

Bounds for moments of quadratic Dirichlet character sums of prime moduli

Yuetong Zhao

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beihang University

Beijing 100191, P. R. China

Abstract: Assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis, we evaluate sharp upper bounds for the shifted moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions with moduli $8p$, where p ranges over odd primes. We then apply this result to prove bounds for the moments of quadratic Dirichlet character sums with prime moduli.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 11L40, 11M06

Keywords: quadratic Dirichlet characters; quadratic Dirichlet L -functions; prime moduli; shifted moments

1 Introduction

Let $\chi^{(d)} = \left(\frac{\cdot}{d}\right)$ be a real primitive Dirichlet character modulo d given by Kronecker symbol. In 1981, Jutila [13] investigated the first and second moment of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions $L(1/2, \chi^{(d)})$ for d running over fundamental discriminants and provided the asymptotic formulas for these moments. In 2000, applying random matrix theory, Keating and Snaith [15] conjectured that for any positive real number k ,

$$\sum_{|d| \leq X} L(1/2, \chi^{(d)})^k \sim C_k X (\log X)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}},$$

where C_k are explicit constants. In 2009, Soundararajan [19] established upper bounds for moments of L -functions that are close to the predicted result under the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH). In 2013, Harper [8] provided a refinement to obtain sharp upper bounds for the moments of L -functions conditionally. Instead of considering the values of L -functions at the central point, Szabó [20] showed results for the shifted moments of L -functions which are very useful.

Also, in [13], Jutila derived an asymptotic formula for the first moment of the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions $L(1/2, \chi^{(p)})$ with prime moduli, where the primes p satisfy certain congruence conditions. After that, Baluyot and Pratt obtained an asymptotic formula for the second moment of $L(1/2, \chi^{(p)})$ under the GRH. Recently, Gao and Zhao [5] established

E-mail addresses: yuetong.zhao.math@gmail.com

the right order of magnitude for the k -th moment of $L(1/2, \chi^{(p)})$. In addition, Andrade and Keating [2] and Bui and Florea [4] studied the problem of the moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions of prime moduli over function field.

The problems of quadratic Dirichlet character sums are one of the important applications of moments of L -functions. In 1973, M. Jutila [11] asserted a conjecture which stated that for any positive integer m , there exist constants $c_1(m)$, $c_2(m)$, with values depending only on m , such that

$$\sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{S}(X)} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi(n) \right|^{2m} \leq c_1(m)XY^m(\log X)^{c_2(m)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathcal{S}(X)$ denotes the set of all non-principal quadratic Dirichlet characters of modulus at most X . Also in the same year, in another paper by Jutila [12], he established (1.1) for $m = 1$ with $c_2(m) = 8$. This was later improved by Armon [2] who showed that $c_2(m) = 1$ could be taken. We can find other related bounds in [18] and [21].

In this year, Gao and Zhao [6] gave a smoothed version of the above conjecture of Jutila under GRH. After that, in [7], they improved their result by using shifted moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions and gave an unsmoothed version. More precisely, they showed that for large X , Y and any real number $m > 0$,

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1, \mu^2(d)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8d)}(n) \right|^{2m} \ll XY^m(\log X)^{O_{m,\varepsilon}(1)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where μ is Möbius function.

In this paper, we obtain sharp upper bounds for character sums of the unsmoothed version, like (1.2), for prime moduli. First, we establish the upper bounds for the shifted moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *With the notation as above and the truth of GRH, let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and a_1, \dots, a_k , A fixed positive real numbers. Suppose that X is a large real number and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ a real k -tuple with $|t_j| \leq X^A$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \leq X}} \log p |L(1/2 + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(1/2 + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \\ & \ll X(\log X)^{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2)/4} \prod_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} \left| \zeta \left(1 + i(t_m - t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X} \right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \\ & \quad \times \left| \zeta \left(1 + i(t_m + t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X} \right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k} \left| \zeta \left(1 + 2it_m + \frac{1}{\log X} \right) \right|^{a_m^2/4 + a_m/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Here the implied constant depends on k , A and the a_j 's, but not on X or the t_j 's.

Suppose that $g : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \log x, & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1/\log x \text{ or } \alpha \geq e^x, \\ 1/\alpha, & \text{if } 1/\log x \leq \alpha \leq 10, \\ \log \log \alpha, & \text{if } 10 \leq \alpha \leq e^x. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 from Section 2 to Theorem 1.1, we obtain a result related to the correlation factor $g(\alpha)$ as follows.

Corollary 1.2. *With the notation as above and the truth of GRH, let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and a_1, \dots, a_k , A fixed positive real numbers. Suppose that X is a large real number and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ a real k -tuple with $|t_j| \leq X^A$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \leq X}} \log p |L(1/2 + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(1/2 + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \\ & \ll X(\log X)^{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2)/4} \prod_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} g(|t_m - t_\ell|)^{a_m a_\ell / 2} g(|t_m + t_\ell|)^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k} g(|2t_m|)^{a_m^2 / 4 + a_m / 2}. \end{aligned}$$

From this Corollary, we obtain the key result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *With the notation as above and the truth of GRH, for any integer $k \geq 1$ and any real number m satisfying $2m \geq k + 1$, we have for large X, Y and any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$S_m(X, Y) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \right|^{2m} \ll XY^m (\log X)^{R(m, k, \varepsilon)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$R(m, k, \varepsilon) = \max \left(2m^2 - m + 1 + \varepsilon, \frac{(2m - k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k - 1)}{2} + 2m + 1 + \varepsilon, m(2m + 1) - k \left(2m + \frac{3}{4} - k \right) + \varepsilon \right). \quad (1.5)$$

In particular, by setting $k = 2$, we obtain, for $m \geq 2$,

$$S_m(X, Y) \ll XY^m (\log X)^{2m^2 - m + 1 + \varepsilon}. \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.4. *From Theorem 1.3, we derive that for any real number $m \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$S_m(X, Y) \ll XY^m (\log X)^{O_{m, \varepsilon}(1)}. \quad (1.7)$$

As for $0 < m < 1$, we can use Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(X, Y) & \ll X^{1-1/n} (S_{mn}(X, Y))^{1/n} \ll X^{1-1/n} (XY^{mn} (\log X)^{O_{m, \varepsilon}(1)})^{1/n} \\ & \ll XY^m (\log X)^{O_{m, \varepsilon}(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where real number $n > 1$ is large enough such that $mn \geq 1$. Then we can see that for any real number $m > 0$, (1.7) is valid.

2 Preliminaries

Notation. Let p and q always denote prime numbers. The symbol X always denotes a large real number. The symbol ε always denotes an arbitrary small positive number, which may

not be the same in different occurrences. For any real number t , $[t]$ denotes its integer part. The symbol \square denotes a square number. We use $\chi^{(c)}(n)$ to denote the Kronecker symbol $(\frac{c}{n})$. We write $d|n$ to mean that d divides n , and that $p^k \parallel n$ to mean that p^k is the exact power of p dividing n . The function $\Omega(n)$ denotes the number of prime factors of n , counting according to multiplicity.

We give two Mertens' estimates and a result concerning a sum over primes.

