

On the Equality $\sum_j e_j f_j = [L : K]$ for a Finite Separable Extension L of K

ADACHI Norio

December 30, 2024

Abstract

Let v be a discrete valuation of a field K , which indicates that the valuation group of v is isomorphic to the integers \mathbb{Z} with the natural order, and let L be a finite separable extension of K with a complete set $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_g\}$ of extended valuations of v . Then it is well-known that the following basic equation holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^g e_j f_j = [L : K],$$

where e_j and f_j denote the ramification index and the relative degree for each j , respectively. We extend this result to the case when v is a semi-discrete valuation, indicating that the valuation group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n ($n \geq 1$) with lexicographic order.

As a corollary to this result, we show that it is necessary and sufficient for the integral closure D of the valuation ring A of v to be a free A -module that all prime ideals of D other than the maximal ideals are unramified.

1 Definitions

Throughout the present section K denotes a (commutative) field, and Γ a totally ordered module.

Definition 1.1. *An additive valuation, briefly referred to as a valuation, of K is a mapping $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ with the usual rules for the symbol ∞ that satisfies the following three conditions for all $x, y \in K$:*

1. $v(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow x = 0$

2. $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$
3. $v(x + y) \geq \text{Min}\{v(x), v(y)\}$

The image $v(K^\times)$ of the non-zero elements K^\times of K under v will be defined the *valuation group* of v , and written as Γ_v . Two valuations v_1 and v_2 of K with valuation groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively, are said to be *equivalent* if there is an order-preserving isomorphism ι such that $v_2 = \iota \circ v_1$. Ignoring isomorphic difference, we assume hereafter that two equivalent valuations are identical.

Furthermore, if the valuation group Γ_v is isomorphic to the additive group of integers \mathbb{Z} equipped with the natural order, the valuation v is called *discrete*. We assume hereafter that \mathbb{Z} has a natural order. Assume the valuation group Γ_v is isomorphic to the direct sum \mathbb{Z}^n of n copies of \mathbb{Z} equipped with the lexicographical order. In this case, the valuation v is called *semi-discrete*.

We define the following objects associated with v as follows:

- Definition 1.2.**
1. The valuation ring A is the set of $x \in K$ with $v(x) \geq 0$,
 2. the valuation ideal \mathfrak{p} , which is a maximal ideal, is the set of $x \in K$ with $v(x) > 0$,
 3. the group $U_v = U(A)$ of units is the set of $x \in K$ with $v(x) = 0$,
 4. the residue field $\kappa_v = \kappa(A)$ is A/\mathfrak{p} .

The reoccurring symbol (A, \mathfrak{p}) for the pair of a valuation ring A and its maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , and (A, \mathfrak{p}) will be defined as the valuation ring of v .

In general, a subring R of a field K can be a valuation ring of a suitable valuation v if and only if the following is satisfied:

$$x \in K \Rightarrow x \in R \vee 1/x \in R \tag{1}$$

In this sense, a ring with the property (1) is called a *valuation ring*. The valuation v determined by valuation ring R is called a *valuation associated with the ring R* . In turn, the valuation group Γ_v is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $K^\times/U(R)$, where $U(R)$ is the group of invertible elements in R .

Next, we define an extension of a valuation. Let v be a valuation of K and let L be a finite field extension of K . A valuation V of L is called an *extension* of v to L , given the valuation group Γ_v is embedded into the valuation group Γ_V and the restriction of V to K is v .

The following definitions are relevant to the extension of valuations:

Definition 1.3. Let L/K be a finite extension, and V an extension of the valuation v of K to L . Denote the valuation rings of V, v by $(B, P), (A, \mathfrak{p})$, respectively.

1. the ramification index $e(V/v)$ is the group index $(\Gamma_V : \Gamma_v)$,
2. the relative degree $f(V/v)$ is the degree of the residue field extension $[\kappa(V) : \kappa(v)]$,
3. the first ramification index $\epsilon(V/v)$ is the length of the longest chain of ideals in the ring $B/B\mathfrak{p}$.

We often abbreviate $e(V/v), f(V/v)$, and $\epsilon(V/v)$, as e, f , and ϵ , respectively, if there can happen no ambiguity.

