

FUNCTIONAL IDENTITIES INVOLVING ADDITIVE MAPS ON DIVISION RINGS

LOVEPREET SINGH AND S. K. TIWARI

ABSTRACT. Let g be an additive map on division ring D and $G_1(Y)$, $G_2(Y) \neq 0$, $H(Y)$ are generalized polynomials in $D\{Y\}$. In this paper, we study the functional identity $G_1(y)g(y)G_2(y) = H(y)$. By application of the result and its implications, we prove that if D is a non-commutative division ring with characteristic different from 2, then the only possible solution of additive maps $g_1, g_2 : D \rightarrow D$ satisfying the identity $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$ with $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$ are positive integers is $g_1 = g_2 = 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, we consider R^* is the set of all units in ring R and assume rings or algebras are associative with unity. In 1821, Cauchy's functional equation $g(y_1 + y_2) = g(y_1) + g(y_2)$ set the way for the study of inverse identities in division rings. For an additive map $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $g(y) = y^2 g(y^{-1})$, Halperin [2] in 1963 posed a question regarding the continuity of function g . In 1964 Kurepa [14] proved that, if two non-zero additive maps $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g_1(y) = P(y)g_2(y^{-1})$, where $P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and $P(1) = 1$, then $P(y) = y^2$, $g_1(y) + g_2(y) = 2yg_2(1)$, and map $y \rightarrow g_1(y) - yg_1(1)$ is a derivation. Further in 1968, Nishiyama and Horinouchi [19] characterize the maps satisfying $g(y^{m_1}) = ay^{m_2}g(y^{m_3})$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where m_1, m_2, m_3 are the integers. In 1970 – 1971, Kannappan and Kurepa studied some identities with inverse in [11] and [12].

We study the relation of derivations with functional identities. By derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ on ring R , we mean an additive map with $\delta(y_1 y_2) = \delta(y_1)y_2 + y_1\delta(y_2)$ for all $y_1, y_2 \in R$. Clearly every derivation $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ satisfies $\delta(y) = -y\delta(y^{-1})y$ for all $y \in R^*$. In 1995, Brešar [3] shown that, if $g_1(y)y = yg_2(y)$ holds for all $y \in D$, where $g_1, g_2 : D \rightarrow D$, are additive maps, then $g_1(y) = ya + \eta(y)$ and $g_2(y) = ay + \eta(y)$, for all $y \in D$ and $a \in D$ is a fixed element, η is an additive map from D to $Z(D)$. In 2018 Catalano [4], to show how rational identities can be extended to functional identity, proved the following,

Theorem 1.1. ([4, Theorem 1]) Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a division ring D having characteristic different from 2 such that

$$(1.1) \quad g_1(y)y^{-1} + yg_2(y^{-1}) = 0,$$

for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1(y) = ya + \delta(y)$ and $g_2(y) = -ay + \delta(y)$ for all $y \in D$, where $a \in D$ is a fixed element and $\delta : D \rightarrow D$ is a derivation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16K40, 16R50, 16R60 .

Key Words and Phrases. Division ring, Functional identity, Generalized polynomial identity, GPI-algebra, PI-ring.

If we take the char $D = 2$, then maps $g_1(y) = y$ and $g_2(y) = y$ satisfies the (1.1), but not of the form as in Theorem 1.1. So the condition char $D \neq 2$ can not be removed. In [4], Catalano proved Theorem 1.1 for the matrix case and questioned whether the prerequisite char $D \neq 3$ can be removed in [4, Theroem 4] or not. Argaç and the authors of [1] extended Cataloano's results in 2020 and provided an affirmative response to the question. More precisely,

Theorem 1.2. ([1, Theorem 1.1]) Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on R , where R be either a matrix ring $M_t(D)$, $t \geq 1$, over a division ring D having characteristic different from 2 or a non-commutative division ring such that

$$g_1(y)y^{-1} + yg_2(y^{-1}) = 0,$$

for all $y \in R^*$. Then $g_1(y) = ay + \delta(y)$ and $g_2(y) = -ya + \delta(y)$ for all $y \in D$, where $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation and $a \in R$ is a fixed element.

To see a counter-example for Theorem 1.2 in the case when R is commutative and char $D = 2$, we refer the reader to [1, Exmapple].

In 2024, Catalano and Merchán [5] studied the identity $g_1(y) = -y^n g_2(y^{-1})$ on division ring D , where g_1, g_2 are additive maps on D and n is a positive integer. The above identity was completely solved by Ng [18] on fields. Recently, Lee and Lin [16] proved the following,

Theorem 1.3. ([16, Theorem 5.1]) Suppose that g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D having characteristic different from 2 and $n \neq 2$ is positive integer such that

$$(1.2) \quad g_1(y) = y^n g_2(y^{-1}),$$

for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1 = g_2 = 0$.

For the case $n = 2$ in equation (1.2), Dar and Jing [9] proved that additive maps $g_1, g_2 : D \rightarrow D$ are of the form $g_1(y) = ya$ and $g_2(y) = ya$ for all $y \in D$, where $a \in D$ is a fixed element. If we take $D = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $g_1(y) = g_2(y) = y$ in Theorem 1.3, then clearly g_1 and g_2 satisfies (1.2). Thus, Theorem 1.3 does not hold when D is commutative or char $D = 2$.

Seeing the results mentioned above, it is natural to ask questions about the characterization of additive maps $g_1, g_2 : D \rightarrow D$ satisfying the identity,

$$(1.3) \quad g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0,$$

where n, m are positive integers. To do this, we first study a few functional identities involving maps defined by generalized polynomials with coefficients in a division ring D having a center $Z(D)$ in the indeterminate variable Y . Assume that $D\{Y\}$ represents the free product of the $Z(D)$ -algebra D and the polynomial algebra $Z(D)[Y]$. More precisely, elements in $D\{Y\}$ are the sum of finitely many monomials of degree s of the form

$$q_1 Y q_2 Y q_3 \cdots q_s Y q_{s+1},$$

for some $q_i \in D$. We refer the reader to [6] or [17].

Definition 1.4. We say map $g : D \rightarrow D$ is an elementary operator if there exist finitely many non-zero $p_i, q_i \in D$ such that $g(y) = \sum_i p_i y q_i$ for all $y \in D$.

We will prove the following in the paper.

Theorem 1.5. Suppose g is an additive map on a division ring D and $G_1(Y), G_2(Y), H(Y) \in D\{Y\}$ such that $G_1(y)g(y)G_2(y) = H(y)$ for all $y \in D$. If $G_1(Y)$ and $G_2(Y)$ are non-zero, then either g is an elementary operator or D is finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$.

Applying these characterizations and their implications, we solve the equation (1.3). This extends Catalano's result in 2018 and Lee and Lin's result in 2024. Particularly,

Theorem 1.6. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D having characteristic different from 2 and n, m are positive integers with $(n, m) \neq (1, 1)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0,$$

for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1 = g_2 = 0$.

Note that for $n = 1$ and $m \geq 2$ positive integers, if additive maps $g_1, g_2 : D \rightarrow D$ satisfies $g_1(y)y^{-m} + yg_2(y) = 0$ for all $y \in D^*$, then by Theorem 1.6 we have $g_1 = g_2 = 0$. As an application of this result, we have the following corollary,

Corollary 1.7. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D having characteristic different from 2, $m \geq 2$ is a positive integer and $a \in D^*, l \in D$ such that $g_1(y_1)y_2^{-m} + y_1g_2(y_2) = l$ for all $y_1, y_2 \in D$ with $y_1y_2 = a = y_2y_1$. Then $g_1(y) = 0$ and $g_2(y) = ya^{-1}l$ for all $y \in D$.

Proof. Let $y_1 \in D^*$. Then we assume $y_2 = y_1^{-1}a$. Thus $y_1y_2 = a$. Therefore, we get $g_1(y_1)y_1^{-m}a^m + y_1g_2(y_1^{-1}a) = l$ and so

$$(1.5) \quad g_1(y_1)y_1^{-m}a^m + y_1(g_2(y_1^{-1}a) - y_1^{-1}l) = 0.$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (1.5) by a^{-m} from right, we get

$$(1.6) \quad g_1(y_1)y_1^{-m} + y_1(g_2(y_1^{-1}a) - y_1^{-1}l)a^{-m} = 0.$$

Thus, by the application of Theorem 1.6, we get

$$g_1(y_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(y_1) = y_1a^{-1}l,$$

for all $y_1 \in D$, where $a \in D^*, l \in D$. Hence, we get $g_1(y_1) = 0$ and $g_2(y_1) = y_1a^{-1}l$, for all $y_1 \in D$, where $a \in D^*, l \in D$. \square

In Corollary 1.7, if either D is a commutative or $\text{char } D = 2$ or D is not a division ring, then it can not be guaranteed that g_1 and g_2 are of the form as mentioned in Corollary 1.7. Here we give some examples,

Example 1.8. Consider a ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$. If additive maps $g_1, g_2 : R \rightarrow R$ are defined as $g_1(y) = -y^2$ and $g_2(y) = y + y^2$ for all $y \in R$, $m = 3$ and $a = 1 = l$. Clearly, $g_1(y)y^{-3} + yg_2(y^{-1}) = 1$ for all $x \in R^*$. But g_1 and g_2 are not of the form as mentioned in a Corollary 1.7.

Example 1.9. Let $R = M_t(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ where $t \geq 2$ be a non-commutative ring. If additive maps $g_1, g_2 : R \rightarrow R$ are defined as $g_1(y) = g_2(y) = y$ for all $y \in R$, $m = 1$, $a = 1$ and $l = 2$. Clearly, $g_1(y_1)y_2 + y_1g_2(y_2) = 2$ for all $y_1, y_2 \in R^*$ such that $y_1y_2 = 1 = y_2y_1$. But g_1 and g_2 are not of the form as mentioned in a Corollary 1.7.

