
Data clustering: an essential technique in data science

Wong Hauchi¹, Daniil Lisik², Tai Dinh¹✉

¹The Kyoto College of Graduate Studies for Informatics, 7 Tanaka Monzencho, Sakyo Ward, Kyoto, Japan

²Krefting Research Centre, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

Abstract

This paper provides a comprehensive exploration of data clustering, emphasizing its methodologies and applications across different fields. Traditional techniques, including partitional and hierarchical clustering, are discussed alongside other approaches such as data stream, subspace and network clustering, highlighting their role in addressing complex, high-dimensional datasets. The paper also reviews the foundational principles of clustering, introduces common tools and methods, and examines its diverse applications in data science. Finally, the discussion concludes with insights into future directions, underscoring the centrality of clustering in driving innovation and enabling data-driven decision making.

Keywords: data mining, machine learning, clustering, data science, unsupervised learning

✉ Corresponding author: Tai Dinh (t_dinh@kcg.edu)

1. Introduction

CLUSTERING is a fundamental technique in data science, which organizes data into meaningful groups, or clusters, based on their intrinsic similarities [1]. Unlike classification, which relies on predefined labels, clustering is an unsupervised learning approach, allowing data scientists to uncover hidden structures within datasets. This technique is widely used to handle complex, high-dimensional datasets and is pivotal for understanding the inherent organization of data [2].

In today's data-driven world, where organizations and researchers deal with enormous volumes of data, clustering serves as a critical tool for summarizing and analyzing this information. By grouping similar data points together, clustering aids in simplifying complex datasets, enabling better exploration and visualization, and laying the groundwork for further data analysis [3]. Furthermore, clustering finds applications in a wide array of fields. The objects to be clustered may include words, text, images, records,

graph nodes, or any collection where items can be described by a set of features [4]. As a versatile tool, clustering has been widely employed as an intermediate step in numerous data mining and machine learning tasks. As illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, clustering is recognized as a fundamental branch of machine learning and serves as a pivotal task in the broader field of data science.

Clustering has been applied across diverse domains, including natural sciences, social sciences, economics, education, engineering, and health science, to name a few [5]. Additionally, clustering is closely connected to other data science processes, such as regression and classification. For instance, clustering can enhance regression analysis by identifying distinct groups within data, which may then inform separate regression models for each cluster. Similarly, clustering can complement classification by identifying potential class labels or refining existing ones based on patterns in the data. By serving as both a foundational and complementary tool, clustering facilitates a deeper understanding of data,

enabling more accurate predictions, targeted interventions, and efficient resource allocation.




Figure 1. A taxonomy of machine learning algorithms¹

This paper provides a comprehensive exploration of data clustering within the context of data science. It begins by delving into the fundamental concepts that underpin clustering, including key definitions and principles. The paper then examines various clustering methodologies, ranging from traditional techniques such as partitional and hierarchical clustering to more advanced approaches like data stream, and subspace clustering. Finally, the discussion extends to the diverse applications of clustering, highlighting its impact across multiple fields and its potential to drive innovation. By presenting a detailed overview of these aspects, this paper aims to underscore the centrality of data clustering in data science and to provide readers with

¹ <https://www.mathworks.com/solutions/machine-learning.html>

a thorough understanding of this essential technique.




Figure 2. Data science tasks [26]

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the basics of clustering; Section 3 introduces common clustering methods and tools; Section 4 presents common applications of clustering in data science; and Section 5 concludes and outlines directions for future work.

2. Basics of Data Clustering

Clustering is an unsupervised learning process that partitions a dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ where each data point $x_i \in \mathcal{R}^d$, into k clusters $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k\}$. The goal is to group similar data points into the same cluster while ensuring that points in different clusters are dissimilar. Formally, the clustering task can be expressed as the optimization of a clustering objective $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$, where:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bigcup_{j=1}^k \mathcal{C}_j = \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_j = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i \neq j \quad (1) \\ \arg \min_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) \quad (2) \end{array} \right.$$

Equation (1) indicates that clusters are non-overlapping and exhaustive, whereas equation (2) indicates that each cluster \mathcal{C}_j is defined such that points within the same cluster are more similar to each other (intra-cluster similarity) than to those in different clusters (inter-cluster dissimilarity). In what follows, we revisit some of the most commonly used types of clustering discussed in the literature.

2.1. A Taxonomy of Clustering Types

Partitional methods




Figure 3. Partitioning clustering

Partitional (partitioning) clustering, also known as nonhierarchical or flat clustering, partitions a dataset into a predefined number of clusters k , without constructing hierarchical structures. Figure 3 shows the general workflow of partitional clustering algorithms. The primary goal is to optimize an objective function, such as minimizing intra-cluster distances or maximizing inter-cluster separation [6].

Partitional clustering algorithms can be broadly categorized into hard partitional clustering and soft partitional clustering. Hard clustering, also referred to as crisp clustering, assigns each data point to exactly one non-overlapping cluster. Algorithms like K-means [7], K-modes [8], and K-prototypes [8] fall under this category. In contrast, soft (or fuzzy) clustering allows data points to belong to multiple clusters simultaneously. Each point is assigned a membership value ranging from 0 (no membership) to 1 (full membership), indicating its degree of association with each cluster [9]. This flexibility is particularly useful for datasets with overlapping or ambiguous boundaries.

Hierarchical methods

Hierarchical clustering constructs a dendrogram to represent relationships among data points, using either an agglomerative (bottom-up) or divisive (top-down) approach [2]. Figure 4 shows the workflow of




Figure 4. Hierarchical clustering

hierarchical clustering algorithms. In agglomerative clustering, each object begins as a separate cluster, and the two closest clusters are iteratively merged based on a similarity measure until only one cluster remains. Conversely, divisive clustering starts with all objects in a single cluster and recursively splits them into smaller clusters. The dendrogram illustrates the merging or splitting process, and the optimal number of clusters can be determined by cutting the dendrogram at a level that balances the number of clusters and their homogeneity.

