

ON FACTORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN KUMMER CHARACTERS ASSOCIATED TO ONCE-PUNCTURED ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION

SHUN ISHII[†] AND YUKI GOTO^{†◊}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study certain Kummer characters, which we call the elliptic Soulé characters, arising from Galois actions on the pro- p fundamental groups of once-punctured elliptic curves with complex multiplication. In particular, we prove that elliptic Soulé characters having values in Tate twists can be written in terms of the Soulé characters and generalized Bernoulli numbers. We apply this result to give a criterion for surjectivity of the elliptic Soulé characters and an analogue of the Coleman-Ihara formula.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Preliminaries | 5 |
| 2.1. Fundamental theta function | 5 |
| 2.2. Elliptic units and cyclotomic units | 7 |
| 2.3. Étale regulator map and Soulé cocycles | 9 |
| 3. Properties of elliptic Soulé characters | 12 |
| 3.1. Proof of the factorization formula | 12 |
| 3.2. Proofs of corollaries | 16 |
| References | 19 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of class number one, E an elliptic curve over K with complex multiplication by the ring of integers O_K of K and $p \geq 5$ be a prime which splits in K as $(p) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate certain Kummer characters (cf. Definition 1.3) associated to p -power roots of special values of the fundamental theta function (cf. Definition 2.1) of E and prove a certain factorization formula for such characters (cf. Theorem 1.7).

Geometrically, such characters are known to arise from (outer) Galois actions on the pro- p geometric fundamental groups of the once-punctured elliptic curve $X = E \setminus O$

$$G_K := \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1(X \times_K \bar{K})^{(p)}) := \text{Aut}(\pi_1(X \times_K \bar{K})^{(p)}) / \text{Inn}(\pi_1(X \times_K \bar{K})^{(p)})$$

by Nakamura [Nak95, Theorem A] (see also Remark 1.4). Here, \bar{K} is an algebraic closure of K and $\pi_1(X_{\bar{K}})^{(p)}$ is the maximal pro- p quotient of the étale fundamental group of $X \times_K \bar{K}$ ¹.

¹More precisely, such characters arise from Galois actions on the maximal *metabelian* quotient of the pro- p fundamental group $\pi_1(X \times_K \bar{K})^{(p)}$.

In the following of this paper, we also fix an embedding from \overline{K} into \mathbb{C} and a Weierstrass form $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ of E over K . Let $L = \Omega \cdot O_K$ be the lattice which gives a uniformization

$$\mathbb{C}/L \xrightarrow{\sim} E(\mathbb{C}) : z \mapsto [\wp_L(z) : \wp'_L(z) : 1].$$

of the fixed Weierstrass form, where $\wp_L(z)$ is the usual Weierstrass \wp -function. For each $n \geq 1$, the element $\Omega/p^n \in \mathbb{C}$ gives an O_K -basis ω_n of $E[p^n](\overline{K})$ under this uniformization. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$E[p^n](\overline{K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} E[\mathfrak{p}^n](\overline{K}) \oplus E[\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^n](\overline{K})$$

induced by $O_K/(p^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_K/(\mathfrak{p}^n) \times O_K/(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}^n)$. We write the image of ω_n under this isomorphism by $(\omega_{\mathfrak{p},n}, \omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},n})$. We have two characters

$$\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}} : G_K \rightarrow O_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} : G_K \rightarrow O_{K,\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$$

associated to the \mathfrak{p} -adic Tate module $T_{\mathfrak{p}}E$ and the $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ -adic Tate module $T_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}E$, respectively. For a pair of integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2)$, we write

$$\varepsilon^{\mathbf{m}} := \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}^{m_1} \varepsilon_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}^{m_2} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times.$$

With this notation, $\varepsilon^{(1,1)}$ coincides with the p -adic cyclotomic character ε_{cyc} on K .

We denote the mod- p^n ray class field of K by $K(p^n)$ and let $K(p^\infty) := \bigcup_{n>0} K(p^n)$, which is the maximal abelian extension of K unramified outside p . The field $K(p^\infty)$ corresponds to the kernel of the homomorphism

$$(\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}, \varepsilon_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}) \bmod O_K^\times : G_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_p E) / O_K^\times \cong (O_{K,\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times O_{K,\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times) / O_K^\times.$$

Hence the character $\varepsilon^{\mathbf{m}}$ factors through $\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)$ if $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{w_K}$, where $w_K := |O_K^\times|$ is the order of the unit group of O_K . For a pair of positive integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2)$ and $n \geq 1$, we define

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n} := \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a, b < p^n \\ p \nmid \gcd(a,b)}} \theta(a\omega_{\mathfrak{p},n} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},n}, L)^{a^{m_1-1}b^{m_2-1}} \in K(p^\infty)^\times / K(p^\infty)^{\times p^n},$$

where $\theta(z, L)$ is the fundamental theta function associated to L , cf. Definition 2.1.

Remark 1.1. Since the fundamental theta function is not periodic with respect to L , the value $\theta(a\omega_{\mathfrak{p},n,1} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},n}, L)$ is not well-defined as it is. However, in Lemma 2.3, we will see that the value $\theta(\omega, L)$ is contained in $K(p^\infty)^\times$ for every $\omega \in \frac{1}{p^n}L \setminus L$, and its image in the p -adic completion $\widehat{K(p^\infty)^\times}$ does not depend on the choice of a lift $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ of $a\omega_{\mathfrak{p},n} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},n}$. Hence we define the value

$$\theta(a\omega_{\mathfrak{p},n} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},n}, L) := \theta(\omega, L) \in K(p^\infty)^\times / K(p^\infty)^{\times p^n}$$

by taking an arbitrary lift ω , cf. Lemma 2.3 (2).

Lemma 1.2 (cf. Section 2.1 for the proof). *For each $n \geq 1$, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The element $\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n}$ is invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K(p^n))$. Moreover, we have*

$$\sigma(\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n}) = (\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n})^{\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \varepsilon^{-\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)}$$

for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)$.

- (2) *The relation*

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n+1} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n}$$

holds in $K(p^\infty)^\times / K(p^\infty)^{\times p^n}$.

Lemma 1.2 allows us to define elliptic Soulé characters. In the following, let $\zeta_{p^n} := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{p^n}) \in \mathbb{C}$ be the primitive p^n -th root of unity.

Definition 1.3. For a pair of positive integers $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2)$, we define the \mathbf{m} -th elliptic Soulé character

$$\chi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{ell}}: G_{K(p^\infty)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(\mathbf{m}) := \mathbb{Z}_p(\varepsilon^{\mathbf{m}})$$

to be a unique character satisfying the following relation for every $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in G_{K(p^\infty)}$:

$$\zeta_{p^n}^{\chi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{ell}}(\sigma)} = \frac{\sigma\left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n}^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\right)}{\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n}^{\frac{1}{p^n}}}.$$

Remark 1.4 (Relation to Nakamura's work [Nak95]). We remark that essentially the same characters are already introduced and studied in the pioneering work of Nakamura [Nak95]. If we fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis ω_1, ω_2 of L such that the ratio ω_1/ω_2 is contained in the upper half-plane, then the character

$$\kappa_{i,j}: G_{K(E[p^\infty])} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

in [Nak95, (3.11.5)] is defined in the same manner as $\chi_{i+1,j+1}^{\text{ell}}$ by using

$$\prod_{\substack{0 \leq a, b < p^n \\ p \nmid \gcd(a,b)}} \theta(a\omega_1 + b\omega_2, p^n L)^{a^i b^j}$$

instead of $\epsilon_{\mathbf{m},n}$. These characters are known to describe the $G_{K(E[p^\infty])}$ -action on the commutator subgroup of the maximal metabelian quotient of $\pi_1(X \times_K \overline{K})^{(p)}$, cf. [Nak95, Theorem A].

