

LEFT DUAL (B,C) -CORE INVERSES IN RINGS

TUGCE PEKACAR CALCI AND SERHAT EMIRHAN SOYCAN

ABSTRACT. Let $a, b, c \in R$ where R is a $*$ -ring. We call a *left dual (b, c) -core invertible* if there exists $x \in Rc$ such that $bxab = b$ and $(xab)^* = xab$. Such an x is called a left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a . In this paper, characterizations of left dual (b, c) -core invertible element are introduced. We characterize left dual (b, c) -core inverses in terms of properties of the left annihilators and ideals. Moreover, we prove that a is left dual (b, c) -core invertible if and only if a is left (b, c) invertible and b is $\{1,4\}$ invertible. Also, properties of left dual (b, c) -core invertible elements are examined. We present the matrix representations of left dual (b, c) -core inverses by the Pierce decomposition. Furthermore, relations between left dual (b, c) -core inverses and the other generalized inverses are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Moore-Penrose inverse [14] and the Drazin inverse [9] are two important classes of generalized inverses. After those the inverse along an element [8] and the (b, c) -inverse [1] which recover the Moore-Penrose and Drazin inverses were introduced. There are several researches about those inverses (see [10], [11], [12], [13]). Shortly afterwards, one-sided inverses along an element were given [6]. Later, one-sided (b, c) -inverses were introduced by Drazin [4] which extends one-sided inverses along an element and (b, c) -inverses.

In 2023, Zhu introduced the (b, c) -core and dual (b, c) -core inverses in the context of $*$ -semigroups which generalizes the core inverse [15], the core-EP inverse [16], the Moore-Penrose inverse, the w -core inverse and right w -core inverse [5].

Recently, authors introduced the right (b, c) -core inverses in a $*$ -ring.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A09, 16W10, 16U90.

Key words and phrases. (b, c) -inverse, (b, c) -core inverse, right (b, c) -core inverse, left dual (b, c) -core inverse.

Motivated by all of these, our aim is to introduce the left dual (b, c) -core inverses in a $*$ -ring and give its relations with other classes of generalized inverses. This offers a contribution to the theory of generalized inverses.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of left dual (b, c) -core inverse in a $*$ -ring R . Let $a, b, c \in R$ where R is a $*$ -ring. We call a *left dual (b, c) -core invertible* if there exists $x \in Rc$ such that $bxab = b$ and $(xab)^* = xab$. Such an x is called a left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a . Several fundamental results for characterizing left dual (b, c) -core inverses are established and it is shown that a is left dual (b, c) -core invertible if and only if a is left (b, c) invertible and b is $\{1, 4\}$ invertible. Moreover, $a_{l, \oplus(b, c)} = b^{(1, 4)} a_l^{(b, c)}$. Then we characterize left dual (b, c) -core inverses in terms of the properties of the left annihilators and ideals. Further, we present the matrix representations of left dual (b, c) -core inverses by the Pierce decomposition.

After that, we give that several generalized inverses, such as left inverses, left dual core inverses, left dual pseudo core inverses, left dual v -core inverses, and Moore-Penrose inverses, are special situations of left dual (b, c) -core inverses. Precisely, for any nonnegative integers m and n satisfying $m + n = 1$, we establish the following equivalences in a ring:

- (1) a is left invertible if and only if a is left dual $(1, 1)$ -core invertible.
- (2) a is left dual pseudo core invertible if and only if a^m is left dual (a^k, a^n) -core invertible for some positive integer k .
- (3) a is left dual core invertible if and only if a^m is left dual (a, a^n) -core invertible.
- (4) a is left dual v -core invertible if and only if v is left dual (a, a) -core invertible.
- (5) a is Moore-Penrose invertible if and only if a is dual left (a^*, a^*) -core invertible if and only if a^* is dual left (a, a) -core invertible.

In Section 3, we explore multiple characterizations of left dual core inverses and left dual v -core inverses. Additionally, we investigate the relationship between left dual pseudo core inverses and left dual w -core inverses.

Now, let's remember a few concepts about generalized inverses. Let R be an associative ring with unity 1. An element $a \in R$ is called (*von Neumann*) *regular* if there exists some $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$. Such an x is called an *inner inverse* or a $\{1\}$ -inverse of a and is denoted by a^- . The symbol $a\{1\}$

means the set of all inner inverses of a . The set of all regular elements in R is denoted by R^- .

In [6], Zhu et al. extended inverses along an element to one-sided cases. Let $a, d \in R$. An element a is called *left invertible along d* if there exists some $x \in R$ such that $xad = d$ and $x \in Rd$. Such an element x is called a *left inverse of a along d* and is denoted by a_l^d . Dually, an element a is called *right invertible along d* if there exists some $y \in R$ such that $day = d$ and $y \in dR$. Such element y is called a *right inverse of a along d* and is indicated by a_r^d .

In 2016, Drazin defined one-sided (b, c) -inverses. Let $a, b, c \in R$. We call a *left (b, c) -invertible* if $b \in Rcab$, or equivalently if there exists $x \in Rc$ such that $xab = b$. In this case, any such x will be called a *left (b, c) -inverse of a* and denoted by $a_l^{(b,c)}$.

Dually, a is *right (b, c) -invertible* if $c \in cabR$, or equivalently if there exists $y \in bR$ such that $cay = c$. In this case, any such y will be called a *right (b, c) -inverse of a* and denoted by $a_r^{(b,c)}$. In particular, a is called *(b, c) -invertible* if it is both left and right (b, c) -invertible.

