

On skein invariants

Igor Nikonov*

Abstract

A knot invariant is called skein if it is determined by a finite number of skein relations. In the paper we discuss some basic properties of skein invariants and mention some known examples of skein invariants.

MSC 2020: 57K10, 57K12, 57K31

Keywords: knot, skein relation

1 Introduction

In the late 1960s John Conway [5] noted that the renown Alexander polynomial ∇ can be defined using a simple relation on values of the polynomial on diagrams which differ locally from each other:

$$\nabla(\text{diagram 1}) - \nabla(\text{diagram 2}) = z\nabla(\text{diagram 3}).$$

Conway used the term *skein* for this type of relations.

In fifteen years, other polynomial invariants (like Jones [13], HOMFLY-PT [8, 28]) appeared which can also be defined using skein relations. At about the same time, L.H. Kauffman [16] gave a description of Arf invariant using pass move. It became clear that a new type of invariants had appeared in knot theory. The value of such an invariant of a knot is the class of the knot in some quotient of the set of knots generated by skein relations. The next step was made by J.H. Przytycki [27] and V.G. Turaev [34] who defined the notion of skein module. A review of known results on skein modules can be found in [4].

The aim of the paper is to recall some known examples of skein invariants and discuss their basic properties.

The paper is organized as follows. We start with definitions of knot diagrams and moves and skein invariants. In Section 3 we give the formal definition of skein invariants and consider some structures on them. Section 3 contains some examples of skein invariants. We conclude the paper with a list of open problems concerning skein invariants.

*Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, 119991 Russia
Email: nikonov at mech.math.msu.su

2 Knot diagrams

Definition 1. Let F be an oriented compact connected surface. A *tangle diagram* D is an embedded finite graph with vertices of valences 1 and 4 such that the set ∂D of vertices of valence 1 coincides with $D \cap \partial F$ and the vertices of valence 4 (called *crossings*) carry additional structure: structure of a classical or a virtual crossing (Fig. 1). A diagram without virtual crossings is called *classical*.

Diagrams are considered up to isotopy fixed on the boundary.



Figure 1: A classical and a virtual crossings

Edges incident to a 4-valent vertex of a tangle diagram split naturally in two pairs of *opposite* edges. Correspondence between opposite edges induces an equivalence relation on the set of edges of the diagram. Equivalence classes of this relation are called (*unicursal*) *components* of the diagram. A component is *long* if it contains vertices of valency 1 (in this case the edges of the component form a path in the diagram), otherwise the component is called *closed* (in this case the edges of the component form a cycle), see Fig. 2.

We say that a diagram is *oriented* if all its components are oriented.




Figure 2: A diagram with one closed and one long component

A diagram without long components is a *link* diagram, a link diagram with one component is a *knot* diagram. A diagram with one component which is long, is called a *long knot* diagram.

Definition 2. An *n-tangle* is a diagram D in the standard disk \mathbb{D}^2 such that $\partial D = X$ where $X \subset \mathbb{D}^2$ is a fixed counterclockwise enumerated set with $2n$ elements. The set of n -tangles is denoted \mathcal{T}_n , and \mathcal{T}_n^+ is the set of oriented n -tangles. An n -tangle may have crossings of any type (classical or virtual, Fig. 1).

Definition 3. A *local move* is a pair $M = (T_1, T_2)$ of n -tangles such that $\partial T_1 = \partial T_2$.

Given a move $M = (T_1, T_2)$, a diagram D and a disk $B \subset F$ such that $T = D \cap B$ is homeomorphic to T_1 , one gets a new diagram $R_M(D, T)$ by replacing the subtangle T with the subtangle homeomorphic to T_2 .




Figure 3: A 2-tangle




Figure 4: Application of a local move (T_1, T_2) to a diagram

Remark 1. We will also consider local moves (T_1, T_2) with $T_1, T_2 \in A[\mathcal{T}_n]$ where A is a coefficient ring. In this case the result of the local move applied to a diagram is a linear combination of diagrams with coefficients in A . The moves (T_1, T_2) with $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_n$ are called *monomial*.

