

**FAMILY SEIBERG-WITTEN EQUATION ON KÄHLER SURFACE AND  
 $\pi_i(\text{Symp})$  ON MULTIPLE-POINT BLOW UPS OF CALABI-YAU  
SURFACES**

YI DU

ABSTRACT. Let  $\omega$  be a Kähler form on  $M$ , which is a torus  $T^4$ , a  $K3$  surface or an Enriques surface, let  $M\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  be  $n$ -point Kähler blowup of  $M$ . Suppose that  $\kappa = [\omega]$  satisfies certain irrationality condition. Applying techniques related to deformation of complex objects, we extend the gauge-theoretic invariant on closed Kähler surfaces developed by Kronheimer[20] and Smirnov[33][34]. As a result, we show that even dimensional higher homotopy groups of  $\text{Symp}(M\#n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)$  are infinitely generated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a connected oriented closed smooth symplectic 4-manifold,  $\text{Diff}(X)$  be diffeomorphism group of  $X$ ,  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$  be the group of diffeomorphisms isotopic to identity, and  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega)$  be the symplectomorphism group of  $(X, \omega)$ .

Homotopy types of symplectomorphism groups is of great interest to geometers. Gromov[15] shows that  $\text{Symp}(S^2 \times S^2, \omega = \omega_{st} \oplus \omega_{st})$  is homotopy equivalent to a semi-direct product  $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $\omega_{st}$  is the standard volume form on  $S^2$ . Lalonde-Pinsonnault[22] show that  $\text{Symp}(S^2 \times S^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \tilde{\omega})$  is homotopy equivalent to a semi-direct product  $T^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Here,  $(S^2 \times S^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \tilde{\omega})$  is a symplectic blow up of  $(S^2 \times S^2, \omega)$ .

Kronheimer's fibration [20]

$$\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega) := \text{Symp}(X, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Diff}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\text{P}} S_{[\omega]} := \text{Diff}_0(X) / (\text{Symp}(X, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X))$$

is a powerful tool to detect homotopy types of symplectomorphism groups and diffeomorphism groups. For example, we can use Kronheimer's fibration to detect smoothly trivial elements in the homotopy groups of  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega)$ .

In Kronheimer's paper[20], he uses a version of family Seiberg-Witten invariant to detect non-trivial elements in homotopy groups of  $S_{[\omega]}$ . Based on Kronheimer's result, Smirnov[33],[34] uses a so called  $q$ -invariant to get a series of results about  $\pi_0(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega))$  on some symplectic 4-manifolds. More specifically, he shows that for some Kähler forms  $\omega$  on  $K3$  surface or blow up of tori,  $\pi_0(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega))$  are infinitely generated. Lin[25], Smirnov[32] show that  $\text{Coker}(\iota_{1,*} : \pi_1(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Diff}_0(X)))$  is non-trivial for a large family of symplectic 4-manifolds.

About the study of higher dimensional homotopy groups in Kronheimer's fibration, Abreu and McDuff[1] show that  $\text{Ker}(\iota_{4l,*} : \pi_{4l}(\text{Symp}_s(S^2 \times S^2, \omega_{st} \oplus \lambda\omega_{st})) \rightarrow \pi_{4l}\text{Diff}_0(S^2 \times S^2))$  is non-trivial when  $l \in [\lambda, \lambda + 1)$ . And Anjos-Li-Li-Pinsonnault[3] show a stability result

of homotopy groups of  $\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega)$  when  $X$  is a rational surface with  $\chi(X) \leq 12$  and symplectic forms  $\omega$  are in the same chamber of a symplectic cone. In this paper, we shall prove that for a family of Kahler manifolds, the symplectomorphism group admits infinitely generated higher homotopy groups.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $(X, \tilde{\omega})$  be a Kahler 4-manifold which is  $n$ -fold blow up of some Kahler manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , sizes of exceptional divisors are equal and their sum is small enough. Let  $[\omega] = \kappa$ . In the homotopy long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(S_{[\omega]}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} \pi_k(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega)) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} \pi_k(\text{Diff}_0(X)) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_k(S_{[\omega]}) \rightarrow \dots$$

(1) *When  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $T^4$  and  $\kappa$  is non-resonant,*

$$\text{Ker}(i_{2k-2,*} : \pi_{2k-2}(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega_k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-2}(\text{Diff}_0(X)))$$

*is infinitely generated for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .*

(2) *When  $M$  is a K3 surface,  $\kappa$  is non-resonant, then*

$$\text{Ker}(i_{2k,*} : \pi_{2k}(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega_k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k}(\text{Diff}_0(X)))$$

*is infinitely generated for  $k = 1, \dots, n$*

(3) *When  $M$  is an Enriques surface,*

$$\{\langle \pi^* \kappa, \delta \rangle \mid \delta \text{ is a root in intersection form of K3 surface}\}$$

*is a dense subset of some neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\text{Ker}(i_{2k,*} : \pi_{2k}(\text{Symp}_s(M, \omega_k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k}(\text{Diff}_0(M)))$$

*is infinitely generated for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . In particular,  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega_k)$  is not homotopy equivalent to any finite CW complex.*

Here, a non-resonant  $\kappa$  is a positive real cohomology class, i.e.  $\kappa^2 > 0$  and for any non-zero integral homology class  $\delta$ , we have

$$\langle \kappa, \delta \rangle \neq 0$$

Now we begin to sketch the proof of Theorem(A).

The first step will be the construction of  $S^{2k-1}(T^4 \text{ case})$  or  $S^{2k+1}(K3 \text{ case})$  families of symplectic forms on  $X$  in Theorem (A).

For any symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , we define  $\mathcal{A}_{[\omega]}$  to be

$$\{\text{almost complex structure } J \text{ on } M \mid J \text{ is compatible with some } \omega' \in S_{[\omega]}\}$$

By [29], we know  $\mathcal{A}_{[\omega]}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $S_{[\omega]}$ . We can see that the homotopy type of  $\mathcal{A}_{[\omega]}$  is related to stratifications of the space of almost complex structures if it exists. There are a lot of papers studying stratification of almost complex structures on a symplectic manifold[1],[2],[28],[29]. Multiple-point blow-ups will create some new stratifications in the space of almost complex structures, and we may get stratifications which are easier to detect. Since  $\mathcal{A}_{[\omega]}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $S_{[\omega]}$ , we'll construct some (almost)complex families over  $S^{2k-1}(T^4 \text{ case})$  or  $S^{2k+1}(K3 \text{ case})$  which admits compatible symplectic forms in certain class.

Let  $X$  be a  $K3$  surface or a torus  $T^4$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$  be the corresponding  $\kappa$ -polarized period domain of complex structures. We define a parameter space

$$\mathcal{P}_\kappa := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa \times \text{Conf}_n(X)\} \quad (1.1)$$

using the configuration space of  $X$ . Because of the property of automorphism group, when we define  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$  for torus, we may fix  $x_1 = [0, 0]$ .  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$  is a parameter space of complex structures on  $n$ -point blowup of  $X$  which is compatible with some Kahler forms. In particular, we can find a positive number  $\lambda$  s.t.  $n\lambda$  is small enough, then we define some index sets

$$\Delta_{T^4} := \{\delta \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \delta \text{ primitive}, \delta^2 = 0\} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Delta_{K3} := \{\delta \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \delta^2 = -2\} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\Delta_k := \{[\delta] \in \Delta_{T^4} \text{ or } \Delta_{K3} \mid (k-1)\lambda < \langle \delta, \kappa \rangle < k\lambda\} \quad (1.4)$$

For every  $\delta \in \Delta_k$ , we can define a hyperplane in the  $\kappa$ -polarized period domain:

$$H_\kappa^\delta := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa \mid \langle u, \delta \rangle = 0\}$$

$u \in H_\kappa^\delta$  represents Kahler surface  $X$  with a curve in  $\delta$  on  $X$ . For a sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , we can find a subvariety in  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ , representing Kahler surfaces which contain curves in class  $\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}$ , we call it  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ .

Taking a holomorphic disk  $\mathbb{D}^\delta$  intersecting  $H_\kappa^\delta$  transversally, we can define another subvariety of  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ :

$$\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta := (\mathbb{D}^\delta \times \text{Conf}_n(X)) \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa \quad (1.5)$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is a subvariety of  $\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta$ , we define the normal bundle of  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta$  to be  $\mathcal{N}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , define  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  to be a fiber of  $\mathcal{N}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  to be the boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . We take a smooth family  $\{(X_t, \omega_t)\}$  of Kahler manifolds parametrized by  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  s.t.  $[\omega_t] = \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . Restriction of  $\{(X_t, \omega_t)\}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  defines an element in  $\pi_{2k-1}(\mathcal{S}_{\kappa-\lambda(e_1+\dots+e_n)})$  since  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  defines a smoothly trivial family of complex manifolds, we call it  $[\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)]$ . Its monodromy in Kronheimer's fibration, i.e.  $\partial_{2k-1,*}([\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)])$  is independent of the choice of smooth trivializations.

The second step of the proof will be the application of gauge-theoretic invariant on the symplectic families, showing that monodromies of the families define infinitely generated groups.

Although the general relation between family Seiberg Witten invariant and family Gromov Witten invariant is not yet known, following the work in [20],[32],[33],[34], we can identify the counting of certain curves with the counting of solutions of Seiberg-Witten equation in some special cases. By [12],[20],[32], an isolated curve  $C$  over a non-boundary fiber of a Kahler family  $\{(X_t, \omega_t)\}$  represents a regular solution of FSW( $\mathfrak{s}_{[C]}$ ) if and only if the restriction of the Kodaira Spencer map to  $H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X_t})$  is surjective. When  $h^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X_t})$  is large, it will be difficult to verify transversality directly. In this paper, by studying the tangent spaces of deformation functors of complex manifolds and pairs of complex manifolds, we get a criterion of transversality of family Seiberg-Witten equation on certain blowup families of closed Kahler surfaces 3.11. And with Theorem 3.11, we can relate

the calculation of higher dimensional Kronheimer's invariants to much simpler cases. As a result, we can evaluate Kronheimer's invariant on Kahler families  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  via some inductions.

Based on Kronheimer's invariant, Smirnov [34] defines a new invariant, a homomorphism  $q : \pi_1(S_{[\omega]}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$  with following properties:

*Property 1.*  $q(\alpha) = 0$  when  $\alpha \in \text{Im}(p_* : \pi_1(\text{Diff}_0(X)) \rightarrow \pi_1(S_{\kappa-\lambda e_1}))$ .

*Property 2.*  $q(\mathcal{S}_\delta(1)) = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_1} \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

We'll extend Smirnov's  $q$  to be a series of homomorphisms,

$$q_{n,k} : \pi_{2k-1}(S_{\kappa-\lambda(e_1+\dots+e_n)}) \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_k} \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (\text{blowup of } T^4) \quad (1.6)$$

$$q_{n,k} : \pi_{2k+1}(S_{\kappa-\lambda(e_1+\dots+e_n)}) \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_k} \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (\text{blowup of } K3 \text{ surface}) \quad (1.7)$$

with properties similar to (1), (2). As a result, we can get a series of surjective homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(i_{2k-2,*} : \pi_{2k-2}(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega_k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-2}(\text{Diff}_0(X))) &\cong \\ \text{Coker}(p_{2k-1,*} : \pi_{2k-1}(\text{Diff}_0(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-1}(S_{\kappa-\lambda(e_1+\dots+e_n)})) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty \quad (\text{blowup of } T^4) \\ \text{Ker}(i_{2k,*} : \pi_{2k}(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega_k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k}(\text{Diff}_0(X))) &\cong \\ \text{Coker}(p_{2k+1,*} : \pi_{2k+1}(\text{Diff}_0(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k+1}(S_{\kappa-\lambda(e_1+\dots+e_n)})) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty \quad (\text{blowup of } K3 \text{ surface}) \end{aligned}$$

The construction of parameter spaces and homotopy classes in symplectomorphism groups for Enriques surface is similar to the construction above, and by studying an equivariant version of Kronheimer's fibration

$$\text{Symp}^\pi(X, \pi^*\omega) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^\pi(X) \rightarrow S_{[\omega]}^\pi \quad (1.8)$$

we can relate some elements in  $\text{Ker}(i_{2k,*} : \pi_{2k}(\text{Symp}_s(X, \omega_k)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k}(\text{Diff}_0(X)))$  to monodromy of  $\rho$ -invariant  $S^{2k+1}$  Kahler  $K3$  families.

