# Generalized Turán problems for a matching and long cycles

Xiamiao Zhao<sup>\*</sup> Mei Lu<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of graphs. A graph G is  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if G does not contain any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ as a subgraph. The general Turán number, denoted by  $ex(n, H, \mathcal{F})$ , is the maximum number of copies of H in an n-vertex  $\mathcal{F}$ -free graph. Then  $ex(n, K_2, \mathcal{F})$ , also denote by  $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$ , is the Turán number. Recently, Alon and Frankl determined the exact value of  $ex(n, \{K_k, M_{s+1}\})$ , where  $K_k$  and  $M_{s+1}$  are a complete graph on k vertices and a matching of size s + 1, respectively. Then many results were obtained by extending  $K_k$ to a general fixed graph or family of graphs. Let  $C_k$  be a cycle of order k. Denote  $C_{\geq k} = \{C_k, C_{k+1}, \ldots\}$ . In this paper, we determine the value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq k}, M_{s+1}\})$ for large enough n and obtain the extremal graphs when k is odd. Particularly, the exact value of  $ex(n, \{C_{\geq k}, M_{s+1}\})$  and the extremal graph are given for large enough n.

Keywords: General Turán number, matching number, cycles

#### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we only consider finite, simple and undirected graphs. Let G = (V, E) be a graph, where V is the vertex set and E is the edge set of G. We use v(G) and e(G) to denote the order and size of G, respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of graphs. A graph G is  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if G does not contain any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  as a subgraph. The general Turán number, denoted by  $ex(n, H, \mathcal{F})$ , is the maximum number of copies of H in an n-vertex  $\mathcal{F}$ -free graph. When  $H = K_2$ , we write  $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$  instead of  $ex(n, H, \mathcal{F})$ . Here  $ex(n, \mathcal{F})$  is the classical Turán number. If  $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$ , we write ex(n, H, F)instead of  $ex(n, H, \mathcal{F})$ . Denote

 $Ex(n, H, \mathcal{F}) := \{G \mid G \text{ is a } n \text{-vertex } \mathcal{F} \text{-free graph with } ex(n, H, \mathcal{F}) \text{ copies of } H\}.$ 

<sup>\*</sup>email: zxm23@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: email: lumei@tsinghua.edu.cn

Many scholars examined the maximum number of edges without a cycle of at least k. Erdős and Gallai [6] showed that if a graph G with n vertices has more than  $\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(n-1)$  edges, then G contains a cycle of length at least k, where  $k \ge 3$ . But the upper bound is tight only when k-2 divides n-1. Woodall [16] completed all the rest cases by proving that if  $k \ge 3$ and n = q(k-2) + p + 1, where  $q \ge 0$  and  $0 \le p \le k-2$ , and G is a graph on n vertices with more than  $q\binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{p+1}{2}$  edges, then G contains a cycles of length at least k. This result is best possible because we can find a graph  $G_0$  that is  $C_{\ge k}$ -free and has  $q\binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{p+1}{2}$  edges, where  $G_0$  is a graph consisting of q copies of  $K_{k-1}$  and one copy of  $K_{p+1}$ , all having exactly one vertex in common. Noticing that the extremal graph above is not 2-connected. Kopylov [11] and Woodall [15] independently determined the maximum size of a 2-connected graph without cycles of length at least k. For  $2 \le a \le (k-1)/2$ , define

$$f_b(n,k,a) := \binom{k-a}{b} + (n-k+a)\binom{a}{b-1}.$$

Let  $k \ge 2a$  and  $H_{n,k,a}$  be a graph obtained from  $K_{k-a}$  by adding n - (k - a) isolated vertices each joined to the same *a* vertices of  $K_{k-a}$ . Then  $f_2(n,k,a) = e(H_{n,k,a})$ . Note that when  $a \ge 2$ ,  $H_{n,k,a}$  is 2-connected and has no cycle of length at least *k*. Also the matching number of  $H_{n,k,a}$ is  $a + \lfloor \frac{k-2a}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Theorem 1.1 (Kopylov** [11] and Woodall [15]) Let  $5 \le k \le n$  and let  $t = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . If G is a 2-connected n-vertices graph with

$$e(G) \ge \max\{f_2(n,k,2), f_2(n,k,t)\},\$$

then either G has a cycle of length at least k, or  $G = H_{n,k,2}$ , or  $G = H_{n,k,t}$ .

**Theorem 1.2 (Fan et al [8])** Let  $2 \le k \le n-1$  and let  $t = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . If G is a 2-connected *n*-vertices graph with

 $e(G) \ge \max\{f_2(n,k,2), f_2(n,k,t)\},\$ 

then either G has a cycle of length at least k, or  $G = H_{n,k,2}$ , or  $G = H_{n,k,t}$ .

Since the matching number of  $H_{n,k,a}$  is  $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ , by Theorem 1.2, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.3** Let  $2 \le k \le n-1$ ,  $s \ge \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil$  and let  $t = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . If G is a 2-connected n-vertex graph with matching number at most s and without cycles of length at least k, then

$$e(G) \le \max\{f_2(n,k,2), f_2(n,k,t)\}.$$

The extremal graph is either  $H_{n,k,2}$  or  $H_{n,k,t}$ .

Luo [13] extended the result of the Turán problem on the cycle of length at least k to the general Turán problem. Let  $N_r(G)$  denote the number of copies of cliques with size r in G.

**Theorem 1.4 (Luo [13])** Let  $n \ge k \ge 5$  and let  $t = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . If G is a 2-connected n-vertex graph with circumference less than k, then

$$N_r(G) \le \max\{f_r(n,k,2), f_r(n,k,t)\}.$$

The extremal graph is either  $H_{n,k,2}$  or  $H_{n,k,t}$ .

