Matching McVittie spacetimes

Jining Tang¹,* Yang Huang²,[†] and Hongsheng Zhang^{2‡} School of Physics and Technology, University of Jinan, 336 West Road of Nan Xinzhuang, Jinan, Shandong 250022, China

Abstract

Gravitational collapse and bubble evolution in the asymptotical Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) Universe is an intriguing and intricate problem. We systematically analyze dynamics for contact Schwarzschild-FLRW (McVittie) spacetimes, focusing on their general junction conditions and introducing a novel function to simplify the extrinsic curvature and surface stress-energy tensor. We explore both static and dynamic scenarios, including special cases such as Schwarzschild, FLRW, and Einstein-Straus configurations by using our general framework. Numerical calculations further reveal the evolution of the concentric McVittie spacetimes with various initial conditions, offering deep insights into the interplay between the McVittie mass parameter and initial peculiar velocity. These results provide a unified perspective for understanding gravitational collapse and bubble evolution in cosmology and astrophysics.

Keywords: McVittie spacetime; junction condition; Gravitational collapse; bubble dynamics

^{*}Electronic address: aishiker1998@gmail.com

[†]Electronic address: sps_Huangy@ujn.edu.cn

[‡]Electronic address: sps_zhanghs@ujn.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Oppenheimer and Snyder's initial work on gravitational collapse[1], the process of a spherical collapsing star with different matters have been studied [2–14]. However, compared with Oppenheimer's simplified model, our universe is expanding from observation[15], which means the asymptotic background outside the star is much better described by an FLRW metric than the Schwarzschild one. How the asymptotic behavior of the spacetime influences the processes of structure formation and local collapsing is a profound and intriguing topic in gravitation and cosmology.

The cosmological black hole has been a frequently studied topic in the last century as the endpoint of the collapse of a star embedded in our universe[16–19]. The most famous solution is McVittie one, which was first proposed as a non-uniform spherically symmetric distribution of mass about a point living in an expanding or contracting universe in the 1930s[20]. After long debates for a century, it is confirmed that McVittie can be treated as a solution to black holes in the spatially flat Friedmann-Walker universe, see still for with some discussions[21–24]. Several other solutions describe an inhomogeneity in the homogeneous universe, which differs from McVittie's solution[25–29]. As the McVittie metric describes a comoving black hole in FLRW universe, one wonders if there is a process of gravitational collapse in the cosmological background. Some researchers studied that and proposed lots of solutions [30–32].

It is not clear whether a collapsing star can be seen as the source of the McVittie solution. Nolan first proved that the uniform-density star co-expanding with the universe is an option for the gravitational source[33]. Nandra et al. used the tetrad-base method in the language of geometric algebra, which was proposed by Lasenby, Doran & Gull[34], to derive the McVittie solution in non-comoving coordinates[35]. They also used the same method to construct a model of a uniformly dense spherical region embedded in a uniform universe and proved Nolan's work in the non-comoving coordinates as a special case[36]. Nolan and Nandra employed the junction condition to demonstrate that a uniform-density interior can be smoothly matched to a McVittie exterior respectively.

The junction conditions are necessary for the study of gravitational collapse, and the most popular one is the Darmois-Israel junction condition/thin-shell formalism[37–39]. This work presents a convenient way to explore the evolution of the boundary or shell in cosmology. Given the lack of clarity surrounding the evolution process of collapsing matter in the McVittie spacetime, it is imperative to undertake a comprehensive study and comparative analysis of analogous processes, which are the cosmic bubbles. The dynamics of bubbles are generally complicated, while by choosing the matter contents of regions, the problem can be simplified. The Oppenheimer's model we mentioned before is the simplest case, and the case of false vacuum has also been proposed[40]. Berezin et al. gave a systematic study and investigation of the evolution of thin shell bubbles, which developed a useful formalism of bubbles based on Israel's work[41]. Without the simple model, the junction of arbitrary FLRW spacetimes is considered and then widely studied[42]. It is generally accepted that it is impossible to match two FLRW spacetimes without a shell. The symmetry of the bubbles allows the thin shell formalism to be expressed, which is greatly simplified[43, 44]. Meanwhile, as a cosmological analogy for Oppenheimer's model, the gluing of McVittie spacetimes is rarely discussed because of its complicated non-linear coupling[45]. Like any other cosmological black hole solution, McVittie's solution can be reduced to the Schwarzschild form and the FLRW form by setting proper parameters. Thus it is quite sensible and imperative to study the junction of two McVittie spacetimes and compare its asymptotic behavior with the classical result studied before.

In this paper, we propose a novel approach to address the junction of two McVittie-like regions and evaluate its implications. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Sect. II, we review the McVittie solution and Israel's thin shell formalism in chosen coordinates; in Sect. III, a model of McVittie bubble is constructed, and its relevant physical quantities are calculated. Subsequently, a new function is proposed, and its significance is explored within the context of thin-shell formalism. In Sect. IV, we present several applications as the special cases of our model by employing our new function. Sect. V is devoted to our conclusions. In this work, we adopt the natural unit system where c = G = 1 and the metric signature (- + ++).

II. REVIEW OF MCVITTIE METRIC

For convenience to develop the investigation of this paper, we simply review McVittie metric and junction condition.

A. McVittie's solution

The solution found by McVittie ninety years ago was established to describe a black hole embedded in an FLRW Universe. The spherically symmetric solution is parametrized by the asymptotic scale factor a(t) of the cosmology and a parameter m, which is the mass of the central black hole,

$$ds^{2} = -\left(\frac{1 - \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}}{1 + \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}}\right)^{2} dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)\left(1 + \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}\right)^{4} \left(d\bar{r}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right).$$
 (1)

In McVittie's original publication, the solution metric was presented in the isotropic form. This form allows the solution to be reduced to the standard Schwarzschild and FLRW solutions in proper limits. The energy density and pressure of this solution can be obtained by solving Einstein's field equations,

$$\rho(t) = \frac{3}{8\pi} H^2(t) \quad , \quad p = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left[3H^2 + 2\dot{H} \frac{1 + \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}}{1 - \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}} \right], \tag{2}$$

where $H \equiv H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble function of the FLRW background cosmology and m is a constant because of McVittie's no-accretion hypothesis($G^{1}_{0} = 0$). It's obvious that the energy density is homogeneous for arbitrary parameter m, but the second term of pressure is inhomogeneous and singular at $\bar{r} = \frac{m}{2a(t)}$ except \dot{H} vanishes.