2. THE IDENTITY $G_1(y)g(y)G_2(y) = H(y)$

Throughout this section, let D be a division ring with center $Z(D)$. We define $D\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$ to be free product of $Z(D)$ -algebra D and the free algebra $Z(D)\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$ over $Z(D)$ or the generalized free algebra over $Z(D)$ in the variable Y_1, \dots, Y_m with coefficients in D (see [6] and [17]). We say D is a GPI-algebra if there exists a non-zero $g(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \in D\{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$ such that $g(y_1, \dots, y_m) = 0$ for all $y_i \in D$. In this case, $g(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ is a non-trivial GPI for D . Here, we have the special case of Martindale's Theorem.

Theorem 2.1. ([17, Theorem 3]) If D is a division GPI-algebra, then it is finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$.

Given additive maps $g_{i1}, \dots, g_{is} : D \rightarrow D$ and $p_{ij} \in D$, assume

$$G(y) = \sum_i p_{i1}g_{i1}(y)p_{i2} \cdots p_{is}g_{is}(y)p_{is+1},$$

for all $y \in D$. Applying the standard linearizing argument to $G(x)$, we define

$$G^{(1)}(y_1) = G(y_1), \quad G^{(2)}(y_1, y_2) = G^{(1)}(y_1 + y_2) - G^{(1)}(y_1) - G^{(1)}(y_2).$$

In general, we let,

$$G^{(k+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}) =$$

$$G^{(k)}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k + y_{k+1}) - G^{(k)}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k) - G^{(k)}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1})$$

for $k \geq 1$. Also, it is known that

$$G^{(s)}(y_1, \dots, y_s) = \sum_i \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(s)} p_{i1}g_{i1}(y_{\sigma(1)})p_{i2} \cdots p_{is}g_{is}(y_{\sigma(s)})p_{is+1},$$

for all $y_i \in D$, where $\text{Sym}(s)$ is a symmetric group of $\{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. If $k > s$, then

$$G^{(k)}(y_1, \dots, y_k) = 0,$$

for all $y_i \in D$. Throughout, this paper we define,

$$G^{(k)}(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \dots, y_k) = G^{(k)}(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_k)$$

for $y_1, \dots, y_k \in D$ and $k \geq 1$ any integer. At this point, we have some remarks.

Remark 2.2. ([16, Remark 2.2]) Let D be a division ring, and $G(Y) \neq 0 \in D\{Y\}$.

- (i) If $\deg G(Y) > 1$, then $G(Y_1 + Y_2) - G(Y_1) - G(Y_2) \neq 0$ in $D\{Y_1, Y_2\}$.
- (ii) If $G(Y_1 + Y_2) - G(Y_1) - G(Y_2) \neq 0$ in $D\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ and $G(0) = 0$, then $\deg G(Y_1) > 1$.
- (iii) $G(Y_1 + Y_2) = G(Y_1) + G(Y_2)$ iff there exists finitely many $p_i, q_i \in D$ such that $G(Y_1) = \sum_i p_i Y_1 q_i$.
- (iv) If $s = \deg G(Y) \geq 1$ and $G(0) = 0$, then $G^{(s)}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s)$ is non-zero and multilinear in $D\{Y_1, \dots, Y_s\}$.

Given additive maps $g_{ij} : D \rightarrow D$, let $G(y) = \sum_s G_s(y)$, for $y \in D$, where

$$G_s(y) = \sum_i p_{i1s}g_{i1s}(y)p_{i2s} \cdots p_{iss}g_{is}(y)p_{is+1s},$$

for all $y \in D$. For a positive integer t , we define

$$G^{(t)}(y_1, \dots, y_t) = \sum_s G_s^{(t)}(y_1, \dots, y_t),$$

for all $y_i \in D$. Let's move towards the first main theorem.

To prove Theorem 1.5, we need the following result,

Fact 1. Suppose g is an additive map on a division ring D and $G(Y), H(Y) \in D\{Y\}$ such that $G(y)g(y) = H(y)$ for all $y \in D$. If $G(Y)$ is a non-zero, then either g is an elementary operator or D is finite-dimensional over its center.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Take $\deg G_1(Y) = s_1$ and $\deg G_2(Y) = s_2$. If $s_2 = 0$, then there exists $b' \in D^*$ such that $G_2(Y) = b'$ and we get

$$G_1(y)g(y)b' = H(y).$$

From Fact 1, we get our conclusion by taking $g'(y) = g(y)b'$ as an additive map D to itself. We can proceed similarly to the above in case $s_1 = 0$. Now we consider the case when $s_1 = s_2 = 0$. Then there exist $b, b' \in D^*$ such that $G_1(Y) = b$ and $G_2(Y) = b'$. So we have $g(y) = b^{-1}H(y)b'^{-1}$ for all $y \in D$ and additivity of g implies that, we have $H(y_1 + y_2) = H(y_1) + H(y_2)$ and $H(0) = 0$.

If $\deg H(Y) \leq 1$, then we have finitely many non-zero $p_i, q_i \in D$ such that $H(Y) = \sum_i p_i Y q_i$. Thus, g is an elementary operator.

On the other hand, if $\deg H(Y) > 1$, then by Remark 2.2 (i), we have

$$(2.1) \quad H(Y_1 + Y_2) - H(Y_1) - H(Y_2) \neq 0,$$

in $D\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ and additivity of g implies that, (2.1) is a non-trivial GPI for D . Hence, D is a GPI-algebra and by application of Theorem 2.1, we get $[D : Z(D)] < \infty$.

Finally, we consider the case where $\deg G_1(Y) = s_1 \geq 1$ and $\deg G_2(Y) = s_2 \geq 1$. We write

$$G_i(Y) = G_{i0}(Y) + G_{i1}(Y),$$

where $G_{i1}(Y)$ is the homogeneous part of $G_i(Y)$ of degree s_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Take

$$A_{lk}(y) = G_{1l}(y)g(y)G_{2k}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{11}(y) = G_{11}(y)g(Y)G_{21}(y),$$

for all $y \in D$, where $(l, k) \neq (1, 1)$ and $l, k \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $\deg G_{10}(Y) < s_1$ and $\deg G_{20}(Y) < s_2$, we have

$$A_{lk}^{(s_1+s_2+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2+1}) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}^{(s_1+s_2+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2+1}) = \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_1+s_2+1} G_{11}^{(s_1)}(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \hat{y}_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{y}_{j+s_2}, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2+1})g(y_j) \right) \\ \left(G_{21}^{(s_2)}(y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{j+s_2}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $y_i \in D$, where $y_{j+i} = y_{j+i-s_1-s_2-1}$ for $j+i > s_1+s_2+1$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s_2\}$, $(l, k) \neq (1, 1)$ and $l, k \in \{0, 1\}$. Since $G_1(y)g(y)G_2(y) = H(y)$ for all $y \in D$, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{(s_1+s_2+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2+1}) = \\ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s_1+s_2+1} G_{11}^{(s_1)}(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \hat{y}_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{y}_{j+s_2}, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2+1})g(y_j) \right) \\ \left(G_{21}^{(s_2)}(y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{j+s_2}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $y_i \in D$, where $y_{j+i} = y_{j+i-s_1-s_2-1}$ for $j+i > s_1+s_2+1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s_2\}$. By Remark 2.2 (iv), all

$$G_{11}^{(s_1)}(Y_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_j, \hat{Y}_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{Y}_{j+s_2}, \dots, Y_{s_1+s_2+1})$$

and

$$G_{21}^{(s_2)}(Y_{j+1}, \dots, Y_{j+s_2}),$$

are multilinear and non-zero. Thus, we rewrite (2.2) as

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & G_{11}^{(s_1)}(y_{s_2+1}, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2})g(y_{s_1+s_2+1})G_{21}^{(s_2)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_2}) = \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{s_1+s_2} b_j(y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2})y_{s_1+s_2+1}c_j(y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2}) + \\ & H^{(s_1+s_2+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2+1}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $y_i \in D$, where b_j, c_j are generalized monomials in $y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2}, g(y_1), \dots, g(y_{s_1+s_2})$.

Case 1: If $G_{11}^{(s_1)}(y_{s_2+1}, \dots, y_{s_2+s_1}) = 0$ and $G_{21}^{(s_2)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_2}) = 0$ for all $y_1, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2} \in D$, then D is a division GPI-algebra and from Theorem 2.1 we have $[D : Z(D)] < \infty$.

Case 2: If $G_{11}^{(s_1)}(z_{s_2+1}, \dots, z_{s_2+s_1}) \neq 0$ for some $z_{s_2+1}, \dots, z_{s_1+s_2} \in D$ and $G_{21}^{(s_2)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_2}) = 0$ for all $y_1, \dots, y_{s_2} \in D$, then we will proceed like Case 1 and get the same conclusion.

Case 3: If $G_{11}^{(s_1)}(y_{s_2+1}, \dots, y_{s_2+s_1}) = 0$ for all $y_{s_2+1}, \dots, y_{s_1+s_2} \in D$ and $G_{21}^{(s_2)}(z_1, \dots, z_{s_2}) \neq 0$ for some $z_1, \dots, z_{s_2} \in D$, then we get the conclusion $[D : Z(D)] < \infty$.