Similarly, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.5** Let  $k \ge 5$ ,  $s \ge \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  and  $t = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ . If G is a 2-connected n-vertex graph with matching number at most s and without cycles of length at least k, then  $N_r(G) \le f_r(n, k, t)$  when n is large enough. Moreover, the extremal graph is  $H_{n,k,t}$ .

Erdős and Gallai [7] gave the result of the maximum graph with the matching number at most s, that is,

$$ex(n, M_{s+1}) = \max\left\{f_2(n, 2s+1, s), \binom{2s+1}{2}\right\}.$$
(1)

Duan, Ning, Peng, Wang and Yang [5] extent Erdős and Gallai's result.

**Theorem 1.6 (Duan et al.** [5]) If G is a graph with  $n \ge 2s + 2$  vertices, minimum degree  $\delta$ , and with matching number at most s, then

$$N_r(G) \le \max\{f_r(n, 2s+1, \delta), f_r(n, 2s+1, s)\},\$$

*i.e.*  $ex(n, K_r, M_{s+1}) = \max\{f_r(n, 2s+1, \delta), f_r(n, 2s+1, s)\}.$ 

Chakraborti and Chen [2] gave the exact results about  $ex(n, K_r, C_{\geq k})$ . Dou, Ning and Peng [4] determined the value of  $ex(n, \{C_{\geq k}, K_m\})$ .

Recently, Alon and Frankl [1] proposed to consider the maximum number of edges in a F-free graph on n vertices with matching number at most s (denoted as  $ex(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ ). In the same paper, they proved that

$$ex(n, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = e(G(n, k, s)),$$

where G(n, k, s) denotes the complete k-partite graph on n vertices consisting of k - 1 vertex classes of sizes as equal as possible whose total size is s, and one additional vertex class of size n - s. Later, Gerbner [10] gave several results about  $ex(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$ , when F satisfies some properties. He proved that if  $\chi(F) > 2$  and n is large enough, then  $ex(n, \{F, M_{s+1}\}) =$  $ex(s, \mathcal{G}(F)) + s(n-2)$ , where  $\mathcal{G}(F)$  is the family of graphs obtained by deleting an independent set from F. Luo, Zhao and Lu [12] determined the exact value of  $ex(n, \{K_{l,t}, M_{s+1}\})$  when s is large enough and  $n \ge {3s \choose 2}$  for all  $3 \le l \le t$ . Ma and Hou [14] determined the exact value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$  when  $n \ge 2s + 1$  and  $k \ge r \ge 3$ . Zhu and Chen [17] determined  $ex(n, K_r, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$  for some fixed F. Gerbner [9] gave several asymptomatic results about  $ex(n, H, \{F, M_{s+1}\})$  for general graph H.

Let  $C_k$  be a cycle of order k. Denote  $C_{\geq k} = \{C_k, C_{k+1}, \ldots\}$ . In this paper, we determined the exact value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq k}, M_{s+1}\})$  for large enough n. Note that the matching number of  $C_k$  is  $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ . If  $s \leq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ , then  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq k}, M_{s+1}\}) = ex(n, K_r, M_{s+1})$  which has been determined. So we consider the case when  $s \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ . It is not difficult to show that  $ex(n, K_2, \{C_{\geq 3}, M_{s+1}\}) = n-1$  and  $K_{1,n-1}$  is the extremal graph. And  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 3}, M_{s+1}\}) = n-1$ 0, for  $r \geq 3$ . So we can assume  $k \geq 4$ . Since the parity of k causes different value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq k}, M_{s+1}\})$ , we will describe our conclusions in two theorems. Given integers k and r, let  $\tau_{k,r} = \min\{k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \mid k_0 \binom{k}{r-1} < \binom{k_0+1}{r}\}$ . Notice that  $\binom{a+b+1}{r} \ge \binom{a+1}{r} + b\binom{a}{r-1}$  for every  $b \ge 0, a \ge 1$ , and  $k_0\binom{k}{r-1} \ge k_0\binom{k_0}{r-1} \ge \binom{k_0+1}{r}$  for every  $2 \le k_0 \le k$ . So  $\tau_{r,k} > k$ . And we have

$$(\tau_{k,r}+b)\binom{k}{r-1} \le \tau_{k,r}\binom{k}{r-1} + b\binom{\tau_{k,r}}{r-1} < \binom{\tau_{k,r}+1}{r} + b\binom{\tau_{k,r}}{r-1} \le \binom{\tau_{k,r}+b+1}{r},$$

for every  $b \ge 0$ . Thus for every  $k' \ge \tau_{k,r}, k'\binom{k}{r-1} < \binom{k'+1}{r}$ . Moreover, above inequality implies when  $k' \geq \tau_{r,k}$ ,

$$\binom{k'+b+1}{r} - (k'+b)\binom{k}{r-1} \ge \binom{k'+1}{r} - k'\binom{k}{r-1}.$$
(2)

So the function  $f(x) = {\binom{x+1}{r}} - x {\binom{k}{r-1}}$  is monotonic increase about x when  $x \ge \tau_{k,r}$ .

Let

$$h(r,k,s) = \begin{cases} \binom{k+1}{r} - (k+1)\binom{k}{r-1} & \text{if } 2k \le \tau_{k,r}, \\ q\binom{2k}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} - (k+1+q(2k-1))\binom{k}{r-1} & \text{if } 2t+1 < \tau_{k,r} \le 2k-1, \\ q\binom{2k}{r} + \binom{2t+2}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} - A\binom{k}{r-1} & \text{if } 2t+1 \ge \tau_{k,r}, \end{cases}$$

where A = k+1+q(2k-1)+(2t+1),  $q = \lfloor \frac{s-k}{k-1} \rfloor$  and t = s-k-q(k-1) < k-1. It is not difficult to check that  $\binom{2k}{r} - (2k-1)\binom{k}{r-1} \ge 0$ . When  $2t+1 \ge \tau_{k,r}$ , we have  $\binom{2t+2}{r} - (2t+1)\binom{k}{r-1} \ge 0$ . So  $h(r,k,s) \ge \binom{k+1}{r} - (k+1)\binom{k}{r-1}$ . Now we have our first main result.

