

Effective Lagrangian for strong and electromagnetic interactions of high-spin resonances

Sang-Ho Kim,^{1,*} Yongseok Oh,^{2,†} Sangyeong Son,² S. Sakinah,² and Myung-Ki Cheoun¹

¹*Department of Physics and Origin of Matter and Evolution of Galaxies (OMEG) Institute, Soongsil University, Seoul 06978, Korea*

²*Department of Physics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Korea*
(Dated: December 30, 2024)

Recent experiments of photon-nucleon and meson-nucleon scatterings have accumulated a lot of data for various meson production processes. One of the purposes of those experiments is to search for the missing resonances which are not discovered until now but whose existence was predicted by hadron models. The analyses of the data requires the development of dynamical coupled-channel models. Since several missing resonances are expected to have spin higher than $3/2$, we need to include higher-spin resonances in dynamical coupled-channel models, which enable us to determine the couplings of effective Lagrangians of higher-spin baryons with pseudoscalar mesons or vector mesons. However, hadron models, such as quark models, give predictions only of the decay amplitudes of such baryons. Here we demonstrate the formalism of high-spin resonances and construct the relation between the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians and the partial decay widths that can be predicted by hadron models. This allows us to compare the coupling constants to the hadron model predictions not only in magnitude but in sign as well.

I. INTRODUCTION

Until today there have been extensive studies on meson production in the photon-nucleon or meson-nucleon scattering at various electron/photon- and meson-beam facilities. One of the aims of these investigations is to obtain a clue to solve the so-called “missing resonance puzzle”. In the review of Particle Data Group (PDG) [1], there are about 27 nucleon resonances and 22 Δ resonances. However, many phenomenological models on baryon structure such as quark potential models predict much richer spectrum of such resonances [2]. Hence, many resonances are still missing and yet to be discovered. This discrepancy has been attributed to the weak coupling of missing resonances to the πN channel, which has offered a way to discover most resonances reported in the PDG. Therefore, there have been continuous efforts to find missing resonances in other reactions such as vector-meson photoproduction [3–7], η -meson photoproduction [8–12], and K -meson photoproduction [13–18]. Through these studies, one hopes to discover such missing resonances by analyzing the scattering data in the resonance region. Similar problems are also found for the spectra of strange hyperons and heavy-flavor hadrons.

In addition to the “missing resonance puzzle”, another major research topic is to constrain and understand the properties of resonances. The properties of most resonances listed in the PDG are not well known including the spin-parity quantum numbers and decay widths. In some cases even the mass of a resonance could be estimated with a very wide range. This is because of the model dependence of resonance parameters when extracted from the experimental data.

Constraining the basic properties of resonances such as masses, spin-parity quantum numbers, and total and partial decay widths is crucial to understand the strong and electromagnetic (EM) interactions through the study of baryon structure because of the strong model dependence of the resonance spectrum. The success of most phenomenological models to describe the masses of ground state octet and decuplet baryons is mainly based on the group structure of the flavor symmetry and its breaking. On the other hand, the predicted mass spectrum of excited states is strongly dependent on the assumed dynamics and, therefore, is highly model dependent (see, for example, Refs. [2, 19]). Understanding the properties of known resonances together discovering new resonances is, therefore, expected to shed light on the understanding of hadron structure.

Among the known resonances, most of the resonances with a low mass have spin- $1/2$ or $3/2$. However, one can easily find higher-spin states for higher-mass resonances and even the resonance with spin- $15/2$ is listed in the PDG. Therefore, it is required to include high-spin resonances in the analyses of the photon-nucleon and meson-nucleon scattering data. Indeed, there have been efforts to include spin- $5/2$ baryons in the analyses of scattering data [20]. Furthermore, the recent analyses of Ξ production via photo- [21] and K - [22–24] beams show the important role of a spin- $7/2$ hyperon resonance in the reaction mechanism. All these works show the importance of including high-spin resonances in analyzing the experimental observables. The method to include spin- $3/2$ baryons in the mechanism of meson-production processes has been studied by many authors since the seminal work of Rarita and Schwinger [25]. However, the interactions and decays of resonances with a higher spin are not well-known. It is thus required to investigate the formalism for describing the interactions and decays of high-spin resonances. Such efforts can be found, for ex-

* E-mail: shkimphy@gmail.com

† Deceased April 3, 2023

ample, in Ref. [26], where the interaction Lagrangian is constructed based on a quark model. In this work, we describe the general and relativistic form of the interaction Lagrangian and partial decay width of a baryon with an arbitrary spin based on the Rarita-Schwinger formalism [25].

Another motivation of this work is how to relate the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians of resonances to the predictions of baryon models. By constructing effective Lagrangians, we have to introduce coupling constants which should be determined by the experimental data. However, what we can know from experimental data is the partial decay widths of resonances. This allows us to estimate the magnitude of coupling constants but their signs are not determined. The signs of coupling constants are related to the relative phase of the wave functions of the participating particles, which can constrain phenomenological models for hadron structure. Furthermore, the problem of sign ambiguity becomes crucial as we include more and more intermediate states in reaction mechanisms. In fact, the signs of coupling constants as well as their magnitudes should be extracted by analyzing observed physical quantities with sophisticated coupled-channel models. (see, for example, Ref. [27].) Even in this model, however, since there are many coupling constants, it is important to know the meaningful starting values to avoid local minima during the fitting procedure. Thus, if we believe that some phenomenological models can provide reasonable range of coupling constants, we can use such predictions of the starting guess for coupling constants in coupled-channel approaches. In many phenomenological models for hadron structure, the wavefunctions of resonances are identified, which leads to the predictions of the decay amplitudes. Therefore, we need to know the relationship between the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians and the predictions of hadron models on the decay amplitudes. This will be done in this work and the explicit relation between the two will be made.

Stemming from the approach to construct the Rarita-Schwinger spin-vector [25], this field consists of the two irreducible representations for spin-3/2 and spin-1/2 degrees of freedom. Those are reduced to the physical spin degrees of freedom describing the on-shell spin-3/2 particle with the help of the Euler-Lagrange field equations. However, for the spin-3/2 field off the mass shell, one encounters the inconsistent coupling constants accompanying the unphysical spin-1/2 backgrounds for interactions such as the $\Delta \rightarrow \pi N$ decay. Several approaches have been suggested to address the consistent interaction involving the pure spin-3/2 field, with the spin-1/2 contributions decoupled from observables (see, for a review, Sec. IV of Ref. [28] and references therein). Such efforts include the construction of interaction Lagrangians invariant under the point transformation [29–33], the gauge transformation [34, 35], and the unification thereof for high-spin fields [36]. It was also shown that any inconsistent coupling can be transformed into consistent coupling

via a field redefinition and the equivalence theorem [37].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we first review the propagators of arbitrary spin fields. This is required to calculate the partial decay widths and to construct the reaction amplitudes for scattering processes. Here we give the general expression for the propagators for bosons as well as for fermions. It also contains explicit formulae for the propagators of several high-spin fields. Then, in Sec. III, we construct effective Lagrangians of arbitrary spin resonances which couple to a meson and a spin-1/2 baryon, i.e., the nucleon. Here we consider their couplings to pseudoscalar mesons and to vector mesons. Namely, we construct the general effective Lagrangians for $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 1/2^+$ and for $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 1/2^+$. It is then straightforward to extend the formulae to the cases of scalar and pseudovector mesons. The explicit formulae for the decay amplitudes of resonances are given in terms of the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians. Section IV applies the process of $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 1/2^+$ to the photo-decay of resonances into the nucleon. Because of the absence of the helicity-0 state, the number of independent couplings reduces by one.

Recent activities also show that the production of decuplet baryons would have non-negligible cross sections compared to that of octet baryon production [38, 39]. Therefore, it is necessary to have the formalism for the interactions and decays of a spin-3/2 baryon. Section V contains those information for $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 3/2^+$ and for $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 3/2^+$. Finally, we summarize in Sec. VI. Several explicit formulae for propagators of the fields are given in Appendix.

II. PROPAGATORS

In this section, we review the general form of the propagators of a field with an arbitrary spin. The general expression for propagators can be found in Refs. [40–43]. We here summarize the main results for the form of the propagators referring the details to Refs. [40–43].

In the Rarita-Schwinger formalism, a boson field of spin- j is described by a tensor of rank $n = j$, $R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}$, which satisfies a free field equation,

$$(\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + M^2) R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where M is its mass. This field is symmetric under the exchange of two indices, i.e., $R_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_j \dots \alpha_n} = R_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j \dots \alpha_i \dots \alpha_n}$, and satisfies the subsidiary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\alpha_1} R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n} &= 0, \\ g^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where p^α is the four-momentum of the field.

A fermion field of spin- j is represented by a tensor of rank $n = j - 1/2$, which contains a Dirac spinor. Its free field equation is

$$(i \not{\partial} - M) R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Again, this field is symmetric under the exchange of two indices. In addition to the subsidiary conditions of Eq. (2), the fermion field has an additional constraint as follows

$$\gamma^{\alpha_1} R_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The general form of the propagator is

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} \quad (5)$$

for a boson field and

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} (\not{p} + M) \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} \quad (6)$$

for a fermion field. The projection operator Δ has upper and lower indices through its definition, which reads

$$\sum_{\text{spin}} R_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} R^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} = \Lambda_{\pm} \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}, \quad (7)$$

for both the boson and fermion fields, where $\Lambda_{\pm} = (M \pm \not{p})/2M$ are the usual energy projection operators of the spin-1/2 Dirac field in the case of a fermion and $\Lambda_{\pm} = 1$ in the case of a boson.