In **section 2**, we'll introduce some preliminary knowledge in complex and symplectic geometry. In **section 3**, we introduce the gauge theoretic invariants which are introduced by Kronheimer [20] and Smirnov[33],[34] and extend the latter to higher dimensional case. Also, we'll prove a regularity lemma for isolated solution of family Seiberg-Witten equation over blowup family. In **section 4**, we construct deformation families of the Kahler surfaces and calculate Smirnov's  $q$  invariant in higher-dimensional cases.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to greatly thank Jianfeng Lin for the suggestion to study the topology of symplectomorphism group and for a lot of helpful discussion during the research. I'm also very grateful to my advisor, Tianjun Li, for a lot of helpful advice about the paper and help in symplectic geometry and gauge theory. I'd like to thank Gleb Smirnov for kindly explaining his paper to me. I would like to thank Alexander Voronov and Weiwei Wu for helpful discussion about complex geometry. And I would like to thank Hokuto Konno and Jun Li for their interest in our paper. Finally, I would like to thank ChatGPT for its help about Latex writing.

## 2. PRELIMINARY KNOWLEDGE IN KÄHLER GEOMETRY AND SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY

**2.1. Ample cone and Kahler cone.** In this section, let  $X$  be a smooth closed complex manifold.

**Definition 2.1.** A line bundle  $L$  over  $X$  is called **very ample** if it has enough sections to give a closed immersion (or, embedding)

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$$

And in this case,  $f^*H = L$ . Here,  $H$  is the hyperplane line bundle of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^N$ . A line bundle  $L$  is **ample** if some positive power of  $L$  is very ample.

**Definition 2.2.** For a complex surface  $M$ , its ample cone  $\mathcal{C}_A$  is defined to be the cone in  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  spanned by ample divisors.

There is a criterion for ample cones on projective surfaces.

**Theorem 2.3.** (*Nakai-Moishezon*) *For a smooth projective surface  $X$ , if  $D$  is a divisor on  $X$  satisfying  $\langle D, D \rangle > 0$  and  $\langle D, C \rangle > 0$  for all curves on  $X$ , then  $D$  is ample. In particular, ampleness depends only on the numerical equivalence class of  $D$ .*

From the definition of ample line bundle, we can see that for any rational point  $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}_A$ , there is a Kahler form  $f^*\omega_{FS}$  in  $\kappa$ (pull back of Fubini-Study form). And since Kahler cone is open, we know that any point in the ample cone can be represented by a Kahler form which is compatible with some complex structure on  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** ([7],[23]) *Let  $(X, J)$  be a compact complex surface. A cohomology class  $\alpha$  in  $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$  admits a Kahler representative compatible with the complex structure  $J$  if*

$$\alpha \cup [\rho] > 0$$

for some positive closed  $(1, 1)$ -form  $\rho$  on  $X$  and  $\alpha$  is in the ample cone.

**2.2. Complex and Kahler structures on Calabi-Yau surface.** We consider a complex tori  $X := \mathbb{C}^2/L$ , where  $L$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We know  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$ , and we pick a basis  $\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$  of  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . We call the basis admissible if the cup product  $u_1 \cup u_2 \cup u_3 \cup u_4$  defines the natural orientation of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** (*Shioda*)[31] *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two complex tori of dimension 2, and suppose that  $\exists$  an admissible isomorphism  $f^* : H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $f^*$  is induced by a biholomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  if and only if  $f^* \wedge f^*$  takes  $H^{2,0}(Y)$  to  $H^{2,0}(X)$ .*

*Here, an admissible isomorphism is an isomorphism from  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  to  $H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ , taking an admissible basis of  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$  to that of  $H^1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ .*

By this fact, we can define a “deformation space” of **all complex structures** on  $T^4$ . And we’ll explain the explicit definition of it in next subsection. Now we let  $L$  be an abstract free abelian group of rank 4, and let  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$  be the basis.

$R := \{r \in \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{C}^2) \text{ is a group homomorphism} | \mathbb{C}^2/r(L) \text{ is compact and}$

$$r(e_1), r(e_2), r(e_3), r(e_4) \text{ gives the positive orientation of } \mathbb{C}^2\} \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.6.**  $\Phi_{T^4} := R/GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , where the action of  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  is defined as  $g : r \rightarrow g \circ r$ .

The action of  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  defines isomorphisms between complex structures on  $T^4$  parametrized by different points in  $R$ , we can see that  $R$  is a parameter space of all complex torus  $T^4$ . By Shioda[31], we can construct a projective variety which is isomorphic to  $\Phi_{T^4}$  from the intersection form of  $H^2(T^4; \mathbb{R})$ .

Define  $E$  to be the lattice  $\Lambda^2(L^*)$  and  $E_{\mathbb{R}} := E \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E_{\mathbb{C}} := E \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to be the bilinear form on  $E_{\mathbb{C}}(E_{\mathbb{R}})$  induced from the cup product on  $\Lambda^2(L^*)$ .

**Definition 2.7.** The period domain  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4}$  of complex torus  $T^4$  is defined as  $\{u \in H^{\oplus 3} \otimes \mathbb{C} | \langle u, u \rangle = 0, \langle u, \bar{u} \rangle > 0\} / \mathbb{C}^*$ .

By Shioda[31],  $\Phi_{T^4}$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4}$ , so we can identify these two spaces. For a positive class  $\kappa \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{R})$ , i.e.  $\kappa^2 > 0$ , we can define a  $\kappa$ -polarized period domain of complex structures.

The space  $\{u \in \mathcal{M}_{T^4} | \langle \kappa, u \rangle = 0\}$  is a space consisting of two contractible components[34]. We can also see it by showing the two components are isomorphic to a fundamental domain of type *IV* in complex vector space[4]. We define  $\kappa$ -polarized period domain,  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa}$  to be one component of the space.

Naturally, we can define a holomorphic fiber bundle  $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa}$  with each fiber  $X_t$  over  $t \in \mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa}$  a complex 2-torus. And we can define a family of Kahler forms  $\Omega_t$  over  $X_t$  s.t.  $[\Omega_t] = \kappa$ . In particular, if period point of  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa}$ , then  $\kappa$  is in the ample cone of  $X$ .

Now we introduce some important subspaces of  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa}$ .

**Definition 2.8.**  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa, \lambda}$  is defined as subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}$  parametrizing the complex torus s.t. its blow-up admits a Kahler form in the class  $\kappa - \lambda e$ .

$\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$  is defined as subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}$  parametrizing the complex torus s.t. its  $n$ -point blowup admits a Kahler form in the class  $\kappa - \lambda_1 e_1 - \dots - \lambda_n e_n$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** (Lemma 8 in [33]) *If  $\kappa$  is non-resonant, then  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa, \lambda}$  is open and dense in  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa}$ . Also,  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa, \lambda}$  is connected.*

*Proof.* By Kodaira-Spencer stability theorem[19], the openness holds.

When  $\lambda$  is small enough,  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa, \lambda}$  contains a subset

$$\mathcal{M}_{T^4}^{gen} := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa} | \langle u, \delta \rangle \neq 0, \forall \delta \neq 0 \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{Z})\}$$

And we can see that  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4}^{gen}$  is dense[33]. □

It will not be hard to see  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4, \kappa, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$  is also open and dense in  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}$  when  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$  is small enough.

**Definition 2.10.** A *K3* surface is a simply connected complex algebraic surface with vanishing canonical class.

Similarly, we'll define  $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ , the period domain of *K3* surface  $X$  and  $\kappa$ -polarized period domain

$$\mathcal{M}_{K3} := \{u \in E | u^2 = 0, \langle u, \bar{u} \rangle > 0\} / \mathbb{C}^* \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{K3,\kappa} := \{u \in E | \langle u, \kappa \rangle = 0\} \quad (2.3)$$

Here,  $E$  is the complex vector space  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  equipped with the bilinear form induced by intersection pairing.

For a Kahler  $K3$  surface  $X$ , we can define  $\mathcal{M}_{K3,\kappa,\lambda,\dots,\lambda}$  similarly. And We know that Lemma 2.9 also holds for  $K3$  surface.

**Definition 2.11.** If a  $K3$  surface  $X$  admits a free holomorphic involution  $\rho : X \rightarrow X$ , then its quotient space  $Y$  is defined as an Enriques surface.

To define the period domain of Enriques surface, we need to define an index set

$$V[-1] := \{\delta \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) | \delta \text{ is a root in } H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}), \rho^*(\delta) = -\delta\} \quad (2.4)$$

A  $K3$  surface parametrized by point in  $H_\delta := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_{K3} | \langle u, \delta \rangle = 0\}$  does not admit involution  $\rho$  for any  $\delta \in V[-1]$ , since we can't find an involution on a  $K3$  surface s.t.  $\rho^*D = -D$  for some effective divisor  $D$ [4].

By [16],  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{K3}$  is the equivalence class of the cohomology class of the non-vanishing holomorphic 2-form on a  $K3$  surface. If a  $K3$  surface parametrized by  $u$  admits involution  $\rho$ , then  $\rho^*(u) = -u$ . So Enriques surfaces are corresponded to a subspace of  $\mathcal{M}_{K3}$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_{K3}^- := (\{u \in E | \rho^*u = -u\} / \mathbb{C}^*) \cap \mathcal{M}_{K3} \quad (2.5)$$

Actually, the restrictions above determine the parameter space of all complex Enriques surfaces.

**Definition 2.12.** The period domain of Enriques surface  $\mathcal{M}_{En}$  is defined as

$$(\mathcal{M}_{K3}^- \setminus \cup_{\delta \in V[-1]} H_\delta) / \{g|_{E^-} | g \in \text{Aut}(E), g \circ \rho^* = \rho^* \circ g\}$$

Here,  $E^-$  is  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $\rho^*$  on  $E$ .

**2.3. Deformation of complex manifolds.** Let  $M$  be a complex manifold.

**Definition 2.13.** A deformation of  $M$  is defined as a complex analytic family  $(\mathcal{M}, B, b, f)$  with a complex manifold  $B$  as its parameter space such that  $M = f^{-1}(b)$ .

By the definition of Kodaira[18], a deformation of a complex manifold  $M$  can be considered as gluing of the same polydisks  $\mathcal{U}_j$  by transition functions depending on the parameters in  $B$ . Now let

$$M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (B, b)$$

be a deformation of the complex manifold  $M$ , we can find a chart  $\mathcal{U} := \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and corresponding transition functions from  $U_k$  to  $U_j$ :

$$z_j := (z_j^1, \dots, z_j^m) = f_{jk}(z_k, \vec{t}) = f_{jk}(z_k, t_1, \dots, t_r)$$

Here,  $z_j$  is coordinate in  $U_j$ , which is identified with an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $t$  is coordinate of the base space, which is an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^r$ .

When  $U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ , we obtain  $\frac{\partial f_{jk}(z_k, \vec{t})}{\partial t}$  and define

$$\theta_{jk}(t) := \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \frac{\partial f_{jk}^\alpha(z_k, \vec{t})}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j^\alpha}$$

By [18],  $\{\theta_{jk}\}$  defines an 1–cocycle on the sheaf of holomorphic tangent vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Actually, we get  $\theta(t)$  in  $H^1(\mathcal{M}_t, \Theta_{\mathcal{M}_t})$ . Here,  $\Theta_{\mathcal{M}_t}$  is the tangent sheaf of  $\mathcal{M}_t$ . And  $\theta(t)$  naturally reduces to a linear homomorphism  $\rho : (T_0S) \rightarrow H^1(M, \Theta_M)$ .

**Definition 2.14.** And Kodaira-Spencer map is defined as the linear homomorphism  $\rho$ .

*Remark 1.* Range of Kodaira-Spencer map,  $H^1(M, \Theta_M)$ , is the tangent space of the deformation functor  $\text{Def}_M$ [27].

**Theorem 2.15.** ([26]) *A deformation  $M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{f} (B, b)$  of compact manifolds is trivial if and only if  $\text{KS}_f : T_bB \rightarrow H^1(M, \Theta_M)$  is trivial.*

**Definition 2.16.** Consider a deformation  $M \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{f} (B, b)$ ,  $f \circ i(M) = b$ , with Kodaira-Spencer map  $\text{KS}_\xi : T_bB \rightarrow H^1(M, T_M)$ .  $\xi$  is called

**Versal** if for every germ of complex manifold  $(C, c)$ , the morphism

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathbf{Ger}}((C, c), (B, b)) \rightarrow \text{Def}_M(C, c), \quad g \mapsto g^*\xi$$

is surjective.