**Theorem 1.7** If  $k + 1 \ge r$ ,  $k \ge 2, r \ge 2$  and  $s \ge 2k + 1$ , then when n is large enough,

$$ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = \binom{k}{r-1}n + h(r, k, s).$$

Moreover, when  $2k \leq \tau_{k,r}$  (resp.  $2t+1 < \tau_{k,r} \leq 2k-1$  or  $2t+1 \geq \tau_{k,r}$ ), the extremal graph is  $H_{n,2k+1,k}$  (resp.  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  consisting of q+1 blocks with  $B_1 \cong H_{n-q(2k-1),2k+1,k}$  and q blocks being  $K_{2k}$  or  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  consisting of q+2 blocks with  $B_1 \cong H_{n-q(2k-1)-(2t+1),2k+1,k}$ , q blocks being  $K_{2k}$  and one block being  $K_{2t+2}$ , where s = k + q(k-1) + t,  $0 \le t \le k-2$  and the graph  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  would be defined in Section 2.

Note that  $\tau_{k,2} = 2k$ . Thus  $h(2,k,s) = \binom{k+1}{2} - k(k+1)$ . We have the following result by Theorem 1.7.

**Corollary 1.8** If  $k \ge 2$  and  $s \ge 2k + 1$ , then

$$ex(n, \{C_{\geq 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = \binom{k}{2} + k(n-k)$$

when n is large enough and the extremal graph is  $H_{n,2k+1,k}$ .

For the case forbidding cycles of length at least 2k, we define a function for integers x, y, and z as following.

$$g(x, y, z) := x \binom{2k-1}{r} + y \binom{2k-2}{r} + \binom{z}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} - (k+1+x(2k-2)+y(2k-3)+(z-1))\binom{k-1}{r-1}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_1 := \left\{ (x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le z \le 2k - 1, (k - 1)x + (k - 2)y + \left\lfloor \frac{z - 1}{2} \right\rfloor + k \le s \right\},\$$
$$\mathcal{T}_2 := \left\{ (x, y, z) | x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le z \le 2k - 1, (k - 1)x + (k - 2)y + \left\lfloor \frac{z - 1}{2} \right\rfloor + k - 1 \le s \right\}.$$

**Theorem 1.9** If  $k \ge r$ ,  $r \ge 2$  and  $k \ge 3$ , then we have

$$ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\}) = \binom{k-1}{r-1}n + \max\left\{\max_{(x,y,z)\in\mathfrak{I}_1}g(x,y,z), \max_{(x,y,z)\in\mathfrak{I}_2}g(x,y,z) - \binom{k-1}{r-2}\right\}.$$

When r = 2, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.10** If  $k \ge 2$ , and  $s = q(k-1) + t \ge k-1$ , where  $q = \lfloor \frac{s}{k-1} \rfloor \ge 1$  and  $0 \le t \le k-2$ , then

$$ex(n, \{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\}) = (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2} + (k-1)(q-1) + \epsilon$$

when n is large enough, where  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $t \ge 1$  and 0 otherwise. Moreover, when  $\epsilon = 0$  (resp.  $\epsilon = 1$ ), the extremal graph is  $St^1(n, 2k, q)$  (resp.  $St^2(n, 2k, q)$ ), where  $q = \lfloor \frac{s}{k-1} \rfloor$  and  $St^1(n, 2k, q)$  and  $St^2(n, 2k, q)$  would be given in Section 4.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give basic definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1.7. The proofs of Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 will be given in Section 4.

#### 2 Preliminary

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. For  $X \subseteq V$ , we denote  $N_X^r(v) = \{U : U \subseteq X, |U| = r - 1, G[U \cup \{v\}] = K_r\}$  and  $d_X^r(v) = |N_X^r(v)|$ . If X = V(G), we write  $d^r(v)$  and  $N^r(v)$  instead  $d_{V(G)}^r(v)$  and  $N_{V(G)}^r(v)$ . And if r = 2, we write  $N_X(v)$  and  $d_X(v)$  instead of  $N_X^2(v)$  and  $d_X^2(v)$ , respectively. For  $e \in E(G)$  (resp.  $e \notin E(G)$ ), G - e (resp. G + e) is the graph obtained by deleting e (resp. adding e) in G. We will use  $\nu(G)$  to denote the matching number of G.

Let *H* be a connected graph. We can decompose it into blocks, denoted as  $B_i$ , i = 1, ..., h, and satisfying  $B_i \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} B_j) = \{u_i\} \subseteq V(B_i)$   $(2 \le i \le h)$ , i.e.  $u_i$  is a vertex cut separating  $B_i$ and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{i-1} B_j$  (see Figure 1). If *H* is 2-connected, then we let  $H = B_1$ . We arbitrarily choose a vertex  $u_1 \in V(B_1)$ . Let  $H_1 := H$  and  $H_i := H_{i-1} - \sum_{v \in V(B_i)} vu_i + \sum_{v \in V(B_i)} vu_1$  for i = 2, ..., h. Then the **star graph** of H, denoted by  $St(H, B_1, u_1)$ , is the graph  $H_h$ . Notice that the different choices of  $B_1$  and  $u_1 \in V(B_1)$  may cause different star graphs (see Figure 2). The matchings of H and  $St(H, B_1, u_1)$  have the following relationship.



Figure 1: The block decomposition of G and its representative vertices.

**Lemma 2.1** Let H be a connected graph and  $St(H, B_1, u_1)$  be a star graph of H. Let M be a matching of  $St(H, B_1, u_1)$ . If  $u_1$  is not covered by M or  $u_1v \in M$  with  $v \in V(B_1)$ , then there is a matching with the same size in H.

