

# THE DISTRIBUTION OF POWERS OF PRIMES RELATED TO THE FROBENIUS PROBLEM

ENXUN HUANG AND TENGYOU ZHU

ABSTRACT. Let  $1 < c < d$  be two relatively prime integers,  $g_{c,d} = cd - c - d$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of primes. For any given integer  $k \geq 1$ , we prove that

$$\#\left\{p^k \leq g_{c,d} : p \in \mathbb{P}, p^k = cx + dy, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\} \sim \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{g_{c,d}^{1/k}}{\log g_{c,d}} \quad (\text{as } c \rightarrow \infty),$$

which gives an extension of a recent result of Ding, Zhai and Zhao.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given two coprime integers  $1 < c < d$ , Sylvester [Sy182] discovered in 1882 that  $cd - c - d$  represents the largest number, denoted as  $g_{c,d}$ , which cannot be expressed as  $cx + dy$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). Subsequently, the author demonstrated that for any  $0 \leq m \leq g_{c,d}$ , exactly one of  $m$  and  $g_{c,d} - m$  can be expressed in the form  $cx + dy$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). Consequently, it can be concluded that precisely half of the integers within the range  $[0, g_{c,d}]$  can be represented in this desired form.

Indeed, Sylvester's findings represent a significant breakthrough in the field of the diophantine Frobenius problem, marking the first instance of nontrivial solutions [RA05]. This problem seeks to find the largest integer  $g_{c_1, \dots, c_n}$  not that can be expressed as

$$c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}),$$

given that  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are positive integers with  $\gcd(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 1$ . There exists an extensive body of literature dedicated to studying the diophantine Frobenius problem. For comprehensive insights and findings on this topic, please refer to Ramírez Alfonsín's exceptional monograph [RA05]. Motivated by Sylvester's theorems, Ramírez Alfonsín and Skalba [RAS20] investigated the Diophantine Frobenius problem restricted to prime numbers. Specifically, let  $\pi_{c,d,k}$  denote the number of  $k$ -th powers of primes not exceeding  $g_{c,d}$  with the form  $cx + dy$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). Through a highly enlightening argument put forth by Ramírez Alfonsín and Skalba, it has been demonstrated that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $k_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\pi_{c,d,1} \geq k_\varepsilon \frac{g_{c,d}}{(\log g_{c,d})^{2+\varepsilon}}.$$

After observing the antisymmetry property of integers in the form of  $cx + dy$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) discovered by Sylvester, they naturally formulated the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Ramírez Alfonsín and Skalba). *Let  $1 < c < d$  be two relatively prime integers, then*

$$\pi_{c,d,1} \sim \frac{\pi(g_{c,d})}{2} \quad (\text{as } c \rightarrow \infty),$$

where  $\pi(t)$  is the number of primes up to  $t$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B25, 11F11, 11F30, 11L05, 11N37, 11T23.

*Key words and phrases.* Frobenius-type problems, Hardy–Littlewood method, prime powers, Siegel–Walfisz theorem.

The recent proof of Conjecture 1.1 by Ding, Zhai, and Zhao in [DZZ] has established its validity. Prior to this, Ding [Din23] demonstrated that Conjecture 1.1 holds true for almost all values of  $c$  and  $d$ . Remarkably, the complete verification of Conjecture 1.1 relies on the application of the classical Hardy-Littlewood method.

The main result is presented below. Throughout the remainder of this paper, let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. For convenience, we write  $g$  as  $g_{c,d}$  and  $\pi_{c,d}$  as  $\pi_{c,d,k}$ . Now, we shall establish the following theorem to document our findings.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $d > c$  are two relatively prime integers with  $c$  sufficiently large, then we have*

$$\pi_{c,d} \sim \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{g^{1/k}}{\log g}, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1.1 presented herein extends the findings in [DZZ] to encompass arbitrary positive integers  $k$ . The proof methodology employed in this study aligns with a recent publication by Ding, Zhai, and Zhao [DZZ].