**Semiuniversal** if it is versal and  $\text{KS}_\xi$  is bijective.

**Universal** if  $\text{KS}_\xi$  is bijective and for every pointed complex manifolds  $(C, c)$  the morphism

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathbf{Ger}}((C, c), (B, b)) \rightarrow \text{Def}_{M_0}(C, c), \quad g \rightarrow g^*\xi$$

is bijective.

Also, we need to consider the deformation of  $(M, C)$ , a pair of (complex manifold, complex submanifold). Defining holomorphic transition functions  $f_{\alpha\beta} : (U_\alpha, C_\alpha) \rightarrow (U_\beta, C_\beta)$  which sends  $C_\alpha$  to  $C_\beta$ . We define  $\theta_{jk}(t)$  similarly. Then it will be not hard to see we can get 1–cocycle in the sheaf of holomorphic tangent vector fields which are tangent to the curve  $C$ . We can similarly define  $\text{KS}_C : T_bB \rightarrow H^1(M, \Theta_M(-\log C))$ , and we have a commutative diagram 2.3. In the diagram,  $\text{id}_1$  maps  $(X_t, C_t)$  to  $X_t$  and  $i_*$  is induced by the natural inclusion map. Also,  $H^1(M, \Theta_M(-\log C))$  is the tangent space of the deformation functor  $\text{Def}_{(M, C)}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{(M_t, C_t)\} & \xrightarrow{\text{KS}_C} & H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \Theta_{\mathcal{M}_0}(-\log C_0)) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow i_* \\ \{M_t\} & \xrightarrow{\text{KS}} & H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, \Theta_{\mathcal{M}_0}) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Naturality between deformation of complex manifolds and pair of complex manifolds( 2.3)

*Remark 2.* For any complex structure  $J$  on  $T^4$  which corresponds to a period point  $u_J$ , neighborhood of  $u_J$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4}$  defines a semi-universal deformation space of  $J$ .



**2.4. Negative inflation.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic manifold s.t.  $[\omega] = \kappa$ , and  $\pi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$  is an  $n$ -point blow up of it, where the sizes of exceptional curve  $E$  are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Let  $S_{[\omega]}$  be the space of symplectic forms deformation equivalent to  $\omega$  in class  $\kappa$  and let  $S_{\kappa, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$  be the space of symplectic forms on  $\tilde{X}$  which are deformation equivalent to  $\tilde{\omega}$  in the class  $\kappa - \lambda_1 e_1 - \dots - \lambda_n e_n$ .

Mcduff[29] shows that the operation “negative inflation” which decreases the size(s) of exceptional divisor(s) is a well-defined map  $S_{\kappa - \lambda e} \rightarrow S_{\kappa - (\lambda - \mu)e}$  up to homotopy equivalence. We call the map  $\alpha_\mu$ . And similarly we define  $\alpha_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}$ .

**Theorem 2.17.** ([29],[34]) *Up to homotopy equivalence, there are inclusion maps*

$$\alpha_\mu : S_{\kappa - \lambda_1 e_1 - \dots - \lambda_n e_n} \rightarrow S_{\kappa - (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) e_1 - \dots - (\lambda_n - \mu_n) e_n} \quad (2.6)$$

such that  $\alpha_0$  is the identity,  $\alpha_{\mu_1 + \mu'_1, \dots, \mu_n + \mu'_n}$  is homotopic to  $\alpha_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} \circ \alpha_{\mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n}$  whenever all three are defined. Also,  $\alpha_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}$  and  $\alpha_{\mu'_1, \dots, \mu'_n}$  commute. And the following diagram (2.17) is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(\text{Diff}(\tilde{X})) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi_i(S_{\kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)}) \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mu, \mu, \dots, \mu} \\ \pi_i(\text{Diff}(\tilde{X})) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \pi_i(S_{\kappa - (\lambda - \mu)(e_1 + \dots + e_n)}) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Action on homotopy groups of the space of symplectic structures induced by negative inflation(Equation 2.17)

In the diagram (2.17), the horizontal maps are defined as an evaluation map in Kronheimer’s fibration.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}$  be the space of almost-complex structures tamed by some form in  $S_{\lambda - \mu_1 e_1 - \dots - \mu_n e_n}$ . By [29],[34], there is a natural homotopy equivalence between  $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}$  and  $S_{\lambda - \mu_1 e_1 - \dots - \mu_n e_n}$ . So we just need to show that all the properties stated in the lemma hold for maps between the spaces  $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}$ .

By a theorem of Buse[9], for a symplectic 4-manifold  $(X, \omega_0)$  and any  $\omega_0$ -tamed almost-complex structure  $J$ . If  $X$  admits an embedded  $J$ -holomorphic curve  $C \subseteq X$  of self-intersection  $(-m) < 0$ ; then, for each  $\mu$  such that

$$0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{m} \int_C \omega_0$$

there are symplectic forms  $\omega_\mu$  taming  $J$ , which satisfy

$$[\omega_\mu] = [\omega_0] + \mu[C]$$

where  $[C]$  is Poincare dual to  $C$ .

So there is a natural inclusion map from  $\mathcal{J}_{\kappa-\lambda_1 e_1-\dots-\lambda_n e_n}$  to  $\mathcal{J}_{\kappa-(\lambda_1-\mu_1)e_1-\dots-(\lambda_n-\mu_n)e_n}$ . Then we can get all the properties stated in the lemma. The proof is essentially the same as proof of *Theorem 3* in [34]. □

### 3. FAMILY SEIBERG WITTEN INVARIANT, KRONHEIMER AND SMIRNOV'S INVARIANT

In this section, we recall the definition and basic properties of family Seiberg-Witten invariant [21],[24]. In *subsection 3.1*, we introduce some basic properties of (family) Seiberg Witten invariant. In *subsection 3.2*, we introduce the case that Seiberg Witten equations are defined on closed oriented symplectic 4-manifolds. Then in *subsection 3.3*, we introduce Kronheimer's homomorphism and Smirnov's invariant [20],[33],[34] and extend the latter invariant to higher dimensional cases. And in *subsection 3.4*, we focus on Seiberg Witten equations on closed Kahler surface, and prove a lemma about transversality of solution of family Seiberg Witten equation over a blowup family.

**3.1. Family Seiberg Witten equation.** Let  $X$  be a connected, closed, smooth, oriented 4-dimensional manifold. Let  $b_i$  be  $i$ -th betti number of  $X$  and  $b^+$  be the dimension of the positive subspace of  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  be a smooth fiber bundle, where the fiber of the bundle is diffeomorphic to  $X$ . Define  $T_{\mathcal{X}/B}$  to be the vertical tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e. a subbundle of  $T\mathcal{X}$ , defined as  $\ker(\pi_*)$ . We choose a smooth family of metric  $\{g_b\}$  on  $T_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ , which defines a principal  $SO(4)$  bundle of frames,  $Fr \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ .

A family  $\text{spin}^c$  structure  $\mathcal{L}$  is a family of lifting of the frame bundle  $Fr$ . We know  $\text{spin}^c(4)$  is isomorphic to  $(SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , so given a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have two natural principal  $U(2)$  bundles. As a result, we have two associated  $U(2)$  bundles  $S^+, S^-$ . The natural homomorphism  $T^*(\mathcal{X}/B) \otimes S^+ \rightarrow S^-$  defines the Clifford homomorphism. And the adjoint of the Clifford multiplication endomorphism defines a canonical homomorphism

$$\tau : \text{End}(S^+) \rightarrow \Lambda^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Here,  $\Lambda^+$  is the fiber bundle over  $B$  with fiber equal to self-dual 2-forms along the fibers of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $L := \det(S^+)$ . A family of  $U(1)$ -connection and the family of Levi-Civita connection on  $T_{\mathcal{X}/B}$  together determine a family of smooth covariant derivatives on  $S^+$ , we denote it by  $A_b | b \in B$ .

Consider the configuration space

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(\Phi, A) \text{ of family spinor and family connection over } B | \Phi_b \text{ is a section of } S_b^+, A_b \text{ is covariant derivative for each } b \in B\} \quad (3.1)$$

And we define  $\mathcal{C}^*$  to be the space consisting of  $(\Phi, A)$  with  $\Phi \neq 0$ . We call  $\mathcal{C}^*$  the irreducible part of configuration space. By choosing a family perturbation of  $g_b$ -self dual 2-forms  $\eta_b$  we can define the family Seiberg-Witten equation on the configuration space:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{A_b}\Phi_b = 0, \\ F_{A_b}^+ = \tau^{-1}(\Phi_b) + i\eta_b. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dirac operator on the bundle  $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$ , defined as the composition of Clifford multiplication and covariant derivative  $\nabla_A$ .

A solution  $[A_b, \phi_b]$  in the moduli space is called reducible if  $\phi_b = 0$ , and a solution is irreducible if it's not reducible.

By [20],[24], the formal dimension of Seiberg-Witten moduli space as a real manifold is:

$$d(\mathfrak{s}, B) = \langle \epsilon, \epsilon \rangle - \langle K, \epsilon \rangle + \dim(B) \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is defined as  $c_1(S^+)$ .

We can find parametrization space

$$\Gamma := \{(g, \eta) \in \text{Met}(T_{\mathcal{X}/B} \times i\Lambda^+) | \eta_b \text{ is } g_b - \text{self dual for every } b \in B\} \quad (3.4)$$

of Seiberg-Witten equation. Each element in  $\mathcal{P}$  defines a family Seiberg-Witten equation on  $B$ .

Now we can define the moduli space of family Seiberg Witten equation solutions

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,\eta}^s := \bigcup_{b \in B} \mathcal{M}_{g_b, \eta_b}^s := \{ \text{Solutions of the family Seiberg-Witten equation} \} / \mathcal{G} \quad (3.5)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{G}$  is the gauge group over  $B$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_b = \text{Map}(\mathcal{X}_b, U(1))$ .

In counting of Seiberg Witten invariant, sometimes we need to avoid reducible solutions. So we begin to study the subspace of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to reducible solutions of Seiberg Witten equations. By [24], given a family  $\text{spin}^c$  structure  $\mathcal{L}$ , we define the  $\mathcal{L}$ -wall as

$$\text{WA}(\mathcal{L}) := \{(g, \eta) \in \Gamma | 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{L}) - \mu_b \text{ is } g_b \text{ anti-self dual}\} \quad (3.6)$$

We can see that  $\forall (g, \mu) \in \Gamma \setminus \text{WA}(\mathcal{L})$ , there will be no reducible solution on the corresponding Seiberg-Witten moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{g,\eta}^{s\mathcal{L}}$ .

By [24], the  $\mathcal{L}$ -wall is a submanifold of  $\Gamma$ , and its codimension is  $\max(b^+ - \dim B, 0)$ . When  $b^+ \leq \dim B + 1$ ,  $\Gamma \setminus \text{WA}(\mathcal{L})$  still contains an nonempty open set. And we call each connected component of it an  $\mathcal{L}$ -chamber.

**Theorem 3.1.** (Li-Liu[24]) *Let  $M$  be a closed oriented 4-manifold and  $B$  a closed oriented manifold. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a fiber bundle with fibre  $M$  and base  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$  be a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure on  $\mathcal{X}/B$  and  $\Theta$  be a cohomology class in  $H^*(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Fix a homology orientation of the determinant line bundle*

$$\det^+ := \det(H^0(M; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) \otimes H^+(M; \mathbb{R})) \otimes H^{\dim B}(B; \mathbb{R})$$

*If  $0 < b^+ \leq \dim B + 1$ , let  $\Gamma_c$  be a chamber in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\text{SW}(\mathcal{X}/B, \mathcal{L}, \Theta, c) := \langle \mathcal{M}_{g_b, \eta_b}^s, \Theta \rangle$  is well-defined for generic pairs in  $\Gamma_c$  and is independent of the choice of the pairs. If  $b^+ > \dim B + 1$ , then  $\text{SW}(\mathcal{X}/B, \mathcal{L}, \Theta) := \langle \mathcal{M}_{g_b, \eta_b}^s, \Theta \rangle$  is well defined for generic pairs in  $\Gamma$ .*

As a result, we are interested in the homotopy type of  $\Gamma \backslash \text{WA}(\mathcal{L})$ . We define the period bundle over  $B$ :

$$\mathcal{P} := \{(W_b, l_b) \in G_{b^+}^+(H^2(X_b; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(X_b, \mathbb{Z}) | l \text{ is not perpendicular to } W\}$$

Here,  $G_p^+$  means the Grassmannian space of positive  $b^+$ -plane in  $H^2(X_b; \mathbb{R})$ . By [24], the period bundle is homotopy equivalent to  $\Gamma \backslash \text{WA}(\mathcal{L})$ .

