

THE TOTAL ABSOLUTE CURVATURE OF SUBMANIFOLDS WITH SINGULARITIES

YUTA YAMAUCHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a generalization of the Chern-Lashof theorem for submanifolds with singularities called frontals in Euclidean space. We prove that, for an n -dimensional admissible compact frontal in $(n+r)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^{n+r} , its total absolute curvature is greater than or equal to the sum of the Betti numbers. Furthermore, if the total absolute curvature is equal to 2, and all singularities are of the first kind, then the image of the frontal coincides with a closed convex domain of an affine n -dimensional subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} .

1. INTRODUCTION

We fix positive integers n and r . We consider an oriented compact manifold of dimension n and an immersion $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ into $(n+r)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . Let B be the bundle of unit normal vectors and G be the Lipschitz-Killing curvature of f . Then,

$$\tau(M, f) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} \int_B |G| d\mu_B$$

is called *the total absolute curvature* of f , where $\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})$ is the volume of an $(n+r-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere and $d\mu_B$ is the volume element of B . The following holds:

Fact 1.1 ([3, 4]). *Let M^n be an oriented compact manifold of dimension n and $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ be an immersion into $(n+r)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^{n+r} .*

- (1) *Let $b_i(M^n)$ be the i -th Betti number ($0 \leq i \leq n$) of M^n . Then, the total absolute curvature $\tau(M, f)$ of f satisfies the inequality*

$$\tau(M^n, f) \geq \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(M^n).$$

- (2) *If the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is less than 3, then M^n is homeomorphic to a sphere of n -dimensions.*
- (3) *If the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is equal to 2, then the image $f(M^n)$ belongs to an $(n+1)$ -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} , and is embedded as a convex hypersurface. The converse of this is also true.*

The Chern-Lashof theorem (Fact 1.1) can be understood as a generalization of Fenchel's theorem [5, 6] to compact Euclidean submanifolds of any dimension. So far, generalizations of the Chern-Lashof theorem for several ambient spaces have been obtained: for submanifolds in Riemannian manifolds of non-positive curvature [28, 1]; for submanifolds in spaces of constant curvature [2, 24, 25, 26]; for non-closed submanifolds in Euclidean space [29]; for knotted surface [21]; for equiaffine

Date: December 26, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C65, 53C42, 57R45.

Key words and phrases. Chern-Lashof theorem, total absolute curvature, frontal, singular point.

immersions [16]; for submanifolds in a simply connected symmetric space of non-positive curvature [17] and compact type space [18]; for spacelike submanifolds in Lorentz-Minkowski space [14]; for complex submanifolds in complex projective space [8].

On the other hand, as a generalization of immersed submanifolds, classes of submanifolds with singularities, called *wave fronts* or *frontals*, have been investigated intensively in recent years [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 22, 23, 27]. Kossowski and Scherfner [15] obtained a Chern-Lashof type theorem for 2-dimensional wave fronts in \mathbf{R}^3 , which can be seen as an intrinsic generalization of the Chern-Lashof theorem, see Fact 4.5. However, there are examples of frontals, that are not wave front, with significant properties of the total absolute curvature (cf. Example 3.6).

Therefore, it is natural to ask whether the Chern-Lashof theorem holds in the case of frontals instead of wave fronts, and whether it is possible to generalize the dimensions and codimensions. The relationship between minimality of the total absolute curvature and convexity also remains unclear.

In this paper, we proved the following Chern-Lashof type theorem for admissible frontals (for the definitions of admissible frontals and their total absolute curvature, see Definitions 2.3 and 2.7).

Theorem A. *Let M^n be an orientable compact manifold of dimension n and $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ be a co-orientable admissible frontal.*

- (1) *Let $b_i(M^n)$ be the i -th Betti number of M^n ($0 \leq i \leq n$). Then, the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ of the frontal f satisfies the inequality*

$$\tau(M^n, f) \geq \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(M^n).$$

- (2) *If the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is less than 3, then M^n is homeomorphic to an n -dimensional sphere.*
(3) *If the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is equal to 2, then the image $f(M^n)$ belongs to an $(n+1)$ -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} .*

We remark that the assertion (3) in Theorem A does not contain any convexity property. If we restrict the type of singularities to the first kind (see Definition 2.2), We obtain the following relationship between minimality of the total absolute curvature and the convexity.

Theorem B. *Let M^n be an orientable compact manifold of dimension n and $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ be a co-orientable frontal. Suppose that singular set Σ_f is not empty and consists of singular points of the first kind. Then, the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is equal to 2 if and only if the frontal f satisfies all three of the following conditions.*

- (a) *The manifold M^n and the singular set Σ_f are homeomorphic to an n -dimensional sphere and an $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere, respectively,*
(b) *the image $f(M^n)$ is a closed convex domain of an n -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} , and*
(c) *the image $f(\Sigma_f)$ coincides with the boundary of $f(M^n)$.*

Since the frontals satisfying the conditions of Theorem B are not wave fronts, the generalization of the Chern-Lashof theorem to frontals (Theorem A) is essential to obtain Theorem B. In addition, it is interesting that the dimension of the affine subspace containing the frontal with minimum total absolute curvature decreases to n .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe some basic materials of frontals and define the admissible frontals, the total absolute curvature for them.

In Section 3, we prove Theorems A and B. Finally, in Section 4, we apply our results to the 1- and 2-dimensional cases.

2. ADMISSIBLE FRONTALS AND THE TOTAL ABSOLUTE CURVATURE

In this section, we define admissible frontals (Definition 2.3) and define the total absolute curvature for them (Definition 2.7).

2.1. Frontals and singularities. In this subsection, we review the definition of frontals in $(n+r)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . The notion of frontals as a generalized submanifolds is introduced in [13]. For cases of surfaces or hypersurfaces are well investigated, see [22, 27] for example.

Let M^n be an oriented n -manifold. For a smooth map $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$, a point $p \in M^n$ is called a *singular point* of f if f is not an immersion at p . Otherwise, p is called a *regular point*. We let Σ_f be the singular set of f , and define M_{reg}^n by $M_{\text{reg}}^n := M^n \setminus \Sigma_f$. We denote by $\widetilde{Gr}(n, n+r)$ the Grassmannian of oriented n -dimensional subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . A smooth map $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ such that M_{reg}^n is dense in M^n is called a *frontal* if there exist an open neighborhood U and a smooth map $\Pi : U \rightarrow \widetilde{Gr}(n, n+r)$ for each $p \in M^n$ such that

$$df_q(X) \in \Pi(q) \quad (q \in U, X \in T_q M^n).$$

This map Π is called the *generalized Gauss map* of f . If Π can be defined globally on M^n , then f is said to be *co-orientable*. If f is an immersion, then f is co-orientable. This can be verified by setting $\Pi(q) := df_q(T_q M^n)$ ($q \in M^n$). By taking the double cover of M^n if necessary, we may assume that frontals are co-orientable without loss of generality. Therefore, in this paper, frontals are assumed to be co-orientable.