In Refs. [40–43], the general expression for the projection operator for a spin- j field with a momentum p , i.e., $\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(j, p)$, is derived. For a boson of spin $j = n$, we have

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(j, p) = \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^2 \sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \bar{g}_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} + a_1^{(n)} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \prod_{i=3}^n \bar{g}_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} + \dots + a_{n/2}^{(n)} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \dots \bar{g}_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n} \bar{g}^{\beta_{n-1} \beta_n} \right], \quad (8)$$

if $n = j$ is an even integer, and

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(j, p) = -\left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^2 \sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \bar{g}_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} + a_1^{(n)} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \prod_{i=3}^n \bar{g}_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} + \dots + a_{(n-1)/2}^{(n)} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \dots \bar{g}_{\alpha_{n-2} \alpha_{n-1}} \bar{g}^{\beta_{n-2} \beta_{n-1}} \bar{g}_{\alpha_n}^{\beta_n} \right], \quad (9)$$

if $n = j$ is an odd integer¹, where the sum is over all possible permutations of α_i 's and β_i 's. For a fermion of spin $j = n - 1/2$, it is written as

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1}}(j, p) = -\frac{n}{2n+1} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} \Delta_{\alpha \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^{\beta \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1}}(j + \frac{1}{2}, p). \quad (10)$$

Here, the constant $a_r^{(n)}$ is defined as

$$a_r^{(n)} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^r \frac{n!}{r!(n-2r)!} \times \frac{1}{(2n-1)(2n-3)\dots(2n-2r+1)}. \quad (11)$$

In Eqs. (8)-(9), $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{p^2} p_{\mu} p_{\nu}. \quad (12)$$

It is also convenient to define

$$\bar{\gamma}^{\mu} = \gamma^{\nu} \bar{g}_{\nu}^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} - \frac{1}{p^2} \not{p} p^{\mu}. \quad (13)$$

It is then straightforward to obtain the explicit expression for $\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(j, p)$ of a given spin and those for several cases are given in Appendix.

III. COUPLINGS OF BARYON RESONANCES INTO A MESON AND A SPIN-1/2 BARYON

In this section, we construct the general form of the $RN\pi$ and RNV interactions, where R stands for a baryon resonance of spin-parity j^P and V denotes a vector meson. The effective Lagrangians obtained for these interactions describe the decays of $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 1/2^+$ and $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 1/2^+$. Throughout this paper, we do *not* consider the isospin factor. The isospin factor depends on the flavor structure as shown, for example, in Ref. [44]. Since the final particle has $j^P = 1/2^+$, we represent it by the nucleon.

A. Pseudoscalar meson couplings

The number of independent coupling constants (or form factors) of the decay of a spin- s' particle into pion and a spin- s particle, depicted in Fig. 1, can be obtained by considering angular momentum conservation and in-

¹ References [40–43] use the convention of $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ while we adopt $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

variance under P and T transformations [45]. Shown in Table I are the results for the two cases [45], namely, when the particles other than the pion are fermions and bosons. It is then clear that, for the decay of $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 1/2^+$, there is only one form factor as $j_{\min} = \min(s, s')$ is always $1/2$.




FIG. 1. Vertex of the pion decay of a spin- s' baryon into a spin- s baryon.

	$(-1)^\gamma = +1$	$(-1)^\gamma = -1$
Fermions	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$
Bosons	$j_{\min} + 1$	j_{\min}

TABLE I. Number of independent couplings for the pion decay of a spin- s' particle into a spin- s particle [45]. The upper (lower) line is for a fermion (boson) decay. Here $\gamma = s + s' + P + 1$, where $(-1)^P = P_i P_f$, i.e., the relative parity of the initial and final states whose spins are s' and s , respectively, and $j_{\min} = \min(s, s')$.

The decay amplitude for $R \rightarrow N\pi$ can be written as [46, 47]

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \pi(\mathbf{k}_\pi) N(-\mathbf{k}_\pi, m_f) | -i\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} | R(\mathbf{0}, m_j) \rangle \\ & = 4\pi M_R \sqrt{\frac{2}{k_\pi}} \sum_{\ell, m_\ell} \langle \ell m_\ell \frac{1}{2} m_f | j m_j \rangle Y_{\ell, m_\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\pi) G(\ell), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\langle \ell m_\ell \frac{1}{2} m_f | j m_j \rangle$ and $Y_{\ell, m_\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\pi)$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient and spherical harmonics, respectively. $k_\pi = |\mathbf{k}_\pi|$ is the magnitude of the three-momentum of the pion in the rest frame of the resonance,

$$k_\pi = \frac{1}{2M_R} \sqrt{[M_R^2 - (M_N + M_\pi)^2][M_R^2 - (M_N - M_\pi)^2]}, \quad (15)$$

where M_h is the mass of a hadron h .

The partial-wave decay amplitude $G(\ell)$ is related to the decay width $\Gamma(R \rightarrow N\pi)$ as follows

$$\Gamma(R \rightarrow N\pi) = \sum_{\ell} |G(\ell)|^2. \quad (16)$$

The spin-parity of the resonance places constraints on the relative orbital angular momentum ℓ of the $N\pi$ final state. When R has $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, the relative orbital angular momentum is restricted by the angular momentum conservation, so s wave ($\ell = 0$) is only allowed.

Similarly, when R has $j^P = (1/2^+, 3/2^+)$, $(3/2^-, 5/2^-)$, $(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$, $(7/2^-, 9/2^-)$, and $9/2^+$, the final state is in the relative p , d , f , g , and h waves, respectively.

We present the explicit form of the interaction Lagrangians and the relevant decay widths and partial-wave decay amplitudes below. For later use, we define

$$\Gamma^{(\pm)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \\ \gamma_\mu \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

1. Spin-1/2 resonance

When R has $j^P = 1/2^\pm$, although there is only one form factor in this case, one can adopt the pseudoscalar or pseudovector coupling for the interaction Lagrangian as [48]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RN\pi}^{(1/2^\pm)} & = -g_{RN\pi} \bar{N} \left[i\lambda \Gamma^{(\pm)} \pi \mp \frac{1-\lambda}{M_R \pm M_N} \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} \partial^\mu \pi \right] R \\ & \mp g_{RN\pi} \bar{R} \left[i\lambda \Gamma^{(\pm)} \pi - \frac{1-\lambda}{M_R \pm M_N} \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} \partial^\mu \pi \right] N, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the upper sign is for a positive parity resonance and the lower for a negative parity resonance. The parameter λ is introduced for taking either the pseudovector ($\lambda = 0$) or pseudoscalar ($\lambda = 1$) coupling as in the case of the $NN\pi$ coupling. Since we are considering a resonance decay, both the initial and final baryons are on their mass shell and the two coupling schemes are equivalent.

The obtained decay width for $R \rightarrow N\pi$ reads ²

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) = \frac{g_{RN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi}{M_R} (E_N \mp M_N), \quad (19)$$

where E_N is the energy of the nucleon

$$E_N = \sqrt{M_N^2 + k_\pi^2} = \frac{1}{2M_R} (M_R^2 + M_N^2 - M_\pi^2). \quad (20)$$

The partial-wave decay amplitude $G(\ell)$ derived from Eq. (14) is given by

$$G(1) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N - M_N} g_{RN\pi}, \quad (21)$$

for $j^P = 1/2^+$ resonance, and

$$G(0) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N + M_N} g_{RN\pi}, \quad (22)$$

for $j^P = 1/2^-$ resonance.

² Note that we do not include the isospin factor.

2. Spin-3/2 resonance

The $RN\pi$ interaction where R has $j^P = 3/2^\pm$ can be found, for example, in Ref. [48]. Since we are considering the decays of resonances, our results are independent of the terms that contain the off-shell parameters. Therefore, throughout this paper, we neglect the off-shell parameters and the interaction Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{RN\pi}^{(3/2^\pm)} = \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi} \bar{N} \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \pi R^\mu \pm \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi} \bar{R}^\mu \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \pi N. \quad (23)$$

Then the decay width is obtained as

$$\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{RN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^3}{M_R M_\pi^2} (E_N \pm M_N). \quad (24)$$

The obtained partial-wave decay amplitude is given by

$$G(1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} k_\pi \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N + M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi}, \quad (25)$$

for $j^P = 3/2^+$ resonance, and

$$G(2) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} k_\pi \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N - M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi}, \quad (26)$$

for $j^P = 3/2^-$ resonance.

3. Spin-5/2 resonance

The $RN\pi$ interaction Lagrangian for resonances of $j \geq 3/2$ can be obtained by taking more derivatives on the pion field. In the case of the resonance with $j^P = 5/2^\pm$, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RN\pi}^{(5/2^\pm)} = & i \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^2} \bar{N} \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \pi R^{\mu\nu} \\ & \pm i \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^2} \bar{R}^{\mu\nu} \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \pi N, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

which leads to

$$\Gamma(\frac{5}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) = \frac{2}{15} \frac{g_{RN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^5}{M_R M_\pi^4} (E_N \mp M_N). \quad (28)$$

This is consistent with the expression given in Ref. [26].

The obtained partial-wave decay amplitude is given by

$$G(3) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{30}\pi} k_\pi^2 \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N - M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^2}, \quad (29)$$

for $j^P = 5/2^+$ resonance, and

$$G(2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}\pi} k_\pi^2 \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N + M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^2}, \quad (30)$$

for $j^P = 5/2^-$ resonance.

4. Spin-7/2 resonance

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RN\pi}^{(7/2^\pm)} = & -\frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^3} \bar{N} \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\alpha \pi R^{\mu\nu\alpha} \\ & \mp \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^3} \bar{R}^{\mu\nu\alpha} \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\alpha \pi N, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which leads to

$$\Gamma(\frac{7}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) = \frac{2}{35} \frac{g_{RN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^7}{M_R M_\pi^6} (E_N \pm M_N), \quad (32)$$

and

$$G(3) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{70\pi}} k_\pi^3 \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N + M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^3}, \quad (33)$$

for $j^P = 7/2^+$ resonance, and

$$G(4) = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{70\pi}} k_\pi^3 \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N - M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^3}, \quad (34)$$

for $j^P = 7/2^-$ resonance.

5. Spin-9/2 resonance

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RN\pi}^{(9/2^\pm)} = & -i \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^4} \bar{N} \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \pi R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \\ & \mp i \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^4} \bar{R}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \pi N, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

which leads to

$$\Gamma(\frac{9}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) = \frac{8}{315} \frac{g_{RN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^9}{M_R M_\pi^8} (E_N \mp M_N), \quad (36)$$

and

$$G(5) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{315\pi}} k_\pi^4 \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N - M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^4}, \quad (37)$$

for $j^P = 9/2^+$ resonance, and

$$G(4) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{315\pi}} k_\pi^4 \sqrt{\frac{k_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_N + M_N} \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^4}, \quad (38)$$

for $j^P = 9/2^-$ resonance.

