

VERSHIK-KEROV IN HIGHER TIMES

ANDREI GREKOV, NIKITA NEKRASOV

ABSTRACT. Several generalizations of Vershik-Kerov limit shape problem are motivated by topological string theory and supersymmetric gauge theory instanton count. In this paper specifically we study the \hat{A}_r - and A_r -quiver type models (circular and linear quiver theories). We also briefly discuss the double-elliptic generalization of the Vershik-Kerov problem, related to six dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory compactified on a torus, and to elliptic cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of points on \mathbb{C}^2 . We prove that the limit shape in that setting is governed by a genus two algebraic curve, suggesting unexpected dualities between the enumerative and equivariant parameters.

In loving memory of Anatoly Moiseevich Vershik (1933-2024), with gratitude and admiration. Great mathematician and brave man, who lived through all kinds of historical periods, may he live in higher space and times.

1. INTRODUCTION

- *Partitions, arms, legs, hooks, contents and limit shapes.*

Recall that a partition λ of a non-negative integer $N = |\lambda|$ is a non-increasing sequence

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}) , \\ \lambda_i &\in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \ell(\lambda) \\ \lambda_1 &\geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(2) \quad |\lambda| = N = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} .$$

A pair (i, j) of integers, such that $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$, is called a box $\square = (i, j) \in \lambda$. Equivalently, $(i, j) \in \lambda$ iff $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_j^t$, where λ^t is called the *dual or transposed* partition. Obviously $|\lambda^t| = |\lambda|$, $\lambda_1^t = \ell(\lambda)$, $\lambda_1 = \ell(\lambda^t)$. Given a box \square one defines its *arm-length* a_\square , *leg-length* l_\square and *hook-length* h_\square via:

$$(3) \quad a_\square = \lambda_i - j, \quad l_\square = \lambda_j^t - i, \quad h_\square = a_\square + l_\square + 1$$

Given two complex numbers $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, the *refined content* of a box $\square = (i, j) \in \lambda$ is defined as

$$(4) \quad c_\square(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_1(i-1) + \varepsilon_2(j-1)$$

Given $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the *refined q -content* of $\square = (i, j)$ is defined as

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\square}(q_1, q_2) = q_1^{i-1} q_2^{j-1}$$

Finally, given an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the *refined elliptic content* of $\square = (i, j)$ is given by the same formula, but the right hand side of (5) is now viewed as an element of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

An empty partition, the only partition of $N = 0$, is denoted by \emptyset . The only partition $\lambda = (1)$ of $N = 1$ is sometimes denoted by \square . The set of all partitions of N is denoted by Λ_N , $p(N) := |\Lambda_N|$. Recall Euler's formula

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\phi(\mathfrak{q})} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} p(N) \mathfrak{q}^N,$$

where

$$(7) \quad \phi(\mathfrak{q}) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \mathfrak{q}^n)$$


• Fifty years ago, two groups of mathematicians: A. Vershik (1933-2024) and his student S. Kerov (1946-2000) [15] in USSR and B. Logan and L. Schepp [16] in USA, studied the large N asymptotics of the Plancherel measure on the set of irreducible representations R_λ of symmetric group $S(N)$, equivalently, on Λ_N :

$$(8) \quad \mu_N[\lambda] = \frac{(\dim R_\lambda)^2}{N!} = N! \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{1}{h_\square^2}$$

so that

$$(9) \quad 1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_N} \mu_N[\lambda]$$

They found that upon rescaling the linear size of λ by $\propto \sqrt{N}$, in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the boundary



of the set of boxes of λ rotated by 135 degrees approaches a piecewise smooth curve $f(x)$, called the *the arcsin law*, since the slope $f'(x)$, being equal to $\text{sign}\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{N}}\right)$ for $|x| \geq 2\sqrt{N}$, interpolates between -1 and $+1$ as

$\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{N}}\right)$ for $|x| < 2\sqrt{N}$. In a way, large random Young diagrams behave as random $N \times N$ matrices at large N . The limit shape problem [15, 16] (later improved by S. Kerov into a central limit theorem [31, 32]) admits a reformulation as a thermodynamic limit of a grand canonical ensemble, defined on the set Λ of all partitions λ :

$$(10) \quad \mu_{\Lambda; \hbar}[\lambda] = e^{-\frac{\Lambda^2}{\hbar^2}} \frac{\Lambda^{2|\lambda|}}{|\lambda|! \hbar^{2|\lambda|}} \mu_{|\lambda|}[\lambda]$$

In the thermodynamic limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the fugacity is sent to infinity. It follows from Stirling formula that the typical size $|\lambda|$ of partitions scales as $\sim (\Lambda/\hbar)^2$. It follows that if the linear size of λ is rescaled by \hbar , while keeping Λ finite, the range of the slope change for the limit shape (support of the second derivative $f^{(2)}$) becomes the interval $(-2\Lambda, 2\Lambda)$.

• *Instanton measure* The 1-parametric (the parameter being $(\Lambda : \hbar)$) family of measures (10) is at the intersection of two series of generalizations: a \hat{A}_r series, with $r \geq 0$, and a A_r series, with $r \geq 1$.

The A_1 -model is a 4-parametric family of measures (called the z -measures in [3]), cf. (4)

$$(11) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: m_1: m_2}^{A_1}[\lambda] = (1 - \mathbf{q})^{-\frac{m_+ m_-}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}} \mathbf{q}^{|\lambda|} \times \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{(-m_+ + \mathbf{c}_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))(m_- - \mathbf{c}_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))}{(-\varepsilon_1 l_{\square} + \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1))(\varepsilon_1(l_{\square} + 1) - \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{a}_{\square})}$$

It is easy to see, that

$$(12) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: m_+: m_-}^{A_1}[\lambda] = \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: m_-: m_+}^{A_1}[\lambda] = \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon_2: \varepsilon_1: m_+: m_-}^{A_1}[\lambda^t]$$

The normalization

$$(13) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: m_+: m_-}^{A_1}[\lambda] = 1$$

can be proven either using the Cauchy identity [21], or the techniques reviewed below.

The limit to (10) is to set $\varepsilon_1 = -\varepsilon_2 = \hbar$, and to send $m_+, m_- \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$, while keeping

$$(14) \quad \Lambda^2 = \mathbf{q} m_+ m_-$$

finite.

The \hat{A}_0 -model is a 3-parametric family of measures

$$(15) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: \varepsilon_3: \varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0}[\lambda] = \phi(\mathbf{q}) \frac{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \mathbf{q}^{|\lambda|} \times \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{(-\varepsilon_1 l_{\square} + \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1) + \varepsilon_3)(\varepsilon_1(l_{\square} + 1) - \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{a}_{\square} + \varepsilon_4)}{(-\varepsilon_1 l_{\square} + \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1))(\varepsilon_1(l_{\square} + 1) - \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{a}_{\square})}$$

where

$$(16) \quad \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 = 0$$

implying

$$(17) \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:\varepsilon_3:\varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0}[\lambda] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:\varepsilon_4:\varepsilon_3}^{\hat{A}_0}[\lambda] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_2:\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_3:\varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0}[\lambda^t]$$

The normalization

$$(18) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:\varepsilon_3:\varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0}[\lambda] = 1$$

is proven in [26] (it would be interesting to derive it from Cauchy identity as well [21]).

The limit to (10) is to set $\varepsilon_1 = -\varepsilon_2 = \hbar$, and to send $\varepsilon_3 \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathfrak{q} \rightarrow 0$, while keeping

$$(19) \quad \Lambda^2 = -\mathfrak{q}\varepsilon_3^2$$

finite.

- *Expectation values*

An *observable* is a function \mathcal{O} on

$$(20) \quad \Lambda = \amalg_{N \geq 0} \Lambda_N, \quad \mathcal{O} : \lambda \mapsto \mathcal{O}[\lambda]$$

For observable \mathcal{O} we define its *expectation value* as

$$(21) \quad \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:m_+:m_-}^{A_1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:m_+:m_-}^{A_1} \mathcal{O}[\lambda]$$

and

$$(22) \quad \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:\varepsilon_3:\varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathfrak{q};\varepsilon_1:\varepsilon_2:\varepsilon_3:\varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0} \mathcal{O}[\lambda]$$

- *Limit shapes* The limit shape problem for these generalizations is to study the asymptotics $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\dots}[\lambda]$ when $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ while keeping the parameters $\mathfrak{q}, m_+, m_-, \varepsilon_3$ finite.

- *Y-observables* A useful way to keep track of the shape of λ is by studying the expectation values of observables built out of the Y -observable, defined by

$$(23) \quad Y(x)[\lambda] = x \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{(x - c_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) - \varepsilon_1)(x - c_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) - \varepsilon_2)}{(x - c_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))(x - c_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) - \varepsilon)}$$


where

$$(24) \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$$

For example

$$(25) \quad Y(x)[\emptyset] = x, \quad Y(x)[\square] = \frac{(x - \varepsilon_1)(x - \varepsilon_2)}{x - \varepsilon}, \dots$$

An equivalent representation for the Y -observable is in terms of the addable and removable boxes [26],



$$(26) \quad Y(x)[\lambda] = \frac{\prod_{\blacksquare \in \partial_+ \lambda} (x - c_{\blacksquare}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))}{\prod_{\blacksquare \in \partial_+ \lambda} (x - \varepsilon - c_{\blacksquare}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))}$$

• *qq-characters* We see from (23), (25), (26) that the expectation values of $Y(x)$ or $Y(x')^{-1}$ have poles in x or x' . The remarkable fact [26] about the measures (11),(15) is that there is a combination of Y 's and Y^{-1} 's whose expectation value has no poles in x whatsoever: the simplest such combination, called a *fundamental qq-character* is, for A_1 -model (note a somewhat different normalization compared to [26]):

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{X}^{A_1}(x) = Y(x + \varepsilon) + \mathfrak{q} \frac{(x - m_+)(x - m_-)}{Y(x)}$$

and

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{X}^{\hat{A}_0}(x) = Y(x + \varepsilon) \times \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \mu_{\mathfrak{q}; \varepsilon_3: \varepsilon_4: \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2}[\nu] \prod_{\square \in \nu} \frac{Y(x + c_{\square}(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) - \varepsilon_3)Y(x + c_{\square}(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) - \varepsilon_4)}{Y(x + c_{\square}(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) + \varepsilon)Y(x + c_{\square}(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4))}$$

Note the change of order of the ε -parameters in the $\mu_{\mathfrak{q}; \varepsilon_3: \varepsilon_4: \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2}[\nu]$ measure in the sum over the *auxiliary* partition ν in (28).