The only difference between $\kappa_{i,j}$ and $\chi_{i+1,j+1}^{\text{ell}}$ is the choice of a basis of the p -adic Tate module $T_p E$. Indeed, by a direct computation, one can observe that

$$\sum_{i+j=m} \kappa_{i,j} \cdot \omega_1^{\otimes i} \otimes \omega_2^{\otimes j} \otimes (\zeta_{p^n})_n \in H^1(G_{K(E[p^\infty])}, \text{Sym}^m T_p E(1))^{\text{Gal}(K(E[p^\infty])/K)}$$

coincides with (the restriction of) $\sum_{i+j=m} \chi_{i+1,j+1}^{\text{ell}} \cdot \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\otimes i} \otimes \omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\otimes j} \otimes (\zeta_{p^n})_n$. That is, the characters we consider are the same as those in [Nak95] once we regard both of them as $\text{Sym}^m T_p E(1)$ -valued homomorphisms. We remark that Nakamura treats *every* once-punctured elliptic curves over number fields. Interested readers are encouraged to read the original paper, which also contains applications to anabelian geometry for genus one hyperbolic curves.

The elliptic Soulé characters are analogues of the following so-called Soulé characters: For each integers $m, n \geq 1$, we define

$$\epsilon_{m,n}^{\text{cyc}} := \prod_{\substack{0 < a < p^m \\ p \nmid a}} (1 - \zeta_{p^n}^a)^{a^{m-1}} \in K(\mu_{p^n})^\times / K(\mu_{p^n})^{\times p^n}.$$

One can confirm the following analogue of Lemma 1.2:

- $\sigma(\epsilon_{m,n}^{\text{cyc}}) = (\epsilon_{m,n}^{\text{cyc}})^{\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{1-m}(\sigma)}$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)$.
- $\epsilon_{m,n+1}^{\text{cyc}} = \epsilon_{m,n}^{\text{cyc}}$ holds in $K(\mu_{p^{n+1}})^\times / K(\mu_{p^{n+1}})^{\times p^n}$.

Definition 1.5 (Soulé characters). For each odd integer $m \geq 3$, we define the m -th Soulé character

$$\chi_m: G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(m) := \mathbb{Z}_p(\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m)$$

to be a unique character satisfying

$$\zeta_p^{\chi_m(\sigma)} = \frac{\sigma\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{m,n}^{p^n}}\right)}{\varepsilon_{m,n}^{p^n}} \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1 \text{ and } \sigma \in G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}.$$

Remark 1.6.

- (1) The m -th Soulé character extends to an element of $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{Z}_p(m))$ (cf. Section 2.3).
- (2) If we define χ_m for even $m \geq 2$ in a similar way, it becomes trivial (cf. Example 2.11).
- (3) The m -th Soulé character is nontrivial. Moreover, χ_m is surjective for $m = 3, 5, \dots, p-2$ if and only if Vandiver's conjecture holds for p , cf. Ichimura-Sakaguchi [IS87]. Similarly, the first author proved that elliptic counterparts are nontrivial under suitable assumptions [Ish23, Theorem 1.5 (1)]. For example, $\chi_{(m,m)}^{\text{ell}}$ is nontrivial for every $m \geq 2$ [Ish23, Corollary 4.10].

The main result of this paper is the following explicit formula between the elliptic Soulé characters and the Soulé characters. In the rest of this paper, we denote $\chi_{(m,m)}^{\text{ell}}$ by χ_m^{ell} for simplicity.

Theorem 1.7 (cf. Corollary 3.7). *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer, χ_K the Dirichlet character associated to K , B_{m,χ_K} the m -th generalized Bernoulli number of χ_K [Was82, Chapter 4, p.31] and $\chi_m^{(d_K)}: G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(m)$ a certain variant of Soulé characters, cf. Definition 2.13. Then the following relation holds between characters on $G_{K(p^\infty)}$:*

$$\frac{\chi_m^{\text{ell}}}{1-p^{2m-2}} = \begin{cases} -6w_K \cdot \frac{B_m}{m} \cdot \frac{\chi_m^{(d_K)}}{1-p^{m-1}} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ -3w_K \cdot \frac{B_{m,\chi_K}}{m} \cdot \frac{\chi_m}{1-p^{m-1}} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.8.

- (1) Our result may be regarded as an étale analogue of the classical factorization $\zeta_K(s) = \zeta(s)L(s, \chi_K)$ of the Dedekind zeta function of K into the product of two L -functions. Note that there is a well-known p -adic analogue of this formula established by Gross [Gro80, Theorem], stating that Katz's two-variable p -adic L -function factors into the product of two Kubota-Leopoldt's p -adic L -functions when restricted on the cyclotomic line.
- (2) The proof of Theorem 1.7 is reduced to equalities between elliptic units and cyclotomic units (up to roots of unity). Since norm-compatible systems of units can be regarded as measure-valued cocycle classes via Kummer theory and Shapiro's lemma, we actually prove equalities between such classes, see Theorem 3.4 for the precise statement.

We give two corollaries of Theorem 1.7. The first one relates the non-vanishing of elliptic Soulé characters modulo p to the p -indivisibility of the class number of $K(\mu_p)$:

Corollary 1.9 (cf. Corollary 3.8). *The following two assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) χ_m^{ell} is surjective for $m = 2, 3, \dots, p-2$.
- (2) The class number of $K(\mu_p)$ is not divisible by p .

The second is an analogue of the so-called Coleman-Ihara formula [Iha86, p.105, (Col2)]:

Corollary 1.10 (cf. Corollary 3.9). *We have*

$$\frac{\chi_m^{\text{ell}}(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u}))}{1 - p^{2m-2}} = \begin{cases} 3w_K \cdot L_p^{\text{Katz}}(m, \omega^{1-m}) \frac{d_K^m}{g(\chi_K)} \frac{\phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u})}{p^{m-1} - 1} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ 3w_K \cdot L_p^{\text{Katz}}(m, \omega^{1-m}) \frac{\phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u})}{p^{m-1} - 1} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $\text{rec}: U_\infty \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{d_K p^\infty})}^{\text{ab}}$ is the local reciprocity map, L_p^{Katz} is Katz's p -adic L -function restricted to the cyclotomic line, $g(\chi_K) := \sum_a \chi_K(a) \zeta_{d_K}^{-a}$ and $\phi_m^{\text{CW}}: U_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(m)$ is the m -th Coates-Wiles homomorphism (see the discussion before Corollary 3.9 for definitions).

We explain the strategy to prove Theorem 1.7: The critical ingredient is Kersey's theorem [Ker80, Theorem 4.1], which compares absolute values of cyclotomic units and elliptic units, cf. Theorem 2.4. There he expresses images of elliptic units under a certain regulator map ρ (cf. Section 2.2) in terms of cyclotomic units by specializing the regulator map at each (complex-valued) character. We use his result to trace a somewhat "reverse" direction of his proof: That is, we use Kersey's theorem to express images of elliptic units under a certain étale regulator map $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ (cf. Section 2.3) in terms of cyclotomic units to prove Theorem 3.4, which is an equality between certain cocycle classes. Theorem 1.7 is then obtained by specializing Theorem 3.4 at powers of the p -adic cyclotomic character.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2.1, we recall some facts on the fundamental theta function. In Section 2.2, we introduce Kersey's theorem and perform some computations used in the following section. Then we introduce a certain regulator map $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ in Section 2.3. In Section 3.1, we prove Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 1.7 along the strategy explained above. We give proofs of two corollaries in the last Section 3.2.

Notation.

