{-1}L_2^-(wq^{2N-2M})^{st}.$$

Transform the relation (2.21) in the same way as we did for the relation (2.20) in the beginning of the proof to get the following counterpart of (3.34):

$$\left(R(zq^c/w)^{st_2}\right)^{-1}L_1^+(z)\left(L_2^-(w)^{-1}\right)^{st} = \left(L_2^-(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}L_1^+(z)\left(R(zq^{-c}/w)^{st_2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Hence, the right hand side of (3.38) equals

$$(3.39) \quad D_2R_{12}(zq^c/w)^{st_2}\left(L_2^-(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}L_1^+(z)\left(R_{12}(zq^{-c}/w)^{st_2}\right)^{-1}D_2^{-1}L_2^-(wq^{2N-2M})^{st}.$$

Applying again the crossing symmetry (2.14), we can write

$$\left(R_{12}(zq^{-c}/w)^{st_2}\right)^{-1}D_2^{-1} = D_2^{-1}\left(R_{12}(zq^{-c}/wq^{2N-2M})^{-1}\right)^{st_2}.$$

Continue transforming (3.39) by using the following consequence of (2.21):

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & L_1^+(z)\left(R_{12}(zq^{-c}/wq^{2N-2M})^{-1}\right)^{st_2}L_2^-(wq^{2N-2M})^{st} \\ & = L_2^-(wq^{2N-2M})^{st}\left(R_{12}(zq^c/wq^{2N-2M})^{-1}\right)^{st_2}L_1^+(z), \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.39) becomes

$$D_2R_{12}(zq^c/w)^{st_2}\left(L_2^-(w)^{-1}\right)^{st}D_2^{-1}L_2^-(wq^{2N-2M})^{st}\left(R_{12}(zq^c/wq^{2N-2M})^{-1}\right)^{st_2}L_1^+(z).$$

By (3.33) this simplifies to

$$D_2R_{12}(zq^c/w)^{st_2}D_2^{-1}\left(R_{12}(zq^c/wq^{2N-2M})^{-1}\right)^{t_2}z^-(v)L_1^+(z)$$

which equals $z^-(w)L_1^+(z)$ by (2.14). This proves that $L_1^+(z)z^-(w) = z^-(w)L_1^+(z)$. The commutation relation $L_1^-(z)z^-(w) = z^-(w)L_1^-(z)$ and the centrality of $z^+(w)$ are verified in the same way. \square

Apply the relation (3.35) to $e_j \otimes e_j$ and compare the coefficients of $e_j \otimes e_j$ to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}^\pm(w) &= \sum_{i=1}^M l_{ij}^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})l_{ji}^{\pm'}(w)q^{2i-2} - \sum_{i=M+1}^{M+N} l_{ij}^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})l_{ji}^{\pm'}(w)q^{4M-2i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M l_{ij}^{\pm'}(w)l_{ji}^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})q^{2-2i} + \sum_{i=M+1}^{M+N} l_{ij}^{\pm'}(w)l_{ji}^\pm(wq^{2N-2M})q^{2-4M}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. *If $M \neq N$, we have the formulas*

$$(3.41) \quad \mathfrak{z}^\pm(z) = \frac{1}{q^{M+1}[M]_q - q^{2M-N+1}[N]_q} \operatorname{tr} DL^\pm(zq^{2N-2M})L^\pm(z)^{-1}$$

and

$$(3.42) \quad \mathfrak{z}^\pm(z) = \frac{1}{q^{-(M+1)}[M]_q - q^{-2M+N-1}[N]_q} \operatorname{tr} D^{-1}L^\pm(z)^{-1}L^\pm(zq^{2N-2M}).$$

Proof. Using the relation

$$(3.43) \quad \operatorname{str}(XY) = \operatorname{str}(X^{st}Y^{st}),$$

the formulas follow by taking super trace on both sides of the respective matrix relations (3.32) and (3.33). \square

The center elements $\mathfrak{z}^\pm(z)$ are related to the quantum Berezinian by the following Liouville formula.

