

Dark matter from axions with connection to neutrino mass

Shivam Gola 

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam 781039, India

E-mail: shivamg.sk@rnd.iitg.ac.in

ABSTRACT: We explore a KSVZ-like extension of the Standard Model with a Dirac fermion and three right-handed neutrinos. PQ symmetry allows the Dirac mass for neutrinos and prevents the Majorana mass. A Z_2 symmetry guarantees the stability of Dirac fermion dark matter. The breakdown of PQ symmetry generates the QCD axion at a high scale. The fermion dark matter relic abundance arises from the UV-freeze-in mechanism through the axion portal. We determine the fermion DM relic by solving stiff Boltzmann equations and finding the allowed parameter space using the relic density constraints. Having determined the allowed parameter space for fermion DM, we also look for the two-component scenario where the axion produced from the misalignment mechanism can co-exist as DM too. We find that both FIMP and axion dark matter have sufficient parameter space that is not excluded while considering several current bounds and future sensitivities on axion and dark matter. Our study highlights the interlinking of dark matter, axion, and neutrinos while addressing the strong CP problem and small neutrino masses.

KEYWORDS: Dark matter, Neutrinos, Axion, PQ symmetry, KSVZ

1 Introduction

The numbers of independent astrophysical observations have confirmed the existence of dark matter (DM) [1–7]. DM does not interact with light that makes them invisible however it plays a significant role in the large-scale structure formation of our universe. The sole observable here is the relic density bound in eq. 1.1 from the Planck satellite data [7].

$$\Omega_{\text{DM}}h^2 = 0.12 \pm 0.001. \quad (1.1)$$

DM abundance is nearly five times the normal matter yet its particle composition and interactions are mostly unknown. The standard model (SM) cannot explain DM, therefore several well-motivated beyond standard model (BSM) scenarios suggest a suitable candidate for DM [8–11]. Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs) [9, 12] have been a popular candidate for DM as they naturally explain the observed dark matter density through the process called freeze-out mechanism [13]. However, WIMPs are not detected in any experimental searches such as direct detection [14–18], indirect detection [19, 20] and collider e.g. Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [21, 22] etc. Feebly Interacting Massive Particles (FIMPs) [23–25] is an interesting alternative to the popular WIMP candidate. FIMP interacts with SM or dark sector (DS) particles through a very small coupling ($\lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-12} - 10^{-10})$). Consequently, FIMP never achieves thermal equilibrium with the bath particles in the early universe. However, it produces non-thermally through the decay or annihilation of the mother particles. As time progresses, the initially negligible number density of FIMP increases and eventually stabilizes due to Boltzmann suppression, leading to the correct DM abundance. This production process is called the freeze-in mechanism. The freeze-in scenario is broadly classified into two categories: 1. Infra-red (IR) freeze-in is significant at lower temperatures, and 2. Ultra-violet (UV) freeze-in occurs at higher temperatures such as the reheating temperature of the Universe.

The small mass of neutrinos highlights another shortcoming of SM, as confirmed by neutrino oscillation experiments [26–28]. This oscillation data also indicates that at least two of the three neutrinos are massive, while they are assumed to be massless in SM. To generate mass for neutrinos, one can simply add three right-handed neutrinos (RHNs) that can mix with active neutrinos through the Yukawa coupling similar to other SM fermions, resulting in the Dirac mass.

Now taking a slight digression, the presence of a non-vanishing CP violating θ parameter in the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) sector implies the Strong CP problem [29–33]. The effective θ -parameter can range from 0 to 2π , however, $|\theta| \lesssim 10^{-10}$, from the measurement of neutron electric dipole moment (EDM). The dynamical solution to the strong CP problem is by Peccei–Quinn (PQ) [34–36], requires a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson, the axion, which relaxes the θ -term. Axions acquire a non-zero mass from QCD dynamics, which is inversely proportional to the axion decay constant f_a . In PQWW model, the

decay constant is related to the SM Higgs vacuum expectation values (VEVs) [34], thus it tightly constrains the solution. In invisible axion models e.g. KSVZ [37, 38], DFSZ [39] etc. the axion scale f_a is at significantly higher scale. In particular, the KSVZ model includes a complex singlet scalar and two colored quarks, all charged under a new global PQ symmetry. Spontaneous breaking of the global symmetry addresses the Strong CP problem and results in a new particle, the axion. We take inspiration from KSVZ-type models for constructing our model.

Several BSM models address these above-mentioned issues individually or collectively [40–49]. We revisit dark matter, neutrino mass, and the Strong CP problem with a minimal model which interconnects these three problems. In our model, we add a pair of quarks, a complex scalar, a Dirac fermion, and, three RHNs, all are charged under the new global PQ symmetry. We also introduce a new Higgs-like scalar with a non-zero PQ charge, which enables the Yukawa coupling for neutrinos. The tree-level lagrangian is invariant under global symmetry except for the anomaly in the QCD sector. The complex scalar spontaneously breaks the PQ symmetry, which generates mass for the heavy quarks, Dirac fermions, and Dirac neutrinos. The imaginary parts of all scalars combine, and one of the components is identified as the axion. Axion couples to gluon, photon, and neutrinos, due to pseudo-scalar mixing. Lastly, the Dirac fermion is protected by an additional \mathcal{Z}_2 symmetry, however, it may be possible that a subgroup of PQ symmetry remains unbroken after spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB), which stabilizes the Dirac fermion. In either case, the Dirac fermion is a suitable candidate for DM in our model. Additionally, Dirac fermion interacts with SM through the axion portal, with interaction strength scaled by the axion decay constant f_a^{-1} . Typically, $f_a > 10^8$ GeV is inferred from various searches [50–55], suggesting that Dirac fermion interacts very weakly with SM, a necessary condition for UV freeze-in, which is the main focus of this work. Axions produced from the misalignment mechanism [56], can also serve as DM and imply the two DM case.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 outlines our model, Section 3 describes the methodology and analysis of dark matter, using relic density and direct detection, and limits on axion parameter space. Additionally, we studied axions and FIMPs as dark matter together, considering various existing bounds and sensitivities. In section 4 we present the conclusion.