6. Summary

Thus, the general form of the effective Lagrangian for the $RN\pi$ interaction can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{RN\pi} = \frac{g_{RN\pi}}{M_\pi^{n-1}} \bar{N} \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_{n-1}} \pi \left[i \Gamma^{(\pm)} \right] R^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{n-1}} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (39)$$

where R stands for a spin- j resonance with $j = n - 1/2$ and N is the spin-1/2 field. The insertion of the factor $[i\Gamma^{(\pm)}]$ should be made depending on the spin-parity of the resonance and the pion mass M_π is introduced to make the coupling constant $g_{RN\pi}$ dimensionless.

The decay widths for $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 1/2^+$ obtained above are summarized below.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) &= \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi}{M_R} (E_N \mp M_N), \\ \Gamma(\tfrac{3}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) &= \frac{1}{3} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^3}{M_R M_\pi^2} (E_N \pm M_N), \\ \Gamma(\tfrac{5}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) &= \frac{2}{15} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^5}{M_R M_\pi^4} (E_N \mp M_N), \\ \Gamma(\tfrac{7}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) &= \frac{2}{35} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^7}{M_R M_\pi^6} (E_N \pm M_N), \\ \Gamma(\tfrac{9}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\pi) &= \frac{8}{315} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{k_\pi^9}{M_R M_\pi^8} (E_N \mp M_N).\end{aligned}\quad (40)$$

Our results are consistent with the formula of Ref. [42] for the width of the $R \rightarrow N\pi$ decay, which reads

$$\Gamma(R \rightarrow N\pi) = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{2^n (n!)^2}{n(2n)!} \frac{k_\pi^{2n-1}}{M_R M_\pi^{2(n-1)}} (E_N \pm M_N), \quad (41)$$

for $(-1)^n P_j = \pm 1$, where P_j is the parity of the spin- j resonance R and $n = j + 1/2$.

B. Vector meson couplings

We now consider the decays of a spin- s' baryon into the vector meson and spin- s baryon, as shown in Fig. 2. The number of independent couplings is estimated in a more sophisticated way and we quote the results of Ref. [45] in Table II. Here J_0 is the time component of the vector current and J_\pm is its space component, $J_{\pm 1} = \mp(J_x \pm iJ_y)/\sqrt{2}$. The total number of independent couplings is the sum of the numbers for J_0 and J_{+1} .




FIG. 2. Vertex of the vector-meson decay of a spin- s' baryon into a spin- s baryon.

Table II indicates that, in our case of $R \rightarrow NV$ decay, there are 3 independent couplings for $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 1/2^+$ with $j \geq 3/2$, while the $1/2^P \rightarrow 1^- + 1/2^+$ decay has 2 independent terms.

The helicity amplitudes for the decay of $R \rightarrow NV$ are related to the decay width as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(R \rightarrow NV) &= \frac{k_V^2}{\pi} \frac{2M_N}{(2j+1)M_R} \\ &\times \left\{ |A_{1/2}|^2 + |S_{1/2}|^2 + |A_{3/2}|^2 \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (42)$$

where $k_V = |\mathbf{k}_V|$ is the magnitude of the three-momentum of the vector meson in the rest frame of the resonance

$$k_V = \frac{1}{2M_R} \sqrt{[M_R^2 - (M_N + M_V)^2][M_R^2 - (M_N - M_V)^2]}.\quad (43)$$

The helicity amplitudes are then obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}A_\lambda^{(j\pm)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8M_N M_R k_V}} \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \\ &\times \int d\cos\theta d\phi e^{-i(m-\lambda)\phi} d_{\lambda m}^j(\theta) \\ &\times \langle \mathbf{k}_V, \lambda_V, \lambda_N | -i\mathcal{M}_{R \rightarrow NV} | jm \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{R \rightarrow NV}$ is the transition amplitude. The lower index denotes the helicity of the final NV state, i.e., $\lambda = \lambda_V - \lambda_N$, where we use an abbreviation as follows: $A_{1/2} : 1 - \frac{1}{2}$, $S_{1/2} : 0 + \frac{1}{2}$, $A_{3/2} : 1 + \frac{1}{2}$.

The details of the interaction Lagrangians and the relevant decay widths and helicity amplitudes are given below.

1. Spin-1/2 resonance

In this case, we have 2 independent terms in the interaction Lagrangian, which reads [49]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{RNV}^{(1/2\pm)} &= -\frac{1}{2M_N} \bar{N} \left[g_2 \left(\pm \frac{\Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} \partial^2}{M_R \mp M_N} - i\Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \right) - g_1 \Gamma^{(\mp)} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \right] V^\mu R \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2M_N} \bar{R} \left[g_2 \left(\pm \frac{\Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} \partial^2}{M_R \mp M_N} \pm i\Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \right) \mp g_1 W_\Gamma^{(\mp)} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \right] V^\mu N,\end{aligned}\quad (45)$$

	$(-1)^\gamma = +1$		$(-1)^\gamma = -1$	
	J_0	J_{+1}	J_0	J_{+1}
Nonidentical fermions ($s' = s$)	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$	$2j_{\min}$	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$	$2j_{\min}$
($s' \neq s$)	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$	$2j_{\min} + 1$	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$	$2j_{\min} + 1$
Nonidentical bosons ($s' = s$)	$j_{\min} + 1$	$2j_{\min}$	j_{\min}	$2j_{\min}$
($s' \neq s$)	$j_{\min} + 1$	$2j_{\min} + 1$	j_{\min}	$2j_{\min} + 1$
Identical fermions($s' = s$)	—	—	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$	$j_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}$
Identical bosons ($s' = s$)	$j_{\min} + 1$	j_{\min}	—	—

TABLE II. Number of independent couplings for the vector current of a spin- s' particle into a spin- s particle transition [45]. Here $\gamma = s + s' + P$, where $(-1)^P$ is the relative parity of the initial and final states whose spins are s' and s , respectively, and $j_{\min} = \min(s, s')$. See Ref. [45] for the details.

where V^μ is the vector-meson field. Note that the g_2 term contains $\partial^2 V^\mu$, which is required to satisfy the gauge invariance condition.

This leads to the decay width as

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}^\pm \rightarrow NV) = \frac{1}{16\pi} \frac{k_V(E_N \mp M_N)}{M_N^2 M_R} \left\{ g_1^2 [2(M_R \pm M_N)^2 + M_V^2] - 6g_1 g_2 \frac{M_V^2}{(M_R \mp M_N)^2} (M_R^2 - M_N^2) + g_2^2 \frac{M_V^2}{(M_R \mp M_N)^2} [(M_R \pm M_N)^2 + 2M_V^2] \right\}. \quad (46)$$

Note that all g_2 terms contain the vector meson mass M_V .

2. Spin $\geq 3/2$ resonances

The effective Lagrangian for the RNV interaction for the resonance of spin $j \geq 3/2$ can be constructed by using the field strength tensor of the vector meson $V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu$. As discussed above, there are 3 independent terms in this case. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RNV}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{ig_1}{2M_N} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} - \frac{g_2}{(2M_N)^2} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\pm)} + \frac{g_3}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] V^{\mu\nu} R_\mu + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RNV}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{g_1}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} - \frac{ig_2}{(2M_N)^3} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} + \frac{ig_3}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha V^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\alpha} + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RNV}^{(7/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{ig_1}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} + \frac{g_2}{(2M_N)^4} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\pm)} - \frac{g_3}{(2M_N)^4} \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta V^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RNV}^{(9/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{g_1}{(2M_N)^4} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} + \frac{ig_2}{(2M_N)^5} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} - \frac{ig_3}{(2M_N)^5} \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \partial^\rho V^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\alpha\beta\rho} + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Then the decay widths are obtained as follows

- spin-3/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}^\pm \rightarrow NV) &= \frac{1}{12\pi} \frac{k_V}{M_R} (E_N \mp M_N) \\ &\times \left\{ \bar{g}_1^2 [2E_N(E_N \pm M_N) + (M_R \pm M_N)^2 + 2M_V^2] + \bar{g}_2^2 [E_N^2(2M_R^2 + M_V^2) - 2M_N^2(M_R^2 - M_V^2)] \right. \\ &+ \bar{g}_3^2 M_V^2 (E_N^2 - M_N^2 + 3M_V^2) \mp 2\bar{g}_1 \bar{g}_2 \left[\frac{E_N}{2} (3M_R^2 + M_N^2 \pm 2M_N M_R + 3M_V^2) - M_N^2 (2M_R \pm M_N) \right] \\ &\left. + 2\bar{g}_2 \bar{g}_3 M_V^2 (E_N^2 + 2M_N^2 - 3E_N M_R) \pm 2\bar{g}_3 \bar{g}_1 M_V^2 (3M_R - 2E_N \pm M_N) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (48a)$$

- spin-5/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{5}{2}^\pm \rightarrow NV) &= \frac{1}{60\pi} \frac{k_V^3}{M_R} (E_N \pm M_N) \\ &\times \left\{ \bar{g}_1^2 [4E_N(E_N \mp M_N) + (M_R \mp M_N)^2 + 4M_V^2] + \bar{g}_2^2 [E_N^2(3M_R^2 + 2M_V^2) - 3M_N^2(M_R^2 - M_V^2)] \right. \\ &+ \bar{g}_3^2 M_V^2(2E_N^2 - 2M_N^2 + 5M_V^2) \pm 2\bar{g}_1\bar{g}_2 [E_N(2M_R^2 + M_N^2 \mp M_N M_R + 3M_V^2) - M_N^2(3M_R \mp M_N)] \\ &\left. + 2\bar{g}_2\bar{g}_3 M_V^2(2E_N^2 + 3M_N^2 - 5E_N M_R) \mp 2\bar{g}_3\bar{g}_1 M_V^2(5M_R - 4E_N \mp M_N) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (49a)$$