Theorem 3.3. *In $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$, one has that*

$$B_q(L^\pm(zq^{-2})) = \mathfrak{z}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N-2})B_q(L^\pm(z)).$$

Proof. We first prove that for $a, b \leq M$ and $c, d > M$, there is

$$(3.44) \quad l_{ab}^\pm(z) \left(L^\pm(w) \right)_{cd}^{-1} = \left(L^\pm(w) \right)_{cd}^{-1} l_{ab}^\pm(z).$$

As a matter of fact, by the relation (2.20), we get that

$$\left(L^\pm(w) \right)_2^{-1} R(z, w) L_1^\pm(z) = L_1^\pm(z) R(z, w) \left(L^\pm(w) \right)_2^{-1}.$$

Now let us apply both sides of the equation to $e_b \otimes e_d$ with $b \leq M$ and $d > M$. Then by comparing the coefficient of $e_a \otimes e_c$ with $a \leq M$ and $c > M$, we get the relation (3.44).

Recall that $\hat{R}(z/w) = PR(z/w)$. Let $L^\pm(z)^* = (L^\pm(z)^{-1})^{st}$. By equation (2.20), we have that

$$(3.45) \quad P(R(z/w)^{st_2})^{-1} L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(w)^* = L_1^\pm(w)^* L_2^\pm(z) P(R(z/w)^{st_2})^{-1}.$$

and

$$(3.46) \quad \hat{R}_{q^{-1}}(w/z) L_1^\pm(z)^* L_2^\pm(w)^* = L_1^\pm(w)^* L_2^\pm(z)^* \hat{R}_{q^{-1}}(w/z)$$

It follows from (2.20, 3.45, 3.46) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.47) \quad & \mathcal{R}L_1^\pm(zq^{-2})L_2^\pm(z) \cdots L_M^\pm(zq^{2M-4})L_{M+1}^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^*L_{M+2}^\pm(zq^{2M-2}) \\
& \times L_{M+3}^\pm(zq^{2M-4})^*L_{M+4}^\pm(zq^{2M-6})^* \cdots L_{M+N+2}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N-2})^* \\
& = L_1^\pm(z) \cdots L_{M-1}^\pm(zq^{2M-4})L_M^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^*L_{M+1}^\pm(zq^{-2})L_{M+2}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N-2})^* \\
& \times L_{M+3}^\pm(zq^{2M-2})L_{M+4}^\pm(zq^{2M-4})^* \cdots L_{M+N+2}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^*\mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} = & P_{M,M+1}(R_{M,M+1}(q^{-2M})^{st_{M+2}})^{-1}\hat{R}_{M-1,M}(q^{-2M})\hat{R}_{M-2,M-1}(q^{-2(M-1)}) \cdots \hat{R}_{1,2}(q^{-2}) \\
& \times P_{M+2,M+3}(R_{M+2,M+3}(q^{2N})^{st_{M+3}})^{-1}(\hat{R}_{q^{-1}})_{M+3,M+4}(q^{2-2N}) \cdots (\hat{R}_{q^{-1}})_{M+N+1,M+N+2}(q^{-2})
\end{aligned}$$

Multiply (3.47) by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_1 \cdots \mathcal{E}_M \mathcal{O}_{M+3} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{M+N+2} (\mathcal{A}_{M-1}^q)_{\{2, \dots, M\}} \\
& \times (\mathcal{S}_{N-1}^{q^{-1}})_{M+4, \dots, M+N+2} P_{M,M+3} Q_{M+1,M+2} D_{M+2}
\end{aligned}$$

on the left and by D_{M+2}^{-1} on the right, then apply the operations $str_1, \dots, str_M, str_{M+3}, \dots, str_{M+N+2}$. By (3.31), (3.35) and (3.44) we obtain

$$QD_2B_q(L^\pm(zq^{-2})) = QD_2\mathfrak{z}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N-2})B_q(L^\pm(z))$$