Rewriting the line element in terms of the areal radius

$$r \equiv a(t)\bar{r}\left(1 + \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}\right)^2,\tag{3}$$

which is a natural choice for achieving a more intuitive physical interpretation. Under this transformation, the new metric is not diagonal

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - H^{2}r^{2}\right)dt^{2} - \frac{2Hr}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}}drdt + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}.$$
(4)

For m = 0, the metric corresponds to that of a spatially flat FLRW universe expressed in physical coordinates. Setting H = 0 yields the standard Schwarzschild metric. In this coordinate, the energy density is identical to before, and the pressure is

$$p(t,r) = \rho(t) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}} - 1\right),\tag{5}$$

here we take the background as a dust universe as an example.

The review published by Kaloper et al.[22] and a series of research taken by Faraoni [16, 21, 29, 46] have given thorough discussions of McVittie solution's apparent horizons and causal structure, which improves the understandings of this spacetime.

B. Thin shell formalism

Thin shell formalism was developed by Israel, and this approach is based on the Gauss-Codazzi equations, which project the Einstein field equations onto a hypersurface[47]. The resulting junction conditions describe the relationship between the discontinuity in extrinsic curvature and the surface stress-energy tensor and are essential for analyzing discontinuous spacetimes.

For a time-like hypersurface Σ along with two glued spacetime manifolds \mathcal{M}^{\pm} , the parametric equation is

$$f(x^{\alpha}(y^a)) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where x_{\pm}^{α} is the coordinate system of \mathcal{M}^{\pm} and y^{a} is the coordinates on the hypersurface. The unit normal vector in \mathcal{M}^{\pm} is given by the form

$$n_{\alpha} = \pm \frac{1}{|g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\nu}}|^{1/2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \quad , \quad n_{\alpha} n^{\alpha} = 1.$$
(7)

Define the three-bein $e_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}$ tangent to the surface, whose components $e_a^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial y^a}$, then the induced metric of \mathcal{M}^{\pm} can be given by $g_{ab} = g_{\alpha\beta}e_a^{\alpha}e_b^{\beta}$. The first junction condition for two gluing spacetimes proposed by Darmois requires

$$[g_{ab}]_{\pm} \equiv g_{ab}^{+} - g_{ab}^{-} = 0.$$
(8)

Then, the extrinsic curvature of Σ can be defined as

$$K_{ab}^{\pm} = e_a^{\alpha} e_b^{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} n_{\beta}|_{\pm} = -n_{\sigma} \left[\frac{\partial^2 x^{\sigma}(y)}{\partial y^a \partial y^b} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}(y)}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}(y)}{\partial y^b} \right].$$
(9)

The extrinsic curvature serves as a geometric quantity connecting the bulk spacetime to the hypersurface. Through the second junction condition, this geometric discontinuity corresponds to the surface stress-energy tensor S_{ab} , which characterizes the physical properties of the thin shell,

$$S_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left([K_{ab}]_{\pm} - [K]h_{ab} \right), \tag{10}$$

where $K = K^a{}_a$ is the trace of the extrinsic curvature tensor.

The surface stress-energy tensor S_{ab} describes the physical properties of the hypersurface, with its trace corresponding to the surface energy density and its spatial components determining the stress or pressure within the shell. In a spherically symmetric system, S_{ab} can be decomposed into the energy density σ and isotropic pressure p.

III. JUNCTION CONDITION OF CONTACT SPACETIMES

In this section, we consider the junction condition of contact McVittie spacetimes, which is similar to the FLRW bubble but in the physical coordinates.

A. The Bubble-like Model

In [36], Nandra et al. defined a model of a spherical massive object with uniform interior density $\rho_i(t)$ resides in an expanding universe with uniform exterior density $\rho_e(t)$. They proved when the independent spatially uniform Hubble parameters of two regions $H_i(t)$ and $H_e(t)$ are the same, the model is equivalent to the Nolan solution, which describes an object with uniform spatial density residing in a spatially flat expanding universe. We also find that, in the case where the exterior is described by a McVittie spacetime, the quantity $(\rho_i(t) - \rho_e(t))a^3(t)$ must remain constant, where a(t) represents the boundary of the spherical object. In Nandra's model, a smoothly matched condition is assumed, with a single coordinate system (t, r, θ, ϕ) employed uniformly across the inner and outer regions. This approach simplifies the matching process by using global time and radial coordinates. However, in general, such an assumption may not hold, as different regions especially those with distinct spacetime geometries or physical properties typically necessitate separate coordinate definitions for t and r to accurately capture their metrics, respectively. To address this limitation and ensure generality as far as possible, we establish a new model illustrated in Fig.1, in which the interior and exterior regions are described by the McVittie metric.

For the two regions denoted by V^{\pm} , the line elements have the form as

$$ds_{\pm}^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2m_{\pm}}{r_{\pm}} - H_{\pm}(t_{\pm})r_{\pm}^{2}\right)dt_{\pm}^{2} - \frac{2H_{\pm}(t_{\pm})r_{\pm}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m_{\pm}}{r_{\pm}}}}dr_{\pm}dt_{\pm} + \frac{dr_{\pm}^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m_{\pm}}{r_{\pm}}} + r_{\pm}^{2}d\Omega^{2}, \quad (11)$$

where $\{x_{\pm}^{\mu}\} \equiv \{t_{\pm}, r_{\pm}, \theta, \phi\}$ are taken as two different coordinates, and the spacetime structure is significantly influenced by variations in the parameters m_{\pm} and $H_{\pm}(t_{\pm})$. Considering that $g^{rr} = 0$ in a time-dependent cubic equation, which generally admits three roots, appropriate parameter adjustments ensure two positive real roots after the critical time t_* , representing the event horizon of the central mass and the cosmological horizon of the background universe[52].



FIG. 1: Illustration of the thin shell model in McVittie spacetime, see for a color version online. The thin shell separates the interior McVittie region, shown in blue/dark grey, from the exterior McVittie background, shown in gray. The red wavy arrows indicate the Hubble flow, reflecting the dynamic evolution inherent to the cosmological background of the McVittie spacetimes.

