

Two interfacial stress formulations constructed from the diffuse interface free energy model

Takeshi Omori

E-mail: t.omori@omu.ac.jp

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Osaka Metropolitan University, 1-1 Gakuen-tyo, Naka-ku, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

Abstract. To express the capillary stress in the diffuse interface method, there are two different formulations in the literature: one formulation is proportional to the density and the other is to the density gradient. In the present study, we theoretically show that only the latter represents the capillary stress in one-component fluids and the former represents the stress related to the osmotic pressure.

1. Introduction

Fluid flows with interfaces between distinct phases are encountered in many scientific and industrial occasions, and the prediction of these flows have been pursued for the latest decades [1–3]. Modeling the interfacial tension and its appropriate form as a capillary stress term that is added to the Navier-Stokes (NS) equation, namely the force per unit fluid volume due to the surface tension, is one of the key issues to predict the interfacial flows. The formulation of the capillary stress term depends on the choice of the advection scheme of the marker function, which is a function to identify the different fluids in the system and track the motion of the interface. The diffuse-interface (DI) method, sometimes also called the phase-field method as called in the metallurgy community, is one of such advection schemes of the marker function. The DI method has its origin in the thermodynamics of solutions [4], which is a distinct feature separating the DI method from the other purely numerical schemes such as the volume-of-fluid, the level-set, the CIP and the front-tracking methods [5]. Because of its theoretical background, the DI method is considered to be particularly suitable to describe the flows where the characteristic length scale is comparable to the interfacial thickness, e.g. near critical interfacial phenomena, flows with moving contact lines, and breakup and coalescence of droplets [2].

The DI method stands on the free energy model of inhomogeneous systems. The system free energy F is typically modeled by

$$F = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[f_0(\phi) + \frac{K}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 \right]. \quad (1)$$

The variable ϕ can be the mass/molar fraction of one solute component in a solution [4, 6], or the statistically averaged number density of fluid molecules of one component [7] in the system, which is used as the marker function in the DI method. Since we are interested in capturing the interface between the different phases in the fluid, we employ here the latter definition for ϕ . The homogeneous contribution to the free energy density away from the interface is denoted as f_0 in Eq. (1). The second term on the RHS of Eq. (1) with the positive constant K is essential to model the excess free energy of the interface and the interfacial tension σ with respect to ϕ given [8] by

$$\sigma = \int d\xi K \left(\frac{d\phi}{d\xi} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where ξ is the coordinate normal to the interface. The marker function ϕ is updated by the following equation called the Cahn-Hilliard (CH) equation for incompressible flows:

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\phi = M \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta\phi} \right), \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the fluid velocity, $\delta/\delta\phi$ denotes the functional derivative, and M determines how fast the system transitions to the equilibrium state having zero net driving force by $\nabla(\delta F/\delta\phi)$.

As the capillary stress term $\sigma\kappa\boldsymbol{\xi}\delta(\xi)$ added to the NS equation [5], where κ is the interface curvature, $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ the unit normal vector to the interface, and δ the Dirac delta function, two different DI formulations exist in the literature. One formulation is proposed [9] as

$$-\phi\nabla\mu, \quad (4)$$

and the other [10, 11] is

$$\mu\nabla\phi, \quad (5)$$

where $\mu \equiv \delta F/\delta\phi$. The quantity μ is different from the chemical potential[‡] by $-K\nabla^2\phi$ [15]. These two apparently different formulations both have been widely used without much attention in the difference. In the present study, we show that for incompressible flows only the former of the two formulations can be generated from the free energy [Eq. (1)] by Onsager's variational principle [16, 17], representing the irreversible process in the fluid. This formulation [Eq. (4)] does not reproduce the Laplace pressure under non-zero interface curvature, meaning that it does not represent the capillary stress term. On the other hand, the latter formulation does represent the capillary stress term that is not related to the free energy variation in the system.