• *Non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations* Using the large x asymptotics

$$(29) \quad Y(x)[\lambda] \rightarrow x + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 |\lambda|}{x} + o(x^{-1}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

and the absence of poles theorem [26, 27], one concludes:

$$(30) \quad \langle \mathcal{X}^{A_1}(x) \rangle_{\mathfrak{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: m_+: m_-}^{A_1} = x + u_1$$

$$(31) \quad \langle \mathcal{X}^{\hat{A}_0}(x) \rangle_{\mathfrak{q}; \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2: \varepsilon_3: \varepsilon_4}^{\hat{A}_0} = x + \hat{u}_0$$

with u_1, \hat{u}_0 some constants in x , computable with the help of (29) and other tricks [26]. The DS equations are obtained by expanding $\mathcal{X}(x)$ in x at infinity and setting to zero the expectation values of the observables, defined as the coefficients of the above expansion at the negative powers of x .

• *Seiberg-Witten curves* The limit shape problem is simplified enormously by the following statement (standard, but not proven in this paper): expectation values of Y -observables factorize in the $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ limit. Thus, in this limit, the expectation values

$$(32) \quad y(x) = \langle Y(x) \rangle$$

obey, in the A_1 case:

$$(33) \quad y + \mathfrak{q} \frac{(x - m_+)(x - m_-)}{y} = (1 + \mathfrak{q})(x + u_1),$$

giving a simple rational curve relating $y(x)$ to x . The value of u_1 ,

$$(34) \quad u_1 = -\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{1 + \mathfrak{q}}(m_+ + m_-)$$

can be computed either from the large x expansion (29) in the limit $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$, or, more systematically, by computing the period of the *Seiberg-Witten differential*

$$(35) \quad dS = x \frac{dy}{y}, \quad \oint_{\infty} dS = 0$$

Note the multi-valuedness of $y(x)$, a ubiquitous feature of the limit shapes: for finite $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ the expectation value (32) is a meromorphic function with poles and zeroes, but in the limit $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ these condense, forming a cut. In the A_1 -model this is simply a cut of a 2 : 1 cover of the x -plane, described by (33)¹.

In the \hat{A}_0 -case the structure of y -cuts is much more complicated. The so-called physical sheet where $y(x) \sim x + o(1)$, at $x \rightarrow \infty$, contains one cut. The analogue of (33) reads as:

$$(37) \quad \chi(x) := y(x) \phi(\mathfrak{q}) \times \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{q}^{|\nu|} \prod_{\square \in \nu} \frac{y(x + c_{\square}(m, -m) - m)y(x + c_{\square}(m, -m) + m)}{y^2(x + c_{\square}(m, -m))} = x + \hat{u}_0$$

where we denoted $\varepsilon_3 = m$. The solution of this equation produces not just one, but two interesting analytic curves, the *spectral curve* and the *cameral curve* (cf. [29]). The way to resolve (37) is to use the analogue of Jacobi identity [11]:

$$(38) \quad \sum_{M \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^M z^M \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{M(M-1)}{2}} \chi(x - Mm) = y(x) \phi(\mathfrak{q}) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - z^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{q}^i \frac{y_i(x)}{y_{i-1}(x)}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - z \cdot \mathfrak{q}^i \frac{y_{-i-1}(x)}{y_{-i}(x)}\right)$$

¹It is sometimes useful to represent (33) in the ancient Greek parametrization:

$$(36) \quad y = (x - m_+)w, \quad x = m_+ \frac{w}{w - \mathfrak{q}} - m_- \frac{1}{w - 1}$$

$$dS = d(x + m_+ \log(x - m_+)) + m_- \frac{dw}{w} + m_+ \frac{dw}{w - \mathfrak{q}} - m_- \frac{dw}{w - 1}$$

where we use the temporary short-hand notation $y_i(x) := y(x + m \cdot i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denoting the left hand side of (38) by $R(z, x)$ we define the *spectral curve* $\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ as the zero locus

$$(39) \quad R(z, x) = 0$$

From the definition (38) it follows

$$(40) \quad -zR(z\mathfrak{q}, x - m) = R(z, x)$$

that $\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ is \mathbb{Z} -invariant: $(x, z) \mapsto (x - m, \mathfrak{q}z)$. Let π_x, π_z denote the natural projections

$$(41) \quad \pi_x : \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_x, \quad \pi_z : \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_z^\times,$$

e.g. for $p = (x, z) \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$, $\pi_x(p) = x, \pi_z(p) = z$. On the one hand, (38) gives a very explicit parametrization of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -cover of the elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}^\times/\mathfrak{q}^\mathbb{Z}$, $(x, z) \mapsto (z\mathfrak{q}^\mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, the right hand side of (38) implies that the fibers of π_x are the branches $\mathcal{Z}_n(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, of the analytic continuation of

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{Z}_n(x) = \mathfrak{q}^n \cdot \mathcal{Z}_0(x + m \cdot n), \quad \mathcal{Z}_0(x) = \frac{y(x)}{y(x - m)}$$

The branch $\mathcal{Z}_n(x)$ approaches \mathfrak{q}^n when $x \rightarrow \infty$. Also, for fixed x , $\mathcal{Z}_n(x)\mathfrak{q}^{-n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In order to find the complete analytic continuation of $y(x)$, we need to study the *cameral curve*. The theory of finite cameral covers has been developed in [14]. It will be partly reviewed in the section devoted to the A_r -models. In our problem, however, we need a more sophisticated notion, a *semi-infinite spectral curve* $\mathcal{C}_{\frac{\infty}{2}}^{\text{spec}}$:

$$(43) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\frac{\infty}{2}}^{\text{spec}} \subset (\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}} \times_{\mathbb{C}_x} \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}} \times_{\mathbb{C}_x} \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}} \times_{\mathbb{C}_x} \dots) / S(\infty)$$

We define it, set theoretically, as the set of equivalence classes of sequences $\mathbf{p} = (p_n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $p_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$, such that:

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad & \pi_x(p_n) = \pi_x(p_0) \text{ for all } n > 0, \\ \text{ii)} \quad & \pi_z(p_n)\mathfrak{q}^{-n} \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

modulo an equivalence relation: $(p_n) \sim (p'_n)$ if there is a finite permutation $\sigma \in S(N)$ for some $N \geq 0$, such that

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} p'_n &= p_n, \quad n \geq N \\ p'_n &= p_{\sigma(n)}, \quad n < N \end{aligned}$$

We denote the group of such equivalences by $S(\infty)$. The analytic continuation of $y(x)$ is the function Y on $\mathcal{C}_{\frac{\infty}{2}}^{\text{spec}}$, defined as:

$$(46) \quad Y(\mathbf{p}) = \text{Lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left(\prod_{n=0}^N \pi_z(p_n) \right) \mathfrak{q}^{-\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} (x - N\varepsilon_3) \right\}$$

In the main body of the paper we shall give another, more constructive definition of the cameral curve and its semi-infinite quotient $\mathcal{C}_{\frac{\infty}{2}}^{\text{spec}}$.

- *Higher times*

There is a natural generalization, studied in [20, 30, 10],

$$(47) \quad \mu_{\Lambda; \mathbf{t}; \hbar}[\lambda] = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k \mathbf{p}_k[\lambda]} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{(\Lambda/\hbar)^2}{h_{\square}^2}$$

of the limit shape problem, with formal chemical potentials (t_k) , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ for the generalized Casimirs: the observables \mathbf{p}_k on Λ given by the regularized powersums of $\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}$, cf. [34]

$$(48) \quad \mathbf{p}_k[\lambda] = \frac{2^{-k} - 1}{k + 1} B_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} (\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2})^k - (-i + \frac{1}{2})^k .$$

For example,

$$(49) \quad \mathbf{p}_1[\lambda] = |\lambda| - \frac{1}{24}, \quad \mathbf{p}_2[\lambda] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \lambda_i (\lambda_i + 1 - 2i) .$$

1.1. The scope of this paper. In this note we study several generalizations of (10), (47) and their limit shapes. All of them are motivated by the studies of supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions [24, 25], and by topological string theory [20]. Specifically, we study \hat{A}_r -models, and the A_r -models. The A_r -model can be obtained as a limit of \hat{A}_{r+1} model, but it is methodologically useful to discuss it separately.

These models are the ensembles of several partitions $\lambda^{(i)}$, $i = 0, \dots, r$, interacting with each other in a cyclic/linear fashion, $\lambda^{(i)} \leftrightarrow \lambda^{(i+1)}$, such that in the cyclic \hat{A}_r case $\lambda^{(r+1)} = \lambda^{(0)}$, while in the linear A_r case $\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda^{(r+1)} = \emptyset$. The dynamics is governed by the (complex, in general) probability measure $\mu_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon}[\lambda]$,

$$(50) \quad \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4), \quad \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 = 0,$$

which we introduce in the next section.

The main goal of this paper is the analysis of the limit $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ shape and the emergent Whitham [18] dynamics.