- As was mentioned, we fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K and an embedding $\overline{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For a subfield $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq 1$, we denote the group of roots of unity in F by $\mu(F)$ and the group of n -th roots of unity in \mathbb{C} by μ_n . The group μ_n is generated by $\zeta_n := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. For a finite extension L/F , we denote the norm map $L \rightarrow K$ by $N_{L/K}$. For a nonzero ideal \mathfrak{a} of O_K , we denote the cardinality of the quotient ring O_K/\mathfrak{a} by Na . For $\sigma \in G_K$ and $\alpha \in \overline{K}$, we often write $\sigma\alpha$ as α^σ .
- $B_1(x) := x - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ denotes the first Bernoulli polynomial. For an element $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\{a\} \in \mathbb{Q}$ denotes its fractional part, i.e. a unique representative of a satisfying both $0 \leq \{a\} < 1$ and $a \equiv \{a\} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fundamental theta function. In this section, we recall some basic results on the fundamental theta function [dS87, Chapter II, 2.1 (7)] and give a proof of Lemma

1.2. First, let

$$A(L) := \frac{\text{Area}(\mathbb{C}/L)}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad s_2(L) := \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in L} \frac{1}{\omega^2 |\omega|^{2s}}.$$

We define a \mathbb{R} -linear function $\eta(z, L): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be $\eta(z, L) := A(L)^{-1} \bar{z} + s_2(L)z$.

Definition 2.1 (cf. de Shalit [dS87, Chapter II, 2.1 (7) and 2.3]).

- (1) We define the fundamental theta function associated to L as

$$\theta(z, L) := \Delta(L) \cdot e^{-6\eta(z, L)z} \cdot \sigma(z, L)^{12}$$

where $\Delta(L)$ is the discriminant of the lattice L and $\sigma(z, L)$ is the usual Weierstrass σ -function

$$\sigma(z, L) = z \prod_{0 \neq \omega \in L} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\omega}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{\omega}\right)^2\right).$$

- (2) Let $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha)$ be a nontrivial ideal of O_K . We define a rational function $\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}$ on E by

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(z) := \frac{\theta(z, L)^{N_{\mathfrak{a}}}}{\theta(z, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)} = \alpha^{-12} \Delta(L)^{N_{\mathfrak{a}}-1} \prod_{P \in E[\mathfrak{a}] \setminus \mathcal{O}} (x(z) - x(P))^{-6}.$$

Here, $x: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a finite morphism corresponding to the x -coordinate of E . Note that the function $\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is defined over K .

Remark 2.2. The function $e^{\frac{\eta(z, L)z}{2}} \cdot \sigma(z, L)$ is called *Klein form* (associated to L) and denoted by $\mathfrak{k}(z, L)$ in Kubert-Lang [KL81, p. 27]. According to their notation, the fundamental theta function can be written as $\theta(z, L) = \Delta(L) \cdot \mathfrak{k}(z, L)^{12}$, and this also coincides with the 12-th power of the *Siegel function* in their book.

First, we observe the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\omega \in E[p^\infty](\overline{K})$ be a nonzero p -primary torsion point of E . Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Let $\tilde{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary lift of ω . Then $\theta(\tilde{\omega}, L)$ is contained in $K(p^\infty)^\times$.*
(2) *Let $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\omega}'$ be arbitrary lifts of ω . Then the ratio*

$$\frac{\theta(\tilde{\omega}, L)}{\theta(\tilde{\omega}', L)}$$

is contained in μ_{p^∞} . In particular, the value of the fundamental theta function

$$\theta(\tilde{\omega}, L) \in \widehat{K(p^\infty)^\times} := \varprojlim_n K(p^\infty)^\times / K(p^\infty)^{\times p^n}$$

in the p -adic completion is independent of the choice of a lift. In the following, we denote this element by $\theta(\omega, L)$. With this notation, we have $\theta(\omega_n, L) = \theta(\Omega/p^n, L)$.

- (3) *Let \mathfrak{a} be a nontrivial ideal of O_K which is prime to p and $(\frac{K(p^\infty)/K}{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)$ the corresponding Artin symbol. Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{K(p^\infty)/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) (\theta(\omega, L)) = \theta(\omega, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L).$$

In particular, if ω is annihilated by p^n for some $n \geq 1$, then $\theta(\omega, L)$ is contained in the $\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K(p^n))$ -invariant subspace of $\widehat{K(p^\infty)^\times}$.

(4) If $p \cdot \omega \neq 0$, then we have

$$\prod_{P \in E[p](\overline{K})} \theta(\omega + P, L) = \theta(p \cdot \omega, L).$$

Proof. (1) The assertion is proved in [Nak95, (2.9)]. In fact, for every pair of integers $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus p^n \mathbb{Z}^2$, the Klein form $\mathfrak{k}(a\tau + b, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ defines a modular form of level $2p^{2n}$ by [KL81, p.29, Theorem 1.1] and every coefficient of the q -expansion of $\theta(a\tau + b, \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$ is contained in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$ by [KL81, p.29, K4]. Hence it follows by the q -expansion principle that the concerned modular form is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$, concluding the proof. (2) The assertion follows from [KL81, p. 28, K2]. (3) Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer such that p^n annihilates ω . By [KL81, p. 236], we have $\left(\frac{K(p^\infty)/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \theta(\tilde{\omega}, L)^{p^n} = \theta(\tilde{\omega}, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)^{p^n}$ in $K(p^\infty)^\times$, which implies the desired equality modulo μ_{p^∞} . Since the image of μ_{p^∞} is trivial in $\widehat{K(p^\infty)^\times}$, the assertion follows. (4) The distribution relation [KL81, p. 43, Theorem 4.1] implies the desired equality modulo μ_{p^∞} . The assertion follows from the same argument as the proof of (3). \square

Now we give a proof of Lemma 1.2. Recall that we have defined

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{m}, n} := \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a, b < p^n \\ p \nmid \gcd(a, b)}} \theta(a\omega_{\mathfrak{p}, n} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, n}, L)^{a^{m_1-1}b^{m_2-1}} \in K(p^\infty)^\times / K(p^\infty)^{\times p^n}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.2. (1) The first part of the assertion follows from Lemma 2.3 (3). Let \mathfrak{a} be a nontrivial ideal of O_K which is prime to p . By Lemma 2.3 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{K(p^\infty)/K}{\mathfrak{a}}\right) (\epsilon_{\mathbf{m}, n}) &= \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a, b < p^n \\ p \nmid \gcd(a, b)}} \theta(a\omega_{\mathfrak{p}, n} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, n}, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)^{a^{m_1-1}b^{m_2-1}} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a, b < p^n \\ p \nmid \gcd(a, b)}} \theta(a\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}})\omega_{\mathfrak{p}, n} + b\varepsilon_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}})\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, n}, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)^{a^{m_1-1}b^{m_2-1}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{\substack{0 \leq a, b < p^n \\ p \nmid \gcd(a, b)}} \theta(a\omega_{\mathfrak{p}, n} + b\omega_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, n}, L)^{a^{m_1-1}b^{m_2-1}} \right)^{\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \varepsilon^{-m} \left(\left(\frac{K(p^\infty)/K}{\mathfrak{a}} \right) \right)} \\ &= (\epsilon_{\mathbf{m}, n})^{\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \varepsilon^{-m} \left(\left(\frac{K(p^\infty)/K}{\mathfrak{a}} \right) \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality. The assertion of (2) follows from Lemma 2.3 (4). \square

2.2. Elliptic units and cyclotomic units. In this section, we introduce a result of Kersey [Ker80, Theorem 4.2], which explicitly compares elliptic units with cyclotomic units inside every abelian extension H of \mathbb{Q} containing K . Since we need this result when H is equal to $K(\mu_{p^n})$ for some n , we only treat those cases in the following.

In the following, let d_K be the absolute value of the discriminant of K . Throughout this section, we fix an arbitrary integer $n \geq 1$. We use the mod- p^n cyclotomic character

$$\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \bmod p^n : \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

to identify two groups. For $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the corresponding element in the left-hand side will be denoted by σ_c . We define the (anti-equivariant) regulator map by

$$\rho: K(\mu_{p^n})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)]: \alpha \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)} \log |\sigma \alpha| \sigma,$$

which is often used to prove Dirichlet's unit theorem.

