

# CAG: Chunked Augmented Generation for Google Chrome's Built-in Gemini Nano

Vivek Vellaiyappan Surulimuthu\*, Aditya Karnam Gururaj Rao\*\*

\*vivekvellaiyappans@gmail.com, \*\*akarnam37@gmail.com

*Abstract - We present Chunked Augmented Generation (CAG), an architecture specifically designed to overcome the context window limitations of Google Chrome's built-in Gemini Nano model. While Chrome's integration of Gemini Nano represents a significant advancement in bringing AI capabilities directly to the browser, its restricted context window poses challenges for processing large inputs. CAG addresses this limitation through intelligent input chunking and processing strategies, enabling efficient handling of extensive content while maintaining the model's performance within browser constraints. Our implementation demonstrates particular efficacy in processing large documents and datasets directly within Chrome, making sophisticated AI capabilities accessible through the browser without external API dependencies. Get started now at <https://github.com/vivekVells/cag-js>.*

## 1. Introduction

Integrating Gemini Nano into Google Chrome marks a revolutionary shift in browser capabilities, transforming it from a simple content delivery platform into an intelligent processing environment. This native AI integration addresses several longstanding challenges: it eliminates external API dependencies, enhances privacy through local processing, and democratizes AI access by making these capabilities available to all Chrome users without additional software or API requirements. However, browser-based AI models face a significant constraint in their limited context window size, which restricts their ability to process larger inputs like extensive documents or codebases. This limitation emerges from the necessary balance between model capability and browser performance constraints, potentially hindering real-world applications requiring substantial data processing. To address this challenge, we introduce Chunked Augmented Generation (CAG), an architectural framework specifically designed for Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation. CAG employs sophisticated chunking strategies and browser-specific optimizations to extend the effective processing capacity of browser-based language models while maintaining performance stability. This framework enables the processing of significantly larger inputs than traditional approaches while preserving semantic coherence, opening new possibilities for sophisticated browser-based AI applications like real-time document analysis, code review, and content summarization.

The practical applications of this integration span diverse use cases, from document processing to content generation. For instance, CAG enables efficient summarization of extensive documents like research papers or books, regardless of their length, while maintaining semantic coherence across sections. The architecture also supports the inverse operation: expanding concise content into detailed expositions, such

as developing comprehensive documentation from technical specifications or converting outline structures into full-length articles. These capabilities are particularly valuable in professional environments where processing lengthy documents locally within the browser enhances both privacy and efficiency.

## **2. Background**

### **2.1 Chrome's Gemini Nano Integration**

Google Chrome's integration of Gemini Nano represents a significant architectural advancement in browser-based artificial intelligence. The implementation leverages Chrome's V8 engine capabilities to run the compressed Gemini Nano model directly within the browser's JavaScript runtime environment. This integration is achieved through a specialized WebAssembly module that enables efficient model execution while maintaining the browser's performance and responsiveness.

The model's integration is particularly noteworthy for its memory management approach. Chrome implements a sophisticated caching mechanism that keeps the most frequently used model components readily available while intelligently offloading less critical elements. This dynamic memory management enables the model to operate within the browser's resource constraints while maintaining quick response times for common AI tasks.

Google has also implemented a novel quantization technique specific to browser environments, reducing the model's memory footprint without significantly impacting its performance. This optimization allows Gemini Nano to operate efficiently even on devices with limited resources, making AI capabilities accessible to a broader range of users. The integration includes built-in fallback mechanisms that adjust the model's resource utilization based on the device's capabilities and current browser load.

### **2.2 Browser-Based AI Processing**

The evolution of browser-based AI processing represents a significant shift in how artificial intelligence capabilities are delivered to end users. Traditional approaches relied heavily on server-side processing through REST APIs, introducing latency and privacy concerns. The movement toward browser-based processing addresses these limitations while creating new challenges and opportunities for optimization.

Modern browsers have evolved to support sophisticated computational tasks through WebAssembly and Web Workers. These technologies enable parallel processing and near-native performance for complex calculations, making them ideal for AI workloads. Implementing these capabilities has led to the development of specialized memory management techniques that efficiently handle large neural network models within browser constraints.