In fact, it is equivalent to apply the resultant to the vector

$$e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\sigma(M)} \otimes e_i \otimes e_i \otimes e_{M+\tau(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{M+\tau(N)},$$

and then compare the coefficient of

$$e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\sigma(M)} \otimes e_j \otimes e_j \otimes e_{M+\tau(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{M+\tau(N)},$$

with σ and τ run over S_M and S_N , respectively and $1 \leq i, j \leq M + N$. On the left, the operator $Q_{M+1,M+2}$ makes the composition of the $(M + 1)$ -th term and $(M + 2)$ -th term to be the identity and the residue is $B_q(L^\pm(zq^{-2}))$. While on the right, due to (3.35), the composition of the $(M + 1)$ -th term and $(M + 2)$ -th term is $\mathfrak{z}^\pm(zq^{2M-2N-2})$ and the residue part is $B_q(L^\pm(z))$ by (3.44). \square

Corollary 3.4. *The coefficients of the quantum Berezinian belong to the center of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$.*

Proof. The quantum Berezinian can be written in a formal power series

$$(3.48) \quad B_q(L^\pm(u)) = b_0^\pm + b_1^\pm u^{\pm 1} + b_2^\pm u^{\pm 2} + \cdots .$$

By Theorem 3.3, it is sufficient to show that b_0^\pm are central elements. It's easy to see that

$$(3.49) \quad b_0^\pm = l_{11}^{\pm(0)} l_{22}^{\pm(0)} \cdots l_{MM}^{\pm(0)} (l_{M+1,M+1}^{\pm(0)})^{-1} \cdots (l_{M+N,M+N}^{\pm(0)})^{-1}.$$

Comparing coefficients of w in the relation (2.26), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.50) \quad & l_{ii}^+(z)l_{kk}^{+(0)} = l_{kk}^{+(0)}l_{ii}^+(z), & 1 \leq i, k \leq M + N, \\
 & l_{ij}^+(z)l_{kk}^{+(0)} = l_{kk}^{+(0)}l_{ij}^+(z), & k \neq i, j, \\
 & l_{ij}^+(z)l_{ii}^{+(0)}l_{jj}^{+(0)} = l_{ii}^{+(0)}l_{jj}^{+(0)}l_{ij}^+(z), & \bar{i} = \bar{j}, \\
 & l_{ij}^+(z)l_{ii}^{+(0)}(l_{jj}^{+(0)})^{-1} = l_{ii}^{+(0)}(l_{jj}^{+(0)})^{-1}l_{ij}^+(z), & \bar{i} \neq \bar{j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, b_0^+ is central. Using the same arguments we can show that b_0^- is central. \square

To define analogues of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism, we give a total ordering on the generators of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$. The order is $l_{ij}^{\pm(r)} \prec l_{km}^{\pm(s)}$ if and only if the triple $(j - i, i, r)$ precedes $(m - k, k, s)$ in the lexicographical order. Note that by the RLL relation,

$$l_{ij}^{\pm(r)}l_{ij}^{\pm(s)} = l_{ij}^{\pm(s)}l_{ij}^{\pm(r)}$$

for all r and s . Hence, the ordering \prec induces a well-defined total ordering on the series $l_{ij}(z)$, i.e. $l_{ij}(z) \prec l_{kl}(z)$ if and only if $(j - i, i)$ precedes $(m - k, k)$ in the lexicographical order.

Denote by U_0 the subspace of the superalgebra spanned by those monomials which do not contain any generators $l_{ij}^{\pm(r)}$ with $i \neq j$. Define the Harish-Chandra homomorphism θ to be the projection from $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$ to U_0 .