*Proof.* In both cases, we have  $M \subseteq E(H)$  by the construction of  $St(H, B_1, u_1)$ . Thus the result holds.

We introduce a few theorems about the long cycles and long paths in a graph, which we will use later.

**Theorem 2.2 (Dirac [3])** If the degree of every vertex of the 2-connected graph G is  $\geq k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) and if  $v(G) \geq 2k$ , then G contains a cycle with at least 2k edges.

**Theorem 2.3 (Erdős and Galli [6])** If the vertex u of the graph G is not isolated and the degree of every vertex of G distinct from u is  $\geq k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and if  $v(G) \leq 2k - 1$ , then u is connected by a Hamiltonian path.

We need the following result about a graph with bounded matching number.

**Lemma 2.4 (Luo et al.** [12]) Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then  $\nu(G) \leq s$  if and only if there is a subset  $X \subseteq V(G)$  such that

$$|X| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\lfloor \frac{|V(C_i)|}{2} \right\rfloor \le s, \tag{3}$$



Figure 2: Different choice of  $B_1$  and  $u_1$  may cause different star graph.

where  $C_1, \ldots, C_m$  are the components of G - X.

For every vertex set X satisfies Lemma 2.4, we denote  $J_X(G) := \{i \mid |V(C_i)| \ge 2, 1 \le i \le m\}$ and  $I_X(G) = \{v \mid v \text{ is an isolated vertex in } G - X\}$ , where  $C_1, \ldots, C_m$  are the components of G - X. Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.5** We have  $|X| + \sum_{i \in J_X(G)} |V(C_i)| \le 3s$ , and  $|I_X(G)| \ge n - 3s$ .

*Proof.* For every  $i \in J_X(G)$ , we may assume that  $|V(C_i)| = c_i$  is odd, i.e. there exists integer  $k_i \ge 1$  such that  $c_i = 2k_i + 1$ . Let |X| = x. Then (3) can be rewritten as

$$x + \sum_{i \in J_X(G)} \left\lfloor \frac{2k_i + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = x + \sum_{i \in J_X(G)} k_i \le s.$$

Then we have  $\sum_{i \in J_X(G)} k_i \leq s - x$ , and

$$|X| + \sum_{i \in J_X(G)} |V(C_i)| \le x + 3 \sum_{i \in J_X(G)} k_i \le x + 3(s - x) \le 3s.$$

Thus  $|I_X(G)| = n - |X| - \sum_{i \in J_X(G)} |V(C_i)| \ge n - 3s.$ 

Now let  $G \in Ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\})$  and  $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \rfloor$  with  $a \geq 5$ ,  $a_0 \geq r \geq 2$  and  $s \geq \lceil \frac{a}{2} \rceil$ . In the following discussion, we assume that n is large enough. Since  $H_{n,a,a_0}$  is  $\{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free when  $s \geq \lceil \frac{a}{2} \rceil$ , we have

$$N_r(G) \ge N_r(H_{n,a,a_0}) = \binom{a-a_0}{r} + (n-a+a_0)\binom{a_0}{r-1}.$$
(4)

Let X be a vertex set satisfying (3) in Lemma 2.4. We have the following results.

**Lemma 2.6** There exist  $S \subseteq X$  with  $|S| = a_0$  and  $Y \subseteq I_X(G)$  with  $|Y| = \Theta(n)$  such that  $G[S \cup \{y\}] = K_{a_0+1}$  for any  $y \in Y$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, the number of copies of  $K_r$  in G which do not contain any vertices in  $I_X(G)$  is  $O_{r,s}(1)$ . From (4), the number of copies of  $K_r$  in G is at least  $\binom{a_0}{r-1}n$ . So there is  $Y \subseteq I_X(G)$  with  $|Y| = \Theta(n)$  such that for any  $y \in Y$ , there is  $S_y \subseteq X$  with  $|S_y| \ge a_0$  and  $G[S_y \cup \{y\}]$  is a clique when n is large enough. For every  $t \ge a_0 + 1$ , if there exist more than t vertices connecting t vertices of X, then we can find a cycle of length more than  $2t \ge a$ , a contradiction. Thus the number of vertices in  $I_X(G)$  connecting more than  $a_0$  vertices of X is  $O_{s,k}(1)$ .

So there are  $\Theta(n)$  vertices in  $I_X(G)$  connecting a clique in X with size exactly  $a_0$ . Since there are at most  $\binom{|X|}{a_0}$  subsets of X with size  $a_0$ , according to the Pigeonhole Principal, we are done.

Let  $S_0$  be the subset given by Lemma 2.6 in the following discussion. If G has an isolated vertex, say x, then let  $G' = G + \sum_{s \in S_0} xs$ . If G have two components which have at least two vertices, say  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , let  $G' = G - \sum_{x \in N_{G_1}(x_1)} x_1x + \sum_{x \in N_{G_1}(x_1)} x_2x + \sum_{s \in S_0} x_1s$ , where  $x_i \in V(G_i)$  for i = 1, 2 and  $S_0 \cap V(G_1) = \emptyset$ . In each case, we have G' is  $\{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free, but  $N_r(G') > N_r(G)$ , a contradiction with  $G \in Ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\})$ . So we have that G is connected.

We choose the block containing  $S_0$  as the first block  $B_1$  in the decomposition of G to construct the star graph  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  of G, where  $s_1 \in S_0$ . Then  $\nu(B_1) \ge a_0$ . Also,  $s_1$  is covered by each maximum matching of  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$ .

**Lemma 2.7** We have  $St(G, B_1, s_1) \in Ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}).$ 

Proof. First, we claim that  $\nu(St(G, B_1, s_1)) \leq \nu(G)$ . By Lemma 2.1, we only need to consider the case that there exists a maximum matching M of  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$ , such that  $s_1u \in M$  and  $u \notin V(B_1)$ . By Lemma 2.6, we can choose a vertex  $x \in I_X(G) \cap V(B_1)$  such that  $(M \setminus \{s_1u\}) \cup \{s_1x\}$ is a maximum matching of  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  and we are done by Lemma 2.1.