- spin-7/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{7}{2}^\pm \rightarrow NV) &= \frac{1}{210\pi} \frac{k_V^5}{M_R} (E_N \mp M_N) \\ &\times \left\{ \bar{g}_1^2 [6E_N(E_N \pm M_N) + (M_R \pm M_N)^2 + 6M_V^2] + \bar{g}_2^2 [E_N^2(4M_R^2 + 3M_V^2) - 4M_N^2(M_R^2 - M_V^2)] \right. \\ &+ \bar{g}_3^2 M_V^2(3E_N^2 - 3M_N^2 + 7M_V^2) \mp 2\bar{g}_1\bar{g}_2 \left[\frac{E_N}{2}(5M_R^2 + 3M_N^2 \pm 2M_N M_R + 9M_V^2) - M_N^2(4M_R \pm M_N) \right] \\ &\left. + 2\bar{g}_2\bar{g}_3 M_V^2(3E_N^2 + 4M_N^2 - 7E_N M_R) \pm 2\bar{g}_3\bar{g}_1 M_V^2(7M_R - 6E_N \pm M_N) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (50a)$$

- spin-9/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{9}{2}^\pm \rightarrow NV) &= \frac{1}{630\pi} \frac{k_V^7}{M_R} (E_N \pm M_N) \\ &\times \left\{ \bar{g}_1^2 [8E_N(E_N \mp M_N) + (M_R \mp M_N)^2 + 8M_V^2] + \bar{g}_2^2 [E_N^2(5M_R^2 + 4M_V^2) - 5M_N^2(M_R^2 - M_V^2)] \right. \\ &+ \bar{g}_3^2 M_V^2(4E_N^2 - 4M_N^2 + 9M_V^2) \pm 2\bar{g}_1\bar{g}_2 [E_N(3M_R^2 + 2M_N^2 \mp M_N M_R + 6M_V^2) - M_N^2(5M_R \mp M_N)] \\ &\left. + 2\bar{g}_2\bar{g}_3 M_V^2(4E_N^2 + 5M_N^2 - 9E_N M_R) \mp 2\bar{g}_3\bar{g}_1 M_V^2(9M_R - 8E_N \mp M_N) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (51a)$$

For $n = j + 1/2$, we have

$$\bar{g}_1 = \frac{g_1}{(2M_N)^{n-1}}, \quad \bar{g}_2 = \frac{g_2}{(2M_N)^n}, \quad \bar{g}_3 = \frac{g_3}{(2M_N)^n}. \quad (52)$$

3. Helicity amplitudes

The helicity amplitudes derived from Eq. (44) read

- spin-1/2

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1/2}^{(1/2\pm)} &= \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{E_N \mp M_N}}{M_N \sqrt{k_V M_N}} \left[(M_R \pm M_N)g_1 - \frac{M_V^2}{M_R \mp M_N} g_2 \right], \\ S_{1/2}^{(1/2\pm)} &= -\frac{M_V}{4M_N} \sqrt{\frac{E_N \mp M_N}{k_V M_N}} \left[g_1 - \frac{M_R \pm M_N}{M_R \mp M_N} g_2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (53a)$$

- spin-3/2

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \mp \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \frac{\sqrt{E_N \mp M_N}}{M_N \sqrt{k_V M_N}} \left\{ \frac{g_1}{M_R} [M_N(M_R \pm M_N) \mp M_V^2] + \frac{g_2}{4M_N} (M_R^2 - M_N^2 - M_V^2) - \frac{g_3}{2M_N} M_V^2 \right\}, \\ S_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \mp \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \frac{M_V \sqrt{E_N \mp M_N}}{M_N \sqrt{k_V M_N}} \left\{ g_1 \mp \frac{g_2}{4M_N M_R} (M_R^2 + M_N^2 - M_V^2) \pm \frac{g_3}{4M_N M_R} (M_R^2 - M_N^2 + M_V^2) \right\}, \\ A_{3/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \mp \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{E_N \mp M_N}}{M_N \sqrt{k_V M_N}} \left\{ g_1(M_R \pm M_N) \mp \frac{g_2}{4M_N} (M_R^2 - M_N^2 - M_V^2) \pm \frac{g_3}{2M_N} M_V^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (54a)$$

- spin-5/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{20}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(3/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{20}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(3/2\mp)}, \quad A_{3/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} A_{3/2}^{(3/2\mp)}. \quad (55a)$$

- spin-7/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \quad A_{3/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{42}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} A_{3/2}^{(5/2\mp)}. \quad (56a)$$

- spin-9/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \quad A_{3/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} A_{3/2}^{(7/2\mp)}. \quad (57a)$$

IV. COUPLINGS OF BARYON RESONANCES INTO A PHOTON AND A SPIN-1/2 BARYON

The analysis obtained in Sec. III can be directly applied to the photo-decay of resonances into the nucleon. In this case, since the vector current is the real photon, we have an additional condition, i.e., the absence of the helicity-0 state, which reduces the number of independent couplings by one. In fact, the g_3 terms in Sec. III are not present in the case of real photon, and the results can be readily obtained from those for the vector meson case.

The interaction Lagrangians read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{RN\gamma}^{(1/2\pm)} &= \frac{ef_1}{2M_N} \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu A^\mu R \\ &\quad \pm \frac{ef_1}{2M_N} \bar{R}\Gamma^{(\mp)} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu A^\mu N, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RN\gamma}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{ief_1}{2M_N} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} - \frac{ef_2}{(2M_N)^2} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\pm)} \right] \\ &\quad \times F^{\mu\nu} R_\mu + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RN\gamma}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{ef_1}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} - \frac{ief_2}{(2M_N)^3} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} \right] \\ &\quad \times \partial^\alpha F^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\alpha} + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RN\gamma}^{(7/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{ief_1}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} + \frac{ef_2}{(2M_N)^4} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\pm)} \right] \\ &\quad \times \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta F^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{RN\gamma}^{(9/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{ef_1}{(2M_N)^4} \bar{N}\Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} + \frac{ief_2}{(2M_N)^5} \partial_\nu \bar{N}\Gamma^{(\mp)} \right] \\ &\quad \times \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \partial^\rho F^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\alpha\beta\rho} + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and A^μ the photon field.

The obtained decay widths are given as follows [50]

- spin-1/2

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\gamma) = \alpha_{\text{em}} f_1^2 \frac{k_\gamma^3}{M_N^2}. \quad (59a)$$

- spin-3/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\gamma) &= \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{12} \frac{k_\gamma^3}{M_R^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ [\bar{f}_1(3M_R \pm M_N) \mp \bar{f}_2 M_R (M_R \mp M_N)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3 [\bar{f}_1 \mp \bar{f}_2 M_R]^2 (M_R \mp M_N)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (60a)$$

- spin-5/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{5}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\gamma) &= \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{90} \frac{k_\gamma^5}{M_R^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ [\bar{f}_1(4M_R \mp 2M_N) \pm \bar{f}_2 M_R (M_R \pm M_N)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8 [\bar{f}_1 \pm \bar{f}_2 M_R]^2 (M_R \pm M_N)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (61a)$$

- spin-7/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{7}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\gamma) &= \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{420} \frac{k_\gamma^7}{M_R^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ [\bar{f}_1(5M_R \pm 3M_N) \mp \bar{f}_2 M_R (M_R \mp M_N)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 15 [\bar{f}_1 \mp \bar{f}_2 M_R]^2 (M_R \mp M_N)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (62a)$$

- spin-9/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{9}{2}^\pm \rightarrow N\gamma) &= \frac{\alpha_{\text{em}}}{1575} \frac{k_\gamma^9}{M_R^2} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ [\bar{f}_1(6M_R \mp 4M_N) \pm \bar{f}_2 M_R (M_R \pm M_N)]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 24 [\bar{f}_1 \pm \bar{f}_2 M_R]^2 (M_R \pm M_N)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (63a)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\text{em}} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}, \quad k_\gamma = \frac{1}{2M_R} (M_R^2 - M_N^2),$$

$$\bar{f}_1 = \frac{f_1}{(2M_N)^{n-1}}, \quad \bar{f}_2 = \frac{f_2}{(2M_N)^n}, \quad (64)$$

for $n = j + 1/2$.

The decay width for $R \rightarrow N\gamma$ is related to the helicity amplitudes of the photo-transition as

$$\Gamma(R \rightarrow N\gamma) = \frac{k_\gamma^2}{\pi} \frac{2M_N}{(2j+1)M_R} \{|A_{1/2}|^2 + |A_{3/2}|^2\}. \quad (65)$$

Therefore, we have [50–52]

- spin-1/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(1/2\pm)} = \mp \frac{ef_1}{2M_N} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma M_R}{M_N}}. \quad (66a)$$

- spin-3/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} = \mp \frac{e\sqrt{6}}{12} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma}{M_N M_R}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 + \frac{f_2}{4M_N^2} M_R (M_R \mp M_N) \right],$$

$$A_{3/2}^{(3/2\pm)} = \mp \frac{e\sqrt{2}}{4M_N} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma M_R}{M_N}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 \mp \frac{f_2}{4M_N} (M_R \mp M_N) \right]. \quad (67a)$$

- spin-5/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \pm \frac{e}{4\sqrt{10}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma}{M_N M_R}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 + \frac{f_2}{4M_N^2} M_R (M_R \pm M_N) \right],$$

$$A_{3/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \pm \frac{e}{4\sqrt{5}} \frac{k_\gamma}{M_N^2} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma M_R}{M_N}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 \pm \frac{f_2}{4M_N} (M_R \pm M_N) \right]. \quad (68a)$$

- spin-7/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \mp \frac{e\sqrt{70}}{280} \frac{k_\gamma^2}{M_N^2} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma}{M_N M_R}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 + \frac{f_2}{4M_N^2} M_R (M_R \mp M_N) \right],$$

$$A_{3/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \mp \frac{e\sqrt{42}}{168} \frac{k_\gamma^2}{M_N^3} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma M_R}{M_N}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 \mp \frac{f_2}{4M_N} (M_R \mp M_N) \right]. \quad (69a)$$

- spin-9/2

$$A_{1/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \pm \frac{e\sqrt{14}}{336} \frac{k_\gamma^3}{M_N^3} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma}{M_N M_R}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 + \frac{f_2}{4M_N^2} M_R (M_R \pm M_N) \right],$$

$$A_{3/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \pm \frac{e\sqrt{21}}{336} \frac{k_\gamma^3}{M_N^4} \sqrt{\frac{k_\gamma M_R}{M_N}}$$

$$\times \left[f_1 \pm \frac{f_2}{4M_N} (M_R \pm M_N) \right]. \quad (70a)$$

All of them reproduce the results for $\Gamma(R \rightarrow N\gamma)$ given before. Note that the lower indices stand for the $N\gamma$ helicities $\lambda = \lambda_\gamma - \lambda_N$, i.e., $A_{1/2} : 1 - \frac{1}{2}$, $A_{3/2} : 1 + \frac{1}{2}$.

