

Intra- and Inter-modal Context Interaction Modeling for Conversational Speech Synthesis

Zhenqi Jia
Inner Mongolia University
Hohhot, China
jiazhenqi7@163.com

Rui Liu*
Inner Mongolia University
Hohhot, China
liurui_imu@163.com

Abstract—Conversational Speech Synthesis (CSS) aims to effectively take the multimodal dialogue history (MDH) to generate speech with appropriate conversational prosody for target utterance. The key challenge of CSS is to model the interaction between the MDH and the target utterance. Note that text and speech modalities in MDH have their own unique influences, and they complement each other to produce a comprehensive impact on the target utterance. Previous works did not explicitly model such intra-modal and inter-modal interactions. To address this issue, we propose a new intra-modal and inter-modal context interaction scheme-based CSS system, termed I³-CSS. Specifically, in the training phase, we combine the MDH with the text and speech modalities in the target utterance to obtain four modal combinations, including Historical Text-Next Text, Historical Speech-Next Speech, Historical Text-Next Speech, and Historical Speech-Next Text. Then, we design two contrastive learning-based intra-modal and two inter-modal interaction modules to deeply learn the intra-modal and inter-modal context interaction. In the inference phase, we take MDH and adopt trained interaction modules to fully infer the speech prosody of the target utterance’s text content. Subjective and objective experiments on the DailyTalk dataset show that I³-CSS outperforms the advanced baselines in terms of prosody expressiveness. Code and speech samples are available at <https://github.com/AI-S2-Lab/I3CSS>.

Index Terms—Conversational Speech Synthesis, Contrastive Learning, Conversational Prosody, Intra-modal Interaction, Inter-modal Interaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Conversational Speech Synthesis (CSS) aims to take multimodal dialogue history (MDH) to generate speech with appropriate conversational prosody [1]. As Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) systems become increasingly prevalent in our daily lives, CSS has become a crucial component of intelligent interaction systems [2]–[4], playing an important role in areas such as virtual assistants, smart customer service, and smart home devices.

Traditional methods for modeling MDH in CSS can be divided into two categories: 1) RNN-based Dialogue History Modeling [1], [5]–[8]; 2) GNN-based Dialogue History Modeling [9]–[11]. Previous works often model the MDH independently, neglecting its interactions with the target utterance, as [6], [7], [9], [10]. We also note that some studies [11] combine the target utterance with the MDH to model their intrinsically complex relationship. However, the key of CSS is to model

the interaction between the MDH and the target utterance [12]. The above works fail to explicitly explore the deep interactions between the MDH and the target utterance, especially intra-modal and inter-modal interactions between them.

Text and speech modalities in MDH have their own unique influences, which complement each other to produce a comprehensive impact on the target utterance [13]–[16]. The prosodic style of the dialogue history conveys rich information beyond the text itself and can even alter the semantics of the target utterance, and different conversational prosody elicits responses with varying prosody [12], [17]. Similarly, the semantics of the dialogue history include not only the target utterance’s semantics but also the prosodic style. For example, in a conversation, someone might say, “I lost my pen.” If they speak in an agitated tone, the response could be defensive, such as “I didn’t take your pen,” whereas a sad tone might elicit comfort, with responses like “Let’s look for it together.” This demonstrates that the prosodic style of the dialogue history not only influences the prosody of the target utterance but also affects its semantics. Similarly, if the tone remains the same but the semantics differ, such as “Did you take my pen?” versus “Did you see my pen?”—despite the same tone, the former might provoke a defensive response like “Why would I take your pen?” while the latter may invite a more helpful response, such as “I haven’t seen it, but I can help you find it.” This shows that differences in conversational semantics also influence the semantics and prosody of the target utterance. Therefore, how to model the intra-modal and inter-modal interaction explicitly for CSS to improve speech expressiveness is the focus of this work.