The key input for the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $c, d$  be as in Theorem 1.1. Denote*

$$\mathcal{N} := \#\{n^k \leq g_{c,d} : n^k = cx + dy, \ x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

then we have

$$\mathcal{N} \sim \frac{g^{1/k}}{k+1}, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

The initial investigation will follow the customary and focus on the weighted form associated with Theorem 1.1, specifically

$$\psi_{c,d} = \sum_{\substack{n^k \leq g \\ n^k = cx + dy \\ x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \Lambda(n),$$

where  $\Lambda(n)$  denotes the von Mangoldt function defined as

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & \text{if } n = p^\alpha \ (\alpha > 0); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be established using the following weighted formula through a standard transition method.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $c, d$  be as in Theorem 1.1, then we have*

$$\psi_{c,d} \sim \frac{g^{1/k}}{k+1}, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proofs heavily rely on the exponential sum estimates in [Kum06], the prime number theorem, and the Siegel–Welfisz theorem.

## 2. FIRST TRANSFORMATIONS

We first fix some basic notations to be used frequently. From now on, we write  $g$  instead of  $g_{c,d}$  for brevity and  $c$  is supposed to be sufficiently large. Let  $Q$  denote a positive integer depending only on  $g$  which shall be decided later. Define the major arcs to be

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{M}(Q) = \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left\{ \alpha : \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{Q}{qg} \right\}.$$

We make a further provision that  $Q < (g/2)^{1/3}$  so that the above subsets are pairwise disjoint. In fact, suppose that

$$\left\{ \alpha : \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{Q}{qg} \right\} \cap \left\{ \alpha : \left| \alpha - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| \leq \frac{Q}{q'g} \right\} \neq \emptyset$$

for some  $\frac{a}{q} \neq \frac{a'}{q'}$ , then

$$\frac{2Q}{g} \geq \frac{2Q}{qg} \geq \left| \frac{a}{q} - \frac{a'}{q'} \right| \geq \frac{1}{Q^2},$$

which is certainly a contradiction with the provision that  $Q < (g/2)^{1/3}$ . In addition, we note that

$$\mathfrak{M}(Q) \subseteq \left[ \frac{1}{Q} - \frac{Q}{qg}, 1 + \frac{Q}{qg} \right] \subseteq \left[ \frac{Q}{g}, 1 + \frac{Q}{g} \right].$$

We can now define the minor arcs to be

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{m}(Q) = \left[ \frac{Q+1}{g}, 1 + \frac{Q+1}{g} \right] \setminus \mathfrak{M}(Q).$$

For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq n^k \leq g} \Lambda(n) e(\alpha n^k) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq d \\ 0 \leq y \leq c}} e(\alpha(cx + dy)).$$

By the orthogonality relation, it is clear that

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_{c,d} = \int_0^1 f(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} f(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} f(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha.$$

### 3. ESTIMATES OF THE MINOR ARCS

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** *For estimates of the minor arcs, we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} f(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha \ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} \log^4 g + g^{1/k} (\log g)^{\delta+4} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrarily small.

We need two lemmas listed below.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $k \geq 2$ , and  $\rho(k)$  defined as follows,*

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}, & \text{if } k = 2; \\ \frac{1}{14}, & \text{if } k = 3; \\ \frac{2}{3} \times 2^{-k}, & \text{if } k \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Then for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)} |f(\alpha)| \ll \log^2 g (g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} + g^{1/k} (\log g)^{\delta} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}),$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is an absolute constant and the implied constant depends at most on  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Plugging in the definition of  $\Lambda(n)$ , we have

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p^m \leq g^{1/k}} \Lambda(p^m) e(\alpha p^{mk}) = \sum_{m \leq \frac{\log g}{k \log 2}} \sum_{p \leq g^{1/mk}} \log p e(\alpha p^{mk}).$$

For  $m \geq 2$ , it is clear that

$$\sum_{2 \leq m \leq \frac{\log g}{k \log 2}} \sum_{p \leq g^{1/mk}} \log p e(\alpha p^{mk}) \ll g^{1/2k} \log^2 g.$$