2 The Model

We start by formulating the Lagrangian density for the extended sector of the minimal model, which incorporates the interactions among the fields based on the charge assignments in table 1. The invariant Lagrangian density for the Dirac fermion DM (ψ), the Yukawa interactions, and the scalar sector, based on the charge assignments given in ta-

	SU(3)	SU(2)	U(1)_Y	U(1)_{PQ}
Q_L	3	1	0	$\frac{x_\Phi}{2}$
Q_R	3	1	0	$-\frac{x_\Phi}{2}$
Φ	1	1	0	x_Φ
H_1	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0
H_2	1	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	x_ϕ
ν_R^k	1	1	0	x_ϕ
ψ_L	1	1	0	$\frac{x_\phi}{2}$
ψ_R	1	1	0	$-\frac{x_\phi}{2}$

Table 1: Particle and symmetry content of the minimal model where $k(= 1, 2, 3)$ represents the family index.

ble 1 are as follows,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DM}} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - y_\psi(\bar{\psi}_L\psi_R\Phi + \text{h.c.}) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_y = & -y_u^{ij}\bar{q}_L^i\tilde{H}_1u_R^j - y_d^{ij}\bar{q}_L^iH_1d_R^j - y_e^{ij}\bar{\ell}_L^iH_1e_R^j \\ & -y_Q\bar{Q}_L\Phi Q_R - y_\nu^{ik}\bar{\ell}_L^i\tilde{H}_2\nu_R^k + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_s = (D^\mu H_1)^\dagger(D_\mu H_1) + (D^\mu H_2)^\dagger(D_\mu H_2) + (\partial^\mu\Phi)^\dagger(\partial_\mu\Phi) - V(H_1, H_2, \Phi) \quad (2.3)$$

where $\tilde{H}_{1,2} = i\sigma_2 H_{1,2}^*$, and σ_2 is the Pauli matrix and the covariant derivative defined as $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_s T^a G_\mu^a - ig T^a W_\mu^a - ig_1 Y B_\mu^1$. The scalar potential, $V(H_1, H_2, \Phi)$ ¹, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} V(H_1, H_2, \Phi) = & -\mu_{H_1}^2 H_1^\dagger H_1 - \mu_{H_2}^2 H_2^\dagger H_2 - \mu_\Phi^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi \\ & + \lambda_{H_1}(H_1^\dagger H_1)^2 + \lambda_{H_2}(H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 + \lambda_\Phi(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 \\ & + \lambda_{H_1\Phi}(H_1^\dagger H_1)(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) + \lambda_{H_2\Phi}(H_2^\dagger H_2)(\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \\ & - \lambda_{H_1 H_2}^a (H_1^\dagger H_1)(H_2^\dagger H_2) - \lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b (H_1^\dagger H_2)(H_2^\dagger H_1) \\ & - \kappa H_2^\dagger H_1 \Phi + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

¹The scalar potential $V(H_1, H_2, \Phi)$ given in eq. 2.4 must be bounded from below [57], which is ensured if the following conditions are satisfied: $\lambda_{H_1} > 0$, $\lambda_{H_2} > 0$, $\lambda_\Phi > 0$, $\lambda_{H_2}\lambda_\Phi - \lambda_{H_2\Phi}^2 > 0$, $\text{Det}(V_{\text{quartic}}) > 0$.

We then parameterize the scalar fields as follows:

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 + i\phi_2 \\ v_{H_1} + h + i\phi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi'_1 + i\phi'_2 \\ v_{H_2} + h' + i\phi'_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_\Phi + s + i\phi) \quad (2.5)$$

where, v_{H_1}, v_{H_2}, v_Φ denote the vevs of the Higgs doublets and the complex scalar. The symmetry breaking implies mass to the heavy quarks, $m_Q = \frac{y_Q v_\Phi}{\sqrt{2}}$, Dirac fermion, $m_\psi = \frac{y_\psi v_\Phi}{\sqrt{2}}$, and, to the neutrinos, $m_\nu = \frac{y_\nu^{ik} v_{H_2}}{\sqrt{2}}$. Additionally, the charged Goldstone bosons $w^\pm = \phi_1 \pm i\phi_2$ represent the longitudinal modes of the W^\pm bosons, while $H^\pm = \phi'_1 \pm i\phi'_2$ are the charged scalar fields with mass matrix:

$$M_{\pm}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_{H_2}(\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b v_{H_1} v_{H_2} + \sqrt{2}\kappa v_\Phi)}{2v_{H_1}} & -\frac{\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b v_{H_1} v_{H_2} + \sqrt{2}\kappa v_\Phi}{2} \\ -\frac{\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b v_{H_1} v_{H_2} + \sqrt{2}\kappa v_\Phi}{2} & \frac{v_{H_1}(\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b v_{H_1} v_{H_2} + \sqrt{2}\kappa v_\Phi)}{2v_{H_2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

Masses of charge scalar H^\pm can be found by diagonalization of eq. 2.6:

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = \frac{\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b v_{H_1} v_{H_2} + \sqrt{2}\kappa v_\Phi}{2v_{H_1} v_{H_2}} v_H^2, \quad \text{where, } v_H = \frac{\sqrt{v_{H_1}^2 + v_{H_2}^2}}{2} \quad (2.7)$$