To address this issue, we propose an Intra-modal and Inter-modal Context Interaction scheme-based CSS model, termed I³-CSS. Specifically, during the training phase, we design four interaction modules to model the intra-modal and inter-modal interactions between the MDH and the target utterance’s text and speech modalities, including Historical Text-Next Text, Historical Speech-Next Speech, Historical Text-Next Speech, and Historical Speech-Next Text. These four modules adopt a contrastive learning-based interaction enhancement mechanism to deeply learn the intra-modal and inter-modal context interactions within the MDH, aligning the semantics and prosody of the target utterance. In the inference phase, we take the MDH and the trained intra-modal and

* Corresponding Author.




Fig. 1. The overview of I³-CSS consists of Intra-modal Interaction Modules, Inter-modal Interaction Modules, Text Encoder, and Speech Synthesizer.

inter-modal interaction modules to fully infer the appropriate conversational prosody for the target utterance. In summary, the main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- We propose a novel I³-CSS model for MDH modeling. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first CSS system to explore intra-modal and inter-modal interactions between MDH and the target utterance.
- We introduce a contrastive learning-based interaction enhancement method to better learn semantics and prosody variations when modeling intra-modal and inter-modal interactions between MDH and the target utterance.
- Subjective and objective experimental results show that I³-CSS synthesizes speech with more appropriate conversational prosody and naturalness compared to the baseline models.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Model Overview

As shown in Fig. 1, the proposed I³-CSS consists of four components: 1) Intra-modal Interaction Modules, 2) Inter-modal Interaction Modules, 3) Text Encoder, 4) Speech Synthesizer. The Intra-modal Interaction Modules aim to infer interactive semantics from the text history and interactive prosody from the audio history. The Inter-modal Interaction Modules aim to infer complementary prosody from the text history and complementary semantics from the audio history. The Text Encoder extracts linguistic encodings for the target sentence. Then, the Speech Synthesizer adds these interactive features into the linguistic encodings to generate speech with appropriate conversational prosody.

B. Intra-modal Interaction Modules

As shown on the left side of Fig. 1, the Intra-modal Interaction Modules consist of the **H**istorical **T**ext-**N**ext **T**ext Interaction Module (**HT-NT**) and the **H**istorical **S**peech-**N**ext **S**peech Interaction Module (**HS-NS**), which are designed to learn the semantics and prosody of intra-modal interactions between the MDH and the target utterance.

HT-NT aims to learn the semantic interactions between the historical text and the next text, enabling the inference of the

target utterance’s semantics from the text dialogue history. Specifically, in the Historical Text Encoder, we input $t_{1 \rightarrow N-1}$ into pre-trained Sentence-BERT [18] to extract the semantic features of each sentence, then add the speaker embeddings to these semantic features to retain speaker identity, and then input these semantic features into a Bi-GRU to obtain the historical semantic features $H_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{hte}$. We apply interaction enhancement to $H_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{hte}$. Starting from H_2^{hte} , we use a cross-attention mechanism to interactively fuse the historical semantic features until obtaining the final intra-modal historical semantic interaction features $F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-intra}$. The Next Text Encoder uses the same structure as the Historical Text Encoder but takes $t_{2 \rightarrow N}$ as input to obtain single-sentence semantic features $H_{2 \rightarrow N}^{nte}$. To enable the inference of the next text’s semantics from the historical text, we design a contrastive learning (\mathcal{L}_{htnt}^{cl}) that aligns the historical semantics with the next text’s semantics. Specifically, the cosine similarities between $\{F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-intra}, F_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{t-intra}, \dots, F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-intra}\}$ and $\{H_2^{nte}, H_3^{nte}, \dots, H_N^{nte}\}$ are used to construct the prediction matrix M_p . The ground truth matrix M_{gt} is composed of 1 and -1, where the main diagonal elements are 1, and all other elements are -1. The loss function (\mathcal{L}_{htnt}^{cl}) constrains the module by minimizing the mean square error (MSE) between M_{gt} and M_p , enabling the module to accurately infer the target utterance’s semantics from the historical text’s intra-modal semantic interaction features ($F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-intra}$).