We can see that the homotopy type of the period bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  is homotopy equivalent to an  $S^{b^+-1}$  bundle over  $B$  through fibrewise homotopy.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X$  be complex torus  $T^4$  or its blow-ups  $T^4 \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ ,  $b^+(X) = 3$  and we calculate the family Seiberg-Witten equation of  $\{X_b | b \in S^2\}$  where  $X_b$  is diffeomorphic to  $X$ . In this case, the period bundle is homotopy equivalent to  $S^2 \times S^2$ , and the  $\mathcal{L}$ -chambers are graded by  $\pi_2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . In this special case, we call them winding number.

With chambers in the moduli space understood, we can begin to define the “pure” Family Seiberg-Witten invariant  $FSW_{\mathfrak{s}}$  of a smooth family  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Since the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}$  also acts on the determinant line bundle  $L$ ,  $(\mathcal{C}^* \times L)/\mathcal{G}$  defines a line bundle over  $\mathcal{X} \times (\mathcal{C}^*/\mathcal{G})$ . We define  $u$  to be the first Chern class of the line bundle and define  $H$  to be its restriction on  $\mathcal{C}^*/\mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Family Seiberg-Witten invariant  $FSW_{\mathfrak{s}}(FSW_{\mathfrak{s}, \Gamma_c})$  is defined to be

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g_b, \eta_b}^{\mathfrak{s}}} H^{d(\mathfrak{s}, B)/2}$$

when  $d(\mathfrak{s}, B)$  is even and every  $(g_b, \eta_b)$  is generic ( $\{(g_b, \eta_b)\}$  is generic in the given chamber  $\Gamma_c$ ).

*Remark 3.* In our case, we take  $\text{spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon$  such that the formal dimension  $d(\mathfrak{s}, B) = \frac{1}{4}(c_1^2(\mathfrak{s}_b) - 2\sigma - 3\mathcal{X}) + \dim B = 0$ . In the case that all solutions are irreducible, discrete and regular, we can calculate the Family Seiberg-Witten invariant by “solution counting”, i.e. the Family Seiberg Witten invariant  $FSW_B(\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon)$  is defined by to  $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}_{g_b, \eta_b}^{\mathfrak{s}}} (\pm 1)$ . Here,

the signs  $\pm 1$  in the counting depend on signs of the isolated solutions. For simplicity of calculation, starting from here, we’ll use **mod 2** Family Seiberg-Witten invariants.

There are some important properties of family Seiberg Witten invariants.

**Lemma 3.4.** ([24],[34]) *Given a family  $\{(g_b, \eta_b) | b \in B\}$  over a closed base  $B$ , and two  $\text{spin}^c$  structures  $\mathfrak{s}, -\mathfrak{s}$ , which are conjugate to each other, if the family  $\{(g_b, \eta_b) | b \in B\}$  has vanishing winding number with respect to both  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $-\mathfrak{s}$ , then*

$$FSW(g_b, \eta_b)(\mathfrak{s}) = FSW(g_b, \eta_b)(-\mathfrak{s}).$$

**Lemma 3.5.** ([13],[34]) *Suppose that we are given  $\text{spin}^c$  structures  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}'$  such that the restrictions  $\mathfrak{s}_b$  and  $\mathfrak{s}'_b$  have equal Chern classes:  $c_1(\mathfrak{s}_b) = c_1(\mathfrak{s}'_b)$ . If  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free, then their Family Seiberg-Witten invariants*

$$FSW(g_b, \eta_b)(\mathfrak{s}) = FSW(g_b, \eta_b)(\mathfrak{s}').$$

**3.2. Symplectic 4–manifold and Taubes-Seiberg-Witten invariant.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a closed connected oriented symplectic 4–manifold. We take an almost Kahler 3–tuple  $(\omega, g, J)$ , where  $J$  is compatible to  $\omega$  and  $g$  is determined by  $\omega$  and  $J$ . In this case, for a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure  $\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon$ , spinor bundles are  $S^+ = L_\epsilon \otimes (\Lambda^{0,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2})$  and  $S^- = L_\epsilon \otimes \Lambda^{0,1}$ . In this case, the Dirac operator is equal to  $\sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)$ . And the determinant line bundle corresponding to the  $\text{spin}^c$  structure is  $K_X^* \otimes L_\epsilon^2$ . For a connection  $A + 2B$  on the determinant line bundle, a spinor  $(\alpha, \beta)$  on  $S^+$ , and a “large” perturbation introduced by Taubes[36],[35]:  $\mu = F_{A_0}^+ - i\rho\omega$ , and the Seiberg-Witten equation will be of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}\alpha + \bar{\partial}^*\beta = 0 \\ 2F_B^{0,2} = \frac{\alpha^*\beta}{2} \\ 2(F_B^+)^{1,1} = \frac{i}{4}(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 - 4\rho)\omega \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

In our case, when  $\rho$  is large enough, there will be no reducible solutions over the family. Note that when  $0 < b^+ \leq \dim B + 1$ , construction above defines a chamber in  $\Gamma \backslash WA(\mathcal{L})$ , we call it Taubes-Seiberg-Witten chamber. And the corresponding Family Seiberg-Witten invariant in this chamber is called Taubes-Seiberg-Witten invariant.

By results of [36],[35],[20],[6], When  $d(\mathfrak{s}, B) = 0$ , after applying suitable perturbation, the solutions of Seiberg-Witten equation  $FSW_{\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon}$  is in one to one correspondence with the set of effective divisors in the class  $\epsilon$ . As a result, if  $\epsilon$  is not in  $H^{1,1}$ , then  $FSW_B(\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon)$  must be 0.

**Example 3.6.** In the case of Example 3.2,  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ . We can see that

$$\{u \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \langle u, [\omega] \rangle < 0\}$$

is a contractible open set of it. So we take a class  $u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  s.t.  $\langle u, [\eta_b + 2\pi c_1(\mathcal{L})]_{g_b}^+ \rangle < 0$ , then the family will correspond to 0 in  $\pi_2(S^2)$ . This defines a Taubes-Seiberg-Witten chamber.

**3.3. Kronheimer’s invariant and Smirnov’s  $q$ –invariant.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic 4-manifold and let again  $S_{[\omega]}$  be the space of symplectic forms deformation equivalent to the symplectic form  $\omega$  in cohomology class  $[\omega]$ . In this section, we’ll define a version of family Seiberg Witten invariant over a disk(or manifold with boundary) with certain boundary condition.

For a smooth family  $\mathcal{X} := \{X_b | b \in \mathbb{D}^{2k}\}$  s.t.  $X_b$  is diffeomorphic to  $X$  with a family of symplectic forms  $\{\omega_t\} \subseteq S_{[\omega]}$  defined on  $\partial D^{2k} \cong S^{2k-1}$ . Then we choose a smooth  $S^{2k-1}$  family of almost complex structures  $\{J_t | t \in S^{2k-1}\}$  compatible to  $\omega_t$  fiberwisely and get a family of almost Kahler metrics  $\{g_t | t \in S^{2k-1}\}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon$  be a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure over on the vertical tangent bundle  $T(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}^{2k})$  and let  $A_{0_t}$  be the Chern connection on  $K_X^*$  determined by  $g_t$ , then we define

$$\eta_t := -iF_{A_{0_t}}^+ - \rho\omega_t$$

We choose  $\rho > 0$  large enough so that

$$\int \langle \eta_t \rangle_{g_t} \wedge \omega + 2\pi \langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon) \rangle_{g_t} \wedge \omega < 0$$

And we choose a family  $\{\eta_t | t \in \mathbb{D}^{2k}\}$  of fiberwise  $g_b$ -self-dual 2 forms on  $X$  that agree with  $\eta_b$  on  $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2k}$  such that

$$\int \langle \eta_b \rangle_{g_b} \wedge \omega + 2\pi \langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon) \rangle_{g_b} \wedge \omega < 0$$

$\forall b \in \mathbb{D}^{2k}$ .

If an extension  $(g_b, \eta_b)$  satisfies the inequality above, we call it an admissible extension[34].

In other word, we take a section of the period bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  in the Taubes chamber.

By Kronheimer[20],[24], when we have

$$d(\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon, \mathbb{D}^{2k}) = 0, \quad \langle \epsilon, [\omega] \rangle \leq 0$$

we can count the solutions in the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{(g_b, \eta_b)}^{\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon}$ . This is an invariant of the symplectic family  $\{\omega_t | t \in S^{2k-1}\}$ . Moreover, this defines a homomorphism from  $\pi_{2k-1} S[\omega]$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Definition 3.7.** Kronheimer's invariant  $Q_\epsilon$  is defined as  $\#\{\text{points of } \mathcal{M}_{(g_b, \eta_b)}^{\mathfrak{s}_\epsilon}\} \pmod{2}$ .

Since the definition of  $Q_\epsilon$  depends on the Taubes chamber, so it also depends on deformation classes of family of symplectic form.

Now let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic torus and  $[\omega] = \kappa$  for some non-resonant positive class in  $H^2(T^4; \mathbb{Z})$  and let  $(X, \tilde{\omega})$  be  $n$ -point blow-up of  $(M, \omega)$ . We assume that the sum of the area of exceptional divisors are small enough and each of them is equal to  $\lambda$ .

We can identify  $\Delta$  with

$$\{\delta \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{Z}) | \delta^2 = 0, \delta \text{ primitive}, \langle \delta, \kappa \rangle > 0\} \quad (3.8)$$

Then we consider its subsets

$$\Delta_k := \{\delta \in \Delta | (k-1)\lambda < \langle \delta, \kappa \rangle < k\lambda\} \quad (3.9)$$

Here,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . For any  $\delta \in \Delta_k$  and a sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , the real formal dimension  $d(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}, B)$  of  $FSW_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}$  is equal to

$$\langle \delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}, \delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k} \rangle - \langle \delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}, e_1 + \dots + e_n \rangle + \dim B = -2k + \dim B \quad (3.10)$$

For a smoothly trivial family of symplectic manifolds  $\{(X_b, \omega_b) | b \in \mathbb{S}^{2k-1}\}$  s.t.  $X_b$  is diffeomorphic to  $T^4 \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , we can see the formal dimension is 0 and

$$\langle \delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}, \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n) \rangle < 0$$

so Kronheimer's invariant  $Q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}$  over the family is well-defined.

Recall in *subsection 2.4* we define a map  $\alpha_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n}$  between  $S_{\kappa, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n} \rightarrow S_{\kappa, (\lambda_1 - \mu_1), \dots, (\lambda_n - \mu_n)}$ . Smirnov[34] defines a homomorphism

$$Q_{\delta - e}^- := Q_{2e - \delta} \circ (\alpha_\mu)_* \quad (3.11)$$

for some positive  $\mu \in (0, \lambda)$  s.t.  $\langle 2e - \delta, \kappa - (\lambda - \mu)e \rangle < 0$  in the case of one point blowup of  $T^4$ . In our case, let  $I := \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ , we define

$$Q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}^- := Q_{2 \sum_{t \in I} e_t + \sum_{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} e_t - \delta} \circ \alpha_{\mu, \dots, \mu} \quad (3.12)$$

Here,  $\mu \in (0, \lambda)$  is a suitable small number such that

$$\langle 2 \sum_{t \in I} e_t + \sum_{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} e_t - \delta, \kappa - (\lambda - \mu)(e_1 + \dots + e_n) \rangle < 0$$

In this case, it's not hard to see that  $Q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}^-$  is a well-defined homomorphism from  $\pi_{2k-1}(S_{\kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)})$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  for any  $k \geq 1$ , then we can extend Smirnov's definition to higher dimension.

**Definition 3.8.** Suppose  $(X, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{J})$  is  $n$ -point blow up of an almost Kahler manifold  $(X_0, \omega, J)$  where  $(X_0, J)$  is a complex tori, and  $[\tilde{\omega}] = \pi^* \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$ . Here,  $\lambda$  is a small positive number.  $\forall \delta \in \Delta_\kappa$  and a sequence  $I := \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  where  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , we have two homomorphisms,  $Q_{\delta - \sum_{t \in I} (e_t)}$  and  $Q_{\delta - \sum_{t \in I} (e_t)}^-$ . Define

$$q_{\delta - \sum_{t \in I} (e_t)} = Q_{\delta - \sum_{t \in I} (e_t)} - Q_{\delta - \sum_{t \in I} (e_t)}^- : \pi_{2k-1}(S_{[\tilde{\omega}]}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

*Remark 4.* In Smirnov's paper,  $(\delta - e) + (2e - \delta) = e$  is the canonical class. In our case, the sum of  $\delta - \sum_{t \in I}$  and  $2 \sum_{t \in I} e_t + \sum_{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} e_t - \delta$  are also equal to the canonical class. The choice of the  $\text{spin}^c$  structure comes from conjugate symmetry of family Seiberg Witten invariant.