For the generalized Gauss map Π , we denote by $\Pi^\perp(p)$ the orthogonal complement of $\Pi(p)$. For a local coordinate system $(U; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ of M^n , we define a function λ on U by

$$\lambda(p) = \det(f_1(p), f_2(p), \dots, f_n(p), E_1(p), \dots, E_r(p)) \quad (p \in U)$$

where $f_i = \partial f / \partial u_i$ and $\{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ is an orthonormal frame of Π^\perp . The function λ is called the *signed volume density function*. Then, a point $p \in M^n$ is a singular point of f if and only if $\lambda(p)$ is equal to 0. A singular point p is said to be *non-degenerate* if the exterior derivative $d\lambda$ does not vanish at p . Non-degeneracy of singular points does not depend on the choices of coordinates of the domain and an orthonormal frame $\{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ of Π^\perp .

Lemma 2.1. *If a singular point p is non-degenerate, then the rank of df_p is equal to $n-1$.*

Proof. Let $(U; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ be a local coordinate neighborhood of p . For each $X \in T_p M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda_p(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \det(f_1(p), \dots, (df_i)_p(X), \dots, f_n(p), E_1(p), \dots, E_r(p)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^r \det(f_1(p), \dots, f_n(p), E_1(p), \dots, (dE_j)_p(X), \dots, E_r(p)). \end{aligned}$$

Since p is a singular point, the rank of df_p is less than n . So,

$$\det(f_1(p), \dots, f_n(p), E_1(p), \dots, (dE_j)_p(X), \dots, E_r(p)) = 0$$

holds for $1 \leq j \leq r$. If the rank of df_p is less than $n-1$, then

$$\det(f_1(p), \dots, (df_i)_p(X), \dots, f_n(p), E_1(p), \dots, E_r(p)) = 0$$

holds for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, the derivative $d\lambda_p(X)$ vanish. This contradicts the non-degeneracy of the singular point p . Therefore, the rank of df_p is equal to $n - 1$. \square

The implicit function theorem yields that if singular points are all non-degenerate, then the singular set Σ_f is a regular hypersurface of M^n . By Lemma 2.1, the kernel $\ker df_p$ of df_p is a 1-dimensional subspace of $T_p M^n$ at a non-degenerate singular point p . We call $\ker df_p$ the *null space* at p .

Definition 2.2. Suppose that all singular points of the frontal $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ are non-degenerate. For a non-degenerate singular point p , when the null space $\ker df_p$ is not a subspace of $T_p \Sigma_f$, the point p is said to be of the *first kind*. Otherwise, p is called the *second kind*.

We denote by $C_f (\subset \Sigma_f)$ the set of singular points of the second kind.

Definition 2.3. If a frontal $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ satisfies the following conditions, f is called an *admissible frontal*.

- (1) All singular points of f are non-degenerate.
- (2) There exists a regular hypersurface \mathcal{H} in Σ_f such that $C_f \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

We remark that a frontal whose singular set consists of singular points of the first kind is admissible (cf. Theorem B). Definition 2.3 is inspired by ‘‘admissible singular points of the second kind’’ in [27], cf. Remark 4.4. By definition, if $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ is an admissible frontal, then the set $\Sigma_f \setminus C_f$ of singular points of the first kind is dense in the singular set Σ_f . This property is used in the definition of total absolute curvature (Definition 2.7) and in Lemma 3.2. In the case of $n = 2$, the condition (2) of Definition 2.3 is equivalent to that the set of singular points of the second kind C_f is discrete subset of Σ_f (cf. [15], Fact 4.5). Moreover, frontals having at most A_k -type singular points are admissible:

Example 2.4. Suppose that each singular point of the frontal $f : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is right-left-equivalent to the A_{k+1} -type singular point ($1 \leq k \leq n$). Here, the A_{k+1} -type singular point is the map germ of the map from \mathbf{R}^n to \mathbf{R}^{n+1} defined by

$$(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \left((k+1) \sum_{j=2}^k (j-1) t^j x_j, -(k+2)t^{k+1} - \sum_{j=2}^k j t^{j-1} x_j, x_2, \dots, x_n \right)$$

at the origin of \mathbf{R}^n . For an A_{k+1} -type singular point $p \in M^n$ and the neighborhood U of p , we give the following notations:

$$\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda, \quad \lambda^{(1)} = \eta\lambda, \quad \lambda^{(i)} = d\lambda^{(i-1)}(\eta) \quad (2 \leq i \leq k),$$

where λ is the singed volume density function of f and η is a non-vanishing vector field on U such that $\eta(q) \in \ker df_q$ for each singular point $q \in \Sigma_f \cap U$. Then,

$$\lambda(p) = \lambda'(p) = \dots = \lambda^{(k-1)}(p) = 0, \quad \lambda^{(k)} \neq 0$$

hold and the Jacobian matrix of the smooth map $(\lambda, \lambda', \dots, \lambda^{(k-1)}) : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^k$ is of rank k at p ([23, Corollary 2.5]). In this case, all singular points of f are non-degenerate. We define the map $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ by $\psi = (\lambda, \lambda')$. Since a singular point $p \in U$ is of the second kind if and only if $\lambda(p) = \lambda'(p) = 0$, the set $C_f \cap U$ is given by $\psi^{-1}((0, 0))$. Now, the Jacobian matrix of ψ is of rank 2 at each $p \in \psi^{-1}((0, 0))$. Hence, $(0, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ is a regular value of ψ . Therefore, f is an admissible frontal by setting $\mathcal{H} = C_f$.

For example, in the case of the map $f : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ of the A_3 -type singular point

$$f(t, x, y) = (4t^5 + t^2x + 2t^3y^2, 5t^4 + 2tx + 3t^2y, x, y),$$

we obtain $\Sigma_f = \{(t, -3ty - 10t^3, y) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid (t, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2\}$ and

$$C_f = \{(t, -3ty - 10t^3, y) \in \Sigma_f \mid y = -10t^2\} = \{(t, 20t^3, -10t^2) \in \Sigma_f \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

Thus, f is an admissible frontal (see Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. The singular set Σ_f (yellow surface) and the set of singular points of the second kind C_f (blue curve) of the map germ $f : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$ of the A_3 -type singular point. Since C_f is a regular curve in Σ_f , f is an admissible frontal (cf. Definition 2.7, Example 2.4).