2 Basic Propositions

In the present section, let v be a valuation of the field K , and (A, \mathfrak{p}) the valuation ring of v . Let L be a finite extension of K , and D the integral closure of A in L . We need the following well-known results:

- Proposition 2.1.**
1. The cardinality of extensions of v to L is finite.
 2. If (B, P) is the valuation ring of an extension V of v to L , then the first ramification index ϵ , the ramification index e , and the relative degree f of V over v are all finite.
 3. We have the equality:

$$[B/B\mathfrak{p} : A/\mathfrak{p}] = \epsilon f$$

Theorem 2.1 (Basic Inequality). If $\{V_1, \dots, V_g\}$ is a complete set of extensions of v to L and ϵ_j, e_j and f_j are the first ramification index, the ramification index and the relative degree, respectively, of V_j ($j = 1, \dots, g$) over v , then we have the following inequality:

$$[D/D\mathfrak{p} : A/\mathfrak{p}] = \sum_{j=1}^g \epsilon_j f_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^g e_j f_j \leq [L : K]$$

Proposition 2.2. The following four assertions are equivalent:

1. D is a finitely generated A -module.

2. D is a free A -module with finite rank.
3. $[D/D\mathfrak{p} : A/\mathfrak{p}] = [L : K]$.
4. $\sum_{j=1}^g e_j f_j = [L : K]$, and $\epsilon_j = e_j$ for each j .

If v is a discrete valuation and if L is separable over K , then it is well-known that the integral closure D is a free A -module with rank $[L : K]$. Therefore Proposition 2.2 can be applied to this case.

Though the following proposition is well known, proof is given, as the proof of the first assertion below is not readily available:

Proposition 2.3. *Let v be a valuation of a field K and V an extension of v to a finite extension field L of K . Denote by (A, \mathfrak{p}) and (B, P) the valuation rings of v and V , respectively. We then have the following assertions:*

1. $v(\mathfrak{p})$ has a minimum value if and only if $V(P)$ has a minimum value.
2. (cf. Bourbaki[1], Chapter 6., §8.4. Proposition 3.) If $v(\mathfrak{p})$ has no minimum value, then the first ramification index is equal to one.
3. (cf. *ibid.*, Corollary.) The first ramification index ϵ divides the ramification index e .

Proof. Suppose that $V(P)$ has a minimum value $V(\Pi)$. By definition of the ramification index $V(\Pi^e)$ is in $V(\mathfrak{p})$. Taking the least natural number e_1 which has the property that $V(\Pi^{e_1})$ is in $V(\mathfrak{p})$, note that e_1 divides e . Choosing $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}$ which satisfies $V(\Pi^{e_1}) = V(\pi)$, if there is an $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $0 < V(x) < V(\pi) = V(\Pi^{e_1})$, we can take m which satisfies $V(\Pi^m) \leq V(x) < V(\Pi^{m+1})$, since $V(\Pi) \leq V(x)$. From this follows the inequality $0 \leq V(x/\Pi^m) < V(\Pi)$, and the minimality of $V(\Pi)$ means $V(x) = V(\Pi^m)$, and hence $m \geq e_1$ follows from the minimality of e_1 , which contradicts the assumption that $V(x) < V(\Pi^{e_1})$. Therefore, $v(\mathfrak{p})$ has a minimum value, and the element π itself gives the minimum value.

Next, suppose $V(P)$ has no minimum value. Taking any element $x \in V(P)$, there are infinitely many $z \in V(P)$ such that $0 < z < x$ by the assumption. As $(\Gamma_V : \Gamma_v) = e < +\infty$, there are two elements z_1, z_2 in the same coset by the subgroup Γ_v that are between 0 and x . Their difference y has the property $0 < y < x$, since $0 < z_i < x$ ($i = 1, 2$), and then they are in the positive part $V(\mathfrak{p})$ of Γ_v . We have $y \in V(B\mathfrak{p})$, since $V(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq V(B\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore we have $x \in V(B\mathfrak{p})$, which proves $P = B\mathfrak{p}$. Namely, we obtain $\epsilon = 1$, and it follows that $v(\mathfrak{p})$ has no minimum value. By the preceding deduction the proof of 1. and 2. is complete.