Similalry the mass matrix from mixing of the real scalars h, h', s :

$$M_H^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_{H_1} v_{H_1}^2 + \frac{\kappa v_{H_2} v_\Phi}{\sqrt{2}v_{H_1}} & -(\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^a + \lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b)v_{H_1} v_{H_2} - \frac{\kappa v_\Phi}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{H_1 \Phi} v_{H_1} v_\Phi - \frac{\kappa v_{H_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -(\lambda_{H_1 H_2}^a + \lambda_{H_1 H_2}^b)v_{H_1} v_{H_2} - \frac{\kappa v_\Phi}{\sqrt{2}} & 2\lambda_{H_2} v_{H_2}^2 + \frac{\kappa v_{H_1} v_\Phi}{\sqrt{2}v_{H_2}} & \lambda_{H_2 \Phi} v_{H_2} v_\Phi - \frac{\kappa v_{H_1}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \lambda_{H_1 \Phi} v_{H_1} v_\Phi - \frac{\kappa v_{H_2}}{\sqrt{2}} & \lambda_{H_2 \Phi} v_{H_2} v_\Phi - \frac{\kappa v_{H_1}}{\sqrt{2}} & 2\lambda_\Phi v_\Phi^2 + \frac{\kappa v_{H_1} v_{H_2}}{\sqrt{2}v_\Phi} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.8)$$

Scalar matrix 2.8 can be diagonalized, resulting in the mass eigenstates for the real scalar fields h_1, h_2, h_3 . Lastly, the neutral gauge boson mixing matrix is given by:

$$M_V^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}g_1^2 v_H^2 & -\frac{1}{4}g_1 g_2 v_H^2 \\ -\frac{1}{4}g_1 g_2 v_H^2 & \frac{1}{4}g_2^2 v_H^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.9)$$

This can be diagonalized to yield the mass eigenstates for the photon (A) and Z -boson, along with their respective masses:

$$M_A = 0, \quad M_Z^2 = \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{4} v_H^2 \quad (2.10)$$

2.1 Axion interactions

The imaginary parts of the scalar fields, ϕ_3, ϕ'_3, ϕ , mix, and one component becomes the Goldstone boson of the Z boson, while the remaining two mass eigenstates are a' and a . The absorbed Goldstone boson is given by,

$$z^0 = \frac{v_{H_1}\phi_3 + v_{H_2}\phi'_3}{2v_H}. \quad (2.11)$$

To ensure the Goldstone boson does not mix with the axion, we require [58, 59],

$$a = \frac{X_{H_1}v_{H_1}\phi_3 + X_{H_2}v_{H_2}\phi'_3 + X_\Phi v_\Phi \phi}{f_a}, \quad (2.12)$$

where $f_a = x_\Phi \sqrt{v_{H_2}^2 + v_\Phi^2}$, and the effective charges are given by,

$$X_{H_1} = -x_\Phi \frac{v_{H_2}^2}{4v_H^2}, \quad X_{H_2} = x_\Phi \frac{v_{H_1}^2}{4v_H^2}, \quad X_\Phi = x_\Phi.$$

Although, axion is massless at tree level, it can get mass through non-perturbative effects of QCD at low energy,

$$m_a = \frac{m_\pi f_\pi}{f_a} \sqrt{\frac{z}{(1+z)(1+z+w)}} \quad (2.13)$$

where $z = m_u/m_d$ and $w = m_u/m_s$. The axion couples to gluons and photons due to the anomaly [33],

$$-\mathcal{L}_{a-boson} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi f_a} a G^{a\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + \left(\frac{E}{N} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{4+z+w}{1+z+w} \right) \frac{\alpha_{em}}{8\pi f_a} a F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.14)$$

where the EM-color anomaly ratio, $\frac{E}{N} = e_Q^2$ is calculated in our model, which vanishes as the heavy quark Q is SM singlet as described in table 1. Similarly, the axion couples to neutrinos via,

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\nu} = X_{H_2} \frac{\partial_\mu a}{f_a} (\bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \nu). \quad (2.15)$$

Finally, the axion interacts with the heavy quark Q and fermion dark matter ψ through,

$$\mathcal{L}_{aQ} = X_\Phi \frac{\partial_\mu a}{f_a} (\bar{Q} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 Q), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\psi} = X_\Phi \frac{\partial_\mu a}{f_a} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi). \quad (2.17)$$

3 Dark Matter Analysis

In this section, we outline the methodology for calculating number density using the Boltzmann equation and then analyze the feasible parameter space for FIMP against various constraints. We set the vev $v_H = 246$ GeV, and, $v_{H_2} \ll v_{H_1} \ll v_\Phi$, ensuring the correct masses for SM fermions and meet the requirements for FIMP production. This scaling also results in small neutrino masses, while heavy quarks and additional scalars become massive. We choose the PQ charge $x_\Phi = 1$, throughout in the analysis. Before we initiate the FIMP study, it is crucial to outline a few underlying concepts and formulations in the next subsections.

3.0.1 The general Boltzmann equations

We study FIMP production using the Boltzmann equation for the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric. The coupled Boltzmann equations for the evolution of number densities for the Dirac fermion (ψ) and axion (a) are as follows²,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_\psi}{dt} + 3Hn_\psi &= \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \sigma_{\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \text{SM}} \bar{v} \rangle \left((n_\psi^{\text{eq}})^2 - n_\psi^2 \right) + \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi}} v \rangle n_a^2 - \langle \sigma_{\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow aa} v \rangle n_\psi^2, \\ \frac{dn_a}{dt} + 3Hn_a &= \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \Gamma_{a \rightarrow \text{SM}} \rangle (n_a^{\text{eq}} - n_a) + \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \text{SM}} \bar{v} \rangle \left((n_a^{\text{eq}})^2 - n_a^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi}} v \rangle n_a^2 + \langle \sigma_{\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow aa} v \rangle n_\psi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where the SM particle distribution function is of the equilibrium distribution at the photon temperature since they were initially in thermal equilibrium with the photon bath. The thermally averaged cross-section $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ in eq. 3.1 is derived using Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) statistics and given in eq. 3.2,

$$\langle \sigma_{12 \rightarrow 24} v \rangle = \frac{C}{2TK_2(m_1/T)K_2(m_2/T)} \int_{s_{\min}}^{\infty} \sigma(s) \frac{F(m_1, m_2, s)^2}{m_1^2 m_2^2 \sqrt{s}} K_1(\sqrt{s}/T) ds. \quad (3.2)$$

where $C = (1)_{\frac{1}{2}}$, with (non-) identical initial states, $F(m_1, m_2, s) = \frac{\sqrt{(s-(m_1+m_2)^2)(s-(m_1-m_2)^2)}}{2}$, and $s_{\min} = \max[(m_1 + m_2)^2, (m_3 + m_4)^2]$. The thermal average decay width for axion in eq. 3.1 can be calculated as follows:

$$\langle \Gamma_a \rangle = \Gamma_a \frac{K_1(m_a/T)}{K_2(m_a/T)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Finally, the Hubble expansion rate is given by $H = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}\pi G\rho}$ and the energy density of Standard Model particles is $\rho_{\text{SM}} = g_{*\rho, \text{SM}}(T) \frac{\pi^2}{30} T^4$, where G is the gravitational constant