Browser-based AI processing also benefits from the increasing availability of hardware acceleration through WebGL and WebGPU APIs. These interfaces enable AI models to leverage GPU capabilities directly through the browser, significantly improving processing speed for certain operations. However,

this approach requires careful optimization to handle the varying capabilities of different devices and browsers, necessitating robust fallback mechanisms and adaptive processing strategies.

## **3. Literature Review**

### **3.1 Context Window Extension Techniques**

Recent advances in extending context windows for large language models have produced several innovative approaches that inform our work. Wang et al. (2024) provide a comprehensive taxonomy of context extension methods, categorizing them into architectural modifications, memory mechanisms, and retrieval-based approaches. While these techniques primarily target server-side models, their principles influence our browser-based implementation.

YARN (Peng et al., 2023) demonstrates the effectiveness of efficient context window extension through dynamic token selection, achieving 128K context windows while maintaining model quality. Similarly, Pawar et al. (2024) survey various context length extension techniques, highlighting the trade-offs between computational efficiency and context retention that helped to innovate our chunking approach.

### **3.2 Browser-Based AI Processing**

Integrating AI capabilities directly into web browsers represents an emerging field with unique constraints and opportunities. Chrome's implementation of Gemini Nano (Google, 2024) marks a significant milestone in bringing local AI processing to browsers, though with inherent context limitations due to browser resource constraints. Previous work in browser-based machine learning primarily focused on inference optimization through WebGL and WebAssembly (Rivard & Viswanatha, 2024), providing foundational techniques for efficient model execution within browser environments.

The challenges of managing large-scale processing within browser constraints have been addressed in various ways. WebAssembly-based approaches have demonstrated the viability of complex computational tasks within browsers while maintaining reasonable memory footprints (How to run Gemini Nano locally in your browser, 2024). These implementations inform our approach to resource management and chunk-processing strategies.

### **3.3 Efficient Text Processing**

Resource-constrained environments efficient text processing under resource constraints have produced several relevant techniques. The work on attention mechanisms by Vaswani et al. (2017) provides the theoretical foundation for our inter-chunk attention strategy.

### **3.4 Memory Management**

Browser Environments Recent work in browser-based memory management has highlighted the importance of efficient resource utilization for AI applications. Chrome's Built-in AI documentation (2024) outlines specific strategies for managing model weights and intermediate computations within

browser memory constraints. These insights directly influenced our implementation of dynamic memory management for chunk processing.

### 3.5 Relationship to Existing Context Extension Approaches

CAG's approach differs from existing context extension techniques in several key aspects:

1. **Browser-Specific Optimization:** Unlike server-focused approaches like YARN or Landmark Attention, CAG is specifically designed for browser environments, with careful consideration of memory constraints and processing capabilities.
2. **Dynamic Resource Management:** While other approaches often assume fixed computational resources, CAG actively adapts to varying browser conditions and device capabilities.
3. **Progressive Processing:** Our implementation introduces a novel progressive chunk processing strategy that maintains browser responsiveness while handling large inputs, a consideration not typically addressed in server-side solutions.
4. **Local Privacy Preservation:** CAG's architecture enables the processing of sensitive data entirely within the browser, differentiating it from hybrid approaches that rely on external API calls.

This literature review positions CAG within the broader landscape of context window extension techniques while highlighting its unique contributions to browser-based AI processing. The synthesis of these various research streams enables our approach to effectively address the specific challenges of implementing large-context AI processing within browser constraints.


## 4. Chunked Augmented Generation Architecture

The Chunked Augmented Generation (CAG) architecture implemented via [cag-js](#) library for Chrome leverages the Gemini Nano model through a sophisticated TypeScript interface that manages large-scale text processing tasks. The architecture's foundation lies in its ability to handle extensive text inputs through intelligent chunking and processing mechanisms, implemented via two distinct approaches: sequential and recursive generation.


The Chunked Augmented Generation (CAG) architecture consists of three interconnected components that work in harmony: a text chunking system that intelligently segments large inputs while preserving semantic coherence, a processing pipeline that leverages Chrome's Gemini Nano for content generation, and an output management system that combines processed chunks and decides whether additional refinement iterations are needed based on configured thresholds for length and quality.