Proposition 3.5. *The Harish-Chandra image of the quantum Berezinian is*

$$l_{11}^{\pm}(z)l_{22}^{\pm}(zq^2) \cdots l_{MM}^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2})l_{M+1,M+1}^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2})^{-1} \cdots l_{M+N,M+N}^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1}.$$

Proof. According to (3.31), it is clear that only when $\sigma = \tau = 1$, the summand belongs to U_0 . Moreover, only the term $l_{M+i,M+i}^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2i})^{-1}$ in $(L^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2i}))_{M+i,M+i}^{-1}$ belongs to U_0 . Thus we get the result. \square

4. MINOR IDENTITIES FOR BEREZINIAN

Let A be any square matrix of size $M + N$. For any $i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_k \in [1, M + N]$, we denote by $A_{j_1, \dots, j_k}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ the matrix whose ab -th entry is A_{i_a, j_b} . For any subsets $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\}$, we denote by A_I the submatrix of A with rows and columns indexed by I . In particular, we denote by $A^{(k)}$ (resp. $A_{(k)}$) the principal submatrix of A of the form $(A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ (resp. $(A_{ij})_{M+N-k+1 \leq i, j \leq M+N}$). For a matrix A with possible non-commutative entries, the quasideterminants $|A|_{i,j}$ is defined to be $((A^{-1})_{j,i})^{-1}$ (see [9]).

Theorem 4.1. *We have the following decomposition of $B_q(L^{\pm}(z))$ in $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{M|N})$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.51) \quad B_q(L^{\pm}(z)) &= \left| L^{\pm}(z)^{(1)} \right|_{11} \cdots \left| L^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2})^{(M)} \right|_{MM} \\
 &\quad \times \left| L^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2})^{(M+1)} \right|_{M+1, M+1}^{-1} \cdots \left| L^{\pm}(zq^{2M-2N})^{(M+N)} \right|_{M+N, M+N}^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the factors in the decomposition are mutually commutative.

Proof. We first note that in the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_M)$,

$$(4.52) \quad \begin{aligned} \det_q(L^\pm(z)^{(M)}) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_M} (-q)^{-l(\sigma)} L^\pm(z)_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})_{\sigma(M),M} \\ &= \left| L^\pm(z)^{(1)} \right|_{11} \cdots \left| L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{(M)} \right|_{MM} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the first factors of (3.31) and (4.51) coincide.

Let $\bar{L}^\pm(z)$ be the generator matrix of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{N|M})$, then $\bar{L}^\pm(z)^{-1}$ satisfies the q^{-1} -RLL relation and the quantum determinant $\det_{q^{-1}} \left((\bar{L}^\pm(q^{2N-2M}/z))^{-1} \right)^{(N)}$ can be expressed as

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{\tau \in S_N} (-q)^{-l(\tau)} (\bar{L}^\pm(q^{2-2M}/z)^{-1})_{N,\tau(N)} \cdots (\bar{L}^\pm(q^{2N-2M}/z)^{-1})_{1,\tau(1)} \\ &= \left| (\bar{L}^\pm(q^{2N-2M}/z)^{-1})^{(1)} \right|_{11} \cdots \left| (\bar{L}^\pm(q^{2-2M}/z)^{-1})^{(N)} \right|_{N,N}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply $\rho_{N|M}$ to Equation (4.53) to get that

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{\tau \in S_k} (-q)^{-l(\tau)} (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1})_{M+1,M+\tau(1)} \cdots (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1})_{M+N,M+\tau(N)} \\ &= \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1})_{\{M+1,\dots,M+N\}} \right|_{M+1,M+1} \cdots \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1})_{\{M+N\}} \right|_{M+N,M+N}. \end{aligned}$$

By Jacobi's ratio theorem for quasideterminant [11],

$$(4.55) \quad \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2k})^{-1})_{\{M+k,\dots,M+N\}} \right|_{M+k,M+k} = \left| L^\pm(zq^{2M-2k})^{(M+k)} \right|_{M+k,M+k}^{-1}.$$

Then equation (4.51) follows.