Lemma 2.1. *For $z \geq 2$ we have*

$$(a) \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log z + c + O(1/\log z), \quad \text{where } c \text{ is a constant;}$$

$$(b) \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log z + O(1).$$

Proof. See Theorem 3.4 of Koukoulopoulos [17]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $x \geq 2$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\cos(\alpha \log p)}{p} = \log |\zeta(1 + 1/\log x + i\alpha)| + O(1) \tag{2.1}$$

$$\leq \log g(\alpha) + O(1), \tag{2.2}$$

where the function $g(\alpha)$ is defined in (1.3).

Proof. The equality (2.1) is a special case of Lemma 3.2 of Koukoulopoulos [16]. The inequality (2.2) can be found in Szabó [20]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Under GRH, for every primitive Dirichlet character χ modulo q , with $\Re(s) \geq 1/2$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$L(s, \chi) \ll |qs|^\varepsilon.$$

Proof. See Corollary 5.20 of Iwaniec and Kowalski [10]. □

We give the Stirling's approximation for the factorial function.

Lemma 2.4. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$n! = \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} (1 + O(1/n)).$$

Proof. See Theorem 1.12 of Koukoulopoulos [17]. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let X, Z be positive integers, and a_n be any complex numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d, 2) = 1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq Z} a_n \chi^{(8d)}(n) \right|^2 \ll (XZ)^\varepsilon (X + Z) \sum_{\substack{m, n \leq Z \\ mn = \square}} |a_m a_n|.$$

Proof. See Corollary 2 of Heath-Brown [9]. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let X, Y be positive integers. For any $m > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{|D| \leq X, D \neq \square \\ 4|D \text{ or } D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(D)}(n) \right|^{2m} \ll_m X^{m+1}.$$

Proof. See Theorem 1 of Armon [2]. □

The following is Perron's formula.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that the series*

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_n}{n^s}, \quad s = \sigma + it,$$

converges absolutely for $\sigma > 1$, that $|w_n| \leq W(n)$, where $W(n) > 0$ is a monotonically increasing function, and that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|w_n|}{n^\sigma} = O((\sigma - 1)^{-\alpha}), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow 1^+$. Then for any $1 < b \leq b_0$, $T \geq 1$ and $x = N + 1/2$, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} w_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} f(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^b}{T(b-1)^\alpha}\right) + O\left(\frac{xW(2x) \log x}{T}\right), \quad (2.3)$$

where the constants in the O symbols depend only on b_0 .

Proof. See Theorem 1 of Chapter 5 of Karatsuba [14]. □

Let Φ be a non-negative smooth function

$$\Phi(x) \begin{cases} \leq 1, & x \in [1/4, 1/2] \cup [1, 3/2], \\ = 1, & x \in [1/2, 1], \\ = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The Mellin transform of $\Phi(x)$ is denoted by $\widehat{\Phi}(s)$, and for any complex number s , it is given by the following integral:

$$\widehat{\Phi}(s) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(x) x^{s-1} dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.8. *Assume GRH. Let c be a positive odd integer, $\Phi(x)$ be a smooth function as described above, and let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k \geq 0$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{(p,2)=1} (\log p) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-k} \chi^{(8p)}(c) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) = \delta_{c=\square} \widehat{\Phi}(1) X + O(X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \log \log(c+2)). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. If $k = 0$, see Lemma 2.4 in Gao and Zhao [5]. If $k > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{(p,2)=1} (\log p) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-k} \chi^{(8p)}(c) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) = \sum_{(p,2)=1} (\log p) \chi^{(8p)}(c) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) + O\left(\sum_{(p,2)=1} \frac{\log p}{p} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right)\right).$$

For the main term of the above expression, it is the same as the case $k = 0$. Using Lemma 2.1 (b) to estimate the error term, we get a contribution of $\ll \log X$, which can be absorbed by the error term in (2.6). □

Lemma 2.9. *Let k be a positive integer and let Q, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be fixed positive real constants, $x \geq 2$. Define $a := a_1 + \dots + a_k$. Assume X is a large real number, p is any odd prime satisfying $p \leq X$, $\sigma \geq 1/2$ and t_1, \dots, t_k are fixed real numbers with $|t_i| \leq X^Q$. For any integer n , define*

$$h(n) := \frac{1}{2} \Re \left(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m n^{-it_m} \right).$$

Then, under the GRH, we have the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^k a_m \log |(1 - 1/2p)L(\sigma + it_m, \chi^{(8p)})| \\ \leq & 2 \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{h(q)\chi^{(8p)}(q)}{q^{1/2 + \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} \frac{\log x/q}{\log x} + \sum_{q \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} + (Q+1)a \frac{\log X}{\log x} + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.7 of Gao and Zhao [7], we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^k a_m \log |L(\sigma + it_m, \chi^{(8p)})| \\ \leq & 2 \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{h(q)\chi^{(8p)}(q)}{q^{1/2 + \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} \frac{\log x/q}{\log x} + \sum_{q \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{h(q^2)\chi^{(8p)}(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} + (Q+1)a \frac{\log X}{\log x} + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

For the second term of the right hand side of the above inequality, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{h(q^2)\chi^{(8p)}(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} &= \sum_{q \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} - \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} \\ &\leq \sum_{q \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} + \frac{a}{2p} \\ &\leq \sum_{q \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log x)}} - a \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here the final step uses $x \leq -\log(1-x)$ for any $0 < x < 1$. Inserting (2.9) into (2.8), we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Assume the truth of GRH, let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer, and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ be real k -tuples with $a_i \geq 0$ for all i . Define $a := a_1 + \dots + a_k$. Then for sufficiently large real number X and $\sigma \geq 1/2$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \leq X}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \dots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \ll_{\mathbf{a}} X (\log X)^{a(a+1)/2}.$$

Proof. We can deduce this result by modifying the proof of Theorem 1.2 of Gao and Zhao [5]. \square

Let M and N be large numbers that depends only on \mathbf{a} . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= 0, \quad \beta_j = \frac{20^{j-1}}{(\log \log X)^2}, \quad s_j = 2\lfloor e^N \beta_j^{-3/4} \rfloor, \quad h_j = \frac{s_j}{100}, \quad \text{for } j \geq 1, \\ \mathcal{J} &= 1 + \max\{j : \beta_j \leq 10^{-M}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From the definition as above, we have

$$\beta_{\mathcal{J}-1} \leq 10^{-M} \Rightarrow 20^{\mathcal{J}-2} \leq 10^{-M} (\log \log X)^2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{J} \ll_{\mathbf{a}} \log \log \log X. \quad (2.11)$$

Let $\gamma(n)$ denotes the multiplicative function such that $\gamma(p^\alpha) = \alpha!$. We see that

$$\gamma(n^2) \geq \gamma(n). \quad (2.12)$$

Recall that the definition of $h(n)$ in Lemma 2.9, for any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mathcal{J}$, let

$$a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p) = \sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \frac{2h(q)\chi^{(8p)}(q)}{q^{1/2+\max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \frac{\log(X^{\beta_j}/q)}{\log X^{\beta_j}} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$t(n, X^{\beta_j}) = \prod_{q^\alpha \parallel n} \left(\frac{2h(q)\log(X^{\beta_j}/q)}{aq^{\max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})} \log X^{\beta_j}} \right)^\alpha. \quad (2.14)$$

We can observe that $t(n, x)$ is a totally multiplicative function of n and

$$|t(n, x)| \leq 1. \quad (2.15)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Dividing $0 < p \leq X$ into dyadic blocks, we see that to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to demonstrate the result

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(p,2)=1} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2)/4} \prod_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m - t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \\ & \times \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m + t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + 2it_m + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m^2/4 + a_m/2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for $\sigma = 1/2$. To obtain a more general theorem, we will prove the result for $\sigma \geq 1/2$.