2.2. The total absolute curvature. In this subsection, we review the notion of the Lipschitz-Killing curvature and define the total absolute curvature for admissible frontals (Definition 2.7). We define the unit normal bundle B of f by

$$B = \bigcup_{p \in M^n} B_p \quad (B_p := \{\mathbf{v} \in \Pi^\perp(p) \mid \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1\}).$$

Here, $\|\mathbf{w}\| := \sqrt{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}}$ is the norm of a vector $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$, and $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ is the canonical Euclidean inner product of $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$. A smooth section of B is called a *unit normal vector field* of f . Let N be a unit normal vector field of f . On the regular set M_{reg}^n , the following Weingarten formula holds:

$$(2.1) \quad D_X N = -df(A_N X) + D_X^\perp N.$$

Here, X is a smooth vector field on M^n , D is the canonical connection of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} , D^\perp is the normal connection, and A_N is the shape operator with respect to N . We set B_{reg} as $B_{\text{reg}} := \bigcup_{p \in M_{\text{reg}}^n} B_p$. For each $(p, \xi) \in B_{\text{reg}}$, we define the *Lipschitz-Killing curvature* $G(p, \xi)$ by

$$G(p, \xi) = \det A_\xi.$$

We let $(U; u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be a coordinates system of M^n . The two n -forms

$$d\hat{V} = \lambda du_1 \wedge \dots \wedge du_n, \quad dV = |\lambda| du_1 \wedge \dots \wedge du_n$$

on U are called the *signed volume element* and the (*unsigned*) *volume element* of f , respectively. The signed volume element of f does not depend on the choice of coordinate systems of M^n and choice of an orthonormal frame which is compatible with respect to the orientation of Π^\perp . The (*unsigned*) volume element of f also does not depend on the choice of coordinate systems which is compatible with respect to the orientation of M^n and choice of an orthonormal frame which is compatible with respect to the orientation of Π^\perp . Let $d\sigma$ be the volume element of each fiber of B . The *signed volume element* and the (*unsigned*) *volume element* of B are defined by

$$d\hat{\mu}_B = d\hat{V} \wedge d\sigma, \quad d\mu_B = dV \wedge d\sigma,$$

respectively. We denote by S^{n+r-1} an $(n+r-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+r-1} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+r} \mid \|\mathbf{v}\| = 1\}$. We call the smooth map

$$\nu : B \rightarrow S^{n+r-1}; (p, \xi) \mapsto \xi$$

the *canonical Gauss map* of f . The following proposition is important for the definition of the total absolute curvature (Definition 2.7).

Proposition 2.5. *Let $d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}}$ be the volume element of S^{n+r-1} . On B_{reg} , the pullback of $d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}}$ by ν is written as*

$$\nu^* d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}} = (-1)^n G(p, \xi) d\hat{\mu}_B.$$

Proof. We fix $(p, \xi) \in B_{\text{reg}}$. Let $(U; u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be a local coordinate system of M^n , $(V; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{r-1})$ be a local coordinate system of a unit $(r-1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{r-1} . Then, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu^* d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}}(p, \xi) \\ &= \det(\nu_{u_1}, \dots, \nu_{u_n}, \nu_{\theta_1}, \dots, \nu_{\theta_{r-1}}, \nu)(p, \xi) du_1 \wedge \dots \wedge du_n \wedge d\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta_{r-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_{u_i} = \partial\nu/\partial u_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $\nu_{\theta_j} = \partial\nu/\partial\theta_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq r-1$). By the Weingarten formula (2.1), we have

$$\nu_{u_i}(p, \xi) = -df(A_\xi \partial_{u_i})(p) + D_{\partial_{u_i}}^\perp \nu(p, \xi),$$

where $\partial_{u_i} = \partial/\partial u_i$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(\nu_{u_1}, \dots, \nu_{u_n}, \nu_{\theta_1}, \dots, \nu_{\theta_{r-1}}, \nu)(p, \xi) \\ &= (-1)^n \det(df(A_\xi \partial_{u_1}), \dots, df(A_\xi \partial_{u_n}), \nu_{\theta_1}, \dots, \nu_{\theta_{r-1}}, \nu)(p, \xi) \\ &= (-1)^n \det A_\xi \det(f_1, \dots, f_n, \nu_{\theta_1}, \dots, \nu_{\theta_{r-1}}, \nu)(p, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det A_\xi \det(f_1, \dots, f_n, \nu_{\theta_1}, \dots, \nu_{\theta_{r-1}}, \nu)(p, \xi) du_1 \wedge \dots \wedge du_n \wedge d\theta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\theta_{r-1} \\ &= G(p, \xi) \lambda(p) du_1 \wedge \dots \wedge du_n \wedge d\sigma \\ &= G(p, \xi) d\hat{\mu}_B \end{aligned}$$

implies the desire result. \square

By Proposition 2.5, the differential form $(-1)^n G d\hat{\mu}_B$ can be extended to whole on B smoothly. Hence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *The differential form $|G| d\mu_B$ is a continuous $(n+r-1)$ -form on B .*

From now on, we let $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ be an admissible frontal and Π be the generalized Gauss map of f . Then, the singular set Σ_f is a regular hypersurface of M^n . We denote by \bar{f} the restriction of f to Σ_f . We set $\bar{\Pi} : \Sigma_f \rightarrow \widetilde{Gr}(n-1, n+r)$ as $\bar{\Pi}(p) := d\bar{f}_p(T_p M^n)$. We remark that $d\bar{f}_p(T_p M^n)$ is $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} by Lemma 2.1. Then, $\bar{\Pi}$ is a generalized Gauss map of \bar{f} . As a result, $\bar{f} : \Sigma_f \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ is a co-orientable frontal. Now, we let $\bar{B}, \bar{A}, \bar{\nu}$ and \bar{G} be the bundle of unit normal vectors, the shape operator, the canonical Gauss map and the Lipschitz-Killing curvature of \bar{f} , respectively. By Corollary 2.6, we may define the total absolute curvature of f as follows:

Definition 2.7. Let M^n be a compact orientable n -dimensional manifold. For a co-orientable admissible frontal $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$, the *total absolute curvature* $\tau(M^n, f)$ is defined by

$$\tau(M^n, f) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} \int_B |G| d\mu_B + \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} \int_{\bar{B}} |\bar{G}| d\mu_{\bar{B}}.$$

We remark that, by Proposition 2.5, the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is written as

$$\tau(M^n, f) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} (\text{vol}(\nu(B)) + \text{vol}(\bar{\nu}(\bar{B}))),$$

where $\text{vol}(\nu(B))$ (resp. $\text{vol}(\bar{\nu}(\bar{B}))$) is the volume of the image $\nu(B)$ (resp. $\bar{\nu}(\bar{B})$) in S^{n+r-1} .

If we consider only the total absolute curvature on a regular set of f , it has no non-trivial lower bound, as in the following Example 2.8.