Density-based methods




Figure 5. Density-based clustering

Density-based clustering identifies clusters as dense regions of data points separated by sparser areas. Figure 5 shows the workflow of density-based clustering. Unlike partitional or hierarchical methods, it does not require specifying the number of clusters in advance. Instead, clusters are formed based on the density of points within a defined neighborhood. This approach is particularly effective for discovering clusters of arbitrary shapes and handling noise in datasets. A prominent example is the DBSCAN algorithm, which groups points with sufficient density while labeling outliers as noise [10].

Model-based methods




Figure 6. Model-based clustering

Model-based clustering is a statistical approach that assumes data are generated from a mixture of underlying probability distributions, with each distribution corresponding to a specific cluster [11]. Figure 6 shows the workflow of model-based clustering algorithm. This method relies on a generative model, where clusters are represented by parametric probability distributions, such as Gaussian distributions in the widely used Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM). The clustering process involves estimating the parameters of these distributions and assigning data points to the cluster that maximizes their likelihood. By leveraging

statistical principles, model-based clustering can capture complex data structures, determine the optimal number of clusters using model selection criteria (e.g., BIC or AIC), and handle overlapping clusters effectively.

Subspace clustering




Figure 7. Subspace clustering

Subspace clustering focuses on identifying clusters within specific subspaces of a high-dimensional dataset, rather than the full-dimensional space [12]. Figure 7 shows the workflow of subspace clustering. The key idea is that meaningful clusters may exist in subsets of dimensions where data points share strong similarities, while other dimensions may introduce noise. By searching for clusters in these relevant subspaces, this approach is particularly effective for high-dimensional data where traditional methods often struggle. Subspace clustering has proven valuable in domains such as bioinformatics and text analysis, where data often exhibit localized patterns in specific subsets of features.

Graph-based clustering




Figure 8. Graph-based clustering

Graph-based clustering represents data as a graph, where nodes correspond to data points and weighted edges reflect the similarity between them [13]. Figure 8 shows the workflow of graph-based clustering. Clusters are formed as densely connected subgraphs, with sparse or minimal connections to nodes outside the cluster. The goal of graph-based clustering algorithms is to partition the graph by analyzing its edge structure, typically maximizing the total weight or number of edges within clusters while minimizing inter-cluster connections. This approach is particularly effective for identifying non-convex clusters and handling complex data structures.

Data stream clustering




Figure 9. Graph-based clustering

Data stream clustering dynamically groups similar data points in real time as they flow continuously into a system. Designed to handle high-velocity, large-volume, and unbounded data streams, it incrementally updates clusters to ensure efficient and adaptive processing. The general framework of data stream clustering, illustrated in Figure 9, comprises several key steps. It begins with clustering the initial batch of data to establish a baseline configuration. A sliding window model is then

employed to focus on the most recent data, ensuring that older, less relevant points are discarded. Clusters are represented through statistical summaries, such as centers or spreads, which are continuously updated to reflect new data. As new points arrive, they are incrementally assigned to existing clusters or used to form new ones, depending on their similarity. The framework also incorporates mechanisms to detect and respond to *concept drift*, adjusting the clustering model to accommodate shifts in the data distribution. Periodic maintenance is performed to refine clusters, which may involve merging, splitting, or repositioning them as needed. This iterative process ensures that the clustering remains adaptive, providing an up-to-date representation of the evolving data stream for downstream analysis.

Genetic-based clustering




Figure 10. Genetic-based clustering

Genetic algorithm-based clustering utilizes genetic algorithms (GAs) to improve clustering performance [14]. GAs are search heuristics inspired by the process of natural selection, operating on a population of potential solutions and evolving them through selection, crossover, and mutation [15]. Figure 10 shows the framework of GA-based clustering. In clustering, each potential solution (or chromosome) represents a partitioning of the dataset

into clusters, where each cluster contains a subset of data points. By iteratively optimizing cluster assignments through evolutionary processes, GA-based methods offer robust solutions and reduce the risk of being trapped in local optima.

Ensemble clustering




Figure 11. Ensemble clustering

Ensemble clustering combines multiple clustering outputs, either from different algorithms or multiple runs, to produce a single consensus partition of the original dataset [16]. By leveraging the diversity and complementary strengths of individual clustering algorithms, this approach enhances overall clustering accuracy and robustness. The workflow of ensemble clustering for categorical data is illustrated in Figure 11. A typical ensemble framework consists of two main steps: (1) generating a set of base clustering results and (2) integrating these results into a final consensus clustering using a *consensus function*. Both the quality of the base clusterings and the effectiveness of the consensus function play a critical role in determining the success of the ensemble.

2.2. Evaluation Metrics for Clustering

Evaluating clustering performance is critical to understanding the quality and reliability of the generated clusters. Clustering evaluation metrics can be broadly categorized into *internal* and *external*

validation metrics. Internal metrics assess the quality of clusters based on the dataset's intrinsic properties, focusing on factors such as compactness, separation, and density. These metrics do not require ground truth labels and evaluate the clustering structure directly. In contrast, external metrics compare the clustering results to ground truth labels, if available, to measure how well the clusters align with predefined categories or known partitions. Together, these metrics provide complementary insights into the effectiveness of a clustering algorithm.

Tables 1 and 2 show the most commonly used external and internal validation metrics in the literature.

3. Data Clustering Algorithms and Tools

3.1 Popular Clustering Algorithms

Algorithm 1: Lloyd's K-means [7]

1. Initialize by randomly assigning each data point to one of the k clusters.
 2. **repeat**
 3. Calculate the centroids of each cluster as the mean of all data points currently assigned to that cluster.
 4. Reassign each data point to the cluster with the nearest centroid.
 5. **until** no data points change clusters (convergence) or centroids no longer change
-

In the world of machine learning, many clustering algorithms have been developed in order to approach existing problem users are facing from different perspective. These algorithms vary in their methodologies, assumptions, and the types of data they handle most effectively. Some focus on minimizing distances between data points, while others prioritize density or hierarchical relationships. As data science continues to evolve, more and more algorithms have become integral tools in fields ranging from market segmentation to anomaly detection. In the following, some of the most popular clustering algorithms will be introduced, showing their key features and applications.