Theorem 2.4 (cf. Kersey [Ker80, Theorem 4.1]). *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \left(\mathbb{N}_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \left(\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{p^n} \right) \right) \\ &= -3p^n \sum_{c \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z} \\ ab \equiv c \pmod{p^n}}} (\chi_K(a) + \chi_K(b)) B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{a}{d_K p^n} \right\} \right) \log |1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b| \sigma_c. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{p^n}$ is contained in $K(p^n)^\times$ by [KL81, Chapter 11, Theorem 1.1].

Remark 2.5. Theorem 4.1 of [Ker80] is stated by using the Ramachandra-Robert invariant $g_H(C)$, which is defined to be the image under the norm map $\mathbb{N}_{K(\mathfrak{n})/H}$ of

$$g_{\mathfrak{n}}(C) = (\mathfrak{k}(1, \mathfrak{nc}^{-1})^{12} \Delta(\mathfrak{nc}^{-1}))^{N(\mathfrak{n})} = \theta(1, \mathfrak{nc}^{-1})^{N(\mathfrak{n})}.$$

Here, \mathfrak{n} is the conductor of H/K , $N(\mathfrak{n})$ is the smallest positive integer contained in \mathfrak{n} , C is an element of the ray class group modulo \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{c} is an arbitrary ideal contained in C . Since the fundamental theta function satisfies $\theta(z, L) = \theta(cz, cL)$ for every $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ [dS87, Chapter II, 2.1], the original theorem immediately implies the assertion of Theorem 2.4.

We also have the multiplicative version of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.6 (cf. Kersey [Ker80, Theorem 4.2]). *For each $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we have*

$$\mathbb{N}_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \left(\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{4p^n} \right)^{\sigma_c} \equiv \prod_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z}} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b)^{\nu_n(c, b)} \pmod{\mu(K(p^n))}$$

where we define

$$\nu_n(c, b) := -12p^n \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z} \\ ab \equiv c \pmod{p^n}}} (\chi_K(a) + \chi_K(b)) B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{a}{d_K p^n} \right\} \right),$$

which is an integer by [Ker80, Corollary 5.3] for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The assertion follows by comparing the coefficient of σ_c in Theorem 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.7. *For a nontrivial ideal \mathfrak{a} of O_K such that $(\mathfrak{a}, p) = 1$, we have*

$$\mathbb{N}_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} (\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_n))^4 \equiv \prod_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z}} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b)^{\frac{N\mathfrak{a} \cdot \nu_n(1, b) - \nu_n(N\mathfrak{a}, b)}{p^n}} \pmod{\mu(K(p^n))}.$$

Proof. First, we remark that the exponent

$$\frac{N\mathfrak{a} \cdot \nu_n(1, b) - \nu_n(N\mathfrak{a}, b)}{p^n}$$

is integral for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z}$ by [Ker80, Corollary 5.3]. Let $(\frac{K(p^n)/K}{\mathfrak{a}}) \in \text{Gal}(K(p^n)/K)$ be the Artin symbol corresponding to \mathfrak{a} . Using [KL81, p. 236] and the fact that the Artin symbol $(\frac{K(p^n)/K}{\mathfrak{a}})$ maps to $\sigma_{N\mathfrak{a}}$ in $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{K(p^n)/K}{\mathfrak{a}} \right) \left(\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{p^n} \right) &= \theta(\Omega/p^n, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)^{p^n}, \quad \text{and} \\ N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \left(\theta(\Omega/p^n, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)^{p^n} \right) &= N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \left(\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{p^n} \right)^{\sigma_{N\mathfrak{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows by applying Theorem 2.6 to

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} (\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_n))^{4p^n} &= N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \left(\frac{\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{4p^n N\mathfrak{a}}}{\theta(\Omega/p^n, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}L)^{4p^n}} \right) \\ &= N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \left(\theta(\Omega/p^n, L)^{4p^n} \right)^{N\mathfrak{a} - \sigma_{N\mathfrak{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3. Étale regulator map and Soulé cocycles. In this section, we introduce a certain analogue $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ of the regulator map ρ introduced in the last section. The construction of $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ seems to originate from Soulé [Sou81] using algebraic K -theory, particularly his étale chern class map introduced in [Sou79].

In the rest of this paper, we denote $\zeta := (\zeta_{p^n})_n \in \varprojlim \mu_{p^n}$ and often identify $\varprojlim \mu_{p^n}$ with the Tate twist $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ through this basis. We define the (completed) group rings by

$$\Lambda_n := \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)] \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)]] = \varprojlim \Lambda_n,$$

and denote the ring of p -integers in $K(\mu_{p^n})$ by O_n . According to this notation, we have the composite of the following two isomorphisms:

$$\rho_{\text{ét},n} : O_n^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_n, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow[\text{sh}^{-1}]{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda_n(1))$$

where the first map is the Kummer isomorphism and the second one is the inverse of the isomorphism given by Shapiro's Lemma in [NSW08, (1.6.4) Proposition]. Here, we identify the induced module $\text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_K(\mu_{p^n})}(\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) = \text{Map}(\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K), \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ [NSW08, p. 62] with $\Lambda_n(1)$ through the equivariant isomorphism

$$\text{Ind}_{G_K}^{G_K(\mu_{p^n})}(\mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_n(1) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)] \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p(1) : x \mapsto \sum_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)} \tau \otimes \tau x(\tau^{-1}).$$

We remark that the map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_n, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \xrightarrow[\text{sh}^{-1}]{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda_n(1))$$

is an isomorphism between $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)$ -modules: The group $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)$ acts on the cohomology group on the left-hand side by conjugation. On the other hand, for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)$, the homomorphism

$$\sigma^{-1} : \Lambda_n(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_n(1) : \tau \otimes \zeta_{p^n} \mapsto \sigma^{-1} \tau \otimes \zeta_{p^n}$$

is an isomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)]$ -modules, and this defines an action of the group $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$ on the cohomology group on the right-hand side. The desired equivariance follows from the discussion after [NSW08, (1.6.5) Proposition].

Lemma 2.8. *For each $n \geq 1$, the following assertions hold.*

(1) For $\alpha \in O_n^\times$ and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)$, we have

$$\rho_{\text{ét},n}(\alpha^\sigma) = \sigma^{-1} \rho_{\text{ét},n}(\alpha).$$

(2) The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O_{n+1}^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\text{ét},n+1}} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda_{n+1}(1)) \\ \downarrow \text{norm} & & \downarrow \\ O_n^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\text{ét},n}} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda_n(1)) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is induced by the natural surjection $\Lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda_n$.

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from the discussion before this lemma and the equivariance of the Kummer isomorphism. The assertion (2) follows from [NSW08, (1.6.5) Proposition]. \square

Definition 2.9 (The regulator map $\rho_{\text{ét}}$). We define the regulator map $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ by

$$\rho_{\text{ét}} := (\rho_{\text{ét},n})_n: \varprojlim_n (O_n^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda(1)) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda_n(1)).$$

Note that $H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda(1))$ can be identified with the first continuous cochain cohomology group (with coefficients in $\Lambda(1)$) of the Galois group of the maximal Galois extension of K unramified outside p .

Remark 2.10. By Lemma 2.8 (1), the map $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ satisfies the anti-equivariance relation

$$\rho_{\text{ét}}(\alpha^\sigma) = \sigma^{-1} \rho_{\text{ét}}(\alpha)$$

for every norm-compatible system of units α and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$. This property is similar to that of the regulator map ρ introduced in the last section.