We denote by $R_l^{(b,c)}$, $R_r^{(b,c)}$ and $R^{(b,c)}$, the set of all left (b, c) -invertible, the set of all right (b, c) -invertible and the set of all (b, c) -invertible elements in R , respectively. It should be noted that a is left (d, d) -invertible if and only if it is left invertible along d . Moreover, the left (d, d) -inverse of a is exactly the left inverse of a along d .

A map $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ is an *involution of R* if it satisfies $(x^*)^* = x$, $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$ for all $x, y \in R$. Throughout this paper, any ring R is assumed to be a unital ring, that is, a ring R with unity 1 and an involution $*$.

An element $a \in R$ is said to be *Moore-Penrose invertible* if there exists some $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $xax = x$, $(ax)^* = ax$ and $(xa)^* = xa$. Such an x is called a *Moore-Penrose inverse of a* . It is unique if it exists and is denoted by a^\dagger . Generally, any solution x satisfying the equations $axa = a$ and $(ax)^* = ax$ (respectively, $(xa)^* = xa$) is called a $\{1,3\}$ -inverse (respectively, a $\{1,4\}$ -inverse) of a .

The symbols $a^{(1,3)}$ and $a^{(1,4)}$ denote a $\{1,3\}$ -inverse and a $\{1,4\}$ -inverse of a , respectively. We denote by $a\{1,3\}$ and $a\{1,4\}$, the set of all $\{1,3\}$ -inverses and $\{1,4\}$ -inverses of a , respectively. In general, the set of all $\{1,3\}$ -invertible, $\{1,4\}$ -invertible and Moore-Penrose invertible elements in R will be denoted by $R^{\{1,3\}}$, $R^{\{1,4\}}$ and R^\dagger , respectively. It is known that a is Moore-Penrose invertible if and only if it is both $\{1,3\}$ -invertible and $\{1,4\}$ -invertible. An element $p \in R$ is called a *projection* if $p^2 = p = p^*$.

An element $a \in R$ is called *left dual pseudo core invertible* if there exist $x \in R$ and a positive integer k such that $a^k x a = a^k$, $(x a)^* = x a$ and $x^2 a = x$. Such an x is called a left dual pseudo core inverse of a and denoted by $a_{i,\textcircled{D}}$. In this case, the smallest positive integer k is called left dual pseudo core index of a and denoted by $I(a)$. In particular, a is called left dual core invertible if $I(a) = 1$. Generally, $R_{i,\textcircled{D}}$ and $R_{i,\textcircled{\#}}$ denote the set of all left dual pseudo core invertible and left dual core invertible elements in R , respectively.

For any $a, w \in R$, a is called *left dual v -core invertible* if there exists some $x \in R$ such that $a x v a = a$, $x v a = (x v a)^*$ and $x^2 v a = x$. Such an x is called a left dual v -core inverse of a and denoted by $a_{i,v,\textcircled{\#}}$. The symbol $R_{i,v,\textcircled{\#}}$ denotes the set of all left dual v -core invertible elements in R .

The (b, c) -core inverse was defined in an a -monoid S . For convenience, we next state this notion in R . Let $a, b, c \in R$. The element a is called *(b, c) -core invertible* if there exists some $x \in R$ such that:

$$c a x c = c, \quad x R = b R \quad \text{and} \quad R x = R c^*.$$

Dually, an element $a \in R$ is called *dual (b, c) -core invertible* if there exists some $y \in R$ such that:

$$b y a b = b, \quad y R = b^* R \quad \text{and} \quad R y = R c.$$

The (b, c) -core inverse and dual (b, c) -core inverse of a are unique if they exist and are denoted by $a_{(b,c)}^{\textcircled{\#}}$ and $a_{\textcircled{\#}(b,c)}$, respectively. As usual, we denote by $R_{(b,c)}^{\textcircled{\#}}$ and $R_{\textcircled{\#}(b,c)}$, the set of all (b, c) -core invertible and dual (b, c) -core invertible elements in R , respectively.

2. LEFT DUAL (B,C) -CORE INVERSES

In this section, we define left dual (b, c) -core invertible elements and we explore multiple characterizations of them.

Definition 2.1. Let $a, b, c \in R$. We call a left dual (b, c) -core invertible if there exists some $x \in Rc$ such that $bxab = b$ and $(xab)^* = xab$. Such an x is called a left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a . The set of all left dual (b, c) -core invertible elements in R is denoted by $R_{l, \#(b,c)}$.

We will denote the left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a with $a_{l, \#(b,c)}$. An element a in R could have different left dual (b, c) -core inverses. For example, let R be a $*$ -ring, $a \in R$, $b = 0$ and $c = 1$. Then every $x \in R$ is the left dual $(0, 1)$ -core inverse of a .

It is obvious that every dual (b, c) -core invertible element is left dual (b, c) -core invertible. The converse statement is not always true. For example, let R be a $*$ -ring, $a \in R$, $b = 0 \neq c$. Clearly, a is left dual (b, c) -core invertible. But a is not dual (b, c) -core invertible since $cabx = 0$ for every $x \in R$.

Theorem 2.2. Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$.
- (2) There exists some $x \in Rc$ such that $bxab = b$, $(xab)^* = xab$ and $xabx = x$.
- (3) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $bxab = b$, $xR = b^*R$ and $Rx \subseteq Rc$.
- (4) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $bxab = b$, $l(x) = l(b^*)$ and $Rx \subseteq Rc$.
- (5) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $bxab = b$, $Rx \subseteq Rc$ and $xR \subseteq b^*R$.
- (6) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $bxab = b$, $Rx \subseteq Rc$ and $l(b^*) \subseteq l(x)$.
- (7) There exists a projection $q \in R$ and an idempotent $p \in R$ such that $Rb \subseteq Rq \subseteq Rab$, $Rp \subseteq Rc$ and $abR \subseteq pR$.