Case 4: If $G_{11}^{(s_1)}(z_{s_2+1}, \dots, z_{s_2+s_1}) \neq 0$ and $G_{21}^{(s_2)}(z_1, \dots, z_{s_2}) \neq 0$ for some $z_1, \dots, z_{s_1+s_2} \in D$, then let

$$\tilde{b}_j = b_j(z_1, \dots, z_{s_1+s_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_j = c_j(z_1, \dots, z_{s_1+s_2})$$

for all j . Take $t = G_{11}^{(s_1)}(z_{s_2+1}, \dots, z_{s_2+s_1})$ and $t' = G_{21}^{(s_2)}(z_1, \dots, z_{s_2})$. In view of equation (2.3), we have

$$(2.4) \quad g(y_{s_1+s_2}) = \sum_j t^{-1} \tilde{b}_j y_{s_1+s_2+1} \tilde{c}_j t'^{-1} + E(y_{s_1+s_2}),$$

for all $y_{s_1+s_2+1} \in D$, where

$$E(Y_{s_1+s_2+1}) = t^{-1} H^{(s_1+s_2+1)}(z_1, \dots, z_s, Y_{s_1+s_2+1}) t'^{-1} \in D\{Y_{s_1+s_2+1}\}.$$

If we assume $E(Y_{s_1+s_2+1})$ is linear in $Y_{s_1+s_2+1}$, then, by equation (2.4), g is an elementary operator. Otherwise, $\deg(E(Y_{s_1+s_2+1})) > 1$ and additivity of g with equation (2.4), implies that D satisfies the GPI

$$E(y_{s_1+s_2+1} + y_{s_1+s_2+2}) - E(y_{s_1+s_2+1}) - E(y_{s_1+s_2+2}).$$

From Remark 2.2 (i), we get $E(Y_{s_1+s_2+1} + Y_{s_1+s_2+2}) - E(y_{s_1+s_2+1}) - E(y_{s_1+s_2+2}) \neq 0$. Then by application of Theorem 2.1, we have D is a finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$. \square

Theorem 2.3. Suppose g_1, \dots, g_s are additive maps on a division ring D and $G_{1j}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s), G_{2j}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s), H(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \in D\{Y_1, \dots, Y_s\}$, for $j = 1, \dots, s$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s G_{1j}(y_1, \dots, y_s) g_j(y_j) G_{2j}(y_1, \dots, y_s) = H(y_1, \dots, y_s),$$

for all $y_i \in D$. If $[D : Z(D)] = \infty$ and for some j , $G_{1j}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \neq 0$ and $G_{2j}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \neq 0$, then g_j is an elementary operator.

Proof. Suppose for some t , $G_{1t}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \neq 0$ and $G_{2t}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \neq 0$. We claim that g_t is an elementary operator. If either $G_{1t}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s)$ or $G_{2t}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s)$ is GPI for D , then by Theorem 2.1 we have D is finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$, which is a contradiction. Thus we assume $G_{1t}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s)$ and $G_{2t}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s)$ are not GPI's for D . Then $G_{1t}(p_1, \dots, p_s) \neq 0$ and $G_{2t}(p_1, \dots, p_s) \neq 0$ for some $p_i \in D$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. By equation (2.5), we have,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & G_{1t}(p_1, \dots, y_t, \dots, p_s) g_t(y_t) G_{2t}(p_1, \dots, y_t, \dots, p_s) = \\ & - \sum_{j=1, j \neq t}^s G_{1j}(p_1, \dots, y_t, \dots, p_s) g_j(p_j) G_{2j}(p_1, \dots, y_t, \dots, p_s) \\ & + H(p_1, \dots, y_t, \dots, p_s), \end{aligned}$$

for all $y_t \in D$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{1t}(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s), G_{2t}(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s), \\ & - \sum_{j=1, j \neq t}^s G_{1j}(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s) g_j(p_j) G_{2j}(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s) \\ & + H(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s) \in D\{Y_t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_{1t}(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s) \neq 0, G_{2t}(p_1, \dots, Y_t, \dots, p_s) \neq 0$ and $[D : Z(D)] = \infty$, by application of Theorem 1.5 we have g_t is an elementary operator. \square

Here, we generalize the Theorem 1.5 to a more general form.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose g_1, \dots, g_s are additive maps on a division ring D and $G_{1j}(Y), G_{2j}(Y) \in D\{Y\} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n G_{1j}(y) g_j(y) G_{2j}(y) = H(y),$$

for all $y \in D$. If $[D : Z(D)] = \infty$ and $\deg(G_{1j}(Y) + G_{2j}(Y)) \neq \deg(G_{1i}(Y) + G_{2i}(Y))$ for $i \neq j$, then all g_j are elementary operators.

Proof. We will proceed with an induction of n . The case $n = 1$ is done by Theorem 1.5. So we assume $n > 1$ and the conclusion holds for $n - 1$. Let $s_{kj} = \deg G_{kj}(Y)$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k \in \{1, 2\}$. We may assume $s_{1j} + s_{2j} < s_{1n} + s_{2n}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. If $E_{k1}(Y)$ is the homogeneous part of $G_{kn}(Y)$ of degree s_{kn} , $k \in \{1, 2\}$, then we write

$$G_{kn}(Y) = E_{k0}(Y) + E_{k1}(Y).$$

Since $s_{1j} + s_{2j} < s_{1n} + s_{2n}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ applying the same argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(s_{1n}+s_{2n}+1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{s_{1n}+s_{2n}+1}) = \\ \sum_{j=1}^{s_{1n}+s_{2n}+1} E_{11}^{(s_{1n})}(y_1, \dots, \hat{y}_j, \hat{y}_{j+1}, \dots, \hat{y}_{j+s_2}, \dots, y_{s_{1n}+s_{2n}+1}) g_n(y_j) \\ E_{21}^{(s_{2n})}(y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{j+s_{2n}}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $y_i \in D$, where $y_{j+i} = y_{j+i-s_1-s_2-1}$ for $j+i > s_{1n} + s_{2n} + 1$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s_{2n}\}$. As $E_{11}^{(s_{1n})}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \neq 0$ and $E_{21}^{(s_{2n})}(Y_1, \dots, Y_s) \neq 0$, by application of Theorem 2.3 we have g_n is an elementary operator.

Therefore, we have finitely many non-zero $p_i, q_i \in D$ such that $g_n(y) = \sum_i p_i y q_i$ for all $y \in D$. By equation (2.7),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} G_{1j}(y) g_j(y) G_{2j}(y) = H(y) - G_{1n}(y) \left(\sum_i p_i y q_i \right) G_{2n}(y),$$

for all $y \in D$. Note that $H(Y) - G_{1n}(Y) \left(\sum_i p_i Y q_i \right) G_{2n}(Y) \in D\{Y\}$. So, by the induction hypothesis, we get g_1, \dots, g_n are elementary operators. \square

3. THE IDENTITY $g(y^2) = w_1(y)g(y) + g(y)w_2(y) + w_3(y)g(y)w_4(y)$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a division ring D having characteristic different from 2 and $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 : D \rightarrow D$ are maps such that $g_1(y^2) = w_1(y)g_2(y) + g_2(y)w_2(y) + w_3(y)g_2(y)w_4(y)$ for all $y \in D$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(2w_1(2y_1) - 2w_1(y_1) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) - w_1(y_1 - y_2) \right) g_2(y_1) \\ & + g_2(y_1) \left(2w_2(2y_1) - 2w_2(y_1) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) - w_2(y_1 - y_2) \right) \\ & + \left(2w_1(y_2) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) + w_1(y_1 - y_2) \right) g_2(y_2) \\ & + g_2(y_2) \left(2w_2(y_2) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) + w_2(y_1 - y_2) \right) \\ & + 2w_3(2y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(2y_1) - w_3(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_4(y_1 + y_2) \\ & - w_3(y_1 - y_2)g_2(y_1 - y_2)w_4(y_1 - y_2) - 2w_3(y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(y_1) \\ & + 2w_3(y_2)g_2(y_2)w_4(y_2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $y_1, y_2 \in D$.

Proof. Let $y_1, y_2 \in D$. We compute,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad g_1(y_1 y_2 + y_2 y_1) &= g_1 \left((y_1 + y_2)^2 - y_1^2 - y_2^2 \right) \\ &= w_1(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2) + g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_2(y_1 + y_2) \\ &+ w_3(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_4(y_1 + y_2) - w_1(y_1)g_2(y_1) \\ &- g_2(y_1)w_2(y_1) - w_1(y_2)g_2(y_2) - g_2(y_2)w_2(y_2) \\ &- w_3(y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(y_1) - w_3(y_2)g_2(y_2)w_4(y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing (y_1, y_2) by $(y_1 + y_2, y_1 - y_2)$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1\left((y_1 + y_2)(y_1 - y_2) + (y_1 - y_2)(y_1 + y_2)\right) \\
 &= 2w_1(2y_1)g_2(y_1) + 2g_2(y_1)w_2(2y_1) - w_1(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2) \\
 &\quad - g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_2(y_1 + y_2) - w_1(y_1 - y_2)g_2(y_1 - y_2) \\
 &\quad - g_2(y_1 - y_2)w_2(y_1 - y_2) + 2w_3(2y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(2y_1) \\
 &\quad - w_3(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_4(y_1 + y_2) \\
 &\quad - w_3(y_1 - y_2)g_2(y_1 - y_2)w_4(y_1 - y_2) \\
 &= (2w_1(2y_1) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) - w_1(y_1 - y_2))g_2(y_1) \\
 &\quad + g_2(y_1)(2w_2(2y_1) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) - w_2(y_1 - y_2)) \\
 &\quad + (-w_1(y_1 + y_2) + w_1(y_1 - y_2))g_2(y_2) \\
 &\quad + g_2(y_2)(-w_2(y_1 + y_2) + w_2(y_1 - y_2)) + 2w_3(2y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(2y_1) \\
 &\quad - w_3(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_4(y_1 + y_2) \\
 &\quad - w_3(y_1 - y_2)g_2(y_1 - y_2)w_4(y_1 - y_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1\left((y_1 + y_2)(y_1 - y_2) + (y_1 - y_2)(y_1 + y_2)\right) \\
 &= 2g_1(y_1^2) - 2g_1(y_2^2) \\
 &= 2w_1(y_1)g_2(y_1) + 2g_2(y_1)w_2(y_1) - 2w_1(y_2)g_2(y_2) - 2g_2(y_2)w_2(y_2) \\
 &\quad + 2w_3(y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(y_1) - 2w_3(y_2)g_2(y_2)w_4(y_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(2w_1(2y_1) - 2w_1(y_1) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) - w_1(y_1 - y_2)\right)g_2(y_1) \\
 &\quad + g_2(y_1)\left(2w_2(2y_1) - 2w_2(y_1) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) - w_2(y_1 - y_2)\right) \\
 &\quad + \left(2w_1(y_2) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) + w_1(y_1 - y_2)\right)g_2(y_2) \\
 &\quad + g_2(y_2)\left(2w_2(y_2) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) + w_2(y_1 - y_2)\right) \\
 &\quad + 2w_3(2y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(2y_1) - w_3(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_4(y_1 + y_2) \\
 &\quad - w_3(y_1 - y_2)g_2(y_1 - y_2)w_4(y_1 - y_2) - 2w_3(y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(y_1) \\
 &\quad + 2w_3(y_2)g_2(y_2)w_4(y_2) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Theorem 3.2. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a division ring D having characteristic different from 2 and $w_1(Y), w_2(Y), w_3(Y), w_4(Y) \in D\{Y\}$ such that