²We have used the principle of detailed balance i.e. $\langle \sigma_{ij \rightarrow kl} v \rangle n_i^{\text{eq}} n_j^{\text{eq}} = \langle \sigma_{kl \rightarrow ij} v \rangle n_k^{\text{eq}} n_l^{\text{eq}}$ while writing equations 3.1.

and $g_{*\rho, \text{SM}}(T)$ represents the SM effective degrees of freedom at temperature T .

3.0.2 Freeze-in regime

In the freeze-in regime, DM does not reach thermal equilibrium with the visible sector due to tiny couplings with SM particles. The initial small abundance of DM increases over time and freezes in when the temperature falls below the DM mass. Thus we can drop n_ψ^2 terms in eq. 3.1 as a fair approximation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_\psi}{dt} + 3Hn_\psi &= \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \sigma_{\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \text{SM } \bar{\text{SM}} v} \rangle (n_\psi^{\text{eq}})^2 + \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi} v} \rangle n_a^2, \\ \frac{dn_a}{dt} + 3Hn_a &= \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \Gamma_{a \rightarrow \text{SM } \text{SM}} \rangle (n_a^{\text{eq}} - n_a) + \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \text{SM } \bar{\text{SM}} v} \rangle \left((n_a^{\text{eq}})^2 - n_a^2 \right) - \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi} v} \rangle n_a^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

To solve eq. 3.4, we substitute $Y = \frac{n}{s}$ and $x = \frac{m_\psi}{T}$, and apply the entropy conservation $\frac{d(sa^3)}{dt} = 0$, to derive the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} sHx \frac{dy_\psi}{dx} &= \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \sigma_{\psi\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \text{SM } \bar{\text{SM}} v} \rangle (n_\psi^{\text{eq}})^2 + \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi} v} \rangle s^2 y_a^2, \\ sHx \frac{dy_a}{dx} &= \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \Gamma_{a \rightarrow \text{SM } \text{SM}} \rangle (n_a^{\text{eq}} - sy_a) + \sum_{\text{SM}} \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \text{SM } \bar{\text{SM}} v} \rangle \left((n_a^{\text{eq}})^2 - s^2 y_a^2 \right) - \langle \sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi} v} \rangle s^2 y_a^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where, $s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_{*s}(T) T^3$, is the entropy density of the Universe and $g_{*s}(T)$ is the effective degrees of freedom at temperature T . The thermal axion width and cross-section expressions are required to solve the coupled Boltzmann equations 3.5. These cross-sections fall into three categories: DM - SM, DM - Axion, and SM - Axion, as outlined in table 2. In the table, we present the Feynman diagrams for the relevant $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes: $gg, \gamma\gamma, aa \rightarrow \psi\psi$ *psi*. Additionally, the expressions for the axion decay width and the annihilation cross-sections for these channels are provided in Appendices A and B, respectively. The freeze-in regime occurs when the DM does not thermalize with the visible sector, i.e. the interaction rates (Γ) must drop below the Hubble expansion rate in the early Universe. The interaction rate for the process of type $XX \rightarrow YY$ is as follows:

$$\Gamma_{XX \rightarrow YY} = n_X^{\text{eq}} \langle \sigma_{XX \rightarrow YY} \rangle.$$

To evaluate these rates, we choose $m_\psi = 500$ GeV, and, $f_a = 10^9$ GeV in addition to parameters fixed already in 3. The remaining parameters can be inferred from the equations and their relations provided in sec. 2. In fig. 1, we display the interaction rates for the channels $gg \rightarrow \psi\psi$, $aa \rightarrow gg$, and, $aa \rightarrow \psi\psi$, alongside the Hubble expansion rate. The rates for DM - gluon, axion - gluon, and DM - axion channels drop below the Hubble rate

DM - Axion	DM - SM	SM - Axion
$aa \leftrightarrow \psi\psi$	$gg, \gamma\gamma \leftrightarrow \psi\psi$	$aa \leftrightarrow gg, \gamma\gamma$
$g_{a\psi\psi}^4$	$g_{aVV}^2 g_{a\psi\psi}^2$	g_{aVV}^4

Table 2: The relevant Feynman diagrams for axion and Dirac fermion dark matter with coupling order are shown. Here $V = \gamma$, g is the photon and gluon.




Figure 1: Hubble expansion rate and the interaction rates (Γ) for various channels with temperature are plotted for $m_\psi = 500 \text{ GeV}$, and, $f_a = 10^9 \text{ GeV}$. Temperature dependencies of Hubble and interaction rates: DM - axion, DM - gluon, and axion - gluon are depicted in black, green, blue, and pink, respectively.

up to a very high temperature. We notice that the axion-gluon interaction is very small, therefore, the axion does not thermalize as well. We then implemented the model described




Figure 2: The evolution of Dirac fermion yield Y_ψ with temperature T is shown for $m_\psi = 500$ GeV and $f_a = 10^9$ GeV.

in section 2 and axion interactions 2.1 in the FeynRules package [60], and, calculate DM-related observables using the MicrOmega package [61]. We assume initial FIMP and axion abundances are zero without loss of generality. Since axion production from eq. 3.5 is negligible, therefore, we do not discuss axion relics further from interactions. In figure 2, we display the co-moving abundance y_ψ variation with temperature T for reheating temperatures $T_{RH} = 10^{8,10,12}$ GeV, represented by black, green, and blue lines, respectively. We notice that the higher reheating temperature results in an increased yield y_ψ . We also notice that FIMP production mainly occurs through gluon and photon channels.