Proof of 3: We have only to treat the case when $V(P)$ has the minimum value $V(\Pi)$. We take e_1, Π and π as in the preceding proof. We then have the expression that $\pi = u\Pi^{e_1}$, $u \in U_V$. Therefore, the series

$$B\Pi \supseteq B\Pi^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B\Pi^{e_1-1} \supseteq B\mathfrak{p}$$

is a unique composition series of ideals containing $B\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $e_1 = \epsilon$, from which follows that ϵ divides e . \square

3 Semi-discrete Valuations

Definition 3.1. *The rank or height of the valuation v is defined to be the Krull dimension of the valuation ring A of v . Namely, we define the rank of v to be the supremum of all the descending chains of prime ideals in A . For example, if the following is one of the longest strictly descending chains of ideals, which is in fact unique as the ideals are totally ordered in the valuation ring, then the rank of v is n :*

$$\mathfrak{p}_1(= \mathfrak{p}) \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_n \supseteq (0) \quad (2)$$

The following propositions will be regarded as well-known:

Proposition 3.1. *Let v be a valuation of a field K with the valuation ring (A, \mathfrak{p}) .*

1. *The rank of v is equal to the number of valuation rings of K containing A . To be more explicit, for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) appearing in the series (2), denote by A_j the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ of A at \mathfrak{p}_j , then $(A_j, A_j\mathfrak{p}_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are valuation rings containing (A, \mathfrak{p}) , and there are no other valuation rings containing (A, \mathfrak{p}) than these.*
2. *The rank of an extension V of v to L is equal to that of v .*
3. *Let the following be a composition series of prime ideals in the valuation ring (B, P) of V :*

$$P_1(= P) \supseteq P_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq P_n \supseteq (0) \quad (3)$$

Naturally, we have $P_j \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_j$ for each j , as the ideals are totally ordered by inclusion in the valuation ring.

In the following we assume that the valuation v of K is semi-discrete with rank n , namely, that the valuation group of v is isomorphic to n copies of

\mathbb{Z} . Let \vec{e}_j be an n -ary row vector whose j th element counting from the back is 1, but the others are 0: $\vec{e}_j = (0, \dots, \overset{(j)}{1}, \dots, 0)$. If $n = 2$, for example, $\vec{e}_1 = (0, 1), \vec{e}_2 = (1, 0)$. Clearly we have the following:

$$\Gamma_v = \mathbb{Z}\vec{e}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{e}_n$$

Furthermore, for each j we have the equivalence:

$$x \in \mathfrak{p}_{j+1} \Leftrightarrow v(x) \geq \nu \vec{e}_j \quad (\forall \nu \geq 1).$$

If we choose an element $\pi_j \in A$ such that $\vec{e}_j = v(\pi_j)$, then $\pi_j \in \mathfrak{p}_j$, but $\pi_j \notin \mathfrak{p}_{j+1}$. When $j \geq 2$, the ideal \mathfrak{p}_j is not principal, and hence π_j is not a prime element in the ideal.

Though the following theorem is likely well-known, the proof is given below, as its proof is not made readily available:

Theorem 3.1. *If v is a semi-discrete valuation of K with rank n , then any extension V of v to a finite field extension L of K is also semi-discrete and its rank is n .*

Proof. We give the proof by mathematical induction.

The case $n = 1$ is true by Propositions 2.3 and 3.1.

Assume that the theorem is true for rank $n - 1$. Suppose that v has rank n . Take a composition series (2) of prime ideals of the valuation ring A .

If $A_2 = A_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ is the localization of A at \mathfrak{p}_2 , then $(A_2, A_2\mathfrak{p}_2)$ is a valuation ring by 3.1.1. The associated valuation is $w = \varphi \circ v$, where φ is a standard mapping from $\Gamma_v \cong K^\times/U(A)$ to $K^\times/U(A_2)$. Therefore $\Gamma_w \cong K^\times/U(A_2)$, and A_2 has the following composition series of prime ideals with length $n - 1$:

$$A_2\mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq A_2\mathfrak{p}_3 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_2\mathfrak{p}_n \supseteq (0). \quad (4)$$

Since the valuation group Γ_w is a free module with basis $w(\vec{e}_j^*)$ ($j = 2, \dots, n$), w is a discrete valuation of K with rank $n - 1$.