The map $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ allows us to regard norm-compatible systems of units as $\Lambda(1)$ -valued cycle classes. For a continuous character $\psi: \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, we denote the two associated evaluation maps $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)(1))$ by the same letter. We define the homomorphism $\rho_{\text{ét},\psi}$ to be the composite of $\rho_{\text{ét}}$ and the evaluation map at $\psi \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{-1}$:

$$\rho_{\text{ét},\psi}: \varprojlim_n (O_n^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\text{ét}}} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \Lambda(1)) \xrightarrow{\psi \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{-1}} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)).$$

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$ be a norm-compatible system of units, and consider its image under the composite of the map $\rho_{\text{ét},\psi}$ and the restriction map to

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi))^{\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(O_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}[1/p]), \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi)).$$

Note that the group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(O_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}[1/p])$ can be identified with the Galois group of the maximal Galois extension of $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$ unramified outside p . By construction, the resulting Kummer character $\rho_{\text{ét},\psi}(\alpha)$ is characterized by

$$\zeta_p^{n, \rho_{\text{ét},\psi}(\alpha)}(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma \left(\prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times} (\sigma_a \alpha_n)^{\frac{\psi \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{-1}(\sigma_a)}{p^n}} \right)}{\prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times} (\sigma_a \alpha_n)^{\frac{\psi \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^{-1}(\sigma_a)}{p^n}}} \quad \text{for } \sigma \in G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})} \text{ and } n \geq 1.$$

Example 2.11 (Soulé cocycle classes). From the norm-compatible system

$$\mathbf{c}^{(1)} := (1 - \zeta_{p^n})_n \in \varprojlim_n (O_n^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

we obtain the cocycle class $\rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)})$. For an integer $m \geq 2$, we call the cocycle class $\chi_m := \rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)})$ the m -th Soulé cocycle class. By its construction, this extends the m -th Soulé character on $G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}$ in Definition 1.5. Note that this class is trivial whenever $m \geq 2$ is even: More generally, we claim that $\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)})$ is trivial whenever ψ is nontrivial and even (i.e. $\psi(\sigma_{-1}) = 1$). In fact, we have

$$\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\sigma_{-1} \mathbf{c}^{(1)}) = -\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)})$$

and $\sigma_{-1} \mathbf{c}^{(1)} = (-\zeta) \cdot \mathbf{c}^{(1)}$. Hence the assertion is reduced to the next lemma:

Lemma 2.12. *For a nontrivial character $\psi: \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, the homomorphism*

$$\varprojlim_n \mu(K(\mu_{p^n})) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \varprojlim_n O_n^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \xrightarrow{\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(\psi))$$

is trivial.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\zeta)$ is trivial. Fix an element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$ such that $\psi(\sigma) \neq 1$. We shall compute $\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\sigma \zeta)$ in two ways: first, it follows that

$$\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\sigma \zeta) = \rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\zeta^{\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma)}) = \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma) \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\zeta)$$

since $\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}$ is \mathbb{Z}_p -linear. However, using the anti-equivariance of $\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}$ (cf. Remark 2.10), we also have

$$\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\sigma \zeta) = \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}} \psi^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\zeta).$$

These two equalities imply that $\rho_{\text{ét}, \psi}(\zeta)$ is trivial, as desired. \square

We will also need the following variant of the Soulé cocycles:

Definition 2.13. For $m \geq 2$, we define the cocycle class

$$\chi_m^{(d_K)} := \rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))$$

where we define the norm-compatible system by $\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)} := \left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})}(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}) \right)_n$.

Remark 2.14.

- (1) The class $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ corresponds to the Soulé-Deligne *cyclotomic element* $c_m(\zeta_{d_K})$ in Huber-Kings [HK03, Definition 3.1.2] via the corestriction map. Similarly, $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ coincides with $c_m(1)$ in their paper. We refer to [HK03, Section 3] for a detailed discussion on cyclotomic elements.
- (2) When restricted to $G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}$, the character $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ is uniquely characterized by

$$\zeta_{p^n}^{\chi_m^{(d_K)}(\sigma)} = \frac{\sigma \left(\prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times} \sigma_a \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})}(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n})^{\frac{a^{m-1}}{p^n}} \right)}{\prod_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times} \sigma_a \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})}(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n})^{\frac{a^{m-1}}{p^n}}}$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

- (3) Let us recall (cf. Remark 1.6 (3)) that χ_m is surjective as a character on $G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}$ for $m = 3, 5, \dots, p-2$ if and only if Vandiver's conjecture holds for p . Similarly, the same argument as the proof of [IS87, Corollary] shows that the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (a) χ_m is surjective for $m = 3, 5, \dots, p-2$, and $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ is surjective for $m = 2, 4, \dots, p-3$.
- (b) The class number of $K(\mu_p)^+$ is not divisible by p , where $K(\mu_p)^+$ denotes the maximal real subfield of $K(\mu_p)$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\iota \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ be a unique element satisfying $\iota(\zeta_{d_K}) = \zeta_{d_K}^{-1}$ and φ Euler's totient function. Then the following two equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) + \rho_{\text{ét}}(\iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) &= \prod_{\substack{\ell: \text{prime} \\ \ell | d_K}} (1 - \sigma_\ell) \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}), \\ \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) - \sigma_{-1} \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}}(\iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) &= \frac{\varphi(d_K)}{2d_K} \rho_{\text{ét}}(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality follows from

$$\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)} \cdot \iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)} = \left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})}(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}) \right)_n = \left((1 - \zeta_{p^n})^{\prod_{\ell | d_K} (1 - \sigma_\ell^{-1})} \right)_n.$$

In the following of the proof, we denote an element of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K}))$ corresponding to σ_{-1} under the isomorphism $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$ by the same letter σ_{-1} . Then we have $\rho_{\text{ét}}(\sigma_{-1} \iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) = \sigma_{-1} \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}}(\iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{-1} \iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)} &= \left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})}(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^{-1}) \right)_n \\ &= \left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})}(-\zeta_{d_K p^n}^{-1}) \right)_n \cdot \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)} \\ &= (-\zeta)^{-\frac{\varphi(d_K)}{2d_K}} \cdot \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $\rho_{\text{ét}}(-\zeta) = \rho_{\text{ét}}(\zeta)$ since p is odd. Hence the second equality follows. \square

Corollary 2.16. *Keeping the notation of the previous lemma, we have*

$$\chi_m^{(d_K)} = \begin{cases} -\rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}(\iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) \text{ (and } \chi_m = 0 \text{ by Example 2.11)} & \text{if } m \geq 2 \text{ is even,} \\ \rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}(\iota \mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) = \frac{\prod_{\ell | d_K} (1 - \ell^{m-1})}{2} \chi_m & \text{if } m \geq 3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemmas 2.12 and 2.15. \square

3. PROPERTIES OF ELLIPTIC SOULÉ CHARACTERS

3.1. Proof of the factorization formula. In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.7. First, we briefly recall a computation of the first author in [Ish23], relating the elliptic Soulé character $\chi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{ell}}$ to a certain cocycle $\chi_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}}^{\text{ell}}$ constructed via $\rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}$.

Definition 3.1 (cf. [Ish23, Definition 4.2]). Let \mathbf{a} be a nontrivial ideal of O_K such that $(\mathbf{a}, p) = 1$, and note that $(\theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega_n))_{n \geq 1}$ forms a norm-compatible system of units by [dS87, Chapter II, 2.4 Proposition (ii)]. For an integer $m \geq 2$, we define

$$\chi_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}}^{\text{ell}} := \rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m} \left(\left(\mathbb{N}_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega_n) \right)_n \right) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m)).$$

Remark 3.2. In [Ish23], $\chi_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}}^{\text{ell}}$ was defined as an image of the norm-compatible unit $(\theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega_n))_n$ under a certain homomorphism

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^0(O_{K(p^n)}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m)),$$

whose definition is similar to that of $\rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}$. This map factors through the corestriction map

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^0(O_{K(p^n)}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^0(O_{K(\mu_{p^n})}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(1)),$$

and the resulting map coincides with $\rho_{\text{ét}, \varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}^m}$.