We can use the notion of moves to give a combinatorial definition of knots.

Definition 4. A *(classical) knot* (link, tangle) in a surface F is an equivalence class of classical knot (link, tangle) diagrams in F modulo the classical Reidemeister moves $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$, see Fig. 5.

A *virtual knot* (link, tangle) is an equivalence class of virtual diagrams in \mathbb{R}^2 modulo the classical and virtual Reidemeister moves $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, V\Omega_1, V\Omega_2, V\Omega_3, SV\Omega_3$.

A *welded knot* (link, tangle) is an equivalence class of virtual diagrams in \mathbb{R}^2 modulo the classical and virtual Reidemeister moves and the forbidden move F° .




Figure 5: Classical and virtual Reidemeister moves

3 Skein invariants

Definition 5. Let \mathcal{K} be the set of classical (virtual, welded) knots (links, tangles), A a ring and $\mathcal{M} = \{(T_i, T'_i)\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where $T_i, T'_i \in A[\mathcal{T}_{n_i}]$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$, a set of moves. Given two A -linear combinations of diagrams D and D' , we say that D and D' are *equivalent modulo the set of moves \mathcal{M}* if there exists a sequence of A -linear combinations of diagrams $D = D_1, D'_1, \dots, D_l, D'_l = D'$ such that D_k and D'_k , $k = 1, \dots, l$, differ by Reidemeister moves and diagram isotopies (i.e. define the same A -linear combination of knots in $A[\mathcal{K}]$), and D_{k+1} is the result of application of some local move $M \in \mathcal{M}$ to D'_k , $k = 1, \dots, l-1$. For equivalent D and D' we use the notation $D \sim_{\mathcal{M}} D'$.

The set $A[\mathcal{K}]/\mathcal{M}$ of equivalence classes of A -linear combinations of diagrams modulo \mathcal{M} is called the *skein module* induced by the set of moves \mathcal{M} .

Definition 6. Let \mathcal{K} be the set of knots (links, tangles) and A a ring. A knot invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ with values in a set X is called a *skein invariant* if there exists a finite set of moves \mathcal{M} and an injection $f: A[\mathcal{K}]/\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ such that $I = f \circ p$, where $p: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A[\mathcal{K}]/\mathcal{M}$ is the natural projection.

Remark 2. If the moves in \mathcal{M} are monomial then in Definition 6 we can consider the map $f: \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$.

The first examples of skein invariants were polynomial invariants of oriented classical links in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example 1 (Polynomial skein invariants). 1. For an oriented link L its Conway polynomial $\nabla(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ is determined by the skein relations [5]

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} = z \cdot \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \circlearrowleft = 0 \cdot \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}.$$

2. The Jones polynomial of an oriented link L is $X(L) = (-a)^{-3w(L)} \langle L \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}[a, a^{-1}]$, where $w(L)$ is the writhe of the link and $\langle L \rangle$ is the Kauffman bracket [16]. The Kauffman bracket is determined by the skein relations

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} = a \cdot \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} + a^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \circlearrowleft = (-a^2 - a^{-2}) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}.$$

3. The HOMFLY-PT polynomial $PT(L) \in \mathbb{Z}[l, l^{-1}, m, m^{-1}]$ of an oriented link L is determined by the skein relations [8, 28].

$$l \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagdown \diagup \end{array} + l^{-1} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \diagup \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} = m \cdot \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array} \circlearrowleft = \frac{l + l^{-1}}{m} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \diagup \diagdown \\ \diagup \diagdown \end{array}.$$

Below we will focus on monomial moves on knots.

3.1 Existence of non-skein knot invariants

First of all, note that any invariant is ‘‘infinitely skein’’.