In (2.7), we take $x = X^{\beta_j}$ and cut up our main Dirichlet polynomial into smaller pieces $a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p)$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mathcal{J}$). In terms of the size of the short Dirichlet polynomial, we define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(0) &= \{(p, 2) = 1 : |a\mathcal{G}_{1,l}(p)| > h_1 \text{ for some } 1 \leq l \leq \mathcal{J}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}(j) &= \{(p, 2) = 1 : |a\mathcal{G}_{m,l}(p)| \leq h_m, \text{ for all } 1 \leq m \leq j \text{ and } m \leq l \leq \mathcal{J}, \\ & \text{but } |a\mathcal{G}_{j+1,l}(p)| > h_{j+1} \text{ for some } j+1 \leq l \leq \mathcal{J}\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{J}) = \{(p, 2) = 1 : |a\mathcal{G}_{m,\mathcal{J}}(p)| \leq h_m, \forall 1 \leq m \leq \mathcal{J}\}.$$

Obviously, we see that

$$\{p : (p, 2) = 1\} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{S}(j).$$

Next, we will estimate the sum (3.1) over the sets $\mathcal{S}(0)$ and $\mathcal{S}(j)$ ($1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J}$), respectively.

3.1 The estimate of the sum over the set $\mathcal{S}(0)$

First, we state the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let Φ and $\mathcal{S}(0)$ be defined as in (2.4) and (3.2). Then, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(0)}} (\log p) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \ll_{\mathbf{a}} X e^{-(\log \log X)^2}.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{S}(0)$ and Rankin's trick, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(0)}} (\log p) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \leq \sum_{(p,2)=1} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{J}} (h_1^{-1} |a\mathcal{G}_{1,l}(p)|)^R (\log p) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right),$$

where we choose

$$R = 2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \right\rfloor.$$

By (2.10), (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(p,2)=1} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{J}} (h_1^{-1} |a\mathcal{G}_{1,l}(p)|)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} (\log p) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{J}} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{n \\ \Omega(n)=2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}}} \frac{(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor)! t(n, X^{\beta_l})}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2}} \sum_{(p,2)=1} (\log p) \chi^{(8p)}(n) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 2.8 to estimate the innermost sum over p mentioned above. By (2.10), (2.11) and (2.15), the contribution from the error term is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \max_{1 \leq l \leq \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\substack{n \\ \Omega(n)=2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}}} \frac{(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor)! t(n, X^{\beta_l}) \log \log(n+2)}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2}} \\ & \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{n \\ \Omega(n)=2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}}} \frac{(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor)!}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \\ & = X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}} \frac{1}{q^{1/2-\varepsilon}} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \end{aligned}$$

$$\ll X^{1/2+1/100+\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \ll_{\mathbf{a}} X e^{-(\log \log X)^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

The contribution from the main term is

$$\ll X \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \max_{1 \leq l \leq \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\substack{n=\square \\ \Omega(n)=2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}}} \frac{\left(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \right)! t(n, X^{\beta_l})}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since function $t(n, X^{\beta_l})$ is totally multiplicative of n , and by (2.12) and (2.15), we get that (3.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} &= X \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \max_{1 \leq l \leq \mathcal{J}} \sum_{\substack{\Omega(n)=\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}}} \frac{\left(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \right)! t^2(n, X^{\beta_l})}{\gamma(n^2) n} \\ &\ll X \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{\Omega(n)=\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}}} \frac{\left(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \right)!}{\gamma(n) n} \\ &= X \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_1^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \frac{\left(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \right)!}{\left(\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor \right)!} \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_0} < q \leq X^{\beta_1}} \frac{1}{q} \right)^{\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By Lemma 2.1 (a), Lemma 2.4, (2.10) and (2.11), we can calculate (3.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll X \mathcal{J} e^{-\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \left(\frac{\log \log X}{25} \left(\frac{50a}{e^N} \right)^2 \beta_1^{1/2} \right)^{\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_1} \rfloor} \ll X \mathcal{J} \left(e \cdot \frac{e^{2N}}{100a^2} \right)^{-\lfloor \frac{(\log \log X)^2}{100} \rfloor} \\ &\ll_{\mathbf{a}} X e^{-(\log \log X)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where we choose N to be large enough. According to (3.3) and (3.6), We complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in S(0)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in S(0)}} (\log p) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{(p,2)=1} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{2a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{2a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 2.10, the above summation is $\ll_{\mathbf{a}} X$. Naturally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in S(0)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ &\ll_{\mathbf{a}} X (\log X)^{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2)/4} \prod_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m - t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m + t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + 2it_m + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m^2 / 4 + a_m / 2}.$$

3.2 The estimate of the sum over the set $\mathcal{S}(j)$

Fix a j with $1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{J}$. From Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \exp\left(a \sum_{i=1}^j \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p)\right) \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}}\right) \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j}\right) (\log p) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-a} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, the definition of $\mathcal{S}(j)$, and Rankin's trick, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \sum_{t=j+1}^{\mathcal{J}} (h_{j+1}^{-1} |a\mathcal{G}_{j+1,t}(p)|)^2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor \exp\left(a \sum_{i=1}^j \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p)\right) \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}}\right) \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j}\right) (\log p) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-a} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Using Taylor's formula with integral remainder and Stirling's formula (Lemma 2.4), we can deduce that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$e^z = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^s}{s!} + O\left(\frac{|z|^n}{n!} e^{|z|} e^z\right). \quad (3.8)$$

Let $z = a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p)$, $n = s_i + 1$ in (3.8). Since $|a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p)| \leq h_i$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}(j)$, we get

$$\exp\left(a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p)\right) = \sum_{s=0}^{s_i} \frac{(a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p))^s}{s!} (1 + O(e^{-s_i})). \quad (3.9)$$