HS-NS aims to learn the prosody interactions between the historical speech and the next speech, enabling the inference of the target utterance’s prosody from the speech dialogue history. The structure of HS-NS is nearly identical to that of HT-NT, except that in the Historical Speech Encoder and the Next Speech Encoder, we use Wav2Vec 2.0 [19] fine-tuned on IEMOCAP [20] to extract sentence-level prosodic features. Specifically, we input $s_{1 \rightarrow N-1}$ into the Historical Speech Encoder to obtain the historical prosodic features $H_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{hse}$, then apply interaction enhancement to obtain the intra-modal historical prosody interaction features $\{F_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{s-intra}, F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-intra}, \dots, F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-intra}\}$. We input $s_{2 \rightarrow N}$ into the Next Speech Encoder to obtain single-sentence prosodic features $H_{2 \rightarrow N}^{nse}$. Finally, the module applies contrastive learning (\mathcal{L}_{hsns}^{cl}) enabling

it to infer the target utterance’ prosody from the historical speech’s intra-modal prosody interaction features ($F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-intra}$).

C. Inter-modal Interaction Modules

The Inter-modal Interaction Modules consists of the **Historical Text-Next Speech Interaction Module (HT-NS)** and the **Historical Speech-Next Text Interaction Module (HS-NT)**, which are used to learn the semantics and prosody inter-modal interactions between the MDH and the target utterance.

HT-NS aims to learn the interaction between the semantics of historical text and the prosody of the next speech, enabling the inference of the target utterance’s prosody from the semantics of the text dialogue history. Specifically, $t_{1 \rightarrow N-1}$ is input into the Historical Text Encoder and processed with interaction enhancement to extract the inter-modal historical semantic interaction features $\{F_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{t-inter}, F_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{t-inter}, \dots, F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-inter}\}$. $s_{2 \rightarrow N}$ is input into the Next Speech Encoder to extract the single-sentence prosodic features $H_{2 \rightarrow N}^{nse}$. Finally, through contrastive learning (\mathcal{L}_{htns}^{cl}), the module is constrained to infer the target utterance’ prosody from the historical text’ inter-modal semantic interaction features ($F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-inter}$).

HS-NT aims to learn the interactions between the prosody of historical speech and the semantics of the next text, enabling the inference of the target utterance’s semantics from the prosody of the speech dialogue history. Specifically, $s_{1 \rightarrow N-1}$ is input into the Historical Speech Encoder and processed with interaction enhancement to extract the inter-modal historical prosody interaction features $\{F_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{s-inter}, F_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{s-inter}, \dots, F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-inter}\}$. $t_{2 \rightarrow N}$ is then input into the Next Text Encoder to extract the single-sentence semantic features ($H_{2 \rightarrow N}^{nte}$). Finally, through contrastive learning (\mathcal{L}_{hsnt}^{cl}), the module is constrained to infer the target utterance’ semantics from the historical speech’s inter-modal prosody interaction features ($F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-inter}$).

D. Text Encoder

The Text Encoder aims to extract phoneme-level linguistic encodings for the target utterance. Specifically, we input t_N into G2P [21] to obtain a phoneme sequence and then use the TTS Encoder from FastSpeech2 [22] to extract the linguistic encodings P_{t_N} .

E. Speech Synthesizer

The Speech Synthesizer consists of the Feature Aggregator, the Acoustic Decoder, and the Vocoder. The Feature Aggregator adds the four interaction features $F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-intra}$, $F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-intra}$, $F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{t-inter}$, and $F_{1 \rightarrow N-1}^{s-inter}$ into the linguistic encodings P_{t_N} . The Acoustic Decoder includes a length regulator and a variance adapter to predict duration, energy, and pitch, followed by a mel-decoder to predict mel-spectrogram features. Finally, a pre-trained HiFi-GAN [23] vocoder is used to generate conversational speech.