$$g_1(y^2) = w_1(y)g_2(y) + g_2(y)w_2(y) + w_3(y)g_2(y)w_4(y)$$

for all $y \in D$. If $\deg w_1(Y), \deg w_2(Y), \deg w_3(Y), \deg w_4(Y) > 1$, then either D is finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$ or g_2 is an elementary operator.

Proof. Assume that $\deg w_1(Y), \deg w_2(Y), \deg w_3(Y), \deg w_4(Y) > 1$ and $[D : Z(D)] = \infty$. By Remark 2.2 (i), we have $w_1(Y_1 + Y_2) - w_1(Y_1) - w_1(Y_2), w_2(Y_1 + Y_2) -$

$w_2(Y_1) - w_2(Y_2), w_3(Y_1 + Y_2) - w_3(Y_1) - w_3(Y_2), w_4(Y_1 + Y_2) - w_4(Y_1) - w_4(Y_2) \in D\{Y_1, Y_2\} \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(2w_1(2y_1) - 2w_1(y_1) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) - w_1(y_1 - y_2)\right)g_2(y_1) \\ & + g_2(y_1)\left(2w_2(2y_1) - 2w_2(y_1) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) - w_2(y_1 - y_2)\right) \\ & + \left(2w_1(y_2) - w_1(y_1 + y_2) + w_1(y_1 - y_2)\right)g_2(y_2) \\ & + g_2(y_2)\left(2w_2(y_2) - w_2(y_1 + y_2) + w_2(y_1 - y_2)\right) \\ & + 2w_3(2y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(2y_1) - w_3(y_1 + y_2)g_2(y_1 + y_2)w_4(y_1 + y_2) \\ & - w_3(y_1 - y_2)g_2(y_1 - y_2)w_4(y_1 - y_2) - 2w_3(y_1)g_2(y_1)w_4(y_1) \\ & + 2w_3(y_2)g_2(y_2)w_4(y_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that g_2 is not an elementary operator. Otherwise it follows from the Theorem 2.3 that, $w_3(Y) = 0$ and $w_4(Y) = 0$, which is contradiction. Hence, the claim follows. \square

Before proving next lemma, we need the following fact,

Fact 2. [15, Theorem 2(a)] Suppose $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$ are two linearly independent subsets of a division ring D over $Z(D)$. Then $\sum_j p_j Y q_j \neq 0 \in D\{Y\}$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$ are two linearly independent subsets of a division ring D over $Z(D)$ and $w_1(Y), w_2(Y), w_3(Y), w_4(Y) \in D\{Y\}$ with $\deg w_1(Y), \deg w_2(Y), \deg w_3(Y), \deg w_4(Y) > 1$, $\deg w_1(Y) \neq \deg w_2(Y) \neq \deg w_3(Y) + \deg w_4(Y)$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(w_1(Y) p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j w_2(Y) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^s w_3(Y) p_j Y q_j w_4(Y) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Assume $\deg w_i(Y) = l_i > 1$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Write $w_i(Y) = \sum_{k_{l_i}=0}^{l_i} w_{ik_{l_i}}(Y)$, where $w_{ik_{l_i}}$ represents the homogeneous part of $w_i(Y)$ of degree k_{l_i} ; otherwise, it equals zero. Thus $w_{il_i}(Y) \neq 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. If possible, assume that

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(w_1(Y) p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j w_2(Y) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^s w_3(Y) p_j Y q_j w_4(Y) = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{k_{l_1}=0}^{l_1} w_{1k_{l_1}}(Y) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j \right) \sum_{k_{l_2}=0}^{l_2} w_{2k_{l_2}}(Y) \\ & - \sum_{k_{l_3}=0}^{l_3} w_{3k_{l_3}}(Y) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j \right) \sum_{k_{l_4}=0}^{l_4} w_{4k_{l_4}}(Y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $l_i > 1$ where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, it implies that either $w_{1l_1}(Y) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j \right) = 0$ or $\left(\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j \right) w_{2l_2}(Y) = 0$ or $w_{3l_3}(Y) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j \right) w_{4l_4}(Y) = 0$ depending on whether $l_1 = \max\{l_1, l_2, l_3 + l_4\}$ or $l_2 = \max\{l_1, l_2, l_3 + l_4\}$ or $l_3 + l_4 = \max\{l_1, l_2, l_3 + l_4\}$ respectively. As $D\{Y\}$ is a domain. By ([7, Corollary, p.379], [8, Theorem 2.4]), we have $\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y q_j = 0$, which is a contradiction by Fact 2. \square

If we take $l_1 = l_2$ in Lemma 3.3. Then we can proceed similarly as in Lemma 3.3 in case $l_3 + l_4 = \max\{l_1, l_2, l_3 + l_4\}$ and we get,

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(w_1(Y) p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j w_2(Y) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^s w_3(Y) p_j Y q_j w_4(Y) \neq 0.$$

But if either $l_1 = \max\{l_1, l_3 + l_4\}$ or $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 + l_4$, then we have counter-examples as follows.

Example 3.4. Suppose $w_1(Y) = Y + Y^4$, $w_2(Y) = -2Y^4$, $w_3(Y) = w_4(Y) = Y^2$ and $s = 1$ with $p_1 = q_1 = 1$. All other conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied. But $Y^2 - w_1(Y)Y - Yw_2(Y) - w_3(Y)Yw_4(Y) = 0$.

Example 3.5. Suppose $w_1(Y) = Y + Y^5$, $w_2(Y) = -Y^5 - Y^4$, $w_3(Y) = w_4(Y) = Y^2$ and $s = 1$ with $p_1 = q_1 = 1$. All other conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied. But $Y^2 - w_1(Y)Y - Yw_2(Y) - w_3(Y)Yw_4(Y) = 0$.

Take $l_1 \neq l_2$ in Lemma 3.3 and all other assumptions, notations in Lemma 3.3. Without loss of generality we can assume that $l_1 > l_2$. Now, if $l_1 = l_3 + l_4$, then conclusion of Lemma 3.3 need not hold.

Example 3.6. Suppose $w_1(Y) = -Y^2 - Y^4$, $w_2(Y) = Y + Y^2$, $w_3(Y) = w_4(Y) = Y^2$ and $s = 1$ with $p_1 = q_1 = 1$. All other conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied. But $Y^2 - w_1(Y)Y - Yw_2(Y) - w_3(Y)Yw_4(Y) = 0$.

Remark 3.7. Assume $w_1(Y) = w_2(Y) = Y^{2l}$, $w_3(Y) = 4Y^l$, $w_4(Y) = Y^l$, where $l > 1$ is a integer and all other assumptions, notations as in Lemma 3.3. If we assume

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(Y^l p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j Y^l \right) - 4 \sum_{j=1}^s Y^l p_j Y q_j Y^l = 0,$$

then we get $\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j = 0$, which is a contradiction by Fact 2 or [15, Theorem 2(a)].

Naturally, this leads us to the following question,

Question 3.8. Suppose $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$ are two linearly independent subsets of a division ring D over $Z(D)$ and $w(Y) \in D\{Y\}$ with $\deg w(Y) > 1$. Then is it possible to conclude either

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(w_1(Y) p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j w_2(Y) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^s w_3(Y) p_j Y q_j w_4(Y) \neq 0,$$

or D is finite-dimensional over its center?

Theorem 3.9. Suppose g is a additive map on a division ring D having characteristic different from 2 and $w_1(Y), w_2(Y), w_3(Y), w_4(Y) \neq 0$ in $D\{Y\}$ such that

$$g(y^2) = w_1(y)g(y) + g(y)w_2(y) + w_3(y)g(y)w_4(y)$$

for all $y \in D$. If $\deg w_1(Y), \deg w_2(Y), \deg w_3(Y), \deg w_4(Y) > 1$, $\deg w_1(Y) \neq \deg w_2(Y) \neq \deg w_3(Y) + \deg w_4(Y)$, then D is finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$.