Thus

$$(3.1) \quad f(\alpha) = \sum_{p \leq g^{1/k}} \log p e(\alpha p^k) + O(g^{1/2k} \log^2 g).$$

On applying the partial summation formula, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{p \leq g^{1/k}} \log p e(\alpha p^k) = \frac{\log g}{k} \sum_{p \leq g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) - \int_2^{g^{1/k}} \sum_{p \leq \gamma} e(\alpha p^k) \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma}.$$

By the Dirichlet approximation theorem, there exist  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(b, r) = 1, \quad 1 \leq r \leq R \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{b}{r} \right| \leq \frac{1}{rR},$$

where

$$R = \begin{cases} g^{3/2k}, & \text{if } k = 2; \\ g^{(k-2\rho(k))/(2k-1)}, & \text{if } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then by Theorem 3 in [Kum06], for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we conclude

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{g^{1/k} \leq p \leq 2g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) \ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} + \frac{r^\varepsilon g^{1/k} (\log g)^\delta}{(r + g|r\alpha - b|)^{1/2}},$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is an absolute constant. If  $r > Q$ , then

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{g^{1/k} \leq p \leq 2g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) \ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} + g^{1/k} (\log g)^\delta Q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly, if  $1 \leq r \leq Q$  and  $|\alpha - b/r| > \frac{Q}{rg}$ , then (3.4) hold as well. From now on, we assume that

$$(3.5) \quad 1 \leq r \leq Q \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{b}{r} \right| \leq \frac{Q}{rg}.$$

By the Dirichlet approximation theorem, there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq g^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qg^{1/2}}.$$

We deduce from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{b}{r} - \frac{a}{q} \right| &\leq \left| \alpha - \frac{b}{r} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{Q}{rg} + \frac{1}{qg^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{rq} \left( \frac{qQ}{g} + \frac{r}{g^{1/2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{Q}{rqg^{1/2}} < \frac{1}{rq}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(3.7) \quad a = b \quad \text{and} \quad r = q$$

We obtain from (3.3) and (3.7) that

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{g^{1/k} \leq p \leq 2g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) \ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} + \frac{r^\varepsilon g^{1/k} (\log g)^\delta}{(q + |q\alpha - a|)^{1/2}},$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)$ , we further obtain

$$\sum_{g^{1/k} \leq p \leq 2g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) \ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} + g^{1/k} (\log g)^\delta Q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$

Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) &= \sum_{1 \leq p \leq g^{1/k}/Q} e(\alpha p^k) + \sum_{g^{1/k}/Q < p \leq g^{1/k}} e(\alpha p^k) \\ &\ll \frac{g^{1/k}}{Q} + \sum_{1 \leq \kappa \leq \frac{\log Q}{\log 2}} \left| \sum_{\frac{g^{1/k}}{2^\kappa-1} < p \leq \frac{g^{1/k}}{2^\kappa}} e(\alpha p^k) \right| \\ &\ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} + g^{1/k} (\log g)^\delta Q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing this estimate, we deduce by (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)} |f(\alpha)| \ll g^{(1-\rho(k))/k+\varepsilon} \log^2 g + g^{\frac{1}{k}} (\log g)^{\delta+2} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have*

$$\int_0^1 |h(-\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \log^2 g.$$

*Proof.* This is Lemma 3.2 in [DZZ].  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* The treatment of the minor arcs benefits from the following trivial estimates

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} f(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha \right| \leq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)} |f(\alpha)| \int_0^1 |h(-\alpha)| d\alpha$$

together with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

#### 4. CALCULATIONS OF THE MAJOR ARCS

We now calculate the integral on the major arcs.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For  $Q < c^{1/3}$ , we have*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} f(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha = \mathcal{N} + O\left(g^{1/k} Q^2 \exp(-\kappa_1 \sqrt{\log g}) + g^{1/k} (\log g)^3 Q^{-2^{1-k}+\varepsilon} + dQ^3\right),$$

where  $\kappa_1 > 0$  is an absolute constant.