V. COUPLINGS OF BARYON RESONANCES INTO A MESON AND A SPIN-3/2 BARYON

In this section, we construct the general form of the $R\Delta\pi$ and $R\Delta V$ interactions, where Δ denotes a spin-3/2 baryon. The effective Lagrangians obtained for these interactions describe the decays of $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 3/2^+$ and $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 3/2^+$. As mentioned previously, we do *not* consider the isospin factor.

A. Pseudoscalar meson couplings

For the decay of $j^P \rightarrow 0^- + 3/2^+$, the number of independent couplings is indicated in Table I. There are only one coupling for $j = 1/2$ resonances and 2 independent terms for resonances with $j \geq 3/2$.

The decay amplitude for $R \rightarrow \Delta\pi$ can be expressed as [52]

$$\langle \pi(\mathbf{q}_\pi) \Delta(-\mathbf{q}_\pi, m_f) | -i\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} | R(\mathbf{0}, m_j) \rangle$$

$$= 4\pi M_R \sqrt{\frac{2}{q_\pi}} \sum_{\ell, m_\ell} \langle \ell m_\ell \frac{3}{2} m_f | j m_j \rangle Y_{\ell, m_\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_\pi) G(\ell), \quad (71)$$

where $\langle \ell m_\ell \frac{3}{2} m_f | j m_j \rangle$ and $Y_{\ell, m_\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_\pi)$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient and spherical harmonics, respectively. $q_\pi = |\mathbf{q}_\pi|$ is the magnitude of the three-momentum of the pion in the rest frame of the resonance,

$$q_\pi = \frac{1}{2M_R} \sqrt{[M_R^2 - (M_\Delta + M_\pi)^2][M_R^2 - (M_\Delta - M_\pi)^2]}. \quad (72)$$

The relative orbital angular momentum ℓ of the $\Delta\pi$ final state is constrained by the spin-parity of the resonance. The relation between the partial-wave decay amplitude $G(\ell)$ and the decay width $\Gamma(R \rightarrow \Delta\pi)$ is given by

$$\Gamma(R \rightarrow \Delta\pi) = \sum_{\ell} |G(\ell)|^2. \quad (73)$$

We write the general form of the interaction Lagrangians and the relevant decay widths and partial-wave decay amplitudes below.

1. Spin-1/2 resonance

The interaction Lagrangian for spin-1/2 resonance can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta\pi}^{(1/2\pm)} = \frac{h_1}{M_\pi} \bar{\Delta}^\mu \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\mu \pi R + \text{H.c.}, \quad (74)$$

which leads to

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}^\pm \rightarrow \Delta\pi) = \frac{h_1^2}{6\pi} \frac{q_\pi^3 M_R}{M_\pi^2 M_\Delta^2} (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta). \quad (75)$$

The final state is in the relative p and d waves in the decays of positive and negative resonances, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$G(1) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi}} \frac{q_\pi}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{q_\pi M_R} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi}, \quad (76)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ resonance, and

$$G(2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi}} \frac{q_\pi}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{q_\pi M_R} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi}, \quad (77)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ resonance.

2. Spin-3/2 resonance

The interaction Lagrangian for spin-3/2 resonance can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{R\Delta\pi}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{h_1}{M_\pi} \bar{\Delta}^\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} + \frac{ih_2}{M_\pi^2} \bar{\Delta}_\nu \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial^\mu \right] \\ &\times \partial^\nu \pi R_\mu + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}^\pm \rightarrow \Delta\pi) &= \frac{1}{36\pi} \frac{q_\pi}{M_R M_\Delta^2} (E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta) \\ &\times \left[\frac{h_1^2}{M_\pi^2} (M_R \pm M_\Delta)^2 (2E_\Delta^2 \mp 2E_\Delta M_\Delta + 5M_\Delta^2) \right. \\ &\mp 2 \frac{h_1 h_2}{M_\pi^3} M_R q_\pi^2 (M_R \pm M_\Delta) (2E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta) \\ &\left. + 2 \frac{h_2^2}{M_\pi^4} M_R^2 q_\pi^4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

The final state is in the relative p and f waves in the decay of a positive parity resonance and is in the relative s and d waves in the decay of a negative resonance. The partial-wave decay amplitude can be expressed in terms of the coupling constants as

$$\begin{aligned} G(1) &= G_{11}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi} + G_{12}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^2}, \\ G(3) &= G_{31}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi} + G_{32}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}^{(3/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{30\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R + M_\Delta)(E_\Delta + 4M_\Delta), \\ G_{12}^{(3/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{30\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}, \\ G_{31}^{(3/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{10\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R + M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{32}^{(3/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{10\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(0) &= G_{01}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi} + G_{02}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^2}, \\ G(2) &= G_{21}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi} + G_{22}^{(3/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{01}^{(3/2)} &= \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R - M_\Delta)(E_\Delta + 2M_\Delta), \\ G_{02}^{(3/2)} &= \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}, \\ G_{21}^{(3/2)} &= -\frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R - M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{22}^{(3/2)} &= -\frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

3. Spin-5/2 resonance

The interaction Lagrangian for spin-5/2 resonance can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{R\Delta\pi}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{ih_1}{M_\pi^2} \bar{\Delta}^\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} - \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^3} \bar{\Delta}_\nu \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial^\mu \right] \\ &\times \partial^\nu \partial^\alpha \pi R_{\mu\alpha} + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{5}{2}^\pm \rightarrow \Delta\pi) &= \frac{1}{180\pi} \frac{q_\pi^3}{M_R M_\Delta^2} (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \\ &\times \left[\frac{h_1^2}{M_\pi^4} (M_R \mp M_\Delta)^2 (4E_\Delta^2 \pm 4E_\Delta M_\Delta + 7M_\Delta^2) \right. \\ &\pm 4 \frac{h_1 h_2}{M_\pi^5} M_R q_\pi^2 (M_R \mp M_\Delta) (2E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \\ &\left. + 4 \frac{h_2^2}{M_\pi^6} M_R^2 q_\pi^4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

The final state is in the relative p and f waves in the decay of a positive parity resonance and is in the relative d and g waves in the decay of a negative resonance. The partial-wave decay amplitude can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G(1) &= G_{11}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^2} + G_{12}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^3}, \\ G(3) &= G_{31}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^2} + G_{32}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{5}{2}^+$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}^{(5/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{30\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R - M_\Delta)(2E_\Delta + 3M_\Delta), \\ G_{12}^{(5/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}, \\ G_{31}^{(5/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R - M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{32}^{(5/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{15\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(2) &= G_{21}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^2} + G_{22}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^3}, \\ G(4) &= G_{41}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^2} + G_{42}^{(5/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{5}{2}^-$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{21}^{(5/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{35}}{210\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R + M_\Delta)(2E_\Delta + 5M_\Delta), \\ G_{22}^{(5/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{35}}{105\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}, \\ G_{41}^{(5/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{210}}{105\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R + M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{42}^{(5/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{210}}{105\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

4. Spin-7/2 resonance

The interaction Lagrangian for spin-7/2 resonance can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{R\Delta\pi}^{(7/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{h_1}{M_\pi^3} \bar{\Delta}^\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} - \frac{ih_2}{M_\pi^4} \bar{\Delta}_\nu \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial^\mu \right] \\ &\quad \times \partial^\nu \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \pi R_{\mu\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{7}{2}^\pm \rightarrow \Delta\pi) &= \frac{1}{210\pi} \frac{q_\pi^5}{M_R M_\Delta^2} (E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta) \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{h_1^2}{M_\pi^6} (M_R \pm M_\Delta)^2 (2E_\Delta^2 \mp 2E_\Delta M_\Delta + 3M_\Delta^2) \right. \\ &\quad \mp 2 \frac{h_1 h_2}{M_\pi^7} M_R q_\pi^2 (M_R \pm M_\Delta) (2E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta) \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{h_2^2}{M_\pi^8} M_R^2 q_\pi^4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

The final state is in the relative f and h waves in the decay of a positive parity resonance and is in the relative d and g waves in the decay of a negative resonance. The partial-wave decay amplitude can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G(3) &= G_{31}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^3} + G_{32}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^4}, \\ G(5) &= G_{51}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^3} + G_{52}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{7}{2}^+$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{31}^{(7/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{70}}{210\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R + M_\Delta)(E_\Delta + 2M_\Delta), \\ G_{32}^{(7/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{70}}{210\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^4 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}, \\ G_{51}^{(7/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{14}}{42\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R + M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{52}^{(7/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{14}}{42\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^4 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(2) &= G_{21}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^3} + G_{22}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^4}, \\ G(4) &= G_{41}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^3} + G_{42}^{(7/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

for a $j^P = \frac{7}{2}^-$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{21}^{(7/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{210}}{210\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R - M_\Delta)(3E_\Delta + 4M_\Delta), \\ G_{22}^{(7/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{30}}{70\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^4 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}, \\ G_{41}^{(7/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{42\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^2}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\quad \times (M_R - M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{42}^{(7/2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{42\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^4 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