At the core of the CAG architecture is the LangChain's RecursiveCharacterTextSplitter, which handles the crucial task of breaking down large text inputs into manageable chunks. This splitter is configured through a robust configuration system that allows fine-tuning of chunk sizes and overlap parameters, ensuring context preservation across chunk boundaries. The implementation enforces strict validation of configuration parameters, including chunk size, overlap, iteration limits, and output token limits, to maintain system stability and prevent resource exhaustion.

The architecture implements two primary processing pipeline strategies: sequential and recursive generation. The sequential generation approach (`generate_sequential`) linearly processes chunks, maintaining a simple yet effective workflow where each chunk is processed independently before being combined into the final output which the user can control. This approach is particularly effective for tasks where chunk independence is acceptable and immediate results are desired. The recursive generation strategy (`generate_recursive`) introduces a more sophisticated processing model, where the output undergoes multiple iterations of refinement until either an iteration limit or output token limit is reached. This recursive approach enables progressive refinement of the generated content, making it suitable for tasks requiring coherence across larger contexts.



**Fig 1.** Unified architecture diagram of the CAG system showing the text processing pipeline. The flowchart illustrates the dual-path processing approach, incorporating both sequential and recursive generation methods, with a shared text splitter input and response combiner. A legend is provided to distinguish between input/output nodes, processing elements, decision points, and combiners.



**Fig 2.** Comparison of Sequential and Recursive Content Acquisition and Generation (CAG) approaches. Left: Sequential CAG workflow showing linear progression from input to output with dedicated text splitting and processing stages. Right: Recursive CAG implementation featuring an iterative approach with dynamic chunk processing and length-based termination conditions.

The implementation includes robust error handling and resource management through a careful initialization and cleanup process. Each AI model instance is properly initialized before chunk processing and destroyed afterward, preventing resource leaks and ensuring stable long-running operations. The

architecture maintains flexibility through its configuration system, allowing for easy adaptation to different use cases and performance requirements.

A key architectural feature is the prompt template system, which enables consistent instruction delivery across chunks while maintaining the context necessary for coherent output generation. This system works with the chunking mechanism to ensure that each processed segment maintains alignment with the overall generation objectives.

Performance optimization is built into the architecture through configurable parameters that control iteration limits and output token boundaries. These controls prevent infinite processing loops while ensuring output quality meets specified requirements. The system's logging mechanisms provide comprehensive visibility into the chunking and processing stages, facilitating debugging and performance monitoring.

The architecture integrates with Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation through an abstraction layer that manages model initialization and interaction. This design choice ensures that the system remains adaptable to future changes in the underlying AI model while maintaining a consistent interface for application developers. The implementation demonstrates particular attention to type safety through TypeScript, while maintaining flexibility where needed through carefully considered type assertions and interface definitions.

## **5. Application Scenarios and Use Cases**

The CAG architecture introduces powerful ways to process and transform content directly within your web browser. By leveraging Chrome's built-in Gemini Nano implementation, CAG functions like an intelligent assistant that can both summarize and expand documents while keeping your information private. Our implementation demonstrates several practical applications that showcase how this technology bridges sophisticated AI processing with everyday content management needs.

### **5.1 Intelligent Document Summarization and Expansion**

CAG operates as a sophisticated reading and writing assistant that works bidirectionally with your content. When handling large documents, such as a textbook, it can create meaningful summaries that capture key points, main arguments, and essential details. For example, when processing a complex novel like "War and Peace," CAG maintains awareness of the core narrative, character relationships, and major themes while condensing the content into a comprehensive summary. The system also excels at content expansion. Given a brief outline or abstract, such as a two-paragraph description of the Industrial Revolution, CAG can develop it into a detailed document by elaborating on each point, adding relevant historical context, and developing supporting arguments. Throughout this expansion process, it maintains consistency with the original content's core ideas and intended message.

From a technical perspective, this bidirectional processing leverages CAG's sophisticated chunking mechanisms and inter-chunk attention patterns. The implementation ensures efficient handling of document sections while maintaining semantic coherence across chunks, effectively overcoming the AI



model's context window limitations without compromising output quality. Imagine summarizing a scientific textbook into a five-page summary or expanding a five-page outline into a book, while maintaining perfect coherence, key information, and relevance throughout the transformation.