3.1 Relic density

In this section, we determine the feasible parameter space from the relic density constraint 1.1 on the Dirac fermion (ψ). In fig.3, we display the allowed parameter space with colored data points on DM mass (m_ψ) with axion - photon coupling strength ($|g_{a\gamma}|/f_a$) plane, where, $g_{a\gamma} = \left(\frac{E}{N} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{4+z+w}{1+z+w}\right) \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi f_a}$. The left and right panels present the constrained parameter space for $T_{RH} = 10^{8,12}$ GeV respectively. The solid black line in the graphs illustrates the 3σ range from the relic bound, whereas, the dark green points show the region for the underabundance of DM. We also seek the FIMP signatures on the axion mass (m_a) and $|g_{a\gamma}|/f_a$ plane. Figure 4 illustrates several bounds from astrophysical, cosmological, and other experimental searches. The solid lines represent the current experimental limits on the axion-photon coupling from CAST [62], SN87A [63, 64], NGC 1275 [65], ADMX




Figure 3: The panels shows the allowed region using relic constraints [7] on DM mass and axion-photon coupling ($|g_{a\gamma}|/f_a$) plane.

[66], HB [67], BBN [68], CMB [69]), etc., while the dashed lines indicate the projected sensitivities of future experiments such as CASPER [70], ABRACADABRA [71], FermiLAT [72], KLASH [73], CULTASK [74], MADMAX [75], IAXO [76], BabyIAXO [77], BH superradiance [78] etc. The light-yellowish band in the middle represents various QCD axion models, while the forest-green line corresponds to axion dark matter in the KSVZ model. The multi-colored broad line represents the contour for the FIMP mass ranges m_ψ , extending from 1 GeV to 10 TeV. Additionally, this multi-colored line falls within the 3σ range of the relic density bound. Now, we estimate the non-thermal production of axions, which depends on the breaking of the PQ symmetry scale and the occurrence of inflation. If PQ symmetry breaks before or during inflation i.e. $f_a > T_{RH}$, it effectively diluted the contributions from strings and domain wall, leaving only the misalignment contribution [79, 80], which is as follows:

$$\Omega_a h^2 \approx \Omega_{DM} h^2 \left[\theta_i^2 + \left(\frac{H_I}{2\pi f_I} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{f_a}{10^{12} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1.19} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{400 \text{ MeV}} \right). \quad (3.6)$$

Here, θ_i is the uniform initial misalignment angle from a small patch that expanded during inflation. The parameters f_I , and H_I are the axion decay constant and Hubble parameter during inflation. However, the uniform axion field acquires quantum fluctuations during inflation, increasing the isocurvature perturbations which are subjected to the Planck constraint [81]. We carefully choose $\theta_i = 0.1$, $H_I = 10^{14}$ GeV, $f_I = 10^{15}$ GeV, and, $m_\psi = 500$ GeV, which respect the bounds from isocurvature perturbations. The axion relic density can then be straightforwardly calculated using eq. 3.6, and we notice that the axion abundance $\Omega_a h^2$ is very small and FIMP DM contributes solely to the total relic. However, if PQ symmetry breaks after inflation ($T_{RH} > f_a$), then axions can be produced through the misalignment mechanism, strings, and domain walls [56, 82–84], which implies total




Figure 4: A summary of current bounds and future sensitivities from various experimental searches are shown on the axion mass (m_a) and the axion - photon coupling ($|g_{a\gamma}|/f_a$) plane. The plot displays the colored contour as the permitted parameter space for FIMP from the 3σ range of the relic bound.

contribution to axion abundance:

$$\Omega_a h^2 = (4.63 + (7.3 \pm 3.9)N_{\text{DW}}^2 + (3.7 \pm 1.4)) \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{400 \text{ MeV}} \right) \left(\frac{f_a}{10^{10} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{1.19} \quad (3.7)$$

Here domain wall number $N_{\text{DW}} = 1$ is obtained. The total dark matter abundance is constrained using, $\Omega_{\text{T}} h^2 = \Omega_{\psi} h^2 + \Omega_a h^2 > \Omega_{\text{DM}} h^2 (10\%)$, with $m_{\psi} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ and $T_{\text{RH}} = 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$, as displayed in fig. 5. The small colored contour shows the contribution of FIMP relics to the observed relic abundance 1.1.

3.2 Direct detection

Numerous dark matter experiments, like LUX [15] and XENON1T [17], have set stringent limits on DM-nucleon scattering cross-section and even more stringent limits come from XENONnT [85]. DM-nucleon scattering cross-section can be evaluated using the following




Figure 5: The plot displays the colored contour as the permitted parameter space for FIMP and axion together from $\Omega_T h^2 > \Omega_{DM} h^2 (10\%)$. The colored contour presents the FIMP contribution to the observed relic abundance.

effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \sim \left(\frac{g_a g_{\alpha\psi\psi}}{m_a^2} \right) (\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi) G^{a\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{a\mu\nu} \quad (3.8)$$

here, $G\tilde{G}$ reduces to a term having an additional γ_5 , which leads to q^4 momentum suppression in scattering cross-section compare to typical q^2 dependence. Also, f_a^{-2} in couplings further reduces the cross-section. Direct detection requires recoil energies of $\mathcal{O}(10 \text{ KeV})$, enabling axion-mediated FIMPs to bypass stringent direct bounds due to their smaller cross-section.