Proof. If $\deg w_1(Y), \deg w_2(Y), \deg w_3(Y), \deg w_4(Y) > 1$ from Theorem 3.2 we have either D is a finite-dimensional over its center or g is an elementary operator. If we assume g is an elementary operator, then there exist finitely many $p_j, q_j \in D$

such that $\sum_{j=1}^s p_j y q_j$ for all $y \in D$. We may assume s to be minimal. Then $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$ are two independent sets over $Z(D)$. As $g(y^2) = w_1(x)g(y) + g(y)w_2(y) + w_3(y)g(y)w_4(y)$ for all $y \in D$, so we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(w_1(Y) p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j w_2(Y) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^s w_3(Y) p_j Y q_j w_4(Y) = 0,$$

for all $y \in D$. Since $\deg w_1(Y), \deg w_2(Y) > 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\sum_{j=1}^s p_j Y^2 q_j - \sum_{j=1}^s \left(w_1(Y) p_j Y q_j + p_j Y q_j w_2(Y) \right) - \sum_{j=1}^s w_3(Y) p_j Y q_j w_4(Y)$$

is a non-trivial GPI for D . By application of Theorem 2.1, we get $[D : Z(D)] < \infty$. \square

Remark 3.10. Assume $w_1(Y) = w_2(Y) = Y^{2l}, w_3(Y) = 4Y^l, w_4(Y) = Y^l$, where $l > 1$ is a integer and all other assumptions, notations as in Theorem 3.9. Then by following the same process as in Theorem 3.9 we can prove that D is finite-dimensional over its center.

4. THE IDENTITY $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$

In this section, we prove our main result on identity $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$ as follows.

Note that Theorem 1.6 is not generally true if D is a non-commutative but not a division ring. Here is an example.

Example 4.1. Let $D = \mathbb{Z}_5\{Y_1, Y_2\}$. Define additive maps $g_1, g_2 : D \rightarrow D$, such that $g_1|_{\mathbb{Z}_5}(y) = 3y^5$ and $g_2|_{\mathbb{Z}_5}(y) = 2y$. Then, for $n = 4, m = 2$, equation (1.4) will be satisfied, but neither $g_1 = 0$ nor $g_2 = 0$.

Now we prove the following proposition, which will be useful in establishing Theorem 1.6.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on algebra R over field F and $m, n \neq 1$ are integers such that

$$(4.1) \quad g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0,$$

for all $y \in R^*$. If we exclude the case $\text{char } F = p > 0$ with $p-1 \nmid n+m-2$, then $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ on R^* .

Proof. If $\text{char } F = 0$, we set $k = 2$. However, if $\text{char } F = p > 0$, we choose k such that \bar{k} generates the cyclic multiplicative group \mathbb{Z}_p^* provided $p-1 \nmid n+m-2$. Thus, we have $k^{n+m-2} - 1 \neq 0$ in F as $p-1 \nmid n+m-2$. So, from above two cases we have $k(k^{n+m-2} - 1) \neq 0$ in F . Replacing y by ky in (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} kg_1(y) &= g_1(ky) \\ &= -k^n y^n g_2(k^{-1}y^{-1})k^m y^m \\ &= -k^{n+m-1} y^n g_2(y^{-1})y^m \\ &= k^{m+n-1} g_1(y), \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in R^*$, which implies $k(k^{n+m-2} - 1)g_1(y) = 0$ for all $y \in R^*$. Hence $g_1 = 0$ on R^* , and by equation (4.1) we have $g_2 = 0$ on R^* . \square

In particular, we have

Lemma 4.3. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a division ring D and m, n are positive integers with $(m, n) \neq (1, 1)$ such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$ for all $y \in D^*$. If we exclude the case $\text{char } D = p > 0$ with $p-1|n+m-2$, then $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ on D^* .

In [16, Example 4.3], some examples show the reason for the restriction on the characteristic in Proposition 4.2.

Remark 4.4. In Theorem 1.6 the case $m = n = 1$ is proved by L. Catalano [4, Theorem 1]. If $m = 1$ and $n = 2$ or $m = 2$ and $n = 1$, then $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ follows by Lemma 4.3.

Therefore, in this section, we will assume the following unless specified,

$$(*) \quad \text{char } D = p > 2, p-1|n+m-2, n, m \geq 2.$$

To Study Theorem 1.6, we divide into following cases:

Case 1: $n = p^{l_1} k_1, m = p^{l_2} k_2$, where $l_i \geq 0, k_i > 1$ and $\gcd(p, k_i) = 1$, and $k_i - 1$ is not a non-negative power of $p, i \in \{1, 2\}$,

Case 2: $n = p^{l_1} k_1, m = p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}$, where $l_i, m_2 \geq 0, k_1 > 1$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\gcd(p, k_1) = 1, (l_2, m_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and $k_1 - 1$ is not a non-negative power of p ,

Case 3: $n = p^{l_1+m_1} + p^{l_1}, m = p^{l_2} k_2$, where $l_i, m_1 \geq 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}, k_2 > 1$, $\gcd(p, k_2) = 1, (l_1, m_1) \neq (0, 0)$ and $k_2 - 1$ is not a non-negative power of p ,

Case 4: $n = p^{l_1+m_1} + p^{l_1}, m = p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}$, for some integers $l_i \geq 0$ and $m_i \geq 0$ such that $(l_i, m_i) \neq (0, 0)$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

$$\text{Consider } P_1(Y) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \binom{k_1}{i} Y^{p^{l_1 i}} \right), P_2(Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{j} Y^{p^{l_2 j}}.$$

Lemma 4.5. Suppose $k > 1$ is a positive integer, p is an odd prime, $\gcd(p, k) = 1$ and $k-1$ is not a non-negative power of p . Also, suppose that $s \geq 0$ is the largest integer such that $p^s | k-1$. Then we have the following.

- (i) $p \nmid \binom{k}{p^{s+1}}$,
- (ii) $p \nmid \binom{k}{p^s}$,
- (iii) If $(*)$ and Case 1 holds, then $P_1(Y), P_2(Y) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p[Y]) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. (i) Conclusion follows from [16, Lemma 5.3(i)].

(ii) We can prove this like (i), so we will omit the proof for the sake of brevity.

(iii) Since p is odd and $p-1|n+m-2$, so $n+m$ must be even, implying either m, n are even or odd. Therefore k_1, k_2 are either even or odd. We may assume that $s_1, s_2 \geq 0$ are the largest such that $p^{s_1} | k_1 - 1$ and $p^{s_2} | k_2 - 1$. As $k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1$ are not non-negative power of p , we have $p^{s_1} < k_1 - 1$ and $p^{s_2} < k_2 - 1$. Firstly, we will assume that k_1 is even. In this case $p^{s_1} + 1$ is even and $p^{s_1} + 1 \leq k_1 - 2$. Now, if we assume k_1 is odd. In this case, p^{s_1} is odd and $p^{s_1} \leq k_2 - 2$. By (i) and (ii), we get $p \nmid \binom{k_1}{p^{s_1+1}}$ and $p \nmid \binom{k_1}{p^{s_1}}$. By similar arguments for k_2 , we get $p \nmid \binom{k_2}{p^{s_2+1}}$ and $p \nmid \binom{k_2}{p^{s_2}}$. So $P_1(Y)$ and $P_2(Y)$ are non-zero in $\mathbb{Z}_p[Y] \setminus \{0\}$. □

Lemma 4.6. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D and $n, m \geq 2$ are integers such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$, for all $y \in D^*$.

Then

$$g_1(a_1) = -(1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m + g_1(1) + g_2(a_1),$$

for all $a_1 \in D$.

Proof. From Hua's identity, for any $a_1 \in D^*$, we have

$$1 - a_1 = [1 + (a_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1}]^{-1}.$$

Applying additive function g_1 on above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(a_1) &= g_1(1) - g_1([1 + (a_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1}]^{-1}) \\ &= g_1(1) + \left((1 + (a_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1})^{-n} g_2(1 + (a_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad \left((1 + (a_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1})^{-m} \right) \\ (4.2) \quad &= g_1(1) + \left((1 - a_1)^n g_2(1)(1 - a_1)^m + a_1^n (a_1^{-1} - 1)^n g_2((a_1^{-1} - 1)^{-1}) \right) \\ &\quad \left((a_1^{-1} - 1)^m a_1^m \right) \\ &= g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m - a_1^n g_1(a_1^{-1} - 1) a_1^m \\ &= g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m + g_2(a_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.7. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D with (*) and Case 1 such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$, for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1(1) = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$.

Proof. Since p is odd and $p - 1 | n + m - 2$, $n + m$ must be even, implying n, m are even or odd. Therefore k_1, k_2 are either even or odd. Also, as $k_i - 1 \neq p^0 = 1$, so $k_i > 2$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By Lemma 4.6, we get

$$(4.3) \quad (g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Replace a_1 by $-a_1$ in (4.3). Since $n + m$ is even, we have

$$(4.4) \quad -(g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = g_1(1) - (1 + a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 + a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Comparing (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad 0 = 2g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m - (1 + a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 + a_1)^m + 2a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. As $k_i > 2$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the equation (4.5) becomes

$$2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \binom{k_1}{i} a_1^{p^{l_1} i} \right) g_1(1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{j} a_1^{p^{l_2} j} \right) = 0,$$

where $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$, (k_1, k_2) and both i and j can not be even and odd or odd and even respectively, for all $a_1 \in D$.

Take $P_1(Y) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \binom{k_1}{i} Y^{p^{l_1} i} \right)$, $P_2(Y) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \binom{k_2}{j} Y^{p^{l_2} j} \right) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p[Y])$. Then

$$P_1(a_1) g_1(1) P_2(a_1) = 0,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. By Lemma 4.5, $P_1(Y) g_1(1) P_2(Y) \neq 0 \in D[Y]$. By, Jacobson's theorem [15, Theorem 13.11], there exists a $a_1 \in D$ such that $P_1(a_1) g_1(1) P_2(a_1) \neq 0$. Therefore, we get $g_1(1) = 0$. Hence $g_1 = g_2$. □

Lemma 4.8. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D with $(*)$ and Case 2 such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$, for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1(1) = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$.