Inserting (3.9) into (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \sum_{t=j+1}^{\mathcal{J}} (h_{j+1}^{-1} |a\mathcal{G}_{j+1,t}(p)|)^2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{s=0}^{s_i} \frac{(a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p))^s}{s!}\right) \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j}\right) (\log p) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-a} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By (2.10), (2.13) and (2.14), we calculate that the Dirichlet polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & (h_{j+1}^{-1} |a\mathcal{G}_{j+1,t}(p)|)^2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor \sum_{\substack{n \\ \Omega(n)=2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_j} < q \leq X^{\beta_{j+1}}} } \frac{(2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor)! t(n, X^{\beta_t})}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2}} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{s=0}^{s_i} \frac{(a\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(p))^s}{s!} \right) &= \prod_{i=1}^j \sum_{s=0}^{s_i} \left(\frac{a^s}{s!} \right) \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \frac{t(q, X^{\beta_j}) \chi^{(8p)}(q)}{q^{1/2}} \right)^s \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^j \sum_{\substack{n_i \\ \Omega(n_i) \leq s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} \chi^{(8p)}(n_i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We observe that $(n, n_i) = 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq j$. By inserting these two formulas (3.11) and (3.12), back into (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll \sum_{t=j+1}^{\mathcal{J}} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor \sum_{\substack{n \\ \Omega(n)=2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_j} < q \leq X^{\beta_{j+1}}} } \frac{(2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor)! t(n, X^{\beta_t})}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2}} \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{\substack{n_i \\ \Omega(n_i) \leq s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \\ & \times \exp \left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} \right) \sum_{(p,2)=1} (\log p) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2p}\right)^{-a} \chi^{(8p)}(pn_i) \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Applying Lemma 2.8 to estimate the innermost sum of (3.13). By (2.11) and (2.15), the contribution of the error term is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor \sum_{n \leq X^{1/50}} \frac{(2 \left\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \right\rfloor)!}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{n' \leq X^{\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{J}} \beta_i s_i}} \frac{a^{\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{J}} s_i}}{n'^{1/2}} \\ & \times \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \exp \left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

From (2.10), we estimate that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} \beta_i s_i \leq 50e^N \cdot 10^{-M/4}, \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \mathcal{J}} s_i \leq 2e^N (\log \log X)^{3/2}.$$

Hence, in (3.14), the sum over n' is also a short Dirichlet polynomial. Then, the error term is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} \left(a^{2e^N (\log \log X)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor} \left(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor \right)! \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{n \leq X^{1/20}} \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \exp \left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By Lemma 2.4 and (2.10), we can calculate that

$$a^{2e^N (\log \log X)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor} \left(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor \right)! \ll_{\mathbf{a}} X^\varepsilon.$$

Using this estimation, (3.15) is

$$\ll_{\mathbf{a}} X^{1/2+1/40+\varepsilon} \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \exp \left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

The contribution of the main term of the innermost sum in (3.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll X \sum_{t=j+1}^{\mathcal{J}} \left(\frac{50a}{\lfloor e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4} \rfloor} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{\substack{n=\square \\ \Omega(n)=2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_j} < q \leq X^{\beta_{j+1}}} } \frac{(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor)! t(n, X^{\beta_t})}{\gamma(n) n^{1/2}} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{\substack{n_i=\square \\ \Omega(n_i) \leq s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \exp \left(\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{n_i=\square \\ \Omega(n_i) \leq s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_i=\square \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} - \sum_{\substack{n_i=\square \\ \Omega(n_i) > s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

For the first sum of (3.18), we can write the summation as products over primes

$$\sum_{\substack{n_i=\square \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} = \sum_{\substack{n_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{2\Omega(n_i)} t^2(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i^2) n_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \left(1 + \frac{a^2 t^2(q, X^{\beta_j})}{2q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right) \\
&\leq \exp \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \left(\frac{a^2 t^2(q, X^{\beta_j})}{2q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right) \right). \quad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression above follows from the well-known inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By (2.14), we can write

$$t(q, X^{\beta_j}) = \frac{2h(q)}{aq^{\max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log q}{\log X^{\beta_j}}\right) \right), \quad q \leq X^{\beta_j}.$$

Hence, the expression (3.19) is

$$\leq \exp \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} + O\left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \left(\frac{\log q}{q \log X^{\beta_j}} + \frac{1}{q^2} \right) \right) \right). \quad (3.20)$$

By Rankin's trick and the similar arguments of getting (3.20), for the second term of (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n_i = \square \\ \Omega(n_i) > s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} = \sum_{\substack{n_i \\ \Omega(n_i) > s_i/2 \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{2\Omega(n_i)} t^2(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i^2) n_i} \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{n_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{e^{\Omega(n_i) - s_i/2} a^{2\Omega(n_i)} t^2(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i^2) n_i} \\
&= e^{-s_i/2} \prod_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \left(1 + \frac{ea^2 t^2(q, X^{\beta_j})}{2q} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right) \\
&\ll e^{-s_i/2} \exp \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} + O\left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \left(\frac{\log q}{q \log X^{\beta_j}} + \frac{1}{q^2} \right) \right) \right). \quad (3.21)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.18), (3.20) and (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{\substack{n_i = \square \\ \Omega(n_i) \leq s_i \\ q|n_i \Rightarrow X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}}} \frac{a^{\Omega(n_i)} t(n_i, X^{\beta_j})}{\gamma(n_i) n_i^{1/2}} \right) \\
&\leq \prod_{i=1}^j (1 + O(e^{-s_i/2})) \\
&\quad \times \exp \left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} + O\left(\sum_{X^{\beta_{i-1}} < q \leq X^{\beta_i}} \left(\frac{\log q}{q \log X^{\beta_j}} + \frac{1}{q^2} \right) \right) \right) \\
&\ll \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} + O\left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \left(\frac{\log q}{q \log X^{\beta_j}} + \frac{1}{q^2} \right) \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\ll \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right). \quad (3.22)$$

Here the last step, we use Lemma 2.1 (b). Choose an appropriately large N . By the same arguments of getting (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{50a}{[e^N \beta_{j+1}^{-3/4}]} \right)^{2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor} \left(\sum_{\substack{n=\square \\ \Omega(n)=2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor \\ q|n \Rightarrow X^{\beta_j} < q \leq X^{\beta_{j+1}}} } \frac{(2 \lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor)! t(n, X^{\beta_t})}{\gamma(n)n^{1/2}} \right) \\ & \ll \left(\frac{e^{2N+1}}{10^3 a^2 \beta_{j+1}^{1/2}} \right)^{-\lfloor \frac{1}{100\beta_{j+1}} \rfloor} \ll_{\mathbf{a}} e^{-10(Q+1)a/\beta_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (2.11), (3.13), (3.15), (3.17), (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll X \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

We know that $1 - 1/x < \log x$ for any $x \geq 1$. Observe that $|h(q)| \ll_{\mathbf{a}} 1$, by Lemma 2.1 (b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} & \leq \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q^{1+2/\log X^{\beta_j}}} = \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q} \left(1 - \frac{q^{2/\log X^{\beta_j}} - 1}{q^{2/\log X^{\beta_j}}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q} + O \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{q^{2/\log X^{\beta_j}}} \right| \right) \\ & = \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q} + O \left(\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{\log q}{q \log X^{\beta_j}} \right) \\ & = \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j}} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q} + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Using the same method, we can obtain

$$\sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q^{1+2 \max(\sigma-1/2, 1/\log X^{\beta_j})}} \leq \sum_{q \leq X^{\beta_j/2}} \frac{h(q^2)}{q} + O(1). \quad (3.26)$$

Inserting (3.25) and (3.26) into (3.24) yields that

$$\sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right)$$

$$\ll X \exp\left(-\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j} + \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{2h^2(q)}{q} + \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{h(q^2)}{q}\right). \quad (3.27)$$