Example 2.8. For a positive number $k > 0$, we define $f_k : S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, kx_{n+1}^3) \quad ((x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in S^n \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}).$$

Then, f_k is an admissible frontal. The total absolute curvature of the regular set of f_k satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^n)} \int_B |G| d\mu_B = \frac{6 \text{vol}(S^{n-1})}{\text{vol}(S^n)} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{k |\cos(t)|}{(1 + (k \sin t)^2/4)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dt < \frac{12k \text{vol}(S^{n-1})}{\text{vol}(S^n)}.$$

Therefore, if we take the number k that is less than $\varepsilon \text{vol}(S^n)/(12 \text{vol}(S^{n-1}))$ for each positive number $\varepsilon > 0$, then the total absolute curvature of the regular set of f_k is less than ε .




FIGURE 2. The image $f_k(S^2)$ when $n = 2$ and $k = \frac{2}{3}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS A AND B

In this section, we prove Theorems A and B by applying the Morse theory to height functions. For a frontal $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1}$, we set a function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ on M^n as

$$h_{\mathbf{w}}(p) = f(p) \cdot \mathbf{w} \quad (p \in M^n)$$

which is called the *height function* with respect to \mathbf{w} . If the derivative $(dh_{\mathbf{w}})_p$ vanish at $p \in M^n$, a point p is called a *critical point* of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$. A point p is a critical point of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ if and only if \mathbf{w} is perpendicular to $(df)_p(T_p M^n)$. Furthermore, if the Hessian $\text{Hess}(h_{\mathbf{w}})$ of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ is non-degenerate at a critical point p , the point p is called the *Morse critical point*. A regular point $p \in M_{\text{reg}}^n$ of f is a Morse critical point if and only if \mathbf{w} is a regular value of the canonical Gauss map ν (see [4]). A similar argument holds true for a singular point of the first kind as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p \in \Sigma_f \setminus C_f$ be a singular point of the first kind. Suppose that p is critical point of the height function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$. Then p is a Morse critical point if and only if \mathbf{w} is a regular value of the canonical Gauss map $\bar{\nu}$ of \bar{f} and is not perpendicular to $\Pi(p)$.*

Proof. Since p is a singular point of the first kind, we take a local coordinate neighborhood $(U; u_1, \dots, u_n)$ such that

$$U \cap \Sigma_f = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0), \quad p = (0, \dots, 0), \quad f_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0) = \mathbf{0}$$

hold. Then there exists a smooth map $\mathbf{v} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ such that $f_n = u_n \mathbf{v}$. Then we have

$$f_{in}(p) = \mathbf{0} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad f_{nn}(p) = \mathbf{v}(p),$$

where $f_{ij} = \partial^2 f / \partial u_i \partial u_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$). Since p is a singular point of the first kind, $\partial / \partial u_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) tangent to Σ_f . Therefore, we obtain

$$f_{ij}(p) \cdot \mathbf{w} = df(\bar{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \partial_{u_i})(p) \cdot f_j(p) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n-1).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\det[f_{ij}(p) \cdot \mathbf{w}]_{(1 \leq i, j \leq n)} = (\mathbf{v}(p) \cdot \mathbf{w}) \det[df(\bar{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \partial_{u_i})(p) \cdot f_j(p)]_{(1 \leq i, j \leq n-1)}.$$

As a result, p is a Morse critical point if and only if both $\mathbf{v}(p) \cdot \mathbf{w}$ and $\det[df(\bar{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \partial / \partial u_i) \cdot f_j]_{(1 \leq i, j \leq n-1)}$ are non-zero.

Since p is a critical point of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$, the unit vector \mathbf{w} is perpendicular to $(df)_p(T_p \Sigma_f)$. Hence, $\mathbf{v}(p) \cdot \mathbf{w}$ is non-zero if and only if \mathbf{w} is not perpendicular to $\bar{\Pi}(p)$. The determinant $\det[df(\bar{A}_{\mathbf{w}} \partial_{u_i}) \cdot f_j]_{(1 \leq i, j \leq n-1)}$ is non-zero if and only if $\bar{A}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is non-degenerate, that is, \mathbf{w} is a regular value of the canonical Gauss map $\bar{\nu}$ of \bar{f} . Thus, we have the desire result. \square

As we will see later, the proof of Theorem A does not require any conditions for a singular point of the second kind to be a Morse critical point.

We set images Y_1 and Y_2 as

$$Y_1 := \nu(\cup_{p \in \Sigma_f} B_p), \quad Y_2 := \bar{\nu}(\cup_{p \in C_f} \bar{B}_p).$$

We remark that Y_1 (resp. Y_2) is the set of unit normal vectors of f on Σ_f (resp. \bar{f} on C_f). The following three lemmas (Lemmas 3.3, 3.2 and 3.4) are used in the proof of Theorem A.

Lemma 3.2. *Both Y_1 and Y_2 have measure zero in S^{n+r-1} .*

Proof. Since the bundle $\cup_{p \in \Sigma_f} B_p$ is locally diffeomorphic to $\Sigma_f \times S^{r-1}$, the bundle $\cup_{p \in \Sigma_f} B_p$ is an $(n+r-2)$ -manifold. Therefore, Y_1 has measure zero. Since f is an admissible frontal, there exists a regular hypersurface \mathcal{H} such that $C_f \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\bar{\nu}(\cup_{p \in \mathcal{H}} \bar{B}_p)$ has measure zero. Since $\cup_{p \in \mathcal{H}} \bar{B}_p$ is locally diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} \times S^r$, the bundle $\cup_{p \in \mathcal{H}} \bar{B}_p$ is an $(n+r-2)$ -manifold. Thus, $\bar{\nu}(\cup_{p \in \mathcal{H}} \bar{B}_p)$ has measure zero in S^{n+r-1} . Therefore, Y_2 has measure zero in S^{n+r-1} . \square

Lemma 3.3. *If a unit vector \mathbf{w} does not belong to Y_2 , then there is no critical point of the height function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ on C_f .*

Proof. We prove the contraposition. If there exists a critical point $p \in C_f$ of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$, then \mathbf{w} is perpendicular to $\bar{\Pi}(p) = (df)_p(T_p M^n)$. In other words, \mathbf{w} is a unit normal vector of \bar{f} at p . Thus, \mathbf{w} belongs to Y_2 . \square

We define the set $Q \subset S^{n+r-1}$ by

$$Q = \{\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \mid h_{\mathbf{w}} \text{ is not a Morse function}\} \cup Y_2.$$

Lemma 3.4. *The set Q has measure zero in S^{n+r-1} .*

Proof. For $\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1}$, we consider the equivalence condition that the height function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ is not a Morse function. If $\mathbf{w} \notin Y_2$, Lemma 3.3 yields that there is no critical point of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ on C_f . Therefore, we only need to consider the equivalence condition that a regular point or a singular point of the first kind is a Morse critical point. A regular point $p \in M_{\text{reg}}^n$ is a Morse critical point if and only if \mathbf{w} is a regular value of the canonical Gauss map ν . By Lemma 3.1, a singular point of the first kind $p \in \Sigma_f \setminus C_f$ is a Morse critical point if and only if \mathbf{w} is a regular value of the

canonical Gauss map $\bar{\nu}$ and is not perpendicular to $\Pi(p)$, that is, not a unit normal vector of f at p . Due to the above, $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ is not a Morse function if and only if \mathbf{w} is a critical value ν or $\bar{\nu}$, or belongs to Y_1 . Hence, we obtain

$$Q = \{\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \mid \mathbf{w} \text{ is a critical value of } \nu \text{ or } \bar{\nu}\} \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2.$$

By Sard's theorem, the first term of the right-hand side has measure zero in S^{n+r-1} . By Lemma 3.2, both the second term and the third term have measure zero in S^{n+r-1} . \square

For a unit vector $\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q$, the height function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a Morse function. Hence, there are finite number of critical points of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ on M^n . For a subset $L \subseteq M^n$, we denote by $\#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, L)$ the number of critical points of $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ on L . We prove Theorem A-(1).