Proof. Since p is odd and $p-1|n+m-2$, $n+m$ must be even, implying n, m are even. Therefore k_1 is even. Also, as $k_1-1 \neq p^0 = 1$, so $k_1 > 2$. By Lemma 4.6, we get

$$(4.6) \quad (g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Replace a_1 by $-a_1$ in (4.6). Since $n+m$ is even, we have

$$(4.7) \quad -(g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = g_1(1) - (1 + a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 + a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Comparing (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$(4.8) \quad 0 = 2g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m - (1 + a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 + a_1)^m + 2a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. As $k_1 > 2$ and $m = p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}$, the equation (4.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left(\sum_{i=2, \text{even}}^{k_1-2} \binom{k_1}{i} a_1^{p^{l_1} i} \right) g_1(1) (1 + a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}}) + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1, \text{odd}}^{k_1-1} \binom{k_1}{i} a_1^{p^{l_1} i} \right) g_1(1) \\ & (a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}} + a_1^{p^{l_2}}) + 2a_1^{p^{l_1} k_1} g_1(1) + 2g_1(1) a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Take $Q_1(Y) = 2 \left(\sum_{i=2, \text{even}}^{k_1-2} \binom{k_1}{i} Y^{p^{l_1} i} \right) g_1(1) (1 + Y^{p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}}) + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1, \text{odd}}^{k_1-1} \binom{k_1}{i} Y^{p^{l_1} i} \right) g_1(1) (a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}} + Y^{p^{l_2}}) + 2Y^{p^{l_1} k_1} g_1(1) + 2g_1(1) Y^{p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}}$.

If $Q_1(Y) = 0 \in D[Y]$, then we get $2Y^{p^{l_1} k_1} g_1(1) = 0$, which implies $g_1(1) = 0$.

Otherwise, $Q_1(Y) \neq 0 \in D[Y]$. By Lemma 4.5, $P_1(Y)g_1(1)(1 + Y^{p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}}) \neq 0 \in D[Y]$. By, Jacobson's theorem [15, Theorem 13.11], there exists a $a_1 \in D$ such that $Q_1(a_1) \neq 0$. Therefore, we get $g_1(1) = 0$. Thus $g_1 = g_2$. \square

Lemma 4.9. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D with $(*)$ and Case 3 such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$, for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1(1) = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$.

We leave the proof of Lemma 4.9 as an easy exercise for the reader.

Lemma 4.10. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D with $(*)$ and Case 4 such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$, for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1(1) = 0$ and $g_1 = g_2$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, we have

$$(4.9) \quad (g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = g_1(1) - (1 - a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 - a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Replace a_1 by $-a_1$ in (4.9), we get

$$(4.10) \quad -(g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = g_1(1) - (1 + a_1)^n g_1(1)(1 + a_1)^m + a_1^n g_1(1) a_1^m,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Since $n = p^{l_1+m_1} + p^{l_1}$ and $m = p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}$, from (4.9) and (4.10), we have

$$(4.11) \quad (g_1 - g_2)(a_1) = (1 + a_1^n) g_1(1) (a_1^{p^{l_2}} + a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}}) + (a_1^{p^{l_1}} + a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}}) g_1(1) (1 + a_1^m),$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. If we assume that $g_1(1) \neq 0$. Then map $a_1 \mapsto (1 + a_1^n)g_1(1)(a_1^{p^{l_2}} + a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}}) + (a_1^{p^{l_1}} + a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}})g_1(1)(1 + a_1^m)$ is additive. Using additive property right side expression of (4.11), and equation (4.9) we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X, Y) = & - (1 - (X + Y))^n g_1(1)(1 - (X + Y))^m + (X + Y)^n g_1(X + Y)^m - g_1(1) \\ & + (1 - X)^n g_1(1)(1 - X)^m - X^n g_1(1)X^m + (1 - Y)^n g_1(1)(1 - Y)^m \\ & - Y^n g_1(1)Y^m \in D\{X, Y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, D is a PI-ring as $Q(X, Y) \neq 0$. By Pošner's Theorem [20] D is finite-dimensional over its center. $Z(D)$ is infinite field as D is non-commutative. We assume F is maximal sub-field of D such that $Z(D) \subset F$. Then $D \otimes_{Z(D)} F \cong M_r(F)$, where $r = \sqrt{\dim_{Z(D)} D} > 1$. We can assume $Q(X, Y)$ is also a PI for $M_r(F)$ as D and $M_r(F)$ satisfy the same PI (see [10, Corollary, p.64]). Substituting $X = I, Y = -I$, where I is $r \times r$ identity matrix, in $Q(X, Y)$, we get $Q(I, -I) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $g_1(1) = 0$, which implies $g_1 = g_2$. \square

By Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8, Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11. Suppose g_1, g_2 are additive maps on a non-commutative division ring D having characteristic different from 2 and $m, n \geq 2$ are positive integers such that $g_1(y)y^{-m} + y^n g_2(y^{-1}) = 0$ for all $y \in D^*$. Then $g_1 = g_2$.

From now on, we always make the following assumptions to make our statements neat unless specified.

Suppose g is a additive map on a non-commutative division ring D with (*) such that $g(y)y^{-m} + y^n g(y^{-1}) = 0$ for all $y \in D^*$.

Our aim is to show that $g = 0$. We define $C_D(a_2) = \{a_1 \in D \mid a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1\}$, is a centralizer of $a_2 \in D$.

Lemma 4.12. Suppose $P(Y; a_1) = (1 + Y)^n g(a_1)(1 + Y)^m + (1 - Y)^n g(a_1)(1 - Y)^m - 2Y^n g(a_1)Y^m - 2g(a_1) \in D[Y]$, for $a_1 \in D$. Then following will hold:

- (i) $g(y^2) = (1 + y)^n g(y)(1 + y)^m - y^n g(y)y^m - g(y)$ for all $y \in D$,
- (ii) $P(a_1 a_2; a_1) = 0$ for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ such that $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$,
- (iii) If for some $d \in D$, for any $a_1 \in C_D(d)^*$, $P(d; a_1) \neq 0$, then $g(C_D(d)) = 0$,
- (iv) If $P(Z(D); a_1) \neq 0$, then $g = 0$.

Proof. Let $a_1, a_2 \in D$, such that $a_1, a_2 \neq 0, 1$. Then, by Hua's identity, $a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1 = [a_1^{-1} + (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-1}]^{-1}$. By application of additive map g on the above

identity, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.12) \quad g(a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1) &= g([a_1^{-1} + (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-1}]^{-1}) \\
 &= - \left((a_1^{-1} + (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-1})^{-n} g(a_1^{-1} + (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-1}) \right) \\
 &\quad \left((a_1^{-1} + (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-1})^{-m} \right) \\
 &= - (a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1)^n \left(-a_1^n g(a_1) a_1^m \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-n} g(a_2^{-1} - a_1) (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-m} \right) \\
 &\quad \left((a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1)^m \right) \\
 &= (a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1)^n a_1^{-n} g(a_1) a_1^{-m} (a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1)^m \\
 &\quad + \left((a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1)^n (a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-n} g(a_2^{-1} - a_1) \right) \\
 &\quad \left((a_2^{-1} - a_1)^{-m} (a_1 - a_1 a_2 a_1)^m \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ with $a_1 a_2 \neq 0, 1$. Assume that $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$. Then equation (4.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(a_1 - a_1^2 a_2) &= (1 - a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (1 - a_1 a_2)^m - (a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (a_1 a_2)^m \\
 &\quad - (a_1 a_2)^n a_2^{-n} g(a_2) a_2^{-m} (a_1 a_2)^m.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies,

$$(4.13) \quad g(a_1 - a_1^2 a_2) = (1 - a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (1 - a_1 a_2)^m - (a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (a_1 a_2)^m - a_1^n g(a_2) a_1^m,$$

which implies

$$(4.14) \quad g(a_1^2 a_2) = - (1 - a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (1 - a_1 a_2)^m + (a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (a_1 a_2)^m + a_1^n g(a_2) a_1^m + g(a_1),$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ with $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$. Since $p-1 \mid n+m-2$, $n+m$ must be even. Replacing a_1 by $-a_1$ in equation (4.14), we get

$$(4.15) \quad g(a_1^2 a_2) = (1 + a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (1 + a_1 a_2)^m - (a_1 a_2)^n g(a_1) (a_1 a_2)^m + a_1^n g(a_2) a_1^m - g(a_1),$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ with $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$. Since $g(1) = 0$, substituting $a_2 = 1$ in equation (4.15), we get

$$(4.16) \quad g(a_1^2) = (1 + a_1)^n g(a_1) (1 + a_1)^m - a_1^n g(a_1) a_1^m - g(a_1).$$

This proves (i). On equating equations (4.14) and (4.15), we have (ii).

Assume $d \in D$ such that $P(d; a_1) \neq 0$ and $a_1 \in C_D(d)$. Take $a_2 = a_1^{-1} d$. Then $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$. By (ii), we have $P(d; a_1) = P(a_1 a_2; a_1) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we get $g(a_1) = 0$ i.e $g(C_D(d)) = 0$. Obviously, (iv) follows from (iii). \square

Lemma 4.13. If Case 1 holds, then $g = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $g \neq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(Y; a_1) &= (1 + Y)^n g(a_1) (1 + Y)^m + (1 - Y)^n g(a_1) (1 - Y)^m - 2Y^n g(a_1) Y^m \\
 &\quad - 2g(a_1) \in D[Y].
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $P(Y; a_1) = P_1(Y)g(a_1)P_2(Y) \neq 0$ in $D[Y]$, by the application of Lemma 4.5(ii) and $P(Z(D); a_1) = 0$, by the application of Lemma 4.12(iv).

Case A: If we consider the case $P(d; a_1) \neq 0$ for any $d \in D \setminus Z(D)$, then by application of Lemma 4.12(iii), we have $g(C_D(d)) = 0$ for all $d \in D \setminus Z(D)$. In particular, $g(Z(D)) = 0$ and $g(d) = 0$ for all $d \in D \setminus Z(D)$, which implies $g = 0$.