It is easy to check that  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  is  $C_{\geq a}$ -free and  $N_r(G) = N_r(St(G, B_1, s_1))$ . So we finish the proof.

By Lemma 2.7, we will assume  $G = St(G, B_1, s_1)$  in the next discussion. Then  $s_1$  is a vertex cut of G separating G into blocks  $B_i$ , i = 1, ..., h if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $A \subseteq V(G)$  and  $v \notin A$ . We define  $G[v \to A]$ , call **switch** v **to** A, to be the graph obtained from G by deleting all edges joining with v and adding new edges connecting v with every vertex in A. Note that  $G[v \to S_0]$  is still  $\{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free if  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(B_1)$ . If there is  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(B_1)$  such that  $d^r(v) \leq {a_0 \choose r-1}$ , then

$$N_r(G[v \to S_0]) = N_r(G) - d^r(v) + {a_0 \choose r-1} \ge N_r(G).$$

So we will assume  $d^r(v) \ge {a_0 \choose r-1} + 1$  for any  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(B_1)$  if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

 $I_X(G) \subseteq V(B_1)$  and if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $d_{B_i}(v) = d(v) \geq a_0 + 1$  for each  $v \in I_X(G)$  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1)$  by  $a_0 \ge r \ge 2$ . Recall  $a_0 = \lfloor \frac{a-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 2.8** If  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ , then for any  $i \ge 2$ ,  $a_0 + 2 \le v(B_i) \le a - 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $d_{B_i}(v) = d(v) \ge a_0 + 1$  for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(B_1)$ , we have  $v(B_i) \ge a_0 + 2$ .

Suppose there is i, say i = 2, such that  $v(B_2) \ge a$ . Recall for every  $v \in V(B_2) \setminus \{s_1\}$ ,  $d_{B_2}(v) = d(v) \ge a_0 + 1$ . By Theorem 2.2 and G being  $C_{\ge a}$ -free, we have  $|V(B_2) \setminus \{s_1\}| = a - 1$ and  $G[V(B_2) \setminus \{s_1\}]$  has a Hamiltonian cycle. So  $v(B_2) = a$  and the number of the maximum matching of G contained in  $B_2$  is  $a_0$  because  $s_1$  is covered by each maximum matching in  $B_1$ . Since G is  $\{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free, the circumference of  $B_2$  is a-1. Then by Theorem 1.4, we have that

$$N_r(B_2) \le \max\left\{ \binom{a-2}{r} + 2\binom{2}{r-1}, \binom{a-a_0}{r} + a_0\binom{a_0}{r-1} \right\},\$$

and the extremal graph is either  $H_{a,a,2}$  or  $H_{a,a,a_0}$ . Note that both of these graphs are  $C_{\geq a}$ -free with matching number  $a_0$ , so we may assume that  $B_2$  is isomorphic to one of the extremal graphs. But in these two graphs, there are at least two vertices with degrees less than  $a_0 + 1$ , a contradiction with our assumption. 

**Lemma 2.9** If  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ , we may assume  $B_i$  is a clique for any  $2 \le i \le h$ . Moreover  $v(B_i)$  is even if  $v(B_i) \leq a - 2$  for any  $2 \leq i \leq h$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $d_{B_i}(v) = d(v) \ge a_0 + 1$  for each  $v \in V(G) \setminus V(B_1)$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $v(B_i) \leq a-1 \leq 2a_0-1$ . By Theorem 2.3, there exists a Hamiltonian path connecting  $s_1$ . Since  $s_1$  is covered by each maximum matching in  $B_1$ ,  $\nu(B_i) = \lfloor (v(B_i) - 1)/2 \rfloor$  for  $2 \le i \le h$ . So we can replace  $B_i$  with a complete graph of the same size, and the number of  $K_r$  will not decrease.

Suppose there is i, say i = 2, such that  $v(B_2)$  is odd and  $v(B_2) \leq a-2$ . Let  $v \in I_X(G)$ . Note that  $s_1$  is covered by each maximum matching in  $B_1$ . Then  $G[v \to B_2]$  is  $\{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. But

$$N_r(G[v \to B_2]) - N_r(G) = \binom{v(B_2) + 1}{r} - \binom{v(B_2)}{r} - \binom{a_0}{r-1} = \binom{v(B_2)}{r-1} - \binom{a_0}{r-1} > 0$$
  
y  $v(B_2) > a_0 + 2$ , a contradiction.

by  $v(B_2) \ge a_0 + 2$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.10** If  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|\{i \mid a_0 + 1 \le v(B_i) \le a - 2 - \varepsilon, 2 \le i \le h\}| \le 1$ , where  $\varepsilon = 0$  if a is odd; else  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there are  $i, j \in \{2, \ldots, h\}$ , say i = 2 and j = 3, such that  $a_0 + 1 \leq v(B_i) \leq i \leq n$  $a-2-\varepsilon$  for i=2,3. Assume  $v(B_2) \leq v(B_3)$ . By Lemma 2.9, we have  $v(B_3) \leq a-3-\varepsilon$ . Let  $v_1, v_2 \in V(B_2) \setminus \{s_1\}$ . Then we switch  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  to  $B_3$  respectively and add an edge connecting  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . We denote the obtained graph by G'. Then G' is  $\{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. Since

$$\binom{v(B_2)}{r} + \binom{v(B_3)}{r} < \binom{v(B_2) - 2}{r} + \binom{v(B_3) + 2}{r}$$

we have  $N_r(G') > N_r(G)$ , a contradiction.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this Section, we prove Theorem 1.7. We will use the same notations (for example,  $S_0$ ,  $B_1, \ldots, B_h$ ,  $I_X(G)$ , etc) as that in Section 2. Then a = 2k + 1 and  $a_0 = k$ . First, we prove the upper bound of  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$  and the construction of the lower bound will be given in the proof.