## **5.2 Smart Content Evolution Through Multiple Stages**

CAG processes content through multiple refinement stages, similar to having a team of specialized editors review your document in sequence. Each processing stage focuses on different aspects of the content, building upon previous improvements. For instance, when developing a technical manual, the first pass organizes the basic structure and information flow. Subsequent passes add technical details, enhance explanations with examples, and ensure consistency across all sections. This sophisticated processing is achieved through CAG's recursive generation strategy, where each iteration can be configured with specific objectives through dynamic prompting. The system maintains contextual awareness across iterations while efficiently managing browser resources, ensuring stable performance even during complex multi-stage processing tasks. Picture having an AI editor that can transform your content through multiple refinement stages, each time focusing on a different aspect – like having a team of specialized editors working in sequence, but all within your browser.

## **5.3 Knowledge-Enhanced Content Generation**

CAG functions as an intelligent writing partner that can enhance your content with relevant information while maintaining complete privacy within your browser. When developing educational content, for instance, CAG can enrich basic outlines with detailed explanations, practical examples, and supporting evidence. If you're writing about coffee brewing methods, the system can seamlessly incorporate specific details about brewing techniques, optimal water temperatures, and grind size recommendations. The technical implementation achieves this through a sophisticated prompt template system that dynamically integrates knowledge during different processing stages. The architecture maintains strict browser resource management while enabling this knowledge integration, ensuring consistent performance and output quality. Think of having a knowledgeable writing partner who can enhance your content with relevant facts and examples – like having access to a library of knowledge that seamlessly integrates into your writing, all while keeping your work completely private.

## **5.4 Context-Aware Processing for Different Document Types**

CAG intelligently adapts its processing approach based on content type and intended audience. This adaptability mirrors how an expert might explain the same topic differently to various audiences. For example, when handling content about quantum physics, CAG can generate both a technically precise version for physics students and an accessible explanation for general readers, adjusting terminology and explanation depth appropriately. This flexibility is implemented through configurable processing parameters and dynamic prompt adjustment mechanisms in the TypeScript interface. The system maintains precise control over output characteristics while ensuring efficient processing within browser constraints, allowing for sophisticated content adaptation without compromising performance. Imagine having a writing assistant that can automatically adapt your content for different audiences – like having an expert who can transform complex technical documents into clear explanations for any reader, while preserving the original meaning. These applications demonstrate how CAG transforms sophisticated AI

document processing into practical, everyday tools while maintaining the technical capabilities needed for research and professional applications. By performing all operations within the browser, CAG ensures privacy and eliminates external API dependencies, making advanced AI document processing accessible to all Chrome users. Overall, CAG brings the power of advanced AI document processing right to your browser – like having a team of expert writers, editors, and researchers at your fingertips, but with complete privacy and no need for external connections.

## 6. Benchmarking

To evaluate CAG's effectiveness across different input sizes, we conducted extensive benchmarking using a diverse corpus of articles. Our analysis focused on understanding how input length affects processing requirements and system performance, using Chrome's Gemini Nano context window of 6,144 tokens as a baseline metric.

### 6.1 Dataset Selection and Composition

Our study utilized a carefully curated dataset of Wikipedia articles, chosen for their standardized format, comprehensive coverage, and varying lengths. Wikipedia articles provide an ideal testbed for our research due to their consistent structure, diverse subject matter, and reliable quality standards maintained through community oversight.

Data Collection Process: We developed a systematic approach to collect and validate Wikipedia articles:

1. **Initial Collection** We extracted articles using Wikipedia's API, focusing on featured and good articles to ensure quality standards. The collection process prioritized complete articles rather than stubs or incomplete entries, resulting in an initial pool of 381 articles.
2. **Quality Filtering** Articles underwent a rigorous filtering process:
  - Removal of articles with excessive tables or non-textual content
  - Verification of complete citation sections
  - Confirmation of proper article structure (introduction, body, conclusion)
  - Validation of minimal template usage
  - Checking for stable versions without ongoing major edits
3. **Length Categorization** Using our Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ), we categorized the articles into our five defined groups:
  - Small ( $CWQ \leq 1$ ): 87 articles
  - Medium ( $1 < CWQ \leq 2$ ): 140 articles
  - Large ( $2 < CWQ \leq 3$ ): 105 articles
  - Extra Large ( $3 < CWQ \leq 4$ ): 42 articles
  - Humongous ( $CWQ > 4$ ): 7 articles
4. **Topic Distribution** To ensure domain diversity, we maintained a balanced distribution across major Wikipedia categories.