B. Second fundamental form

Following the approach we introduced in Sect. II B, the induced metric of two regions must be continuous on the hypersurface Σ

$$ds_{\Sigma}^{2} = ds_{+}^{2}|_{\Sigma} = ds_{-}^{2}|_{\Sigma}.$$
(12)

The parametric equations of Σ in two regions are

$$f_{\pm}(t_{\pm}, r_{\pm}) = r_{\pm} - R_{\pm}(T_{\pm}(\tau)) = 0, \qquad (13)$$

then the metrics reduce to

$$ds_{\pm}^{2} = -\left[\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^{2}R^{2}\right)\dot{T}^{2} + 2\frac{HR}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}}\dot{T}\dot{R} - \frac{\dot{R}^{2}}{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}\right]d\tau^{2} + R^{2}d\Omega^{2}|_{\pm}.$$
 (14)

To simplify the notation, the subscript will generally be omitted without confusion in intermediate steps to avoid notational clutter. Nonetheless, in key formulas where the distinction provided by the subscript is essential, it will be explicitly included to ensure clarity. The 3D metric on the hypersurface is written as

$$ds_{\Sigma}^2 = -d\tau^2 + \mathcal{R}^2(\tau)d\Omega^2.$$
(15)

The first junction condition provides

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^2 R^2\right) \dot{T}^2 + 2 \frac{HR}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}} \dot{T} \dot{R} - \frac{\dot{R}^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} = 1 \quad , \quad R(T) = \mathcal{R}(\tau).$$
(16)

The four-velocity tangent to the hypersurface and the four-acceleration can be evaluated in the 4D coordinates as

$$u^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} = \dot{T}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial r},\tag{17}$$

and

$$a^{t} = \frac{2\dot{R}\dot{T}\left(m - R^{3}H^{2}\right)}{R(R - 2m)} + \frac{H\dot{R}^{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{3/2}} - \frac{\dot{T}^{2}H\left(m - R^{3}H^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{R(R - 2m)}} + \ddot{T},$$

$$a^{r} = \dot{T}^{2}\left(-\sqrt{R(R - 2m)}H' + H^{2}(m - R) + R^{3}H^{4} + \frac{m(R - 2m)}{R^{3}}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{2H\dot{R}\dot{T}\left(m - R^{3}H^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{R(R - 2m)}} - \frac{\dot{R}^{2}\left(m - R^{3}H^{2}\right)}{R(R - 2m)} + \ddot{R},$$

$$a^{\theta} = a^{\phi} = 0.$$
(18)

Considering (16), the unit outward normals n_{α} can be concisely written as

$$n_{\alpha} = (-R(\tau), T(\tau), 0, 0) .$$
(19)

Substituting the previous quantities into the definition of the extrinsic tensor (9), the nonvanishing components of K_{ab} are given by

$$K_{\tau\tau} = -n_{\mu}a^{\mu} = -n_{t}a^{t} - n_{r}a^{r}$$

$$= \dot{R}\ddot{T} - \dot{T}\ddot{R} + \frac{3m}{R(R-2m)}\dot{T}\dot{R}^{2} - \frac{m(R-2m)}{R^{3}}\dot{T}^{3}$$

$$+ \left[\left(\frac{4m^{2}}{R} - 4m + R\right) \frac{H'}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{3/2}} + H^{2}(R-m) - H^{4}R^{3} \right] \dot{T}^{3}$$

$$- \frac{H^{2}R^{5}}{(R-2m)}\dot{T}\dot{R}^{2} + \frac{3(H^{3}R^{3} - mH)}{R\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{1/2}}\dot{R}\dot{T}^{2} + \frac{H\dot{R}^{3}}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{3/2}},$$
(20)

and

$$K_{\theta\theta} = -n_{\theta;\theta} = R \left[\frac{HR\dot{R}}{\sqrt{1 - 2m/R}} + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^2 R^2 \right) \dot{T} \right].$$
(21)

Differentiating the first fundamental form (16) with respect to proper time gives

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}} = \dot{a}\chi_0 = \frac{dR}{dT}\frac{dT}{d\tau} = \dot{R},\tag{22}$$

and

$$\frac{2R^{2}\dot{R}\dot{T}^{2}H'}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{R}}} - 2R^{2}HH'\dot{T}^{3} - 3RH^{2}\dot{T}^{2}\dot{R} + \frac{\dot{R}\dot{T}^{2}}{R} + \frac{2R^{2}H\ddot{R}\dot{T}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{R}}} + \frac{2R^{2}H\ddot{R}\dot{T}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{R}}} + \frac{2R^{2}H\ddot{R}\dot{T}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{R}}} - \frac{H\dot{R}^{2}\dot{T}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{R}}} - \frac{\dot{R}\dot{T}^{2}\left(1-\frac{2m}{R}-H^{2}R^{2}\right)}{R} + 2\dot{T}\ddot{T}\left(1-\frac{2m}{R}-H^{2}R^{2}\right) + \frac{R\dot{R}^{3}}{(R-2m)^{2}} - \frac{\dot{R}^{3}}{R-2m} - \frac{2R\dot{R}\ddot{R}}{R-2m} = 0.$$
(23)

Substituting the equation above and the first fundamental form into the $K_{\tau\tau}$ to eliminate the \ddot{R} and \dot{R} , we obtain

$$K^{\tau}{}_{\tau} = H\sqrt{F\dot{T}^2 - 1} + \frac{H\dot{T}^2}{\sqrt{F\dot{T}^2 - 1}}\frac{m}{R} + \frac{2m}{R^2}\dot{T} + \sqrt{\frac{F}{F\dot{T}^2 - 1}}\ddot{T},$$
(24)

where $F \equiv F(R) = 1 - 2m/R$. It follows that only the last two terms survive when the Hubble parameter vanishes.

Now, we demonstrate that, in the two asymptotic cases, the extrinsic curvature of the McVittie spacetime reduces to the well-established forms for the Schwarzschild and FLRW spacetimes.