Let  $G \in Ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$ . Moreover, we assume G has the least number of blocks among all graphs in  $Ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$ . By the discussion in Section 2, we have  $G = St(G, B_1, s_1)$ , and for any  $i \geq 2$ ,  $B_i$  is a clique,  $k+2 \leq v(B_i) \leq 2k$  and  $d_{B_i}(v) = d(v) \geq k+1$  for every  $v \in V(B_i) \setminus \{s_1\}$  if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ .

Note that  $B_1$  is 2-connected and  $\{C_{\geq 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. According to Corollary 1.5,  $N_r(B_1) \leq f_r(v(B_1), 2k+1, k)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $B_1 \cong H_{v(B_1), 2k+1, k}$ . Since  $\nu(B_1) \geq k$  and  $\nu(H_{v(B_1), 2k+1, k}) = k$ , we may assume  $B_1 \cong H_{v(B_1), 2k+1, k}$ . Then  $\nu(B_1) = k$  and

$$N_r(B_1) = \binom{k+1}{r} + (v(B_1) - k - 1)\binom{k}{r-1}.$$

Recall  $\tau_{k,r} = \min\{k_0 \mid k_0 {\binom{k}{r-1}} < {\binom{k_0+1}{r}}\}$ . We have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1** If  $2k \leq \tau_{k,r}$ , then h = 1 (i.e.,  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) = \emptyset$ ). More over  $N_r(G) \leq \binom{k+1}{r} + (n-k-1)\binom{k}{r-1}$  and the equality holds if and only if  $G \cong H_{n,2k+1,k}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $h \ge 2$ . Now we switch all vertices in  $V(B_2) \setminus \{s_1\}$  to  $S_0$  one by one. Denote the new graph by G'. Then G' is  $\{C_{\ge 2k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free and

$$N_r(G') - N_r(G) = (v(B_2) - 1) \binom{k}{r-1} - \binom{(v(B_2) - 1) + 1}{r}.$$

By Lemma 2.8,  $v(B_2) - 1 \leq 2k - 1 < \tau_{k,r}$ . Hence  $N_r(G') - N_r(G) \geq 0$ , but G' has less blocks than G, a contradiction. So h = 1 and then  $G = B_1$ . Thus the result holds.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. By (the proof of) Lemma 3.1, we can assume  $h \ge 2$  and  $2k - 1 \ge \tau_{k,r}$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $k + 2 \le v(B_i) \le 2k$  for every  $2 \le i \le h$ . By Lemma 2.9, for any  $2 \le i \le h$ ,  $B_i$  is a clique.

By Lemma 2.10, we assume  $v(B_i) = 2k$  for all  $2 \le i \le h-1$  and  $k+2 \le v(B_h) \le 2k$ . Let s = k + q(k-1) + t, where  $0 \le t \le k-2$ . Since  $\nu(G) = k + (h-2)(k-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{v(B_h)-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ , we have

$$k + (h-2)(k-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{v(B_h) - 1}{2} \right\rfloor \le k + q(k-1) + t.$$
(5)

We finish the proof by considering the following two cases.

Case 1.  $2t + 1 < \tau_{k,r}$ .

We first prove that  $h - 2 \leq q - 1$ . By (5),  $h - 2 \leq q$  and we just need to consider the case t > 0. Suppose h - 2 = q. By (5), we have  $v(B_h) \leq 2t + 2 \leq 2k - 2$ . We switch all

vertices in  $V(B_h) \setminus \{s_1\}$  to  $S_0$  one by one. Denote the new graph by G'. Then G' is  $C_{\geq k}$ -free and  $\nu(G') = k + q(k-1) < s$ . But

$$N_r(G') - N_r(G) = (v(B_h) - 1) \binom{k}{r-1} - \binom{v(B_h)}{r}.$$

Since  $v(B_h) - 1 \le 2t + 1 < \tau_{k,r}$ , we have  $N_r(G') - N(G) \ge 0$  and G' has less number of blocks than G, a contradiction. So  $h - 2 \le q - 1$  holds.

Then we claim that  $v(B_h) = 2k$ . Suppose  $v(B_h) \le 2k - 1$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $v(B_h)$  is even. Then  $G[v \to B_h]$  with  $v \in I_x(G)$  is  $\{C_{2k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free and

$$N_r(G') - N_r(G) = {v(B_h) \choose r-1} - {k \choose r-1} > 0,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $v(B_h) = 2k$  and then we have

$$N_r(G) \le q\binom{2k}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} + (n-k-1-q(2k-1))\binom{k}{r-1}.$$

The equality holds if and only if h - 1 = q. The extremal graph is  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  consisting of q + 1 blocks, with  $B_1 \cong H_{n-q(2k-1),2k+1,k}$  and q blocks being cliques with order 2k.

Case 2.  $2t + 1 \ge \tau_{k,r}$ .