In this case, $a_{l, \#(b,c)} = q(ab)^-p$ for any $(ab)^- \in (ab)\{1\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$. Then there exists some $y \in Rc$ such that $byab = b$ and $(yab)^* = yab$. Let $x = yaby$. We get $xab = (yaby)ab = ya(byab) = yab$. And so $(xab)^* = xab$. $bxab = b(yaby)ab = (byab)yab = byab = b$. $xabx = (yaby)ab(yaby) = ya(byab)yaby = ya(byab)y = yaby = x$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since $bxab = b$ and $(xab)^* = xab$, we have $b^* = xabb^* \in xR$. Also, $xabx = x$ implies $x = (xab)^*x = b^*a^*x^*x$. Therefore, $x \in b^*R$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Since $b = bxab$, $b^* = b^*a^*x^*b^*$. Therefore $b^* - b^*a^*x^*b^* = (1 - b^*a^*x^*)b^* = 0$. Since $l(x) = l(b^*)$, $(1 - b^*a^*x^*)x = 0$. And so $x = b^*a^*x^*x \in b^*R$, as desired.

(5) \Rightarrow (6) Obvious.

(6) \Rightarrow (7) Since $b^* = (xab)^*b^*$ and $l(b^*) \subseteq l(x)$, we get $x = (xab)^*x$. Then we have $xab = (xab)^*(xab)$ which implies $(xab)^* = (xab)$. Set $q = xab$ and $p = abx$. Hence $q^2 = (xab)(xab) = xa(bxab) = xab$ and $q^* = (xab)^* = xab = q$. So q is a projection. Also $p^2 = (abx)(abx) = a(bxab)x = abx = p$, so p is an idempotent. Therefore, $Rb = Rbq \subseteq Rq = R(xab) \subseteq Rab$, $Rp = R(abx) \subseteq Rx \subseteq Rc$ and $abR = a(bxab)R = (abx)abR = pabR \subseteq pR$.

(7) \Rightarrow (1) Since $abR \subseteq pR$, $pab = ab$. From $Rb \subseteq Rq \subseteq Rab$, it follows that $b = bq$ and $q = zab$ for some $z \in R$. Therefore, $ab = a(bq) = ab(zab) = (ab)z(ab)$ and so $ab \in R^-$. Let $x = q(ab)^-p$ for any $(ab)^- \in (ab)\{1\}$. Then, $x = q(ab)^-p \in Rp \subseteq Rc$. Also, $xab = q(ab)^-pab = q(ab)^-ab = zab(ab)^-(ab) = zab = q = (xab)^*$. Moreover, we have $bxab = bq = b$, as asserted. \square

Lemma 2.3. [2, Lemma 2.2] *Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. Then $a^{(1,4)}$ exists if and only if $a \in aa^*R$. If $aa^*y = a$ for some $y \in R$, then $y^* = a^{(1,4)}$.*

Assume that $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$ and a left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a is x . Then since $bxab = b$, we get $(xab)^n = xab$ for any positive integer n . It is concluded that $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$ implies $x \in Rc$, $b(xab)^n = b$ and $((xab)^n)^* = (xab)^n$ for any positive integer n . It is natural to ask if the converse implication is true. The following theorem provides a solution to that question.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$.
- (2) $b \in b(cab)^*R$.
- (3) $b \in Rcab \cap bb^*R$.
- (4) *There exists some $x \in Rc$ such that $b(xab)^n = b$ and $((xab)^n)^* = (xab)^n$ for any positive integer n .*
- (5) *There exists some $x \in Rc$ such that $b(xab)^n = b$ and $((xab)^n)^* = (xab)^n$ for some positive integer n .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$. Then there exists some $x \in Rc$ such that $bxab = b$, $(xab)^* = xab$. Let $x = rc$. Then $b = bxab = b(xab)^* = b(rcab)^* = b(cab)^*r^* \in b(cab)^*R$, as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear by [3, Lemma 3.1 II].

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Since $b \in Rcab \cap bb^*R$, we have $b = rcab = bb^*s$ for some $r, s \in R$. In this case, $s^* = b^{(1,4)}$ by Lemma 2.3. Let $x = s^*rc$. Then $x \in Rc$, $xab = (s^*rc)ab = s^*(rcab) = s^*b = (s^*b)^* = (xab)^*$ and $bxab = bs^*b = b$. Multiplying the latter equation by xa from left, we get $(xab)^2 = xab$, and so $(xab)^n = xab$ for any positive integer n . As a consequence $b(xab)^n = bxab$ and $((xab)^n)^* = (xab)^* = xab = (xab)^n$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) Obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose $x \in Rc$ such that $b(xab)^n = b$ and $((xab)^n)^* = (xab)^n$ for some positive integer n . Set $y = (xab)^{(n-1)}x$. We claim that $y \in a_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$. Indeed, $y = (xab)^{(n-1)}x \in Rc$. Also, $byab = b((xab)^{(n-1)}x)ab = b(xab)^n = b$. Moreover, $yab = ((xab)^{(n-1)}x)ab = (xab)^n = ((xab)^n)^* = (yab)^*$. \square

Drazin [4] introduced the concept of left and right (b, c) -invertible elements. Now we shall give this definition for completeness.