5. Spin-9/2 resonance

The interaction Lagrangian for spin-9/2 resonance can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta\pi}^{(9/2\pm)} = \left[-\frac{ih_1}{M_\pi^4} \bar{\Delta}^\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} + \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^5} \bar{\Delta}_\nu \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial^\mu \right] \times \partial^\nu \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \partial^\rho \pi R_{\mu\alpha\beta\rho} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (96)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\frac{9}{2}^\pm \rightarrow \Delta\pi) &= \frac{1}{1890\pi} \frac{q_\pi^7}{M_R M_\Delta^2} (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \\ &\times \left[\frac{h_1^2}{M_\pi^8} (M_R \mp M_\Delta)^2 (8E_\Delta^2 \pm 8E_\Delta M_\Delta + 11M_\Delta^2) \right. \\ &\pm 8 \frac{h_1 h_2}{M_\pi^9} M_R q_\pi^2 (M_R \mp M_\Delta) (2E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \\ &\left. + 8 \frac{h_2^2}{M_\pi^{10}} M_R^2 q_\pi^4 \right]. \quad (97) \end{aligned}$$

The final state is in the relative f and h waves in the decay of a positive parity resonance and is in the relative g and i waves in the decay of a negative resonance. The partial-wave decay amplitude can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} G(3) &= G_{31}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^4} + G_{32}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^5}, \\ G(5) &= G_{51}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^4} + G_{52}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^5}, \quad (98) \end{aligned}$$

for a $j^P = \frac{9}{2}^+$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{31}^{(9/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{70}}{630\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R - M_\Delta)(4E_\Delta + 5M_\Delta), \\ G_{32}^{(9/2)} &= \frac{2\sqrt{70}}{315\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^5 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}, \\ G_{51}^{(9/2)} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{35}}{315\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R - M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{52}^{(9/2)} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{35}}{315\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^5 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta + M_\Delta}, \quad (99) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(4) &= G_{41}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^4} + G_{42}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^5}, \\ G(6) &= G_{61}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_1}{M_\pi^4} + G_{62}^{(9/2)} \frac{h_2}{M_\pi^5}, \quad (100) \end{aligned}$$

for a $j^P = \frac{9}{2}^-$ resonance, where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{41}^{(9/2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{2310}}{6930\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R + M_\Delta)(4E_\Delta + 7M_\Delta), \\ G_{42}^{(9/2)} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2310}}{3465\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^5 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}, \\ G_{61}^{(9/2)} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{1155}}{1155\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^3}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{q_\pi}{M_R}} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta} \\ &\times (M_R + M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_\Delta), \\ G_{62}^{(9/2)} &= \frac{2\sqrt{1155}}{1155\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{q_\pi^5 \sqrt{q_\pi M_R}}{M_\Delta} \sqrt{E_\Delta - M_\Delta}. \quad (101) \end{aligned}$$

B. Vector meson couplings

For the decay of $R \rightarrow \Delta V$, there are 3 independent couplings for $1/2^P \rightarrow 1^- + 3/2^+$, 5 independent terms for $3/2^P \rightarrow 1^- + 3/2^+$, and 6 independent terms for $j^P \rightarrow 1^- + 3/2^+$ with $j \geq 5/2$, as indicated in Table II.

The helicity amplitudes for the decay of $R \rightarrow \Delta V$ are related to the decay width as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(R \rightarrow \Delta V) &= \frac{q_V^2}{\pi} \frac{2M_N}{(2j+1)M_R} \left\{ |A_{1/2}|^2 + |S_{1/2}|^2 \right. \\ &\left. + |D_{1/2}|^2 + |A_{3/2}|^2 + |S_{3/2}|^2 + |D_{5/2}|^2 \right\}, \quad (102) \end{aligned}$$

where $q_V = |\mathbf{q}_V|$ is the magnitude of the three-momentum of the vector meson in the rest frame of the resonance

$$q_V = \frac{1}{2M_R} \sqrt{[M_R^2 - (M_\Delta + M_V)^2][M_R^2 - (M_\Delta - M_V)^2]}. \quad (103)$$

The helicity amplitudes are then obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} A_\lambda^{(j\pm)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8M_N M_R q_V}} \frac{2j+1}{4\pi} \\ &\times \int d\cos\theta d\phi e^{-i(m-\lambda)\phi} d_{\lambda m}^j(\theta) \\ &\times \langle \mathbf{q}_V, \lambda_V, \lambda_\Delta | -i\mathcal{M}_{R \rightarrow \Delta V} | jm \rangle, \quad (104) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{R \rightarrow \Delta V}$ is the transition amplitude. The lower index stands for the helicity of the final ΔV state, i.e., $\lambda = \lambda_V - \lambda_\Delta$, where we use an abbreviation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1/2} : 1 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad S_{1/2} : 0 + \frac{1}{2}, \quad D_{1/2} : -1 + \frac{3}{2}, \\ A_{3/2} : 1 + \frac{1}{2}, \quad S_{3/2} : 0 + \frac{3}{2}, \quad D_{5/2} : 1 + \frac{3}{2}. \quad (105) \end{aligned}$$

The details of the interaction Lagrangians and the relevant helicity amplitudes are given below.

1. *Effective Lagrangians*

The effective Lagrangian for the $R\Delta V$ interaction can be constructed by using the field strength tensor $V_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta V}^{(1/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{-ig_1}{2M_N} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} - \frac{g_2}{(2M_N)^2} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\pm)} + \frac{g_3}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] V^{\mu\nu} R + \text{H.c.}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta V}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{g_1}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} - \frac{ig_2}{(2M_N)^3} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\mp)} + \frac{ig_3}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha V^{\mu\nu} R_\alpha \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{g_4}{(2M_N)^2} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} - \frac{g_5}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} \partial_\nu \right] V^{\mu\nu} R_\alpha + \text{H.c.}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta V}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \left[\frac{ig_1}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} + \frac{g_2}{(2M_N)^4} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\pm)} - \frac{g_3}{(2M_N)^4} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta V^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{ig_4}{(2M_N)^3} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} - \frac{ig_5}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\beta V^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{ig_6}{2M_N} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} V^{\mu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} + \text{H.c.}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta V}^{(7/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{g_1}{(2M_N)^4} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\mp)} + \frac{ig_2}{(2M_N)^5} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\mp)} - \frac{ig_3}{(2M_N)^5} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\mp)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \partial^\rho V^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{g_4}{(2M_N)^4} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} - \frac{g_5}{(2M_N)^4} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\beta \partial^\rho V^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} + \frac{g_6}{(2M_N)^2} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\mp)} \partial^\rho V^{\mu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho} + \text{H.c.}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{R\Delta V}^{(9/2\pm)} &= \left[-\frac{ig_1}{(2M_N)^5} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma_\nu^{(\pm)} - \frac{g_2}{(2M_N)^6} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\pm)} + \frac{g_3}{(2M_N)^6} \bar{\Delta}_\mu \Gamma^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta \partial^\rho \partial^\delta V^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\delta} \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{ig_4}{(2M_N)^5} \partial_\nu \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} - \frac{ig_5}{(2M_N)^5} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} \partial_\nu \right] \partial^\beta \partial^\rho \partial^\delta V^{\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\delta} + \frac{ig_6}{(2M_N)^3} \bar{\Delta}^\alpha \Gamma_\mu^{(\pm)} \partial^\rho \partial^\delta V^{\mu\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\delta} + \text{H.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

In the case of $j \geq 7/2$, it is obtained by taking more derivatives on the vector field.

2. *Helicity amplitudes*

The helicity amplitudes derived from Eq. (104) are given as follows

- spin-1/2

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1/2}^{(1/2\pm)} &= -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N \sqrt{q_V} M_N} \left[g_1 \frac{M_R(M_R \pm M_\Delta) - M_V^2}{M_\Delta} + g_2 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{4M_N} - g_3 \frac{M_V^2}{2M_N} \right], \\
S_{1/2}^{(1/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N M_\Delta \sqrt{q_V} M_N} \left[g_1 M_\Delta M_V + g_2 \frac{M_R^2(M_R^2 - 4E_\Delta^2 + 4M_\Delta^2) + (M_\Delta^2 + M_V^2)(M_\Delta^2 + M_V^2 - 2M_R^2)}{8M_N M_V} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - g_3 \frac{M_V(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2)}{4M_N} \right], \\
D_{1/2}^{(1/2\pm)} &= \pm \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N \sqrt{q_V} M_N} \left[g_1 (M_R \pm M_\Delta) \mp g_2 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{4M_N} \pm g_3 \frac{M_V^2}{2M_N} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{107a}$$

- spin-3/2

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= -\frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^2 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \left[g_1 \frac{M_R(M_R \mp M_\Delta) - M_V^2}{M_\Delta} + g_2 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{4M_N} - g_3 \frac{M_V^2}{2M_N} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{E_\Delta}{M_\Delta} [g_4(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2) - g_5(2M_V^2)] \right\}, \\
S_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{12} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^2 M_\Delta \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \left[g_1 M_\Delta M_V \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + g_2 \frac{M_R^2(M_R^2 - 4E_\Delta^2 + 4M_\Delta^2) + (M_\Delta^2 + M_V^2)(M_\Delta^2 + M_V^2 - 2M_R^2)}{8M_N M_V} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - g_3 \frac{M_V(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2)}{4M_N} \right] - \frac{(2E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta)M_V}{2} [g_4 M_\Delta \pm g_5(M_R \pm M_\Delta)] \right\}, \\
D_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \mp \frac{1}{4\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^2 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \left[g_1(M_R \mp M_\Delta) \pm g_2 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{4M_N} \mp g_3 \frac{M_V^2}{2M_N} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left[g_4 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{2} - g_5 M_V^2 \right] \right\}, \\
A_{3/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{6}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^2 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} [g_4(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2) - g_5(2M_V^2)], \\
S_{3/2}^{(3/2\pm)} &= -\frac{1}{8} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta} M_V}{M_N^2 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} [g_4 M_\Delta \pm g_5(M_R \pm M_\Delta)], \tag{108a}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for the g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 couplings, which are contained only for $A_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)}$, $S_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)}$, and $D_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)}$, the following relations are satisfied

$$A_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(1/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(1/2\mp)}, \quad D_{1/2}^{(3/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} D_{1/2}^{(1/2\mp)}. \tag{109}$$