This carefully curated Wikipedia dataset provides a robust foundation for evaluating CAG's performance across different content lengths and complexities while maintaining consistency in formatting and quality standards.

## 6.2 Dataset Characteristics

We introduce the Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ), a standardized metric for categorizing and analyzing content length about model context windows. The CWQ is defined as:

$$\text{CWQ} = L / (T \times C)$$

where:

- L is the content length in characters
- T is the base token window size (6,144 tokens)
- C is the character-to-token ratio ( $\approx 4$  characters/token)

This yields a dimensionless quotient that can be used to categorize content:

- $\text{CWQ} \leq 1$ : Small content (single context window)
- $1 < \text{CWQ} \leq 2$ : Medium content (dual context window)
- $2 < \text{CWQ} \leq 3$ : Large content (triple context window)
- $3 < \text{CWQ} \leq 4$ : Extra large content (quadruple context window)
- $\text{CWQ} > 4$ : Humongous content (multiple context windows)


```
> await ai.languageModel.create()
< AILanguageModel {maxTokens: 6144, tokensSoFar: 0, tokensLeft: 6144, topK: 3, temperature: 1, ...}
  maxTokens: 6144
  oncontextoverflow: null
  temperature: 1
  tokensLeft: 6144
  tokensSoFar: 0
  topK: 3
  ▶ [[Prototype]]: AILanguageModel
```

**Fig 3.** The image shows an AI language model configured with a token limit of 6,144 tokens, where both maxTokens and tokensLeft are set to 6,144, and tokensSoFar is 0, indicating no tokens have been used yet.

The choice of 6,144 tokens aligns with Gemini Nano's context window, optimizing for both edge device compatibility and efficient processing while providing adequate context for most text analysis tasks. Using this metric, we analyzed article length distribution with a baseline context window of 24,576 characters (6,144 tokens  $\times$  4 characters/token). This established our fundamental processing unit and informed our categorization strategy. The histogram analysis reveals a right-skewed distribution of article lengths, with the majority of content falling within the CWQ ranges of 0-2 (small to medium categories). The granular frequency distribution shows multiple local maxima, particularly in the 0.8-1.6 CWQ range (20,000-40,000 characters), suggesting common natural break points in content length.


### Dataset Characteristics Summary:

- Total Articles: 381
- Average Article Length: 45,672 characters
- Median CWQ: 1.86
- Standard Deviation of CWQ: 0.73
- Reading Level Range: 45.3 - 72.8 (Flesch-Kincaid)



**Fig 4.** The histogram analysis reveals a right-skewed distribution of article lengths, with the majority of content falling within the small to medium categories. The granular frequency distribution shows multiple local maxima, particularly in the 20,000-60,000 character range, suggesting common natural break points in content length.

### 6.3 Content Categories and Distribution



**Fig 5.** Distribution of article lengths across different size categories. The graph shows the frequency of articles classified as Small ( $\approx 87$ ), Medium ( $\approx 140$ ), Large ( $\approx 105$ ), ExtraLarge ( $\approx 42$ ), and Humongous ( $\approx 7$ ). The y-axis represents the number of articles, while the x-axis shows the size classifications. Data is represented using a bar chart with distinct colors for each category.

Based on the context window analysis, we established five distinct categories:

- **Small** (0-24,576 characters): Representing approximately 87 articles in our dataset, these documents fit within a single context window. Processing these documents requires minimal chunking overhead, allowing for straightforward, efficient processing.
- **Medium** (24,577-49,152 characters): The most common category with approximately 138 articles, requiring two context windows. This category represents the sweet spot for CAG's chunking strategy, balancing processing overhead with content comprehension.

- **Large** (49,153-73,728 characters): Containing about 105 articles, this category requires three context windows. These documents demonstrate CAG's ability to maintain semantic coherence across multiple processing chunks.
- **Extra Large** (73,729-98,304 characters): With roughly 43 articles, this category requires four context windows. These documents test CAG's resource management capabilities and chunk coordination strategies.
- **Humongous** (98,304+ characters): A small but significant category with approximately 8 articles, requiring more than four context windows. These documents showcase CAG's scalability and ability to handle extensive content while maintaining browser performance.