Next we consider the kernel $U(A_2)/U(A)$ of the map $\varphi : \Gamma_v \rightarrow \Gamma_w$. The ring $(A/\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}_2)$ is a valuation ring as the homomorphic image of the valuation ring (A, \mathfrak{p}_1) . If we denote by v' the valuation associated with this valuation ring, v' is a discrete valuation, since it has rank 1 and its maximal ideal is a principal ideal generated by the class to which π_1 belongs. Furthermore, the valuation group $\Gamma_{v'}$ is easily seen to be isomorphic to $U(A_2)/U(A)$ (*cf.* [1], Chapter 6, §4.3, Remark).

We repeat the procedure as above to the extension V . Let (3) be a composition series of prime ideals in B . Denote by B_2 the localization $B_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$

of B at the prime ideal P_2 . We then obtain the valuation ring (B_2, B_2P_2) . Denoting by W the valuation associated with (B_2, B_2P_2) , W is expressed as $W = \Phi \circ V$, where Φ is the natural surjection from $\Gamma_V \cong K^\times/U(B)$ onto $L^\times/U(B_2)$. Therefore Γ_W is isomorphic to $L^\times/U(B_2)$. W is an extension of w to L , since by $B_2P_2 \cap A_2 = A_2\mathfrak{p}_2$ we have $K^\times \cap U(B_2) = U(A_2)$, and the natural map from Γ_w into Γ_W is injective. Hence by the assumption of mathematical induction we can conclude that W is a semi-discrete valuation with rank $n - 1$, which implies $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$.

Next, we treat the kernel of Φ . The subring $(B/P_2, P_1/P_2)$ of the residue field $\kappa(B_2) = B_2/B_2P_2$ is a valuational ring, and the associated valuation V' is discrete, since it is an extension of v' . Moreover, we know that its valuation group $\Gamma_{V'}$ is isomorphic to the kernel $U(B_2)/U(B)$ of W .

By the preceding discussion we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{V'} \longrightarrow \Gamma_V \longrightarrow \Gamma_W \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

Since $\Gamma_W \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ is a free module, the exact sequence splits, and hence we obtain the isomorphisms:

$$\Gamma_V \cong \Gamma_W \oplus \Gamma_{V'} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

which proves that V is semi-discrete with rank n .

By the way, to mention the structure of $\Gamma_W \oplus \Gamma_{V'}$ as ordered group, Γ_W is the first part and $\Gamma_{V'}$ the second, which means $\Gamma_{V'}$ is the smaller part of the group. \square

Since $\Gamma_V \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ by Theorem 3.1, putting $\vec{e}_j^* = [0, \dots, \overset{(j)}{1}, \dots, 0]$, whose j th element counting from the back is 1 and the others 0, we obtain the following isomorphism:

$$\Gamma_V \cong \mathbb{Z}\vec{e}_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{e}_n^*,$$

The valuation group Γ_v is a free submodule of Γ_V with rank n . Furthermore, since $P_j \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_j$, and since $\pi_j \in P_j$ but $\pi_j \notin P_{j+1}$, if we express the basis $\langle \vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n \rangle$ of Γ_v by the basis $\langle \vec{e}_1^*, \dots, \vec{e}_n^* \rangle$ of Γ_V , we obtain a square matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, where A is a triangular matrix, namely,

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & (i < j) \\ e_j (\geq 1) & (i = j). \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let v be a semi-discrete valuation of K with rank n , and let V be an extension of v to a finite field extension L of K . Let denote the valuation rings of v and V by (A, \mathfrak{p}) and (B, P) , respectively. We take the composition series of prime ideals (2) and (3), respectively. Take elements*

$\pi_j \in A$ and $\Pi_j \in B$ for each j such that $v(\pi_j) = \vec{e}_j$ and $v(\Pi_j) = \vec{e}_j^*$. Then we have an expression of π_j for each j as follows:

$$\pi_j = u_j \alpha_j \Pi_j^{e_j}, \quad u_j \in U_V, e_j \geq 1, \alpha_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \Pi_i^{\nu_i}, \nu_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (6)$$

and we have the following equality:

$$e = (\Gamma_V : \Gamma_v) = \prod_{j=1}^n e_j. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, in particular, we have $e = e_1 e'$, if we put $e' = (\Gamma_W : \Gamma_w)$. Here, W is the valuation of L defined by $W = \Phi \circ V$, which was used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof. We have shown the expression (6) in the preceding proof. AS the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$ in the same proof is triangular, we have $|A| = \prod_{j=1}^n e_j$, as desired.