4 Conclusion

We study a fermionic DM model with axion as the mediator in a KSVZ-like extension of SM. In this model, we conduct a detailed analysis of the interplay between DM, axion, and neutrino mass generation using the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry. The introduction

of axions dynamically resolves the strong CP problem, while small neutrino masses are generated due to the PQ charged Higgs like doublet. We emphasize the limitations of WIMP and present FIMPs as a compelling alternative. The high-scale physics of KSVZ-like axion and its coupling with fermion DM suggests the UV freeze-in mechanism for its production, which also evades the stringent direct detection bounds. We examine axion and FIMP as DM separately and together, while considering several existing bounds and projected experimental limits on axion mass and its coupling with the photon. This simple extension to SM can provide good candidates to DM, generate Dirac mass to neutrinos, and solve the Strong CP problem, by interlinking them, it may be a promising extension to KSVZ type of models.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Debasish Borah for the insightful discussions and guidance, essential for completing this work. We thank IMSc, Chennai for their support during my search for post-doctoral positions, which facilitated to begin this work.

A Axion Decay Widths

The relevant axion decay width expressions are as follows:

$$\Gamma_{a \rightarrow gg} = \frac{8m_a^3 g_{ag}^2}{\pi f_a^2}, \quad \Gamma_{a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{m_a^3 g_{a\gamma}^2}{2\pi f_a^2}, \quad \Gamma_{a \rightarrow \psi\bar{\psi}} = \frac{X_\Phi^2 m_a m_\psi^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{m_a^2}}}{8\pi f_a^2}$$

where $g_{ag} = \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi f_a}$ and $g_{a\gamma} = \left(\frac{E}{N} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{4+z+w}{1+z+w}\right) \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi f_a}$ with α_s and, α_{em} as strong and electro-magnet coupling.

B Annihilation cross sections

The relevant cross-section expressions for many annihilation channels are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{gg \rightarrow \psi\psi} &= \frac{X_\Phi^2 g_{ag}^2 m_\psi^2 s^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{s}}}{8\pi f_a^4 (m_a^2 - s)^2}, & \sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \psi\psi} &= \frac{X_\Phi^2 g_{a\gamma}^2 m_\psi^2 s^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{s}}}{\pi f_a^4 (m_a^2 - s)^2} \\ \sigma_{\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow \psi\psi} &= \frac{X_\Phi^2 X_{H_2}^2 m_\psi^2 m_\nu^2 s \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{s}}}{2\pi f_a^4 (m_a^2 - s)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\nu^2}{s}}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{aa \rightarrow \psi\psi} &= \frac{2X_{\Phi}^4 m_{\psi}^2}{\pi f_a^4 s(s-4m_a^2)} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{(s-4m_a^2)(s-4m_{\psi}^2)}(-4m_a^2 m_{\psi}^2 s + m_{\psi}^2 s^2 + m_a^4(s-2m_{\psi}^2))}{m_a^4 - 4m_a^2 m_{\psi}^2 + m_{\psi}^2 s} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{2m_{\psi}^2(2m_a^4 - 4m_a^2 s + s^2) \log\left(\frac{s-2m_a^2 - \sqrt{(s-4m_a^2)(s-4m_{\psi}^2)}}{s-2m_a^2 + \sqrt{(s-4m_a^2)(s-4m_{\psi}^2)}}\right)}{s-2m_a^2} \right\} \\
\sigma_{aa \rightarrow gg} &= \frac{8g_{ag}^4}{\pi f_a^4 s(s-2m_a^2)(s-4m_a^2)} \left\{ \sqrt{s(s-4m_a^2)}(-12m_a^6 + 14m_a^4 s - 14m_a^2 s^2 + 5s^3) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. 4m_a^4(3m_a^4 - 4m_a^2 s + s^2) \log\left(\frac{s-2m_a^2 - \sqrt{s(s-4m_a^2)}}{s-2m_a^2 + \sqrt{s(s-4m_a^2)}}\right) \right\} \\
\sigma_{aa \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} &= \frac{g_{a\gamma}^4}{\pi f_a^4 s(s-2m_a^2)(s-4m_a^2)} \left\{ \sqrt{s(s-4m_a^2)}(-12m_a^6 + 14m_a^4 s - 14m_a^2 s^2 + 5s^3) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. 4m_a^4(3m_a^4 - 4m_a^2 s + s^2) \log\left(\frac{s-2m_a^2 - \sqrt{s(s-4m_a^2)}}{s-2m_a^2 + \sqrt{s(s-4m_a^2)}}\right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] F. Zwicky, *On the Masses of Nebulae and of Clusters of Nebulae*, [apj](#) **86** (1937) 217.
- [2] V.C. Rubin, W.K. Ford and N. Thonnard, *Rotational properties of 21 sc galaxies with a large range of luminosities and radii, from ngc 4605 /r = 4kpc/ to ugc 2885 /r = 122 kpc/*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **238** (1980) 471.
- [3] D. Clowe, M. Bradač, A.H. Gonzalez, M. Markevitch, S.W. Randall, C. Jones et al., *A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **648** (2006) L109–L113.
- [4] D. Walsh, R.F. Carswell and R.J. Weymann, *0957+561 A, B: twin quasistellar objects or gravitational lens?*, *nat* **279** (1979) 381.
- [5] A.A. Penzias and R.W. Wilson, *A Measurement of Excess Antenna Temperature at 4080 Mc/s.*, [apj](#) **142** (1965) 419.
- [6] WMAP collaboration, *Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results*, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208** (2013) 19 [1212.5226].
- [7] PLANCK collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6 [1807.06209].
- [8] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, *Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints*, *Phys. Rept.* **405** (2005) 279 [hep-ph/0404175].
- [9] S. Profumo, L. Giani and O.F. Piattella, *An Introduction to Particle Dark Matter*, *Universe* **5** (2019) 213 [1910.05610].