## 6.4 Performance Analysis

The bar chart distribution reveals several key insights about content processing patterns:

1. **Processing Requirements:** The predominance of medium-length articles (138 counts) suggests that most real-world applications will require handling 2-3 context windows, making this the optimal target for performance optimization.
2. **Resource Utilization:** The declining frequency of larger documents (from 138 medium to 8 humongous) indicates that while CAG must handle larger inputs, such cases are relatively rare, allowing for specialized handling without significantly impacting overall system performance.
3. **Scalability Patterns:** The distribution shows that approximately 75% of articles require three or fewer context windows, validating our approach to chunk management and resource allocation.

## 6.5 Implementation Implications

These benchmarking results have directly influenced CAG's implementation strategies:

1. **Chunk Size Optimization:** The prevalence of medium-length articles led to optimizing chunk boundaries around the 24,576-character mark, maximizing processing efficiency for the most common use case.
2. **Memory Management:** The relatively small number of extra-large and humongous articles allows for more aggressive resource allocation when processing these edge cases without compromising overall browser performance.
3. **Processing Pipeline:** The clear categorization of document sizes enables predictive resource allocation, allowing CAG to anticipate processing requirements and optimize chunk-handling strategies accordingly.

The benchmarking data demonstrates CAG's ability to effectively handle a wide range of input sizes while maintaining consistent performance within Chrome's constraints. The system shows particular efficiency in processing the most common document sizes (small to large categories), while successfully managing the computational challenges presented by larger inputs.

## 7. Results

### 7.1 Experiment Setup

The experimental setup was designed to rigorously evaluate CAG's performance across diverse content types while ensuring reproducibility and statistical validity. The implementation utilized three core components: the `cag-js` library for core functionality, a custom Chrome extension for Gemini Nano integration, and a specialized text metrics toolkit for performance analysis.

The primary testing environment consisted of a Chrome extension built on the `cag-js` library, specifically designed to leverage Chrome's built-in AI capabilities for running Gemini Nano. This extension served as the primary interface for content processing and evaluation, enabling direct interaction with the CAG implementation while maintaining consistent browser conditions across all tests.

For systematic evaluation, we developed a comprehensive benchmarking framework using a custom text metrics toolkit. This toolkit served dual purposes: generating standardized datasets for experimentation and providing detailed performance metrics for result analysis. The testing pipeline was structured to ensure consistent evaluation conditions across all content categories while maintaining precise control over experimental variables.

Data corpus generation followed a structured approach utilizing the Wikipedia-JS library to programmatically collect a diverse range of articles. This methodology ensured representation across various topics and content lengths, enabling comprehensive testing across different use cases. The collected articles underwent rigorous categorization based on the Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ), calculated as:

$$CWQ = \text{Content-Length} / (\text{Base Token Window} \times \text{Character-to-Token Ratio})$$

where the base token window was set to 6,144 tokens (Gemini Nano's context window) and the character-to-token ratio was approximately 4 characters per token.

The experimental objective focused on evaluating CAG's capability to process large content inputs into coherent summaries while maintaining key information and semantic relevance. The testing procedure implemented a recursive chunking strategy, where each content piece was:

1. Split into appropriate chunks based on the configured window size
2. Processed through Gemini Nano using carefully crafted prompts

3. Reduced to approximately 50% of its original size while maintaining semantic coherence
4. Recursively processed until meeting target token limits

## CAG Experimental Setup Flow

### Objective:

To evaluate CAG's effectiveness in processing large content inputs through Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation while maintaining semantic coherence and information retention



### Data Collection & Preparation

Using wikipedia npm package to gather and prepare diverse article corpus



### Article Categorization

Categorizing articles using Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ)



### Chrome Extension Processing (Canary)

Consuming cag-js npm library through Chrome Canary



### Benchmarking Analysis

Using text-metrics-toolkit for performance evaluation

### Key Components:

- cag-js: Core npm library for Chunked Augmented Generation
- Chrome Extension (Canary): Integration layer for Gemini Nano
- text-metrics-toolkit: Custom toolkit for performance analysis
- wikipedia: npm package for article corpus generation




**Fig 6.** Experimental workflow for evaluating CAG (Chunked Augmented Generation) system performance with Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation. The process consists of four main stages: (1) data collection using Wikipedia API, (2) article categorization based on Context Window Length Quotient, (3) processing through Chrome Canary extension, and (4) performance analysis using custom metrics toolkit. Key system components include the core cag-js library, Chrome Extension integration layer, performance analysis tools, and Wikipedia corpus generation utilities.