By (5), we have  $h - 2 \leq q$ . We claim that h - 2 = q. Suppose  $h - 2 \leq q - 1$ . Then we may assume  $v(B_h) = 2k$  otherwise we can switch  $v \in I_X(G)$  to  $B_h$  and get a graph with more copies of  $K_r$  by the same argument as Case 1. Now  $\nu(G) = k + q(k-1)$ . Let G' be the graph obtained from G by deleting 2t + 1 vertices from  $I_X(G)$  and adding a clique of order 2t + 2 that intersects G with  $s_1$ . Then G' is still  $C_{\geq k}$ -free and  $\nu(G') = k + (h-1)(k-1) + t \leq s$ . Moreover,

$$N_r(G') - N_r(G) = \binom{2t+2}{r} - (2t+1)\binom{k}{r-1} > 0,$$

a contradiction. Now h - 2 = q which implies  $v(B_h) \le 2t + 2$  and

$$N_{r}(G) = q\binom{2k}{r} + \binom{v(B_{h})}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} + (n - q(2k - 1) - (v(B_{h}) - 1) - k - 1)\binom{k}{r-1}$$
  
$$\leq q\binom{2k}{r} + \binom{2t+2}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} + (n - q(2k - 1) - 2t - 1 - k - 1)\binom{k}{r-1}.$$

The last equality holds by (2) and  $2t+1 \ge \tau_{k,r}$ . The equality holds if and only if  $v(B_h) = 2t+2$ . The extremal graph is  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  consisting of q+2 blocks with  $B_1 \cong H_{n-q(2k-1)-(2t+1),2k+1,k}$ , q blocks being  $K_{2k}$  and one block being  $K_{2t+2}$ . Now we have finished the proof.

# 4 Proof of Theorems 1.9 and 1.10

In this Section, we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10. We will use the same notations (for example,  $S_0, B_1, \ldots, B_h, I_X(G)$ , etc) as that in Section 2. Then a = 2k and  $a_0 = k - 1$ . First, we prove

the upper bound of  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\})$  and the construction of the lower bound will be given in the proof.

Let  $G \in Ex(n, K_r, \{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\})$ . By the discussion in Section 2, we have  $G = St(G, B_1, s_1)$ , and for any  $i \geq 2$ ,  $B_i$  is a clique,  $k + 2 \leq v(B_i) \leq 2k - 1$  and  $d_{B_i}(v) = d(v) \geq k$  for every  $v \in V(B_i) \setminus \{s_1\}$  if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $\nu(B_1) \geq k - 1$ .

By Lemma 2.10, we assume there are x blocks of order 2k - 1, y blocks of order 2k - 2 in  $\{B_2, \ldots, B_{h-1}\}$  and let  $v(B_h) = z$ . Then  $v(B_1) = n - x(2k - 2) - y(2k - 3) - (z - 1)$ . By Lemma 2.8, we have  $k + 1 \le z \le 2k - 1$ . Since our result is about a maximum problem, we let  $z \ge 1$ . Then z = 1 implies that  $B_h$  does not exist.

Proof of Theorem 1.9.

Note that  $\nu(B_1) \ge k - 1$ . We complete the proof by considering two cases.

**Case 1.**  $\nu(B_1) \geq k$ . Since  $B_1$  is 2-connected and  $\{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free, by Corollary 1.5,  $N_r(B_1) \leq f_r(v(B_1), 2k, k-1)$  and the equality holds if and only if  $B_1 \cong H_{v(B_1), 2k, k-1}$ . Since  $\nu(B_1) \geq k$  and  $\nu(H_{v(B_1), 2k+1, k}) = k$ , we may assume  $B_1 \cong H_{v(B_1), 2k, k-1}$ . Then  $\nu(B_1) = k$  and

$$N_r(B_1) = \binom{k+1}{r} + (v(B_1) - k - 1)\binom{k-1}{r-1}$$

Since  $\nu(G) \leq s$ , we have that  $k + x(k-1) + y(k-2) + \lfloor \frac{z-1}{2} \rfloor \leq s$ . Above all, we have that

$$g_1(x, y, z, n) := N_r(G) = x \binom{2k-1}{r} + y \binom{2k-2}{r} + \binom{z}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} + (n-x(2k-2) - y(2k-3) - (z-1) - k - 1)\binom{k-1}{r-1}.$$

Note that  $g_1(x, y, z, n) = \binom{k-1}{r-1}n + g(x, y, z)$ . The optimal value of  $g_1(x, y, z, n)$  under the constriction  $k + x(k-1) + y(k-2) + \lfloor \frac{z-1}{2} \rfloor \leq s$  and  $1 \leq z \leq 2k-1$  is denoted as  $M_1$ . Every solution of (x, y, z) responding to a  $\{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free graph.

**Case 2.**  $\nu(B_1) = k - 1$ . In this case, we have  $\delta(B_1) \leq k - 1$ ; otherwise, we can find a cycle of length at least 2k, a contradiction with G being  $C_{\geq 2k}$ -free. By Theorem 1.6, we may assume  $B_1 \cong H_{v(B_1),2k-1,k-1}$  and then  $N_r(B_1) = \binom{k+1}{r} - \binom{k-1}{r-2} + (v(B_1) - k - 1)\binom{k-1}{r-1}$ . Since  $\nu(G) \leq s$ , we have that  $k - 1 + x(k - 1) + y(k - 2) + \lfloor \frac{z-1}{2} \rfloor \leq s$ . Above all, we have that

$$g_2(x, y, z, n) := N_r(G) = x \binom{2k-1}{r} + y \binom{2k-2}{r} + \binom{z}{r} + \binom{k+1}{r} - \binom{k-1}{r-2} + (n-x(2k-2) - y(2k-3) - (z-1) - k - 1)\binom{k-1}{r-1}.$$

Note that  $g_2(x, y, z, n) = \binom{k-1}{r-1}n + g(x, y, z) - \binom{k-1}{r-2}$ . The optimal value of  $g_2(x, y, z, n)$  under the constriction  $k - 1 + x(k - 1) + y(k - 2) + \lfloor \frac{z-1}{2} \rfloor \leq s$  and  $1 \leq z \leq 2k - 1$  is denoted as  $M_2$ . Every solution of (x, y, z) responding to a  $\{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free graph.

Then we have  $N_r(G) \leq \max\{M_1, M_2\}$  and we are done.