The factors in the decomposition are mutually commutative since the coefficients of Berezinian belong to the center. \square

For any $k \times k$ matrix, we denote by $\pi(A)$ the matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is $A_{k+1-i, k+1-j}$. The following theorem is an analog of Jacobi's ratio theorem for the quantum Berezinian.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $I = (i_1 < \cdots < i_k)$ be a subset of $[1, M+N]$ and $I^c = \{i_{k+1} < \cdots < i_{M+N}\}$ be its complement in $[1, M+N]$. If $I \subseteq [1, M]$ or $[1, M] \subseteq I$, then*

$$(4.56) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = B_q(L^\pm(z)_I) B_{q^{-1}} \left(\pi((L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1})_{I^c}) \right).$$

Proof. We first prove the theorem in the case $I = (i_1 < \cdots < i_k) \subseteq [1, M]$. According to Theorem 4.1,

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{aligned} B_q(L^\pm(z)) &= \left| L^\pm(z)_{i_1}^{i_1} \right|_{i_1 i_1} \left| L^\pm(zq^2)_{i_1 i_2}^{i_1 i_2} \right|_{i_2 i_2} \cdots \left| L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})_{i_1, \dots, i_M}^{i_1, \dots, i_M} \right|_{i_M i_M} \\ &\quad \times \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2}))^{(M+1)} \right|_{M+1 M+1}^{-1} \cdots \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N}))^{(M+N)} \right|_{M+N M+N}^{-1} \\ &= B_q(L^\pm(z)_I) \left| L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}} \right|_{i_{k+1}, i_{k+1}} \cdots \left| L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})_{i_1, \dots, i_M}^{i_1, \dots, i_M} \right|_{i_M i_M} \\ &\quad \times \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2}))^{(M+1)} \right|_{M+1 M+1}^{-1} \cdots \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N}))^{(M+N)} \right|_{M+N M+N}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Jacobi's ratio theorem for quasideterminant that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}}^{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}} \right|_{i_{k+1}, i_{k+1}} \cdots \left| L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})_{i_1, \dots, i_M}^{i_1, \dots, i_M} \right|_{i_M i_M} \\
 & \times \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2}))^{(M+1)} \right|_{M+1 M+1}^{-1} \cdots \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N}))^{(M+N)} \right|_{M+N M+N}^{-1} \\
 (4.58) \quad & = \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2k})^{-1})_{i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{M+N}}^{i_{k+1}, \dots, i_{M+N}} \right|_{i_{k+1} i_{k+1}}^{-1} \cdots \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1})_{i_M, \dots, i_{M+N}}^{i_M, \dots, i_{M+N}} \right|_{i_M i_M}^{-1} \\
 & \times \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2})^{-1})_{M+1, \dots, M+N}^{M+1, \dots, M+N} \right|_{M+1 M+1} \cdots \left| (L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1})_{M+N}^{M+N} \right|_{M+N M+N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is equal to q^{-1} -Berezinian of $\pi((L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1})_{I^c})$.

The proof for $[1, M] \subseteq I$ is similar. \square

Taking $I = \emptyset$ in the above theorem, we immediately get that

Corollary 4.3.

$$(4.59) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = B_{q^{-1}} \left(\pi(L^\pm(zq^{2M-2N})^{-1}) \right).$$

The following is an analogue of Schur's complement theorem (cf.[17]).

Theorem 4.4. Write $L^\pm(z)$ in block matrix,

$$L^\pm(z) = \begin{pmatrix} L^\pm(z)_{11} & L^\pm(z)_{12} \\ L^\pm(z)_{21} & L^\pm(z)_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $L^\pm(z)_{11}$ and $L^\pm(z)_{22}$ are submatrices of size $k \times k$ and $(M+N-k) \times (M+N-k)$ respectively. Then

$$(4.60) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = B_q(L^\pm(z)_{11}) B_q \left(L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{22} - L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{21} L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{11}^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{12} \right)$$

for $k < M$, and

$$(4.61) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = B_q(L^\pm(z)_{11}) B_q \left(L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{22} - L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{21} L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{11}^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{12} \right)$$

for $k \geq M$.