- spin-5/2

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{30}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^3 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ q_V^2 \left[g_1 \frac{M_R(M_R \pm M_\Delta) - M_V^2}{M_\Delta} + g_2 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{4M_N} - g_3 \frac{M_V^2}{2M_N} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \frac{E_\Delta}{M_\Delta} [g_4(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2) - g_5(2M_V^2)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g_6 \frac{2M_N^2}{M_\Delta} [(2E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta)(E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) + (2E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_R)] \right\}, \\
S_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{15}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^3 M_\Delta \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ q_V^2 \left[g_1 M_\Delta M_V \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + g_2 \frac{M_R^2(M_R^2 - 4E_\Delta^2 + 4M_\Delta^2) + (M_\Delta^2 + M_V^2)(M_\Delta^2 + M_V^2 - 2M_R^2)}{8M_N M_V} - g_3 \frac{M_V(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2)}{4M_N} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \frac{(2E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta)M_V}{2} [g_4 M_\Delta \mp g_5(M_R \mp M_\Delta)] \mp g_6(2M_N^2 M_V)(2E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta) \right\}, \\
D_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \pm \frac{1}{8\sqrt{10}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^3 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ q_V^2 \left[g_1(M_R \pm M_\Delta) \mp g_2 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{4M_N} \pm g_3 \frac{M_V^2}{2M_N} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) \left[g_4 \frac{M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2}{2} - g_5 M_V^2 \right] + g_6(2M_N^2)(2E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta - M_R) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{3/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{16\sqrt{15}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N^3 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) [g_4(M_R^2 - M_\Delta^2 - M_V^2) - g_5(2M_V^2)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \mp g_6 \frac{4M_N^2}{M_\Delta} [(2E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta)(E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) - (2E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta)(E_\Delta - M_R)] \right\}, \\
S_{3/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{10}} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta} M_V}{M_N^3 \sqrt{q_V M_N}} \left\{ (E_\Delta \pm M_\Delta) [g_4 M_\Delta \mp g_5(M_R \mp M_\Delta)] \pm g_6(4M_N^2) \right\}, \\
D_{5/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \mp \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{E_\Delta \mp M_\Delta}}{M_N \sqrt{q_V M_N}} g_6(M_R \pm M_\Delta),
\end{aligned} \tag{110a}$$

where, except for the g_6 term, the following relations are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{20}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(3/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{20}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(3/2\mp)}, \quad D_{1/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{20}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} D_{1/2}^{(3/2\mp)}, \\
A_{3/2}^{(5/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{3/2}^{(3/2\mp)}, \quad S_{3/2}^{(5/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{3/2}^{(3/2\mp)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

- spin-7/2

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1/2}^{(7/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \quad D_{1/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} D_{1/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \\
A_{3/2}^{(7/2\pm)} &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{42}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{3/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \quad S_{3/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{42}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{3/2}^{(5/2\mp)}, \quad D_{5/2}^{(7/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{21}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} D_{5/2}^{(5/2\mp)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{112a}$$

- spin-9/2

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{1/2}^{(9/2\pm)} &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{1/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \quad S_{1/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{1/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \quad D_{1/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6} \frac{q_V}{M_N} D_{1/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \\
A_{3/2}^{(9/2\pm)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} A_{3/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \quad S_{3/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{q_V}{M_N} S_{3/2}^{(7/2\mp)}, \quad D_{5/2}^{(9/2\pm)} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{q_V}{M_N} D_{5/2}^{(7/2\mp)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{113a}$$

VI. SUMMARY

We first reviewed the general expression for the propagators of arbitrary spin fields for bosons as well as for fermions. It contains explicit formulae for the propagators of several high-spin fields up to spin-5 (spin-9/2) for bosons (fermions). This is required to calculate the partial decay widths and to construct the reaction amplitudes for the hadron scattering processes.

Then, we constructed effective Lagrangians of arbitrary spin baryon resonances which couple to the following meson-baryon channels

$$\begin{aligned}
(1a) \quad R &\rightarrow 0^- + \frac{1}{2}^+, \\
(1b) \quad R &\rightarrow 1^- + \frac{1}{2}^+, \\
(2a) \quad R &\rightarrow 0^- + \frac{3}{2}^+, \\
(2b) \quad R &\rightarrow 1^- + \frac{3}{2}^+.
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

The process of (1b) is applied to the photo-decay of resonances (R) into the nucleon ($1/2^+$). In this case, the number of independent couplings reduces by one due to the absence of the helicity-0 state. From the constructed effective Lagrangians, we derived the relation between

the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians and the partial decay widths that can be predicted by hadron models. That is, the explicit formulae for the partial-wave decay amplitudes $G(\ell)$ are given in terms of the coupling constants of effective Lagrangians for the decays of (1a) and (2a). Meanwhile, those for the helicity amplitudes A_λ are given in terms of the coupling constants for the decays of (1b) and (2b). This allows us to compare the coupling constants to the hadron model predictions not only in magnitude but in sign as well.

In fact, the signs of coupling constants as well as their magnitudes should be extracted by analyzing observed physical quantities with sophisticated coupled-channel models. Our explicit formulae will help facilitate these efforts because the hadron model predictions can be used as the starting guess for coupling constants in coupled-channel approaches by using our formulae. Therefore, more hadron model predictions or experimental measurements of the partial-wave decay widths and helicity amplitudes will be very valuable to provide rich information on the mechanism of baryon resonance excitation and to understand the baryon resonance spectrum.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their deep gratitude to the late Professor Yongseok Oh, whose leadership was indispensable in initiating and guiding this work. We are honored to dedicate this study to his memory, completing it under his inspiration and guidance. The work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) under Grants No. 2021R1A6A1A03043957 (S.-H. K., M.-K. Ch.), No. 2022R1I1A1A01054390 (S.-H. K.), No. 2020R1A2C3006177 (M.-K. Ch.), and No. 2023R1A2C1004098 (SY. S., S. S.).

APPENDIX: EXPLICIT EXPRESSIONS OF PROPAGATORS

The propagator of a field with an arbitrary spin can be written as

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(j, p) \quad (115)$$

for a boson field of spin $j = n$ and

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 - M^2} (\not{p} + M) \Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n-1}}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1}}(j, p) \quad (116)$$

for a fermion field of spin $j = n - 1/2$. The explicit form of the projection operator $\Delta_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(j, p)$ can be derived from Eqs. (8)-(10). Here, we present the explicit formulae for the projection operators of fields upto spin-5 (for bosons) and spin-9/2 (for fermions).

Here, we define $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{\gamma}^\mu$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{p^2} p_\mu p_\nu, \\ \bar{\gamma}^\mu &= \gamma^\nu \bar{g}_\nu^\mu = \gamma^\mu - \frac{1}{p^2} \not{p} p^\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

Therefore, $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\nu\mu}$ and we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} &= 3, \\ \{\bar{\gamma}_\alpha, \bar{\gamma}_\beta\} &= 2\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

The results are given as follows

• spin-1

$$\Delta_\alpha^\beta(1, p) = -\bar{g}_\alpha^\beta = -\left(g_\alpha^\beta - \frac{1}{p^2} p_\alpha p^\beta\right). \quad (119)$$

• spin-1/2

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}, p\right) = -\frac{1}{3} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \Delta_\alpha^\beta(1, p) = 1. \quad (120)$$

• spin-2

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{\beta_1 \beta_2}(2, p) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1} - \frac{2}{3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \right). \quad (121)$$

• spin-3/2

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\left(\frac{3}{2}, p\right) &= -\frac{2}{5} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\beta \Delta_{\alpha \alpha_1}^{\beta \beta_1}(2, p) = -\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1} \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\right) \\ &= -g_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{\alpha_1} \gamma^{\beta_1} + \frac{\not{p}}{3p^2} (\gamma_{\alpha_1} p^{\beta_1} - p_{\alpha_1} \gamma^{\beta_1}) + \frac{2}{3p^2} p_{\alpha_1} p^{\beta_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

• spin-3

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}^{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}(3, p) &= -\frac{1}{36} \sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)} \left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} - \frac{3}{5} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{6} \left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_1} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_1} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{15} \left[\bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \left(\bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} + \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}^{\beta_2 \beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_1} \right) + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} \left(\bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_3} + \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}^{\beta_2 \beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{g}_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3} \left(\bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_3} + \bar{g}^{\beta_1 \beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}^{\beta_2 \beta_3} \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

• **spin-5/2**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\left(\frac{5}{2}, p\right) &= -\frac{3}{7}\gamma^\alpha\gamma_\beta\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^{\beta_1\beta_2}(3, p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} + \bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1}\right) - \frac{1}{5}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2} - \frac{1}{10}\left(\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_2}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2} + \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_2}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (124)$$

• **spin-4**

$$\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}(4, p) = \frac{1}{576}\sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4} - \frac{6}{7}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4} + \frac{3}{35}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_3\beta_4}\right).\quad (125)$$

• **spin-7/2**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}\left(\frac{7}{2}, p\right) &= -\frac{4}{9}\gamma^\alpha\gamma_\beta\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}(4, p) \\ &= -\frac{1}{36}\sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} - \frac{3}{7}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\bar{g}^{\beta_2\beta_3} - \frac{3}{7}\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3} + \frac{3}{35}\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\bar{g}^{\beta_2\beta_3}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (126)$$

• **spin-5**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4\alpha_5}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4\beta_5}(5, p) \\ = -\left(\frac{1}{120}\right)^2\sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)}\left(\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4}\bar{g}_{\alpha_5}^{\beta_5} - \frac{10}{9}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4}\bar{g}_{\alpha_5}^{\beta_5} + \frac{5}{21}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_3\beta_4}\bar{g}_{\alpha_5}^{\beta_5}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (127)$$

• **spin-9/2**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}\left(\frac{9}{2}, p\right) &= -\frac{5}{11}\gamma^\alpha\gamma_\beta\Delta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}(5, p) \\ &= \frac{1}{6336}\sum_{P(\alpha), P(\beta)}\left[11\bar{g}_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4} - \frac{14}{3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4} + \frac{1}{7}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_3\beta_4}\right. \\ &\quad + \frac{44}{63}\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\bar{g}_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2}(3\bar{g}_{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\bar{g}^{\beta_3\beta_4} - 7\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4}) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4}{21}(\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_1}\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_2}\bar{g}^{\beta_1\beta_2} + \bar{\gamma}^{\beta_1}\bar{\gamma}^{\beta_2}\bar{g}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2})(\bar{g}_{\alpha_3\alpha_4}\bar{g}^{\beta_3\beta_4} - 7\bar{g}_{\alpha_3}^{\beta_3}\bar{g}_{\alpha_4}^{\beta_4})\right].\end{aligned}\quad (128)$$

Here the sum is over all possible permutations of α_i 's

and β_i 's. The propagators for higher-spin fields can be obtained in a similar way.