F. Training and Inference

During the training phase, we input MDH and the text and audio modalities of the target utterance into the intra-modal and inter-modal interaction modules, and learn the intra-modal

and inter-modal interactions between MDH and the target utterance through a contrastive learning-based interaction enhancement mechanism. In the inference phase, we only input MDH into the trained interaction modules to fully infer the speech prosody of the target utterance’s text content.

III. EXPERIMENTS

A. Experimental Setup

We validate I³-CSS on the English dialogue dataset DailyTalk [5]. DailyTalk contains 2,541 dialogues performed by one male and one female speaker. The dataset includes 23,773 speech segments, totaling 20 hours. We split the data into training, validation, and test sets with a ratio of 8:1:1.

In I³-CSS, the intra-modal and inter-modal interaction modules share the weights of the historical text encoder, next text encoder, historical speech encoder, and next speech encoder. The historical text encoder and next text encoder use the same network structure, where the speaker embedding dimension is 512, the input channels of the bidirectional GRU are 512, and the output channels are 512. The bidirectional GRU concatenates the forward and backward hidden states into 1024 dimensions, which is then reduced to 256 dimensions through two linear layers. The historical speech encoder and next speech encoder also use the same network structure, with a speaker embedding dimension of 768, the bidirectional GRU input channels set to 768, and output channels set to 768. The bidirectional GRU concatenates the forward and backward hidden states into 1536 dimensions, which is then reduced to 256 dimensions through two linear layers. We use the Adam optimizer with $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.98$. We use the Grapheme-to-Phoneme (G2P) [21] toolkit to convert all text inputs into phoneme sequences for text processing. Montreal Forced Alignment (MFA) [24] is used to extract phoneme durations and perform alignment. All speech samples are resampled to 22.05 kHz, and Mel spectrogram features are extracted with a 25ms window length and 10ms hop length. The training of I³-CSS is conducted on a single A100 GPU with a batch size of 16 and 400k training steps.

B. Evaluation Metrics

For the subjective evaluation metrics, we organize a dialogue-level mean opinion score (DMOS) [25] listening test with 20 graduate students whose second language is English and provide all volunteers with professional training on the rules. We ask volunteers to first listen to the historical dialogue speech and then to the synthesized target speech to rate the Naturalness DMOS (N-DMOS) and Prosody DMOS (P-DMOS) on a scale of 1 to 5. Please note that N-DMOS focuses on quality and naturalness, while P-DMOS focuses on appropriate conversational prosody.

For the objective evaluation metrics, we calculate the mean absolute error (MAE) between the predicted and ground truth acoustic features to assess the prosody performance of the synthesized speech. Specifically, we use MAE-P, MAE-E, and MAE-D to evaluate the accuracy of pitch, energy, and duration predictions.

TABLE I

MAIN RESULTS. GREEN FONT MARKS AN IMPROVEMENT OVER THE BEST BASELINE. I³-CSS OUTPERFORMS ALL BASELINES WITH P-VALUE < 0.001.

Systems	N-DMOS (↑)	P-DMOS (↑)	MAE-P (↓)	MAE-E (↓)	MAE-D (↓)
DailyTalk [5]	3.620 ± 0.028	3.640 ± 0.029	0.530	0.467	0.204
M ² -CTTS [6]	3.683 ± 0.029	3.690 ± 0.029	0.543	0.380	0.146
CONCSS [7]	3.719 ± 0.028	3.752 ± 0.031	0.482	0.328	0.143
Homogeneous Graph-based CSS [10]	3.727 ± 0.028	3.726 ± 0.030	0.489	0.320	0.146
ECSS [11]	3.743 ± 0.028	3.772 ± 0.030	0.505	0.332	0.134
I³-CSS (Proposed)	3.864 ± 0.029 (+0.121)	3.876 ± 0.026 (+0.104)	0.450 (+0.032)	0.310 (+0.010)	0.129 (+0.005)

TABLE II

ABLATION RESULTS. “-” INDICATES THE REMOVAL OF A PARTICULAR MODULE, WHILE “✓” INDICATES ITS RETENTION.