1.2. Organization of the paper. The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2 we introduce the \hat{A}_r -model, the measure $\mu_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon}^{\hat{A}_r}[\lambda]$. Through the suitable limit of the \hat{A}_{r+1} -model we define the A_r -model, the measure $\mu_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, m_{\pm}, \varepsilon}^{A_r}[\lambda]$. We recall the definition of Y -observables, qq -characters, and their limits, the q -characters and characters. Using the noncommutative Jacobi identity we proved in [11] we organize the suitably (θ) -transformed characters into an infinite product in section 3. We study this product in section 4 in the limit $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$. We first do it on the small phase space, defined as the locus $\mathbf{t} = 0$. The analysis brings us the so-called *spectral curve*, encoding some information about the limit shape of the ensemble of partitions λ . We find that in order to construct the complete analytic

continuation of the Y -observables $y_i(x)$, $i = 0, \dots, r$, one needs to work with the *cameral curve*. In the second part of section 4 we turn back on the times \mathbf{t} and find the deformation of the limit shape using I. Krichever's ideas. In section 5 we briefly discuss the limit shape problem for the elliptic cohomology version of the \hat{A}_0 -model on the small phase space. Section 6 presents our conclusions.

1.3. Acknowledgements. We have benefited from patient explanations of I. Krichever (who is in fact the co-author of the section 4) and A. Okounkov. Research is partly supported by NSF PHY Award 2310279.

2. MEASURE AND OBSERVABLES

In this section, we recall the setup of the \hat{A}_r -model [29]. It is a rank one case of the gauge origami model [28] associated with the orbifold group \mathbb{Z}_{r+1} acting on the \mathbb{C}_{34}^2 space. For the uninitiated, we introduce it below.

- *The parameters.* Start by fixing ε as in (50).

The \hat{A}_r -model depends on $2(r+1)$ parameters $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{q}_i, a_i)_{i=0}^r$, and $r+1$ formal functions $\mathbf{t} = (\tau_i(x))_{i=0}^r$,

$$(51) \quad \tau_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau_{i,k} \frac{x^k}{k!}, \quad i = 0, \dots, r,$$

which we extend to all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(52) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{i+r+1} &= \mathbf{q}_i, \quad a_{i+r+1} = a_i - \varepsilon_3, \\ \tau_{i+r+1}(x) &= \tau_i(x + \varepsilon_3) \end{aligned}$$

The random variables are the $r+1$ -periodic collections $(\lambda^{(i)})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$,

$$(53) \quad \lambda^{(i+r+1)} = \lambda^{(i)},$$

of partitions $\lambda^{(i)} = (\lambda_i^{(i)})$.

- *The \hat{A}_r -measure.* Here is the expression for the measure:

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, \varepsilon}^{\hat{A}_r}[\boldsymbol{\lambda}] &= \prod_{i=0}^r \left(\mathbf{q}_i^{|\lambda^{(i)}|} \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(i)}} e^{\pi(a_i + c_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))} \right) \times \\ &\prod_{i=0}^r \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(i)}} \frac{\left(a_i - a_{i+1} + \varepsilon_1(\lambda_j^{(i)t} + 1 - i) + \varepsilon_2(j - \lambda_i^{(i+1)}) \right)}{(\varepsilon_1(l_{\square} + 1) - \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{a}_{\square})} \times \\ &\prod_{i=0}^r \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(i)}} \frac{\left(a_{i-1} - a_i + \varepsilon_1(i - \lambda_j^{(i)t}) + \varepsilon_2(\lambda_i^{(i-1)} + 1 - j) \right)}{-\varepsilon_1 l_{\square} + \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can view (54) as the statistical ensemble of a spin chain-type, with $r+1$ spins organized along a circle, interacting via nearest-neighbour interaction, with the spins being the partitions $\lambda^{(i)}$. The reader might be familiar with

the Heisenberg spin chain, based on the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 . One can actually modify (54) by the additional orbifold in the \mathbb{C}_{12}^2 directions, replacing the sum over the infinite set Λ^{r+1} by that over a finite set of 2^{r+1} elements, making it into a version of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ spin chain with $r+1$ spins. We are not going to discuss this model in this paper though.

Define $z_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ through:

$$(55) \quad \mathbf{q}_i = \frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \quad z_{i+r+1} = \mathbf{q} z_i$$

where

$$(56) \quad \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_0 \mathbf{q}_1 \dots \mathbf{q}_r$$

• *Remarks.* There is some redundancy in the parametrization of (54). First of all, the effective fugacity for the sizes $|\lambda^{(i)}|$ of random partitions is

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{q}_i e^{\tau_{i,0}}$$

There is however a difference in that we view \mathbf{q}_i as complex numbers of absolute value less than 1, while $\tau_{i,k}$, $k \geq 0$, are formal variables, i.e. we work over a ring

$$(58) \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{C}[\tau_{i,k}] / \tau_{i,k}^{N_{i,k}}$$

with sufficiently large $N_{i,k}$.

Secondly, the *Coulomb parameters* $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ enter the measure (54) in a way invariant under the overall shift $(a_i) \mapsto (a_i + \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and the linear redefinition of the couplings $\tau_{i,k}$ associated with the argument shift $\tau_i(x) \mapsto \tau_i(x - \alpha)$. Likewise, the parameters $z_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ are defined up to an overall multiplicative shift $z_i \mapsto z_i \lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The space of gauge couplings of the \hat{A}_r -theory can be therefore identified with a coordinate patch in $\mathcal{M}_{1,r+1}$ -the moduli space of $r+1$ points on a genus one curve $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathbf{q}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where the sequences $z_{i+(r+1)p}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $r+1$ points $(z_0), (z_1), \dots, (z_r)$. This picture is in agreement with the general view on $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities proposed in [6].

• *Times and times.* Introduce the power series in x , $\mathbf{t}_i(x)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ as solutions to the difference-functional equation:

$$(59) \quad \mathbf{t}_{i-1}(x) - 2\mathbf{t}_i(x) + \mathbf{t}_{i+1}(x) = \log(\mathbf{q}_i) + \tau_i(x)$$

together with the quasiperiodicity (52)

$$(60) \quad \mathbf{t}_{i+r+1}(x) = \mathbf{t}_i(x + \varepsilon_3) .$$

• *The A_r -measure.* Take the \hat{A}_{r+1} -model, and take the limit $\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{q}_{r+1} \rightarrow 0$. In this limit the only configurations with non-zero measure have $\lambda^{(0)} = \lambda^{(r+1)} = \emptyset$. It is therefore meaningless to keep τ_0, τ_{r+1} , so we set these parameters to zero. Traditionally, we denote $a_0 = m_-, a_{r+1} = m_+ + \varepsilon$, so

that (54) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (61) \quad \mu_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, m_+, m_-, \varepsilon}^{A_r}[\boldsymbol{\lambda}] &= \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\mathfrak{q}_i^{|\lambda^{(i)}|} \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(i)}} e^{\tau_i(a_i + \mathbf{c}_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))} \right) \times \\
 &\quad \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(i)}} \frac{\left(a_i - a_{i+1} + \varepsilon_1(\lambda_j^{(i)t} + 1 - i) + \varepsilon_2(j - \lambda_i^{(i+1)}) \right)}{(\varepsilon_1(l_{\square} + 1) - \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{a}_{\square})} \times \\
 &\quad \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(r)}} \frac{(a_r + \mathbf{c}_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) - m_+)}{(\varepsilon_1(l_{\square} + 1) - \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{a}_{\square})} \times \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(1)}} \frac{(m_- - a_1 - \mathbf{c}_{\square}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))}{-\varepsilon_1 l_{\square} + \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1)} \\
 &\quad \prod_{i=2}^r \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \lambda^{(i)}} \frac{\left(a_{i-1} - a_i + \varepsilon_1(i - \lambda_j^{(i)t}) + \varepsilon_2(\lambda_i^{(i-1)} + 1 - j) \right)}{-\varepsilon_1 l_{\square} + \varepsilon_2(\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

• *Y-observables for the A_r and \hat{A}_r -models.* Using the Y -observables (26) we define:

$$(62) \quad Y_i(x)[\boldsymbol{\lambda}] := Y(x - a_i)[\lambda^{(i)}]$$

In the \hat{A}_r -case, thanks to (52) we have the quasiperiodicity

$$(63) \quad Y_{i+r+1}(x) = Y_i(x + \varepsilon_3)$$

In other words, the $Y_i(x)$ -observable captures the shape of the i 'th partition from the set $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of random partitions in the \hat{A}_r or A_r -ensemble. We have the asymptotics:

$$(64) \quad Y_i(x) = x - a_i + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 k_i}{x} + o(x^{-2}), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

where k_i is the observable, the i 'th *instanton charge*

$$(65) \quad k_i[\boldsymbol{\lambda}] = |\lambda^{(i)}|$$

• *qq-characters: \hat{A}_r -model* The i 'th *fundamental qq-character* of the (\mathbf{t} -deformed) \hat{A}_r -model is given by the (\mathbf{t} -deformed) formula [26, 28]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (66) \quad \mathfrak{X}_i^{\hat{A}_r}(x) &= Y_i(x + \varepsilon) \times \\
 &\quad \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \hat{m}_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \varepsilon_3; \varepsilon_4; \varepsilon_1; \varepsilon_2}^{(r)}[\nu] \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \nu} \frac{Y_{i+i-j-1}(x + \varepsilon(1-j)) Y_{i+i-j+1}(x + \varepsilon(2-j))}{Y_{i+i-j}(x + \varepsilon(1-j)) Y_{i+i-j}(x + \varepsilon(2-j))}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the *unnormalized* measure is given by:

$$(67) \quad \hat{m}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}; \varepsilon_3: \varepsilon_4: \varepsilon_1: \varepsilon_2}^{(r)}[\nu] = \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \nu} \mathbf{q}_{i+i-j} e^{\tau_{i+i-j}(x+\varepsilon(1-j))} \times \\ \prod_{\square \in \nu, h_{\square} \equiv 0(r+1)} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}{(\tilde{\varepsilon}_3 h_{\square} + \varepsilon \mathbf{a}_{\square}) (\tilde{\varepsilon}_3 h_{\square} + \varepsilon (\mathbf{a}_{\square} + 1))} \right)$$

with

$$(68) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_3 = \frac{\varepsilon_3}{r+1}$$