K-means [7] is a popular algorithm of partitioning methods used for clustering data into groups based on similarity. The Lloyd's algorithm (Algorithm 1) is the most common implementation of K-means. It begins by initializing k cluster centroids, which are typically chosen randomly. Each data point is then assigned to the nearest centroid based on a distance metric, usually Euclidean distance, forming k clusters. Once the assignments are made, the centroids are updated by calculating the mean position of all points in each cluster. These steps of assignment and update are repeated iteratively until the centroids stabilize or a stopping criterion is met, such as a maximum number of iterations or minimal movement of centroids. While K-means is simple and computationally efficient, it requires the number of clusters (k) to be specified beforehand and can be sensitive to outliers and the initial placement of centroids. Additionally, it works best when the clusters are roughly spherical and of similar size.

K-modes [8] is another partitioning methods of clustering technique, extending the K-means algorithm. Instead of using the mean to define cluster centroids, K-modes relies on the *mode*, which is the most frequently occurring value for each feature. The algorithm begins by randomly selecting k data points as the initial centroids. It then assigns each data point to the cluster whose centroid has the minimum dissimilarity, often measured by the number of mismatched categorical attributes. After the assignments, the centroids are updated by determining the *mode* for each feature within the cluster. This process of assignment and update is

repeated iteratively until the centroids stabilize or a predefined stopping criterion is met. The K-modes algorithm is computationally efficient and well-suited for categorical data, but it requires pre-specifying the number of clusters (k) and can be sensitive to the initial choice of centroids.

Algorithm 2: K-modes [8]

1. Initialize by selecting k initial *modes*, one for each cluster.
 2. Allocate each data object to the cluster whose mode is the nearest according to the *simple matching dissimilarity* measure.
 3. Update the mode of the cluster after each allocation.
 4. **repeat**
 5. **for** each object in the dataset **do**
 6. Retest the dissimilarity of the object against the current *modes*.
 7. **if** the nearest *mode* for the object belongs to a different cluster **then**
 8. Reallocate the object to that cluster.
 9. Update the *modes* of both affected clusters.
 10. **end if**
 11. **end for**
 12. **until** no object changes clusters after a full cycle test of the dataset
-

K-prototypes [8] is a clustering algorithm designed for mixed-type data, combining K-means and K-modes. It uses an adapted distance function that treats each data type separately, updating numeric variables with their *means* and categorical variables with their *modes*. It efficiently handles mixed data in one algorithm, rather than running separate clustering processes for each data type.

Table 1. External validation metrics (as referred from [5])

Metric	Formulation	Notation explanation	Meaning	Range and Optimal value
Accuracy	$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^k a_l}{n}$	a_l : numbers of (#)instances correctly assigned to class C_l , n : total #instances	Measures how accurately the clustering matches the ground truth.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Adjusted Rand Index (ARI)	$\frac{RI - \mathbb{E}[RI]}{\max(RI) - \mathbb{E}[RI]}$	RI: Rand Index (as defined below), $\mathbb{E}[RI]$: expected Rand Index	Adjusts the Rand index for chance, measuring clustering similarity while accounting for random agreement.	[-1, 1] Higher is better

Normalized Mutual Information (NMI)	$\frac{I(U;V)}{\sqrt{H(U)H(V)}}$	U : set of clusters from the first clustering, V : set of clusters from the second clustering, $I(U;V)$: mutual information between U and V , $H(U)$: entropy of U , $H(V)$: entropy of V	Measure the amount of information shared between two clusterings, normalized to account for the size of the clusters.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Purity	$\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \max_j C_i \cap T_j $	C_i : cluster i , T_j : class j , n : #instances	Measure the extent to which clusters contain a single class.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Precision	$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^k \left(\frac{a_l}{a_l + b_l} \right)}{k}$	a_l, b_l : #instances correctly and incorrectly assigned to class C_l , respectively	Measure the fraction of correctly assigned instances among all instances assigned to the same cluster.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Recall	$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^k \left(\frac{a_l}{a_l + c_l} \right)}{k}$	a_l : #instances correctly assigned to class C_l , c_l : #instances that are incorrectly rejected from class C_l	Measure the fraction of correctly assigned instances among all true instances in the same cluster.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Entropy	$-\sum_k \frac{ C_k }{n} \log \frac{ C_k }{n}$	$ C_k $: #instances in cluster C_k , n : total #instances	Measure the uncertainty in the clustering. A lower value indicates a better clustering in terms of purity, as it signifies less uncertainty.	[0, ∞] Lower is better
Rand Index	$\frac{a + d}{a + b + c + d}$	a, d : #pairs correctly assigned to the same cluster (True Positives) and different clusters (True Negatives) b, c : #pairs incorrectly assigned to the same cluster (False Positives) and different clusters (False Negatives)	Measure the similarity between two clusterings by considering all pairs of instances and counting the pairs that are correctly clustered together or correctly separated.	[0, 1] Higher is better
F-Score (F-Measure)	$\frac{2 \cdot \text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$	Precision and Recall as defined above	Combines precision and recall into a single metric.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Mutual Information	$\sum_{u \in U} \sum_{v \in V} p(u, v) \log \frac{p(u, v)}{p(u)p(v)}$	U : set of clusters from the first clustering, V : set of clusters from the second clustering, $p(u, v)$: joint probability of an instance being in cluster u and cluster v , $p(u)$: probability of an instance being in cluster u , $p(v)$: probability of an instance being in cluster v	Measure the amount of information obtained about one clustering through another.	[0, ∞] Higher is better
Jaccard Index	$\frac{a}{a + b + c}$	a : true positives, b : false positives, c : false negatives	Measures the similarity between the clustering and the ground truth by comparing the sets of correctly and incorrectly assigned pairs of instances.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Fowlkes-Mallows Index	$\sqrt{\frac{a}{a + b} \cdot \frac{a}{a + c}}$	a : true positives, b : false positives, c : false negatives	Measure the similarity between the clustering and the ground truth by evaluating the geometric mean of precision and recall.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Normalized Variation of Information	$\frac{H(U) + H(V) - 2I(U;V)}{\log(n)}$	$H(U)$: entropy of clustering U , $H(V)$: entropy of clustering V , $I(U;V)$: mutual information between U and V , n : #instances	Measure the amount of information lost and gained between two clusterings, normalized to the range [0, 1].	[0, 1] Lower is better
Homogeneity	$H(C K)$	$H(C K)$: Conditional entropy of the classes given the cluster	Measure if each cluster contains only members of a single class.	[0, 1] Higher is better
Minkowski Score	$\frac{\sqrt{n_{01} + n_{10}}}{\sqrt{n_{11} + n_{10}}}$	n_{11} : pairs in the same cluster in both the ground truth (T) and the clustering result (S), n_{01} : pairs in the same cluster only in S, n_{10} : pairs in the same cluster only in T	Normalized distance between pairs in the clustering result and the ground truth	[0, ∞] Lower is better