For the asymptotically Schwarzschild case $H \to 0$:

$$K^{\tau}{}_{\tau}|_{\text{Schwarzschild}} = \frac{2m}{R^2}\dot{T} + \sqrt{\frac{F}{F\dot{T}^2 - 1}}\ddot{T} = \frac{\partial_{\tau}\left(F\dot{T}\right)}{\dot{R}} = \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\dot{R}},\tag{25}$$

$$K^{\theta}_{\theta}|_{\text{Schwarzschild}} = \frac{F\dot{T}}{R} = \frac{\beta}{R},$$
(26)

where $\beta \equiv F\dot{T} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + F}$ is the well-known quantity defined in [48], the extrinsic curvature reduces to the Schwarzschild case.

For the asymptotically spatial-flat FLRW universe $m/R \rightarrow 0$:

$$K^{\tau}{}_{\tau}|_{\text{FLRW}} = -H\sqrt{\dot{T}^2 - 1} - \frac{\ddot{T}}{\sqrt{\dot{T}^2 - 1}},$$
(27)

$$K^{\theta}{}_{\theta}|_{\rm FLRW} = \frac{(1 - H^2 R^3)}{R} \dot{T} + H \dot{R}, \qquad (28)$$

If considering a comoving shell, which has $T(\tau) = \tau, R = S(\tau)r_0$, then the components above become

$$K^{\tau}{}_{\tau}|_{\text{FLRW}} = 0 \quad , \quad K^{\theta}{}_{\theta}|_{\text{FLRW}} = \frac{1}{S(\tau)r_0},$$

$$\tag{29}$$

where the obtained expression matches the standard result for the extrinsic curvature in the FLRW spacetime.

Solve the first equation in (16), we found the self-consistent relation of \dot{T} and \dot{R} as

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^2 R^2\right) \dot{T} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^2 R^2 + \dot{R}^2} - \frac{HR}{\sqrt{1 - 2m/R}} \dot{R}.$$
(30)

As an analog of the function F(R) and $\beta(R, \dot{R})$ in the Schwarzschild case, we define the new functions

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}(T,R) = 1 - \frac{2M(T,R)}{R} = 1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^2 R^2,$$
(31)

and

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}(T, R, \dot{R}) = \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + \mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}\dot{T} + \frac{HR}{\sqrt{1 - 2m/R}}\dot{R},\tag{32}$$

where M(T, R) is the gravitational mass of a spherical region in radius R in McVittie spacetime.

By comparing (32) with (20) and (21), we find that the cumbersome expression can be reformulated succinctly using the \mathcal{B} function. The angular part simplifies to a compact form,

$$K^{\theta+}_{\ \theta}|_{\mathbf{McV}} = \frac{1}{R} \left[\frac{HR\dot{R}}{\sqrt{1-2m/R}} + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H^2R^2\right)\dot{T} \right] = \frac{\mathcal{B}}{R},\tag{33}$$

but the time component

$$K^{\tau+}_{\tau}|_{\mathbf{McV}} = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{B}}}{\dot{R}} + \frac{H'R\dot{T}}{\mathcal{B}\dot{R}} \left(HR - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}\dot{R}\dot{T}\right),\tag{34}$$

still includes a non-linear term caused by the dynamical background, whose interpretation and implications will be discussed in the next subsection.

C. Thin shell quantities

Generally, a shell exists in the junction spacetime, representing a discontinuity or interface. In the case of a spherical shell sourced by a perfect fluid, the surface stress-energy tensor S_{ab} has the form

$$S_{ab} = (\sigma + \varpi)u_a u_b + \varpi h_{ab},\tag{35}$$

use the thin shell formalism (10), the quantities of the shell are directly given

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[K^{\theta}{}_{\theta} \right]_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}}{R} \right]_{\pm}, \tag{36}$$

and

$$\varpi = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\left[K^{\theta}_{\theta} \right]_{\pm} + \left[K^{\tau}_{\tau} \right]_{\pm} \right) \\
= \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\left[\frac{\mathcal{B}}{R} \right]_{\pm} + \left[\frac{\dot{\mathcal{B}}}{\dot{R}} \right]_{\pm} + \left[\frac{H'R\dot{T}}{\mathcal{B}\dot{R}} \left(HR - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} \dot{R}\dot{T} \right) \right]_{\pm} \right).$$
(37)

By differentiating (36) with respect to τ and substituting the result into (37), the equation of state for the shell can be derived

$$\dot{\sigma} + 2\frac{\dot{R}}{R}(\sigma + \varpi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H'\dot{T}}{\mathcal{B}} \left(HR - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} \dot{R} \dot{T} \right) \right]_{\pm}.$$
(38)

The above equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}(A_s\sigma) + \varpi \frac{dA_s}{d\tau} = A_s \left(\dot{\Phi}_+ - \dot{\Phi}_-\right),\tag{39}$$

where $A_s \equiv 4\pi R^2$ is the surface area of the shell, and

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{H'\dot{T}}{4\pi\mathcal{B}} \left(HR - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}\dot{R}\dot{T} \right).$$
(40)

The equation (39) can be interpreted as follows. the first term on the LHS quantifies the change in the shell's total energy, while the second term represents the work done by the surface pressure due to variations in the shell's area, and the term on the RHS accounts for the rate of net flux across the shell.

For the line elements (11), the expression (32) is

$$\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1 - \frac{2m_{\pm}}{R} - H_{\pm}^2 R^2} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1 - \frac{2m_{\pm}}{R} - \frac{8\pi\rho_{\pm}}{3}R^2},\tag{41}$$

where we use the Friedmann equation.

The mass of the shell derived from (36) is

$$M_{s} = 4\pi R^{2} \sigma = R \left(\mathcal{B}_{-} - \mathcal{B}_{+} \right)$$

$$= R \left(\sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - \frac{2m_{-}}{R} - H_{-}^{2}R^{2}} - \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - \frac{2m_{+}}{R} - H_{+}^{2}R^{2}} \right).$$
(42)

Combining (36), (41) and (42), there is

$$\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{+}^{2} - \mathcal{B}_{-}^{2} \pm (\mathcal{B}_{+} - \mathcal{B}_{-})^{2}}{2(\mathcal{B}_{+} - \mathcal{B}_{-})} = \frac{m_{+} - m_{-}}{4\pi R^{2}\sigma} + \frac{\rho_{+} - \rho_{-} \mp 6\pi\sigma^{2}}{3\sigma}R.$$
 (43)

If assuming the shell vanishes ($\sigma = 0$), the relationship between parameter m and H

$$m_{+} - m_{-} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\rho_{-} - \rho_{+}\right) R^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(H_{-}^{2} - H_{+}^{2}\right) R^{3}$$
(44)

must be satisfied. However, according to the definition of McVittie's solution, which assumes the constancy of the mass parameter m_{\pm} , we have

$$\frac{4\pi}{3} \left(\rho_{-} - \rho_{+}\right) R^{3} = \left(H_{-}^{2} - H_{+}^{2}\right) R^{3} = \text{Const.}$$
(45)

holds.