If we take w and W instead of v and V , respectively, π_1 and Π_1 are contained in the groups U_w and U_W , respectively, and hence, denoting by A' the matrix in $M_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$ whose first row and first column are removed from A , we have $e' = |A'| = e_2 \cdots e_n$. This gives the equality $e = e_1 e'$, which completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.2. *The notation being the same as in Proposition 3.2, we call e_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) the j th ramification index of V over v . We say that P_j is unramified in L/K if $e_j = 1$, and ramified, otherwise.*

Using these terms, the following corollary is clear from Proposition 3.2:

Corollary 3.1. *The first ramification index is equal to the ramification index, if and only if all prime ideals other than the maximal ideal are unramified.*

4 Basic Equality $\sum_j e_j f_j = [L : K]$

In the present section, we focus on the case when L is a finite separable extension over K , starting with the case of Galois extensions. The notation is the same as in the preceding section; namely, (A, \mathfrak{p}) is a valuation ring of the valuation v , and (B, P) is the valuation ring of an extension V of v to a Galois extension L/K .

Let us denote the Galois group of L/K by G , and Z and T the decomposition group and the inertia group of V , respectively:

$$Z = \{\sigma \in G \mid P^\sigma = P\}, \quad T = \{\sigma \in Z \mid \forall x \in B (x^\sigma \equiv x \pmod{P})\}$$

We denote by L^Z and L^T the decomposition field and the inertia field, respectively:

$$L^Z = \{x \in L \mid \forall \sigma \in Z (x^\sigma = x)\}, \quad L^T = \{x \in L \mid \forall \sigma \in T (x^\sigma = x)\}$$

The index $(Z : T) = [L^T : L^Z]$ is called the relative degree of V , which is the same as defined in the first section, so that we give it the same letter f .

Next we denote the index $(G : Z) = [L^Z : K]$ by g , which is the number of different extensions of v to L . Let $\{\sigma_1 (= \text{idt}), \dots, \sigma_g\}$ be a complete set of representatives of right cosets of Z in G , and $\{V_1, \dots, V_g\}$ a complete set of extensions of v , and also let (B_j, P_j) be the valuation ring of V_j , then it follows that $B_j = B^{\sigma_j}$ and $P_j = P^{\sigma_j}$ for each j . The decomposition group and the inertia group of each V_j are $\sigma_j^{-1}T\sigma_j$ and $\sigma_j^{-1}Z\sigma_j$, respectively. Therefore, the orders of the decomposition group and the inertia group are common to every V_j , namely they are e and ef .

We call the order of T the *field-theoretic ramification index* of V , denoting it by $e^{(2)}$. Lastly, let $e^{(1)}$ be the first ramification index defined in the first section, where it was denoted by ϵ . The number $e^{(1)}$ is equal to the number e_1 which appears in the expression $\pi_1 = u\Pi_1^{e_1}$, $u \in U_v$.

By Galois theory and Theorem 2.1, we know the inequality

$$e^{(1)}fg \leq efg \leq [L : K] = e^{(2)}fg,$$

and hence the following inequality holds:

$$e^{(1)} \leq e \leq e^{(2)}$$

Therefore, to have the equality $efg = [L : K]$, it is necessary and sufficient that the equality $e = e^{(2)}$ holds.

Proposition 4.1. *Let v be a semi-discrete valuation of a field K , and L a finite Galois extension of K . Then we have the equality $e = e^{(2)}$, and hence we have the basic equality $efg = [L : K]$.*

Proof. Since the inertia group T of an extension V of v to L is confirmed to be a solvable group, as in algebraic number theory, there exists a series of field extensions

$$L_0 (= K) \subsetneq L_1 \subsetneq L_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq L_m (= L),$$

where each L_{k+1}/L_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$) is a cyclic extension of prime degree. As a result, we have only to prove the theorem in the case when L/K is a cyclic extension of prime degree q and its Galois group is equal to the inertia group. In this case we have the relation $e^{(2)} = q, f = g = 1$.