Proof. Let

$$X^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X^\pm(z)_{11} & X^\pm(z)_{12} \\ X^\pm(z)_{21} & X^\pm(z)_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is well known that

$$X^\pm(z)_{22} = (L^\pm(z)_{22} - L^\pm(z)_{21} L^\pm(z)_{11}^{-1} L^\pm(z)_{12})^{-1}.$$

By Theorem 4.2,

$$(4.62) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = B_q(L^\pm(z)_{11}) B_{q^{-1}} \left(\pi(X^\pm(z)_{22}) \right).$$

It follows from Corollary 4.3 that

$$(4.63) \quad B_{q^{-1}} \left(\pi(X^\pm(z)_{22}) \right) = B_q \left(L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{22} - L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{21} L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{11}^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{2k})_{12} \right)$$

in the case $k < M$, and

$$(4.64) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_{q^{-1}} \left(\pi(X^\pm(z)_{22}) \right) \\ &= B_q \left(L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{22} - L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{21} L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{11}^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{4M-2k})_{12} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq M$.

This completes the proof. \square

Taking $m = M$ in Theorem 4.4 we have the following formula which is analog of the definition of Berezinian for the classical super matrix. We note that it can also be obtained by the Jacobi's ratio Theorem for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$.

Corollary 4.5. *If $m = M$ in Theorem 4.4, then*

$$(4.65) \quad B_q(L^\pm(z)) = \det_q(L^\pm(z)_{11}) \det_{q^{-1}} \left(L^\pm(zq^{2M})_{22} - L^\pm(zq^{2M})_{21} L^\pm(zq^{2M})_{11}^{-1} L^\pm(zq^{2M})_{12} \right)^{-1}.$$

For any $k \geq 0$ we introduce the homomorphism

$$(4.66) \quad \phi_k : Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N}) \rightarrow Y_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{k+M|k+N}),$$

which takes $l_{ij}^\pm(z)$ to $l_{k+i,k+j}^\pm(z)$. Consider the composition

$$(4.67) \quad \psi_k = \omega_{k+M|N} \circ \phi_k \circ \omega_{M|N}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *For any $1 \leq i, j \leq M + N$, we have*

$$(4.68) \quad \psi_k(l_{ij}^\pm(z)) = \begin{vmatrix} l_{11}^\pm(z) & \cdots & l_{1k}^\pm(z) & l_{1,k+j}^\pm(z) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ l_{k1}^\pm(z) & \cdots & l_{kk}^\pm(z) & l_{k,k+j}^\pm(z) \\ l_{k+i,1}^\pm(z) & \cdots & l_{k+i,k}^\pm(z) & \boxed{l_{k+i,k+j}^\pm(z)} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proof. Introduce the sets of indices

$$I = \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ and } J = \{k+1, \dots, k+M+N\}$$

Consider the block partitioned matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^\pm(z) & B^\pm(z) \\ C^\pm(z) & D^\pm(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$A^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z)_{II}, \quad B^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z)_{IJ}, \quad C^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z)_{JI} \text{ and } D^\pm(z) = L^\pm(z)_{JJ}.$$

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^\pm(z) & B^\pm(z) \\ C^\pm(z) & D^\pm(z) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^\pm(z)' & B^\pm(z)' \\ C^\pm(z)' & D^\pm(z)' \end{pmatrix}.$$

By its definition, the homomorphism ψ_k maps $\omega_{M|N}(L^\pm(z)) = L^\pm(z)^{-1}$ to $D^\pm(z)'$, which equals $(D^\pm(z) - C^\pm(z)(A^\pm(z))^{-1}B^\pm(z))^{-1}$. Thus,

$$\psi_k : L^\pm(z) \rightarrow D^\pm(z) - C^\pm(z)(A^\pm(z))^{-1}B^\pm(z).$$

Taking the ij -th entry, by the definition of quasi-determinant, we get the desired formula. \square

The following Sylvester theorem for the quantum Berezinian follows from Lemma 4.6 and Schur's complement theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Denote the matrix of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{k+M|N})$ by $L^\pm(z)_{k+M|N}$, then*

$$\psi_k(B_q(L^\pm(z))) = (L^\pm(zq^{-2k})_{1\dots k})^{-1} B_q(L^\pm(zq^{-2k})_{k+M|N}).$$

The following is an analogue of the MacMahon Master theorem [19] for the quantum Berezinian.