Systems	Ablation Setup				Metrics				
	HT-NT	HS-NS	HT-NS	HS-NT	N-DMOS (↑)	P-DMOS (↑)	MAE-P (↓)	MAE-E (↓)	MAE-D (↓)
<i>Abl.Exp.1</i>	-	-	-	-	3.598 ± 0.027	3.615 ± 0.022	0.681	0.588	0.245
<i>Abl.Exp.2</i>	✓	-	-	-	3.755 ± 0.029	3.768 ± 0.034	0.475	0.318	0.132
<i>Abl.Exp.3</i>	-	✓	-	-	3.776 ± 0.025	3.788 ± 0.031	0.476	0.316	0.131
<i>Abl.Exp.4</i>	-	-	✓	-	3.781 ± 0.031	3.751 ± 0.026	0.475	0.323	0.131
<i>Abl.Exp.5</i>	-	-	-	✓	3.753 ± 0.029	3.757 ± 0.027	0.474	0.324	0.130
<i>Abl.Exp.6</i>	✓	✓	-	-	3.791 ± 0.029	3.820 ± 0.031	0.469	0.321	0.131
<i>Abl.Exp.7</i>	-	-	✓	✓	3.809 ± 0.028	3.794 ± 0.027	0.464	0.318	0.132
<i>Abl.Exp.8</i>	✓	-	✓	-	3.792 ± 0.028	3.817 ± 0.032	0.466	0.322	0.132
<i>Abl.Exp.9</i>	-	✓	-	✓	3.797 ± 0.030	3.802 ± 0.026	0.460	0.319	0.132
<i>Abl.Exp.10: w/o IE</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	3.752 ± 0.029	3.747 ± 0.028	0.470	0.324	0.131
I³-CSS (Proposed)	✓	✓	✓	✓	3.864 ± 0.029	3.876 ± 0.026	0.450	0.310	0.129

C. Comparative and Ablation Models

The comparison models are categorized into two groups: **1) RNN-based dialogue history modeling:** DailyTalk [5] models the independent dialogue history through a coarse-grained context encoder to enhance speech expressiveness. M²-CTTS [6] designs a multimodal, multiscale context encoder to model independent MDH to generate speech with appropriate prosody. CONCSS [7] increases the discriminability of independent MDH context through contrastive learning. **2) GNN-based dialogue history modeling:** Homogeneous Graph-based CSS [10] uses a homogeneous graph to model independent MDH, inferring speaking styles of the target utterance. ECSS [11] constructs a heterogeneous graph of the target utterance and MDH’s multi-source knowledge to predict emotions and synthesize emotionally expressive speech.

For the ablation models, Abl.Exp.1 represents the removal of all intra-modal and inter-modal interaction modules. Abl.Exp.2 to Abl.Exp.9 represents different combinations of HT-NT, HS-NS, HT-NS, and HS-NT, with detailed combinations shown in Table II. Abl.Exp.10 represents I³-CSS without the interaction enhancement (IE) mechanism.

D. Main Results

As shown in Table I, I³-CSS achieves the best overall performance compared to baselines. In terms of subjective metrics, I³-CSS outperforms all baselines in both N-DMOS (3.864) and P-DMOS (3.876). For the objective metrics, it also achieves the best results in MAE-P (0.450), MAE-E (0.310), and MAE-D (0.129). Statistical analysis indicates that I³-CSS significantly outperforms the baselines with a p-value less than 0.001. Experimental results show that by modeling the intra-modal and inter-modal interactions between the MDH and the target utterance, I³-CSS better captures the subtle semantics and prosody variations, enabling the generation of target speech with appropriate conversational prosody.