Through basic calculations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{(2h(q))^2}{2q} + \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{h(q^2)}{q} = \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{1}{2q} \left(\left(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m \cos(t_m \log q) \right)^2 + \sum_{m=1}^k a_m \cos(2t_m \log q) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{1}{2q} \left(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m^2 \cos^2(t_m \log q) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} a_m a_\ell \cos(t_m \log q) \cos(t_\ell \log q) + \sum_{m=1}^k a_m \cos(2t_m \log q) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=1}^k a_m^2 \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} a_m a_\ell \sum_{q \leq X} \left(\frac{\cos((t_m + t_\ell) \log q)}{q} + \frac{\cos((t_m - t_\ell) \log q)}{q} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\frac{a_m^2}{4} + \frac{a_m}{2} \right) \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{\cos(2t_m \log q)}{q}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to calculate (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{(2h(q))^2}{2q} + \sum_{q \leq X} \frac{h(q^2)}{q} \\ & \ll \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m^2 \right) \log \log X + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} a_m a_\ell \log(|\zeta(1 + 1/\log X + i(t_m + t_\ell))| |\zeta(1 + 1/\log X + i(t_m - t_\ell))|) \\ & \quad + \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\frac{a_m^2}{4} + \frac{a_m}{2} \right) \log |\zeta(1 + 1/\log X + i2t_m)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Inserting (3.29) into (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll \exp\left(-\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j}\right) X(\log X)^{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2)/4} \prod_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m - t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \\ & \quad \times \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m + t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + 2it_m + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m^2 / 4 + a_m / 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{J}} e^{-\frac{(Q+1)a}{\beta_j}} \ll 1,$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{J}} \sum_{\substack{(p,2)=1 \\ p \in \mathcal{S}(j)}} \log p |L(\sigma + it_1, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_1} \cdots |L(\sigma + it_k, \chi^{(8p)})|^{a_k} \Phi\left(\frac{p}{X}\right) \\ & \ll X(\log X)^{(a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2)/4} \prod_{1 \leq m < \ell \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m - t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \\ & \quad \times \left| \zeta\left(1 + i(t_m + t_\ell) + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m a_\ell / 2} \prod_{1 \leq m \leq k} \left| \zeta\left(1 + 2it_m + \frac{1}{\log X}\right) \right|^{a_m^2 / 4 + a_m / 2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Lemma 2.6, we can see that

$$S_m(X, Y) \ll \log X \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d, 2)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8d)}(n) \right|^{2m} \ll X^{m+1} \log X,$$

for any $m > 0$. Therefore, we derive the result of Theorem 1.3 with $Y \geq X$. In the remaining part of the proof, we assume that $Y \leq X$.

Define a non-negative smooth function

$$\Phi_U(t) \begin{cases} \leq 1, & x \in [0, 1/U] \cup [1 - 1/U, 1], \\ = 1, & x \in [1/U, 1 - 1/U], \\ = 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

satisfying $\Phi_U^{(j)}(t) \ll_j U^j$ for all integers $j \geq 0$, where U is a parameter, we will clarify it later. By Mellin transform and we repeat integration by parts, then for any integer $A \geq 1$ and $\Re(s) \geq 1/2$, we have

$$\widehat{\Phi}_U(s) = \int_0^\infty \Phi_U(t) t^{s-1} dt \ll U^{A-1} (1 + |s|)^{-A}. \quad (4.2)$$

Using the smooth function $\Phi_U(\frac{n}{Y})$, we get the upper bound of $S_m(X, Y)$:

$$S_m(X, Y) \ll S_m^{(1)}(X, Y) + S_m^{(2)}(X, Y), \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$S_m^{(1)}(X, Y) := \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \sum_n \chi^{(8p)}(n) \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{2m}$$

and

$$S_m^{(2)}(X, Y) := \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right)\right) \right|^{2m}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *With the notation as above and assume the truth of GRH. We have for any integer $k \geq 1$ and any real numbers $2m \geq k + 1, \varepsilon > 0$*

$$S_m^{(1)}(X, Y) \ll XY^m (\log X)^{R(m, k, \varepsilon)}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *With the notation as above and assume the truth of GRH. We have for $m \geq 1$,*

$$S_m^{(2)}(X, Y) \ll XY^m.$$

By (4.3), Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. In next section, we will give the proofs of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2.

5 Proofs of Propositions

5.1 Proof of Proposition 4.1

To deal with $S_m^{(1)}(X, Y)$, we apply Mellin inversion. Thanks to (4.2) and Lemma 2.3, we can shift the line of integration from $\Re(s) = 2$ to $\Re(s) = 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m^{(1)}(X, Y) &= \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{(2)} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) Y^s \widehat{\Phi}_U(s) ds \right|^{2m} = \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{(1/2)} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) Y^s \widehat{\Phi}_U(s) ds \right|^{2m} \\ &\ll \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| \leq X^V} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) Y^s \widehat{\Phi}_U(s) ds \right|^{2m} + \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) Y^s \widehat{\Phi}_U(s) ds \right|^{2m}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here the last step, we separate the integral into two parts, for some $V > 0$ which will be specified later. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) Y^s \widehat{\Phi}_U(s) ds \right|^{2m} \\ &\ll Y^m \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left(\int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} |L(s, \chi^{(8p)})| |\widehat{\Phi}_U(s)|^{\frac{1}{2m}} |\widehat{\Phi}_U(s)|^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}} |ds| \right)^{2m} \\ &\ll Y^m \left(\int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} |\widehat{\Phi}_U(s)| |ds| \right)^{2m-1} \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p |L(s, \chi^{(8p)})|^{2m} |\widehat{\Phi}_U(s)| |ds| \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for $m \geq 1/2$. By (4.2), we obtain

$$\int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} |\widehat{\Phi}_U(s)| |ds| \ll \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} \frac{U}{1+|s|^2} |ds| \ll_V \frac{U}{X^V}. \quad (5.3)$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and (4.2) to estimate the inner integral of (5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p |L(s, \chi^{(8p)})|^{2m} \cdot |\widehat{\Phi}_U(s)| |ds| \\ &\ll \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| > X^V} \sum_{2 < p \leq X} |ps|^\varepsilon \cdot \frac{U}{1+|s|^2} |ds| \ll XU X^{-V(1-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

We choose

$$U = X^{3\varepsilon}, \quad V = 6\varepsilon \quad (5.5)$$

to ensure the term (5.2) $\ll XY^m$ with $m \geq 1/2$. Therefore, we get

$$S_m^{(1)}(X, Y) \ll \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{(1/2)}_{|\Im(s)| \leq X^\varepsilon} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) Y^s \widehat{\Phi}_U(s) ds \right|^{2m} + O(XY^m)$$

$$\ll Y^m \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{|t| \leq X^\varepsilon} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)}\right) \right| \frac{1}{1 + |t|} dt \right|^{2m} + O(XY^m).$$

Proposition 4.1 follows from the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *With the notation as above and assume the truth of GRH. We have for any integer $k \geq 1$ and any real numbers $2m \geq k + 1, \varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{|t| \leq X^\varepsilon} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)}\right) \right| \frac{1}{1 + |t|} dt \right|^{2m} \ll X(\log X)^{R(m, k, \varepsilon)},$$

where $R(m, k, \varepsilon)$ is defined in (1.5).