Percentage of Correct Pair (%CP)	$\frac{ S \cap C }{ S } \times 100$	S : Set of pairs in the same cluster according to the ground truth, C : Set of pairs in the same cluster according to the clustering result	Measure the percentage of correctly clustered pairs compared to the total number of actual pairs in the same cluster.	[0, 100] Higher is better
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---	---	------------------------------

Table 2. Internal validation metrics (as referred from [5])

Metric	Formulation	Notation explanation	Meaning	Range and Optimal value
Silhouette Score	$\frac{b(i) - a(i)}{\max\{a(i), b(i)\}}$	$a(i)$: average distance from i to other points in the same cluster, $b(i)$: lowest average distance from i to points in a different cluster	Measures how similar an object is to its own cluster compared to other clusters	[-1,1] Higher is better
Davies-Bouldin Index	$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \max_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\sigma_i + \sigma_j}{d_{ij}} \right)$	σ_i : average distance of all points in cluster i to centroid of cluster i , d_{ij} : distance between centroids of clusters i and j	Indicates the average similarity ratio of each cluster with the cluster most similar to it	[0,∞] Lower is better
Dunn Index	$\frac{\min_{i \neq j} d(C_i, C_j)}{\max_k \delta(C_k)}$	$d(C_i, C_j)$: inter-cluster distance between clusters i and j , $\delta(C_k)$: intra-cluster distance of cluster k	Identifies dense and well-separated clusters	[0,∞] Higher is better
Xie-Beni Index	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^c \mu_{ij}^2 d^2(x_i, v_j)}{n \min_{i \neq j} d^2(v_i, v_j)}$	μ_{ij} : membership degree of x_i in cluster j , $d(x_i, v_j)$: distance between x_i and cluster center v_j , n : #instances, c : #clusters	Measures compactness and separation of clusters	[0,∞] Lower is better
Intra-Cluster Distance	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^u \sum_{j=1}^u d(x_i, x_j)}{u^2}$	u : #instances in the cluster, $d(x_i, x_j)$: distance between x_i and x_j in the cluster	Quantifies the average distance between all pairs of points within the same cluster	[0,∞] Lower is better
Inter-Cluster Distance	$\frac{1}{n_s n_t} \sum_{x_i \in C_s} \sum_{x_j \in C_t} d(x_i, x_j)$	n_s : #instances in clusters C_s , n_t : #instances in clusters C_t , $d(x_i, x_j)$: distance between x_i and x_j	Quantifies the average distance between points in different clusters	[0,∞] Higher is better
Cluster Discrimination	$\frac{d_{min}}{d_{max}}$	d_{min} : minimum averaged inter-cluster distance, d_{max} : maximum averaged intra-cluster distance	Ratio of minimum averaged inter-cluster distance to maximum averaged intra-cluster distance, indicating the separation and compactness of clusters	[0,∞] Higher is better
Chi-Squared	$\sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$	O : Observed frequency of data points in clusters, E : Expected frequency of data points in clusters	Measures the goodness of fit between the observed clustering and the expected distribution	[0,∞] Lower is better
Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)	$k \ln(n) - 2 \ln(L)$	L : Likelihood of the clustering model, k : #clusters and parameters, n : #instances	Evaluates the trade-off between model fit and clustering complexity	(-∞,∞) Lower is better

Hierarchical Clustering Analysis (HCA) [17] is a hierarchical methods that builds a hierarchy of clusters by either merging smaller clusters into

larger ones (agglomerative) or splitting a larger cluster into smaller ones (divisive). The result is often represented as a dendrogram, a tree-like diagram that shows the nested grouping of data points and

the distances between clusters. HCA does not require pre-specifying the number of clusters, instead, the dendrogram can be cut at a chosen level to define the desired clusters. The algorithm depends on a linkage criterion, which determines how the distance between clusters is calculated. Some of the common linkage methods included *Single Linkage*, which defines the distance between two clusters as the shortest distance between any two points in the clusters, resulting in a tendency to form elongated or chain-like clusters. *Complete Linkage* is another linkage commonly used, which is the farthest distance between points in the clusters, producing more compact and spherical clusters. *Average Linkage* calculates the average distance between all pairs of points across clusters, balancing the extremes of single and complete linkage. *Ward's method* is linkage that minimizes the total variance within clusters, leading to clusters that are both compact and relatively uniform in size. Each linkage method offers a unique perspective on how clusters should be merged, allowing flexibility based on the dataset's structure and the clustering objective.

Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) [10] is a density-based methods that identifies clusters as contiguous regions of high point density, separated by areas of lower density. The algorithm requires two parameters, *epsilon* (ϵ), which defines the radius of the neighborhood around a data point, and *minPts*, the minimum number of points required to form a dense region. Data points are classified into three categories: *core points*, which have at least *minPts* neighbors within their ϵ radius; *border points*, which lie within the ϵ neighborhood of a *core point* but do not meet the *minPts* threshold themselves; and *noise points*, which are not part of any cluster. Clusters are formed by linking *core points* and their reachable neighbors iteratively, allowing the algorithm to discover clusters of arbitrary shapes. Unlike many clustering algorithms, DBSCAN does not require pre-defining the number of clusters and is robust to noise and outliers. However, its performance depends on the careful selection of ϵ and *minPts*, as these parameters influence the sensitivity to cluster density and the detection of meaningful patterns in the data.

Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) [18] are a probabilistic model-based method for modeling data that assumes the data is generated from a mixture of multiple Gaussian distributions, where each Gaussian component represents a cluster or subpopulation within the data. Each component is defined by parameters such as a mean vector, covariance matrix, and a mixing coefficient that reflects the proportion of data belonging to that component. GMM is highly flexible, capable of modeling data with varying densities and shapes due to its use of covariance matrices, which allow the components to capture correlations between dimensions. The model uses the *Expectation-Maximization (EM)* algorithm to estimate parameters iteratively by alternating between assigning probabilities of data points belonging to each Gaussian (expectation step) and updating the parameters of the distributions to maximize the likelihood of the data (maximization step). This iterative process continues until convergence, enabling GMM to effectively approximate complex, multimodal distributions by combining multiple Gaussian components into a single cohesive model.

Clustering in QUEst (CLIQUE) [20] is a subspace clustering algorithm specifically designed for high-dimensional data. It partitions the data space into a grid of cells and then analyzes the density of data points within each cell. By defining a minimum density threshold, CLIQUE identifies regions with sufficiently high data point density, which are considered potential clusters. The algorithm works in two main stages. First, it identifies dense regions in the data space by examining the density of each grid cell. In the next step it selects dense subspaces that contain significant numbers of data points. CLIQUE can detect clusters in subspaces of the high-dimensional data rather than requiring clustering to be done across the full space, which makes it particularly useful for datasets with many dimensions. It employs a combination of dimensionality reduction techniques and density-based filtering to reduce computational complexity. Additionally, CLIQUE can find clusters with arbitrary shapes, and it does not require prior knowledge of the number of clusters. The algorithm is scalable and

efficient, making it well-suited for large datasets where traditional clustering methods might struggle.

Louvain method [22] is a widely-used graph-based clustering for community detection in networks, designed to optimize the modularity, which is a measure that quantifies the density of edges within communities compared to edges between communities. The Louvain method operates in two main phases. At first, it iteratively assigns each node to a community in such a way that the modularity of the entire network is maximized. This is done by evaluating the change in modularity when moving a node to a neighboring community. In the second phase, the communities identified in the first phase are treated as individual nodes, and the process is repeated at a higher level, refining the partition further. This hierarchical, multi-level approach allows the Louvain method to efficiently detect communities at various scales, making it highly scalable and effective for large, complex networks. The algorithm's ability to converge to a solution with high modularity in relatively few iterations is one of its key strengths, and it has become a standard technique for exploring the structure of complex systems.

CluStream [23] is a data stream clustering algorithm that is able to handle large, dynamic, and time-varying data streams. It combines both micro-clustering and macro-clustering techniques to provide an efficient and scalable solution for clustering in real-time and real-life. The algorithm works in two phases, in the first phase, it continuously updates a set of micro-clusters, which are compact representations of small groups of data points. These micro-clusters are updated incrementally as new data points arrive, with each micro-cluster capturing local patterns within the data stream. In the second phase, CluStream periodically performs macro-clustering on the micro-clusters, aggregating them into higher-level clusters that reflect the global structure of the data over time. This macro-clustering step helps identify the overarching trends and relationships within the stream. CluStream's ability to adapt to changing data is enhanced by its ability to dynamically merge, split, and update clusters as new information is processed,

making it well-suited for applications where data evolves continuously. The algorithm is also designed to be computationally efficient, minimizing memory usage while maintaining accuracy, making it particularly effective for large-scale, real-time clustering tasks.

The Genetic K-means algorithm [24] is an extension of the traditional K-means clustering algorithm, incorporating genetic-based clustering to enhance the clustering process. While K-means is efficient in partitioning data into clusters, it is often sensitive to initial centroid placements and may converge to local minima. To overcome these limitations, the Genetic K-means introduces genetic operators including selection, crossover, and mutation into the clustering process, enabling a more global search for optimal solutions. In this approach, the algorithm starts by creating an initial population of potential solutions, where each solution represents a set of cluster centroids. The fitness of each solution is evaluated based on how well it groups the data points, typically by minimizing the sum of squared distances within clusters (intra-cluster variance). The best solutions are selected for reproduction, and crossover and mutation operators are applied to generate new solutions, allowing the algorithm to explore a wider range of possible centroid configurations. Over multiple generations, the population evolves, with the aim of finding the optimal or near-optimal configuration of centroids. This process helps Genetic K-means avoid getting stuck in local optima, making it more robust and effective, especially when the data is complex or has irregular cluster shapes. The algorithm's ability to perform a global search enhances its clustering performance, particularly in datasets with noise or outliers.

Consensus clustering [16] is a powerful ensemble clustering technique designed to enhance clustering results by combining multiple clustering solutions into a single, more stable outcome. The process begins by applying different clustering algorithms or multiple runs of the same algorithm on the dataset, resulting in a variety of partitioning solutions. Each of these solutions may differ in terms of how they grouped the data, potentially due to variations in algorithmic parameters or inherent randomness. The

next step is to construct a consensus matrix, which captures the frequency with what pairs of data points are grouped together across all the clustering processes. This matrix essentially summarizes the pairwise relationships across the different clusters. Once the consensus matrix is formed, a clustering algorithm, typically hierarchical clustering, is applied to this matrix to produce a final consensus clustering. This final clustering is expected to reflect the most robust and stable groupings, reducing the effects of outliers, noise, or instability that might affect individual clustering. Consensus clustering offers a way to exploit the diversity of base clustering solutions, helping to avoid the overfitting and local minima problems often encountered with single clustering methods. It can be particularly useful when the optimal clustering structure is uncertain or when the data exhibits complex patterns that are better captured by multiple perspectives.

3.2. Tools and Frameworks

Data clustering tools are essential for analyzing and grouping data into meaningful subsets or clusters based on similarity. These tools enable us to uncover hidden patterns, reduce dimensionality, and gain insights that would be difficult to identify manually. Clustering is widely used in fields like customer segmentation, anomaly detection, and image analysis, making these tools indispensable in modern data science. By automating the process of grouping similar data points together, they allow for more efficient and scalable analysis, even with large datasets.