For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$F(\alpha) := \sum_{0 \leq n^k \leq g} e(\alpha n^k).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any real number  $\beta$ , we have*

$$\int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} f(\beta)h(-\beta) d\beta = \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} F(\beta)h(-\beta) d\beta + O\left(g^{1/k}Q^2 \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log g}\right)\right),$$

where  $\kappa_1 > 0$  is an absolute constant.

*Proof.* Let  $a_n = \Lambda(n) - 1$ . Then for any real number  $\beta$ , we have

$$f(\beta) - F(\beta) = \sum_{0 \leq n^k \leq g} a_n e(\beta n^k).$$

By partial summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq n^k \leq g} a_n e(\beta n^k) &= \sum_{0 \leq n \leq g^{1/k}} a_n e(\beta n^k) \\ &= e(\beta g^k) \sum_{0 \leq n \leq g^{1/k}} a_n - 2\pi i k \beta \int_0^{g^{1/k}} \left( \sum_{0 \leq n \leq t} a_n \right) e(\beta t^k) t^{k-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the prime number theorem, there exists some absolute constant  $\kappa_1 > 0$  so that

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq t} a_n = \psi(t) - t \ll t \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log t}\right).$$

We deduce that

$$f(\beta) = F(\beta) + O\left(g^{1/k}(1 + k|\beta|g) \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log g}\right)\right).$$

Then we arrive at

$$\int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} f(\beta)h(-\beta) d\beta = \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} F(\beta)h(-\beta) d\beta + \mathcal{R}(\beta),$$

where the error term  $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$  can be bounded easily by the trivial estimates as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\beta) &\ll \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} g^{1/k}(1 + k|\beta|g) \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log g}\right) |h(-\beta)| d\beta \\ &\ll \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} g^{1/k}(1 + k|\beta|g) \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log g}\right) g d\beta \\ &\ll g^{1+1/k}Q \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log g}\right) \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} 1 d\beta \\ &\ll g^{1/k}Q^2 \exp\left(-\kappa_1\sqrt{\log g}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $2 \leq q \leq Q$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|\beta| \leq Q/(qg)$ . For  $Q < c^{1/3}$ , we have*

$$h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) \ll qd.$$

*Proof.* Recall that

$$h(-\alpha) = \sum_{x \leq d} e(-\alpha x) \sum_{y \leq c} e(-\alpha dy) \ll \min\{d, \|c\alpha\|^{-1}\} \min\{c, \|d\alpha\|^{-1}\},$$

hence we have

$$h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) \ll \min\left\{d, \left\|c\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right)\right\|^{-1}\right\} \min\left\{c, \left\|d\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right)\right\|^{-1}\right\}.$$

Since  $(a, q) = 1$ , we would have

$$\left\|c\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right)\right\| \geq \frac{1}{2q} \quad \text{if } q \nmid c$$

and

$$\left\|d\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right)\right\| \geq \frac{1}{2q} \quad \text{if } q \nmid d$$

for  $q \geq 2$  and  $|\beta| \leq Q/(qg)$ , provided that  $Q \leq c^{1/3}$ . Recall that  $(c, d) = 1$ , thus at least one of the above inequalities is admissible. Therefore, for all  $2 \leq q \leq Q$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|\beta| \leq Q/(qg)$  we have

$$h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) \ll qd.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

**Lemma 4.3.** *For any real number  $\beta$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{N} = \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} F(\beta) h(-\beta) d\beta + O(g^{1/k} (\log g)^3 Q^{-2^{1-k} + \varepsilon} + dQ^3).$$

*Proof.* By the orthogonality relation, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{N} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} F(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} F(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha.$$

We firstly consider the major arc

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} F(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} F\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) d\beta.$$

The term of the above sum for  $a = q = 1$  equals

$$\int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} F(1 + \beta) h(-1 - \beta) d\beta = \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} F(\beta) h(-\beta) d\beta.$$

The sums for  $2 \leq q \leq Q$  contributes error terms. By Lemma 4.2, we can conclude that

$$\sum_{2 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} F\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) d\beta \ll \sum_{2 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a, q) = 1}} \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} g dq d\beta \ll dQ^3.$$