The main theorem [27] about (66) states that the expectation values of the qq -characters have no poles in x . In the limit $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ this becomes a system of analytic (algebraic in power series expansion in \mathbf{q}_i 's) relations between the multi-valued analytic functions

$$(69) \quad y_i(x) = \langle Y_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}; \varepsilon}^{\hat{A}_r},$$

and the *characters* [29]

$$(70) \quad \chi_i(x) = \frac{\langle \mathcal{X}_i^{\hat{A}_r}(x) \rangle_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}; \varepsilon}^{\hat{A}_r}}{\langle 1 \rangle_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}; \varepsilon}^{\hat{A}_r}} \Big|_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} \\ = y_i(x) \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \nu} \mathbf{q}_{i+i-j} e^{\tau_{i+i-j}(x)} \frac{y_{i+i-j-1}(x)}{y_{i+i-j}(x)} \frac{y_{i+i-j+1}(x)}{y_{i+i-j}(x)},$$

which are the entire functions of x . For future use, define the *generalized eigenvalues*

$$(71) \quad \mathcal{Z}_i(x) := z_i e^{\xi_i(x)} \frac{y_i(x)}{y_{i-1}(x)}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

obeying the twisted periodicity

$$(72) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{i+r+1}(x) = \mathbf{q} \mathcal{Z}_i(x + \varepsilon_3),$$

where the formal² functions $\xi_i(x)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, are related to $\mathbf{t}_i(x)$ via

$$(73) \quad \xi_i(x) = \mathbf{t}_i(x) - \mathbf{t}_{i-1}(x) - \log(\mathbf{q}) \frac{x}{\varepsilon_3} - \log(z_i)$$

As a consequence, the ξ_i 's solve the first-order difference equations

$$(74) \quad \xi_{i+1}(x) - \xi_i(x) = \tau_i(x), \quad .$$

and obey the familiar quasiperiodicity

$$(75) \quad \xi_{i+r+1}(x) = \xi_i(x + \varepsilon_3) .$$

²The word "formal" here means a power series in x whose coefficients are linear in the formal variables $\tau_{i,k}$.

• *qq-characters: A_r -model* The i 'th *fundamental qq-character* of the (\mathbf{t} -deformed) A_r -model is given by the (\mathbf{t} -deformed) formula [26, 28], which is obtained from (66) for the \hat{A}_{r+1} -model by specifying $\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{q}_{r+1} = 0$: for $i = 1, \dots, r$:

$$(76) \quad \mathcal{X}_i^{A_r}(x) = Y_i(x + \varepsilon) \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda_{i,r}} \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \nu} \mathbf{q}_{i+i-j} e^{\tau_{i+i-j}(x+\varepsilon(1-j))} \\ \times \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \nu} \frac{Y_{i+i-j-1}(x + \varepsilon(1-j)) Y_{i+i-j+1}(x + \varepsilon(2-j))}{Y_{i+i-j}(x + \varepsilon(1-j)) Y_{i+i-j}(x + \varepsilon(2-j))}$$

where $\Lambda_{i,r}$ is a finite set of partitions ν , such that for every $\square = (i, j) \in \nu$, $0 < i + i - j \leq r$, equivalently the Young diagram of ν fits a $i(r + 1 - i)$ rectangle:

$$(77) \quad \nu_1^t \leq r + 1 - i, \quad \nu_1 \leq i$$

There is a bijection between $\Lambda_{i,r}$ and the set of all cardinality i subsets of the set $\{1, \dots, r + 1\}$:

$$(78) \quad \Lambda_{i,r} = \{I \mid |I| = i, I = \{\iota_1, \dots, \iota_i\} \subset \{1, \dots, r + 1\}\}$$

$$(79) \quad \iota_j = \nu_j^t - j + i + 1, \quad r + 1 \geq \iota_1 > \iota_2 > \dots > \iota_i \geq 1$$

The limit $\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{q}_{r+1} \rightarrow 0$ suggests to keep $z_1, \dots, z_{r+1} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ finite, with

$$(80) \quad z_i = 0, \quad i > r + 1, \quad z_i = \infty, \quad i < 0$$

parametrizing a coordinate patch in $\mathcal{M}_{0,r+3}$, in agreement with [6]. In the $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ limit the i 'th character of the A_r -model simplifies,

$$(81) \quad \chi_i(x) = \frac{\left\langle \mathcal{X}_i^{A_r}(x) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, m_{\pm}, \varepsilon}^{A_r}}{\left\langle 1 \right\rangle_{\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{a}, m_{\pm}, \varepsilon}^{A_r}} \Big|_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0}$$

so that

$$(82) \quad \prod_{j=1}^i z_j e^{\xi_j(x)} \chi_i(x) = y_0(x) e_i(\mathcal{L}_1(x), \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1}(x))$$

is $y_0(x) = x - m_-$ times the i 'th elementary symmetric function of $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1}$, defined in

3. PRODUCT FORMULAS

To solve the inverse problem, i.e. recovering y_i 's from χ_i 's define the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ matrix \mathbf{Y} , cf. (59):

$$(83) \quad \mathbf{Y}_{i,j} = y_{i-j}(x) e^{\mathbf{t}_{i-j}(x)}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

The significance of (83) is [11]:

$$(84) \quad \chi_i(x) e^{\mathbf{t}_i(x)} = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \mathcal{X}_\nu \left[{}^{(i)}\mathbf{Y} \right]$$

where

$$(85) \quad (i) \mathbf{Y}_{a,b} = \mathbf{Y}_{a+i,b}$$

3.1. Affine \hat{A}_r -case. Now we can use the generalized Jacobi identity [11] and the notation (71) to prove the identity relating two convergent power series in \mathfrak{q} over \mathcal{R} :

$$(86) \quad \sum_{M \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_M(x) (-z)^{-M} \mathcal{Q}_M e^{\Xi_M(x)} = \\ = (-z)^{-i} y_i(x) \prod_{l > i} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{Z}_l(x)}{z} \right) \prod_{l \leq i} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\mathcal{Z}_l(x)} \right),$$

for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call the left hand side of (86) the θ -transform of affine characters χ_i . Let us unpack (86): there is an indeterminate z , we defined the z_i 's in (55), and $\mathcal{Q}_M, \Xi_M(x)$ are given by (cf. (73))³:

$$(88) \quad \Xi_M(x) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{i=M+1}^0 \xi_i(x) & , & M < 0 \\ 0 & , & M = 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^M \xi_i(x) & , & M > 0 \end{cases}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_M = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=M+1}^0 z_i^{-1} & , & M < 0 \\ 1 & , & M = 0 \\ \prod_{i=1}^M z_i & , & M > 0 \end{cases},$$

so that

$$(89) \quad \mathcal{Q}_M e^{\Xi_M(x)} = e^{\mathbf{t}_M(x) - \mathbf{t}_0(x)} \mathfrak{q}^{-\frac{Mx}{\varepsilon_3}}.$$

Using (55), we can simplify, for $i = 0, \dots, r, p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(90) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{i+p(r+1)} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^i z_j \right) (z_*^{r+1})^p \mathfrak{q}^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}},$$

where the ‘‘center-of-mass’’ is defined via

$$(91) \quad z_*^{r+1} = \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} z_j.$$

For example

$$(92) \quad \mathcal{Q}_0 = 1, \quad \mathcal{Q}_1 = z_1, \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 = z_1 z_2, \quad \dots, \quad \mathcal{Q}_r = z_*^{r+1} z_{r+1}^{-1}$$

³In convenient notation of A. Givental,

$$(87) \quad \mathcal{Q}_M = \frac{\prod_{-\infty}^M z_i}{\prod_{-\infty}^0 z_i}, \quad \Xi_M(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^M \xi_i(x) - \sum_{-\infty}^0 \xi_i(x)$$

3.2. Finite A_r -case. Using (82) the identity (86) becomes the Vieta's theorem for the *spectral polynomial*

$$(93) \quad y_0(x) \prod_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{r+1} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathbf{a}}(x)}{z} \right) = \sum_{M=0}^{r+1} (-z)^{-M} \chi_M(x) \prod_{\mathbf{a}=1}^M z_{\mathbf{a}} e^{\psi_{\mathbf{a}}(x)}$$

with $\chi_0(x) = y_0(x)$, $\chi_{r+1}(x) = y_{r+1}(x) = x - m_+$.

4. THE LIMIT SHAPE

We shall now address the question of the limit shape. From (64) we know the asymptotics of $y_i(x)$'s on the physical sheet (we shall explain below in more detail, what are the sheets of the analytic continuations of $\langle Y_i(x) \rangle$'s):

$$(94) \quad y_i(x) = x - a_i + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

This asymptotics implies the following expansion of $\mathcal{Z}_i(x)$ near $x = \infty$: in the \hat{A}_r -case:

$$(95) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{i+p(r+1)}(x) \rightarrow z_i \mathbf{q}^p \left(1 + \frac{a_{i-1} - a_i}{x} + \dots \right) \cdot \exp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_{i,k} (x + \varepsilon_3 p)^k, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, e.g. $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, and \dots stand for x^{-1} times a power series in x^{-1} , and in the A_r -case:

$$(96) \quad \mathcal{Z}_i(x) \rightarrow z_i \left(1 + \frac{a_{i-1} - a_i}{x} + \dots \right) \cdot \exp \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_{i,k} x^k, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