IV. APPLICATIONS

Here we present several applications as asymptotic cases of our model, obtained by choosing specific parameters that simplify the general two McVittie spacetimes junction. These applications serve to explore the distinctive features and evolution conditions of the general model.

A. Dust thin shell I: Minkowski interior

For the case which is made of pressureless dust, the stress-energy tensor has the form like

$$S_{ab} = \sigma u_a u_b. \tag{46}$$

We assume that the interior spacetime is flat, and the spacetime outside is static $(dH/dT = 0, H = H_0)$, which means the last term in (37) vanishes, then the thin-shell formalism gives

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi R} \left(\mathcal{B}_{-} - \mathcal{B}_{+} \right) \quad , \quad \varpi = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{+} - \mathcal{B}_{-}}{8\pi R} + \frac{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{+} - \dot{\mathcal{B}}_{-}}{8\pi \dot{R}} = 0, \tag{47}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{+} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - \frac{2m}{R} - H_{0}^{2}R^{2}} \quad , \quad \mathcal{B}_{-} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1}.$$
(48)

The equation of state derived from Eq.(38)

$$\frac{\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma} = -2\frac{\dot{R}}{R},\tag{49}$$

can be integrated directly as

$$\sigma(\tau) = \frac{M_s}{4\pi R^2(\tau)} \quad , \quad M_s = 4\pi R^2 \sigma = \left(\mathcal{B}_- - \mathcal{B}_+\right) R, \tag{50}$$

where M_s , the mass of the shell, integrated by the second equation of (38), is a constant.

Squaring the expression of M_s gives the relationship between the physical quantities and mass of the shell:

$$m = M_s \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1} - \frac{M_s^2}{2R} - \frac{1}{2} H^2 R^3.$$
(51)

We found that the LHS of the equation above is the McVittie mass, which is defined as a constant. The terms on the RHS can be seen as the relativistic kinetic energy and binding energy of the shell, deducting the mass of the interior region if the cosmic background is present inside due to $\rho_b = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi}$.

For the Schwarzschild case $(H_0 = 0)$, the quantity *m* equals the gravitational mass of the shell since the Birkhoff theorem, then the RHS of (35) is the conserved energy of the shell.

But for the case with the existence of the cosmological constant $(H_0^2 = \frac{\Lambda}{3}), M_s \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1} - \frac{M_s^2}{2R}$ is not conserved but growing/decreasing with the expanding/collapsing of the shell. And it's worth noting that if the shell vanishes, m and H_0 must be zero, then the global spacetime is Minkowski.

B. Dust thin shell II: Einstein-Straus model

The Swiss-cheese model, proposed by Einstein and Straus, represents an idealized universe in which local spherical inhomogeneities, such as Schwarzschild regions, are embedded within a homogeneous FLRW background. As two special cases of McVittie spacetime, the relevant physical quantities can be readily derived using the previously provided formula.

Assuming the interior spacetime is described by the Schwarzschild metric, which is the special case of our model by letting $H_{-} = 0, m_{-} = m$, and the spacetime outside is the spatially flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe $m_{+} = 0$. Then (41), (42) and (51) derive

$$\mathcal{B}_{-} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - 2m/R} \quad , \quad \mathcal{B}_{+} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - H_{+}^{2}R^{2}}, \tag{52}$$

$$M_s = 4\pi R^2 \sigma = (\mathcal{B}_- - \mathcal{B}_+)R,\tag{53}$$

and

$$m = \frac{1}{2}H^2R^3 - \frac{M_s^2}{2R} - M_s\sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1 - H_+^2R^2}.$$
(54)

If the shell is comoving with the background, which means

$$\dot{T}_{+} = 1$$
 , $\dot{R} = H_{+}R$, (55)

then

$$\mathcal{B}_{+} = 1$$
 , $K^{\tau +}_{\tau} = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{B}}}{\dot{R}} = 0$, $\dot{\Phi} = 0,$ (56)

$$M_s = -R + R\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R} + H_+^2 R^2},\tag{57}$$

where in Eq. (57), the energy conditions were applied to select the physically valid M_s

If two spacetimes can be matched smoothly, which means $M_s = 0$, the central mass m must satisfy

$$m = \frac{1}{2}H_{+}^{2}R^{3} = \frac{4\pi R^{3}}{3}\rho_{+} = \text{Const.},$$
(58)

which means the background universe must be the dust-filled one.

C. Cosmic bubbles

It's well known that the metric of the spatially flat FLRW spacetime can be written in physical coordinates as

$$ds^{2} = -\left[1 - r^{2}H^{2}(t)\right]dt^{2} - 2rH(t)dtdr + dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2},$$
(59)

which is the special case of McVittie's one by setting m = 0.

First, we choose the spacetimes interior and exterior to be the spatially flat FLRW case, whose Hubble functions $H_{\pm}(t_{\pm})$ are arbitrary functions of time, so the new functions are

$$\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1 - H_{\pm}^2 R^2} = \left(1 - H_{\pm}^2 R^2\right) \dot{t}_{\pm} + H_{\pm} R \dot{R}.$$
(60)

Some studies[42] on bubble dynamics have already given that the angular part of the extrinsic curvature of the time-like shell in spatial-flat FLRW spacetime

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a(t) \left(d\chi^{2} + \chi^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right)$$
(61)

written in the Gaussian coordinates is

$$K^{\theta}{}_{\theta} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial n} = \zeta \frac{\gamma}{R} \left(1 + v H R \right), \tag{62}$$

where $\zeta = \operatorname{sign}(\partial \chi / \partial n)$, $\gamma = \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}$ is the Lorentz factor, $v = a(t) \frac{d\chi}{dt}$ is the peculiar velocity of the shell moving relative to the background.