Case B: On the other hand, we consider a case $P(d; a_1) = 0$ for some $d \in D \setminus Z(D)$. Suppose $w \in D \setminus Z(D)$. Then $C_D(w)$ is an infinite division ring according to [15, Theorem 13.10]. Also we have $P(z; a_1) \neq 0$ for some $z \in C_D(w)$ using [15, Theorem 16.7]. So, by application of Lemma 4.12 (iii), we have $g(C_D(z)) = 0$. Particularly, we get $g(w) = 0$ and $g(Z(D)) = 0$. Hence $g = 0$. \square

By, using the similar arguments as in Lemma 4.13, we have following remarks.

Remark 4.14. If Case 2 holds, then $g = 0$.

Remark 4.15. If Case 3 holds, then $g = 0$.

Next, we study Case 4 and make the following key observation.

Lemma 4.16. Assume that Case 4 holds. For $a_1 \in D$, if $a_1 \in Z(D)$, then $g(a_1) = 0$ and $(a_1^m + a_1^n + (a_1^{p_1} + a_1^{p_1+m_1})(a_1^{p_2} + a_1^{p_2+m_2}))g(a_2) = 0$ for all $a_2 \in D$.

Proof. From equation (4.15) we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} g(a_1^2 a_2) &= a_1^n a_1^m g(a_2) + a_1^n a_2^n g(a_1) + g(a_1) a_1^m a_2^m \\ &+ (a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_1} + a_1^{p_1+m_1} a_2^{p_1+m_1})g(a_1)(a_1^{p_2} a_2^{p_2} + a_1^{p_2+m_2} a_2^{p_2+m_2})g(a_1), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_2 \in D$ with $a_1 \in Z(D)$.

Suppose $g(a_1) \neq 0$. Then, $a_2 \rightarrow (a_1^n a_2^n + a_1^m a_2^m + (a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_1} + a_1^{p_1+m_1} a_2^{p_1+m_1})(a_1^{p_2} a_2^{p_2} + a_1^{p_2+m_2} a_2^{p_2+m_2}))g(a_1)$ is an additive map say g' . Let

$$Q(X, Y) = g'(X + Y) - g'(X) - g'(Y) \in D\{X, Y\}.$$

$Q(X, Y) \neq 0$ GPI for D . We get D is finite-dimensional over its infinite center, because of Theorem 2.1 and non-commutativity of D .

As D is non-commutative finite-dimensional algebra over $Z(D)$, $Z(D)$ is not algebraic over $\mathbb{Z}\langle p \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ by Jacobson's theorem [15, Theorem 13.11]. Thus, there exists $\alpha \in Z(D)$ such that $a_1^n \alpha^n + a_1^m \alpha^m + (a_1^{p_1} \alpha^{p_1} + a_1^{p_1+m_1} \alpha^{p_1+m_1})(a_1^{p_2} \alpha^{p_2} + a_1^{p_2+m_2} \alpha^{p_2+m_2}) \neq 0$. This implies $Q(\alpha, -\alpha) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $g(a_1) = 0$. It follows from equation (4.17) that

$$(4.18) \quad g(a_1^2 a_2) = a_1^n a_1^m g(a_2),$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. On replacing a_1 by $a_1 + 1$ in equation (4.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(a_1 a_2) &= g(a_2) a_1^{p_2} + g(a_2) a_1^{p_2+m_2} + g(a_2) a_1^m \\ &+ a_1^{p_1} g(a_2) + a_1^{p_1} g(a_2) a_1^{p_2} + a_1^{p_1} g(a_2) a_1^{p_2+m_2} + a_1^{p_1} g(a_2) a_1^m \\ &+ a_1^{p_1+m_1} g(a_2) + a_1^{p_1+m_1} g(a_2) a_1^{p_2} + a_1^{p_1+m_1} g(a_2) a_1^{p_2+m_2} \\ &+ a_1^{p_1+m_1} g(a_2) a_1^m + a_1^n g(a_2) + a_1^n g(a_2) a_1^{p_2} + a_1^n g(a_2) a_1^{p_2+m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. Replacing a_1 by $-a_1$ in above equation we get,

$$\begin{aligned} -2g(a_1a_2) = & -g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2}} - g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}} + g(a_2)a_1^m \\ & - a_1^{p^{l_1}}g(a_2) + a_1^{p^{l_1}}g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2}} + a_1^{p^{l_1}}g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}} - a_1^{p^{l_1}}g(a_2)a_1^m \\ & - a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}}g(a_2) + a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}}g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2}} + a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}}g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}} \\ & - a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}}g(a_2)a_1^m + a_1^n g(a_2) - a_1^n g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2}} - a_1^n g(a_2)a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. By the addition of the above two expressions, we get,

$$(4.19) \quad (a_1^m + a_1^n + (a_1^{p^{l_1}} + a_1^{p^{l_1+m_1}})(a_1^{p^{l_2}} + a_1^{p^{l_2+m_2}}))g(a_2) = 0,$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. □

Remark 4.17. If we assume D is a non-commutative ring such that $\text{char } D \neq 2, 3$ and all other assumptions of Lemma 4.16, then by substituting $a_1 = 1$ in equation (4.19), we get $g = 0$.

Next, we will prove the following result in Case 4, when $(m_1, m_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and characteristic of D is 3.

Lemma 4.18. Suppose D is a non-commutative division ring having characteristic 3. If $n = p^{l_1+m_1} + p^{l_1}$, $m = p^{l_2+m_2} + p^{l_2}$ for some integers $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 \geq 0$ and $(m_1, m_2) \neq (0, 0)$, then $g = 0$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in Z(D)$. Then by Lemma 4.16,

$$\alpha^n g(a_2) = -(\alpha^m + (\alpha^{p^{l_1}} + \alpha^{p^{l_1+m_1}})(\alpha^{p^{l_2}} + \alpha^{p^{l_2+m_2}}))g(a_2),$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. Thus

$$\alpha^{2n} g(a_2) = -(\alpha^{2m} + (\alpha^{2p^{l_1}} + \alpha^{2p^{l_1+m_1}})(\alpha^{2p^{l_2}} + \alpha^{2p^{l_2+m_2}}))g(a_2),$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2n} g(a_2) &= \alpha^n (\alpha^n g(a_2)) \\ &= -\alpha^n (\alpha^m + (\alpha^{p^{l_1}} + \alpha^{p^{l_1+m_1}})(\alpha^{p^{l_2}} + \alpha^{p^{l_2+m_2}}))g(a_2) \\ &= (\alpha^m + (\alpha^{p^{l_1}} + \alpha^{p^{l_1+m_1}})(\alpha^{p^{l_2}} + \alpha^{p^{l_2+m_2}}))^2 g(a_2), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. On comparing the above two equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^m (\alpha^{2p^{l_1}} + \alpha^{2p^{l_1+m_1}}) + \alpha^m (\alpha^m + \alpha^n) + 2\alpha^{m+n} - \alpha^{2n} \\ + \alpha^n (\alpha^{2p^{l_2}} + \alpha^{2p^{l_2+m_2}}))g(a_2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_2 \in D$. Assuming $g(a_2) \neq 0$, we get a contradiction by substituting $\alpha = 1$ in the above equation. Hence $g = 0$. □

At last, we deal with case when $m_i = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Lemma 4.19. If $n = 2p^{l_1}$, $m = 2p^{l_2}$ for some integers $l_1, l_2 > 0$ and (*) is satisfied with equation $g(y)y^{-m} + y^n g(y^{-1}) = 0$, then $g = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.12

$$(4.20) \quad g(a_1^2) = (1 + a_1^{p^{l_1}})^2 g(a_1) (1 + a_1^{p^{l_2}})^2 - a_1^{2p^{l_1}} g(a_1) a_1^{2p^{l_2}} - g(a_1),$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Replacing a_1 by $-a_1$ in (4.20), we get

$$(4.21) \quad g(a_1^2) = (1 - a_1^{p^{l_1}})^2 g(a_1) (1 - a_1^{p^{l_2}})^2 + a_1^{2p^{l_1}} g(a_1) a_1^{2p^{l_2}} + g(a_1),$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. On solving equations (4.20) and (4.21), we get

$$(4.22) \quad g(a_1^2) = a_1^{2p^{l_1}} g(a_1) + g(a_1) a_1^{2p^{l_2}} + 4a_1^{p^{l_1}} g(a_1) a_1^{p^{l_2}},$$

for all $a_1 \in D$.

Since $a_1^{p^{l_1}}, a_1^{p^{l_2}} > 1$. By application of Theorem 3.9 and Remark 3.10 on (4.22), we get D is finite-dimensional over $Z(D)$. If we consider $Z(D)$ is finite, then division ring D is also finite. According to Wedderburn's Theorem (see [22]), division ring D must be commutative, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $Z(D)$ is infinite.

Also by Lemma 4.16, we have

$$(4.23) \quad 2g(\alpha a_2) = \beta g(a_2),$$

where $\beta \in Z(D)$, for all $\alpha \in Z(D)$ and $a_2 \in D$.