Proof. By symmetry and Hölder's inequality for $a = 1 - 1/(2m) + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_{|t| \leq X^\varepsilon} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)}\right) \right| \frac{dt}{|t+1|} \right|^{2m} \\ & \ll \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \int_0^{X^\varepsilon} \frac{|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})|}{t+1} dt \right|^{2m} \\ & \ll \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq \log X+1} \int_{e^{n-1}-1}^{e^n-1} \frac{|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})|}{t+1} dt \right|^{2m} \\ & \leq \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left(\sum_{n \leq \log X+1} n^{-2am/(2m-1)} \right)^{2m-1} \sum_{n \leq \log X+1} \left(n^a \int_{e^{n-1}-1}^{e^n-1} \frac{|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})|}{t+1} dt \right)^{2m} \\ & \ll \sum_{n \leq \log X+1} \frac{n^{2m-1+\varepsilon}}{e^{2nm}} \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left(\int_{e^{n-1}-1}^{e^n-1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})| dt \right)^{2m}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Below we will estimate the summation

$$T_m(E, X) := \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \left(\int_0^E |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})| dt \right)^{2m},$$

where $10 \leq E = X^{O(1)}$. The method of getting the upper bound of $T_m(E, X)$ is similar to the proof of (6.1) of Szabó [20], so we will not explain it in much detail.

First, we put out k integrals, which we can do because $2m \geq k + 1$ by assumption. Using the notation $d\mathbf{t} = dt_1 \cdots dt_k$, we obtain

$$T_m(E, X) \ll \sum_{2 < p \leq X} \log p \int_{[0, E]^k} \prod_{a=1}^k |L(1/2 + it_a, \chi^{(8p)})| \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})| du \right)^{2m-k} d\mathbf{t}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \{u \in [0, E] : |t_1 - u| \leq |t_2 - u| \leq \cdots \leq |t_k - u|\}$. Here, we restrict the integration over \mathcal{D} by symmetry.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 &= \left[-\frac{1}{\log X}, \frac{1}{\log X} \right], & \mathcal{B}_H &= [-E, E] \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq h < H} \mathcal{B}_h \\ \mathcal{B}_h &= \left[-\frac{e^{h-1}}{\log X}, -\frac{e^{h-2}}{\log X} \right] \cup \left[\frac{e^{h-2}}{\log X}, \frac{e^{h-1}}{\log X} \right], & \text{for } 2 \leq h < \lfloor \log \log X \rfloor + 10 =: H. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t_1 \in [0, E]$, we have

$$\mathcal{D} \subset [0, E] \subset t_1 + [-E, E] \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq h \leq H} t_1 + \mathcal{B}_h.$$

Thus, if we denote $\mathcal{A}_h = \mathcal{B}_h \cap (-t_1 + \mathcal{D})$, then $(t_1 + \mathcal{A}_h)_{1 \leq h \leq H}$ form a partition of \mathcal{D} . Observe that $|\mathcal{A}_h| \leq |\mathcal{B}_h|$. Applying Hölder's inequality twice we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}} |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})| du \right)^{2m-k} \leq \left(\sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} \frac{1}{h} \cdot h \int_{t_1 + \mathcal{A}_h} |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})| du \right)^{2m-k} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} h^{2m-k} \left(\int_{t_1 + \mathcal{A}_h} |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})| du \right)^{2m-k} \right) \left(\sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} h^{-(2m-k)/(2m-k-1)} \right)^{2m-k-1} \\ & \ll \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} h^{2m-k} \left(\int_{t_1 + \mathcal{A}_h} |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})| du \right)^{2m-k} \\ & \leq \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} h^{2m-k} |\mathcal{B}_h|^{2m-k-1} \int_{t_1 + \mathcal{A}_h} |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})|^{2m-k} du. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

For $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$, we write

$$L(\mathbf{t}, u) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p, 2) = 1}} \log p \prod_{a=1}^k |L(1/2 + it_a, \chi^{(8p)})| \cdot |L(1/2 + iu, \chi^{(8p)})|^{2m-k}. \quad (5.9)$$

From (5.7)–(5.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_m(E, X) & \ll \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} h^{2m-k} |\mathcal{B}_h|^{2m-k-1} \int_{[0, E]^k} \int_{t_1 + \mathcal{A}_h} L(\mathbf{t}, u) du d\mathbf{t} \\ & \ll \sum_{1 \leq h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H} h_0^{2m-k} |\mathcal{B}_{h_0}|^{2m-k-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}} L(\mathbf{t}, u) du d\mathbf{t}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_k, u) \in [0, E]^{k+1} : u \in t_1 + \mathcal{A}_{h_0}, |t_{i+1} - u| - |t_i - u| \in \mathcal{B}_{h_i}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}.$$

Using Corollary 1.2 to deal with $L(\mathbf{t}, u)$, for $(t_1, \dots, t_k, u) \in \mathcal{C}_{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\mathbf{t}, u) \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{\frac{k+(2m-k)^2}{4}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} g(|t_i - t_j|)^{a_i a_j / 2} g(|t_i + t_j|)^{a_i a_j / 2} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} g(|2t_i|)^{a_i^2 / 4 + a_i / 2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Below, we provide the upper bound of $g(\alpha)$ for two cases, with α in different ranges, and deduce the corresponding upper bound of (5.10).

Case 1: $h_0 < H$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}$ and the observation that $t_i, u \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$\frac{e^{h_0}}{\log X} \ll |t_1 - u| \ll |t_1 + u| \ll E = X^{O(1)}.$$

Recalling the definition of g in (1.3), we derive

$$g(|t_1 \pm u|) \ll \frac{\log X}{e^{h_0}} \log \log E.$$

Since the definition of \mathcal{A}_j , we know that $|t_2 - u| \geq |t_1 - u|$, furthermore

$$\frac{e^{h_0}}{\log X} + \frac{e^{h_1}}{\log X} \ll |t_2 - u| = |t_1 - u| + (|t_2 - u| - |t_1 - u|) \ll |t_2 + u| \ll E = X^{O(1)},$$

which implies that

$$g(|t_2 \pm u|) \ll \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_0, h_1)}} \log \log E.$$

Similarly, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$g(|t_i \pm u|) \ll \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{i-1})}} \log \log E. \quad (5.12)$$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, we have

$$\sum_{s=i}^{j-1} (|t_{s+1} - u| - |t_s - u|) \leq |t_j - t_i| \leq |t_j + t_i|,$$

then

$$g(|t_j \pm t_i|) \ll \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})}} \log \log E. \quad (5.13)$$

Trivially, from the definition of g in (1.3), we get

$$g(|2u|) \ll \log X, \quad g(|2t_i|) \ll \log X, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq k. \quad (5.14)$$

By (5.11)–(5.14), we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\mathbf{t}, u) \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2+k}{4} + \frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{2m-k}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}} (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \\ & \quad \times \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_i)}} \right)^{2m-k} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^k \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})}} \right) \\ & = X (\log X)^{m(2m+1)} (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(-(2m-k) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \max(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

The volume of the region $\mathcal{C}_{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}$ is $\ll E^k e^{h_0+h_1+\dots+h_{k-1}} (\log X)^{-k}$, and $|\mathcal{B}_{h_0}| \ll e^{h_0} / \log X$.