Proposition 3.3 (cf. [Ish23, Proposition 4.3]). *For a nontrivial ideal \mathfrak{a} of O_K prime to p and $m \geq 2$, we have the following equality between characters on $G_{K(p^\infty)}$:*

$$w_K \frac{\chi_{m, \mathfrak{a}}^{\text{ell}}}{(1 - p^{m-1})^2} = (N\mathfrak{a} - N\mathfrak{a}^{1-m}) \frac{\chi_m^{\text{ell}}}{1 - p^{2m-2}}.$$

Results obtained in the previous section allow us to compare three characters $\chi_{m, \mathfrak{a}}^{\text{ell}}$, χ_m and $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$. Before explaining the result, we recall the notion of the Stickelberger element [Was82, §6.2]. For an integer d such that $(d, p) = 1$, we define

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_d = (\theta_{d, n}) := \left(\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times} B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{a}{d p^n} \right\} \right) \sigma_a^{-1} \right)_n \in \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d p^n})/\mathbb{Q})].$$

For every integer c such that $(c, p d) = 1$, it follows by [Was82, Lemma 6.9] that

$$(1 - c\sigma_c^{-1})\boldsymbol{\theta}_d \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]].$$

We denote the involution on $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]]$ induced by $\sigma_a \mapsto \sigma_a^{-1}$ by $*$. Then the image of the Stickelberger element under this involution

$$(1 - c\sigma_c)\boldsymbol{\theta}_d^* \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]]$$

can be described in terms of the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function by e.g. [Was82, Exapmles (2) before Theorem 12.4]. In the following theorem, we will regard $(1 - c\sigma_c)\boldsymbol{\theta}_1^*$ as an element of $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)]]$. We also regard $(1 - c\sigma_c^{-1})\chi_K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d_K}^*)$ as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)]]$, where χ_K denotes a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]] \cong \Lambda$$

induced by the Dirichlet character χ_K associated to K .

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{a} be a nontrivial ideal of O_K such that $(\mathfrak{a}, d_K p) = 1$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\text{ét}} \left(\left(N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_n) \right)_n \right) \\ \equiv & -3 \left((N\mathfrak{a} - \sigma_{N\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}) \chi_K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d_K}^*) \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{e}^{(1)}) + (1 - \sigma_{-1})(N\mathfrak{a} - \sigma_{N\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^* \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{e}^{(d_K)}) \right) \bmod \mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we construct α_n, β_n^+ and $\beta_n^- \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^n})/K)]$ such that the equality

$$N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})}(\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_n))^4 \equiv (1 - \zeta_{p^n})^{\alpha_n} \cdot N(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n})^{\beta_n^+} \cdot N(1 - \iota(\zeta_{d_K p^n}))^{\beta_n^-} \bmod \mu(K(\mu_{p^n}))$$

holds for each n , where ι is defined in Lemma 2.15. Using Corollary 2.7 and the observation that the exponent $\frac{N\mathfrak{a} \cdot \nu_n(1, b) - \nu_n(N\mathfrak{a}, b)}{p^n}$ in that corollary depends only on the pair $(\chi_K(b), b \bmod p^n)$, we can rewrite $N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})}(\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_n))^4$ as

$$N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})}(\theta_{\mathfrak{a}}(\omega_n))^4 \equiv \prod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \{0, \pm 1\} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\prod_{(\chi_K(b), b \bmod p^n) = (\alpha, \beta)} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b) \right)^{\frac{N\mathfrak{a} \cdot \nu_n(1, b) - \nu_n(N\mathfrak{a}, b)}{p^n}}$$

modulo $\mu(K(\mu_{p^n}))$. In the following, we simplify the term

$$\prod_{(\chi_K(b), b \bmod p^n) = (\alpha, \beta)} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b)$$

according to (α, β) . If $\alpha = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{(\chi_K(b), b \bmod p^n) = (1, \beta)} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b) &= \prod_b (1 - \zeta_{d_K}^{b p^{-n}} \cdot \zeta_{p^n}^{d_K^{-1} b}) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K \mathbb{Z} \\ \chi_K(a) = 1}} (1 - \zeta_{d_K}^a \cdot \zeta_{p^n}^{d_K^{-1} b}) \\ &= N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n})^{\sigma_b}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that $\chi_K(p) = 1$ to deduce the second equality. A similar argument shows that

$$\prod_{(\chi_K(b), b \bmod p^n) = (-1, \beta)} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b) = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^n})/K(\mu_{p^n})} (1 - \iota(\zeta_{d_K p^n}))^{\sigma_b}$$

when $\alpha = -1$. Finally, if $\alpha = 0$, then we have

$$\prod_{(\chi_K(b), b \bmod p^n) = (0, \beta)} (1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n}^b) = \prod_{\zeta} (1 - \zeta \cdot \zeta_{p^n}^{d_K^{-1} b})$$

where ζ runs over the set of non-primitive d_K -th roots of unity. Note that d_K is a power of a prime ℓ since we assume that the class number of K is one. Using this observation, it holds by direct computation that

$$\prod_{\zeta} (1 - \zeta \cdot \zeta_{p^n}^{d_K^{-1} b}) = (1 - \zeta_{p^n}^{\ell^{-1} b}) = (1 - \zeta_{p^n})^{\sigma_{\ell^{-1} b}}.$$

Hence we have

$$N_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} (\theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega_n))^4 \equiv (1 - \zeta_{p^n})^{\alpha_n} \cdot N(1 - \zeta_{d_K p^n})^{\beta_n^+} \cdot N(1 - \iota(\zeta_{d_K p^n}))^{\beta_n^-} \pmod{\mu(K(\mu_{p^n}))},$$

where we define three elements α_n , β_n^+ and β_n^- by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= -12\sigma_{\ell}^{-1} \sum_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times \\ ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}}} \chi_K(a) \left(N_{\mathbf{a}} B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{a}{d_K p^n} \right\} \right) - B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{aN_{\mathbf{a}}}{d_K p^n} \right\} \right) \right) \sigma_b, \\ \beta_n^{\pm} &= -12 \sum_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^\times} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K p^n \mathbb{Z} \\ ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}}} (\chi_K(a) \pm 1) \left(N_{\mathbf{a}} B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{a}{d_K p^n} \right\} \right) - B_1 \left(\left\{ \frac{aN_{\mathbf{a}}}{d_K p^n} \right\} \right) \right) \sigma_b. \end{aligned}$$

Here, to obtain these expressions from the definition of $\nu_n(c, b)$ given in Theorem 2.6, we use $\chi_K(N_{\mathbf{a}}) = 1$. In terms of Stickelberger elements, these three elements are also written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= -12\sigma_{\ell}^{-1} (N_{\mathbf{a}} - \sigma_{N_{\mathbf{a}}}) \chi_K(\theta_{d_K, n}), \\ \beta_n^{\pm} &= -12(N_{\mathbf{a}} - \sigma_{N_{\mathbf{a}}}) (\chi_K(\theta_{d_K, n}) \pm \theta_{1, n}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows by Lemma 2.15 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\text{ét}} \left(\left(\mathbb{N}_{K(p^n)/K(\mu_{p^n})} \theta_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega_n) \right)_n \right) \\
&= -3 \left(\sigma_{\ell} (N\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}}^{-1}) \chi_K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d_K}^*) \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) + (1 - \sigma_{\ell}) (N\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}}^{-1}) \chi_K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d_K}^*) \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 - \sigma_{-1}) (N\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^* \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}) \right) \\
&= -3 \left((N\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}}^{-1}) \chi_K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{d_K}^*) \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}) + (1 - \sigma_{-1}) (N\mathbf{a} - \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^* \rho_{\text{ét}}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\mathbb{Z}_p \cdot \rho_{\text{ét}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$, as desired. \square

Remark 3.5. We have two remarks regarding Theorem 3.4.