Note that $2^{p^i} \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By application of Lemma 3.1 to equation (4.20), we get

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(6a_1^{2p^{l_1}} - (a_1 + a_2)^{2p^{l_1}} - (a_1 - a_2)^{2p^{l_1}} \right) g(a_1) + g(a_1) \left(6a_1^{2p^{l_2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (a_1 + a_2)^{2p^{l_2}} - (a_1 - a_2)^{2p^{l_2}} \right) + 24a_1^{p^{l_1}} g(a_1) a_1^{p^{l_2}} \\ & \quad - 4(a_1 + a_2)^{p^{l_1}} g(a_1) (a_1 + a_2)^{p^{l_2}} - 4(a_1 - a_2)^{p^{l_1}} g(a_1) (a_1 - a_2)^{p^{l_2}} \\ & = \left(-6a_2^{2p^{l_1}} + (a_1 + a_2)^{2p^{l_1}} - (a_1 - a_2)^{2p^{l_1}} \right) g(a_2) \\ & \quad + g(a_2) \left(-6a_2^{2p^{l_1}} + (a_1 + a_2)^{2p^{l_1}} - (a_1 - a_2)^{2p^{l_2}} \right) \\ & \quad + 4(a_1 + a_2)^{p^{l_1}} g(a_2) (a_1 + a_2)^{p^{l_2}} - 4(a_1 - a_2)^{p^{l_1}} g(a_2) (a_1 - a_2)^{p^{l_2}} \\ & \quad + 8a_2^{p^{l_1}} g(a_2) a_2^{p^{l_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$. Write

$$(Y_1 + Y_2)^{p^{l_1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{p^{l_1}} P_j(Y_1, Y_2), \quad (Y_1 + Y_2)^{2p^{l_1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2p^{l_1}} U_j(Y_1, Y_2)$$

and

$$(Y_1 + Y_2)^{2p^{l_2}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2p^{l_2}} V_j(Y_1, Y_2), \quad (Y_1 + Y_2)^{p^{l_2}} = \sum_{j=1}^{p^{l_2}} Q_j(Y_1, Y_2)$$

where $P_j(Y_1, Y_2), Q_j(Y_1, Y_2), U_j(Y_1, Y_2), V_j(Y_1, Y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ are homogeneous in Y_2 of degree j . Suppose $l = \max\{l_1, l_2\}$. In case $l_1 \neq l_2$, without loss of

generality we can assume $l_1 < l_2$. Then equation (4.24) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^{p^l} \left(U_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1) + g(a_1)V_{2j}(a_1, a_2) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}, \frac{p^l-1}{2}} 4P_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)Q_{2k}(a_1, a_2) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l+1}{2}, \frac{p^l+1}{2}} 4P_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)Q_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) \\
 (4.25) \quad & = \sum_{j=1}^{p^l} \left(U_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1) + g(a_2)V_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}, \frac{p^l+1}{2}} 4P_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)Q_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l+1}{2}, \frac{p^l-1}{2}} 4P_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)Q_{2k}(a_1, a_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$, where $P_j(a_1, a_2) = 0$ for $j > p_{l_1}$ and $U_j(a_1, a_2) = 0$ for $j > 2p^{l_1}$. Replacing a_2 by αa_2 and multiplying both sides by 2 in equation (4.25) and applying equation (4.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^{p^l} 2\alpha^{2j} \left(U_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1) + g(a_1)V_{2j}(a_1, a_2) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}, \frac{p^l-1}{2}} 8\alpha^{2j+2k} P_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)Q_{2k}(a_1, a_2) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l+1}{2}, \frac{p^l+1}{2}} 8\alpha^{2j-1+2k-1} P_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)Q_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) \\
 (4.26) \quad & = \sum_{j=1}^{p^l} \alpha^{2j-1} \beta \left(U_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2) + g(a_2)V_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2) \right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}, \frac{p^l+1}{2}} 4\alpha^{2j+2k-1} \beta P_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)Q_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1, k=1}^{\frac{p^l+1}{2}, \frac{p^l-1}{2}} 4\alpha^{2j-1+2k} \beta P_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)Q_{2k}(a_1, a_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ and $\alpha \in Z(D)$. Since $Z(D)$ is infinite. By application of standard Vandermonde argument to solve equation (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.27) \quad & 2\left(U_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1) + g(a_1)V_{2j}(a_1, a_2)\right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} 8\alpha^{2k}P_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)Q_{2k}(a_1, a_2) \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} 4\alpha^{2k-1}\beta P_{2j}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)Q_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) \\
& = \beta\left(U_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2) + g(a_2)V_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)\right) \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} 4\alpha^{2k}\beta P_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)Q_{2k}(a_1, a_2) \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} 8\alpha^{2k-1}P_{2j-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)Q_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ and $1 \leq j \leq p^l$. Fix $j = p^l$ in equation (4.27), we get,

$$(4.28) \quad 2(U_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2) + g(a_2)V_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)) = 0,$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$ and $\alpha \in Z(D)$. In case $l_1 \neq l_2$, from equation (4.28), we get

$$(4.29) \quad g(a_2)V_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2) = 0,$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in D$. Let $a_1 \in D$ such that $g(a_2) \neq 0$. Then this implies $V_{2p^l}(a_1, a_2) = 0$ and

$$[a_1^{2p^l}, a_2] = [a_1, V_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)] = 0,$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Thus given $a_1 \in D$, we get

$$D = C_D(a_1^{2p^l-1}) \cup \{a_2 \in D | g(a_2) = 0\}.$$

We get $D = C_D(a_1^{2p^l-1})$ as $g \neq 0$. So, $a_1^{p^l} \in Z(D)$ for all $a_1 \in D$. Because of Kaplansky's Theorem [13, Theorem], we have D is commutative, which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if $l_1 = l_2$. Then $P_{p^l-1}(a_1, a_2) = Q_{p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)$, which means equation (4.27) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta U_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1) + g(a_1)\beta U_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2) + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} 4\alpha^{2k}\beta P_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_2)P_{2k}(a_1, a_2) \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} 8\alpha^{2k-1}P_{2p^l-1}(a_1, a_2)g(a_1)P_{2k-1}(a_1, a_2) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1 \in D$. Taking $a_1 = 1$ in the above equation, we get $\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p^l-1}{2}} \alpha^{2k}\beta P_{2p^l-1}(1, a_2)g(a_2)P_{2k}(1, a_2) = 0$, by standard Vandermonde argument we have $P_{2p^l-1}(1, a_2) = 0$ i.e. $2p^l a^{2p^l-1} = 0$, which is contradiction. This proves that $g = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Because of Lemma 4.3, we may assume that

$$\text{char } D = p > 2, n, m > 2, p - 1 | n + m - 2.$$

By Lemma 4.11, we may assume that $g_2 = -g_1$. That is

$$g(y) = -y^n g_1(y^{-1}) y^m,$$

holds for all $y \in D^*$. We get our conclusion $g_1 = 0$ in Case 1, by Lemma 4.13.

Remark 4.14 and Remark 4.15 conclude that $g = 0$ in both Case 2 and Case 3.

In Case 4, by the application of Remark 4.17, Lemma 4.18 and Lemma 4.19, we get $g_1(y) = 0$, for all $y \in D$. Hence $g_1 = g_2 = 0$. □

5. DATA AVAILABILITY

There is no data available in this article.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the reviewers and referees for the constructive comments and suggestions which helped to improve the paper's quality. L. Singh is supported by DST-INSPIRE (IF230146), Ref. No. DST/INSPIRE/03/2023/002370. All the authors contributed equally.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Argaç, M.P. Eroğlu, T.-K. Lee, J.-H. Lin, Identities with inverses on matrix rings, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **68** (2020) 635–651.
- [2] J. Aczél, L. Kossuth, Some unsolved problems in the theory of functional equations, *Arch. Math.* **15** (1964) 435–444.
- [3] M. Brešar, On generalized biderivations and related maps. *J. Algebra* **172** (1995) 764–786.
- [4] L. Catalano, On a certain functional identity involving inverses. *Comm. Algebra* **46** (2018) 3430–3435.
- [5] L. Catalano, T. Merchán, On rational functional identities, *Commun. Algebra* **52** (2024) 717–722.
- [6] C. L. Chuang, GPI's having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988) 723–728.
- [7] P. M. Cohn, On the free product of associative rings. III, *J. Algebra* **8** (1968) 376–383.
- [8] P. M. Cohn, On the free product of associative rings II, *Math. Z.* **73** (1960) 433–456.
- [9] N. A. Dar, W. Jing, On a functional identity involving inverses on matrix rings, *Quaest. Math.* **46** (2023) 927–937.
- [10] N. Jacobson, *PI-Algebras: an Introduction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berl. -New York **441** 1975.
- [11] P. L. Kannappan, S. Kurepa, Some relations between additive functions–I, *Aequ. Math.* **4** (1970) 163–175.
- [12] P. L. Kannappan, S. Kurepa, Some relations between additive functions–II, *Aequ. Math.* **6** (1971) 46–58.
- [13] I. Kaplansky, A theorem on division rings, *Can. J. Math.* **3** (1951) 290–292.
- [14] S. Kurepa, The Cauchy functional equation and scalar product in vector spaces, *Glas. Mat.-Fiz. Astr. Ser. II* **19** (1964) 23–36.
- [15] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, second edition, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, **131** (2001) xx+385 pp.
- [16] T. K. Lee, J. H. Lin, Certain functional identities on division rings, *J. Algebra* **647** (2024) 492–514.
- [17] W. S. Martindale 3rd, Prime rings satisfying a generalized polynomial identity, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969) 576–584.
- [18] C. T. Ng, The equation $F(x) + M(x)G(1/x) = 0$ and homogeneous biadditive forms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **93** (1987) 255–279.

- [19] A. Nishiyama, S. Horinouchi, On a system of functional equations, *Aequ. Math.* **1** (1968) 1–5.
- [20] E.C. Posner, Prime rings satisfying a polynomial identity, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **11** (1960) 180–183.
- [21] R. Raphael, Rings which are generated by their units, *J. Algebra* **28** (1974) 199–205.
- [22] J. H. Maclagan-Wedderburn, A theorem on finite algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1905) 349–352.

LOVEPREET SINGH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PATNA,
BIHAR, 801106, INDIA

Email address: `lovepreet.ls0029@gmail.com`

S. K. TIWARI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY PATNA,
BIHAR, 801106, INDIA

Email address: `shaileshiitd84@gmail.com & sktiwari@iitp.ac.in`