Inserting (5.15) into (5.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h_0 < H \\ 1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H}} h_0^{2m-k} |\mathcal{B}_{h_0}|^{2m-k-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}} L(\mathbf{t}, u) du dt \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{2m^2-m+1} E^k (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h_0 < H \\ 1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H}} h_0^{2m-k} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left((2m-k-1)h_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} h_i - (2m-k) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \max(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1}) \right) \\ & = X (\log X)^{2m^2-m+1} E^k (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h_0 < H \\ 1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H}} h_0^{2m-k} \exp\left(- (2m-k) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \max(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+2}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})\right) \\
& \ll X(\log X)^{2m^2-m+1} E^k (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h_0 < H \\ 1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H}} h_0^{2m-k} \exp\left(- (2m-k) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sum_{s=0}^i h_s}{i+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+2}^k \frac{\sum_{s=i}^{j-1} h_s}{j-i}\right) \\
& \ll X(\log X)^{2m^2-m+1} E^k (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)}. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $h_0 = H$

(1) $|u| > 5$.

For this case, we have $e^{h_0} \ll \log X$. Hence, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we get

$$g(|t_i \pm u|) \ll \log \log E. \tag{5.17}$$

Regarding the estimate of $g(|t_j \pm t_i|)$, it is the same as (5.13). We estimate $g(|2t_i|)$ by the trivial bound, and since $|t_1 - u| \leq |t_1| + |u|$, $|u| \geq 5$, we have

$$g(|2u|) \ll \log \log E, \quad g(|2t_i|) \ll \log X, \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{5.18}$$

By (5.11), (5.13), (5.17) and (5.18), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(\mathbf{t}, u) \\
& \ll X(\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2+k}{4} + \frac{3k}{4}} (\log \log E)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + (2m-k)(k+1/2) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^k \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})}} \right) \\
& = X(\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}} (\log \log E)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + (2m-k)(k+1/2) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \exp\left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})\right). \tag{5.19}
\end{aligned}$$

The volume of the region $\mathcal{C}_{H, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}$ is

$$\ll E^{k+1} e^{h_1 + \dots + h_{k-1}} (\log X)^{-k+1}. \tag{5.20}$$

As $|\mathcal{B}_H| \ll E$, we deduce from (5.10), (5.19) and (5.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H} H^{2m-k} |\mathcal{B}_H|^{2m-k-1} \int_{\substack{\mathcal{C}_{H, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}} \\ |u| \geq 5}} L(\mathbf{t}, u) du d\mathbf{t} \\
& \ll X(\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1} E^{2m} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + (2m-k)(k+1/2) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} h_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})\right) \\
& \ll X(\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1} E^{2m} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + (2m-k)(k+1/2) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}}. \tag{5.21}
\end{aligned}$$

(2) $|u| \leq 5$. We estimate $g(|2u|)$ by the trivial bound, and since $e^{10} < |t_1 - u| \leq |t_i - u| \leq |t_i| + |u|$, $|u| \leq 5$, for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $|t_i| \geq 5$ and

$$g(|2u|) \ll \log X, \quad g(|2t_i|) \ll \log \log E. \quad (5.22)$$

By (5.11), (5.13), (5.17) and (5.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & L(\mathbf{t}, u) \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2+k}{4} + \frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{2m-k}{2}} (\log \log E)^{(2m-k)k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^k \frac{\log X}{e^{\max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1})}} \right) \\ & = X (\log X)^{m(2m+1) - k(2m+3/4-k)} (\log \log E)^{(2m-k)k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

As we have $|t_i| \geq 5$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ when $|u| \leq 5$, we see that the volume of the region $\mathcal{C}_{H, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}}$ is $\ll E^k$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H} H^{2m-k} |\mathcal{B}_H|^{2m-k-1} \int_{\substack{\mathcal{C}_{H, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}} \\ |u| \leq 5}} L(\mathbf{t}, u) du d\mathbf{t} \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{m(2m+1) - k(2m+3/4-k)} E^{2m-1} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{(2m-k)k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} \leq H} \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k \max(h_i, \dots, h_{j-1}) \right) \\ & \ll X (\log X)^{m(2m+1) - k(2m+3/4-k)} E^{2m-1} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{(2m-k)k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

From (5.10), (5.16), (5.21) and (5.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T_m(E, X) \\ & \ll X \left((\log X)^{2m^2 - m + 1} E^k (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \right. \\ & \quad + (\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1} E^{2m} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + (2m-k)(k+1/2) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \\ & \quad \left. + (\log X)^{m(2m+1) - k(2m+3/4-k)} E^{2m-1} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{(2m-k)k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

We apply (5.25) to estimate (5.6) for any integer $k \geq 1$ and any real numbers $2m \geq k+1, \varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \leq \log X + 1} \frac{n^{2m-1+\varepsilon}}{e^{2nm}} \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left(\int_{e^{n-1}-1}^{e^n-1} |L(1/2 + it, \chi^{(8p)})| dt \right)^{2m} \\ & \ll X \sum_{n \leq \log X + 1} \frac{n^{2m-1+\varepsilon}}{e^{2nm}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left((\log X)^{2m^2-m+1} e^{kn} (\log \log E)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + k(2m-k)} \right. \\
& + (\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1} e^{2mn} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + (2m-k)(k+1/2) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \\
& \quad \left. + (\log X)^{m(2m+1)-k(2m+3/4-k)} e^{(2m-1)n} (\log \log X)^{2m-k} (\log \log E)^{(2m-k)k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \frac{3k}{4}} \right) \\
& \ll X (\log X)^\varepsilon \left((\log X)^{2m^2-m+1} + (\log X)^{\frac{(2m-k)^2}{4} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 2m+1} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\log X)^{m(2m+1)-k(2m+3/4-k)} \right) \\
& \ll X (\log X)^{R(m,k,\varepsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 5.1. \square

5.2 Proof of Proposition 4.2

We apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_m^{(2)}(X, Y) & \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right)\right) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right)\right) \right|^{4m-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 with $Z = Y$ and $Y \leq X$ to the first term of product (5.26), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right)\right) \right|^2 \ll \log X \sum_{\substack{d \leq X \\ (d,2)=1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8d)}(n) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right)\right) \right|^2 \\
& \ll (XY)^\varepsilon (X+Y) \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \leq Y \\ n_1 n_2 = \square}} \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n_1}{Y}\right)\right) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n_2}{Y}\right)\right) \\
& \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \in [1, Y/U] \cup [Y(1-1/U), Y] \\ n_1 n_2 = \square}} 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We write $n_1 = dm_1^2, n_2 = dm_2^2$ with d square. The above is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{d \leq Y} \sum_{m_1, m_2 \in [1, (Y/(dU))^{1/2}] \cup [(Y(1-1/U)/d)^{1/2}, (Y/d)^{1/2}]} 1 \\
& \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{d \leq Y} \left(Y/(dU) + \left((Y/d)^{1/2} - (Y(1-1/U)/d)^{1/2} \right)^2 \right) \\
& \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} Y U^{-1} \ll X^{1-2\varepsilon} Y \quad (5.27)
\end{aligned}$$

with $U = X^{3\varepsilon}$. For the second term of product (5.26), we have the upper bound