Definition 2.5. Let S be any semigroup and $a, b, c \in S$. Then we shall say that a is left (b, c) -invertible if $b \in Scab$, or equivalently if there exists $x \in Sc$ such that $xab = b$, in which case any such x will be called a left (b, c) -inverse of a .

Dually a is called right (b, c) -invertible if $c \in cabS$, or equivalently if there exists $z \in bS$ such that $caz = c$, and any such z will be called a right (b, c) -inverse of a .

Let $a, b, c \in R$. Zhu showed that a is dual (b, c) -core invertible if and only if a is (b, c) -invertible and b (ab or cab) is $\{1,4\}$ -invertible. An analogous result on dual right (b, c) -core inverses can be obtained.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$.
- (2) $a \in R_l^{(b,c)}$ and $b \in R^{(1,4)}$.
- (3) $a \in R_l^{(b,c)}$ and $ab \in R^{(1,4)}$.
- (4) $a \in R_l^{(b,c)}$ and $cab \in R^{(1,4)}$.

In this case $a_{l, \#(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} a_l^{(b,c)} = (ab)^{(1,4)} a a_l^{(b,c)} = (cab)^{(1,4)} c$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) It is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $a \in R_l^{(b,c)}$ and $b \in R^{(1,4)}$. Then there exists some $s \in R$ such that $scab = b$. Let $x = b^{(1,4)}$. Now, $abxscab = abxb = ab$. So ab is regular and $xscab = xb = (xb)^* = (xscab)^*$. Hence, $ab \in R^{(1,4)}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let $a \in R_l^{(b,c)}$ and $ab \in R^{(1,4)}$. Then there exists some $s \in R$ such that $scab = b$. Suppose that $x = ab^{(1,4)}$. Now $cabxascab = cabxab = cab$. So cab is regular and $xascab = xab = (xab)^* = xascab$. Hence $cab \in R^{(1,4)}$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a \in R_l^{(b,c)}$ and $cab \in R^{(1,4)}$. Then there exists $s \in R$ such that $b = scab$. Let $x = cab^{(1,4)}$. Set $y = xc$. Then $y \in Rc$, $yab = xcab = (xcab)^* = (ycab)^*$ and $byab = bxcab = scabxcab = scab = b$. Therefore, $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$. The other equalities can be seen in a similar way. \square

Suppose that $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$. Theorem 2.6 guarantees that $cab \in R^{(1,3)}$. Therefore, $cab \in R^-$. Also by Theorem 2.4, there exists some $s \in R$ such that $b = scab$. It follows that $b = scab(cab)^- cab = b(cab)^- cab$ for any $(cab)^- \in (cab)\{1\}$. This implies $a_l^{(b,c)} = b(cab)^- c$. Now another representation of $a_{l, \#(b,c)}$ can be presented.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$. Then $a_{l, \#(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} b(cab)^- c$ for any $(cab)^- \in (cab)\{1\}$ and $b^{(1,4)} \in b\{1, 4\}$.*

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$.
- (2) $R = (cab)^* R \oplus r(b)$.
- (3) $R = (cab)^* R + r(b)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$. By Theorem 2.4, we have $b \in b(cab)^* R$. Hence $b = bb^* a^* c^* r$ for some $r \in R$. So $1 - b^* a^* c^* r = 1 - (cab)^* r \in r(b)$. For any $s \in R$, we have $s = [(1 - (cab)^* r) + (cab)^* r]s = (1 - (cab)^*)s + (cab)^* r s \in (1 - (cab)^*)R + (cab)^* R$. Since $b = bb^* a^* c^* r$ and Lemma 2.3, $r^* ca \in b\{1, 4\}$. Then for any $z \in (cab)^* R \cap r(b)$, $bz = 0$ and there exists some $s \in R$ such that $z = (cab)^* s = (cab(r^* ca)b)^* s = (r^* cab)^* (cab)^* s = r^* cabz = 0$. Hence, $R = (cab)^* R \oplus r(b)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $R = (cab)^*R + r(b)$. Then $b \in b(cab)^*R$. By Theorem 2.4, $a \in R_{l, \textcircled{\#}(b,c)}$ as desired. \square

For any $p \in R$, any $a \in R$ can be written as

$$a = pap + pa(1-p) + (1-p)ap + (1-p)a(1-p)$$

or the matrix form

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}_p,$$

where $a_1 = pap$, $a_2 = pa(1-p)$, $a_3 = (1-p)ap$ and $a_4 = (1-p)a(1-p)$. This decomposition is well known as the Pierce decomposition.

If $p^2 = p = p^*$, then

$$a^* = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \\ a_3^* & a_4^* \end{bmatrix}_p.$$

We next give the matrix representations of left dual (b, c) -core inverses.

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a ring, $a, b, c \in R$ and $\mathbf{R}(c)$ denote the column space of c . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \textcircled{\#}(b,c)}$ and x is a left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a .
- (2) There exists a projection $q \in R$ such that

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ b_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{bmatrix}_p \quad \text{and} \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

where $(x_1a_1 + x_2a_3)b_1 + (x_1a_2 + x_2a_4)b_3 = q$, $(x_3a_1 + x_4a_3)b_1 + (x_3a_2 + x_4a_4)b_3 = 0$ and $\mathbf{R}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{R}(c)$.