Under transformation, the peculiar velocity can be written in our coordinates as

$$v = \frac{dR}{dt} - HR = \frac{1}{\gamma}\dot{R} - HR,\tag{63}$$

then substituting the expression above and $\gamma = \dot{T}$ into (62), choosing $\zeta = +1$,

$$K^{\theta}{}_{\theta} = \frac{1}{R} \left[\left(1 - H^2 R^2 \right) \dot{T} + H R \dot{R} \right] = \frac{\mathcal{B}}{R}.$$
(64)

It is demonstrated that our expression for the extrinsic curvature is equivalent to the result obtained in Gaussian coordinates.

In this case, the mass of the shell can be expressed with the Hubble functions of two junction spacetimes

$$M_{s} = 4\pi R^{2}\sigma = R \left(\mathcal{B}_{-} - \mathcal{B}_{+}\right)$$

= $R \left(\sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - H_{-}^{2}R^{2}} - \sqrt{\dot{R}^{2} + 1 - H_{+}^{2}R^{2}}\right).$ (65)

Following the steps outlined in the previous subsections, by squaring the equation, we can obtain the evolution equation for the mass of the shell.

$$\frac{M_s^2}{2R} - M_s \sqrt{\dot{R}^2 + 1 - H_-^2 R^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(H_-^2 - H_+^2 \right) R^3 = \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \left(\rho_- - \rho_+ \right).$$
(66)

Squaring the \mathcal{B}_{\pm} , then substitute it into (43)

$$\mathcal{B}_{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{+}^{2} - \mathcal{B}_{-}^{2} \pm (\mathcal{B}_{+} - \mathcal{B}_{-})^{2}}{2(\mathcal{B}_{+} - \mathcal{B}_{-})} = \frac{\rho_{+} - \rho_{-} \mp 6\pi\sigma^{2}}{3\sigma}R,$$
(67)

which is consistent with [44] and [49].

Through the application of the previously utilized coordinate transformation to (38) and the Friedmann equation, we can establish that our result corresponds to the expression widely used in cosmic bubble studies,

$$\dot{\sigma} + 2\frac{\dot{R}}{R}(\sigma + \varpi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H'\dot{T}}{\mathcal{B}} \left(HR - \dot{R}\dot{T} \right) \right]_{\pm} = [\gamma^2 v(\rho + p)]_{\pm}, \tag{68}$$

where v is the peculiar velocity of the shell observed in two spacetimes.

D. Cosmological Oppenheimer-Snyder model

Following the approach of the last subsection, we aim to verify under transformation whether our result can reproduce the same equation as (68).

Use the definitions of peculiar velocity and Lorentz factor in [50], which are

$$v \equiv a(t) \frac{\left(1 + \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}\right)^3}{1 - \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}} \frac{d\bar{r}}{dt} \quad , \quad \gamma \equiv \frac{1 - \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}}{1 + \frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}} \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}, \tag{69}$$

then take the transformation into our coordinate system, the quantities observed in the McVittie spacetime is

$$\dot{R} = \gamma \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} v + HR \right) \quad , \quad \gamma = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} \dot{T}, \tag{70}$$

and (2) gives

$$4\pi(\rho+p)|_{\Sigma} = -\frac{dH(t)}{dt}\frac{1+\frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}}{1-\frac{m}{2a(t)\bar{r}}} = -\frac{H'}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2m}{R}}}.$$
(71)

With the help of (33), (70), and (71), the "energy flux" (40) can be proven the form in the Gaussian normal coordinate system is

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{H'\dot{T}}{4\pi\mathcal{B}} \left(HR - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}\dot{R}\dot{T} \right) = \frac{(\rho + p)\gamma}{\mathcal{B}} \left(\gamma\dot{R} - HR\right)$$

$$= \frac{(\rho + p)\gamma}{\gamma \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} + vHR\right)} \left[(\gamma^2 - 1)HR + \gamma^2 v \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} \right] = \gamma^2 v(\rho + p),$$
(72)

where the third equality utilize the condition $\mathcal{B} \equiv \gamma \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} + v H R \right)$. The equation above means the evolution equation of the shell (39) is consistent with the time component of the conservation identity of the Lanczos equation

$$\dot{\sigma} + 2\frac{\dot{R}}{R}(\sigma + \varpi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{H'\dot{T}}{\mathcal{B}} \left(HR - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} \dot{R} \dot{T} \right) \right]_{\pm} = \left[\gamma^2 v(\rho + p) \right]_{\pm}.$$
(73)

As a cosmological extension of the Oppenheimer-Snyder model, we still consider the interior as a dust-filled FLRW spacetime, identical to the original model, while the exterior is replaced by a dust-dominated McVittie spacetime. The shell, assumed to be pressureless, comoves with the interior spacetime. The parameters used in this case are

$$m_{-} = 0, \quad v_{-} = 0, \quad \gamma_{-} = 1, \quad m_{+} = m < \frac{t_{i}}{2\sqrt{3}}, \quad p_{-} = p_{+}^{\infty} = \varpi = 0,$$
 (74)

so the related quantities

$$\mathcal{B}_{-} = 1, \quad \dot{\Phi}_{-} = 0, \quad \mathcal{B}_{+} = \gamma_{+} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}} + v_{+} H_{+} R \right),$$

$$\dot{\sigma} + 2\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \sigma = \dot{\Phi}_{+} = \gamma_{+}^{2} v_{+} (\rho + p) = \gamma_{+}^{2} \frac{\rho_{+} v_{+}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{R}}}, \quad \sigma' + 2\frac{R'}{R} \sigma = \gamma_{+} \rho_{+} v_{+},$$
(75)

here the last two equations were derived using Eq.(5) and the prime denotes differentiation with respect to t_+ . By selecting different reasonable initial conditions, we numerically solved the previous equations (36), (72), and (75). As shown in Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure 5 below, under the reasonable initial condition $H_+(t_i)R(t_i) = 0.1$, we explored different internal FLRW models through the choice of initial peculiar velocities, $v_i = 0$ and $v_i = 0.9$, and analyzed the time evolution of the boundary radius for varying values of the parameter m. Furthermore, for a fixed parameter m, we investigated how different initial peculiar velocities affect the boundary's evolution.