Proof of Theorem A-(1). Since Y_2 is a subset of Q , Lemma 3.3 yields that

$$\#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, \Sigma_f) = \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, \Sigma_f \setminus C_f)$$

for each $\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q$. By the Morse inequality, we have

$$\sum_{q=0}^n b_q(M^n) \leq \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, M^n) = \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, M_{\text{reg}}^n) + \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, \Sigma_f \setminus C_f)$$

for each $\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q$. By integrating both sides on $S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{q=0}^n b_q(M^n) \right) \text{vol}(S^{n+r-1}) &\leq \int_{S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q} \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, M_{\text{reg}}^n) d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q} \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, \Sigma_f \setminus C_f) d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Lemma 3.4 which implies that $\int_{S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q} d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}}$ is equal to the volume of S^{n+r-1} . Now, the integral of the number of critical points of the height function on S^{n+r-1} is equal to the integral of the absolute value of the Lipschitz-Killing curvature on the unit normal bundle (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [3]). Therefore,

$$\left(\sum_{q=0}^n b_q(M^n) \right) \text{vol}(S^{n+r-1}) \leq \int_B |G| d\mu_B + \int_{\bar{B}} |\bar{G}| d\mu_{\bar{B}} = \text{vol}(S^{n+r-1}) \tau(M^n, f)$$

holds, which implies the assertion. \square

Now, we prove Theorem A-(2).

Proof of Theorem A-(2). As shown during the proof of Theorem A-(1), the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is written as

$$\tau(M^n, f) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} \int_{S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q} \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, M^n) d\mu_{S^{n+r-1}}.$$

Since M^n is compact, $\#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, M^n)$ is greater than or equal to 2 for each $\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q$. Therefore, if $\{\mathbf{w} \in S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q \mid \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, M^n) = 2\}$ has measure zero in S^{n+r-1} , then $\tau(M^n, f) \geq 3$ holds. This is a contradiction. Therefore, it does not have measure zero in S^{n+r-1} . In particular, it is not empty. Hence, there exists the height function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that the number of critical points is two. Consequently, Reeb's theorem yields that M^n is homeomorphic to an n -dimensional sphere. \square

Now, we prove Theorem A-(3).

Proof of Theorem A-(3). First, we show that $f(M^n)$ belongs to an $(n+r-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . In order to show this, we assume that $f(M^n)$ does not belong to any $(n+r-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} , leading to a contradiction. If there exists $(p, \xi) \in B$ such that $G(p, \xi) \neq 0$, it can be proved in the same way as [3, Theorem 3]. Thus, we suppose that $G(p, \xi) = 0$ for each $(p, \xi) \in B$. Then, we obtain

$$\int_B |G| d\mu_B = 0, \quad \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} \int_{\bar{B}} |\bar{G}| d\mu_{\bar{B}} = 2.$$

Hence, there exists $(q, \eta) \in \bar{B}$ such that $\bar{G}(q, \eta) \neq 0$. Therefore, by using a similar argument as [3, Theorem 3] again, we have that $f(\Sigma_f)$ belongs to an $(n+r-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace \mathcal{P}^{n+r-1} of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . As a result, it suffices to show that $f(M_{\text{reg}}^n)$ belongs to \mathcal{P}^{n+r-1} .

In order to show this, we assume that $f(M_{\text{reg}}^n)$ does not belong to \mathcal{P}^{n+r-1} , leading to a contradiction. By a parallel translation if necessary, we may assume that \mathcal{P}^{n+r-1} passes through the origin. Then there exists a unit vector $\mathbf{a} \in S^{n+r-1}$ such that $\mathcal{P}^{n+r-1} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+r} \mid \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0\}$. Since the image $f(M_{\text{reg}}^n)$ is not contained in \mathcal{P}^{n+r-1} , there exists $q_0 \in M_{\text{reg}}^n$ such that $h_{\mathbf{a}}(q_0) = f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a}$ is greater than zero. For $\mathbf{b} \in S^{n+r-1}$ such that \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are linearly independent, we obtain

$$h_{\mathbf{b}}(q_0) = f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{b} = f(q_0) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a}.$$

Since $f(\Sigma_f) \subset \mathcal{P}^{n+r-1}$, we have $f(p) \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$ for each $p \in \Sigma_f$. Hence,

$$h_{\mathbf{b}}(p) = f(p) \cdot \mathbf{b} = f(p) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) \quad (p \in \Sigma_f)$$

holds. Therefore, we have

$$h_{\mathbf{b}}(q_0) - h_{\mathbf{b}}(p) = f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a} - (f(p) - f(q_0)) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) \quad (p \in \Sigma_f).$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it holds that

$$f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a} - (f(p) - f(q_0)) \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) > f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a} - \|f(p) - f(q_0)\| \times \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}\| \quad (p \in \Sigma_f).$$

If $f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a} - \|f(p) - f(q_0)\| \times \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}\|$ is greater than zero, we have $h_{\mathbf{b}}(q_0) > h_{\mathbf{b}}(p)$. Now, we define a function φ on Σ_f by $\varphi(p) = \|f(p) - f(q_0)\|$. The function φ is a continuous function having no zeros. We denote by $m (> 0)$ the maximum value of φ . We set $Z \subset S^{n+r-1}$ as

$$Z = \left\{ \mathbf{b} \in S^{n+r-1} \mid \frac{f(q_0) \cdot \mathbf{a}}{m} > \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}\| \right\}.$$

Then, for each $\mathbf{b} \in Z$, there exists a maximum point of the height function $h_{\mathbf{b}}$ on M_{reg}^n . We denote by $Q^c = S^{n+r-1} \setminus Q$ the complement of Q . If $Z \cap Q^c$ is empty, then Q is not a set that has measure zero, which is a contradiction. Hence, $Z \cap Q^c$ is not empty. For given $\mathbf{b}_0 \in Z \cap Q^c$, we let $q_{\text{max}} \in M_{\text{reg}}^n$ be a point which attains the maximum of $h_{\mathbf{b}_0}$. Then the maximum point is a Morse critical point, that is, \mathbf{b}_0 is a regular value of ν . In other words, $G(q_{\text{max}}, \mathbf{b}_0)$ is not zero. This contradicts the assumption that $G(p, \xi) = 0$ for each $(p, \xi) \in B$. As a result, the image $f(M^n)$ belongs to an $(n+r-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . By inductively repeating, we can show that $f(M^n)$ belongs to an $(n+1)$ -dimensional affine subspace. \square