Proposition 1. *For any knot invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ there exists a set of moves \mathcal{M} and an injection $f: \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ such that $I = f \circ p$, where $p: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{M}$ is the natural projection.*

Proof. Indeed, we can take $\mathcal{M} = \{(K_1, K_2) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \mid I(K_1) = I(K_2)\}$. \square

Do there exist non-skein invariants? Yes. The argument is as follows. The set of moves as well as the set of skein invariants is countable. But the set of knot invariants has the cardinality of the continuum.

Let us give a more concrete construction.

Example 2 (Non-skein invariant). Let $\{(T_i, T'_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all local moves such that $\partial T_i = \partial T'_i \neq \emptyset$. Let us construct two sequences of knots $\{\tilde{K}_i\}$ and $\{\tilde{K}'_i\}$.

Consider a move (T_i, T'_i) . If all the closures $T_i \# S$ and $T'_i \# S$ of the tangles are equal in \mathcal{K} then skip the move. Otherwise, take nonequivalent closures $K_i = T_i \# S$ and $K'_i = T'_i \# S$. There exists a prime knot K''_i such that the knots $\tilde{K}_i = K_i \# K''_i$ and $\tilde{K}'_i = K'_i \# K''_i$ differ from the knots $\tilde{K}_j, \tilde{K}'_j, j < i$.

Then any invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ such that $f(\tilde{K}_i) = 0$ and $f(\tilde{K}'_i) = 1$, is not skein.

3.2 Degree of moves

Definition 7. A set of moves \mathcal{M} has *degree n* if for any move $M = (T, T') \in \mathcal{M}$ the tangles T and T' are n -tangles.

A skein invariant I has *degree n* if it can be determined by a set of moves of degree n . Denote the set of skein invariant I of degree n by \mathcal{I}_n .

Let us describe skein invariants of small degrees.

3.2.1 Skein invariants of degree 0

Proposition 2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite set of moves and $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ the subset of moves of degree > 0 . Let $I_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{M}$ and $I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} = \mathcal{K}/\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ be the corresponding skein invariants. Then there exist finite distinct subsets $S_1, \dots, S_k \subset X_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}$ such that for any knots K, K' one has $I_{\mathcal{M}}(K) = I_{\mathcal{M}}(K')$ if and only if $I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(K) = I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(K')$ or $I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(K), I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(K') \in S_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Proof. Since $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathcal{M}$, there is a natural surjection $p: X_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{M}}$. The map p is determined by the set $\mathcal{M} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{M}} = \{(K_i, K'_i)\}$ of moves of degree 0, where K_i and K'_i are some knots. These moves glue the values $I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(K_i)$ and $I_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}(K'_i) \in X_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}}$. Then we set the subsets S_i to be the preimages $p^{-1}(x)$, $x \in X_{\mathcal{M}}$, which contain two or more elements. \square

Corollary 1. *Let $I \in \mathcal{I}_0$. Then there exist finite distinct subsets $S_1, \dots, S_k \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that for any knots K, K' one has $I(K) = I(K')$ if and only if $K = K'$ or $K, K' \in S_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Proof. Indeed, in this case $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \emptyset$ and $X_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} = \mathcal{K}$. \square

Definition 8. A set of moves \mathcal{M} is *reduced* if $\mathcal{M} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}$.

3.2.2 Additivity of skein invariants

Definition 9. A knot invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ is called *additive* if X has a commutative monoid structure and $I(K \# K') = I(K) + I(K')$ for any $K, K' \in \mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 1. Let $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ be a reduced skein knot invariant and $X = I(\mathcal{K})$. Then I is additive.