Now, we'll introduce some properties of  $q$ -invariant.

**Lemma 3.9.** *If a spherical family of symplectic forms  $\{\omega_t | t \in S^{2n-1}\}$  is given by a  $S^{2n-1}$  family of diffeomorphisms, i.e. there is a family of diffeomorphisms  $\{f_t | t \in S^{2n-1}\}$  s.t.  $f_t^* \omega_t = \omega$ , then  $q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}([\{\omega_t\}]) = 0$  for any  $\delta \in \Delta$  and any subsequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* In this case, we can transform  $q_{\delta - \sum_{t \in I} e_t}$  into a family of Seiberg-Witten invariants over a closed base. The proof is essentially the same as *Lemma 6* in [34].  $\square$

This lemma shows that  $q$ -invariants can be reduced to a homomorphism  $\bar{q}$  defined on  $\text{Ker}(\pi_{2k-2}(\text{Symp}_s(M, \omega)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-2}(\text{Diff}(M)))$ .

**Lemma 3.10.**  *$q$ -invariant is actually a homological invariant on the space of  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ , i.e. for any 0-homologous class  $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(\pi_{2k-2}(\text{Symp}_s(M, \omega)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k-2}(\text{Diff}(M)))$ ,  $\bar{q}(\alpha) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We suppose that for  $\{(M_b, \omega_b)\}$ , a symplectic bundle over  $S^{2k+1}$ , its monodromy  $\alpha \in \pi_{2k}(\text{Symp}(M))$  is 0-homologous, we can find a  $(2k+1)$ -simplex  $\beta$  s.t.  $\partial\beta = [\alpha]$ , the image of  $\alpha$  by Hurewicz homomorphism.

As a result,  $\beta$  defines a symplectic bundle  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  over a  $(2k+2)$ -manifold with boundary isomorphic to  $\{(M_b, \omega_b)\}$  i.e.

$$\partial\mathcal{F}_\beta - \alpha \in \text{Im}(\pi_{2k+1}(\text{Diff}(M)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k+1}S_{[\omega]}).$$

Now recall the construction of Kronheimer's model, we get a symplectic bundle over  $\mathbb{D}^{2k+2}$ , and we call it  $\mathcal{F}$ . Together with  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$  defined above, we get a family Seiberg-Witten equation over a symplectic bundle over a closed  $(2k+2)$ -dimensional manifold. And we can extend the perturbation family  $(g, \eta)$  from  $\mathbb{D}^{2k+1}$  to the whole family following the method above[20][33].

Since  $\langle [C], [\omega] \rangle$  is non-positive on  $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ , Kronheimer's invariant over the closed family will be the same as Kronheimer's invariant over  $\mathcal{F}$  family.

Now conjugation symmetry of FSW holds and we see Smirnov's invariant should be 0. As a result, we get the lemma.  $\square$

Now let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic  $K3$  surface and  $[\omega] = \kappa$  for some non-resonant positive class in  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$  and let  $(X, \tilde{\omega})$  be  $n$ -point blow up of  $(M, \omega)$ . We assume the sizes of the exceptional curves are all equal to  $\lambda$  and  $n\lambda$  is small enough.

For  $K3$  surface, we define

$$\Delta_{K3} := \{\delta \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \delta^2 = -2, \delta \text{ primitive}, \langle \delta, \kappa \rangle > 0\} \quad (3.13)$$

And we similarly define

$$\Delta_k := \{\delta \in \Delta \mid (k-1)\lambda < \langle \delta, \kappa \rangle < k\lambda\} \quad (3.14)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . There is a difference between  $K3$  surface case and torus case: over a base manifold  $B$ , the real formal dimension for  $K3$  surface is

$$d(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}) - 2k - 2 + \dim B$$

It will not be hard to see that higher dimensional  $q$ -invariants are also well defined for multiple-point blow ups of  $K3$  surfaces. And the property in Lemma 3.9 is also true for  $q$ -invariants for blowup of  $K3$  surfaces.

**3.4. Family blow up and Seiberg Witten equations on Kahler surfaces.** In this section, we'll prove some lemmas about transversality of solutions in a family Seiberg Witten equations over some blow up families. We'll introduce a blow up formula for isolated and regular solutions of FSW on Kahler families and some applications of it.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} := \{(X_t, \omega_t) \mid t \in B \cong \mathbb{D}^{2n}\}$  be a family of closed Kahler surface s.t. the holomorphic automorphism group on each fiber is discrete, or equivalently,  $H^0(X_t, \Theta_{X_t}) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Let  $\text{FSW}_B(\mathfrak{s}_\alpha)$  be a family Seiberg Witten equation defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  s.t. its unique solution is regular and represented by a curve  $C \in \alpha$  in the central fiber. Take family blow up along image of a fiberwise holomorphic map  $f : B \times \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  which is transverse to  $C$  in the central fiber, and get a Kahler family  $\mathcal{M}'$  over  $B \times \mathbb{D}^2$ . Then we get a unique and regular solution of  $\text{FSW}_{B \times \mathbb{D}^2}(\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha - e})$  represented by  $\tilde{C}$ . Here,  $e$  is homology class of the exceptional divisor and  $\tilde{C}$  is the proper transform of  $C$ .*

Let  $X$  be a closed Kahler surface, let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a deformation of  $X$  over  $(B, b)$ , and let  $C$  be an isolated irreducible curve in  $X$ . By [12],[20],[32],[36],[35]  $C$  represents a solution of  $\text{FSW}_B(\mathfrak{s}_{[C]})$ , and it's a regular solution if and only if the composition of  $KS|_{T_0 B}$  and the restriction homomorphism

$$r_* : H^1(X, \Theta_X) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X})$$



is surjective. Here,  $\Theta_X$  is the holomorphic tangent sheaf of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{C|X}$  is the normal bundle of  $C$ .

Recall the short exact sequence of sheaves of holomorphic sections on a general pair  $(X, C)$  of complex analytic surface  $X$  and holomorphic curve  $C$ .

$$0 \rightarrow \Theta_X(-\log C) \rightarrow \Theta_X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{C|X} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.15)$$

Here,  $\Theta_X(-\log C)$  is the sheaf of tangent vector fields on  $X$  which is tangent to  $C$ . The long exact sequence corresponding to (3.15) will be

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_X(-\log C)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_X) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X}) \\ \rightarrow H^2(X, \Theta_X(-\log C)) \rightarrow H^2(X, \Theta_X) \rightarrow H^2(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X}) \cong 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Since  $H^1(X, \Theta_X)$  is the tangent space of deformation functor  $\text{Def}_X$  and  $H^1(X, \Theta_X(-\log C))$  is the tangent space of deformation functor  $\text{Def}_{(X, C)}$ , Seiberg Witten invariant on closed Kahler surface is highly related to deformation of complex structures of the Kahler surface. By (3.16),  $r_*$  is surjective if and only if  $H^2(X, \Theta_X(-\log C)) \cong H^2(X, \Theta_X)$ , in other words, it is equivalent to the equation  $h^2(X, \Theta_X(-\log C)) = h^2(X, \Theta_X)$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** [11],[8] *Suppose  $r_*$  is surjective for  $(X, C)$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the blow up of  $X$  at a point  $p \in C$ , and let  $\tilde{C}$  be the proper transform. Then*

1.  $r_*$  is surjective for  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{C})$ .
2.  $h^1(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = h^1(X, \Theta_X) + 2$  and  $h^0(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = h^0(X, \Theta_X)$ .
3.  $h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}(-\log \tilde{C})) = h^2(X, \Theta_X(-\log C))$

*Proof.* By observing (3.16) for the pair  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{C})$ , we have

$$H^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}(-\log \tilde{C})) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \rightarrow 0$$

as a part of long exact sequence, so  $h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}(-\log \tilde{C})) \geq h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** (Flenner-Zaidenberg[11])

*Let  $(V, D)$  be a pair of smooth compact algebraic surface and a divisor  $D$  with at most simple normal crossings. Let  $\tilde{V}$  be blow up of  $V$  at a point on  $D$ , and  $\tilde{C}$  be  $\pi^{-1}(D)_{\text{red}}$ , then we have*

$$1. h^2(V, \Theta_V(-\log D)) = h^2(\tilde{V}, \Theta_{\tilde{V}}(-\log \tilde{D})) \quad (3.17)$$

$$\chi(\Theta_{V'}) = \chi(\Theta_V) - 2 \quad (3.18)$$

$$h^2(V', \Theta_{V'}(-\log \tilde{D})) = h^2(V, \Theta_V(-\log D)) \quad (3.19)$$

So we have  $h^2(X, \Theta_X(-\log C)) = h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}(-\log \tilde{C})) \geq h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$ .

Since  $r_*$  is surjective for  $(X, C)$ , we have

$$h^2(X, \Theta_X) = h^2(X, \Theta_X(-\log C)) = h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}(-\log \tilde{C})) \geq h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \quad (3.20)$$

By [8], we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \Theta_X \rightarrow N_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.21)$$

where  $N_p$  is the normal bundle of  $p$  in  $X$ . And we know  $R^1 \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ . Using Leray's spectral sequence, since the differential  $d^{2,0} : h^2(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \rightarrow H^0(X, R^1 \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$  is trivial,

$H^2(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$  converges to  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$ [37]. As a result,  $h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \geq h^2(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$ .  
Now consider the long exact sequence corresponding to (3.21):

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) &\rightarrow H^0(X, \Theta_X) \rightarrow H^0(p, N_p) \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow H^1(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_X) \rightarrow H^1(p, N_p) \cong 0 \\ &\rightarrow H^2(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \Theta_X) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

We have

$$h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \geq h^2(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) \geq h^2(X, \Theta_X) \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (3.20) and (3.23), we get the first conclusion in Lemma 3.12.

Since  $R^1 \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ , it will not be hard to see that  $h^0(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = h^0(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$  and  $h^1(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = h^1(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$ , actually, we can identify corresponding cohomology groups. By (3.22), we have

$$h^0(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) + c = H^0(X, \Theta_X), \quad h^1(X, \pi_* \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = (2 - c) + h^1(X, \Theta_X) \quad (3.24)$$

Here,  $c$  is rank of the kernel of connecting homomorphism  $\partial_0 : H^0(p, N_p) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$ ,  $c = 0, 1, 2$ .

Since  $\chi(\Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = \chi(\Theta_X) - 2$  and  $h^2(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) = h^2(X, \Theta_X)$ , we have  $2 - 2c = 2$ , so  $c = 0$ . We get the second conclusion. The third conclusion is part of the Lemma 3.13.  $\square$

In our case, we deal with curves with negative self-intersection, so we have  $C^2 < 0$ . So  $H^0(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X}) = 0$  and  $H^2(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X}) = 0$ . So the long exact sequence (3.16) reduces to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(V, \Theta_V(-\log D)) \rightarrow H^1(V, \Theta_V) \rightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{N}_{D|X}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.25)$$

when  $(V, D) = (X, C)$  or  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{C})$ .

The commutative diagram in Lemma 1.5 of [11] will give us a commutative diagram (3.4) in cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{C}|\tilde{X}}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ H^1(X, \Theta_X) & \longrightarrow & H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Diagram (3.4)

Here, we can identify  $H^i(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}})$  and  $H^i(X, \pi_*(\Theta_{\tilde{X}}))$ . By observing the diagram (3.4), it will not be hard to see that  $\ker(\gamma) \cong \mathbb{C}$  is contained in the image of  $r_*(\ker(\beta)) \subseteq H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X})$ .

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $X$  be a closed complex analytic surface and  $\text{Aut}(X)$  be the automorphism group of  $X$ . Suppose  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is discrete. Let  $p \in X$  be a point and  $B$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}^4$ . Let  $M$  be the blow up of  $X$  at  $p$  and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the deformation family defined by blowing up each point in  $B$ . Then  $\text{KS}_p(\mathbb{T}_p B) \cong \ker(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* By theorem I.4.1[26], a deformation  $\xi : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow (B, p)$  is trivial if and only if its Kodaira-Spencer map  $\text{KS}_\xi$  is 0 at  $T_p B$ .