4.1. Small phase space. We start with the *small phase space* problem, $\tau_i(x) = 0$, $\xi_i(x) = 0$, in which case the problem of expressing y_i 's through χ_i 's is simple enough. First of all, the absence of poles and the large x asymptotics (64) implies that

$$(97) \quad \chi_i(x) = \vartheta_i(\mathbf{q}) \left(x + \frac{i}{r+1} \varepsilon_3 + \hat{u}_i(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{a}) \right),$$

with

$$(98) \quad \vartheta_i(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu_1^i} \frac{z_{i+\nu_j^i - j + 1}}{z_{i-j+1}} = \vartheta_{i+r+1}(\mathbf{q})$$

in the \hat{A}_r -case, and

$$(99) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(x) = \frac{e_{\mathbf{a}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r+1})}{z_1 \dots z_{\mathbf{a}}} (x + u_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{a})), \quad \mathbf{a} = 1, \dots, r+1$$

in the A_r -case. Here \hat{u}_i , u_i are some constants (in x) obeying $\hat{u}_{i+r+1} = \hat{u}_i$ in the affine \hat{A}_r -case, and $u_0 = -m_-$, $u_{r+1} = -m_+$ in the A_r -case. Then, the θ -transform of affine characters can be computed explicitly, giving the identity:

$$(100) \quad \mathbf{P}(z, x) = \Theta(z; \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{R}(z, x)$$

for the product

$$(101) \quad \mathbf{P}(z, x) := y_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}_i(x)}{z}\right) \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\mathcal{L}_{-i}(x)}\right)$$

where

$$(102) \quad \Theta(z; \mathbf{q}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z_i}{z}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_{1-i}}\right)$$

can be expressed in terms of the theta function (160), and⁴

$$(104) \quad \mathbf{R}(z, x) = x - \mathbf{a} + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (a_i - a_{i-1}) \zeta(z/z_i)$$

where

$$(105) \quad \mathbf{a} = \frac{a_{r+1} + a_0}{2} = a_0 - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}$$

and

$$(106) \quad \zeta(z) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^{p-1}}{z - \mathbf{q}^{p-1}} + \frac{z}{z - \mathbf{q}^{-p}} \right),$$

$$\zeta(z) = -\zeta(z^{-1}), \quad \zeta(\mathbf{q}z) = \zeta(z) - 1$$

It is obvious from the definition (102) that

$$(107) \quad \Theta(\mathbf{q}z; \mathbf{q}) = \left(-\frac{z_*}{\mathbf{q}z}\right)^{r+1} \Theta(z; \mathbf{q}),$$

while from (106) we deduce the invariance of \mathbf{R} under the \mathbb{Z} -action

$$(108) \quad \mathbf{R}(x - \varepsilon_3, \mathbf{q}z) = \mathbf{R}(x, z)$$

Thus, $\Theta_{\mathbf{q}} := \Theta(z; \mathbf{q})$ is a holomorphic section of degree $r + 1$ line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{q}}$ over $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{q}}$, vanishing at the $r + 1$ points $(z_0), (z_1), \dots, (z_r)$. Such section is unique up to a multiplicative constant. The set of gauge couplings of \hat{A}_r -theory is therefore identified with the space of pairs $(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{q}}, [\Theta_{\mathbf{q}}] \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{q}}))$.

• *Remark.* From now on we shall use the redundancy in definition of z_i 's to set

$$(109) \quad z_* = 1$$

⁴We use that every integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be uniquely represented as

$$(103) \quad i = i + p(r + 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, r + 1, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $p \geq 0$ for $i > 0$, and $p < 0$ for $i \leq 0$.

4.2. Spectral curve. We thus have the following **Theorem**: for the \hat{A}_r -model the analytic continuations of $\mathcal{X}_i(x)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined by (71), are the branches of the *spectral curve*

$$(110) \quad x = \mathbf{a} + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (a_{i-1} - a_i) \zeta(z/z_i) .$$

The moments of the limit shape, i.e. the coefficients $ch_{i,k}$ of the large x expansion

$$(111) \quad y_i(x) = x \exp - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{kx^k} ch_{i,k}$$

can be extracted from the periods

$$(112) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_i} x^k \frac{dz}{z}$$

around the small circles $C_i = \{z \mid |z - z_i| = \delta \downarrow 0\}$.

To get to the A_r -case we take the $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$ limit of the (110) with r replaced by $r + 1$, while keeping z_1, \dots, z_{r+1} finite. Alternatively, we can directly compute both sides of the identity (93) with $\psi_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$. Either way we get:

$$(113) \quad x = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{z_i(a_{i-1} - a_i)}{z - z_i}$$

The differential

$$(114) \quad dS := x \frac{dz}{z} = dz \sum_{\mathbf{a}=0}^{r+2} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}}{z - z_{\mathbf{a}}}$$

with $z_0 = \infty$, $z_{r+2} = 0$, $p_{r+2} = a_{r+1}$, $p_i = a_{i-1} - a_i$,

$$(115) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{a}=0} p_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$$

encodes the Coulomb parameters as periods around z_i 's, and $ch_{i,k}$'s are again computed from the periods of $x^{k-1}dS$.

4.3. Cameral curve(s). Despite the fact that the spectral curve implicitly contains all the information about the limit shape, it might be useful to have a more specific characterization of the analytic continuation of $y_i(x)$.

It is instructive to start the discussion in the A_r -case. The curve $\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ defined by the Eq. (113) is rational, with the map π_x being $r + 1 : 1$, as can be easily seen by converting the Eq. (113) into a degree $r + 1$ polynomial

$$(116) \quad P(z, x) = \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (z - z_i) \left(x - a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{z_i(a_i - a_{i-1})}{z - z_i} \right)$$

in z with coefficients linear in x . The Eq. (99) can be interpreted as equations for $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{a}}(x)$:

$$(117) \quad e_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1}) = e_{\mathbf{a}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r+1}) \frac{x + u_{\mathbf{a}}}{x - m_-}, \quad \mathbf{a} = 1, \dots, r + 1$$

Define the *cameral* curve \mathcal{C}^{cam} as the curve in $(\mathbb{CP}^1_{\mathcal{L}})^{r+1} \times \mathbb{CP}^1_x$ defined by $r + 1$ equations (117). By design, this curve is invariant under the action of the symmetric group $S(r + 1)$, permuting $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{a}}$'s. We can define many curves by taking the quotients of \mathcal{C}^{cam} by subgroups of $S(r + 1)$. For example, the spectral curve $\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ is a quotient of \mathcal{C}^{cam} by the action of $S(r)$ permuting $\mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1}$. Knowing $z = \mathcal{L}_1$ we can reconstruct the (analytic continuation of) $y_1(x)$, by

$$(118) \quad y_1(x) = y_0(x)z$$

Define the i 'th fundamental spectral curve $\mathcal{C}_i^{\text{spec}}$ with $\mathcal{C}_1^{\text{spec}} = \mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ as a quotient of \mathcal{C}^{cam} by the action of $S(i) \times S(r + 1 - i)$ permuting $(\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_i)$, and $(\mathcal{L}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1})$ separately⁵. For example, for $i = 1$, we would get

$$(120) \quad (1 - \sigma_1 z)y_1 z^r R_r(z^{-1}) = -P(z, x)$$

A way to solve this equation is to note that $u = \sigma_1^{-1}$ is a root of $P(z, x)$ in z , $P(u, x) = 0$. Given such u , y_1 can be computed as

$$(121) \quad y_1(u, x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\infty} \frac{dz}{z^{r+1}} \frac{P(z, x)}{1 - z/u} = y_0(x)u$$

In other words, the Riemann surface of $y_1(x)$ is the algebraic curve

$$(122) \quad P\left(\frac{y_1}{x - m_-}, x\right) = 0$$

which we already know. For $i > 1$, we need a more general construction. In fact, we present two constructions, one is explicitly algebraic, another is more analytic in nature (and has the advantage of being generalizable to the affine case).

⁵The i 'th spectral curve encodes $y_i(x)$ via

$$(119) \quad y_i(x) = y_0(x)\sigma_i^{-1}$$

- (1) Given a polynomial $P(z, x)$ in z and x , of degrees $r + 1$ and N , respectively, define⁶ i polynomials $P_a^{(i)}$, $a = 1, \dots, i$, in $i + 1$ variables $(\boldsymbol{\tau}, x) = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_i, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_a^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, x) &= \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^i \frac{e_{i-a}(z_{1,k}, \dots, z_{k-1,k}, z_{k+1,k}, \dots, z_{i,k})}{\prod_{j \neq k} (z_k - z_j)} P(z_k, x) \\
 z_{n,m} &= z_n - z_m, \\
 (124) \quad \sum_{a=0}^i w^a P_a^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\text{around zeroes of } T(z)} dz P(z, x) \frac{T(z+w)}{T(z)} \\
 T(z) &:= \prod_{l=1}^i (z - z_l) = z^i - \tau_1 z^{i-1} + \tau_2 z^{i-2} + \dots + (-1)^i \tau_i, \\
 \tau_m &= e_m(z_1, \dots, z_i), \quad m = 1, \dots, i
 \end{aligned}$$

of multi-degrees $(r + 2 - a, [\frac{r+2-a}{2}], \dots, [\frac{r+2-a}{i}], N)$ in $(\boldsymbol{\tau}, x)$.