2. Stress formulation in the fluid under irreversible processes

We consider that the fluid is composed of multiple components and the temporal variation of the density of one component of interest is described by the mass

[‡] The quantity μ is often simply called the chemical potential [10, 12, 13], but it is not the true thermodynamic chemical potential, which is constant throughout the system in equilibrium [14].

conservation law

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_P \phi) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the velocity \mathbf{v}_P can be different from the fluid velocity \mathbf{u} . We assume that the fluid flow is incompressible, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. If we write $\mathbf{J} = (\mathbf{v}_P - \mathbf{u})\phi$, Eq. (6) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\phi) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}. \quad (7)$$

According to Onsager's variational principle [16, 17], which is a general framework to describe the irreversible processes [18], the fluid system should behave minimizing the Rayleighian R given by

$$R = \frac{1}{2}W + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - \int d\mathbf{r} p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}. \quad (8)$$

The last term on the RHS is the constraint for the incompressibility and p is the Lagrange multiplier. The energy dissipation W has two origins: one is the viscous motion of the fluid and the other is the relative motion between the fluid component and the background fluid. The former is written as

$$W_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \eta (\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T)^2 \quad (9)$$

and the latter we model [13] as

$$W_2 = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\mathbf{J}^2}{M}, \quad (10)$$

where η is the fluid viscosity and M is the reciprocal of the friction coefficient regarding \mathbf{J} . Taking the variation of R with respect to \mathbf{u} as zero, we obtain (See Appendix A for the complete derivation and Appendix B for the general equations for the multi-component systems)

$$\nabla \cdot \{ \eta [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T] \} - \nabla p - \phi \nabla \mu = 0, \quad (11)$$

and taking the variation of R with respect to \mathbf{J} results in

$$\mathbf{J} = -M \nabla \mu. \quad (12)$$

From Eqs. (7) and (12) we recover the CH equation [Eq. (3)] when M is a constant. Equation (11) is the equation of motion of the fluid with inhomogeneous ϕ , with the last term on the LHS being the interface related term. The unsteady and advection terms $D\mathbf{u}/Dt$ can be understood as the imbalance of the stress terms in Eq. (11) to form the full NS equation. Since the osmotic pressure Π is related to the free energy density [6] by

$$\nabla \Pi = \phi \nabla \left(\frac{df_0}{d\phi} \right), \quad (13)$$

the last term on the LHS of Eq. (11) is

$$-\phi \nabla \mu = -\nabla \Pi + K \phi \nabla \nabla^2 \phi, \quad (14)$$

which does not represent the capillary stress. Adding the Korteweg-type stress formula [2] written as

$$\mathbb{T} = \left[f_0(\phi) + \frac{K}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 - \phi\mu \right] \mathbb{I} - K\nabla\phi \otimes \nabla\phi \quad (15)$$

to the NS equation is in fact equivalent to the last term on the LHS of Eq. (11), since $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T} = -\phi\nabla\mu$.

It is reasonable that the capillary stress term, which does not represent non-equilibrium irreversible phenomena, is not generated from the free energy model by Onsager's variational principle.

3. Capillary stress formulation

The surface tension is inherent in the free energy, which can be exploited to deduce the capillary stress formulation. As pointed out at the end of Introduction, μ is not the chemical potential. It is in fact related to the surface tension and the interface curvature as we show in the following.

Suppose the interfacial unit normal $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ pointing to the direction of increasing ϕ , μ can be rewritten as

$$\mu = \frac{df_0}{d\phi} - K\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\xi^2} - K\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\xi}\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad (16)$$

since $\nabla\phi = (\partial\phi/\partial\xi)\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and $\nabla^2\phi = \partial^2\phi/\partial\xi^2 + (\partial\phi/\partial\xi)\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}$. Multiplying both sides of Eq. (16) by $\partial\phi/\partial\xi$ and integrating through the interface, for one-component systems we obtain