It is now time to estimate the minor arc. Let  $T = g^{1/k}$ . By the Dirichlet approximation theorem, there exist  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(s, t) = 1, \quad 1 \leq t \leq 2T^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\alpha - \frac{s}{t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2tT^{k-1}}.$$

Then by Lemma 6.4 in [LZ23], for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  we conclude

$$(4.1) \quad F(\alpha) \ll g^{(1-2^{1-k})/k + \varepsilon} + \frac{t^\varepsilon g^{1/k} (\log g)}{(t + g|t\alpha - s|)^{2^{1-k}}}.$$

If  $t > Q$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad F(\alpha) \ll g^{1/k}(\log g)Q^{-2^{1-k}+\varepsilon}.$$

Similarly, if  $1 \leq t \leq Q$  and  $|\alpha - s/t| > \frac{Q}{tT^k}$ , then (4.2) hold as well. Therefore, we next assume

$$(4.3) \quad 1 \leq t \leq Q \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{s}{t} \right| \leq \frac{Q}{tT^k}.$$

By the Dirichlet approximation theorem, there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq T^{k/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{qT^{k/2}}.$$

We deduce from (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{s}{t} - \frac{a}{q} \right| &\leq \left| \alpha - \frac{s}{t} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{a}{q} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{Q}{tT^k} + \frac{1}{qT^{k/2}} = \frac{1}{tq} \left( \frac{qQ}{T^k} + \frac{t}{T^{k/2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{Q}{tqT^{k/2}} < \frac{1}{tq}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.5) \quad s = a \quad \text{and} \quad t = q$$

We obtain from (4.1) and (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad F(\alpha) \ll g^{(1-2^{1-k})/k+\varepsilon} + \frac{t^\varepsilon g^{1/k}(\log g)}{(q + g|q\alpha - a|)^{2^{1-k}}}.$$

Since  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)$ , we further obtain

$$F(\alpha) \ll g^{1/k}(\log g)Q^{-2^{1-k}+\varepsilon}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\int_0^1 |h(-\alpha)| d\alpha \ll (\log g)^2.$$

The treatment of the minor arcs benefits from the following trivial estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} F(\alpha) h(-\alpha) d\alpha \right| &\ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)} |F(\alpha)| \int_0^1 |h(-\alpha)| d\alpha \\ &\ll g^{1/k}(\log g)^3 Q^{-2^{1-k}+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *For any  $\ell \leq g$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_\ell$  be the number of integers of  $0 \leq n \leq \ell$  which can be represented as  $cx + dy$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{K}_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \ell/d \rfloor} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - id}{c} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$

*Proof.* For any  $i \leq \lfloor \ell/d \rfloor$ , the number of  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , so that

$$jc + id \leq \ell$$

is exactly

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\ell - id}{c} \right\rfloor + 1.$$



The lemma now follows immediately by a sum of  $j$  from 0 to  $\lfloor \ell/d \rfloor$ . □

Then we deduce that the asymptotic formula of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By the orthogonality relation, we get

$$\mathcal{N} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha.$$

We firstly consider the major arc

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} F\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) d\beta.$$

By Theorem 4.1 of [Vau97] we have

$$F(\alpha) = S(q, a)v(\beta) + O(q^{1/2+\varepsilon}(1+g|\beta|)^{1/2}),$$

where

$$S(q, a) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=1}^q e\left(\frac{an^k}{q}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v(\beta) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \leq g} n^{1/k-1} e(\beta n).$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} S(q, a) \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} v(\beta)h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) d\beta + \mathfrak{R}(\beta).$$

where the error term  $\mathfrak{R}(\beta)$  can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}(\beta) &\ll \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} q^{1/2+\varepsilon}(1+g|\beta|)^{1/2} \left| h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) \right| d\beta \\ &\ll \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} q^{1/2+\varepsilon}(1+g|\beta|)^{1/2} g d\beta \\ &\ll \frac{Q^{3/2}}{q^{1-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 4.2, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{2 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} S(q, a) \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} v(\beta)h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) d\beta \\ &\ll \sum_{2 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} g^{1/k} dq d\beta \ll dQ^3 g^{1/k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a = q = 1$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha = \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} v(\beta)h(-\beta)d\beta + O\left(dQ^3 g^{1/k-1} + \frac{Q^{3/2}}{q^{1-\varepsilon}}\right).$$