Now we will use Theorem 1.9 to prove Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Let  $G \in Ex(n, K_2, \{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\})$ . We will use the same notations as that in the proof of Theorem 1.9. Then we have  $G = St(G, B_1, s_1)$ , and for any  $i \geq 2$ ,  $B_i$  is a clique,  $k + 2 \leq v(B_i) \leq 2k - 1$  and  $d_{B_i}(v) = d(v) \geq k$  for every  $v \in V(B_i) \setminus \{s_1\}$  if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $\nu(B_1) \geq k - 1$ . We first have the following claim.

**Claim 4.1** We may assume that  $v(B_i) = 2k - 1$  for every  $i \ge 2$  if  $V(G) \setminus V(B_1) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there exist i, say i = h, such that  $v(B_h) = b \le 2k - 2$ . Then we can switch all vertices in  $V(B_h) \setminus \{s_1\}$  to  $S_0$  one by one. We denote the obtained graph by G'. Then G' is  $\{C_{\ge 2k}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. Since

$$e(G') - e(G) = (k-1)(b-1) - {b \choose 2} = (b-1)\left(k-1-\frac{b}{2}\right) \ge 0,$$

we are done.

By Claim 4.1, we have y = 0 and z = 1. Let  $s = q(k-1) + t \ge k-1$ , where  $q = \lfloor \frac{s}{k-1} \rfloor$  and  $0 \le t \le k-2$ . We denote two special star graphs. Let  $St^1(n, 2k, q)$  (resp.  $St^2(n, 2k, q)$ ) be a  $St(G, B_1, s_1)$  consisting of q blocks with  $B_1 \cong H_{n-(q-1)(2k-2), 2k-1, k-1}$  (resp.  $B_1 \cong H_{n-(q-1)(2k-2), 2k, k-1}$ ) and q-1 blocks being  $K_{2k-1}$ .

If  $\nu(B_1) = k - 1$ , then  $e(G) = \max\{g_2(x, 0, 1, n) : k - 1 + x(k - 1) \le q(k - 1) + t\}$  by the proof of Theorem 1.9. It is not difficult to check that the optimal value is obtained when x = q - 1. Then

$$e(G) = \binom{k+1}{2} + (q-1)\binom{2k-1}{2} + (k-1)(n-(q-1)(2k-2)-k-1) - 1$$
  
=  $(k-1)n - \binom{k}{2} + (k-1)(q-1),$  (6)

and the equality holds if  $G \cong St^1(n, 2k, q)$ .

If  $\nu(B_1) = k$ , then  $e(G) = \max\{g_1(x, 0, 1, n) : k + x(k-1) \le q(k-1) + t\}$  by the proof of Theorem 1.9. It is not difficult to check that if t > 0 (resp. t = 0), then the optimal value is obtained when x = q - 1 (resp. x = q - 2). If t > 0, then

$$e(G) = \binom{k+1}{2} + (q-1)\binom{2k-1}{2} + (k-1)(n-(q-1)(2k-2) - k - 1)$$
$$= (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2} + (k-1)(q-1) + 1$$

and the equality holds when  $G \cong St^2(n, 2k, q)$ . If t = 0, then

$$e(G) = \binom{k+1}{2} + (q-2)\binom{2k-1}{2} + (k-1)(n-(q-2)(2k-2) - k - 1)$$
$$= (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2} + (k-1)(q-2) < e(St^1(n, 2k, q)).$$

So  $ex(n, \{C_{\geq 2k}, M_{s+1}\}) = (k-1)n - \binom{k}{2} + (k-1)(q-1) + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $t \geq 1$ , otherwise  $\epsilon = 0$ 

# 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we determined the exact value of  $ex(n, \{C_{\geq a}, M_{s+1}\})$ . According to the proof above, the star graph plays an important role, and the extremal graphs can be constructed in a few patterns through the definition of the star graph.

# Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 12171272 & 12426603).

# References

- N. Alon and P. Frankl. Turán graphs with bounded matching number. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 165:223–229, 2024.
- [2] D. Chakraborti and D. Q. Chen. Exact results on generalized Erdős-Gallai problems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04681, 2020.
- [3] G. A. Dirac. Some theorems on abstract graphs. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(1):69-81, 1952.
- [4] C. Dou, B. Ning, and X. Peng. The number of edges in graphs with bounded clique number and circumference. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.06449, 2024.
- [5] X. Duan, B. Ning, X. Peng, J. Wang, and W. Yang. Maximizing the number of cliques in graphs with given matching number. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 287:110–117, 2020.
- [6] P. Erdős and T. Gallai. On maximal paths and circuits of graphs. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 10:337–356, 1959.
- [7] P. Erdős and T. Gallai. On the minimal number of vertices representing the edges of a graph. Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci, 6(18):1–203, 1961.
- [8] G. Fan, X. Lv, and P. Wang. Cycles in 2-connected graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 92(2):379–394, 2004.
- [9] D. Gerbner. On generalized Turán problems with bounded matching number. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09113, 2023.
- [10] D. Gerbner. On Turán problems with bounded matching number. Journal of Graph Theory, 106(1):23–29, 2024.

- [11] G. N. Kopylov. On maximal paths and cycles in a graph. In Doklady Akademii Nauk, volume 234, pages 19–21. Russian Academy of Sciences, 1977.
- [12] H. Luo, X. Zhao, and M. Lu. Turán number of complete bipartite graphs with bounded matching number, 2024.
- [13] R. Luo. The maximum number of cliques in graphs without long cycles. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 128:219–226, 2018.
- [14] Y. Ma and X. Hou. Generalized Turán problem with bounded matching number. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.05625, 2023.
- [15] D. Woodall. Maximal circuits of graphs. I. Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica, 28:77–80, 1976.
- [16] D. R. Woodall. Sufficient conditions for circuits in graphs. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(4):739–755, 1972.
- [17] X. Zhu and Y. Chen. Extremal problems for a matching and any other graph. Journal of Graph Theory, doi.org/10.1002/jgt.23210, 2024