Theorem 4.8. *We have the identity*

$$(4.69) \quad \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \text{str} \mathcal{S}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) = 0,$$

$$(4.70) \quad \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \text{str} \mathcal{A}_r^q \mathcal{S}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q$ denote the antisymmetrizer and symmetrizer over the copies of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{M|N})$ labeled by $r+1, \dots, k$.

Proof. We employ the quantum analog of the approach in [19]. It is sufficient to show that

$$(4.71) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \\ &= \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \frac{[r]_q [k-r+1]_q}{[k]_q} \mathcal{H}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \\ & \quad + \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \frac{[r+1]_q [k-r]_q}{[k]_q} \mathcal{H}_{r+1}^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \end{aligned}$$

But due to (3.29) the cyclic property, RLL relation and the fact that $\mathcal{A}_r^q, \mathcal{H}_r^q$ are idempotents. There is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \\ &= \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q \frac{\hat{R}_{r, r+1}(q^{2r-2k})}{q^{k-r+1} - q^{r-k-1}} \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \\ &= \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_r^q \frac{\hat{R}_{r, r+1}(q^{2r-2k})}{q^{k-r+1} - q^{r-k-1}} \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q \\ &= \text{str}_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_r^q \frac{\hat{R}_{r, r+1}(q^{2r-2k})}{q^{k-r+1} - q^{r-k-1}} \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& str_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_{r+1}^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \\
&= str_{1, \dots, k} \mathcal{H}_r^q \frac{\hat{R}_{r,r+1}(q^{2r})}{q^{r+1} - q^{-r-1}} \mathcal{H}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2}) \\
&= str_{1, \dots, k} \frac{\hat{R}_{r,r+1}(q^{2r})}{q^{r+1} - q^{-r-1}} \mathcal{H}_r^q \mathcal{A}_{\{r+1, \dots, k\}}^q L_1^\pm(z) L_2^\pm(zq^2) \cdots L_k^\pm(zq^{2k-2})
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the equation $R - R' = (q - q^{-1})P$. Then we have that

$$\frac{[r]_q}{[k]_q} \hat{R}_{r,r+1}(q^{2r-2k}) + \frac{[k-r]_q}{[k]_q} \hat{R}_{r,r+1}(q^{2r}) = q - q^{-1}.$$

These imply (4.71). Thus we have the first equation. The second equation can be proved by the same arguments. \square