By writing

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} v(\beta)h(-\beta)d\beta = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} v(\beta)h(-\beta)d\beta + \mathfrak{R}_1(\beta) + \mathfrak{R}_2(\beta),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}_1(\beta) = \int_{\frac{Q}{g}}^{1/2} v(\beta)h(-\beta)d\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{R}_2(\beta) = \int_{-1/2}^{-\frac{Q}{g}} v(\beta)h(-\beta)d\beta.$$

Note that

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} v(\beta)h(-\beta)d\beta = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq g \\ 0 \leq x \leq d, 0 \leq y \leq c}} \frac{n^{1/k-1}}{k} \int_0^1 e(\beta(n - cx - dy))d\beta.$$

By the orthogonality relation, the above integral equals 1 if  $n = cx + dy$  and 0 otherwise. Hence, by the result of Sylverter [Syl82] as we mentioned at the beginning of the introduction, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq g \\ 0 \leq x \leq d, 0 \leq y \leq c}} \int_0^1 e(\beta(n - cx - dy))d\beta = \frac{g+1}{2}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 and partial summations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n \leq g \\ 0 \leq x \leq d, 0 \leq y \leq c}} \frac{n^{1/k-1}}{k} \int_0^1 e(\beta(n - cx - dy))d\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \int_0^g t^{1/k-1} d\mathcal{K}_t \\ &= \frac{g^{1/k-1}}{k} \mathcal{K}_g - \frac{1}{k} \int_0^g \mathcal{K}_t dt^{1/k-1} \\ &= \frac{g^{1/k-1}}{k} \frac{g+1}{2} - \frac{1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \int_0^g \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor t/d \rfloor} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{t-id}{c} \right\rfloor + 1\right) t^{1/k-2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{g^{1/k-1}}{cd} + O_k \left( \frac{g^{1/k}}{c} + \frac{g^{1/k}}{d} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \frac{g^{1/k-1}}{cd} + O_k \left( \frac{g^{1/k}}{c} + \frac{g^{1/k}}{d} \right) \\ &\sim \frac{g^{1/k}}{k+1}, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $|\beta| \leq 1/2$ . By Lemma 2.8 of [Vau97] we have

$$v(\beta) \ll \min\{g^{1/k}, |\beta|^{-1/k}\}.$$

For  $\frac{Q}{g} \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , using the Lemma 3.2, we deduce that

$$(4.9) \quad \mathfrak{R}_1(\beta) \ll \int_{\frac{Q}{g}}^{1/2} \beta^{-1/k} |h(-\beta)| d\beta \leq \left(\frac{g}{Q}\right)^{1/k} \int_0^1 |h(-\beta)| d\beta \leq \left(\frac{g}{Q}\right)^{1/k} (\log g)^2,$$

The same treatment would also lead to the estimate  $\mathfrak{R}_2(\beta) \leq (g/Q)^{1/k}(\log g)^2$ . And then the combination of 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9 along with the selected  $Q$  from section 5 yields

$$\mathcal{N} \sim \frac{g^{1/k}}{k+1}, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} f(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha &= \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} \int_{\frac{a}{q} - \frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{a}{q} + \frac{Q}{qg}} f(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\ (a,q)=1}} \int_{-\frac{Q}{qg}}^{\frac{Q}{qg}} f\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right) h\left(-\frac{a}{q} - \beta\right) d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In the above expression, the main contribution of the above sum comes from  $a = q = 1$

$$\int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} f(1 + \beta) h(-1 - \beta) d\beta = \int_{-\frac{Q}{g}}^{\frac{Q}{g}} f(\beta) h(-\beta) d\beta.$$