E. Ablation Results

As shown in Table II, removing different modules from I³-CSS leads to a decline in most subjective and objective metrics. Compared to Abl.Exp.1, Abl.Exp.2-5 show significant improvements in both subjective and objective metrics, indicating that adding the HT-NT, HS-NS, HT-NS, or HS-NT modules to model the intra-modal and inter-modal interactions in the MDH effectively enhances the prosody and naturalness of the generated speech. Abl.Exp.6-9 combine any two modules from HT-NT, HS-NS, HT-NS, or HS-NT, and achieve further improvements over Abl.Exp.2-5 in most metrics. This demonstrates that jointly modeling the semantics and prosody interactions in the MDH, while leveraging the complementarity between modalities, enhances the prediction of conversational prosody for the target utterance. In Abl.Exp.9, we remove the interaction enhancement mechanism, and compared to I³-CSS, most metrics show a notable decline, further proving the importance of interaction enhancement in capturing and integrating intra-modal and inter-modal interaction.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

To improve the capability of CSS systems in generating speech with appropriate conversational prosody, we propose a novel I³-CSS, which explicitly captures intra-modal and inter-modal interactions between multimodal dialogue history (MDH) and the target utterance, inferring semantics and prosody of the target utterance from the MDH to express appropriate conversational prosody. Experimental results demonstrate that I³-CSS significantly outperforms the advanced CSS systems in terms of prosody expressiveness. To our knowledge, I³-CSS is the first model to use intra-modal and inter-modal interaction modeling of MDH in CSS. We hope this research provides a new perspective for modeling MDH in CSS. In the future, we will model the interactions between multi-scale MDH and the target utterance, and further explore the finer-grained intra-modal and inter-modal interaction effects.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Guo, S. Zhang, F. K. Soong, L. He, and L. Xie, "Conversational end-to-end tts for voice agents," in *2021 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 403–409.
- [2] L. Zhou, J. Gao, D. Li, and H.-Y. Shum, "The design and implementation of xiaoice, an empathetic social chatbot," *Computational Linguistics*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 53–93, 2020.
- [3] K. Seaborn, N. P. Miyake, P. Pennefather, and M. Otake-Matsuura, "Voice in human-agent interaction: A survey," *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1–43, 2021.
- [4] M. McTear, *Conversational ai: Dialogue systems, conversational agents, and chatbots*. Springer Nature, 2022.
- [5] K. Lee, K. Park, and D. Kim, "Dailytalk: Spoken dialogue dataset for conversational text-to-speech," in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [6] J. Xue, Y. Deng, F. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Gao, J. Tao, J. Sun, and J. Liang, "M 2-c tts: End-to-end multi-scale multi-modal conversational text-to-speech synthesis," in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [7] Y. Deng, J. Xue, Y. Jia, Q. Li, Y. Han, F. Wang, Y. Gao, D. Ke, and Y. Li, "Concss: Contrastive-based context comprehension for dialogue-appropriate prosody in conversational speech synthesis," in *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 10 706–10 710.
- [8] R. Liu, Z. Jia, J. Yang, Y. Hu, and H. Li, "Emphasis rendering for conversational text-to-speech with multi-modal multi-scale context modeling," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.09524*, 2024.
- [9] J. Li, Y. Meng, C. Li, Z. Wu, H. Meng, C. Weng, and D. Su, "Enhancing speaking styles in conversational text-to-speech synthesis with graph-based multi-modal context modeling," in *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 7917–7921.