To show the injectivity of the Kodaira Spencer map at  $T_p B$ , it suffices to show that there is no analytic submanifold  $N \subseteq B$  of dimension bigger than 0 which defines a trivial deformation. Since  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is discrete, we know that  $N$  with described property does not exist. As a result, rank of  $\text{KS}(T_p B)$  must be 2.

Naturally, the composition between  $\beta$  and  $\text{KS}_p$  is 0. Because of dimension reason,  $\text{KS}_p(T_p B) \cong \ker(\beta)$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to show Theorem 3.11.

*proof of 3.11.* By [36],[35],[20], solution to  $FSW_{B \times \mathbb{D}^2}(\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha-e})$  is one to one correspondent to curve in the class  $\alpha - e$ . Since curve in class  $\alpha$  only appear in the central fiber, curve in the class  $\alpha - e$  only appears in the fibers  $(b, 0)$ , the central fiber of the produce family. And it will not be hard to see there is a unique curve  $\tilde{C}$  in the fiber  $(b, 0)$ .

Since  $C$  is a regular solution, the  $\text{KS}_0(T_0 B)$  is surjective to  $H^1(C, \mathcal{N}_{C|X})$ . In order to show the transversality of  $\tilde{C}$ , we just need to show  $\text{KS}_0(T_0 \mathbb{D}^2) \cong \ker(\gamma)$ .

In 3.14, if we take family blow up of  $X$  along a holomorphic disk in  $C$  containing  $p$ , we get a deformation of pair of complex manifolds  $\{(X_t, C_t)\}$ , so the image of corresponding Kodaira Spencer map must be contained in  $\text{Im} : (H^1(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}(-\log \tilde{C})) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{X}, \Theta_{\tilde{X}}))$ . Because of the dimension reason, since we take disk intersecting  $C$  transversally, we can see  $\text{KS}_0(T_0 B) \cong \ker(\gamma)$ . That completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{M} := \{(X_t, \omega_t) | t \in B\}$  be a Kahler family over some oriented closed manifold  $B$ , and the holomorphic automorphism group on each fiber is discrete. If  $FSW_B(\mathfrak{s}_\alpha) = 1$  is represented by a curve  $C \in \alpha$  in one fiber  $b \in B$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a complex fiber bundle over  $B$ , with each fiber diffeomorphic to  $\Sigma_g$ , Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . We take a bundle map  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  s.t. on each fiber,  $f$  intersects  $C$  transversally,  $f_b$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\text{Im}(f_b) \cap C$ . Then we take family blow-up along the image of  $f$  and get a Kahler family  $\mathcal{M}'$  over  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then*

$$FSW_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha-e}) = \pm \langle [C], \text{Im}(f_b) \rangle$$

*Remark 5.* Note that this blow-up formula does not hold for general **almost Kahler** 4-manifold and isolated regular pseudo-holomorphic curves. Let  $X$  be blow-up of the elliptic surface  $E(3)$ , and  $\omega$  be a symplectic form on  $X$ . By [14],  $b^+$  of  $E(3)$  is equal to 5 and the canonical class is equal to  $F$ , the fiber class. By conjugate symmetry, we know  $\text{SW}(\mathfrak{s}_E) = \text{SW}(\mathfrak{s}_F)$ . If we take a generic complex structure  $J$  compatible to  $\omega$ , then  $\text{SW}(\mathfrak{s}_F)$  is represented by a pseudo-holomorphic curve  $C$  in class  $F$ . Now we take a family blow-up of  $X$  along a smooth map

$$f : \Sigma_1 \rightarrow X$$

with following properties:

1.  $f_*([\Sigma_g]) = F - E$ .
2.  $f$  has the property described in Corollary 3.15. In other word, we take the standard almost complex structure near the exceptional curve  $E$ , and  $f$  is  $J$ -holomorphic near  $E$ ,

intersecting  $E$  transversally.

3.  $\text{Im}(f)$  is disjoint from  $C$ .

We denote by  $E'$  the exceptional divisor we get from the family blow-up.

It will not be hard to see  $\text{FSW}(\mathfrak{s}_{F-E'}) = \text{FSW}(\mathfrak{s}_{E-E'}) = 1$ , so the formula becomes invalid. In some sense, this example shows there is some essential difference between family Seiberg-Witten invariant on closed Kahler surface and family Seiberg-Witten invariant on a general almost Kahler surface.

#### 4. CONSTRUCTION OF PARAMETER SPACES AND CALCULATION OF TORUS

**4.1. Moduli spaces of complex structures and Kahler families.** For the sake of writing convenience, in *subsection 4.1, subsection 4.2*, we'll use  $\mathcal{M}$  instead of  $\mathcal{M}_{T^4}$  to denote the period domain of  $T^4$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$  denote polarized period domain of  $T^4$  similarly. Again,  $\kappa$  is some non-resonant positive class on  $H^2(T^4; \mathbb{R})$ .

Let

$$\Delta := \{\delta \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \delta \text{ is primitive, } \delta^2 = 0, \langle \delta, \kappa \rangle > 0\} \quad (4.1)$$

For any  $\delta \in \Delta$ , we can define a hyperplane in  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$H_\delta := \{u \in \mathcal{M} \mid \langle u, \delta \rangle = 0\} \quad (4.2)$$

For any complex structure on  $T^4$  parametrized by  $u \in H_\delta$ , we have elliptic fibration on  $T^4$  with fiber in the class  $\delta$ .

It's not hard to see that  $H_\delta$  intersects  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$  transversally. We define  $H_\kappa^\delta$  to be their intersection.

For  $\delta' \neq \delta \in \Delta$ , we can see that the intersection between  $H_\kappa^\delta$  and  $H_\kappa^{\delta'}$  is transverse, and there are only countable many elements in  $\Delta$ , so we can take a generic  $u_0 \in H_\kappa^\delta$ , i.e.  $u_0 \notin H_{\delta'}$  for all  $\delta' \neq \delta$  and we can take a holomorphic disk  $\mathbb{D}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\kappa$ , intersecting  $H_\kappa^\delta$  transversally at  $u_0$ .

The complex structure parameterized by  $u_0 \in H_\kappa^\delta$  defines a fibration  $\pi_\delta$  and homology class of the fibers  $F_\delta \subseteq T^4$  is equal to  $\delta$ .

Considering  $\pi_\delta$  as a smooth map defined on  $T^4$ , we can define

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{M} \times \text{Conf}_n(T^4) := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M} \times (T^4)^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i, j\} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{P} := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M} \times (T^4)^n \mid x_1 = [0, 0], x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i, j\} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\kappa := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P} \mid u \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa\} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) := \{(u_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P} \mid \pi_\delta(x_{i_1}) = \pi_\delta(x_{i_2}) = \dots = \pi_\delta(x_{i_k})\} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) := \{(u_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa \mid \pi_\delta(x_{i_1}) = \pi_\delta(x_{i_2}) = \dots = \pi_\delta(x_{i_k})\} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k) := (\mathbb{D}_\delta \times \text{Conf}_n(T^4)) \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa \quad (4.8)$$

$\bar{\mathcal{P}}$  is a deformation space of complex structures on  $T^4 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  by following construction:

For a complex structure  $J_u$  on  $T^4$  and pairwise different points  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in T^4$ , we blow up the complex torus  $T^4$  at  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

$\mathcal{P}$  is a subspace of  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$  consisting of a fixed  $x_1$ , the position of the first exceptional curve.

$\mathcal{P}_\kappa$  is the deformation space of complex structures on  $T^4 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  s.t.  $u$  is  $\kappa$ -polarized. By

the property of the ample cone, if the pairing  $\langle \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n), C \rangle$  is positive for any curve  $C$  on  $(\mathbb{T}^4, J_u)$ , then  $\pi^* \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$  is a Kahler class of the surface represented by  $(u, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . In this case, we also fix the point  $x_1 = [0, 0]$ .

$\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  defines a deformation space of complex  $\mathbb{T}^4$  that admits an embedded elliptic curve in the class  $\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}$ .

$\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is defined similarly.

It is easy to see all the spaces defined above are complex manifolds and  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  is a submanifold in  $\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} k$ .

*Remark 6.* We can see that the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $2n + 4$  as a complex manifold, dimension of  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$  is  $2n + 3$ , dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is  $2n - k - 1$  and the dimension of  $\mathcal{D}^\delta$  is  $2n - 1$ .

**4.2. Family of marked polarized surfaces and Evaluation of  $q$ -invariant.** In this section, let  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$  be  $\kappa$ -polarized period domain for a non-resonant  $\kappa$ , and let  $\lambda$  be a positive number s.t.  $n\lambda$  is small enough. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \lambda, \dots, \lambda}$  be as in *subsection 2.2*, we know it's open and dense in  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ .

Let  $B$  be a smooth submanifold in  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ , and since  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$  is contractible parameter space of complex structures, there is a smooth family  $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B$  of  $n$ -point blow-ups of complex  $\mathbb{T}^4$ .

We define  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ , let the positive cone of  $T^4$  be one component of

$$\{v \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{R}) | v^2 > 0\} \quad (4.9)$$

Then the locally constant sheaf with value in  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^n$  defines a smooth fiber bundle  $\bar{\Lambda}$  over  $B$ . By Demaily and Paun[10], given any section  $s : B \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}$  s.t.  $\langle s(b), [C] \rangle > 0$  for every curve  $C$  in the surface  $\mathcal{B}_b$ , we can get a family  $\{(X_b, s(b)) | b \in B\}$  of pair (complex manifold, Kahler class of the manifold) s.t.  $X_b$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{T}^4$ .

We identify the normal bundle of  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with a tubular neighborhood of it, and define  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  to be a fiber of the normal bundle, intersecting  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  at a generic point, i.e. the intersecting point is  $(u, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $u$  a generic point in  $H_\delta$ . Then we define  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  to be the boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . Applying suitable perturbation if necessary, we can assume that  $\forall (u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k), u \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \lambda, \dots, \lambda}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Complex families  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of  $n$ -point blow-up of  $\mathbb{T}^4$  are defined as above.

It's easy to see  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  defines a smoothly trivial family of complex manifolds, so we identify the bundle  $\bar{\Lambda}$  over  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  as a product  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^n \times \mathbb{D}^{2k}$ .

By [10],  $\kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$  is Kahler class of any fiber on  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . And we can extend the constant section over  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  to a section of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}^n \times \mathbb{D}^{2k}$  over  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ .

By [19], we can take a family of Kahler forms in the Kahler classes obtained above. Then the restriction of Kahler forms in  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  defines an element in  $\pi_{2k-1}(S_{\pi^* \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)})$ .

We call it  $[S_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)]$ .

We define

$$I_{k,n} := \{\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} | 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\} \quad (4.10)$$

For  $\lambda$  with properties described above, we can define the index sets  $\Delta_k$  as in *subsection 3.3*. By *section 3*, for every  $\delta \in \Delta_k$  and any sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , we can define the homomorphism

$$q_{k,n} := \bigoplus_{(\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Delta_k \oplus I_{k,n}} q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}} : \pi_{2k-1}(S_{[\tilde{\omega}]}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Delta_k \oplus I_{k,n}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (4.11)$$

Now we are ready to prove part 1 of Theorem *Introduction Theorem A*.

*proof of part 1 in Theorem Introduction Theorem A.* For any fixed  $n$  and  $k$ , applying suitable negative inflation on the family symplectic forms in  $\kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , we can see there will be no curve in the class  $2 \sum_{t \in I} e_t + \sum_{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} e_t - \delta$  because of the area. As a result,  $Q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}^-( [S_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)] ) = 0$ .

By the work of Taubes, since  $\delta - (e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k})$  is not in  $(1, 1)$  class on any non-central fiber of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . And because of positivity of intersection, there is only one curve on central fiber in the class  $\delta - (e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k})$ . In conclusion, there is only one solution for  $FSW_{\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)}(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}})$  on the central fiber, and it remains to show the transversality of the solution. Without loss of generality, we'll prove the transversality of the solution over  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)$  and show it by induction on  $n$ .

By Theorem 3.11, if the holomorphic automorphism group on  $T^4 \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  is discrete, we can show the transversality by induction.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Automorphism group on  $n$ -point blow up of complex torus  $T^4$  is discrete for any  $n > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_n$  be  $n$ -point blow-up of a complex torus, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collection of exceptional curves in the surface, and let  $A$  be an automorphism of  $X_n$ . So  $A(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $A$  reduces to an automorphism on  $T^4$  fixing a finite set on  $T^4$ .