We found that, for the $v_i = 0$ case, which means $H_i^- = H_i^+$, the value of m dominates the evolution of the boundary. If the McVittie mass is larger than a specific value, the dust cloud expands first but inevitably collapses into the event horizon, forming a black hole. If the parameter m is not large enough, the boundary will keep expanding all the time. For the collapsing case, $H_i^- < 0$, the cloud starts to collapse instead of expanding first, then reaches its corresponding event horizon. But for the highly expanding case, v = 0.9, the energy density of the interior is much less than the exterior one, this model is always referred as a void, it is clear that the increase of m slows down the expansion of the boundary. It's intriguing that the negative McVittie mass accelerates the effect of expansion, which is consistent with Haines's work [51] in the isotropic system. Compare their work, we use the observable variable r = R(t) instead of the isotropic one \bar{r} . We also tested the negative McVittie mass in the previous two cases, when $v_i = 0$, compared to taking a positive value, it does push the shell expanding faster, but as the parameter decreases, there is instead a tendency to collapse. And for the condensed interior 4, the negative m absolutely accelerates the collapse instead of weakening it as expected.

In Figure 5, we fixed the McVittie and then tested different initial peculiar velocities, we found that for the dust-filled background universe, the condensed interior will collapse into a black hole when v_i less than a critical value, which depends on the Hubble parameter of the interior.

By selecting different reasonable initial conditions, we numerically solved the equations (36), (72), and (75). As shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5, under the condition $H_+(t_i)R(t_i) = 0.1$, we investigated the time evolution of the boundary radius for different internal FLRW models, characterized by the choice of initial peculiar velocities $v_i = 0$, $v_i = 0.9$, and $v_i = -0.2$. Additionally, we analyzed the effect of varying the parameter m and, for fixed m, the influence of different initial peculiar velocities on the boundary's evolution.

For the $v_i = 0$ case $(H_i^- = H_i^+)$, the parameter *m* predominantly determines the boundary's evolution. A sufficiently large *m* causes the dust cloud to initially expand but eventually collapse into an event horizon, forming a black hole. Conversely, if *m* is below a critical threshold, the boundary continues to expand indefinitely. When $H_i^- < 0$, indicating an initially collapsing

interior, the cloud collapses directly into its event horizon without a prior expansion phase. For the highly expanding case ($v_i = 0.9$), where the interior energy density is significantly lower than the exterior, the model resembles a void. Here, increasing m slows the boundary's expansion, while a negative McVittie mass accelerates it, consistent with Haines's results [51] in isotropic coordinates. Unlike their study, which uses the isotropic variable \bar{r} , we adopt the observable radial coordinate r = R(t).

We also explored negative m in the other two scenarios. For $v_i = 0$, a negative McVittie mass initially accelerates the boundary's expansion compared to a positive m, but as m decreases further, the shell eventually collapses. In the case of a condensed interior ($v_i = -0.2$), negative mconsistently accelerates collapse, contrary to the expected behavior of decelerating expansion.

In Figure 5, fixing m while varying v_i , we observed that for a dust-filled background universe, the boundary collapses into a black hole only when v_i falls below a specific critical value, which is determined by the choice of other initial conditions, such as the interior Hubble parameter, $R(t_i)$ and m.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present the matching condition for concentric McVittie spacetimes, focusing on the derivation of extrinsic curvature and surface stress-energy tensors under various configurations. By transforming the McVittie metric into a physical coordinate system, we clarify its behavior in different regimes and construct a theoretical model where both the interior and exterior are McVittie spacetimes.

We demonstrate that our results are consistent with all previous remarkable cases, including Schwarzschild, FLRW, and Einstein-Straus solutions, highlighting the robustness of the thin-shell framework. Furthermore, the introduction of the function \mathcal{B} provides a simplified yet comprehensive representation of the surface quantities, offering new perspectives for analyzing thin-shell dynamics.

In frame of contact McVittie spacetimes we analyze the junction condition in detail, derive the expression of energy flux in the parameters of McVittie spacetime, and then studied a simple case, which is a cosmological Oppenheimer-Snyder model. We investigate the evolution of a thin shell connecting two McVittie spacetimes by numerically solving the governing equations under different initial conditions. Our analysis revealed how the parameter m and initial peculiar velocity v_i influence the boundary's evolution. We found that the interplay between m, v_i , and other initial conditions, such as the interior Hubble parameter, determines whether the boundary expands indefinitely or collapses into a black hole. Notably, the effect of a negative McVittie mass was explored, showing distinct behaviors in both expanding and collapsing scenarios. These findings provide insights into the dynamics of thin shells in cosmological settings, offering a broader perspective on junction conditions and their applications to generalized McVittie spacetimes. The study in this paper sharpens our understanding of dynamic thin shells and their role in the broader context of cosmological and astrophysical phenomena.

- J Robert Oppenheimer and Hartland Snyder. On continued gravitational contraction. *Physical Review*, 56(5):455, 1939.
- [2] Rong-Gen Cai and Anzhong Wang. Black hole formation from collapsing dust fluid in a background of dark energy. 73(6):063005.
- [3] S. Chakraborty and T. Bandyopadhyay. Collapse dynamics of a star of dark matter and dark energy. 16(2):151–159.
- [4] Ronald Gautreau and Jeffrey M. Cohen. Gravitational collapse in a single coordinate system. 63(11):991–999.
- [5] Anderson Ilha, Antares Kleber, and José P. S. Lemos. Dimensionally continued Oppenheimer-Snyder gravitational collapse: Solutions in odd dimensions. 40(7):3509–3518.
- [6] Pankaj S. Joshi. Gravitational Collapse: The Story so far. 55(4):529–544.
- [7] Pankaj S. Joshi and Daniele Malafarina. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN GRAVITATIONAL COL-LAPSE AND SPACETIME SINGULARITIES. 20(14):2641–2729.
- [8] Kayll Lake and Charles Hellaby. Collapse of radiating fluid spheres. 24(12):3019–3022.
- [9] Kayll Lake. Collapse of radiating imperfect fluid spheres. 26(2):518-519.
- [10] F. Shojai, A. Sadeghi, and R. Hassannejad. Generalized Oppenheimer-Snyder Gravitational Collapse into Regular Black holes. 39(8):085003.
- [11] Benjamin K. Tippett and Viqar Husain. Gravitational collapse of quantum matter. 84(10):104031.
- [12] Jerzy Lewandowski, Yongge Ma, Jinsong Yang, and Cong Zhang. Quantum Oppenheimer-Snyder and Swiss Cheese models. 130(10):101501.
- [13] Ken-ichi Nakao. The Oppenheimer-Snyder space-time with a cosmological constant. 24(10):1069–1081.
- [14] Kip S Thorne, Charles W Misner, and John Archibald Wheeler. Gravitation. Freeman San Francisco, 2000.
- [15] Edwin Hubble. A relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences, 15(3):168–173, 1929.
- [16] Valerio Faraoni. Cosmological and black hole apparent horizons. 2019.
- [17] J. T. Firouzjaee and Reza Mansouri. Asymptotically FRW black holes. 42(10):2431–2452.
- [18] J. T. Firouzjaee. THE SPHERICAL SYMMETRY BLACK HOLE COLLAPSE IN EXPANDING