In Python, clustering is straightforward with Scikit-learn². It is a Python-based machine learning library that excels in simplicity and versatility. It offers efficient implementations of clustering methods such as K-means, DBSCAN, and hierarchical clustering, making it a favorite for data scientists and engineers. With its clean API and seamless integration with other Python libraries like NumPy³

and Pandas⁴, Scikit-learn is ideal for building and testing clustering models during prototyping or moderate-scale production tasks. For instance, K-means clustering can be performed in a few lines of code. A typical workflow involves generating or preprocessing data, fitting the K-means model, and visualizing the results. With the K-means class, data points can be grouped into clusters, and their centroids are identified, allowing for intuitive visualization.

R⁵ is a powerful statistical programming language, particularly doing well for tasks requiring deep exploratory analysis. Its libraries, such as *cluster*⁶ and *factoextra*⁷, enable the implementation of clustering techniques with a strong emphasis on visualization. Users can perform K-means clustering and generate elegant visualizations that highlight the distribution of clusters. This makes R a popular option for academic research and projects where statistical rigor and clarity of insights are paramount.

TensorFlow is primarily designed for deep learning, but also supports custom clustering methods, often integrated with neural networks. It is preferred in scenarios where clustering involves highly scalable or complex data architectures. Its ability to handle large datasets with GPU acceleration makes it suitable for advanced tasks like deep clustering. With TensorFlow, clustering can integrate directly with large-scale and deep learning workflows. TensorFlow's flexibility enables creating custom clustering models, leveraging its tensor-based computations and GPU support. For instance, users can build scalable K-means solutions or incorporate clustering into neural network pipelines, handling vast datasets efficiently.

MATLAB⁸ stands out as a high-level language tailored for numerical computation and visualization. Its built-in functions for clustering, such as K-means, hierarchical clustering, and Fuzzy C-means, allow

² <https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/clustering.html>

³ <https://numpy.org/>

⁴ <https://pandas.pydata.org/>

⁵ <https://www.r-project.org/>

⁶ <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cluster/index.html>

⁷ <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/factoextra/index.html>

⁸ <https://www.mathworks.com/help/stats/kmeans.html>

researchers to develop algorithms rapidly. MATLAB is particularly popular in academia and industries requiring precise numerical modeling, such as engineering and finance. In MATLAB, clustering workflows are built around precision and visualization. As an example, MATLAB's hierarchical clustering tools allow users to visualize cluster relationships using dendrograms. By coupling hierarchical clustering with MATLAB's ability to plot and group data, users can derive meaningful insights into the structure of your data.

Orange Data Mining⁹ is an open-source tool for data visualization and analysis, catering to both beginners and experts in data science. With its modular and user-friendly interface, Orange allows users to build workflows for tasks like data preprocessing, visualization, classification, clustering, and more using a simple drag-and-drop approach. Its extensibility through Python scripting and add-ons makes it a versatile option for advanced machine learning and statistical analysis. For clustering, Orange offers widgets for widely-used techniques such as K-means, hierarchical clustering, and DBSCAN, enabling users to configure parameters, visualize clusters, and assess clustering quality with ease. Moreover, Orange includes advanced visualization tools, such as dendrograms for hierarchical clustering and scatterplots for exploring and interpreting cluster assignments.

3.3. Challenges in Practical Implementation

Data clustering plays a crucial role in various fields of modern society by uncovering hidden patterns and structures within data, clustering enables organizations and researchers to derive valuable insights from complex datasets. Many of the challenges associated with data clustering arise because it is an unsupervised learning technique. Unlike supervised learning, where the model is trained on labeled data with a clear target outcome, clustering works without predefined labels or ground truth. This lack of guidance introduces several complexities that make clustering inherently more

challenging.

Despite these challenges, clustering remains an indispensable tool for data exploration and analysis, provided these obstacles are addressed effectively. In this section, explore some of the most common challenges in the practical implementation of data clustering will be discussed.

Data-related challenges

High-dimensional data poses significant challenges for clustering because of the curse of dimensionality. In high-dimensional spaces, distances between points lose their interpretability, as most points tend to become equidistant from one another. This makes it difficult for distance-based algorithms like K-means to effectively group data into meaningful clusters. For example, in a low-dimensional space, Euclidean distance may work well to measure similarity, but as the number of dimensions increases, this metric becomes less reliable. Nowadays, techniques like Principal Component Analysis (PCA), t-SNE, and UMAP are often used to reduce dimensionality, capturing the most relevant features while maintaining the overall structure of the data. Alternatively, algorithms such as Spectral Clustering or distance metrics like cosine similarity may offer better performance in high-dimensional datasets.

Large datasets clustering with millions or billions of data points can be computationally expensive in terms of both time and memory. Algorithms like Hierarchical clustering, which require building a complete dendrogram, scale poorly as the dataset size increases. Similarly, iterative methods like K-means can become resource-intensive when dealing with massive data volumes. To address this, techniques like Mini-Batch K-means, which processes subsets of data iteratively, are designed to solve the problem. Additionally, distributed computing frameworks like Apache Spark allow for parallel processing of large datasets, reducing computational overhead. Preprocessing steps, such as feature selection or dimensionality reduction, can also help to manage dataset size before clustering.

Noise and outliers in the dataset can significantly affect the performance of clustering algorithms. For

⁹ <https://orangedatamining.com/>

instance, in K-means, outliers can pull centroids away from their ideal positions, leading to distorted clusters. Algorithms like DBSCAN handle noise better by identifying and marking outliers as separate entities rather than forcing them into clusters. However, DBSCAN struggles when clusters have varying densities, which can still be problematic in noisy datasets. Preprocessing methods, such as outlier detection techniques (e.g., Isolation Forest or Z-score) are developed in order to help clean the data before clustering. For particularly noisy datasets, robust clustering methods or denoising approaches, like filtering or smoothing techniques, may be necessary.

Incomplete datasets with a lot of missing data are another major challenge in clustering, as most algorithms require complete data to compute distances between points [21]. Missing numerical values can disrupt calculations of centroids or means, while missing categorical data can cause errors in assignments. Various imputation techniques are used to address this issue, such as replacing missing numerical values with the mean or median and filling categorical gaps with the mode. Predictive modeling can also be employed to estimate missing values based on correlations in the data. In cases where missing data is excessive, certain features or records may need to be removed entirely. While K-prototypes is designed to cluster mixed-type data (both numeric and categorical), it does not automatically handle missing values more effectively than other clustering methods. Effective handling of missing data typically requires explicit strategies, such as imputation or specialized distance metrics, regardless of the clustering algorithm.