- (3) There exists a projection $p \in R$ such that

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}_p, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ 0 & b_4 \end{bmatrix}_p, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{bmatrix}_p \quad \text{and} \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}_p$$

where $(x_1a_1 + x_2a_3)b_2 + (x_1a_2 + x_2a_4)b_4 = 1 - p$, $(x_3a_1 + x_4a_3)b_2 + (x_3a_2 + x_4a_4)b_4 = 0$ and $\mathbf{R}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{R}(c)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $a \in R_{l, \textcircled{\#}(b,c)}$ with a left dual (b, c) -core inverse x . Then $x \in Rc$, $(xab)^* = xab$ and $bxab = b$. Let $q = xab$. Then $q^2 = q = q^*$.

So, a, b, c can be represented as in (2). Since $x \in Rc$, $\mathbf{R}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{R}(c)$. By the Pierce decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x_1a_1 + x_2a_3)b_1 + (x_1a_2 + x_2a_4)b_3 \\
= & (qxqqaq + qx(1-q)(1-q)aq)qbq + (qxqqa(1-q) + qx(1-q)(1-q)a(1-q))(1-q)bq \\
= & qxqaqb + qxaqb - qxqaqb + qxqa(1-q)b + qxa(1-q)b - qxqa(1-q)b \\
= & qxqaqb + qxqab - qxqaqb + qxab - qxaqb \\
= & qxab \\
= & q.
\end{aligned}$$

The equality $(x_3a_1 + x_4a_3)b_1 + (x_3a_2 + x_4a_4)b_3 = 0$ can be shown in a similar way.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since

$$xab = \begin{bmatrix} (x_1a_1 + x_2a_3)b_1 + (x_1a_2 + x_2a_4)b_3 & 0 \\ (x_3a_1 + x_4a_3)b_1 + (x_3a_2 + x_4a_4)b_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = q,$$

here it is obvious that $bxab = b$ and $(xab)^* = xab$. Besides, since $\mathbf{R}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{R}(c)$, $x \in Rc$. Hence, $a \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$ and x is a left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a .

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3) It can be shown in a similar way of the proof of (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) by taking $p = 1 - q$. \square

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we explore multiple characterizations of left dual core inverses and left dual v -core inverses. Additionally, we investigate the relationship between left dual pseudo core inverses, left dual w -core inverses and left dual (b, c) -core inverses.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a ring and $a, w \in R$ and m, n be nonnegative integers such that $m + n \geq 1$. Then*

- (1) a is left invertible if and only if a is left dual $(1, 1)$ -core invertible,
- (2) a is left dual pseudo core invertible if and only if a^m is left dual (a^k, a^n) -core invertible, for some positive integer k ,
- (3) a is left dual core invertible if and only if a^m is left dual (a, a^n) -core invertible,
- (4) a is left dual v -core invertible if and only if v is left dual (a, a) -core invertible.

Proof. (1) Let a be left dual (1,1)-core invertible. Then there exists some $x \in R$ such that $(xa)^* = xa$ and $xa = 1$. Converse is clear.

(2) Let a be left dual pseudo core invertible with $I(a) = k$. Then there exists some $x \in R$ such that $a^k xa = a^k$, $(xa)^* = xa$ and $x^2 a = x$, whence $xa = x^2 aa = x^2 a^2 = \dots = x^n a^n$ for any positive integer n . Let $y = x^{k+m}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y &= x^{k+m} = x^{k+m-1} x^2 a = x^{k+m-1} x^{n+1} a^n = x^{k+m+n} a^n \in Ra^n, \\ ya^m a^k &= y a^{m+k} = x^{m+k} a^{m+k} = xa = (xa)^* = (y a^m a^k)^*, \\ a^k y a^m a^k &= a^k x^{k+m} a^{m+k} = a^k xa = a^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $a^m \in R_{l, \oplus(a^k, a^n)}$ and $(a^m)_{l, \oplus(a^k, a^n)} = (a_{l, \oplus})^{k+m}$.

Conversely, let $a^m \in R_{l, \oplus(a^k, a^n)}$. Then there exists some $x \in Ra^n$ such that $(xa^m a^k)^* = (xa^{k+m})^* = xa^{k+m}$ and $a^k xa^m a^k = a^k$. Let $z = xa^{k+m-1}$. Then $a^k za = a^k xa^{k+m-1} a = a^k xa^{k+m} = a^k xa^m a^k = a^k$. Also, $za = xa^{k+m-1} a = xa^{k+m} = (xa^{k+m})^* = (za)^*$. Moreover, we have $z^2 a = xa^{k+m-1} xa^{k+m-1} a = xa^{k+m-1} xa^{k+m} = xa^{m-1} a^k xa^m a^k = xa^{m-1} a^k = xa^{k+m-1} = z$. Therefore $a \in R_{l, \oplus}$ and $a_{l, \oplus} = (a^m)_{l, \oplus(a^k, a^n)} a^{k+m-1}$.

(3) It is obvious from (2).

(4) Let $a \in R_{l, v, \oplus}$. Then there exists some $y \in R$ such that $ayva = a$, $yva = (yva)^*$ and $y^2 va = y$. Let $x = y$. Then, $x = x^2 va \in Ra$ and $(xva)^* = xva$. Also, $axva = a$. $v \in R_{l, \oplus(a, a)}$ and $v_{l, \oplus(a, a)} = a_{l, v, \oplus}$. Now let $v \in R_{l, \oplus(a, a)}$. Then there exists some $x \in Ra$ such that $(xva)^* = (xva)$ and $axva = a$. Let $y = x$, then there exists some $r \in R$ such that $y = ra$. Hence, $ayva = a$ and $(yva)^* = yva$. Moreover, we have $y^2 va = yyva = rayva = ra = y$, as asserted. \square

It is proved in Theorem 3.1 that a is left dual v -core invertible if and only if v is left dual (a, a) -core invertible. As a result of Theorem 3.1, we get the matrix representation of the left dual v -core inverses as follows.