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{M} be a reduced set of moves. Then $K_1 \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_2$ implies $K_1 \# K' \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_2 \# K'$ for any $K' \in \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. The condition $K_1 \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_2$ means there is a sequence of diagrams $K_1 = \tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}'_1, \dots, \tilde{K}_l, \tilde{K}'_l = K_2$ such that the diagrams \tilde{K}_i and \tilde{K}'_i are connected by a sequence of Reidemeister moves, and \tilde{K}'_i and \tilde{K}_{i+1} are connected by a move $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$. Since the move M_i has positive degree, there is an arc in \tilde{K}'_i which lies outside the move. We place the knot K' on this arc and get diagrams \hat{K}'_i and \hat{K}_{i+1} connected by the move M_i . Since the diagrams \tilde{K}_i and \tilde{K}'_i present the same knot, the diagrams $\hat{K}_i = \tilde{K}_i \# K'$ and $\hat{K}'_i = \tilde{K}'_i \# K'$ are connected by a sequence of Reidemeister moves. Thus, the sequence $K_1 \# K' = \hat{K}_1, \hat{K}'_1, \dots, \hat{K}_l, \hat{K}'_l = K_2 \# K'$ establishes the equivalence $K_1 \# K' \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_2 \# K'$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{M} be a reduced set of moves which determines the invariant M . Then the coefficient set X is identified with the set \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{M} of equivalence classes of knots modulo the moves of \mathcal{M} . Define a binary operation on X by the formula $[K_1] + [K_2] = [K_1 \# K_2]$, $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$. The operation is well defined, because for any $K_1 \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_2$ and $K'_1 \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K'_2$ we have $K_1 \# K'_2 \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_1 \# K'_1 \sim_{\mathcal{M}} K_2 \# K'_1$ by Lemma 1. The operation defines on X a monoid structure because the operation $\#$ of connected sum does. The equality $I(K \# K') = I(K) + I(K')$ follows from the definition of the binary operation. \square

Remark 3. The set of additive knot invariants has the cardinality of the continuum. Hence, there are non-skein additive invariants.

3.2.3 Skein invariants of degree 1

Example 3. Let P be a finite subset of the set \mathcal{P} of prime knots and $\phi: P \rightarrow M$ be a map to a commutative monoid M . Let us define a knot invariant $I_{P,\phi}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{N}]$ as follows. Enumerate the prime knots $\mathcal{P} = \{K_1, K_2, \dots\}$ so that $P = \{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$. For a knot $K = \sum_i a_i K_i \in \mathcal{K}$ set

$$I_{P,\phi} = \left(\sum_{i=1} a_i \phi(K_i), \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \cdot [i - n] \right).$$

Then $I_{P,\phi} \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Indeed, consider the knots in P as long knots. The map ϕ extends to a monoid homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[P] \rightarrow M$. The image $\text{im}\phi$ is finitely generated. By Reedy's theorem [30] $\text{im}\phi$ is finitely presented, hence, $\text{im}\phi \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[P]/\sim$ where the equivalence \sim is generated by a finite set of relations $T_i \sim T'_i$. The linear combinations $T_i, T'_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[P]$ can be identified with connected sums of prime knots from P . Then $I_{P,\phi}$ is generated by the set of moves $\mathcal{M} = \{(T_i, T'_i)\} \subset \mathcal{I}_1$.

Proposition 3. *Let $I \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Then I is equivalent to the invariant $I_{P,\phi}$ for some finite $P \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $\phi: P \rightarrow M$ in the following sense: there is a bijection $f: \text{im}I_{P,\phi} \rightarrow \text{im}I$ such that $I = f \circ I_{P,\phi}$.*

Proof. The invariant I is determined by a set $\mathcal{M} = \{(T_i, T'_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ of moves of degree 1. Then the tangles T_i, T'_i are long knots. Let $P = \{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$ be the set of prime knots which divide one of these tangles and $A = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[P]$. Let \sim be the congruence in A generated by the relations $T_i \sim T'_i$ and $M = A/\sim$ the quotient monoid. Denote the natural projection $P \rightarrow M$ by ϕ . Then I is equivalent to the skein invariant $I_{P,\phi}$. \square

3.2.4 Stabilization of degree

Proposition 4. *For any $n \geq 1$ $\mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$.*

Proof. Given a move $M = (T, T')$ of degree $n > 0$, we can assign to it a move M^+ of degree $n + 1$ called the *stabilization of the move M* (Fig. 6). It is easy to see that the move M implies the move M^+ .




Figure 6: Stabilization of a move

On the other hand, the move M can be expressed using the move M^+ (Fig. 7).