In this situation the proof proceeds by mathematical induction on rank n .

First Step. Suppose that the rank of the valuation v is one. Let, as usual, (A, \mathfrak{p}_1) and (B, P_1) be the valuation rings of v and its extension V , respectively. and let π_1 and Π_1 be prime elements of \mathfrak{p}_1 and P_1 , respectively. As we have seen before, we have the following expression:

$$\pi_1 = u\Pi_1^{e_1}, \quad u \in U_v, \quad 1 \leq e_1 \leq e \quad (8)$$

Let us deal with the case $e_1 > 1$ first. Taking the norm from L to K of both sides of (8), we obtain the equality

$$\pi_1^q = N_{L/K}u \cdot (N_{L/K}\Pi_1)^{e_1}.$$

Since $P_1^\sigma = P_1$, we know that $N_{L/K}u$ is in U_v , and hence the following holds:

$$N_{L/K}\Pi_1 = u'\pi_1^{f'}, \quad u' \in U_v,$$

since $N_{L/K}\Pi_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1$. Therefore, we have the expression $\pi_1^q = u''\pi_1^{e_1f'}, u'' \in U_v$, from which we conclude $q = e_1f'$. As we supposed $e_1 > 1$, we obtain the result $e_1 = q = e^{(2)}$.

Next we show that the case $e_1 = 1$ does not occur. If $e_1 = 1$ were the case, (8) means $\pi_1 = u\Pi_1$, $u \in U_v$. From this and since the relative number f of P_1 is one, there would be an element $a_\nu \in A$ which satisfies the congruence

$$\theta \equiv a_\nu \pmod{P_1^\nu} \quad (\forall \theta \in B)$$

for any given natural number $\nu \geq 1$. For any $\sigma \in T = G$ we know $P_1^\sigma = P_1$. Therefore it follows that

$$\theta^\sigma \equiv \theta \pmod{P_1^\nu} \quad (\forall \nu \geq 1, \forall \sigma \in T). \quad (9)$$

Since $\bigcap_{\nu \geq 1} P_1^\nu$ is equal to (0) , it follows from (9) that $\theta^\sigma = \theta$ for every σ in G , which contradicts the assumption $[L : K] = q$. This finishes the proof of the case $n = 1$.

Second Step. Supposing that the assertion of the theorem is true for rank $n - 1$, we show that it is also true for rank n .

Let π_1 and Π_1 be prime elements of \mathfrak{p}_1 and P_1 , respectively. We know have the expression (8) as before. If $e_1 > 1$, then we can proceed as in the case $n = 1$ to get the result $e_1 = q = e^{(2)}$.

The case $e_1 = 1$ is a little different. In this case $\bigcap_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} P_1^\nu$ is equal to a prime ideal P_2 , and hence (9) results in the congruence

$$\theta^\sigma \equiv \theta \pmod{P_2} \quad (\forall \sigma \in T).$$

This implies that G is the inertia group of P_2 , that is to say, we find ourselves in the frame of the proof of Theorem 3.1; Namely, the semi-discrete valuation $w = \varphi \circ v$ has rank $n - 1$. Moreover, L is a Galois extension over K whose Galois group is the inertia group of $W = \Phi \circ V$, in other words, of the prime ideal $P_2' = B_2 P_2$. Therefore, if we denote the ramification index $(\Gamma_W : \Gamma_w)$ by e' , we have $e' = q = e(2)$ by the assumption of mathematical induction.