The following lemma is used in the proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 3.5. *Let M^n be an n -dimensional orientable compact manifold, and let $f : M^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ be a co-orientable frontal whose singular points are of the first kind. If there exists a closed convex domain Ω in an n -dimensional affine subspace \mathcal{P}^n of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} such that $f(M^n) \subset \Omega$ and $f(\Sigma_f)$ coincides with the boundary of Ω , then $f(M^n)$ coincides with Ω .*

Proof. We prove that $\Omega \cap f(M^n)^c$ is empty. In order to show this, we assume that $\Omega \cap f(M^n)^c$ is not empty, leading to a contradiction. We fix $x \in \Omega \cap f(M^n)^c$ arbitrarily. We define a function Φ_x on M^n as $\Phi_x(p) = d(x, f(p))$ ($p \in M^n$), where d is the distance function of \mathcal{P}^n induced from that of \mathbf{R}^{n+r} . Then, there exists a minimum point $p_m \in M^n$ of the function Φ_x . Since p_m is a critical point of Φ_x , the minimum point p_m is a singular point of f , and $\mathbf{y} = x - f(p_m)$ is perpendicular to $df_{p_m}(T_{p_m}M^n)$. We note that $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ holds by our assumption. Since p_m is a singular point of the first kind, we can take the local coordinate neighborhood $(U; u_1, \dots, u_n)$ of p_m such that

$$U \cap \Sigma_f = (u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0), \quad p_m = (0, \dots, 0), \quad f_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, 0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

For a positive number $\epsilon > 0$, we set an open interval $I \subset U$ by

$$I := \{(0, \dots, 0, u_n) \in U \mid u_n \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\}.$$

We denote by $\phi := \Phi_x|_I$ the restriction of Φ_x to I . Since p_m is non-degenerate, $f_{nn}(p_m) \neq \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, we have $d^2\phi/du_n^2(0) \neq 0$. Since $\phi(0)$ is a minimum, $d^2\phi/du_n^2(0) > 0$ holds. In other words, we have

$$(3.1) \quad f_{nn}(p_m) \cdot \mathbf{y} < 0.$$

On the other hand, since Ω is convex, Ω exists on one side of the supporting hyperplane $H_{p_m} = \{f(p_m) + df_{p_m}(X) \in \mathcal{P}^n \mid X \in T_{p_m}M^n\}$ (cf. Figure 3). We set a unit vector ξ by $\xi := \mathbf{y}/\|\mathbf{y}\|$, and denote by h_ξ the height function with respect to ξ . Then the restriction $h_\xi|_I$ has also a minimum at $u_n = 0$. Therefore,

$$f_{nn}(p_m) \cdot \xi = f_{nn}(p_m) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|} > 0$$

holds. This contradicts (3.1). Hence, $\Omega \cap f(M^n)^c$ is empty. As a result, $f(M^n)$ coincides with Ω . \square




FIGURE 3. The figure of closed convex domain Ω (Lemma 3.5).

Finally, we prove Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. First, we assume that the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is equal to 2. By Theorem A, M^n is homeomorphic to an n -sphere. Since the singular set Σ_f is not empty and consists of singular points of the first kind, $\bar{f} : \Sigma_f \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+r}$ is an immersion. Thus, Fact 1.1-(1) yields that the total absolute curvature of \bar{f} is greater than or equal to 2. Therefore, we have

$$\int_B |G(p, \xi)| d\mu_B = 0, \quad \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n+r-1})} \int_{\bar{B}} |\bar{G}(q, \eta)| d\mu_{\bar{B}} = 2$$

by $\tau(M^n, f) = 2$. Furthermore, Σ_f is homeomorphic to an $(n-1)$ -sphere and the image $f(\Sigma_f)$ is a convex hypersurface embedded in an n -dimensional affine subspace \mathcal{P}^n by Fact 1.1-(2) and (3). Hence we obtain the condition (a).

Next, we prove the conditions (b) and (c). By the arguments used in the proof of Theorem A-(3), the image $f(M_{\text{reg}}^n)$ is contained in \mathcal{P}^n . Since $\bar{f} : \Sigma_f \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^n$ is a convex hypersurface, $f(\Sigma_f)$ bounds a closed convex domain Ω . Now we prove that $f(M^n) = \Omega$. First, we show that $f(M^n) \subset \Omega$. In order to show this, we assume that $f(M^n) \not\subset \Omega$, leading to a contradiction. We set a distance function $d_\Omega(p) = d(\Omega, f(p))$ ($p \in M^n$). By our assumption, the maximum m of the function d_Ω is positive. Let $p_{\text{max}} \in M^n$ be a point attaining m . If p_{max} is a singular point of f , then $f(p_{\text{max}})$ is a point on the boundary of Ω , and hence $d_\Omega(p_{\text{max}}) = 0$, which contradicts $d_\Omega(p_{\text{max}}) = m > 0$. So p_{max} is a regular point of f . On the other hand, p_{max} is a critical point of d_Ω . Hence, p_{max} is a singular point of f , which contradicts that p_{max} is a regular point. Therefore, $f(M^n)$ is a subset of Ω . Consequently, Lemma 3.5 yields that $f(M^n)$ coincides with Ω .

Finally, we prove the converse. Since $f(\Sigma_f)$ coincides with the boundary of the closed convex domain and Σ_f is connected, \bar{f} is a convex hypersurface embedded in an n -dimensional affine subspace. Therefore, the total absolute curvature of \bar{f} is equal to 2 by Fact 1.1-(3). Since the image $f(M_{\text{reg}}^n)$ is contained in n -dimensional affine subspace, the Lipschitz-Killing curvature is equal to zero on M_{reg}^n . Consequently, the total absolute curvature $\tau(M^n, f)$ is equal to 2. \square

The following example is a frontal whose total absolute curvature is equal to 2 that satisfies the assumptions of Theorem B.

Example 3.6. The map $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) \quad ((x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in S^n \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1})$$

is a frontal whose singular points are of the first kind (cf. [9, Example 3.2]). So f is admissible. The singular set Σ_f is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in S^n \mid x_{n+1} = 0\}$. Since the image $f(S^n)$ is a closed unit n -ball in the n -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{P}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\}$, the total absolute curvature $\int_B |G| d\mu_B = 0$ holds. On the other hand, since the image $f(\Sigma_f)$ is a unit $(n-1)$ -sphere, $\int_{\bar{B}} |\bar{G}| d\mu_{\bar{B}} = 2 \text{vol}(S^{n-1})$ holds. Hence, the total absolute curvature $\tau(S^n, f)$ is equal to 2. Moreover, the image $f(S^n)$ is a convex domain in \mathcal{P}^n and the image $f(\Sigma_f)$ coincides with the boundary of $f(S^n)$.