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_i\} \subset \mathcal{I}_n$. Consider the set of moves $\mathcal{M}^+ = \{M_i^+\} \subset \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$. Then the moves of \mathcal{M} can be expressed by moves of \mathcal{M}^+ and vice versa. Hence, the skein invariants induced by the sets \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}^+ are equivalent. Thus, $\mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$. \square

Thus, we have an increasing filtration of skein invariants:

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2 \subset \mathcal{I}_3 \subset \dots$$

A natural question is whether this filtration stabilizes.




Figure 7: Destabilization of a move

3.3 Partial order on skein invariants

There is a natural partial order on the set of skein invariants.

Definition 10. A knot invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ is *weaker* than a knot invariant $I': \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X'$ if there is a map $f: X' \rightarrow X$ such that $I = f \circ I'$. In this case we write $I \preceq I'$.

A set of moves \mathcal{M}' is *finer* than a set of moves \mathcal{M} if any move $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$ can be expressed as a sequence of moves from \mathcal{M} and Reidemeister moves. In this case we write $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}'$.

Proposition 5. 1. If $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}'$ then $I_{\mathcal{M}} \preceq I_{\mathcal{M}'}$.
 2. If $I \preceq I'$ are skein invariants then there exist sets of moves $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}'$ such that $I = I_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $I' = I_{\mathcal{M}'}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from definitions.

Let $I \preceq I'$ and $I = I_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ and $I' = I_{\mathcal{M}'}$. Denote $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \cup \mathcal{M}'$. Then $I_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ is equivalent to $I_{\mathcal{M}}$, and $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}'$. \square

Remark 4. 1. $I_{\mathcal{M}} \preceq I_{\mathcal{M}'}$ does not imply $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{M}'$. For example, consider the unknotting moves $\mathcal{M} = \{\Delta\}$, $\mathcal{M}' = \{CC\}$ (Fig. 8).

2. \mathcal{S} is a lower semilattice (with the meet operation given by the union of sets of moves).

3.3.1 Unknotting moves

The weakest skein invariant is the trivial invariant. It can be induced by different sets of moves.

Definition 11. A set of moves \mathcal{M} is *unknotting* if $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ is trivial.

Example 4 (Unknotting moves for classical knots). Each of the moves in Fig. 8 is unknotting for classical knots in \mathbb{R}^2 [1, 2, 12, 18, 19, 20, 33].

Example 5 (Unknotting moves for virtual knots). The following sets of moves are unknotting for virtual knots:

- the forbidden move F^m [36] (Fig. 9);
- the CF-move [26];
- the pair of forbidden moves $\mathcal{M} = \{F^o, F^u\}$ [14, 25];




Figure 8: Unknotting moves: CC -move (crossing change), Δ -move [18, 20], Γ -move [33]; \sharp -move [19], $H(n)$ -move [12], n -gon move [1]; and the diagonal move [2]

- any of the virtualizing Δ -move, virtualized \sharp -move, virtualized pass move [24] (Fig. 10).




Figure 9: Forbidden virtual moves




Figure 10: Virtualized Δ -move, virtualized \sharp -move, virtualized pass move [24]

Example 6 (Unknotting moves for welded knots). Each of CC -move, Δ -move, \sharp -move and pass move (Fig. 11) is unknotting for the welded knots [31, 23].

3.3.2 Binary skein invariants

Definition 12. A nontrivial skein invariant I is a *weakest nontrivial invariant* if any skein invariant $I' \prec I$ is trivial (i.e. a constant map).

A skein invariant I is *binary* if $|\text{im} I| = 2$.

Proposition 6. *A skein invariant I is weakest nontrivial if and only if it is binary.*

Proof. Let I be binary. If $I' \prec I$ then $|\text{im}I'| < |\text{im}I| = 2$. Hence, $|\text{im}I'| = 1$, and the invariant I' is trivial.