- [10] A. Merle, *keV sterile neutrino Dark Matter*, *PoS NOW2016* (2017) 082 [1702.08430].
- [11] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski and K. Griest, *Supersymmetric dark matter*, *Phys. Rept.* **267** (1996) 195 [hep-ph/9506380].
- [12] M. Schumann, *Direct detection of WIMP dark matter: concepts and status*, *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* **46** (2019) 103003.
- [13] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, 1990. 10.1201/9780429492860.
- [14] PANDAX-II collaboration, *Dark Matter Results from First 98.7 Days of Data from the PandaX-II Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 121303 [1607.07400].
- [15] LUX collaboration, *Results from a search for dark matter in the complete LUX exposure*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 021303 [1608.07648].
- [16] LUX-ZEPLIN collaboration, *Projected WIMP sensitivity of the LUX-ZEPLIN dark matter experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 052002 [1802.06039].
- [17] XENON collaboration, *Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 111302 [1805.12562].
- [18] XENON collaboration, *Projected WIMP sensitivity of the XENONnT dark matter experiment*, *JCAP* **11** (2020) 031 [2007.08796].
- [19] HESS collaboration, *Search for γ -Ray Line Signals from Dark Matter Annihilations in the Inner Galactic Halo from 10 Years of Observations with H.E.S.S.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 201101 [1805.05741].
- [20] *Limits to dark matter annihilation cross-section from a combined analysis of MAGIC and fermi-LAT observations of dwarf satellite galaxies*, feb, 2016. 10.1088/1475-7516/2016/02/039.
- [21] M. Aaboud, G. Aad and B. Abbott, *Search for dark matter and other new phenomena in events with an energetic jet and large missing transverse momentum using the atlas detector*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (2018) .
- [22] CMS collaboration, *Search for narrow and broad dijet resonances in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and constraints on dark matter mediators and other new particles*, *JHEP* **08** (2018) 130 [1806.00843].
- [23] L.J. Hall, K. Jedamzik, J. March-Russell and S.M. West, *Freeze-in production of fimp dark matter*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2010** (2010) .
- [24] N. Bernal, M. Heikinheimo, T. Tenkanen, K. Tuominen and V. Vaskonen, *The dawn of fimp dark matter: A review of models and constraints*, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **32** (2017) 1730023.
- [25] G. Bélanger, F. Boudjema, A. Goudelis, A. Pukhov and B. Zaldivar, *micrOMEGAs5.0 : Freeze-in*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **231** (2018) 173 [1801.03509].
- [26] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 083C01.

- [27] PLANCK collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6 [[1807.06209](#)].
- [28] M. Lattanzi and M. Gerbino, *Status of neutrino properties and future prospects - Cosmological and astrophysical constraints*, *Front. in Phys.* **5** (2018) 70 [[1712.07109](#)].
- [29] R.D. Peccei, *QCD, strong CP and axions*, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **29** (1996) S199 [[hep-ph/9606475](#)].
- [30] J.E. Kim and G. Carosi, *Axions and the Strong CP Problem*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82** (2010) 557 [[0807.3125](#)].
- [31] A. Hook, *TASI Lectures on the Strong CP Problem and Axions*, *PoS TASI2018* (2019) 004 [[1812.02669](#)].
- [32] M.P. Lombardo and A. Trunin, *Topology and axions in QCD*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **35** (2020) 2030010 [[2005.06547](#)].
- [33] I.G. Irastorza and J. Redondo, *New experimental approaches in the search for axion-like particles*, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **102** (2018) 89–159.
- [34] R.D. Peccei, *The Strong CP problem and axions*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **741** (2008) 3 [[hep-ph/0607268](#)].
- [35] S. Weinberg, *A New Light Boson?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 223.
- [36] F. Wilczek, *Problem of Strong P and T Invariance in the Presence of Instantons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 279.
- [37] J.E. Kim, *Weak Interaction Singlet and Strong CP Invariance*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 103.
- [38] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *Can Confinement Ensure Natural CP Invariance of Strong Interactions?*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **166** (1980) 493.
- [39] M. Dine, W. Fischler and M. Srednicki, *A Simple Solution to the Strong CP Problem with a Harmless Axion*, *Phys. Lett. B* **104** (1981) 199.
- [40] E. Ma, *Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 077301 [[hep-ph/0601225](#)].
- [41] S. Gola, *Pseudo scalar dark matter in a generic $U(1)X$ model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **842** (2023) 137982 [[2212.04698](#)].
- [42] Y. Nomura and J. Thaler, *Dark matter through the axion portal*, *Physical Review D* **79** (2009) .
- [43] S. Gola, S. Mandal and N. Sinha, *ALP-portal majorana dark matter*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **37** (2022) 2250131 [[2106.00547](#)].
- [44] A. Salvio, *A simple motivated completion of the standard model below the planck scale: Axions and right-handed neutrinos*, *Physics Letters B* **743** (2015) 428–434.
- [45] C. Carvajal, B. Sánchez-Vega and O. Zapata, *Linking axionlike dark matter to neutrino masses*, *Physical Review D* **96** (2017) .