- [10] J. Li, Y. Meng, X. Wu, Z. Wu, J. Jia, H. Meng, Q. Tian, Y. Wang, and Y. Wang, "Inferring speaking styles from multi-modal conversational context by multi-scale relational graph convolutional networks," in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2022, pp. 5811–5820.
- [11] R. Liu, Y. Hu, Y. Ren, X. Yin, and H. Li, "Emotion rendering for conversational speech synthesis with heterogeneous graph-based context modeling," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 17, 2024, pp. 18 698–18 706.
- [12] G.-T. Lin, C.-H. Chiang, and H.-y. Lee, "Advancing large language models to capture varied speaking styles and respond properly in spoken conversations," in *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, L.-W. Ku, A. Martins, and V. Srikumar, Eds. Bangkok, Thailand: Association for Computational Linguistics, Aug. 2024, pp. 6626–6642. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/2024.acl-long.358>
- [13] B. Mocanu, R. Tapu, and T. Zaharia, "Multimodal emotion recognition using cross modal audio-video fusion with attention and deep metric learning," *Image and Vision Computing*, vol. 133, p. 104676, 2023.
- [14] X. Li, X. Zhao, J. Xu, Y. Zhang, and C. Xing, "Imf: interactive multimodal fusion model for link prediction," in *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, 2023, pp. 2572–2580.
- [15] Y. Mao, G. Liu, X. Wang, W. Gao, and X. Li, "DialogueTRM: Exploring multi-modal emotional dynamics in a conversation," in *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, M.-F. Moens, X. Huang, L. Specia, and S. W.-t. Yih, Eds. Punta Cana, Dominican Republic: Association for Computational Linguistics, Nov. 2021, pp. 2694–2704. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/2021.findings-emnlp.229>
- [16] T. Meng, F. Zhang, Y. Shou, H. Shao, W. Ai, and K. Li, "Masked graph learning with recurrent alignment for multimodal emotion recognition in conversation," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2024.
- [17] S. Castro, D. Hazarika, V. Pérez-Rosas, R. Zimmermann, R. Mihalcea, and S. Poria, "Towards multimodal sarcasm detection (An _Obviously_ Perfect Paper)," in *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, A. Korhonen, D. Traum, and L. Márquez, Eds. Florence, Italy: Association for Computational Linguistics, Jul. 2019, pp. 4619–4629. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/P19-1455>
- [18] N. Reimers and I. Gurevych, "Sentence-BERT: Sentence embeddings using Siamese BERT-networks," in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, K. Inui, J. Jiang, V. Ng, and X. Wan, Eds. Hong Kong, China: Association for Computational Linguistics, Nov. 2019, pp. 3982–3992. [Online]. Available: <https://aclanthology.org/D19-1410>
- [19] A. Baevski, Y. Zhou, A. Mohamed, and M. Auli, "wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 12 449–12 460, 2020.
- [20] C. Busso, M. Bulut, C.-C. Lee, A. Kazemzadeh, E. Mower, S. Kim, J. N. Chang, S. Lee, and S. S. Narayanan, "Iemocap: Interactive emotional dyadic motion capture database," *Language resources and evaluation*, vol. 42, pp. 335–359, 2008.
- [21] M. Bisani and H. Ney, "Joint-sequence models for grapheme-to-phoneme conversion," *Speech communication*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 434–451, 2008.
- [22] Y. Ren, C. Hu, X. Tan, T. Qin, S. Zhao, Z. Zhao, and T.-Y. Liu, "Fastspeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech," in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=piLPYqxtWuA>
- [23] J. Kong, J. Kim, and J. Bae, "Hifi-gan: Generative adversarial networks for efficient and high fidelity speech synthesis," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 17 022–17 033, 2020.
- [24] M. McAuliffe, M. Socolof, S. Mihuc, M. Wagner, and M. Sonderegger, "Montreal Forced Aligner: Trainable Text-Speech Alignment Using Kaldi," in *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, 2017, pp. 498–502.
- [25] R. C. Strejtl, S. Winkler, and D. S. Hands, "Mean opinion score (mos) revisited: methods and applications, limitations and alternatives," *Multimedia Systems*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 213–227, 2016.