The valuation rings of w and W are $A_2 = A_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$ and $B_2 = B_{P_2}$, respectively, and their composition series of prime ideals are

$$A_2 \mathfrak{p}_2 \supsetneq A_2 \mathfrak{p}_3 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq A_2 \mathfrak{p}_n \supsetneq (0)$$

and

$$B_2 P_2 \supsetneq B_2 P_3 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq B_2 P_n \supsetneq (0),$$

respectively. Considering that $w(\pi_j)$ and $W(\Pi_j)$ give also the minimum values of $w(A_2 \mathfrak{p}_j)$ and $W(B_2 P_j)$, respectively, we obtain $e' = e_2 \cdots e_n$. Here, e_j is the j th ramification index of W for each $j \geq 2$, which is equal to that of V . Since we supposed $e_1 = 1$, we have $e = e'$, which implies $e = q = e(2)$. This allows us to know that the assertion is also true for rank n . \square

Theorem 4.1 (Basic Equality). *Let v be a semi-discrete valuation of a field K , and L a finite separable extension of K . Furthermore, let $\{V_1, \dots, V_g\}$ be a complete set of extensions of v to L . For each j denote the ramification index of V_j by e_j , and the relative degree of V_j by f_j , respectively. Then we have the following equality:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^g e_j f_j = [L : K]$$

Proof. Let Ω be the Galois closure of L/K , and let all extensions of V_j ($j = 1, \dots, g = g(L/K)$) to Ω be W_{jk} ($k = 1, \dots, g_j(\Omega/L)$). Their ramification indices and relative degrees are denoted by $e_{jk}(\Omega/K)$ and $f_{jk}(\Omega/K)$, respectively. By Theorem 4.1 the following holds:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g_j(\Omega/L)} e_{jk}(\Omega/L) f_{jk}(\Omega/L) = [\Omega : L].$$

Note that $e_{jk}(\Omega/L) = e_{jk'}(\Omega/L)$ and $f_{jk}(\Omega/L) = f_{jk'}(\Omega/L)$, since Ω/L is Galois extension. Since we have $W_{jk} = W_{jk'} = V_j$ over L , the equality $e_{jk}(L/K)f_{jk}(L/K) = e_j(L/K)f_j(L/K)$ holds. Therefore, we have the equality

$$e_{jk}(\Omega/K)f_{jk}(\Omega/K) = e_{jk}(\Omega/L)f_{jk}(\Omega/L)e_j(L/K)f_j(L/K).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\Omega : K] &= \sum_{j=1}^{g(L/K)} \sum_{k=1}^{g_j(\Omega/L)} e_{jk}(\Omega/K)f_{jk}(\Omega/K) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{g(L/K)} \sum_{k=1}^{g_j(\Omega/L)} e_{jk}(\Omega/L)f_{jk}(\Omega/L)e_j(L/K)f_j(L/K) \\ &= [\Omega : L] \sum_{j=1}^{g(L/K)} e_j(L/K)f_j(L/K). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $[\Omega : L]$, we reach the conclusion. \square

The following Corollary is clear from Proposition 2.2, Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 4.1:

Corollary 4.1. *Notations being the same as in the preceding, the integral closure D of the valuation ring A is a free A -module, if and only if all prime ideals of D other than maximal ideals are unramified.*

5 Some Examples

Let K denote the field $\mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ with two transcendental elements X and Y . If we let $v(X)$ be $(1, 0)$ and let $V(Y)$ be $(0, 1)$, we have a valuation v of K whose valuation group is \mathbb{Z}^2 with lexicographic order. The valuation ring A of v has two non-zero prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_1 generated by Y and \mathfrak{p}_2 generated by the set $\{X/Y^n\}_{n \geq 0}$. The series $\mathfrak{p}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq (0)$ is a unique decomposition series of the prime ideals of A .

In the following we let L be a quadratic extension of K with a unique extension V of v and (B, P) the valuation ring of V . We keep these notations in the following examples.

Example 5.1. Let L be $K(\sqrt{Y})$. Then since $V(\sqrt{Y}) = (0, 1/2)$ and $V(X) = (1, 0)$, the following holds:

$$\Gamma_V = \mathbb{Z}(1, 0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, 1/2)$$

Therefore we have $e = e^{(2)} = 2$ and $f = 1$, indicating that the basic equation holds.

The composition series of prime ideals of the valuation ring B of V is $P_1 \supsetneq P_2 \supsetneq (0)$, where P_1 is generated by \sqrt{Y} , and P_2 is generated by the set $\{X/Y^{n/2}\}_{n \geq 0}$.