Suppose the minimal model is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ . After composing the transition group action defined by

$$(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : [(x, y)] \rightarrow [(x + a, y + b)]$$

we get an automorphism of the lattice  $\Lambda$ . Then we can see the automorphism group of  $k$ -point blow up of  $T^4$  can be identified with a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ . So  $\text{Aut}(X_n)$  must be discrete for any  $n > 0$ .  $\square$

By the result of Smirnov[32], we know the transversality of  $FSW_{\delta - e}$  over  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1)$  for one point blow up of  $T^4$ .

Suppose we have transversality for the family Seiberg Witten equation

$$FSW_{\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n-1)}(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_1 - \dots - e_{n-1}})$$

and curve on central fiber is  $C$ . Recall the construction of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n-1, n)$ , locally, we can get it from a family blow up along a disk family in  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n-1)$ , and the disk on central fiber is transverse to  $C$  in the central fiber. Since the automorphism group on each fiber is discrete, by Theorem 3.11, we get the transversality of  $FSW_{\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)}(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_1 - \dots - e_n})$ .

In conclusion, the family Seiberg Witten equation  $FSW_{\mathfrak{s}_{\delta-(e_1+\dots+e_n)}}^{\delta}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}^{\delta}(1, \dots, n)$  has unique solution on the central fiber and the solution is regular, so

$$q_{\delta-(e_1+\dots+e_n)}([S_{\kappa}^{\delta}(1, \dots, n)]) = Q_{\delta-(e_1+\dots+e_n)}([S_{\kappa}^{\delta}(1, \dots, n)]) = 1 \quad (4.12)$$

By the assumption that  $u_0$  is generic in  $H_{\delta}$ , we know that for any  $\delta' \neq \delta \in \Delta_k$ ,  $\delta'$  is not a  $(1, 1)$ -class on any fiber of  $\mathcal{F}_{\kappa}^{\delta}(1, \dots, n)$ , so

$$q_{\delta'-(e_1+\dots+e_n)}([S_{\kappa}^{\delta}(1, \dots, n)]) = 0$$

As a result,  $q_{n,n}$  in (4.11) is surjective when  $I_{k,n} = I_{n,n} = \{(1, 2, \dots, n)\}$ .

On  $n$ -point blow up of complex  $T^4$ , let  $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  be any element of  $I_{k,n}$ . By similar method as above, we can get transversality of solutions of family Seiberg Witten equation, and we can see that

$$q_{\delta-(e_{i_1}+\dots+e_{i_k})}([S_{\kappa}^{\delta}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 1 \pmod{2} \quad (4.13)$$

$$q_{\delta'-(e_{i'_1}+\dots+e_{i'_k})}([S_{\kappa}^{\delta}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 0 \pmod{2} (\forall (\delta', i'_1, \dots, i'_k) \neq (\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k)) \quad (4.14)$$

As a result,  $q_{k,n}$  is also surjective. Combining (4.13) with 3.9, we completes part 1 of *IntroductionTheorem A*.  $\square$

*Remark 7.* By the work of Lalonde-Pinsonnault[22],[30], then there is a homotopy fibration

$$\text{Symp}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M, \omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{SEmb}(B(r), M) \quad (4.15)$$

Where  $(M, \omega)$  is a 4-dimensional symplectic manifold,  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$  is blow-up of  $(M, \omega)$  s.t. size of exceptional divisor is minimal and  $\mathfrak{SEmb}(B(r), M)$  is the space of image set of symplectic ball embeddings. The fibration (4.15) naturally defines a homomorphism

$$p_k : \pi_k(\text{Symp}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})) \rightarrow \pi_k(\text{Symp}(M, \omega)) \quad (4.16)$$

In  $n$ -point blow-up of a symplectic  $T^4$ , the monodromy of  $[S_{\kappa}^{\delta}(i_1, \dots, i_k)](\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\})$  can be defined by applying a series of  $p_k$  homomorphisms to an element in  $\pi_{2k-2}(\text{Symp}(T^4 \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega))$ .

**4.3. Kahler families for K3 surface and calculation.** In this subsection, we'll denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the period domain of K3 surface  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}$  denote the polarized period domain of K3 surface. Again, let  $\kappa$  be some non-resonant positive class on  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  and  $\lambda$  is a positive number s.t.  $n\lambda$  is small and  $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \lambda, \dots, \lambda}$  is open and dense.

In this section, we'll denote by  $\Delta$  the index set  $\Delta_{K3}$  (3.13). Similar to *subsection 4.2*, we define

$$H_{\delta} := \{u \in \mathcal{M} | \langle u, \delta \rangle = 0\} \quad (4.17)$$

$$H_{\kappa}^{\delta} := H_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\kappa} \quad (4.18)$$

For any complex K3 surface  $X_u$  parametrized by  $u \in H_{\delta}$ , there is a rational  $(-2)$  curve  $C \in \delta$  in  $X$ . By intersection positivity, the curve  $C$  is unique on  $X_u$ , we can call it  $C_u$ .

Also, we call a point  $u$  on  $H_\kappa^\delta$  generic if  $u \notin H_\kappa^{\delta'}$  for any  $\delta' \neq \delta$ . Then we define the parameter spaces

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{M} \times \text{Conf}_n(X) := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{M} \times (X)^n \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for all } i, j\} \quad (4.19)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\kappa := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P} \mid u \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa\} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) := \{(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{P} \mid x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} \in C_u\} \quad (4.21)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) := \mathcal{H}^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k) \cap \mathcal{P}_\kappa \quad (4.22)$$

$\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is defined as a fiber of the normal bundle of  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\kappa$ , intersecting  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  at a generic point  $(u, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  i.e.  $u \notin H_\kappa^{\delta'}$  for any  $\delta' \neq \delta$ . And  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is defined as the boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . Applying a suitable perturbation if necessary, we assume that for any  $(u, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa, \lambda, \dots, \lambda}$ .

Similar as what we do in *subsection 4.2*, we take a family of Kahler forms over  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  s.t. its restriction on  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  defines an element in  $\pi_{2k-1}(S_{\pi^* \kappa - \lambda(e_1 + \dots + e_n)})$ . We call it  $[S_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)]$ .

Recall the definition (4.10) and the index sets  $\Delta_k$  for  $K3$  surfaces *subsection 3.3*, we can define the homomorphism

$$q_{k,n} := \bigoplus_{(\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Delta_k \oplus I_{k,n}} q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}} : \pi_{2k+1}(S_{[\tilde{\omega}]}, \tilde{\omega}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Delta_k \oplus I_{k,n}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad (4.23)$$

Now we are ready to prove part 2 of Theorem *Introduction Theorem A*.

*proof of part 2 in Theorem Introduction Theorem A.* For any fixed  $n$  and  $k$ , applying suitable negative inflation on the family symplectic forms in  $\kappa - \lambda(t)(e_1 + \dots + e_n)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , there will be no curve in the class  $2 \sum_{t \in I} e_t + \sum_{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I} e_t - \delta$  because of the area. As a result,

$$Q_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}}^-([S_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 0$$

Since  $\delta - (e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k})$  is not in  $(1, 1)$  class on any non-central fiber of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , so only the central fiber admits a solution of the family Seiberg-W Witten equation. And because of the positivity of the intersection, there is only one curve in the central fiber in the class  $\delta - (e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k})$ . In conclusion, there is only one solution for  $FSW_{\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)}(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}})$  on the central fiber.

Before we show the transversality of the solution, we introduce an important fact.

**Proposition 4.3.** ([4]) *Let  $X$  be a  $K3$  surface, an automorphism of  $X$  inducing identity on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is the identity.*

As a result, we can see that the automorphism group of  $X$  or  $X \# n \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) must be discrete.

Again, without loss of generality, we'll show transversality of  $FSW_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)$ . When  $n = 0$ , we can define  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta$  to be a disk intersecting  $H_\kappa^\delta$  transversely. By [33], we get a unique and transversal solution of the family Seiberg Witten equation. By an induction process similar to Theorem 3.11 and our construction, we can get the transversality of the family Seiberg Witten equations

$$FSW_{\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)}(\mathfrak{s}_{\delta - e_1 - \dots - e_n})$$



As a result,  $q_{\delta-e_1-\dots-e_n}([\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)]) = 1$ . Because the intersection point of  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\kappa^\delta(1, \dots, n)$  is generic, we know for any  $\delta' \neq \delta$ ,  $\delta' - e_1 - \dots - e_n$  is never in  $(1, 1)$ -class of any fiber, so  $q_{\delta'-e_1-\dots-e_n}([\mathcal{S}_\kappa^{\delta'}(1, \dots, n)]) = 0$ .

In conclusion,  $q$  is a surjective homomorphism from  $\pi_{2n+1}(S_{[\tilde{\omega}]})$  to  $\bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta_k} \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Similarly, we have  $q_{\delta-e_{i_1}-\dots-e_{i_k}}([\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 1$  and  $q_{\delta'-e_{i'_1}-\dots-e_{i'_k}}([\mathcal{S}_\kappa^{\delta'}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 0$ .

So  $q$  is also a surjective homomorphism from  $\pi_{2k+1}(S_{\tilde{\omega}}, \omega)$  to  $\bigoplus_{(\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \Delta_k \oplus I_{k,n}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ . That

completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 8.* When  $\kappa$  is non-resonant, we don't have the boundary condition for Kronheimer's invariant, so we can't apply this method to detect symplectic mapping class group of  $K3$  surface.

#### 4.4. Symplectomorphism group of multiple-point blow-up of Enriques surface.

Recall the definition of an Enriques surface, it is a complex surface

$$Y = X/\{id, \rho\}$$

defined as the quotient of an involution  $\rho$  on a  $K3$  surface  $X$ . By the result of Bogomolov[5], we know for a Kahler class  $\kappa \in H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\rho^*\kappa = \kappa$ , there is a Kahler form  $\omega$  representing  $\kappa$ . If  $\{\langle \kappa, \delta \rangle | \delta \in \Delta\}$  is a dense subset of some neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ , similar to the case of non-resonant class, we can define the index set  $\Delta$  and for some positive number  $\lambda$  with  $n\lambda$  small enough, we define the index sets  $\Delta_k$  similarly. Since in the cases,  $\Delta_k$  will still be infinite.

Since  $b^+$  of an Enriques surface is equal to 1, it's not easy for us to calculate family Seiberg Witten equation on family of Enriques surface. So we will correspond Kronheimer's fibration of Enriques surface to an equivariant version of Kronheimer's fibration on  $K3$  surface.

Now we introduce the equivariant version of Kronheimer's fibration:

$$\text{Symp}^\pi(M, \pi^*\omega) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^\pi(M) \rightarrow S_{[\omega]}^\pi, \quad (4.24)$$

Here,  $\pi$  is the a covering map  $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  between two smooth oriented symplectic 4-manifolds.

$$\text{Symp}^\pi(M, \tilde{\omega}) := \{f \in \text{Symp}(M, \pi^*\omega) | f \text{ induces an element } \bar{f} \in \text{Symp}(\bar{M}, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(\bar{M}), \text{ in diagram (3), and } f^* = id \text{ on homology}\} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\text{Diff}_0^\pi(M) := \{f \in \text{Diff}(M) | f \text{ induces an element } \bar{f} \in \text{Diff}_0(\bar{M}) \text{ in diagram (3), and } f^* = id \text{ on homology}\} \quad (4.26)$$

$$S_{[\omega]}^\pi := \{\pi^*\omega' | \omega' \in S_{[\omega]}\} \quad (4.27)$$

It is not hard to see that both  $\text{Symp}^\pi$  and  $\text{Diff}^\pi$  are groups, and  $S_{[\omega]}^\pi$  can be identified with  $S_{[\omega]}$ , the chosen component of the space of symplectic forms on  $\bar{M}$ . Consider the natural projections

$$p_{\text{symp}} : \text{Symp}^\pi(M, \pi^*\omega) \rightarrow \text{Symp}(\bar{M}, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(\bar{M}), \quad p_{\text{diff}} : \text{Diff}_0^\pi(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(\bar{M})$$




FIGURE 3. Commutative diagram:  $\text{Symp}^\pi$  and  $\text{Diff}^\pi$

**Lemma 4.4.**  $\text{Symp}^\pi(M, \pi^*\omega)$  and  $\text{Diff}^\pi(M)$  are both subgroups of  $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ . Both  $p_{\text{symp}}$  and  $p_{\text{diff}}$  are isomorphisms between Lie groups.