- (1) Since Theorem 2.4 compares logarithms of absolute values of special values of the fundamental theta function and those of cyclotomic units, we cannot generalize Theorem 3.4 to an exact equality containing $\rho_{\text{ét}}(\boldsymbol{\zeta})$ at the writing of this paper. However, we expect that Theorem 3.4 can be generalized to such an equality between *cocycles*, by analyzing the Galois action on the pro- p fundamental group $\pi_1(X \times_K \overline{K})^{(p)}$. Such a generalization seems to be related to the notion of ℓ -adic Galois L -functions of Wojtkowiak [Woj17]².
- (2) Using the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function L_p [Was82, Theorem 5.11], we can rephrase Theorem 3.4 as follows: Let K_{∞} be the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of K . We decompose the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^{\infty}})/K)$ as

$$\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^{\infty}})/K) = \text{Gal}(K(\mu_p)/K) \times \text{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K) = \Delta \times \Gamma,$$

and the p -adic cyclotomic character ε_{cyc} as $\varepsilon_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma) = \omega(\sigma)\langle \sigma \rangle$, where ω is the Teichmüller character and the character $\langle \cdot \rangle: \Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is defined by $\langle \sigma_{1+p} \rangle := 1 + p$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, specializing the right-hand side of Theorem 3.4 at the character $\omega^i \langle \cdot \rangle^s$ gives

$$\begin{cases} 3(N\mathbf{a} - \omega^{1-i}(\sigma_{N\mathbf{a}})\langle \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}} \rangle^{1-s}) L_p(1-s, \chi_K \omega^i) \rho_{\text{ét}, \omega^i \langle \cdot \rangle^s}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 6(N\mathbf{a} - \omega^{1-i}(\sigma_{N\mathbf{a}})\langle \sigma_{N\mathbf{a}} \rangle^{1-s}) L_p(1-s, \omega^i) \rho_{\text{ét}, \omega^i \langle \cdot \rangle^s}(\mathbf{c}^{(d_K)}) & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } (i, s) \neq (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.7 now follows immediately from Theorem 3.4:

Corollary 3.6. *For each $m \geq 2$, we have the following equality between characters on $G_{K(p^{\infty})}$:*

$$\chi_{m, \mathbf{a}}^{\text{ell}} = \begin{cases} -6(N\mathbf{a} - N\mathbf{a}^{1-m})(1 - p^{m-1}) \frac{B_m}{m} \chi_m^{(d_K)} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ -3(N\mathbf{a} - N\mathbf{a}^{1-m})(1 - p^{m-1}) \frac{B_{m, \chi_K}}{m} \chi_m & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 3.4 and [Was82, Theorem 12.2]. \square

Corollary 3.7 (cf. Theorem 1.7). *For each $m \geq 2$, we have the following equality between characters on $G_{K(p^{\infty})}$:*

$$\frac{\chi_m^{\text{ell}}}{1 - p^{2m-2}} = \begin{cases} -6w_K \cdot \frac{B_m}{m} \cdot \frac{\chi_m^{(d_K)}}{1 - p^{m-1}} & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ -3w_K \cdot \frac{B_{m, \chi_K}}{m} \cdot \frac{\chi_m}{1 - p^{m-1}} & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.6. \square

²We appreciate Densuke Shiraishi for informing us about the paper [Woj17].

3.2. Proofs of corollaries. In this last section, we give two corollaries of Theorem 1.7. First, we study reductions of elliptic Soulé characters modulo p . Note that certain sufficient conditions under which the reductions of the elliptic Soulé characters $\chi_{(m_1, m_2)}^{\text{ell}}$ modulo p are nontrivial are also studied in [Ish23, §5]. Since we assume $p \geq 5$, we have

$$\text{Im}(\chi_m^{\text{ell}}) = \begin{cases} \text{Im}\left(\frac{B_m}{m} \cdot \chi_m^{(d_K)}\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p(m) & \text{if } m \geq 2 \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Im}\left(\frac{B_{m, \chi_K}}{m} \cdot \chi_m\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p(m) & \text{if } m \geq 3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

First, we consider two exceptional cases.

- If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$, it is known [Ish23, Proposition 5.2] that χ_m^{ell} is not surjective. On the other hand, χ_m is surjective since $\chi_m \pmod{p}$ corresponds to p -th roots of

$$\prod_{0 < a < p} (1 - \zeta_p^a) = p \in K(p^\infty)^\times / K(p^\infty)^{\times p},$$

which is not trivial since $K(p^\infty)$ is an abelian extension of K , whereas $K(\zeta_p, \sqrt[p]{p})$ is not. The non-surjectivity of χ_m^{ell} comes from the fact that $B_{m, \chi_K}/m$ is divisible by p [Car59, Theorem 6].

- If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, write $m = m'p^n(p-1)$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $(m', p) = 1$. Then $p^{n+1}B_m/m$ is contained in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times by the von Staudt-Clausen theorem [Was82, Theorem 5.10]. This is in line with the fact that $\text{Im}(\chi_m^{(d_K)}|_{G_{K(p^\infty)}}) \subset p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_p(m)$: By the five-term exact sequence, the cokernel of an injection

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m)) / H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))_{\text{tors}} \hookrightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_{K(p^\infty)}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))^{\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)}$$

induced by the restriction map is isomorphic to $H^2(\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K), \mathbb{Z}_p(m)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, a similar argument to [Ish23, Lemma 5.5] shows that

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_{K(p^\infty)}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))^{\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Hence the image of $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ (as a character on $G_{K(p^\infty)}$) is contained in $p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_p$.

It follows from this equality that χ_m^{ell} is surjective if and only if $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ generates $H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))$ modulo the torsion subgroup. Since the restriction map induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m)) / H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_K[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))_{\text{tors}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^1(O_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}[1/p], \mathbb{Z}_p(m))^{\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \end{aligned}$$

(the second isomorphism follows from [IS87, Theorem 2], for example), this is also equivalent to the surjectivity of $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ as a character on $G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}$.

For the remaining cases, we prove the following criterion:

Corollary 3.8. *The following two assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) χ_m^{ell} is surjective for $m = 2, 3, \dots, p-2$.
- (2) The class number of $K(\mu_p)$ is not divisible by p .

Proof. First, assume that the assertion (1) holds. It follows by Corollary 3.7 that B_m is not divisible by p for $m = 2, 4, \dots, p-2$ and that B_{m, χ_K} is not divisible by p for $m = 3, 5, \dots, p-3$. Moreover, since we assume that K has class number one, B_{1, χ_K} is also not divisible by p by the class number formula for K . Hence the class number of $K(\mu_p)$ is not divisible by p by [HP84, Theorem 1].

Conversely, assume that (2) holds. Then χ_m is surjective for every odd $m \geq 3$ as a character on $G_{K(\mu_{p^\infty})}$ and so is $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ for every even $m \geq 2$, cf. Remark 2.14 (3). If χ_m is not surjective when restricted to $G_{K(p^\infty)}$ for some odd $m \geq 3$, then the reduction of χ_m modulo p would induce a nontrivial $\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)$ -equivariant character

$$\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K(\mu_{p^\infty})) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p(m).$$

This is a contradiction since $\text{Gal}(K(p^\infty)/K)$ acts trivially on the left-hand side, whereas it does not on the right-hand side. Hence χ_m is still surjective even restricted on $G_{K(p^\infty)}$, and the same argument shows that $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ is so for $m = 2, 4, \dots, p-3$. Since (generalized) Bernoulli numbers appearing in Corollary 3.7 are not divisible by p for $m = 2, 3, \dots, p-2$ by [HP84, Theorem 1], the assertion (1) holds. \square

Secondly, we prove an analogue of the Coleman-Ihara formula [Iha86, p.105, (Col2)]. Fix a prime of \overline{K} above \mathfrak{p} . For each integer $n \geq 1$, let U_n be the group of principal units $U(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{d_K p^n}))$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{d_K p^n})$. For each integer $m \geq 2$, we consider the following composite

$$U_\infty := \varprojlim U_n \rightarrow \varprojlim U(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})) \xrightarrow{\phi_m^{\text{CW}}} \mathbb{Z}_p(m)$$

where the first arrow is induced by norm maps from $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{d_K p^n})$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ and the second one is the m -th Coates-Wiles homomorphism for \mathbb{Q}_p [NSW17, Definition 3.5]. Note that inverse limits are taken with respect to norm maps. We denote this homomorphism by the same letter ϕ_m^{CW} . We also have a homomorphism

$$\text{rec} : U_\infty \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{d_K p^\infty})}^{\text{ab}}$$

obtained by composing the local reciprocity map with the natural map from the decomposition group at the fixed prime above \mathfrak{p} to the global Galois group. Then an analogue of the Coleman-Ihara formula is as follows:

Corollary 3.9. *We have*

$$\frac{\chi_m^{\text{ell}}(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u}))}{1 - p^{2m-2}} = \begin{cases} 3w_K \cdot L_p^{\text{Katz}}(m, \omega^{1-m}) \frac{d_K^m}{g(\chi_K)} \frac{\phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u}))}{p^{m-1} - 1} & \text{if } m \geq 2 \text{ is even,} \\ 3w_K \cdot L_p^{\text{Katz}}(m, \omega^{1-m}) \frac{\phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u}))}{p^{m-1} - 1} & \text{if } m \geq 3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here, L_p^{Katz} is Katz's p -adic L -function [Kat78] restricted on the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$ and $g(\chi_K) := \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K \mathbb{Z}} \chi_K(b) \zeta_{d_K}^{-b}$ is the Gauss sum associated to χ_K .