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \left(1 - \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right)\right) \right|^{4m-2}$$

$$\ll \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \right|^{4m-2} + \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \Phi_U\left(\frac{n}{Y}\right) \right|^{4m-2}. \quad (5.28)$$

Since $4m - 2 = 2(2m - 1) \geq 2$ for $m \geq 1$, we can use Proposition 4.1 with $k = 1$. Therefore, the second term on the right-hand side of (5.28) is

$$S_{2m-1}^{(2)}(X, Y) \ll XY^{2m-1}(\log X)^{O_{m,\varepsilon}(1)}. \quad (5.29)$$

To estimate the first term on the right-hand side of (5.28), we apply Lemma 2.7 with $w_n = \chi^{(8p)}(n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+1/\log Y-iY}^{1+1/\log Y+iY} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) \frac{Y^s}{s} ds + O(\log Y) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2-iY}^{1/2+iY} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1+1/\log Y-iY}^{1/2-iY} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/2+iY}^{1+1/\log Y+iY} \right) L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) \frac{Y^s}{s} ds + O(\log Y), \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

Inserting (5.30) back into the first term of the right side of (5.28), we get

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \right|^{4m-2} \ll (S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + X(\log X)^{4m-2}) \log X, \quad (5.31)$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left| \int_{1/2-iY}^{1/2+iY} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) \frac{Y^s}{s} ds \right|^{4m-2}, \quad S_2 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left| \int_{1+1/\log Y-iY}^{1/2-iY} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) \frac{Y^s}{s} ds \right|^{4m-2}$$

and

$$S_3 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left| \int_{1/2+iY}^{1+1/\log Y+iY} L(s, \chi^{(8p)}) \frac{Y^s}{s} ds \right|^{4m-2}.$$

The estimates of S_2 and S_3 are the same, we just estimate S_3 . We assume that $Y \geq 10$, otherwise the lemma is trivial. Let $m \geq 1$, which allows us to apply Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &\ll \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left(\int_{1/2+iY}^{1+1/\log Y+iY} |L(s, \chi^{(8p)})| |ds| \right)^{4m-2} \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \int_{1/2+iY}^{1+1/\log Y+iY} |L(s, \chi^{(8p)})|^{4m-2} |ds| \ll X(\log X)^{8m^2-6m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

For the last bound of (5.32), we utilize Lemma 2.10 with $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1 + 1/\log Y$ under GRH. Next we bound S_1 using Hölder's inequality, Lemma 5.1 with $k = 1$ and the assumption $Y \leq X$. Thus

$$S_1 \ll Y^{2m-1} \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left(\int_0^Y \frac{|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})|}{t+1} dt \right)^{4m-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll Y^{2m-1} \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left(\left(\sum_{n \leq \log Y+2} 1 \right)^{\frac{4m-3}{4m-2}} \left(\sum_{n \leq \log Y+2} \left(\int_{e^{n-1}-1}^{e^n-1} \frac{|L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})|}{t+1} dt \right)^{4m-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4m-2}} \right)^{4m-2} \\
&\ll Y^{2m-1} \sum_{n \leq \log Y+2} \frac{n^{4m-2}}{e^{(4m-2)n}} \sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \left(\int_{e^{n-1}-1}^{e^n-1} |L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi^{(8p)})| dt \right)^{4m-2} \\
&\ll Y^{2m-1} X(\log X)^{O_{m,\varepsilon}(1)} \left(\sum_{n \leq \log Y+2} \frac{n^{4m-2}}{e^{(4m-2)n}} e^n + \sum_{n \leq \log Y+2} n^{4m-2} \right) \\
&\ll Y^{2m-1} X(\log X)^{O_{m,\varepsilon}(1)}. \tag{5.33}
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.31)–(5.33), we deduce that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq X \\ (p,2)=1}} \log p \left| \sum_{n \leq Y} \chi^{(8p)}(n) \right|^{4m-2} \ll XY^{2m-1} (\log X)^{O_{m,\varepsilon}(1)}. \tag{5.34}$$

Finally, by (5.26)–(5.29), (5.34) and $Y \leq X$, we complete the proof of the Proposition 4.2.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Professor Peng Gao for useful discussions and suggestions. During the work the author is supported by NSFC grant 11871082.

References

- [1] J. Andrade and J. P. Keating, *Mean value theorems for L-functions over prime polynomials for the rational function field*. Acta Arith., 161 (2013), 371-385.
- [2] M. V. Armon, *Averages of real character sums*. J. Number Theory, 77 (1999), no.2, 209-226.
- [3] S. Baluyot and K. Pratt, *Dirichlet L-functions of quadratic characters of prime conductor at the central point*. J. Eur. Math. Soc., 24 (2022), 369-460.
- [4] H. M. Bui and A. Florea, *Moments of Dirichlet L-functions with prime conductors over function fields*. Finite Fields Appl., 62 (2020), art. 101659, 21 pp.
- [5] P. Gao and L. Y. Zhao, *Bounds for moments of quadratic Dirichlet L-functions of prime-related moduli*. Colloquium Mathematicum, 171 (1) (2023), 61-77.
- [6] P. Gao and L. Y. Zhao, *Bounds for moments of quadratic Dirichlet character sums*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., (2024), 1-5.
- [7] P. Gao and L. Y. Zhao, *Shifted moments of quadratic Dirichlet L-functions*. arXiv: 2406.18024.
- [8] A. J. Harper, *Sharp conditional bounds for moments of the Riemann zeta function*. arXiv:1305.4618.

- [9] D. R. Heath-Brown, *A mean value estimate for real character sums*. Acta Arithmetica, 72 (3) (1995), 235-275.
- [10] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004.
- [11] M. Jutila, *On sums of real characters*. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., (1973), 247-250.
- [12] M. Jutila, *On character sums and class numbers*. J. Number Theory, (1973), 203-214.
- [13] M. Jutila, *On the mean value of $L(1/2, \chi)$ for real characters*. Analysis 1 (2) (1981), 149-161.
- [14] A. A. Karatsuba, *Basic Analytic Number Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1993.
- [15] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith, *Random matrix theory and L-functions at $s = 1/2$* . Comm. Math. Phys., 214, (2000), 91-110.
- [16] D. Koukoulopoulos, *Pretentious multiplicative functions and the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions*. Compos. Math., 149 (7) (2013), 1129-1149.
- [17] D. Koukoulopoulos, *The distribution of prime numbers*. American Mathematical Soc., 2020.
- [18] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Mean values of character sums*. Canadian J. Math., 31 (3) (1979), 476-487.
- [19] K. Soundararajan, *Moments of the Riemann zeta function*. Ann. of Math., 170, (2009), no.2, 981-993.
- [20] B. Szabó, *High moments of theta functions and character sums*. Mathematika 70 (2) (2024), Paper No. e12242, 37 pp.
- [21] H. Virtanen, *The mean fourth power of real character sums*. Acta Arith., 103, (2002), no.3, 249-257.