Algorithms-related challenges

Selecting an appropriate clustering algorithm is critical because different algorithms work best under specific conditions. For example, K-means performs well for spherical clusters but struggles with clusters of varying density or irregular shapes. On the other hand, DBSCAN can identify clusters of arbitrary shapes and handle noise but is sensitive to its parameter settings, particularly when clusters have varying densities. Hierarchical Clustering provides a

more detailed, dendrogram-based view of clusters but is computationally expensive and unsuitable for large datasets. Choosing the right algorithm requires a thorough understanding of the data structure together with aim of task, which can often be explored using visualization techniques like t-SNE or UMAP. Experimenting with multiple algorithms and comparing their results using metrics such as silhouette score or Davies-Bouldin index can also aid in selecting the best approach before further analysis.

Parameter selection is another challenge when it comes to data clustering. Most clustering algorithms require the user to specify hyperparameters that significantly affect the clustering outcome. For instance, K-means requires defining the number of clusters (k) upfront, which can be challenging without prior knowledge of the data [19, 25]. DBSCAN requires setting the epsilon (ϵ) parameter, which defines the maximum distance between points, and the minimum number of points ($minPts$) to form a cluster. Similarly, Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering depends on the choice of linkage criteria (e.g., single, complete, or average linkage), which affects the final clusters. Tuning these parameters often involves trial-and-error methods using techniques like grid search or Bayesian optimization. Visualization methods, undergoing together with elbow method or silhouette analysis, which are commonly used to determine optimal parameters. Domain expertise can also provide valuable guidance in setting these parameters correctly.

4. Applications of Data Clustering in Data Science

4.1. Exploratory Data Analysis and Visualization

Clustering plays a vital role in exploratory data analysis by uncovering hidden patterns and grouping similar data points, enabling a deeper understanding of the underlying structure within datasets [3]. By organizing data into clusters, analysts can visualize relationships, anomalies, and trends more effectively. Tools such as dendrograms, scatterplots, and heatmaps often accompany clustering methods to provide intuitive representations, allowing users to gain insights and guide further analysis or decision-making.

4.2. Clustering for Structured and Unstructured Text Data

Clustering is widely applied to both structured and unstructured text data, enabling effective organization and analysis. For structured data, methods such as K-means, K-modes and K-prototypes are widely used for numerical, categorical and mixed data types. In contrast, for unstructured text data like documents or social media posts, techniques often involve converting text into numerical representations, such as TF-IDF vectors or embeddings, before applying clustering algorithms [30]. These approaches are used in applications like document categorization, topic modeling, and trend detection, helping to simplify complex datasets and uncover meaningful insights.

4.3. Image-Based Clustering with Embedding Methods

Recent advancements in large language models (LLMs) have transformed image-based clustering by enabling feature extraction through embeddings [27]. These embeddings represent high-dimensional image features in a compact vector format, capturing semantic relationships effectively. Once features are extracted, traditional clustering techniques like K-means or DBSCAN are applied in the embedding space to group similar images. This approach is commonly used in tasks like image retrieval, object categorization, and anomaly detection in visual data.

4.4. Applications in Bioinformatics and Personalized Medicine

Clustering has become a cornerstone in bioinformatics, enabling tasks such as gene expression analysis, protein structure prediction, and patient stratification [31]. In personalized medicine, clustering is used to group patients based on genetic markers, symptoms, or treatment responses, aiding in the design of tailored therapeutic strategies. By identifying distinct biological patterns, clustering facilitates a deeper understanding of complex biological systems and supports precision healthcare initiatives [28, 29].

4.5. Sentiment Analysis and Community Detection

In natural language processing, clustering is applied to sentiment analysis by grouping text data, such as customer reviews or social media posts, based on their emotional tone or underlying themes [33, 34]. Similarly, in social network analysis, clustering is instrumental in community detection, uncovering subgroups of interconnected individuals or entities within a larger network [32]. These applications provide valuable insights into behavioral trends, opinion mining, and the dynamics of social ecosystems.

5. Conclusion

Data clustering is an important and commonly used technique in data science, serving as a cornerstone for organizing complex datasets into coherent groups based on intrinsic similarities. As demonstrated in this paper, clustering not only simplifies high-dimensional data but also provides a robust framework for identifying latent structures and patterns that are critical for exploratory analysis and downstream tasks. Its flexibility and applicability across a broad spectrum of data types and domains underscore its enduring significance in solving intricate data challenges.

The integration of clustering with emerging technologies, particularly large language models (LLMs), represents a significant advancement in the field. LLMs, with their ability to generate high-quality embeddings and capture nuanced patterns in data, enhance clustering by providing more meaningful and compact feature representations. These embeddings are particularly useful for unstructured data, such as text and images, enabling traditional clustering algorithms, such as K-means to operate effectively in reduced-dimensional spaces. This synergy between clustering and LLMs opens new avenues for applications ranging from image-based analysis to text mining, where extracting semantic relationships and organizing information is essential.