Let a skein invariant $I = I_{\mathcal{M}}$ be not binary. Then I is not trivial and there exist knots K, K' such that $I(K) \neq I(K')$. Denote $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M} \cup \{(K, K')\}$. Then $I_{\mathcal{M}'} \prec I$ and $|\text{im}I_{\mathcal{M}'}| = |\text{im}I| - 1 > 1$. Thus, $I_{\mathcal{M}'}$ is not trivial, and I is not a weakest nontrivial invariant. \square

Example 7 (Arf invariant). L.H. Kauffman [16] showed that the pass move (Fig. 11) generates the Arf invariant which takes values in \mathbb{Z}_2 .




Figure 11: Pass move

Remark 5. Any skein invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ with a finite value set X is equivalent to the family of binary skein invariants $\mathbf{I} = \{I_x\}_{x \in X}$ where

$$I_x(K) = \begin{cases} 1, & I(K) = x; \\ 0, & I(K) \neq x. \end{cases}$$

3.4 Cardinality of skein invariant

Definition 13. The *cardinality* $|\mathcal{M}|$ of a set of moves \mathcal{M} is the number of moves in \mathcal{M} .

The *cardinality* $|I|$ of a skein invariant $I: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow X$ is the minimal number of moves generating I :

$$|I| = \min\{|\mathcal{M}| \mid I \sim I_{\mathcal{M}}\}.$$

Remark 6. 1. A skein invariant I has cardinality 0 if and only if it is a full invariant.

2. Skein invariants of cardinality 1 can be various:

- The invariant generated by a 0-degree move (K_1, K_2) , where K_1, K_2 are some knots, is almost full: it distinguishes all knots except the pair K_1, K_2 .
- The classical knot invariant generated by the crossing change move CC is trivial.
- The invariant generated by the Conway relation

$$\begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} = z \cdot \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array}$$

is equivalent to the Alexander–Conway polynomial.

3. The cardinality induces an increasing filtration of skein invariant

$$\mathcal{S}'_0 \subset \mathcal{S}'_1 \subset \mathcal{S}'_2 \subset \dots$$

where $\mathcal{S}'_n = \{I \in \mathcal{S} \mid |I| \leq n\}$. This filtration is infinite. Indeed, the 0-degree invariant $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ determined by a set of moves $\mathcal{M} = \{(K_i, K'_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ such that the knots $K_i, K'_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are all distinct, has cardinality n .

4 Other examples of skein invariants

Let us list some known examples of skein invariants besides those mentioned above.

4.1 Finite type invariants

Finite type invariants were introduced by V. Vasiliev [35]. M. Gussarov [9] and K. Habiro [11] showed that finite type invariants are skein.

Theorem 2 (M. Gussarov, K. Habiro). *For any knots $K, K' \in \mathcal{K}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $K \sim_{C_n} K'$;
2. $v(K) = v(K')$ for any finite invariant of order $\leq n - 1$

In other words, I_{C_n} is the universal finite type invariant of order $\leq n - 1$.




Figure 12: C_n -move

Remark 7. The move C_1 is equivalent to the crossing change move, the move C_2 is equivalent to the Δ -move.


4.2 S -equivalence

Definition 14. Two square integral matrix are S -equivalent if they are connected by a sequence of transformations $M \sim PMP^t, P \in GL(\mathbb{Z})$, and

$$M \sim \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{b} & c & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} M & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{b} & c & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Classical knots K and K' are S -equivalent if their Seifert matrices are S -equivalent. (For the definition of a Seifert matrix of a knot see [29].)

Theorem 3 (S. Naik, T. Stanford [21]). *Two knots K and K' are S -equivalent if and only if they are equivalent by a sequence of doubled-delta moves.*



4.3 Linking numbers of welded links

Audoux et al. [3] showed that linking numbers of welded links are skein invariants.

Definition 15. Let $L = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n$ be a welded link diagram with enumerated components. The *linking number* of the components K_i and K_j is $lk_{ij}(L) = \sum_{c: K_i \text{ over } K_j} \text{sgn}(c)$. The difference $W(K_i, K_j) = l_{ij} - l_{ji}$ is called the *wriggle number* of the pair of components K_i, K_j .