By Lemmas 4.1–4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} f(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F(\alpha)h(-\alpha)d\alpha \\ &\quad + O\left(g^{1/k}Q^2 \exp(-\kappa_1 \sqrt{\log g}) + g^{1/k}(\log g)^3 Q^{-2^{1-k} + \varepsilon} + dQ^3\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.3

**Lemma 5.1.** *For positive integers  $c$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \frac{y^{1/k}}{\varphi(c)} = \frac{k}{k+1} c^{1/k} + O(1).$$

*Proof.* By Euler-Maclaurin formula, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < k \leq l} m^{1/k} &= \int_0^l x^{1/k} dx + \int_0^l (x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{1}{k} x^{1/k-1} dx - (l - [l] - \frac{1}{2}) f(l) \\ &= \frac{k}{k+1} l^{1+1/k} + O(l^{1/k}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the well-known identity of Möbius' function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \frac{y^{1/k}}{\varphi(c)} &= \frac{1}{\varphi(c)} \sum_{y \leq c} y^{1/k} \sum_{\substack{d|y \\ d|c}} \mu(d) \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(c)} \sum_{d|c} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{y \leq c \\ d|y}} y^{1/k} \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(c)} \sum_{d|c} \mu(d) \left( \frac{k}{k+1} l^{1+1/k} + O(l^{1/k}) \right) d^{1/k} \\
&= \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{c^{1+1/k}}{\varphi(c)} \sum_{d|c} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{\varphi(c)} \sum_{d|c} \mu(d) O(c^{1/k}) \\
&= \frac{k}{1+k} c^{1/k} + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By (2.3), Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{c,d} &= \mathcal{N} + O\left(g^{1/k} Q^2 \exp\left(-\kappa_1 \sqrt{\log g}\right) + g^{1/k} (\log g)^3 Q^{-2^{1-k} + \varepsilon} + dQ^3\right. \\
&\quad \left. + g^{(1-\rho(k))/k + \varepsilon} (\log g)^4 + g^{1/k} (\log g)^{\delta+4} Q^{-1/2 + \varepsilon}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $Q \leq c^{1/3}$ . Let  $m = \max\{3 \cdot 2^{k-1}, [2\delta + 8]\} + 1$  and choose  $Q = (\log g)^m$ . Then

$$(5.1) \quad \psi_{c,d} = \mathcal{N} + O\left(\frac{g^{1/k}}{\log g}\right),$$

provided that  $c \geq (\log g)^{m+1}$ .

For the complement of our proof, it remains to consider the case that  $c \leq (\log g)^{m+1}$ . Using Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{c,d} &= \sum_{\substack{n^k = cx + dy \\ n^k \leq g \\ x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \Lambda(n) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n^k \equiv dy \pmod{c} \\ dy \leq n^k \leq g}} \Lambda(n) + O(1) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \left( \psi(g^{1/k}; c, dy) - \psi((dy)^{1/k}; c, dy) \right) + O(1) \\
(5.2) \quad &= \psi(g^{1/k}) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \psi((dy)^{1/k}; c, dy) + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for  $c \leq (\log g)^{m+1} \ll (\log d)^{m+1}$ , by the Siegel–Walfisz theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \psi((dy)^{1/k}; c, dy) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq c \\ (y,c)=1}} \left( \frac{(dy)^{1/k}}{\varphi(c)} + O\left((dy)^{1/k} \exp(-\kappa_2 \sqrt{\log g})\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{k}{k+1} g^{1/k} + O\left(\frac{g^{1/k}}{c^{1/k}} + g^{1/k} \exp(-\kappa_3 \sqrt{\log g})\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$  are two positive integers with  $\kappa_3 < \kappa_2$ . Since

$$\psi(g^{1/k}) = g^{1/k} + O\left(g^{1/k} \exp(-\kappa_4 \sqrt{\log g})\right)$$

by the prime number theorem, we finally conclude from (5.2) that

$$(5.3) \quad \psi_{c,d} = \frac{1}{k+1} g^{1/k} + O\left(\frac{g^{1/k}}{c^{1/k}} + g^{1/k} \exp(-\kappa_5 \sqrt{\log g})\right)$$

for  $c \leq (\log g)^{m+1}$ , where  $\kappa_5 = \min\{\kappa_3, \kappa_4\}$ .