The i 'th fundamental spectral curve is given by i polynomial equations in $i + 1$ variable:

$$(125) \quad P_a^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, x) = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots, i$$

The analytic continuation of $y_i(x)$ is the function y_i on $\mathcal{C}_i^{\text{spec}}$ given by

$$(126) \quad y_i = \tau_i y_0(x),$$

- (2) Let us reformulate the above construction. Given $r + 1$ variables $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ introduce the $S(i) \times S(r + 1 - i)$ -invariants:

$\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{r+1-i}$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials of $\mathcal{L}_1^{-1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1-i}^{-1}$, and

τ_1, \dots, τ_i are the elementary symmetric polynomials of $\mathcal{L}_{r+2-i}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{r+1}$. The curve $\mathcal{C}_i^{\text{spec}}$ is described by $r + 2$ equations on $r + 2$ variables

$$(y_i; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r+1-i}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_i)$$

⁶For example, define three polynomials $P_a^{(3)}$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ in four variables $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, x$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{\tau}; x) &= P(z_1, x) + P(z_2, x) + P(z_3, x), \\
 P_2^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{\tau}; x) &= \frac{P(z_1, x) - P(z_2, x)}{z_1 - z_2} + \frac{P(z_1, x) - P(z_3, x)}{z_1 - z_3} + \frac{P(z_2, x) - P(z_3, x)}{z_2 - z_3}, \\
 P_3^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{\tau}; x) &= \frac{\frac{P(z_1, x) - P(z_2, x)}{z_1 - z_2} - \frac{P(z_1, x) - P(z_3, x)}{z_1 - z_3}}{z_2 - z_3} = \frac{P(z_1, x)}{z_{12}z_{13}} + \frac{P(z_2, x)}{z_{21}z_{23}} + \frac{P(z_3, x)}{z_{31}z_{32}}, \\
 \tau_1 &= z_1 + z_2 + z_3, \quad \tau_2 = z_1z_2 + z_1z_3 + z_2z_3, \quad \tau_3 = z_1z_2z_3,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{123}$$

over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_x^1$, obtained by equating the coefficients of z^{-l} , $l = 0, \dots, r+1$ on both sides of the equation below:

$$(127) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_+(z; \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \cdot y_i \cdot \Phi_-(z; \boldsymbol{\tau}) &= (-z)^{-i} \mathbf{P}(z, x), \\ \Phi_+(z; \boldsymbol{\sigma}) &= 1 - z\sigma_1 + \dots + (-z)^{r+1-i} \sigma_{r+1-i}, \\ \Phi_-(z; \boldsymbol{\tau}) &= 1 - z^{-1}\tau_1 + \dots + (-z)^{-i} \tau_i \end{aligned}$$

Now let us recast the factorization problem (127) in a geometric way. Let γ be a closed contour on \mathbb{C}_z^\times separating $z = 0$ and the zeroes of Φ_- from $z = \infty$ and the zeroes of Φ_+ . Then it is easy to see that the arguments of Φ_+ and Φ_- are single-valued on γ ,

$$(128) \quad \oint_{\gamma} d\log \Phi_+ = \oint_{\gamma} d\log \Phi_- = 0$$

Hence

$$(129) \quad \oint_{\gamma} \log \Phi_+ \frac{dz}{z} = \log \Phi_+(0) = \oint_{\gamma} d\log \Phi_- \frac{dz}{z} = \log \Phi_-(\infty) = 0$$

and

$$(130) \quad y_i(x, \gamma) = \exp \oint_{\gamma} \log [(-z)^{-i} \mathbf{P}(z, x)] \frac{dz}{z}$$

is well-defined. Let

$$(131) \quad Z_x = \text{the set of zeroes of } \mathbf{P}(\cdot, x), \quad \mathcal{D}_x = \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus Z_x$$

The points of $\mathcal{C}_i^{\text{spec}}$ are the equivalence classes of the pairs (x, γ) , where $(x, \gamma) \sim (x', \gamma')$ iff $x = x'$ and γ is homologous to γ' on \mathcal{D}_x . More formally,

$$(132) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_i^{\text{spec}} &= \left\{ (x, [\gamma]) \mid [\gamma] \in H_1(\mathcal{D}_x, \mathbb{Z}) \cap \omega^\perp \right\}, \\ \omega &= d\log [(-z)^{-i} \mathbf{P}(z, x)] \in H^1(\mathcal{D}_x, 2\pi i \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

In this description the fact that $\mathcal{C}_i^{\text{spec}}$ is algebraic is not obvious. The advantage is its applicability to the \bar{A}_r -case.

The \hat{A}_r -case can be now understood in a similar fashion, except that the projection π_x from the curve defined by the Eq. (110) is $\infty : 1$. We model the fibers of the projection π_x on \mathbb{Z} . The i 'th spectral curve is modeled on the quotient $S(\mathbb{Z})/S(\{n \mid n \leq i\}) \times S(\{n \mid n > i\})$. To make this a tangible definition we use the formulae (132), (130) with $\mathbf{P}(z, x)$ given by (101), (100). Now there are infinitely many choices for the contour γ , so the i 'th fundamental spectral curve is analytic, but most likely not algebraic.

4.4. Turning on the higher times. Now let us turn the times back on. The Eqs. (86),(93) hold, but we cannot use them efficiently, as we only know the characters $\chi_i(x)$ are entire functions, but we cannot effectively control them because of the essential singularity at $x = \infty$ due to the $e^{\psi_i(x)}$ factors.

The seemingly hopeless situation is saved by the following observation. The times \mathbf{t} are formal parameters. The curves (110) or (113) can be compactified to rational curves sitting in $\mathbb{CP}_x^1 \times \mathcal{E}_q$ or $\mathbb{CP}_x^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_z^1$, respectively. The deformation of the problem by the higher times deforms these curves, preserving their general structure. In the \hat{A}_r -case we expect the curve $\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}$ given by (110) to deform to $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$

$$(133) \quad x = \mathbf{A} + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (A_{i-1} - A_i) \zeta_Q(z/Z_i)$$

with $\mathbf{A} = A_0 - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}$, $\mathbf{A} = (A_i)$, $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ obeying

$$(134) \quad A_{i+r+1} = A_i - \varepsilon_3, \quad Z_{i+r+1} = QZ_i$$

with ζ_Q given by the Eq. (106) with q replaced by Q . We can impose the center-of-mass normalization on Z_i 's:

$$(135) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} Z_i = 1,$$

mimicking (109). By adding the points $p_i = (x, z)_i = (\infty, Z_i) \in \mathbb{CP}_x^1 \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$ we get the curve $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$ with \mathbb{Z} -action.

In the A_r -case the curve (113) deforms to $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$ given by

$$(136) \quad x = m_- + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{Z_i(A_{i-1} - A_i)}{z - Z_i},$$

$$x \frac{dz}{z} = dz \left(\frac{m_+}{z} + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{A_{i-1} - A_i}{z - Z_i} \right)$$

with $A_{r+1} = m_+$, $A_0 = m_-$ undeformed. By adding the points $p_i = (x, z)_i = (\infty, Z_i) \in \mathbb{CP}_x^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_z^1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, as well as $p_0 = (m_-, \infty)$ and $p_{r+2} = (m_+, 0)$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$ we get the curve $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$.

The deformed data \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Z} is to be found from the requirement: there is an entire function \mathcal{L} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$ with the asymptotics given by (95) near $z \rightarrow Z_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, in the \hat{A}_r -case, and by (96) near $z \rightarrow Z_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, in the A_r -case.

To find such a function and to find the constraints on \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{Z} we introduce a sequence of meromorphic functions $\Omega_{i,k}$, $k \geq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, on $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$, such that:

- (1) $\Omega_{i,k}$ is holomorphic outside $z = Z_i Q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, in the \hat{A}_r -case, and outside $z = Z_i$ in the A_r -case.

(2) Near $z = Z_i Q^p$, $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $\Omega_{i,k}$ has the Laurent expansion:

$$(137) \quad \Omega_{i,k} = (x + p\varepsilon_3)^k + p\varpi_{i,k} + o(x^{-1})$$

where $\varpi_{i,k}$ are some constants, in the \hat{A}_r -case; and has the Laurent expansion:

$$(138) \quad \Omega_{i,k} = x^k + o(x^{-1})$$

near $z = Z_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, in the A_r -case.

For example, in the A_r -case:

$$(139) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{i,1}(z) &= \frac{A_i - A_{i-1}}{z/Z_i - 1} + m_+ + \sum_{j \neq i}^{r+1} \frac{A_j - A_{j-1}}{Z_i/Z_j - 1}, \\ \Omega_{i,2}(z) &= \Omega_{i,1}(z)^2 - 2(A_i - A_{i-1}) Z_i \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(A_j - A_{j-1}) Z_j}{(Z_i - Z_j)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using

$$(140) \quad \zeta_Q(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} + \frac{1}{2} + \sigma_1(Q)(z-1) + \dots, \quad z \rightarrow 1$$

where

$$(141) \quad \sigma_1(Q) := -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Q^n}{(1-Q^n)^2}$$

we compute in the \hat{A}_r -case:

$$(142) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{i,1}(z) &= (A_{i-1} - A_i) \zeta_Q(z/Z_i) + \omega_i, \\ \omega_i &= \mathbf{A} + \sum_{j \neq i} (A_{j-1} - A_j) \zeta_Q(Z_i/Z_j), \\ \varpi_{i,1} &= A_i - A_{i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(143) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{i,2}(z) &= (A_{i-1} - A_i)^2 (\wp_Q(z/Z_i) + 3\sigma_1(Q)) \\ &\quad + 2(A_{i-1} - A_i) \omega_i \zeta_Q(z/Z_i) \\ &\quad - 2(A_i - A_{i-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} (A_j - A_{j-1}) \wp_Q(Z_i/Z_j), \\ \varpi_{i,2} &= 2(A_i - A_{i-1}) \omega_i \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(144) \quad \begin{aligned} \wp_Q(z) &= -z \frac{d}{dz} \zeta_Q(z) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z Q^p}{(z - Q^p)^2}, \\ \wp_Q(z) &= \wp_Q(z^{-1}) = \wp_Q(Qz). \end{aligned}$$

The existence and uniqueness of the series of functions $\Omega_{i,k}(z)$ and constants $\varpi_{i,k}$ is easy to establish.