*Proof.* For any diffeomorphism  $f \in \text{Diff}^\pi$ , since  $\bar{f}$  is isotopic to identity, we can take an isotopy  $H : I \times \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{M}$  where  $H_0 = \text{id}$  and  $H_1 = \bar{f}$ . Since  $\pi : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is a covering map, the isotopy  $H$  can be lifted to an isotopy  $\tilde{H}$  on  $M$  where  $\tilde{H}_0 = \text{id}$ . We claim that  $\tilde{H}_1 = f$ . For any  $f, g \in \text{Diff}(X)$ , and  $x \in X$ ,  $\bar{f}(\bar{x}) = \bar{g}(\bar{x})$  on  $\bar{X}$  if and only if  $f(x) = \alpha \circ g(x)$  for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the group of deck transformations on  $X$ . So  $\tilde{H}_1 = f$  or  $\alpha \circ f$ . And since the action of  $\alpha$  on homology is trivial if and only if  $\alpha = \text{id}$ , we can see that  $\tilde{H}_1 = f$ . In conclusion,  $\text{Diff}_0^\pi$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ . And similarly,  $\text{Symp}^\pi(X, \tilde{\omega})$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Symp}(X, \tilde{\omega}) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X)$ .

Now we begin to show that  $p_{\text{symp}}$  and  $p_{\text{diff}}$  are injective. Suppose  $f \in \text{Diff}^\pi(X)$  and  $\bar{f} = \text{id}$ . A diffeomorphism covering  $\text{id}_{\bar{X}}$  must be  $\text{id}_X$  or  $\alpha$ , so  $f = \text{id}_X$ . Similar conclusion holds for  $p_{\text{symp}}$ .

Also, by construction of the isotopy  $H$ , we know that both  $p_{\text{symp}}$  and  $p_{\text{diff}}$  are surjective.  $\square$

As a result, for a  $K3$  surface which admits holomorphic projection to an Enriques surface  $Y$ ,  $\text{Symp}(Y, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(Y)$  and  $\text{Diff}_0(Y)$  can be naturally identified with subgroups of  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega)$  and  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ , respectively. If we have a family of  $\rho$ -invariant symplectic forms  $\mathcal{S} := \{(\omega_t | t \in S^k)\}$  over  $X$  in the class  $[\omega_0]$  s.t.  $[\mathcal{S}] \in \pi_k(S_{[\omega_0]})$  is not in the image of  $p_* : \pi_k(\text{Diff}_0(X)) \rightarrow \pi_k(S_{[\omega_0]})$ , then its monodromy in  $\pi_{k-1}(\text{Symp}^\pi(X, \omega))$  will be non-trivial.

Let's recall the definition of  $\mathcal{M}_{K3}^-$  in subsection 2.2 and write it as  $\mathcal{M}^-$  for simplicity. Since any  $u \in \mathcal{M}^-$  is represented by a holomorphic 2-form  $\alpha$  s.t.  $\rho^*\alpha = -\alpha$ , when  $\kappa$  is  $\rho^*$ -invariant, we can see that  $\mathcal{M}^-$  must be contained in  $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ . As a result, for any Kahler class  $\bar{\kappa}$  on Enriques surface, the period domain  $\mathcal{M}_{En}$  is just the same as  $\bar{\kappa}$ -polarized period domain. As in the previous sections, the transversal intersection  $H_\kappa^\delta \cap \mathcal{M}^-$  defines a hyperplane in  $\mathcal{M}^-$ , which reduces to a hyperplane in  $\mathcal{M}_{En}$ .

For  $\delta \in \Delta_k$ , we know the intersection between  $H_\delta$  and  $\mathcal{M}^-$  is transverse. As in subsection 4.3, we define

$$H_{\bar{\delta}} := \{\bar{u} \in \mathcal{M}_{En} | u \in \mathcal{M}^- \setminus \cup_{\delta' \in V[-1]} H_{\delta'} \text{ and } u \in H_\delta\} \quad (4.28)$$

Notice that  $\bar{\delta} = \overline{\rho^*\delta}$ . Similarly as in the previous sections, we define ‘‘generic point’’  $\bar{u}$  in the hyperplane  $H_{\bar{\delta}}$  to be the points  $\bar{u} \notin H_{\bar{\delta}'}$  for any  $\delta' \neq \delta$  or  $\rho^*\delta$ .

And we can define the parameter spaces

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{M}_{En} \times \text{Conf}_n(Y) \quad (4.29)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^\delta(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) := \{(\bar{u}, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{P} \mid y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k} \in C_u\} \quad (4.30)$$

Here,  $C_u$  is the unique rational  $(-2)$  curve in  $Y$  in class  $\bar{\delta}$ .

Again, we define  $\mathcal{F}^{\bar{\delta}}(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  as a fiber of normal bundle of  $\mathcal{H}^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ . And the intersection point is  $(\bar{u}, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  s.t.  $u$  is a generic point. Following the construction in subsection 4.3, we get a  $S^{2k+1}$  Kahler family  $\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  and  $[\mathcal{S}_\kappa^\delta(i_1, \dots, i_k)] \in \pi_{2k+1}(S_{[\omega]}^\pi) \cong \pi_{2k+1}(S_{[\pi^*\omega]}^\pi)$ . Now, we get a  $S^{2k+1}$  Kahler family of  $2n$ -point blowup of  $K3$  surfaces. We define

$$\mathcal{E} := \{e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2n}\} \quad (4.31)$$

And  $\rho$  induces an involution on  $\mathcal{E} : e_i \rightarrow e_{n+i} \pmod{2n}$ . Applying the guage theoretic invariant  $q$  on  $K3$  surface to  $p_{\text{symp}}^*[\mathcal{S}^{\bar{\delta}}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]$ , we get

$$q_{\delta, i_1, \dots, i_k}(p_{\text{symp}}^*[\mathcal{S}^{\bar{\delta}}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = q_{\rho^*(\delta), \rho^*i_1, \dots, \rho^*i_k}(p_{\text{symp}}^*[\mathcal{S}^{\bar{\delta}}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 1$$

and  $q_{\delta', i'_1, \dots, i'_k}([\mathcal{S}^{\bar{\delta}}(i_1, \dots, i_k)]) = 0$  for any other  $(\delta', i'_1, \dots, i'_k)$ .

In conclusion, the image of  $q_{k, 2n}$  is always an infinitely generated group. So  $\pi_{2k}(Y \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)$  is infinitely generated for any Kahler form  $\omega$  in any Kahler class  $[\omega]$  with the prescribed property,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(Y \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)$  be  $n$ -point blow up of a Kahler Enriques surface  $(Y, \omega)$  s.t.  $\{\langle \omega, \delta \rangle \mid \delta \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}), \delta^2 = -2\}$  is a dense subset in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We assume the size of exceptional curves are equal and the of them is small enough. Then*

$$\text{Ker}(\iota_* : \pi_{2k}(\text{Symp}(Y \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)) \rightarrow \pi_{2k}(\text{Diff}(Y \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)))$$

*is infinitely generated for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .*

By Lemma (3.10), we see  $q$  actually is a homological invariant. Since the homotopy classes in  $\text{Symp}(Y \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)$  which can be detected by  $q$  form an infinitely generated group, we have

**Corollary 4.6.** *The symplectomorphism group of Enriques surface  $\text{Symp}(Y, \omega)$  is not homotopy equivalent to any finite CW complex when  $\Delta_k^\rho([\omega])$  is infinite for some  $k$ .*

*Remark 9.* Actually, we can get (4.6) by [17], but Kedra shows it by proving rational homotopy of  $\text{Symp}(M \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2})$  is of infinite dimensional.

To the author's knowledge,  $\text{Symp}(Y \# n\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}, \omega)$  is the first example with infinitely generated  $\pi_k$  for symplectic manifold with  $b^+ = 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Miguel Abreu, Gustavo Granja, and Nitu Kitchloo. Compatible complex structures on symplectic rational ruled surfaces. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 148:539–600, 2006.
- [2] Silvia Anjos, Jarek Kedra, and Martin Pinsonnault. Embeddings of symplectic balls into the complex projective plane. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.00556*, 2023.

- [3] Sílvia Anjos, Jun Li, Tian-Jun Li, and Martin Pinsonnault. Stability of the symplectomorphism groups of rational surfaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2023.
- [4] Wolf Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4. Springer, 2015.
- [5] Fedor A. Bogomolov. Kähler manifolds with trivial canonical class. *Mathematics of The Ussr-izvestiya*, 8:9–20, 1974.
- [6] Steven B Bradlow. Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed kähler manifolds. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 135:1–17, 1990.
- [7] Nicholas Buchdahl. On compact kähler surfaces. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 49:287–302, 1999.
- [8] D. Burns and Jonathan M. Wahl. Local contributions to global deformations of surfaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 26:67–88, 1974.
- [9] Olguta Buse. Negative inflation and stability in symplectomorphism groups of ruled surfaces. *Journal of Symplectic Geometry*, 9:147–160, 2011.
- [10] Jean-Pierre Demailly and Mihai Paun. Numerical characterization of the kähler cone of a compact kähler manifold. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 1247–1274, 2004.
- [11] Hubert Flenner and Mikhail Zaidenberg. Q-acyclic surfaces and their deformations. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 162:143–143, 1994.
- [12] Robert Friedman and John W Morgan. Obstruction bundles, semiregularity, and seiberg-witten invariants. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 7(3):451–495, 1999.
- [13] Robert E Gompf. Spinc-structures and homotopy equivalences. *Geometry & Topology*, 1(1):41–50, 1997.
- [14] Robert E Gompf and András I Stipsicz. *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, volume 20. American Mathematical Society, 2023.
- [15] Mikhael Gromov. Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 82(2):307–347, 1985.
- [16] Eiji Horikawa. On the periods of enriques surfaces. i. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*, 53(3):124–127, 1977.
- [17] Jarosław Kedra. Evaluation fibrations and topology of symplectomorphisms. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 133(1):305–312, 2005.
- [18] Kunihiko Kodaira. *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, volume 283. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [19] Kunihiko Kodaira and Donald Clayton Spencer. On deformations of complex analytic structures, iii. stability theorems for complex structures. *Annals of Mathematics*, 71:43, 1960.
- [20] P Kronheimer. Some non-trivial families of symplectic structures.(1997).
- [21] Peter Kronheimer and Tomasz Mrowka. Monopoles and three-manifolds. (*No Title*), 2007.
- [22] François Lalonde and Martin Pinsonnault. The topology of the space of symplectic balls in rational 4-manifolds. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 122:347–397, 2002.
- [23] Janko Latschev, Dusa McDuff, and Felix Schlenk. The gromov width of 4-dimensional tori. *Geometry & Topology*, 17(5):2813–2853, 2013.
- [24] Tian-Jun Li and Ai-Ko Liu. Family seiberg-witten invariants and wall crossing formulas. *arXiv preprint math/0107211*, 2001.
- [25] Jianfeng Lin. The family seiberg-witten invariant and nonsymplectic loops of diffeomorphisms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.12082*, 2022.
- [26] Marco Manetti et al. Lectures on deformations of complex manifolds. *Rend. Mat. Appl.(7)*, 24:1–183, 2004.
- [27] Marco Manetti et al. *Lie methods in deformation theory*. Springer, 2022.
- [28] Dusa McDuff. Almost complex structures on  $S^2 \times S^2$ . *arXiv: Symplectic Geometry*, 1998.
- [29] Dusa McDuff. Symplectomorphism groups and almost complex structures. *arXiv preprint math/0010274*, 2000.
- [30] Martin Pinsonnault. Symplectomorphism groups and embeddings of balls into rational ruled 4-manifolds. *Compositio Mathematica*, 144(3):787–810, 2008.

- [31] Tetsuji Shioda. The period map of abelian surfaces. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 25:47–59, 1978.
- [32] Gleb Smirnov. From flops to diffeomorphism groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.01233*, 2020.
- [33] Gleb Smirnov. Symplectic mapping class groups of K3 surfaces and seiberg–witten invariants. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 32(2):280–301, 2022.
- [34] Gleb Smirnov. Symplectic mapping class groups of blowups of tori. *Journal of Topology*, 16(3):877–898, 2023.
- [35] Clifford H. Taubes. Sw  $\implies$  gr: From the seiberg-witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 9:845–918, 1996.
- [36] Clifford Henry Taubes. More constraints on symplectic forms from seiberg-witten invariants. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 2(1):9–13, 1995.
- [37] Ravi Vikal. *The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*. Princeton University Press, 2024.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN, US

*Email address:* `du000187@umn.edu`