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\sigma\kappa}{\Delta\phi}, \quad (17)$$

where $(\tilde{\cdot})$ denotes the average in the interface, $\Delta\phi$ the difference of the bulk values of ϕ between two sides of the interface, and $\kappa = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}$. It should be noted that Eq. (17) is only true when the fluid is composed of one component (See Appendix B for details). In simplifying Eq. (17), we have assumed the homogeneous part of the free energy density f_0 is equal on both sides of the interface [19], as often modeled by the double-well potential in the form

$$\phi_0(\phi) \propto (\phi - \phi_{-\infty})^2(\phi - \phi_{+\infty})^2 \quad (18)$$

for $\phi = \phi_{\pm\infty}$ as the bulk region away from the interface. Using Eq. (17), we see

$$\tilde{\mu}\nabla\phi = \sigma\kappa\frac{\nabla\phi}{\Delta\phi}, \quad (19)$$

which is equivalent to the continuum surface force (CSF) model [20] to approximate the capillary stress term $\sigma\kappa\boldsymbol{\xi}\delta(\xi)$ in volume-of-fluid methods [5]. The LHS of Eq. (19) is not a part of irreversible dynamics generated from the free energy that minimizes the Rayleighian.

4. Conclusion

We have derived two different interfacial stress formulations from the diffuse interface free energy model by two different principles respectively. The two formulations have been both used as the capillary stress formulation in the literature, but we have shown that only one of the two represents the capillary stress in one-component fluids. The other formulation represents the fluid stress under the irreversible process, part of which represents the osmotic pressure.

Appendix A. Details on the equations of motion minimizing the Rayleighian

The temporal evolution of the system free energy, which is a part of the Rayleighian [Eq. (8)], can be written as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \int d\mathbf{r} \mu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$= - \int d\mathbf{r} \mu [\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\phi) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

considering Eq. (7) and denoting $\delta F/\delta \phi$ as μ . Since the convective velocity is not considered at this stage, all the fluxes are zero on the system boundaries, and Eq. (A.1) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \int d\mathbf{r} [(\mathbf{u}\phi) \cdot \nabla \mu + \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla \mu], \quad (\text{A.3})$$

by integrating by parts. Its variation with respect to \mathbf{u} is

$$\frac{\delta(\partial F/\partial t)}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = \phi \nabla \mu. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Together with the other terms in $\delta R/\delta \mathbf{u}$,

$$\frac{\delta(W_1/2)}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = -\nabla \cdot \{\eta [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T]\} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\frac{\delta(W_2/2)}{\delta \mathbf{u}} = 0 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$-\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \int d\mathbf{r} p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla p, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

the vanishing variation of R with respect to \mathbf{u} results in the equation of the fluid motion [Eq. (11)],

$$\nabla \cdot \{\eta [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T]\} - \nabla p - \phi \nabla \mu = 0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Similarly by taking $\delta R/\delta \mathbf{J} = 0$, we obtain

$$\nabla \mu = -\frac{\mathbf{J}}{M}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

There is a subtlety in evaluating the variation of the free energy evolution in the Rayleighian. When we begin with a different mass conservation equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

instead of Eq. (7), which are equivalent under $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, the capillary stress form

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = -\mu \nabla \phi \quad (\text{A.11})$$

is obtained [13]. However, as we pointed out in Sec. 2 it is not reasonable to obtain the capillary stress term as a part of irreversible dynamics, and we conclude that the more general form of the mass conservation equation [Eq. (7)] should be employed.

Appendix B. General equations for the multi-component systems

When we are interested in the density gradients of multiple components in the system, the free energy model should be generalized [15, 21] as

$$F = \int \text{d}\mathbf{r} \left[f_0(\phi) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{K_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \nabla \phi_\alpha \cdot \nabla \phi_\beta \right], \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $\phi = [\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots]^T$ is the vector of component densities. By applying Onsager's variational principle exactly as in Appendix A, the equation of the fluid motion that consists of multiple components is obtained as

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ \eta \left[\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right] \right\} - \nabla p - \sum_{\alpha} \phi_\alpha \nabla \mu_\alpha = 0, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and the mass conservation equation for each component α is

$$\frac{\partial \phi_\alpha}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi_\alpha = M_\alpha \nabla^2 \mu_\alpha. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

When there is only one component in the fluid, the RHS of Eq. (B.3) vanishes, and Eq. (B.2) reduces to Eq. (11).