Now define the function on $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}^{\text{spec}}}$

$$(145) \quad \mathcal{Z} = z \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \xi_{i,k} \Omega_{i,k}(z),$$

regular outside $Z_1, \dots, Z_{r+1} (\times Q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in the \hat{A}_r -case). Viewed as a multi-valued function of x , it has:

(1) in the A_r -case, $r+1$ branches near $x = \infty$:

$$(146) \quad \mathcal{Z}_i(x) = Z_i \left(1 + \frac{A_i - A_{i-1}}{x} + O(x^{-2}) \right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i,k} x^k \right) + O(x^{-1})$$

which we identify with

$$(147) \quad z_i \frac{y_i(x)}{y_{i-1}(x)} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i,k} x^k \right);$$

(2) in the \hat{A}_r -case, an infinite set of branches near $x = \infty$, labelled by (i, p) , $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, or simply by $i = i + p(r+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(148) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{i,p}(x) = Z_i Q^p \left(1 + \frac{A_i - A_{i-1}}{x} + O(x^{-2}) \right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i,k} (x + \varepsilon_3 p)^k \right) + O(x^{-1})$$

which we identify with

$$(149) \quad z_i q^p \frac{y_i(x + \varepsilon_3 p)}{y_{i-1}(x + \varepsilon_3 p)} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i,k} (x + \varepsilon_3 p)^k \right);$$

It remains to fix the *string* [18] equations needed to fix the $2r+3$ ($2r+4$) parameters A_i, Z_i (and Q) in the A_r (\hat{A}_r)-cases. These are obtained by matching the x^0, x^{-1} terms in the large x expansions of (145) and (147), (149):

$$(150) \quad z_i = Z_i \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_{j,k} \Omega_{j,k}(Z_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, r+1$$

and

$$(151) \quad a_i - a_{i-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_i} x \frac{d\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{Z}} \\ = A_i - A_{i-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\xi_{i,k} \tilde{\Omega}_{i,k} - (A_i - A_{i-1}) \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_{j,k} Z_i \Omega'_{j,k}(Z_i) \right),$$

where

$$(152) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{i,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_i} x d\Omega_{i,k}$$

In the A_r case we supplement (151) by

$$(153) \quad A_0 = a_0, \quad A_{r+2} = a_{r+2},$$

4.5. Whitham hierarchy. The Eqs. (150), (151) produce implicit solution to the infinite-dimensional dispersionless hierarchy of commuting flows on the space of curves (136), (133), which carries the symplectic structure

$$(154) \quad \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} dp_i \wedge \frac{dZ_i}{Z_i}$$

which should be viewed as the result of symplectic reduction of $(T^*\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r+1}$ by the diagonal \mathbb{C}^\times -action. The commutativity is obvious from the construction, as in the original (microscopic) problem the times $t_{i,k}$ could be moved independently. The flows are actually Hamiltonian in agreement with the general construction [18] of I. Krichever. The special nature of our hierarchy (it is a generalization of dispersionless Toda lattice found in [20, 30]) is its microscopic origin. We shall present the details of the Hamiltonian structure of our hierarchy elsewhere.

5. HIGHER SPACES: ELLIPTIC COHOMOLOGY

There is yet another generalization of Vershik-Kerov problem, motivated [1] by the studies of six dimensional gauge theory compactified on a two dimensional torus T^2 . The theory depends on the choice of Euclidean metric on T^2 and a choice of B -field on T^2 , which are conventionally packaged in two complex moduli, σ and ρ . The σ -modulus parametrizes the complex structure of T^2 while ρ captures the area, measured in units of inverse squared gauge coupling and the period of the B -field. Essentially the ρ parameter becomes the complexified gauge coupling of the four dimensional gauge theory, so that the instanton counting parameter is

$$(155) \quad \mathfrak{q} = e^{2\pi i \rho}$$

The σ parameter is encoded in

$$(156) \quad \mathfrak{p} = e^{2\pi i \sigma}$$

As a complex manifold, T^2 is identified with the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. As gauge theories in dimension higher than four require ultraviolet completion, let us start with the IIB superstring background. Ten dimensional string background consists of the total space \mathcal{Y} of a direct sum of four holomorphic degree zero line bundles L_a over T^2 , viewed as the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{C}^\times / \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The bundles L_a are parametrized by the points $q_a \in \text{Jac}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \approx \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and are required to obey

$$(157) \quad L_1 \otimes L_2 \otimes L_3 \otimes L_4 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \quad q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4 = 1$$

We denote by $\underline{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$ the ordered 4-tuple of points on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which sum up to 1 in the sense of the group law on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The IIB closed string

background is characterized by \underline{q} up to an $S(4)$ -permutation. The transformations of \underline{q}

$$(158) \quad q_a \mapsto q_a \mathfrak{p}^{n_a}, \quad n_a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

with $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = 0$, are the isometries of \mathcal{Y} . Thus, \mathcal{Y} is characterized by the $SU(4)$ flat connection $[\underline{q}]$, i.e. \underline{q} modulo (158) and the $S(4)$ -permutations.

In addition, our theory includes a $D5$ -brane, wrapping the total space of the rank two vector bundle $L_1 \oplus L_2$ over $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Its low energy configurations are described by a $U(1)$ vector multiplet of $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetry, containing four real scalars, describing sections of the line bundles L_3 and L_4 . The q_3, q_4 twist parameters effectively make these scalars (and their $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superpartners) massive.

In summary, our theory is characterized by a choice of two elliptic curves $\mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and what looks like a semi-stable holomorphic $S(GL(2) \times GL(2))$ -bundle on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e. a quadruple \underline{q} obeying (157) modulo the equivalence relation (158) and the $S(2) \times S(2)$ permutations. As we shall see below the intricate structure of anomalies of the six dimensional theory leads to a more interesting geometry of the space of parameters.

The measures (10),(47) depend on the geometry of λ in rational way. The elliptic generalizations result from calculations of various twisted elliptic genera, χ_y -genera etc. of the Hilbert scheme of N points on \mathbb{C}^2 , a.k.a. the moduli space of $U(1)$ instantons on noncommutative \mathbb{R}^4 , which is a particular case of the twisted Witten index of a $\mathcal{N} = (1, 1)$ supersymmetric theory in six dimensions, compactified on a circle. According to the [1] program (see also [19, 4]), the measure (15) is generalized to the equivariant localization of elliptic genus, given by

$$(159) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{q}; \underline{q}; \mathfrak{p}}[\lambda] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}; \underline{q}; \mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{q}^{|\lambda|} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \Upsilon \left(q_1^{-|\square|} q_2^{a_{\square}+1}; q_{12}, q_3; \mathfrak{p} \right)$$

where the Υ -function is defined through the odd theta

$$(160) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta(z; \mathfrak{p}) &= -\vartheta(z^{-1}; \mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{r - \frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{p}^{\frac{r^2}{2}} z^r = z^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathfrak{p}^{\frac{1}{8}} \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \varphi_+(z; \mathfrak{p}) \varphi_-(z; \mathfrak{p}), \\ \varphi_+(z; \mathfrak{q}) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \mathfrak{q}^n z), \quad \varphi_-(z; \mathfrak{q}) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \mathfrak{q}^n z^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

via

$$(161) \quad \Upsilon(z; t_1, t_2; \mathfrak{p}) = \frac{\vartheta(t_2 z; \mathfrak{p}) \vartheta(t_1 t_2 z^{-1}; \mathfrak{p})}{\vartheta(z; \mathfrak{p}) \vartheta(t_1 z^{-1}; \mathfrak{p})}$$

If a variable u transforms under (158) as $u \mapsto u\mathbf{p}^{n_u}$, then⁷

$$(163) \quad \Upsilon(u; q_{12}, q_3; \mathbf{p}) \mapsto \Upsilon(u; q_{12}, q_3; \mathbf{p}) \times \Sigma(\underline{q}, \underline{n}),$$

$$\Sigma(\underline{q}, \underline{n}) = q_3^{n_4} q_4^{n_3} \mathbf{p}^{n_3 n_4} = q_{12}^{-n_3} q_3^{-2n_3 - n_1 - n_2} \mathbf{p}^{-n_3(n_1 + n_2 + n_3)}.$$

The measure (159) is invariant if the instanton coupling \mathbf{q} transforms non-trivially

$$(164) \quad \mathbf{q} \mapsto \Sigma(\underline{q}, \underline{n})^{-1} \mathbf{q}$$

Note the manifest $S(2) \times S(2)$ invariance of the Σ -cocycle.

Leaving the physics [29] interpretation of (164) aside⁸, let us solve the limit shape problem, i.e. find the $q_1, q_2 \rightarrow 1$ limit while keeping $\mathbf{q}, q_3, \mathbf{p}$ finite.

The Y -observable in the 6d theory is defined by the obvious elliptic analogue of (23):

$$(165) \quad \mathcal{Y}(x)[\lambda] = \vartheta(x; \mathbf{p}) \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{\vartheta(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}^{-1}(q_1, q_2) q_1^{-1}; \mathbf{p}) \vartheta(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}^{-1}(q_1, q_2) q_2^{-1}; \mathbf{p})}{\vartheta(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}^{-1}(q_1, q_2); \mathbf{p}) \vartheta(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}^{-1}(q_1, q_2) q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1}; \mathbf{p})}$$

For any λ , $\mathcal{Y}(x)[\lambda]$ is a meromorphic section of a degree 1 line bundle L over $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{p}}$. The isomorphism class of L is λ -independent, making possible the setting of the compactness theorem of [26, 27] which implies that the expectation values of the elliptic analogue of (66)

$$(166) \quad \mathcal{X}^{\hat{A}_0}(x) = \mathcal{Y}(x q_{12}) \times$$

$$\sum_{\nu \in \Lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{q}; q_3, q_4, q_1, q_2; \mathbf{p}}[\nu] \prod_{\square=(i,j) \in \nu} \frac{\mathcal{Y}(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}(q_3, q_4) q_3^{-1}) \mathcal{Y}(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}(q_3, q_4) q_4^{-1})}{\mathcal{Y}(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}(q_3, q_4)) \mathcal{Y}(x \mathbf{Q}_{\square}(q_3, q_4) q_{12})}$$

is a holomorphic section of L , i.e. proportional to $\vartheta(x; \mathbf{p})$. Taking the $q_1, q_2 \rightarrow 1$ limit, we conclude (cf. (159)):

$$(167) \quad \chi^{6d}(x) := \left\langle \mathcal{X}^{\hat{A}_0}(x) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{q}; q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4; \mathbf{p}} \Big|_{q_1, q_2 \rightarrow 1} = c \cdot \vartheta(x; \mathbf{p}),$$

where the prefactor $c = c(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, q_3)$ can be computed by evaluating both sides at $x = 1$. Again, we perform a theta-transform to get the infinite product