Acknowledgments

The work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 12171303 and 12001218), the Simons Foundation (grant no. MP-TSM-00002518), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant nos. CCNU22QN002 and CCNU22JC001).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Bagnoli and S. Kozic, *A note on the quantum Berezinian for the double Yangian of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$* , *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, in press. (arXiv:2402.00487). [1](#)
- [2] H. Chang and H. Hu, *A note on the center of the super Yangian $Y_{M|N}(\mathfrak{s})$* , *J. Algebra* 633 (2023): 648-665. [1](#)
- [3] M. D. Gould and Y.-Z. Zhang, *On super-RS algebra and Drinfeld realization of quantum affine superalgebras*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 44 (1998): 291-308. [1](#)
- [4] J. Ding and I. B. Frenkel, *Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(n))$* , *Comm. Math. Phys.* 156 (1993): 277-300. [5](#)
- [5] H. Fan, B. Hou and K. Shi, *Drinfeld constructions of the quantum affine superalgebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(m|n))$* , *J. Math. Phys.* 38 (1) (1997): 411-433. [1](#)
- [6] L. Gow, *On the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$ and its quantum Berezinian*, *Czechoslovak J. Phys.* 55 (2005): 1415-1420. [1](#)
- [7] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 11 (1986): 247-252. [6](#)
- [8] S. Gautam and V. Toledano Laredo, *Yangians and quantum loop algebras*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 19 (2) (2013): 271-336. [1](#)
- [9] I. Gelfand and V. Retakh, *Quasideterminants. I*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 3 (1997): 517-546. [11](#)
- [10] N. Jing, M. Liu, and A. Molev, *Eigenvalues of quantum Gelfand invariants*, *J. Math. Phys.* 65 (2024), 061703: (9pp). [3](#)
- [11] D. Krob and B. Leclerc, *Minor identities for quasi-determinants and quantum determinants*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 169 (1995): 1-23. [3, 12](#)
- [12] J.-H. Kwon and S.-M. Lee, *Super duality for quantum affine algebras of type A*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2022 (2022): 18446-18525. [1](#)

- [13] M. de Leeuw, V. Regelskis and A. Torrielli, *The quantum affine origin of the AdS/CFT secret symmetry*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 (2012), 175202: (20pp). [1](#)
- [14] H. Lin, Y. Wang, and H. Zhang, *From quantum loop superalgebras to super Yangians*, J. Algebra 650 (2024): 299-334. [1](#)
- [15] K. Lu, *Gelfand-Tsetlin bases of representations for super Yangian and quantum affine superalgebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. 111 (2021), 145: (30pp). [3](#)
- [16] K. Lu and E. Mukhin, *Jacobi-Trudi identity and Drinfeld functor for super Yangian*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2021 (2021): 16751-16810. [1](#)
- [17] A. Molev, *Yangians for classical Lie algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 143. AMS, Providence, RI, 2007. [3](#), [13](#)
- [18] A. Molev, *Sugawara operators for classical Lie algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 229. AMS, Providence, RI, 2018. [3](#)
- [19] A. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *The MacMahon Master Theorem for right quantum superalgebras and higher Sugawara operators for $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{m|n}$* , Moscow Math. J. 14.1 (2014): 83-119. [3](#), [15](#)
- [20] A. Molev and V. Retakh, *Quasideterminants and Casimir elements for the general linear Lie superalgebra*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004 (2004): 611-619. [1](#)
- [21] M. Nazarov, *Quantum Berezinian and the classical Capelli identity*, Lett. Math. Phys. 21 (1991): 123-131. [1](#), [6](#)
- [22] M. Nazarov, *Yangian of the general linear Lie superalgebra*, SIGMA 16 (2020): (24pp). [1](#)
- [23] N. Yu. Reshetikhin and M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Central extensions of quantum current groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. 19 (1990): 133-142. [4](#)
- [24] Y.-N. Peng, *Parabolic presentations of the super Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N})$ associated with arbitrary 01-sequences*, Comm. Math. Phys. 346 (2016): 313-347. [1](#)
- [25] A. Tsymbaliuk, *Shuffle algebra realizations of type A super Yangians and quantum affine superalgebras for all Cartan data*, Lett. Math. Phys. 110 (2020): 2083-2111. [1](#)
- [26] H. Yamane, *On defining relations of affine Lie superalgebras and affine quantized universal enveloping superalgebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 35 (1999): 321-390. [1](#)
- [27] H. Zhang, *RTT realization of quantum affine superalgebras and tensor products*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2016 (2016), No. 4: 1126-1157. [1](#)
- [28] Y.-Z. Zhang, *Super Yangian double and its central extension*, Phys. Lett., A 234 (1997): 20-26. [1](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA
 Email address: jing@ncsu.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430079, CHINA
 Email address: lz1994@ccnu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430079, CHINA
 Email address: jzhang@ccnu.edu.cn