- Theorem 4** ([3]).
1. $I_F \simeq (lk_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$;
 2. $I_{VC} \simeq (lk_{ij} + lk_{ji})_{i < j}$;
 3. $I_{CC} \simeq (lk_{ij} - lk_{ji})_{i < j}$;
 4. $I_{wBP} \simeq (lk_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + lk_{ji}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \oplus (\sum_{j \neq i} lk_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2})_i$.




Figure 13: Moves on welded knots

4.4 Index polynomial

Some parity invariants of virtual knots appear to be skein.

Definition 16. Let D be a virtual knot diagram and c a crossing of D . The oriented smoothing of D at the crossing c splits the diagram into the left and right halves D_c^l and D_c^r (Fig. 14). The (*Gaussian*) *index* of the crossing c is $\text{ind}(c) = \text{sgn}(c)W(D_c^r, D_c^l) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The *odd writhe* [17] of the virtual knot is the sum $J(D) = \sum_{c: \text{ind}(c) \text{ is odd}} \text{sgn}(c)$.

The *index polynomial* [7] of the virtual knot is

$$W_D(t) = \sum_c \text{sgn}(c) \cdot (t^{\text{ind}(c)} - 1).$$

Recall that virtual knots can be presented by Gauss diagrams [10]. The Gauss diagram of a virtual knot diagram is an oriented chord diagram whose chords correspond to the crossings of the virtual diagram, and are equipped




Figure 14: Knot halves at a crossing




Figure 15: A virtual knot and its Gauss diagram

with a sign (the sign of the crossing) and an orientation (from the overcrossing to the undercrossing), see Fig. 15.

Then one can define local moves as local transformations of Gauss diagrams (Fig. 16).

Theorem 5 ([22, 32]). *Let K and K' be oriented virtual knots. Then*

1. *The condition $K \sim_{\Xi} K'$ is equivalent to $J(K) = J(K')$;*
2. *The condition $K \sim_{\{S_1, S_2\}} K'$ is equivalent to $W_K(t) = W_{K'}(t)$.*

5 Open questions

In the paper we have took first steps towards a theory of skein invariants, and there are a lot of unanswered questions. Let us list some of them.

- Are known knot invariants such as unknotting number $u(K)$, the crossing number $c(K)$, the genus $g(K)$ skein? Skeinness of the unknotting and the crossing number would imply their additivity.
- Does the sequence of skein invariants $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2 \subset \mathcal{I}_3 \subset \dots$ stabilize?
- Find conditions for a set of moves to be unknotting.
- Describe binary skein invariants.




Figure 16: Shell moves and Ξ -move

- Describe skein invariants of cardinality 1.
- Besides order or cardinality, one can filter skein invariants by complexity (number of crossings) of moves. The task is to describe skein invariants of small complexity.

References

- [1] H. Aida, Unknotting Operations of Polygonal Type, *Tokyo J. Math.* **15**:1 (1992) 111–121.
- [2] D. Ali, Z. Yang, M.I. Sheikh, A new unknotting operation for classical and welded links, arXiv:2208.08761.
- [3] B. Audoux, P. Bellingeri, J.-B. Meilhan, E. Wagner, Extensions of some classical local moves on knot diagrams, *Michigan Math. J.* **67**:3 (2018) 647–672.
- [4] R.P. Bakshi, J.H. Przytycki, H. Wong, Skein Modules of 3-Manifolds, *Encyclopedia of Knot Theory, Chapter 63*, CRC, New York (2021), pp. 617–623.
- [5] J.H. Conway, An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties, *Comput. Problems in Abstract Algebra*, Pergamon Press, New York (1970), pp. 329–358.
- [6] R. Fenn, R. Rimanyi, C. Rourke, The braid-permutation group, *Topology* **36**:1 (1997) 123–135.
- [7] L.C. Folwaczny, L.H. Kauffman, A linking number definition of the affine index polynomial, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **22**:12 (2013) 1341004.
- [8] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W.B.R. Lickorish, K. Millett, A. Ocneanu, A new polynomial invariant of knots and links, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1985) 239–246.
- [9] M.N. Goussarov, Variations of knotted graphs. The geometric technique of n-equivalence, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **12**:4 (2001) 569–604.
- [10] M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, O. Viro, Finite type invariants of classical and virtual knots, *Topology* **39**:5 (2000) 1045–1068.
- [11] K. Habiro, Claspers and finite type invariants of links, *Geom. Topol.* **4** (2000) 1–83.
- [12] J. Hoste, Y. Nakanishi, K. Taniyama, Unknotting operations involving trivial tangles, *Osaka J. Math.* **27**:3 (1990) 555–566.
- [13] V.F.R. Jones, A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1985) 103–111.