-
- [1] S. Navas *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024).
 [2] S. Capstick and W. Roberts, Quark models of baryon masses and decays, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **45**, S241 (2000).
 [3] W. Tang *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Cross sections for the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^{*+}\Lambda$ and $\gamma p \rightarrow K^{*+}\Sigma^0$ reactions measured at CLAS, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 065204 (2013).
 [4] I. Denisenko, A. V. Anisovich, V. Crede, H. Eberhardt, E. Klempt, V. A. Nikonov, A. V. Sarantsev, H. Schmieden, U. Thoma, and A. Wilson, N^* decays to $N\omega$ from new data on $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$, *Phys. Lett. B* **755**,

- 97 (2016).
 [5] A. V. Anisovich *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Differential cross sections and polarization observables from CLAS K^* photoproduction and the search for new N^* states, *Phys. Lett. B* **771**, 142 (2017).
 [6] E. Golovatch *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), First results on nucleon resonance photocouplings from the $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$ reaction, *Phys. Lett. B* **788**, 371 (2019).
 [7] N. C. Wei, F. Huang, K. Nakayama, and D. M. Li, Nucleon resonances in $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$ reaction, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 114026 (2019).
 [8] D. Werthmüller *et al.* (A2 Collaboration), Quasifree pho-

- toproduction of η mesons off protons and neutrons, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 015205 (2014).
- [9] L. Witthauer *et al.* (A2 Collaboration), Insight into the narrow structure in η photoproduction on the neutron from helicity-dependent cross sections, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 132502 (2016).
- [10] T. Hu *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Photoproduction of η mesons off the proton for $1.2 < E_\gamma < 4.7$ GeV using CLAS at Jefferson Laboratory, *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 065203 (2020).
- [11] T. Hashimoto *et al.* (LEPS2/BGOegg Collaboration), Differential cross sections and photon beam asymmetries of η photoproduction on the proton at $E_\gamma = 1.3\text{-}2.4$ GeV, *Phys. Rev. C* **106**, 035201 (2022).
- [12] N. Jermann *et al.* (CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration), Measurement of polarization observables T , P , and H in π^0 and η photoproduction off quasi-free nucleons, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **59**, 232 (2023).
- [13] R. K. Bradford *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), First measurement of beam-recoil observables $C(x)$ and $C(z)$ in hyperon photoproduction, *Phys. Rev. C* **75**, 035205 (2007).
- [14] M. E. McCracken *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Differential cross section and recoil polarization measurements for the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda$ reaction using CLAS at Jefferson Lab, *Phys. Rev. C* **81**, 025201 (2010).
- [15] S. A. Pereira *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Differential cross section of $\gamma n \rightarrow K^+\Sigma^-$ on bound neutrons with incident photons from 1.1 to 3.6 GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **688**, 289 (2010).
- [16] B. Dey *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Differential cross sections and recoil polarizations for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Sigma^0$, *Phys. Rev. C* **82**, 025202 (2010).
- [17] S. H. Shiu *et al.* (LEPS Collaboration), Photoproduction of Λ and Σ^0 hyperons off protons with linearly polarized photons at $E_\gamma = 1.5 - 3.0$ GeV, *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 015208 (2018).
- [18] C. S. Akondi *et al.* (A2 Collaboration), Experimental study of the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^0\Sigma^+$, $\gamma n \rightarrow K^0\Lambda$, and $\gamma n \rightarrow K^0\Sigma^0$ reactions at the Mainz Microtron, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **55**, 202 (2019).
- [19] Y. Oh, Ξ and Ω baryons in the Skyrme model, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 074002 (2007).
- [20] V. Shklyar, G. Penner, and U. Mosel, Spin-5/2 resonance contributions to the pion-induced reactions for energies $\sqrt{s} \leq 2.0$ GeV, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **21**, 445 (2004).
- [21] J. K. S. Man, Y. Oh, and K. Nakayama, Role of high-spin hyperon resonances in the reaction of $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^+\Xi^-$, *Phys. Rev. C* **83**, 055201 (2011).
- [22] A. Feijoo, V. K. Magas, and A. Ramos, The $\bar{K}N \rightarrow K\Xi$ reaction in coupled channel chiral models up to next-to-leading order, *Phys. Rev. C* **92**, 015206 (2015).
- [23] B. C. Jackson, Y. Oh, H. Haberzettl, and K. Nakayama, $\bar{K}+N \rightarrow K+\Xi$ reaction and $S = -1$ hyperon resonances, *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 065208 (2015).
- [24] S. H. Kim, J. K. Ahn, Sh. H. Kim, S. i. Nam, and M. K. Cheoun, Double-strangeness exchange reactions in a hybrid Regge-plus-resonance approach, *Phys. Rev. C* **107**, 065202 (2023).
- [25] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, On a theory of particles with half-integral spin, *Phys. Rev.* **60**, 61 (1941).
- [26] D. O. Riska and G. E. Brown, Nucleon resonance transition couplings to vector mesons, *Nucl. Phys. A* **679**, 577 (2001).
- [27] A. Matsuyama, T. Sato, and T. S. H. Lee, Dynamical coupled-channel model of meson production reactions in the nucleon resonance region, *Phys. Rept.* **439**, 193 (2007).
- [28] V. Pascalutsa, M. Vanderhaeghen, and S. N. Yang, Electromagnetic excitation of the $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance, *Phys. Rept.* **437**, 125 (2007).
- [29] R. D. Peccei, Chiral Lagrangian calculation of pion-nucleon scattering lengths, *Phys. Rev.* **176**, 1812 (1968).
- [30] H. Haberzettl, Propagation of a massive spin-3/2 particle, [arXiv:nucl-th/9812043](https://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9812043).
- [31] T. Pilling, New symmetry current for massive spin-3/2 fields, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **19**, 1781 (2004).
- [32] T. Pilling, Symmetry of massive Rarita-Schwinger fields, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20**, 2715 (2005).
- [33] N. Wies, J. Gegelia, and S. Scherer, Consistency of the $\pi\Delta$ interaction in chiral perturbation theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 094012 (2006).
- [34] V. Pascalutsa, Quantization of an interacting spin-3/2 field and the Δ isobar, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 096002 (1998).
- [35] V. Pascalutsa and R. Timmermans, Field theory of nucleon to higher spin baryon transitions, *Phys. Rev. C* **60**, 042201 (1999).
- [36] G. Vereshkov and N. Volchanskiy, Gauge- and point-invariant vertices of nucleon-to-resonance interactions, *Phys. Rev. C* **87**, 035203 (2013).
- [37] V. Pascalutsa, Correspondence of consistent and inconsistent spin-3/2 couplings via the equivalence theorem, *Phys. Lett. B* **503**, 85 (2001).
- [38] K. Moriya *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Differential photoproduction cross sections of the $\Sigma^0(1385)$, $\Lambda(1405)$, and $\Lambda(1520)$, *Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 045201 (2013).
- [39] J. T. Goetz *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Study of Ξ^* photoproduction from threshold to $W = 3.3$ GeV, *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 062201 (2018).
- [40] R. E. Behrends and C. Fronsdal, Fermi decay of higher spin particles, *Phys. Rev.* **106**, 345 (1957).
- [41] C. Fronsdal, On the theory of higher spin fields, *Nuovo Cim.* **9 Suppl.**, 416 (1958).
- [42] J. G. Rushbrooke, Decays of baryon resonances of any spin, *Phys. Rev.* **143**, 1345 (1966).
- [43] S. J. Chang, Lagrange formulation for systems with higher spin, *Phys. Rev.* **161**, 1308 (1967).
- [44] Y. Oh and H. c. Kim, Pentaquark baryons in SU(3) quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 094022 (2004).
- [45] L. Durand, P. C. DeCelles, and R. B. Marr, Lorentz invariance and the kinematic structure of vertex functions, *Phys. Rev.* **126**, 1882 (1962).
- [46] S. H. Kim and H. Ch. Kim, $K^0\Lambda$ photoproduction off the neutron with nucleon resonances, *Phys. Lett. B* **786**, 156 (2018).
- [47] J. M. Suh, S. H. Kim, and H. Ch. Kim, Effects of nucleon resonances on η photoproduction off the neutron reexamined, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 074010 (2019).
- [48] M. Benmerrouche, N. C. Mukhopadhyay, and J. F. Zhang, Effective Lagrangian approach to the theory of η photoproduction in the $N^*(1535)$ region, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 3237 (1995).
- [49] K. Tsushima and K. Nakayama, Near threshold ω and ϕ meson productions in pp collisions, *Phys. Rev. C* **68**, 034612 (2003).
- [50] Y. Oh, Role of nucleon resonances in the $\pi N \rightarrow \omega N$ reaction, *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **59** (2011) 3344.
- [51] V. Shklyar, H. Lenske, U. Mosel, and G. Penner,

- Coupled-channel analysis of the ω -meson production in πN and γN reactions for c.m. energies up to 2-GeV, [Phys. Rev. C **71**, 055206 \(2005\)](#); [72, 019903 \(E\) \(2005\)](#).
- [52] Y. Oh, C. M. Ko, and K. Nakayama, Nucleon and Δ resonances in $K\Sigma(1385)$ photoproduction from nucleons, [Phys. Rev. C **77**, 045204 \(2008\)](#).