From (5.1) and (5.3), we proved that

$$\psi_{c,d} \sim \mathcal{N}, \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For  $t \leq g$ , let

$$\vartheta_{a,b}(t) = \sum_{\substack{p^k = ax+by \\ p^k \leq t \\ x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} \log p \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta_{a,b} = \vartheta_{a,b}(g).$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain that

$$(5.4) \quad \pi_{a,b} = \sum_{\substack{p^k = ax+by \\ p^k \leq g \\ x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} 1 = \frac{\vartheta_{a,b}}{\log g^{1/k}} + \int_2^{g^{1/k}} \frac{\vartheta_{a,b}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt.$$

By the Chebyshev estimate, we have

$$\vartheta_{a,b}(t) \leq \sum_{p^{1/k} \leq t} \log p \ll t^{1/k},$$

from which it follows that

$$(5.5) \quad \int_2^{g^{1/k}} \frac{\vartheta_{a,b}(t)}{t \log^2 t} dt \ll \int_2^{g^{1/k}} \frac{t^{1/k}}{t \log^2 t} dt \ll \int_2^{g^{1/k}} \frac{1}{\log^2 t} dt \ll \frac{g^{1/k}}{\log^2 g}.$$

Again, using the Chebyshev estimate, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \vartheta_{a,b} = \psi_{a,b} + O\left(\sqrt{g^{1/k}}\right).$$

Thus, by Theorem 1.2 and (5.4)–(5.6), we conclude that

$$\pi_{a,b} = \frac{\psi_{a,b}}{\log g^{1/k}} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{g^{1/k}}}{\log g^{1/k}} + \frac{g^{1/k}}{\log^2 g}\right) \sim \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\log g^{1/k}},$$

as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

**Conflict of interest.** The authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [CYZ23] Y.-G. Chen, Q.-H. Yang, and L. Zhao, *On infinite arithmetic progressions in sumsets*, *Sci. China Math.* **66** (2023), no. 12, 2669–2682, DOI 10.1007/s11425-023-2177-2.
- [Din23] Y. Ding, *On a conjecture of Ramírez Alfonsín and Skalba*, *J. Number Theory* **245** (2023), 292–302, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2022.11.004.
- [DZZ] Y. Ding, W. Zhai, and L. Zhao, *On a conjecture of Ramírez Alfonsín and Skalba II*, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* (to appear), available at [2309.09796](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.09796).
- [KW01] K. Kawada and T. D. Wooley, *On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and fifth powers*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **83** (2001), no. 1, 1–50, DOI 10.1112/S0024611500012636.
- [Kum06] A. V. Kumchev, *On Weyl sums over primes and almost primes*, *Michigan Math. J.* **54** (2006), no. 2, 243–268, DOI 10.1307/mmj/1156345592.
- [LZ23] J. Liu and L. Zhao, *On forms in prime variables*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **376** (2023), no. 12, 8621–8656, DOI 10.1090/tran/9009.
- [RA05] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius problem*, *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 30, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.
- [RAS20] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín and M. Skalba, *Primes in numerical semigroups*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **358** (2020), no. 9-10, 1001–1004, DOI 10.5802/crmath.104.
- [Syl82] J. J. Sylvester, *On Subvariants, i.e. Semi-Invariants to Binary Quantics of an Unlimited Order*, *Amer. J. Math.* **5** (1882), no. 1-4, 79–136, DOI 10.2307/2369536.
- [Vau97] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, Second, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 125, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, SHANDONG 250100, CHINA

*Email address:* [huangexun@mail.sdu.edu.cn](mailto:huangexun@mail.sdu.edu.cn)

*Email address:* [zhuty@mail.sdu.edu.cn](mailto:zhuty@mail.sdu.edu.cn)