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a ring and $a, v \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, v, \oplus}$ and x is a left dual v -core inverse of a .
- (2) There exists a projection $q \in R$ such that

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ a_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

where $x_1 v_1 a_1 + x_1 v_2 a_3 = q$ and $x_3 v_1 a_1 + x_3 v_2 a_3 = 0$.

(3) There exists a projection $q \in R$ such that

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix}_p, \quad a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}_p, \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_4 \end{bmatrix}_p$$

where $x_2v_3a_2 + x_2v_4a_4 = 1 - p$ and $x_4v_3a_2 + x_4v_4a_4 = 0$.

Theorem 3.3. Let R be a ring and $a, w \in R$ with $a \in R_{l,v,\oplus}$. Then $va = a_1 + a_2$ where

- (1) $a_1 \in R_{l,\oplus}$,
- (2) $a_2^2 = 0$,
- (3) $a_2^*a_1 = 0 = a_1a_2$. In addition, $a_{l,v,\oplus}(va)^2 \in R_{l,\oplus}$ with a left dual core inverse $a_{l,v,\oplus}$.

Proof. Suppose $a \in R_{l,v,\oplus}$ with a left dual v -core inverse x . Then $axva = a$, $(xva)^* = xva$ and $x^2va = x$. Let $a_1 = x(va)^2$ and $a_2 = (1 - xva)va$. Then $va = a_1 + a_2$. Now,

(1) $xa_1 = xx(va)^2 = x^2(va)(va) = xva = (xva)^* = (xa_1)^*$. Also, we have $a_1xa_1 = a_1(xva) = x(va)^2xva = x(va)(va)xva = xvava = x(va)^2 = a_1$. Moreover, $x^2a_1 = xxa_1 = x(xva) = x^2va = x$. Hence, $a_1 \in R_{l,\oplus}$ with a left dual core inverse $a_{l,\oplus}$.

(2) $a_2^2 = (va - xvava)^2 = vava - vax(va)^2 - x(va)^3 + x(va)^2x(va)^2 = vava - vava - x(va)^3 + x(va)^3 = 0$.

(3) $a_2^*a_1 = (va - x(va)^2)^*x(va)^2 = ((va)^* - (va)^*xva)x(va)^2 = (va)^*(1 - xva)x(va)^2 = (va)^*(x(va)^2 - xv(axva)va) = (va)^*(x(va)^2 - x(va)^2) = 0$. $a_1a_2 = 0$ can be shown in a similar way. \square

Let $a \in R$ and m, n be nonnegative integers such that $m + n \geq 1$. From Theorem 3.1, we get that a^k is left dual core invertible if and only if a^m is left dual (a^k, a^n) -core invertible, for some positive integer k .

According to Theorem 3.1, we can establish the relation between left dual pseudo core inverses and left dual v -core inverses.

Proposition 3.4. Let R be a ring, $a \in R$ and m be a nonnegative integer, then a is left dual pseudo core invertible if and only if a^k is left dual a^m -core invertible for some positive integer k . In this case, $a_{l,\mathbb{D}} = (a^k)_{l,a^m,\oplus}a^{k+m-1}$ and $(a^k)_{l,a^m,\oplus} = (a_{l,\mathbb{D}})^{k+m}$.

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 3.1. \square

As a result of the proposition, if we take $k = 1$, then we have following.

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a ring, $a \in R$ and m be a nonnegative integer. Then a is left dual core invertible if and only if a is left dual a^m -core invertible.*

Corollary 3.6. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. Then following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \oplus}$.
- (2) a is left dual (a, a) -core invertible.
- (3) a is left dual $(a, 1)$ -core invertible.
- (4) 1 is left dual (a, a) -core invertible.
- (5) a is left dual a -core invertible.
- (6) a is left dual 1 -core invertible.
- (7) a is left (a^*, a) -invertible.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $I(A) = k$. Then*

- (1) A^m is left dual (A^k, A^n) -core invertible for any nonnegative integers m, n satisfying $m + n \geq 1$. In this case, $(A_{l, \oplus})^{m+k}$ is a left dual (A^k, A^n) -core inverse of A^m .
- (2) A^k is left dual A^m -core invertible, for any nonnegative integer m . In this case, $(A_{l, \oplus})^{k+m}$ is a left dual (A^k, A^n) -core inverse of A^m .

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a ring and $a, v \in R$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, v, \oplus}$.
- (2) There exists some $x \in Ra$ such that $axva = a$, $(xav)^* = xav$ and $xvax = x$.
- (3) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axva = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $a^*R \subseteq xR$.
- (4) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axva = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $axva = a$, $l(x) = l(a^*)$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra$.
- (5) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axva = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $xR \subseteq a^*R$.
- (6) There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axva = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $l(a^*) \subseteq l(x)$.
- (7) There exists a projection $q \in R$ and an idempotent $p \in R$ such that $Ra \subseteq Rq \subseteq Rva$, $Rp \subseteq Ra$ and $vaR \subseteq pR$. In this case $a_{l, v, \oplus} = q(va)^-p$ for any $(va)^- \in (va)\{1\}$.