References

1. Aggarwal, C. C. (2013). An introduction to cluster analysis.

- In *Data Clustering: Algorithms and Applications* (pp. 1–28). Chapman and Hall/CRC.
2. Han, J., Pei, J., & Tong, H. (2022). *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*. Elsevier Science.
 3. Tukey, J. W. et al. (1977). *Exploratory data analysis* volume 2. Reading, MA
 4. Izenman, A. J. (2008). Cluster analysis. In *Modern Multivariate Statistical Techniques: Regression, Classification, and Manifold Learning* (pp. 407–462). New York, NY: Springer New York.
 5. Dinh, T., Hauchi, W., Fournier-Viger, P., Lisik, D., Ha, M.-Q., Dam, H.-C., & Huynh, V.-N. (2024). Categorical data clustering: 25 years beyond k-modes. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.17244.
 6. Kaufman, L., & Rousseeuw, P. J. (2009). *Finding groups in data: an introduction to cluster analysis* volume 344. John Wiley & Sons.
 7. Lloyd, S. (1982). Least squares quantization in pcm. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 28, 129–137.
 8. Huang, Z. (1998). Extensions to the k-means algorithm for clustering large data sets with categorical values. *Data mining and knowledge discovery*, 2, 283–304.
 9. Tan, P., Steinbach, M., Karpatne, A., & Kumar, V. (2019). *Introduction to Data Mining (Second Edition)*. Pearson.
 10. Ester, M., Kriegel, H.-P., Sander, J., Xu, X. et al. (1996). A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In *KDD* (pp. 226–231). volume 96.
 11. Fraley, C., & Raftery, A. E. (2002). Model-based clustering, discriminant analysis, and density estimation. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 97, 611–631.
 12. Parsons, L., Haque, E., & Liu, H. (2004). Subspace clustering for high dimensional data: a review. *Acm sigkdd explorations newsletter*, 6, 90–105.
 13. Maier, M., Luxburg, U., & Hein, M. (2008). Influence of graph construction on graph-based clustering measures. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 21.
 14. Maulik, U., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2000). Genetic algorithm-based clustering technique. *Pattern recognition*, 33, 1455–1465.
 15. Holland, J. H. (1992). *Adaptation in natural and artificial systems: an introductory analysis with applications to biology, control, and artificial intelligence*. MIT press.
 16. Strehl, A., & Ghosh, J. (2002). Cluster ensembles—a knowledge reuse framework for combining multiple partitions. *Journal of machine learning research*, 3, 583–617.
 17. Defays, D. (1977). An efficient algorithm for a complete link method. *The computer journal*, 20, 364–366.
 18. Reynolds, D. A. et al. (2009). Gaussian mixture models. *Encyclopedia of biometrics*, 741.
 19. Dinh, D.-T., Fujinami, T., Huynh, V.-N.: Estimating the optimal number of clusters in categorical data clustering by silhouette coefficient. In: International Symposium on Knowledge and Systems Sciences. pp. 1–17 (2019).
 20. Agrawal, R., Gehrke, J., Gunopulos, D., & Raghavan, P. (1998). Automatic subspace clustering of high dimensional data for data mining applications. In *Proceedings of the 1998 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data* (pp. 94–105).
 21. Dinh, D.-T., Huynh, V.-N., Sriboonchitta, S.: Clustering mixed numerical and categorical data with missing values. *Inf Sci (N Y)*. 571, 418–442 (2021).
 22. Blondel, V. D., Guillaume, J.-L., Lambiotte, R., & Lefebvre, E. (2008). Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *Journal of statistical mechanics: theory and experiment*, 2008, P10008.
 23. Aggarwal, C. C., Philip, S. Y., Han, J., & Wang, J. (2003). A framework for clustering evolving data streams. In *Proceedings 2003 VLDB conference* (pp. 81–92). Elsevier.
 24. Krishna, K., & Murty, M. N. (1999). Genetic k-means algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)*, 29, 433–439.
 25. Dinh, D.-T., Huynh, V.-N.: k-PbC: an improved cluster center initialization for categorical data clustering. *Applied Intelligence*. 1–23 (2020).
 26. Kotu, V., & Deshpande, B. (2018). *Data science: concepts and practice*. Morgan Kaufmann.
 27. Khan, R., Dobesova, R., Yang, Y., & Dinh, T. (2024). Enhanced feature-based clustering for urban land use pattern detection. In *2024 16th International Conference on Knowledge and Systems Engineering (KSE)*. IEEE.
 28. Lisik, D., Basna, R., Dinh, T., Hennig, C., Shah, S. A., Wennergren, G., Goksor, E., & Nwaru, B. I. (2025). Artificial intelligence in pediatric allergy research. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, 184, 1–20
 29. Udrescu, L., Sbarcea, L., Topan, A., Iovanovici, A., Kurunczi, L., Bogdan, P., & Udrescu, M. (2016). Clustering drug-drug interaction networks with energy model layouts: community analysis and drug repurposing. *Scientific reports*, 6, 32745.
 30. Das, M., Alphonse, P. et al. (2023). A comparative study on

- tf-idf feature weighting method and its analysis using unstructured dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.04037*, .
31. Becht, E., McInnes, L., Healy, J., Dutertre, C.-A., Kwok, I. W., Ng, L. G., Ginhoux, F., & Newell, E. W. (2019). Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using umap. *Nature biotechnology*, *37*, 38–44.
 32. Malliaros, F. D., & Vazirgiannis, M. (2013). Clustering and community detection in directed networks: A survey. *Physics reports*, *533*, 95–142.
 33. Yu, Y., Dinh, D.-T., Nguyen, B.-H., Yu, F., & Huynh, V.-N. (2023a). Mining insights from esports game reviews with an aspect-based sentiment analysis framework. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 61161–61172.
 34. Yu, Y., Dinh, T., Yu, F., & Huynh, V.-N. (2023b). Understanding mobile game reviews through sentiment analysis: A case study of pubgm. In *International Conference on Model and Data Engineering* (pp. 102–115). Springer.

著者紹介

Wong Hauchi

Wong Hauchi is a Master's student in Data Science at The Kyoto College of Graduate Studies for Informatics (KCGI). Her research interests include data science and analytics, energy science and engineering. Wong Hauchi is passionate about uncovering actionable insights and advancing the field of data science through innovative methodologies and applications.

Daniil Lisik

Daniil Lisik is a medical doctor and doctoral candidate at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. His doctoral project focuses on computational phenotyping of obstructive respiratory disease and allergies. Beyond this, Daniil Lisik has an interest in evidence synthesis, particularly in treatment of obstructive sleep apnea and risk factors of asthma and allergy. He is passionate about open-source technology and making research accessible for all.

Tai Dinh

Dinh Duy Tai has been a faculty member at The Kyoto College of Graduate Studies for Informatics (KCGI) since 2022. His research interests lie in data science, where he works on various topics such as pattern mining, clustering, materials informatics, healthcare, and sentiment analysis. Before KCGI, he was a postdoctoral researcher from 2020-2022 at the International Excellent Core for Materials Informatics at JAIST. He received a PhD in Knowledge Science, specializing in Data Mining, from JAIST in 2020.