- [46] G. Ballesteros, J. Redondo, A. Ringwald and C. Tamarit, *Standard model—axion—seesaw—higgs portal inflation. five problems of particle physics and cosmology solved in one stroke*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2017** (2017) 001–001.
- [47] E. Peinado, M. Reig, R. Srivastava and J.W.F. Valle, *Dirac neutrinos from peccei–quinn symmetry: A fresh look at the axion*, *Modern Physics Letters A* **35** (2020) 2050176.
- [48] D.K. Ghosh, A. Ghoshal and S. Jeusun, *Axion-like particle (alp) portal freeze-in dark matter confronting alp search experiments*, 2023.
- [49] A. Bharucha, F. Brümmer, N. Desai and S. Mutzel, *Axion-like particles as mediators for dark matter: beyond freeze-out*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2023** (2023) .
- [50] G.G. Raffelt, *Astrophysical axion bounds*, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **741** (2008) 51 [[hep-ph/0611350](#)].
- [51] A. Friedland, M. Giannotti and M. Wise, *Constraining the Axion-Photon Coupling with Massive Stars*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 061101 [[1210.1271](#)].
- [52] A. Ayala, I. Domínguez, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi and O. Straniero, *Revisiting the bound on axion-photon coupling from Globular Clusters*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 191302 [[1406.6053](#)].
- [53] J. Jaeckel and M. Spannowsky, *Probing mev to 90 gev axion-like particles with lep and lhc*, *Physics Letters B* **753** (2016) 482–487.
- [54] M. Bauer, M. Heiles, M. Neubert and A. Thamm, *Axion-Like Particles at Future Colliders*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 74 [[1808.10323](#)].
- [55] A. Hook, S. Kumar, Z. Liu and R. Sundrum, *High Quality QCD Axion and the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 221801 [[1911.12364](#)].
- [56] L.D. Duffy and K.v. Bibber, *Axions as dark matter particles*, *New Journal of Physics* **11** (2009) 105008.
- [57] K. Kannike, *Vacuum Stability Conditions From Copositivity Criteria*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2093 [[1205.3781](#)].
- [58] M. Srednicki, *Axion Couplings to Matter. 1. CP Conserving Parts*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **260** (1985) 689.
- [59] S. Baek, *Dirac neutrino from the breaking of peccei–quinn symmetry*, *Physics Letters B* **805** (2020) 135415.
- [60] A. Alloul, N.D. Christensen, C. Degrande, C. Duhr and B. Fuks, *Feynrules 2.0 — a complete toolbox for tree-level phenomenology*, *Computer Physics Communications* **185** (2014) 2250–2300.
- [61] G. Belanger, A. Mjallal and A. Pukhov, *Recasting direct detection limits within micrOMEGAs and implication for non-standard Dark Matter scenarios*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 239 [[2003.08621](#)].
- [62] CAST collaboration, *New CAST Limit on the Axion-Photon Interaction*, *Nature Phys.* **13** (2017) 584 [[1705.02290](#)].

- [63] G. Raffelt and D. Seckel, *Bounds on Exotic Particle Interactions from SN 1987a*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 1793.
- [64] A. Burrows, M.S. Turner and R.P. Brinkmann, *Axions and SN 1987a*, *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 1020.
- [65] M. Ajello and A.e.a. Albert, *Search for spectral irregularities due to photon–axionlike-particle oscillations with the fermi large area telescope*, *Physical Review Letters* **116** (2016) .
- [66] T. Braine and R.e.a. Cervantes, *Extended search for the invisible axion with the axion dark matter experiment*, *Physical Review Letters* **124** (2020) .
- [67] S.-P. Li and X.-J. Xu, *Production rates of dark photons and Z' in the Sun and stellar cooling bounds*, *JCAP* **09** (2023) 009 [2304.12907].
- [68] P.F. Depta, M. Hufnagel and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, *Robust cosmological constraints on axion-like particles*, *JCAP* **05** (2020) 009 [2002.08370].
- [69] F. Capozzi, R.Z. Ferreira, L. Lopez-Honorez and O. Mena, *CMB and Lyman- α constraints on dark matter decays to photons*, *JCAP* **06** (2023) 060 [2303.07426].
- [70] D. Budker, P.W. Graham, M. Ledbetter, S. Rajendran and A.O. Sushkov, *Proposal for a cosmic axion spin precession experiment (casper)*, *Physical Review X* **4** (2014) .
- [71] ABRACADABRA collaboration, *First Results from ABRACADABRA-10cm: A Search for Low-Mass Axion Dark Matter*, in *54th Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories*, pp. 229–234, 2019 [1905.06882].
- [72] M. Meyer, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi, J. Conrad and M. Sánchez-Conde, *Fermi large area telescope as a galactic supernovae axionscope*, *Physical Review Letters* **118** (2017) .
- [73] D. Alesini and B. et al, *The future search for low-frequency axions and new physics with the flash resonant cavity experiment at frascati national laboratories*, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **42** (2023) 101370.
- [74] S. Lee, S. Ahn, J. Choi, B.R. Ko and Y.K. Semertzidis, *Axion Dark Matter Search around 6.7 μeV* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 101802 [2001.05102].
- [75] A. Caldwell, G. Dvali, B. Majorovits, A. Millar, G. Raffelt, J. Redondo et al., *Dielectric haloscopes: A new way to detect axion dark matter*, *Physical Review Letters* **118** (2017) .
- [76] E. Armengaud and D.e.a. Attié, *Physics potential of the international axion observatory (iaxo)*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2019** (2019) 047–047.
- [77] S.e.a. Ahyoune, *A proposal for a low-frequency axion search in the 1–2 muev range and below with the babyiaxo magnet*, *Annalen der Physik* **535** (2023) .
- [78] V. Cardoso, O.J.C. Dias, G.S. Hartnett, M. Middleton, P. Pani and J.E. Santos, *Constraining the mass of dark photons and axion-like particles through black-hole superradiance*, *JCAP* **03** (2018) 043 [1801.01420].
- [79] E.J. Chun, *Axion dark matter with high-scale inflation*, *Physics Letters B* **735** (2014) 164–167.

- [80] K. Choi, K.S. Jeong and M.-S. Seo, *String theoretic qcd axions in the light of planck and bicep2*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2014** (2014) .
- [81] PLANCK collaboration, *Planck 2013 results. XXII. Constraints on inflation*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **571** (2014) A22 [[1303.5082](#)].
- [82] M. Kawasaki, K. Saikawa and T. Sekiguchi, *Axion dark matter from topological defects*, *Physical Review D* **91** (2015) 065014.
- [83] T. Hiramatsu, M. Kawasaki, K. Saikawa and T. Sekiguchi, *Production of dark matter axions from collapse of string-wall systems*, *Physical Review D—Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology* **85** (2012) 105020.
- [84] A. Ringwald and K. Saikawa, *Axion dark matter in the post-inflationary peccei-quinn symmetry breaking scenario*, *Physical Review D* **93** (2016) .
- [85] E. Aprile and K.e. Abe, *First dark matter search with nuclear recoils from the xenonnt experiment*, *Physical Review Letters* **131** (2023) .