Since $e^{(1)} = V(Y)/V(\sqrt{Y}) = 2 = e$, we have $B = A \oplus A\sqrt{Y}$, and hence B is a free A -module of rank 2. For example, $X/\sqrt{Y} = X/Y \cdot \sqrt{Y} \in A\sqrt{Y}$.

Example 5.2. Let L be $K(\sqrt{X})$. As $V(\sqrt{X}) = (1/2, 0)$ and $V(Y) = (0, 1)$, the following holds:

$$\Gamma_V = \mathbb{Z}(1/2, 0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, 1)$$

Therefore we have $e = e^{(2)} = 2$ and $f = 1$, indicating that the basic equation holds. The sequence $P_1 \supsetneq P_2 \supsetneq (0)$ is a composition series of prime ideals in B , where P_1 is generated by Y , and P_2 is generated by the set $\{\sqrt{X}/Y^n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

. In this case since we have $e^{(1)} = 1 \neq e$, B is not a free A -module. For example, \sqrt{X}/Y is in B , but not in $A + A\sqrt{X}$.

Example 5.3. Let L be $K(\sqrt{XY})$. Then since $V(X) = (1, 0)$, $V(\sqrt{XY}) = (1/2, 1/2)$, $V(Y) = (0, 1)$, the following holds:

$$\Gamma_V = \mathbb{Z}(1/2, 1/2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(0, 1)$$

The composition series of prime ideals of B is $P_1 \supsetneq P_2 \supsetneq (0)$, where $P_1 = BY$, and P_2 is generated by the set $\{\sqrt{XY}/Y^n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Therefore we have $e^{(1)} = 1$, $e = e^{(2)} = 2$ and $f = 1$, indicating that the basic equation holds, but B is not a free A -module. Since $X = \sqrt{XY} \cdot \sqrt{XY}/Y$, we have the equality $B\sqrt{XY} \cap A = AX$.

If we rewrite $(1/2, 1/2)$ as $[1, 0]$, and $(0, 1)$ as $[0, 1]$, we have the isomorphism $\Gamma_V \cong \mathbb{Z}[1, 0] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[0, 1]$, where the relation $(0, 1) = 2[1, 0] - [0, 1]$ holds. In other words, if we define as follows:

$$\pi_1 = Y, \pi_2 = X; \Pi_1 = Y, \Pi_2 = \sqrt{XY},$$

we have the following relation:

$$\pi_1 = \Pi_1, \pi_2 = \Pi_1^{-1}\Pi_2^2.$$

The prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_1 = A\pi_1$ does not ramify in L/K , which shows a difference from the case of number theory.

Example 5.4. Finally, we give a different type of valuation. Let K be $\mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$. Defining

$$v(aX^mY^n) = m + n\sqrt{2}, \quad a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, m, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

a valuation v of K is induced. The valuation group is $v(K^\times) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{2}$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 with the order not lexicographic. The valuation ideal \mathfrak{p} is the set with the property $m + n\sqrt{2} > 0$. Furthermore, we have the relation

$$\{x (\in K) \mid v(x) = 0\} = \left\{ a \frac{1+f}{1+g} \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, f, g \in \mathfrak{p} \right\} = \mathbb{Q} + \mathfrak{p},$$

and hence the residue field is isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} . Since $v(\mathfrak{p})$ has no minimum value, we have the relation $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}$.

Let L be $K(\sqrt{X})$. Then the extension V of v satisfies $V(aX^{m/2}Y^n) = m/2 + n\sqrt{2}$. Since $V(L^\times) = \mathbb{Z}/2 + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{2}$, the valuation ideal P is the set of elements such that $m/2 + n\sqrt{2} > 0$. The residue field is isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} , and hence it follows that $e^{(1)} = 1, e = e^{(2)}, f = 1$.

References

- [1] Bourbaki, Commutative Algebra, Chapter 6, Herman (1964)
- [2] Nagata, Masayoshi, Commutative Fields (in Japanese), Shohkabo, Japan (1967)
- [3] Endler, Otto, Valuation Theory, Springer (1972)

ADACHI Norio
 Faculty of Science and Engineering
 Waseda University
 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo,
 169-8555, Japan
 E-mail:nadachi@waseda.jp