- [14] T. Kanenobu, Forbidden moves unknot a virtual knot, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **10**:1 (2001) 89–96.
- [15] L.H. Kauffman, Virtual knot theory, *European J. Combin.* **20** (1999) 663–690.
- [16] L.H. Kauffman, *On knots*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* **115**, Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [17] L.H. Kauffman, A self-linking invariant of virtual knots, *Fund. Math.* **184**:1 (2004) 135–158.
- [18] S. Matveev, Generalized surgeries of three-dimensional manifolds and representations of homology sphere, *Math. Notes* **42** (1987) 651–656.
- [19] H. Murakami, Some metrics on classical knots, *Math. Ann.* **270** (1985) 35–45.
- [20] H. Murakami, Y. Nakanishi, On a certain move generating link-homology, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989) 75–89.
- [21] S. Naik and T. Stanford, A move on diagrams that generates S -equivalence of knots, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **12**:5 (2003) 717–724.
- [22] T. Nakamura, Y. Nakanishi, S. Satoh, Writhe polynomials and shell moves for virtual knots and links, *European J. Combin.* **84** (2020) 103033.
- [23] T. Nakamura, Y. Nakanishi, S. Satoh, A. Yasuhara, The pass move is an unknotting operation for welded knots, *Topology and its Applications* **247** (2018) 9–19.
- [24] T. Nakamura, Y. Nakanishi, S. Satoh, K. Wada, Virtualized Delta, sharp and pass moves for oriented virtual knots and links, arXiv:2401.13195.
- [25] S. Nelson, Unknotting virtual knots with Gauss diagram forbidden moves, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **10**:6 (2001) 931–935.
- [26] T. Oikawa, On a local move for virtual knots and links, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **18**:11 (2009) 1577–1596.
- [27] J.H. Przytycki, Skein Modules of 3-Manifolds, *Bull. Polish Acad. Science* **39**:1-2 (1991) 91–100.
- [28] J.H. Przytycki, P. Traczyk, Invariants of Links of Conway Type, *Kobe J. Math.* **4**:2 (1987) 115–139.
- [29] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*, AMS. 2003.
- [30] J.C. Rosales, P.A. Garcia-Sanchez, *Finitely generated commutative monoids*, Nova Publishers, 1999.

- [31] S. Satoh, Crossing changes, Delta moves and sharp moves on welded knots, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **48**:3 (2018) 967–879.
- [32] S. Satoh, K. Taniguchi, The writhes of a virtual knot, *Fund. Math.* **225**:1 (2014) 327–342.
- [33] T. Shibuya, Local moves and 4-genus of knots, *Mem. Osaka Inst. Tech. Ser. A* **45** (2000) 1–10.
- [34] V.G. Turaev, The Conway and Kauffman modules of the solid torus, *J. Soviet Math.* **52** (1990) 2799–2805.
- [35] V.A. Vassiliev, Cohomology of knot spaces, *Theory of singularities and its applications, Adv. Soviet Math.* **1**, AMS, Providence, RI, (1990) 23–69.
- [36] S. Yoshiike, K. Ichihara, Forbidden detour number on virtual knot, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **61**:1 (2021) 205–212.