FIGURE 4. The image $f(S^2)$ in \mathbf{R}^3 (Example 3.6).

4. THE CASES OF 1- AND 2-DIMENSIONS

In this section, we exhibit the results obtained in the cases of $n = 1, 2$.

4.1. In the case of $n = 1$. For a smooth map $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ defined on a non-empty interval I , a point $c \in I$ is a singular point of γ if and only if the derivative $\gamma'(c)$ does vanish, where the prime $'$ means d/dt . A smooth map $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ whose regular set is dense in I is a frontal if and only if there exists a smooth map $e : I \rightarrow S^r$ such that $\gamma'(t)$ and $e(t)$ are linear dependent for each $t \in I$. This map $e(t)$ is said to be a *unit tangent vector field* along γ . For a singular point $c \in I$ of

a frontal $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$, if $\gamma''(c)$ does not vanish, the singular point c is called a *generalized cusp* (cf. [10]). The notion of generalized cusps is introduced as *A-type* in [11]. Generalized cusps are characterized by the non-degeneracy condition.

Proposition 4.1. *A singular point $c \in I$ of a frontal $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ is non-degenerate if and only if c is a generalized cusp.*

Proof. Let λ be the signed volume density function of γ . The derivative $\lambda'(c)$ is written as

$$\lambda'(c) = \det(\gamma'', E_1, \dots, E_r)(c),$$

where $\{E_1, \dots, E_r\}$ is an orthonormal frame of the normal bundle of γ . Therefore, $\lambda'(c) \neq 0$ holds if and only if $\gamma''(c) \neq \mathbf{0}$. \square

On the regular set of a frontal $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$, the *curvature function* is defined as

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\|\gamma''\|^2 \|\gamma'\|^2 - (\gamma'' \cdot \gamma')^2}}{\|\gamma'\|^3}.$$

Since $\mathbf{e}(t) = \pm \gamma'(t) / \|\gamma'(t)\|$ holds on the regular set of γ , the curvature function $\kappa(t)$ is written as $\kappa(t) = \|\mathbf{e}'(t)\| / \|\gamma'(t)\|$. Thus, $\kappa ds = \|\mathbf{e}'(t)\| dt$ holds, where $ds = \|\gamma'(t)\| dt$ is the arclength measure.

If a frontal $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ is a periodic map, γ is called a *closed frontal*. By multiplying the parameter by a constant, we may assume that γ is 2π -periodic. Then, the domain of definition of γ is regarded as $S^1 = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$.

A closed frontal $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ is a co-orientable frontal if and only if the unit tangent vector field $\mathbf{e} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ is a 2π -periodic map, that is,

$$\mathbf{e}(t + 2\pi) = \mathbf{e}(t) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

In the case of $n = 1$, an admissible frontal γ has no singular points of the second kind. Thus, a frontal γ is an admissible frontal if and only if singular points of γ are non-degenerate. Then, the singular set Σ_γ of a closed admissible frontal $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ is discrete subset of S^1 . Hence, we may define the total absolute curvature for a closed frontal whose singular points are non-degenerate. The total absolute curvature can be written using the curvature function κ of γ .

Lemma 4.2. *For a closed frontal $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ whose singular points are non-degenerate, the total absolute curvature is written as*

$$\tau(S^1, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} \kappa ds + \#\Sigma_\gamma.$$

Proof. As shown during the proof of Theorem A-(1), the total absolute curvature $\tau(S^1, \gamma)$ is written as

$$\tau(S^1, \gamma) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^r)} \int_{S^r \setminus Q} \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, S_{\text{reg}}^1) d\mu_{S^r} + \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^r)} \int_{S^r \setminus Q} \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, \Sigma_\gamma) d\mu_{S^r}.$$

Let B be the unit normal bundle and ν be the canonical Gauss map of γ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^r)} \int_B |G| d\mu_B = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^r)} \int_{S^1} \int_{S^{r-1}} |\nu \cdot \mathbf{e}'| d\sigma dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} \kappa ds$$

holds, where $d\sigma$ is the volume element of S^{r-1} . Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^r)} \int_{S^r \setminus Q} \#\text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, S_{\text{reg}}^1) d\mu_{S^r} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} \kappa ds.$$

Since all singular points are critical points of the height function $h_{\mathbf{w}}$ for each $\mathbf{w} \in S^r \setminus Q$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^r)} \int_{S^r \setminus Q} \# \text{crit}(h_{\mathbf{w}}, \Sigma_\gamma) d\mu_{S^r} = \#\Sigma_\gamma.$$

Thus, we have the desired result. \square

By Theorems A, B and Lemma 4.2, we have the following corollary in the case of $n = 1$.

Corollary 4.3. *For a closed frontal $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{r+1}$ whose singular points are non-degenerate,*

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} \kappa ds + \#\Sigma_\gamma \geq 2$$

holds. Moreover, if Σ_γ is not empty, then the total absolute curvature of γ is equal to 2 if and only if $\#\Sigma_\gamma$ is equal to 2 and the image of γ is a line segment.

If the singular set Σ_γ is empty, that is, γ is a regular curve, then Corollary 4.3 is equivalent to Fenchel's theorem [5, 6]. Furthermore, by Proposition 4.1, the frontal γ is co-orientable if and only if $\#\Sigma_\gamma$ is an even integer. Hence, if the singular set Σ_γ is not empty, $\#\Sigma_\gamma$ is greater than or equal to 2. In [12], the total absolute curvature of non-co-orientable closed frontals is investigated.

4.2. In the case of $n = 2$. In this subsection, to compare with the result of Kossowski and Scherfner [15], we consider frontals in \mathbf{R}^3 . A smooth map $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ whose regular set is dense in M^2 is a (co-orientable) frontal if and only if there exists a smooth map $N : M^2 \rightarrow S^2$ such that

$$df_p(X) \cdot N(p) = 0 \quad (p \in M^2, X \in T_p M^2).$$

Furthermore, a frontal $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is called a *wave front* if $\mathcal{L} := (f, N) : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3 \times S^2$ is an immersion. If singular points are non-degenerate, the singular set Σ_f is a disjoint union of embedded regular curves in M^2 . Therefore, a frontal $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ whose singular points are non-degenerate is an admissible frontal if and only if the set C_f of singular points of the second kind is a discrete subset of Σ_f .

Remark 4.4. In [27], if a singular point $p \in C_f$ of the second kind is not an accumulation point of C_f , then p is said to be *admissible*. Namely, there are no singular points other than singular points of the first kind near admissible singular points of the second kind. Our admissible frontal of Definition 2.3 is a generalization of this definition.