For the multi-component systems with the free energy model by Eq. (B.1), the surface tension σ is given by

$$\sigma = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int \text{d}\xi K_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\text{d}\phi_\alpha}{\text{d}\xi} \frac{\text{d}\phi_\beta}{\text{d}\xi} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

instead of Eq. (2). Following the same procedure deriving Eq. (17), we see

$$\sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\mu}_\alpha \Delta \phi_\alpha = \sigma \kappa - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int \text{d}\xi \frac{K_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \frac{\partial \phi_\alpha}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_\beta}{\partial \xi^2}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

which is only useful when the second term on the RHS vanishes, but this condition is generally not satisfied.

References

- ¹R. Scardovelli and S. Zaleski, “Direct numerical simulation of free-surface and interfacial flow”, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **31**, 567–603 (1999).
- ²D. M. Anderson, G. B. McFadden, and A. A. Wheeler, “Diffuse-Interface Methods in Fluid Mechanics”, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **30**, 139–165 (1998).
- ³C. R. Anthony, H. Wee, V. Garg, S. S. Thete, P. M. Kamat, B. W. Wagoner, E. D. Wilkes, P. K. Notz, A. U. Chen, R. Suryo, K. Sambath, J. C. Panditaratne, Y.-C. Liao, and O. A. Basaran, “Sharp Interface Methods for Simulation and Analysis of Free Surface Flows with Singularities: Breakup and Coalescence”, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* **55**, 707–747 (2023).
- ⁴J. W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, “Free Energy of a Nonuniform System. I. Interfacial Free Energy”, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **28**, 258–267 (1958).
- ⁵G. Tryggvason, R. Scardovelli, and S. Zaleski, *Direct Numerical Simulations of Gas–Liquid Multiphase Flows* (Cambridge University Press, Oct. 2011).
- ⁶Masao Doi, *Soft Matter Physics* (OUP Oxford, Oxford, 2013).
- ⁷S. Stephan, K. Langenbach, and H. Hasse, “Interfacial properties of binary Lennard-Jones mixtures by molecular simulation and density gradient theory”, *Journal of Chemical Physics* **150**, 174704 (2019).
- ⁸J.-P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of simple liquids* (Elsevier, 2013).
- ⁹D. Jacqmin, “An energy approach to the continuum surface tension method”, *AIAA Paper* **96**, 0858 (1996).
- ¹⁰Chella and Viñals, “Mixing of a two-phase fluid by cavity flow.”, *Physical review. E, Statistical physics, plasma physics, and fluid dynamics* **63**, 046601 (2001).
- ¹¹T. Qian, X.-P. Wang, and P. Sheng, “Molecular scale contact line hydrodynamics of immiscible flows”, *Physical Review E* **68**, 016306 (2003).
- ¹²D. Jacqmin, “Calculation of Two-Phase Navier–Stokes Flows Using Phase-Field Modeling”, *Journal of Computational Physics* **155**, 96–127 (1999).
- ¹³T. Z. Qian, X. P. Wang, and P. Sheng, “A variational approach to moving contact line hydrodynamics”, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **564**, 333–360 (2006).
- ¹⁴B. Widom, “Structure of interfaces from uniformity of the chemical potential”, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **19**, 563–574 (1978).
- ¹⁵T. Omori and Y. Yamaguchi, “Molecular anatomy of the pressure anisotropy in the interface of one and two component fluids: Local thermodynamic description of the interfacial tension”, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **161**, 204704 (2024).
- ¹⁶L. Onsager, “Reciprocal Relations in Irreversible Processes. I.”, *Physical Review* **37**, 405–426 (1931).
- ¹⁷L. Onsager, “Reciprocal Relations in Irreversible Processes. II.”, *Physical Review* **38**, 2265–2279 (1931).
- ¹⁸M. Doi, “Onsager’s variational principle in soft matter”, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **23**, 28411 (2011).
- ¹⁹A. J. Bray, “Theory of phase-ordering kinetics”, *Advances in Physics* **43**, 357–459 (1994).

- ²⁰J. U. Brackbill, D. B. Kothe, and C. Zemach, “A continuum method for modeling surface tension”, *Journal of Computational Physics* **100**, 335–354 (1992).
- ²¹H. T. Davis and L. E. Scriven, “Stress and Structure in Fluid Interfaces”, in *Advances in Chemical Physics*, Vol. 49 (1982), pp. 357–454.