Proof. First, let us assume that m is odd. Then the Coleman-Ihara formula [Iha86, p.105, (Col2)] implies the equality

$$\frac{\chi_m(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u}))}{p^m - 1} = L_p(m, \omega^{1-m}) \phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u}).$$

Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 1.7 and the following factorization formula due to Gross [Gro80, Theorem]:

$$L_p^{\text{Katz}}(m, \omega^{1-m}) = L_p(1 - m, \chi_K \omega^m) L_p(m, \omega^{1-m}).$$

If m is even, we apply the polylogarithmic analogue of the Coleman-Ihara formula, which is proved by Nakamura-Sakugawa-Wojtkowiak [NSW17, Theorem 4.4 (1)], to the

character $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$. Note that, in terms of their restricted p -adic polylogarithmic character χ_m^z [NSW17, (2.10)], we have

$$\chi_m^{(d_K)} = d_K^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K\mathbb{Z} \\ \chi_K(a)=1}} \zeta_{d_K}^a \chi_m^z.$$

by the definition of $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ and [NSW17, (2.11)]. Hence applying [NSW17, Theorem 4.4 (1)] to the character $\chi_m^{(d_K)}$ gives the following equality:

$$\chi_m^{(d_K)}(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u})) = d_K^{m-1} \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K})/\mathbb{Q}_p} \left(\left(\sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}/d_K\mathbb{Z} \\ \chi_K(a)=1}} \text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^a) \right) (1 - p^{m-1} \sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K})}) \phi_{m, \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K})}^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u}) \right).$$

Here, Tr denotes the trace map, $\text{Li}_m^{(p)}$ denotes the p -adic polylogarithm function (which is denoted by $\ell_m^{(p)}(z)$ in [Col82]), $\sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K})}$ denotes the Frobenius element and $\phi_{m, \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K})}^{\text{CW}} : U_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p(m)$ is the m -th Coates-Wiles homomorphism [NSW17, Definition 3.5] having the property that $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{d_K})/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\phi_{m, \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{d_K})}^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u})) = \phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u})$ which follows from the characterization of the Coleman power series. Note that the right-hand side is simplified as $(1 - p^{m-1}) \left(\sum_{\chi_K(a)=1} \text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^a) \right) \phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u})$ by this property. Now we prove the following claim:

Claim. We have

$$\sum_{\chi_K(a)=1} \text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^a) = -\frac{d_K}{2g(\chi_K)} L_p(m, \chi_K \omega^{1-m}).$$

Proof of the claim. By Coleman's formula [Col82, p.172 (3), see also p.203], we have

$$g(\chi_K) d_K^{-1} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d_K\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_K(a) \text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^{-a}) = L_p(m, \chi_K \omega^{1-m}).$$

Since $\text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^a) = -\text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^{-a})$ by [Col82, the proof of Proposition 6.4 (i)], we have

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d_K\mathbb{Z})^\times} \chi_K(a) \text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^{-a}) = -2 \sum_{\chi_K(a)=1} \text{Li}_m^{(p)}(\zeta_{d_K}^a).$$

Hence the claim follows. \square

By this claim, we have the equality

$$\frac{\chi_m^{(d_K)}(\text{rec}(\mathbf{u}))}{p^{m-1} - 1} = \frac{d_K^m}{2g(\chi_K)} L_p(m, \chi_K \omega^{1-m}) \phi_m^{\text{CW}}(\mathbf{u}).$$

Using Theorem 1.7 and Gross's factorization formula again, the desired equality follows. \square

Remark 3.10. In view of Nakamura-Sakugawa-Wojtkowiak [NSW17], it may be desirable to have an elliptic-polylogarithmic analogue of Corollary 3.9. We hope to study such a generalization in a future article.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Densuke Shiraishi and Kenji Sakugawa for their helpful comments and discussions. The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ1882. The second author was supported

by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2123 and RIKEN Junior Research Associate Program.

REFERENCES

- [Car59] Leonard Carlitz, *Arithmetic properties of generalized Bernoulli numbers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **202** (1959), 174–182.
- [Col82] Robert F. Coleman, *Dilogarithms, regulators and p -adic L -functions*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), no. 2, 171–208.
- [dS87] Ehud de Shalit, *Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves with complex multiplication*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 3, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.
- [Gro80] Benedict H. Gross, *On the factorization of p -adic L -series*, Invent. Math. **57** (1980), no. 1, 83–95.
- [HK03] Annette Huber and Guido Kings, *Bloch-Kato conjecture and Main Conjecture of Iwasawa theory for Dirichlet characters*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 3, 393–464.
- [HP84] Fred H. Hao and Charles J. Parry, *Generalized Bernoulli numbers and m -regular primes*, Math. Comp. **43** (1984), no. 167, 273–288.
- [Iha86] Yasutaka Ihara, *Profinite braid groups, Galois representations and complex multiplications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **123** (1986), no. 1, 43–106.
- [IS87] H. Ichimura and K. Sakaguchi, *The nonvanishing of a certain Kummer character χ_m (after C. Soulé), and some related topics*, Galois representations and arithmetic algebraic geometry (Kyoto, 1985/Tokyo, 1986), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 12, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 53–64.
- [Ish23] Shun Ishii, *On Kummer characters arising from Galois actions on the pro- p fundamental groups of once-punctured CM elliptic curves*, 2023, [arXiv:2312.04175](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.04175), submitted.
- [Kat78] Nicholas M. Katz, *p -adic L -functions for CM fields*, Invent. Math. **49** (1978), no. 3, 199–297.
- [Ker80] Donald Kersey, *Modular units inside cyclotomic units*, Ann. of Math. (2) **112** (1980), no. 2, 361–380. MR 592295
- [KL81] Daniel S. Kubert and Serge Lang, *Modular units*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 244, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.
- [Nak95] Hiroaki Nakamura, *On exterior Galois representations associated with open elliptic curves*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **2** (1995), no. 1, 197–231.
- [NSW08] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [NSW17] Hiroaki Nakamura, Kenji Sakugawa, and Zdzisław Wojtkowiak, *Polylogarithmic analogue of the Coleman-Ihara formula, I*, Osaka J. Math. **54** (2017), no. 1, 55–74.
- [Sou79] Christophe Soulé, *K -théorie des anneaux d'entiers de corps de nombres et cohomologie étale*, Invent. Math. **55** (1979), no. 3, 251–295.
- [Sou81] ———, *On higher p -adic regulators*, Algebraic K -theory, Evanston 1980 (Proc. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 854, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, pp. 372–401.
- [Was82] Lawrence C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [Woj17] Zdzisław Wojtkowiak, *On ℓ -adic Galois L -functions*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 321, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 161–209.

Email address: ishii.shun@keio.jp

(†) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOUHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA 223-8522, JAPAN.

(◇) MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE TEAM, RIKEN CENTER FOR ADVANCED INTELLIGENCE PROJECT (AIP), 1-4-1 NIHONBASHI, CHUO-KU, TOKYO 103-0027, JAPAN.