UNIVERSE. 21(04):1250039.

- [19] Rudeep Gaur and Matt Visser. Black holes embedded in FLRW cosmologies.
- [20] George C McVittie. The mass-particle in an expanding universe. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Vol. 93, p. 325-339, 93:325-339, 1933.
- [21] Valerio Faraoni. Evolving Black Hole Horizons in General Relativity and Alternative Gravity. 1(3):114– 179.
- [22] Nemanja Kaloper, Matthew Kleban, and Damien Martin. McVittie's Legacy: Black Holes in an Expanding Universe. 81(10):104044.
- [23] Kayll Lake and Majd Abdelqader. More on McVittie's Legacy: A Schwarzschild de Sitter black and white hole embedded in an asymptotically \$\Lambda\$CDM cosmology. 84(4):044045.
- [24] Brien C. Nolan. A point mass in an isotropic universe. Existence, uniqueness and basic properties. 58(6):064006.
- [25] W. B. Bonnor. Local Dynamics and the Expansion of the Universe. 32(6):1005–1007.
- [26] Matteo Carrera and Domenico Giulini. Influence of global cosmological expansion on local dynamics and kinematics. 82(1):169–208.
- [27] Joseph Sultana and Charles C. Dyer. Cosmological black holes: A black hole in the Einstein-de Sitter universe. 37(8):1347–1370.
- [28] Changjun Gao, Xuelei Chen, You-Gen Shen, and Valerio Faraoni. Black Holes in the Universe: Generalized Lemaitre-Tolman-Bondi Solutions.
- [29] Valerio Faraoni. Embedding Black Holes and Other Inhomogeneities in the Universe in Various Theories of Gravity: A Short Review. 4(10):109.
- [30] Valerio Faraoni, Changjun Gao, Xuelei Chen, and You-Gen Shen. What is the fate of a black hole embedded in an expanding universe? 671(1):7–9.
- [31] Sarah R. Geller, Jolyon K. Bloomfield, and Alan H. Guth. Mass of a Patch of an FRW Universe.
- [32] Priyanka Saha, Dipanjan Dey, and Kaushik Bhattacharya. Gravitational collapse of matter in the presence of Quintessence and Phantom-like scalar fields.
- [33] Brien Nolan. Sources for McVittie's mass particle in an expanding universe. 34(1):178–185.
- [34] Anthony Lasenby, Chris Doran, and Stephen Gull. Gravity, Gauge Theories and Geometric Algebra. 356(1737):487–582.
- [35] Roshina Nandra, Anthony N. Lasenby, and Michael P. Hobson. The effect of an expanding universe on massive objects. 422(4):2945–2959.
- [36] Roshina Nandra, Anthony Lasenby, and Michael Hobson. Dynamics of a spherical object of uniform density in an expanding universe. 88(4):044041.
- [37] Georges Darmois. Les équations de la gravitation einsteinienne. Number 25. Gauthier-Villars et. cie., 1927.
- [38] Werner Israel. Singular hypersurfaces and thin shells in general relativity. Il Nuovo Cimento B (1965-1970), 44(1):1–14, 1966.

- [39] Kayll Lake. Revisiting the Darmois and Lichnerowicz junction conditions. 49(10):134.
- [40] Steven K. Blau, E. I. Guendelman, and Alan H. Guth. Dynamics of false-vacuum bubbles. 35(6):1747– 1766.
- [41] V. A. Berezin, V. A. Kuzmin, and I. I. Tkachev. Dynamics of bubbles in general relativity. 36(10):2919– 2944.
- [42] Nobuyuki Sakai and Kei-ichi Maeda. Junction conditions of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker space-times. 50(8):5425–5428.
- [43] Kayll Lake. Equation of motion for bubble boundaries. 29(8):1861–1862.
- [44] Kayll Lake. Thin spherical shells. 19(10):2847–2849.
- [45] Paul Haines and Joseph D Harris. Thin shells in flat mcvittie spacetimes. Astrophysical Journal v. 418, p. 579, 418:579, 1993.
- [46] Valerio Faraoni and Audrey Jacques. Cosmological expansion and local physics. 76(6):063510.
- [47] Egor Babaev. The Art of Gluing Space-Time Manifolds.
- [48] Eric Poisson. A relativist's toolkit: the mathematics of black-hole mechanics. Cambridge university press, 2004.
- [49] Nobuyuki Sakai and Kei-ichi Maeda. Bubble dynamics and space-time structure in extended inflation. 48(12):5570–5575.
- [50] Nobuyuki Sakai and Paul Haines. Peculiar Velocities of Nonlinear Structure: Voids in McVittie Spacetime. 536(2):515–522.
- [51] Paul Haines. Bubbles in McVittie spacetimes. Dartmouth College, 1991.
- [52] For dust-filled background $(H(t) = \frac{2}{3t})$, when $t > t_* = 2\sqrt{3}m$, have $m < \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}H(t)}$ with two real horizons



FIG. 2: $H_i^- = H_i^+$ with different parameter m.



FIG. 3: $H_i^- \gg H_i^+$ with different parameter m.



FIG. 4: The collapse case $H_{-} < 0$ with different parameter m.



FIG. 5: The effect of v_i on the boundary with the fixing m.