As a consequence of above corollary, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.9. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \#}$.
- (2) *There exists some $x \in Ra$ such that $axa = a$, $(xa)^* = xa$ and $xax = x$.*
- (3) *There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $a^*R \subseteq xR$.*
- (4) *There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $axa = a$, $l(x) = l(a^*)$ and $Ra \subseteq Ra$.*
- (5) *There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $xR \subseteq a^*R$.*
- (6) *There exists some $x \in R$ such that $axa = a$, $Rx \subseteq Ra$ and $l(a^*) \subseteq l(x)$.*
- (7) *There exists a projection $q \in R$ and an idempotent $p \in R$ such that $Ra \subseteq Rq \subseteq Ra$, $Rp \subseteq Ra$ and $aR \subseteq pR$. In this case $a_{l, \#} = q(a)^-p$ for any $(a)^- \in (a)\{1\}$.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) a is Moore-Penrose invertible.
- (2) a is left dual a^* -core invertible.
- (3) a^* is left dual a -core invertible.
- (4) a is left dual (a^*, a^*) -core invertible.
- (5) a is left (a^*, a^*) invertible.
- (6) a^* is left dual (a, a) -core invertible.
- (7) a^* is left (a, a) invertible.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by [5, Theorem 2.21].

(1) \Rightarrow (4) Let $a \in R^\dagger$. Then we have $a^* \in R^{\{1,4\}}$. Again, since $a \in R^\dagger$, a is left invertible along a^* by [6, Corollary 2.21]. Also, $a^* \in Ra^*aa^*$ by [6, Theorem 2.3]. Hence, $a \in R_l^{(a^*, a^*)}$. Consequently, $a \in_{l, \#(a^*, a^*)}$ by Theorem 2.6.

(4) \Rightarrow (5) It is clear from Theorem 2.6.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let $a \in R_l^{(a^*, a^*)}$. Then $a^* \in Ra^*aa^*$. Hence, we get $a \in aa^*aR$ and by [5, Lemma 2.20], $a \in R^\dagger$.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Leftrightarrow (7) If we consider the proof of (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) and the fact $a \in R^\dagger$ if and only if $a^* \in R^\dagger$, then the proof is clear. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then a is left dual (b, c) -core invertible if and only if ab is left (b^*, c) invertible. In this case, the left dual (b, c) -core inverse of a coincides with the left (b^*, c) inverse of ab .*

Proof. Let $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$ and $a_{l, \oplus(b,c)} = x$. Then $x \in Rc$, $bxab = b$ and $(xab)^* = xab$. Thus, $b^* = (bxab)^* = (xab)^*b^* = xabb^* \in Rcabb^*$ as required.

Conversely, let $x = (ab)_l^{(b^*, c)}$. Then $x \in Rc$ and $xabb^* = b^*$. So since $b = b(xab)^*$, $xab = xab(xab)^*$. Hence $xab = (xab)^*$. Finally $bxab = b(xab)^* = b$ as desired. \square

Recall that an element $a \in R$ is *strongly left (b, c) invertible* if $b \in Rcab$ and cab is regular, or equivalently if there exists $x \in R$ such that $xax = x$, $xR = bR$, and $Rx \subseteq Rc$. In which case any such x will be called strongly left (b, c) inverse of a . Clearly, if x is strongly left (b, c) inverse of a , then so is xax . It is clear that every strongly left (b, c) invertible element is strongly left (b, c) invertible. Moreover, every strongly left (b, c) inverse of a is a left (b, c) inverse of a .

Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then a is left dual (b, c) -core invertible if and only if ab is strongly left (b^*, c) invertible.*

Proof. Assume that ab is strongly left (b^*, c) invertible. So ab is left (b^*, c) invertible. By Theorem 3.11, a is left dual (b, c) -core invertible. For the other direction, let $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$ and $a_{l, \oplus(b,c)} = y$. Then $y \in Rc$, $byab = b$ and $(yab)^* = yab$, whence $y = tc$ for some $t \in R$. Consequently, $b^* = yabb^* = tcabb^* \in Rc(ab)b^*$. Now, $c(ab)b^* = c(ab)(tcabb^*) = ca(tcabb^*)^*(tcabb^*) = cabb^*(tca)^*tcabb^*$. So, $cabb^*$ is regular and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \oplus}$.
- (2) a^2 is strongly left (a^*, a) invertible.
- (3) a^2 is strongly left $(a^*, 1)$ invertible.
- (4) a is strongly left (a^*, a) invertible.

Corollary 3.14. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) a is Moore-Penrose invertible.
- (2) a^*a is strongly left (a^*, a) invertible.
- (3) aa^* is strongly left (a, a^*) invertible.

The next corollary is a direct consequence of [5, Theorem 2.21] and Theorems 3.1 and 3.3.

Corollary 3.15. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R^\dagger$. Then $aa^* = a_1 + a_2$ where*

- (1) $a_1 \in R_{l, \#}$,
- (2) $a_2^2 = 0$,
- (3) $a_2^*a_1 = 0 = a_1a_2$. In addition, $a^*a \in R_{l, \#}$ with a left dual core inverse $a^\dagger a^{\dagger*}$.

Corollary 3.16. *Let R be a ring and $a \in R_{l, \mathbb{D}}$ with $I(a) = k$. Then $a^k = a_1 + a_2$ where*

- (1) $a_1 \in R_{l, \#}$,
- (2) $a_2^2 = 0$,
- (3) $a_2^*a_1 = 0 = a_1a_2$. In addition, $a_{l, \mathbb{D}} a^{k+1} \in R_{l, \#}$ with a left dual core inverse $(a_{l, \mathbb{D}})^k$.

Theorem 3.17. *Let R be a ring and $a, d \in R_l^{(b,c)}$. Then the following hold.*

- (1) $a_l^{(b,c)} = d_l^{(b,c)} da_l^{(b,c)}$,
- (2) $d_l^{(b,c)} = a_l^{(b,c)} ad_l^{(b,c)}$.