⁷using

$$(162) \quad \vartheta(\mathbf{p}^n e^{2\pi i m} z; \mathbf{p}) = (-1)^{m+n} \mathbf{p}^{-\frac{n^2}{2}} z^{-n} \vartheta(z; \mathbf{p}).$$

⁸In modern language, it has to do with the mixed anomaly between the topological 1-form symmetry and the flavor 0-form symmetry in six dimensions

formula:

$$(168) \quad \Phi(z, x; \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, m) = c(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, q_3) \sum_{M \in \mathbb{Z}} (-z)^M \mathbf{q}^{\frac{M(M-1)}{2}} \vartheta(xq_3^M; \mathbf{p}) \\ = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - z^{-1} \mathbf{q}^n \frac{\mathcal{Y}(xq_3^n)}{\mathcal{Y}(xq_3^{n-1})} \right) \mathcal{Y}(x) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - z \mathbf{q}^n \frac{\mathcal{Y}(xq_3^{-n-1})}{\mathcal{Y}(xq_3^{-n})} \right)$$

The vanishing locus of Φ is a curve \mathcal{C}_{6d} in the (z, x) -space, with the branches

$$(169) \quad \mathcal{Z}_n(x) = \mathbf{q}^n \frac{\mathcal{Y}(xq_3^n)}{\mathcal{Y}(xq_3^{n-1})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

containing all the information about the limit shape, as in the rational, four dimensional, case. Now, Φ is trivially seen to be a genus two theta function

$$(170) \quad \Phi \propto \Theta(x, z | \mathcal{T})$$

with a period matrix

$$(171) \quad \mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & m \\ m & \tau \end{pmatrix}$$

where $m = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(q_3)$. Thus \mathcal{C}_{6d} is a genus two curve, the equation $\Phi = 0$ being the theta divisor in the abelian variety $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{C}_{6d})$, describing the embedding of the curve into its Jacobian by the Abel-Jacobi map. The transformation (164) is just one class of the $Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$ modular transformations.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DIRECTIONS

In this paper we have constructed the limit shapes of two infinite sequences of multi-parametric generalizations of the Plancherel measure studied in [15, 16]. These generalizations come from the enumerative geometry of moduli spaces of rank one sheaves on complex surfaces embedded into a Calabi-Yau fourfold, perhaps with a transverse Kleinian singularity of A -type. We made contact with Whitham hierarchies [18], generalizing and clarifying some of the earlier work on the subject [8, 20, 30]. We clarified the notion of cameral and spectral curves for the limit shape problem, a novel notion in the affine case. The tools we employed: the generalized Jacobi identity found in [11], the θ -transforms etc. will be used in [13] to construct the Lax operators, their eigenvectors, as well as isomonodromic connections and their horizontal sections, associated with rank N vector bundles on genus zero and one curves with punctures.

In our paper we illustrated the power of our methods at the example of a double-elliptic generalization of Vershik-Kerov problem, showing a non-trivial emergent geometry of genus two abelian varieties.

In the forthcoming work [13] (partly previewed in [12]) we shall study the higher rank sheaves (non-abelian gauge theories), parabolic sheaves (surface defects), and affine quiver generalizations of the elliptic cohomology problem (six dimensional cyclic quiver theories). The higher times deformations we explored in rank one case will eventually become related to the flows of

algebraic integrable systems long conjectured [7, 17] to be connected to the low-energy physics of supersymmetric gauge theories in four (macroscopic) dimensions.

The Unity of Mathematics (and its deep connections to theoretical physics) was always a point of fascination and a source of inspiration for Anatoly Moiseevich.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Baulieu, A. Losev and N. Nekrasov, *Chern-Simons and twisted supersymmetry in various dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **522**, 82-104 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00096-0 [[arXiv:hep-th/9707174](#)] [hep-th].
- [2] A. Belin, A. Castro, J. Gomes and C. A. Keller, *Siegel Modular Forms and Black Hole Entropy*, JHEP **04**, 057 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2017)057 [[arXiv:1611.04588](#)] [hep-th].
- [3] A. Borodin, G. Olshanski, *Z-Measures on partitions, Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence, and $\beta = 2$ random matrix ensembles*, arXiv preprint [math/9905189](#)
- [4] H. Braden and T. Hollowood, *The Curve of compactified 6-D gauge theories and integrable systems*, JHEP **12**, 023 (2003) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2003/12/023 [[arXiv:hep-th/0311024](#)] [hep-th].
- [5] D. Gaiotto, *Re-recounting dyons in $N=4$ string theory*, [[arXiv:hep-th/0506249](#)] [hep-th].
- [6] D. Gaiotto, *$N = 2$ dualities*, JHEP **08**, 034 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2012)034 [[arXiv:0904.2715](#)] [hep-th].
- [7] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution*, Phys. Lett. B **355**, 466-474 (1995) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(95)00723-X [[arXiv:hep-th/9505035](#)] [hep-th].
- [8] A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *RG equations from Whitham hierarchy*, Nucl. Phys. B **527**, 690-716 (1998) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00315-0 [[arXiv:hep-th/9802007](#)] [hep-th].
- [9] A. Grekov, N. Nekrasov, *Elliptic Calogero-Moser system, crossed and folded instantons, and bilinear identities*, (2023) [arXiv:2310.04571](#) [math-ph]
- [10] A. Grekov, N. Nekrasov, *Elliptic analogue of Vershik-Kerov limit shape*, [arXiv:2403.07168](#)[math-ph].
- [11] A. Grekov, N. Nekrasov, *Noncommutative Jacobi identity, and gauge theory*, [[arXiv:2411.17144](#)] [math-ph].
- [12] A. Grekov, *Classical elliptic integrable systems from the moduli space of instantons*, [[arXiv:2412.00912](#)] [math-ph].
- [13] A. Grekov, N. Nekrasov, *Applied BPS/CFT correspondence: Lax pairs and isomonodromy*, to appear
- [14] R. Donagi, *Decompositions of Spectral Covers*, Astérisque, **218** (1992), 145–176
R. Donagi and D. Gaitsgory, *The gerbe of Higgs bundles*, Transformation Groups, **7** (2002), no. 2, 109–153
- [15] A. Vershik and S. Kerov, *Asymptotics of the Plancherel measure of the symmetric group and the limiting form of Young tableaux*, Doklady akademii nauk, Russian Academy of Sciences Vol. **233** (1977) 6, pp. 1024–1027, MR0480398
- [16] B. Logan and L. Shepp, *A variational problem for random Young tableaux*, Adv.Math. **26** (1977) 206–222, MR1417317
- [17] R. Donagi and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **460**, 299-334 (1996) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00609-5 [[arXiv:hep-th/9510101](#)] [hep-th].

- [18] I. Krichever, *The τ -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **47**, 437 (1994) [[arXiv:hep-th/9205110](#)] [hep-th].
- [19] T. Hollowood, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, *Matrix models, geometric engineering and elliptic genera*, JHEP **03**, 069 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/069 [[arXiv:hep-th/0310272](#)] [hep-th].
- [20] A. S. Losev, A. Marshakov and N. A. Nekrasov, *Small instantons, little strings and free fermions*, [[arXiv:hep-th/0302191](#)] [hep-th].
- [21] I. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford, 1995
- [22] H. Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series, vol. **18**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999
- [23] H. Nakajima, *More Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **69**, 2016, Development of Moduli Theory – Kyoto 2013, 173-205, [arXiv:1401.6782](#) [math.RT]
- [24] N. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, no.5, 831-864 (2003) doi:10.4310/ATMP.2003.v7.n5.a4 [[arXiv:hep-th/0206161](#)] [hep-th].
- [25] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions*, In, ‘The Unity of Mathematics: In Honor of the Ninetieth Birthday of I.M. Gelfand’, Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston (2006) 525-596.
- [26] N. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence: non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations and qq-characters*, Journal of High Energy Physics, **3** (2016) 1-70
- [27] N. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence II: instantons at crossroads, moduli and compactness theorem*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **21**, 503-583 (2017) doi:10.4310/ATMP.2017.v21.n2.a4 [[arXiv:1608.07272](#)] [hep-th].
- [28] N. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT Correspondence III: Gauge Origami partition function and qq-characters*, Commun. Math. Phys. **358**, no.3, 863-894 (2018) doi:10.1007/s00220-017-3057-9 [[arXiv:1701.00189](#)] [hep-th].
- [29] N. Nekrasov, V. Pestun, *Seiberg-Witten Geometry of Four-Dimensional $N = 2$ Quiver Gauge Theories*, [arXiv:1211.2240v2](#) [hep-th]
- [30] A. Marshakov and N. Nekrasov, *Extended Seiberg-Witten Theory and Integrable Hierarchy*, JHEP **01**, 104 (2007) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/104 [[arXiv:hep-th/0612019](#)] [hep-th].
- [31] S. Kerov, *Asymptotic Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group and its Applications in Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, ISBN 978-0-8218-3440-4.
- [32] V. Ivanov, G. Olshanski, *Kerov’s central limit theorem for the Plancherel measure on Young diagrams*, [arXiv:math/0304010v1](#) [math.CO]
- [33] I. M. Krichever, *The τ -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **47**, 437 (1994) [[arXiv:hep-th/9205110](#)] [hep-th].
- [34] A. Okounkov, and R. Pandharipande, *Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles*, (2002) [arXiv:0204305](#) [math.AG]
- [35] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *A Strong coupling test of S duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **431**, 3-77 (1994) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(94)90097-3
- [36] R. Dijkgraaf, G. W. Moore, E. P. Verlinde and H. L. Verlinde, *Elliptic genera of symmetric products and second quantized strings*, Commun. Math. Phys. **185**, 197-209 (1997) doi:10.1007/s002200050087 [[arXiv:hep-th/9608096](#)] [hep-th].

SIMONS CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICSⁿ, YANG INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS^{g,n}, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, STONY BROOK NY 11794-3636, USA