For an admissible frontal $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$, the singular set Σ_f is a disjoint union of embedded regular curves in M^2 . We let K be the Gaussian curvature of f and define the curvature function κ as in (4.1) for $\bar{f} : \Sigma_f \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$. Kossowski and Scherfner proved the following Chern-Lashof type theorem for wave fronts.

Fact 4.5 ([15]). *Let M^2 be an orientable compact 2-dimensional manifold and $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be a co-orientable wave front such that singular points are non-degenerate and the set of singular points of the second kind is a discrete subset of the singular set Σ_f . Then,*

$$\int_{M^2} |K| dV + 2 \int_{\Sigma_f} \kappa ds \geq 2\pi(2 + 2g(M^2))$$

holds, where $g(M^2)$ is the genus of M^2 .

By Theorems A and B, we have the following corollary in the case of $n = 2$ and $r = 1$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let M^2 be an orientable compact 2-dimensional manifold and $f : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be a co-orientable frontal such that singular points are non-degenerate and the set of singular points of the second kind is a discrete subset of the singular set Σ_f . Then,*

$$\int_{M^2} |K| dV + 2 \int_{\Sigma_f} \kappa ds \geq 2\pi(2 + 2g(M^2))$$

holds, where $g(M^2)$ is the genus of M^2 . In particular,

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{M^2} |K| dV + 2 \int_{\Sigma_f} \kappa ds \geq 4\pi$$

holds. Moreover, suppose that the singular set Σ_f is not empty and singular points are of the first kind. If the equality of (4.2) holds then M^2 is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional sphere, and the image $f(M^2)$ is a closed convex domain of an affine plane of \mathbf{R}^3 .

Corollary 4.6 gives a generalization of Fact 4.5 to frontals. Furthermore, our generalization to frontals clarifies the relationship between the equality condition and the convexity.

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to Professor Atsufumi Honda, my advisor, for his invaluable mentorship, advice, encouragement, support and leading me to this result. I would also like to thank Professors Kazuyuki Enomoto, Masaaki Umehara, and Kotaro Yamada for their insightful comments and communications, which have been instrumental in shaping this work. This work was supported in part by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2178.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. -Y. Chen, *On the total absolute curvature of manifolds immersed in Riemannian manifold*, Kōdai Math. Semin. Rep. **19** (1967), 299–311.
- [2] B. -Y. Chen, *On the total absolute curvature of manifolds immersed in Riemannian manifold II*, Kōdai Math. Semin. Rep. **22** (1970), 89–97.
- [3] S. -S. Chern and R. K. Lashof, *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds*, Am. J. Math. **79** (1957), 306–318.
- [4] S. -S. Chern and R. K. Lashof, *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds. II*, Mich. Math. J. **5** (1958), 5–12.
- [5] W. Fenchel, *Über Krümmung und Windung geschlossener Raumkurven*, Math. Ann. **101** (1929), 238–252.
- [6] W. Fenchel, *On the differential geometry of closed space curves*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **57** (1951), 44–54.
- [7] M. Ghomi and J. Spruck, *Total curvature and the isoperimetric inequality in Cartan-Hadamard manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **32** (2022), 54.
- [8] J. A. Hoisington, *On the total curvature and Betti numbers of complex projective manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **26** (2022), 1–45.
- [9] A. Honda, *Isometric immersions with singularities between space forms of the same positive curvature*, J. Geom. Anal. **27** (2017), 2400–2417.
- [10] A. Honda, K. Naokawa, K. Saji, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada, *Duality on generalized cuspidal edges preserving singular set images and first fundamental forms*, J. Singul. **22** (2020), 59–91.
- [11] A. Honda and K. Saji, *Geometric invariants of 5/2-cuspidal edges*, Kodai Math. J. **42** (2019), 496–525.
- [12] A. Honda, C. Tanaka, and Y. Yamauchi, *The total absolute curvature of closed curves with singularities*, to appear in Advances in Geometry (arXiv:2403.00487).
- [13] G. Ishikawa, *Singularities of frontals*, in: Singularities in Generic Geometry, in: Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics (ASPM), vol. 78, Math. Soc. Japan, 2018, pp. 55–106.
- [14] S. Izumiya, *Total lightcone curvatures of spacelike submanifolds in Lorentz-Minkowski space*, Differ. Geom. Appl. **34** (2014), 103–127.
- [15] M. Kossowski and M. Scherfner, *Total curvature for C^∞ -singular surfaces with limiting tangent bundle*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **28** (2005), 179–199.
- [16] N. Koike, *The Lipschitz-Killing curvature for an equiaffine immersion and theorems of Gauss-Bonnet type and Chern-Lashof type*, Result. Math. **39** (2001), 230–244.

- [17] N. Koike, *Theorems of Gauss-Bonnet and Chern-Lashof types in a simply connected symmetric space of non-positive curvature*, Tokyo J. Math. **26** (2003), 527–539.
- [18] N. Koike, *The Gauss-Bonnet and Chern-Lashof theorems in a simply connected symmetric space of compact type*, Tokyo J. Math. **28** (2005), 483–497.
- [19] N. H. Kuiper, *Convex immersions of closed surfaces in E^3 . Non-orientable closed surfaces in E^3 with minimal total absolute Gauss-curvature*, Comment. Math. Helv. **35** (1961), 85–92.
- [20] N. H. Kuiper, *Minimal total absolute curvature for immersions*, Invent. Math. **10** (1970), 209–238.
- [21] N. H. Kuiper and W. Meeks. III, *Total curvature for knotted surfaces*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 25–69.
- [22] K. Saji, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada, *The geometry of fronts*, Ann. Math. **169-2** (2009), 491–529.
- [23] K. Saji, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada, *A_k singularities of wave fronts*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **146** (2009), 731–746.
- [24] E. Teufel, *Eine Differentialtopologische Berechnung der totalen Krümmung und totalen Absolutkrümmung in der sphärischen Differentialgeometrie*, Manuscr. Math. **31** (1980), 119–147.
- [25] E. Teufel, *Differential topology and the computation of total absolute curvature*, Math. Ann. **258** (1982), 471–480.
- [26] E. Teufel and G. Solanes, *Horo-tightness and total (absolute) curvatures in hyperbolic spaces*, Isr. J. Math. **194** (2013), 427–459.
- [27] M. Umehara, K. Saji, and K. Yamada, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces with singularities*, Series in Algebraic and Differential Geometry 1. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Hackensack, NJ, xvi, 370 p. (2022).
- [28] T. J. Willmore and B. A. Saleemi, *The total absolute curvature of immersed manifolds*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 153–160.
- [29] P. Wintgen, *On total absolute curvature of nonclosed submanifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **2** (1984), 55–87.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, HODOGAYA,
YOKOHAMA 240-8501, JAPAN

Email address: yamauchi-yuta-hj@ynu.jp