Proof. Let $a, d \in R_l^{(b,c)}$, $x = a_l^{(b,c)}$ and $y = d_l^{(b,c)}$. Then we have $x, y \in Rc$ and $b = xab = ydb$.

(1) Let $z = ydx$. Now, $z \in Rc$ since $x \in Rc$. Also, $zab = ydxab = ydb = b$. This implies that ydx is one of the (b, c) inverses of a .

(2) Let $t = xay$. Since $y \in Rc$, we have $t \in Rc$. Also, $t db = xaydb = xab = b$. This implies that xay is one of the (b, c) inverses of a , as desired. \square

Theorem 3.18. *Let R be a ring and $a, d \in R_{l, \#(b,c)}$. Then we have the following.*

- (1) $a_{l, \#(b,c)} = d_{l, \#(b,c)} da_l^{(b,c)}$.
- (2) $d_{l, \#(b,c)} = a_{l, \#(b,c)} ad_l^{(b,c)}$.

Proof. Let $a, d \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)}$. Then by Theorem 2.6, $a_{l, \oplus(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} a_l^{(b,c)}$ and $d_{l, \oplus(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} d_l^{(b,c)}$. Also we have $a_l^{(b,c)} = d_l^{(b,c)} d a_l^{(b,c)}$ and $d_l^{(b,c)} = a_l^{(b,c)} a d_l^{(b,c)}$ by Theorem 3.17. Hence,

- (1) $a_{l, \oplus(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} a_l^{(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} d_l^{(b,c)} d a_l^{(b,c)} = d_{l, \oplus(b,c)} d a_l^{(b,c)}$.
- (2) $d_{l, \oplus(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} d_l^{(b,c)} = b^{(1,4)} a_l^{(b,c)} a d_l^{(b,c)} = a_{l, \oplus(b,c)} a d_l^{(b,c)}$. □

Theorem 3.19. *Let R be a ring and $a, b, c \in R$. Then following are equivalent.*

- (1) $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)} \cap R_{r, \oplus(b,c)}^{\oplus}$.
- (2) $a \in R_{\oplus(b,c)} \cap R_{\oplus(b,c)}^{\oplus}$.
- (3) $cab \in R^\dagger$.
- (4) $b \in (cab)^* R$ and $c \in R(cab)^* c$.
- (5) $R = R(cab)^* \oplus l(c) = (cab)^* R \oplus r(b)$.
- (6) $R = R(cab)^* + l(c) = (cab)^* R + r(b)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show (1) \Rightarrow (2). Because (2) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6) follows from [3]. Now let $a \in R_{l, \oplus(b,c)} \cap R_{r, \oplus(b,c)}^{\oplus}$. Then by Theorem 2.6 and [7], we have $a \in R_l^{(b,c)} \cap R_r^{(b,c)}$, $b \in R^{(1,4)}$ and $c \in R^{(1,3)}$. Since $a \in R_l^{(b,c)} \cap R_r^{(b,c)}$, $a \in R^{(b,c)}$. Hence, $a \in R_{\oplus(b,c)} \cap R_{\oplus(b,c)}^{\oplus}$ as desired. □

REFERENCES

- [1] M.P. Drazin, *A class of outer generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl., 436(7) (2012), 1909-1923.
- [2] H. H. Zhu, X.X. Zhang and J.L. Chen, *Generalized inverses of a factorization in a ring with involution*, Linear Algebra Appl., 472(2015), 142-150.
- [3] H.H. Zhu, *The (b c)-core inverse and its dual in semigroups with involution*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 228(4) (2024), 107526.
- [4] M.P. Drazin, *Left and right generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl., 510 (2016), 64-78.
- [5] H.H. Zhu, L.Y. Wu and D. Mosaic, *One-sided w-core inverses in rings with involution*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 71(4) (2023), 528-544.
- [6] Zhu HH, Chen JL, Patr icio P. *Further results on the inverse along an element in semigroups and rings*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 64(3) (2016), 393-403.
- [7] Dong B, Jin Taohua and Zhu HH. *Right (b,c)-core inverses in rings*, Submitted for publication.
- [8] X. Mary, *On generalized inverses and Green's relations*, Linear Algebra Appl., 434(8) (2011), 1836-1844.

- [9] M.P. Drazin, *Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65 (1958), 506-514.
- [10] J. Benítez and E. Boasso, *The inverse along an element in rings*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 31 (2016), 572-592.
- [11] J. Benítez and E. Boasso, *The inverse along an element in rings with an involution, Banach algebras and C-algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 65(2) (2017), 284-299.
- [12] D.S. Cvetkovic-Ilic, Y.M. Wei, *Algebraic Properties of Generalized Inverses*, Series: Dev. Math., Vol. 52, Springer, 2017.
- [13] X. Mary and P. Patricio, *Generalized inverses modulo H in semigroups and rings*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 61(8) (2013), 1130-1135.
- [14] R. Penrose, *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 51 (1955), 406-413.
- [15] O.M. Baksalary and G. Trenkler, *Core inverse of matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 58(5-6) (2010), 681-697.
- [16] K. Manjunatha Prasad and K.S. Mohana, *Core-EP inverse*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 62(6)(2014), 792-802.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANKARA UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY

Email address: <tcalci@ankara.edu.tr>

ANKARA UNIVERSITY GRADUATE SCHOOL OF NATIONAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES,
ANKARA, TURKEY

Email address: <sesoycan@ankara.edu.tr>