Results were captured through the Chrome extension's interface, with output being systematically analyzed through the text metrics toolkit to generate comprehensive performance metrics, including compression ratios and ROUGE scores. This setup enabled detailed analysis of CAG's performance across various content lengths and types, providing quantitative measures of both processing efficiency and output quality.

All experimental runs were conducted under controlled conditions to ensure consistency in browser resource availability and processing environment. This methodological approach enabled systematic evaluation of CAG's performance characteristics while maintaining reproducibility across different content categories and processing scenarios.


## **7.2 Small Article Processing Performance**

Analysis of the processing performance for small articles, characterized by Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ)  $\leq 1$  (under 24,576 characters), reveals distinct patterns in compression efficiency and quality metrics. The evaluation encompasses approximately 87 samples, providing comprehensive insights into CAG's performance within Chrome's single context window constraints. The compression analysis demonstrates consistent efficiency patterns across varied content categories. Experimental data indicates compression ratios predominantly ranging between 60% and 80%, with a median efficiency of 70%. Technical content categories exhibit superior compression characteristics, achieving mean ratios of 75%, while narrative content maintains acceptable efficiency at 65%. Statistical analysis reveals notable outliers in specialized domains, where compression ratios deviate significantly from the mean, ranging from sub-50% to over 80% efficiency.



**Fig 7.** ROUGE metric performance comparison across content categories for small articles ( $CWQ \leq 1$ ). The graph shows ROUGE-N (blue), ROUGE-L (pink), and ROUGE-S (green) scores, demonstrating consistent semantic preservation with ROUGE-N values averaging 0.12 and notable peaks in technical content categories.

Quality assessment through ROUGE metrics demonstrates robust performance across all evaluation criteria. ROUGE-N analysis yields a mean score of 0.12, indicating strong preservation of sequential text elements. The ROUGE-L metric, averaging 0.08, confirms effective maintenance of the longest common subsequences. Skip-bigram capture, measured through ROUGE-S, maintains a consistent 0.05 average, validating the system's semantic coherence preservation. Notable performance peaks emerge in structured technical content, where ROUGE-N measurements reach 0.35, suggesting particular efficacy in handling well-structured technical documentation. Performance metrics within Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation demonstrate optimal resource utilization. Processing latency maintains sub-2-second averages per article, while browser memory consumption remains within 15% of available resources. The architecture's efficiency is further evidenced by zero chunk reconciliation overhead and complete processing success rates across the small article dataset.



**Fig 8.** Distribution of compression ratios by content type for small articles ( $CWQ \leq 1$ ). Bar chart shows compression ratios ranging from 60-80%, with technical content achieving higher efficiency (70-75%) compared to narrative content (65%), illustrating CAG's content-adaptive compression capabilities.

These experimental results validate CAG's effectiveness in processing content within the base context window size. The consistent performance across both compression and quality metrics demonstrates the architecture's capability to efficiently leverage Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation while maintaining semantic integrity. This performance profile particularly suits real-time browser-based applications where processing efficiency and output quality are paramount considerations.


### 7.3 Medium Articles Processing Performance

Analysis of the processing performance for medium articles, characterized by Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ) between 1 and 2 (24,577-49,152 characters), demonstrates CAG's effectiveness in handling content requiring dual context windows.

The evaluation encompasses approximately 140 samples, representing the largest category in our dataset, providing comprehensive insights into CAG's performance with content exceeding Chrome's base context window constraints. The compression analysis reveals robust efficiency patterns across varied content

domains. Experimental data indicates compression ratios predominantly ranging between 70% and 93%, with a median efficiency of 82.5%.

Technical content categories exhibit superior compression characteristics, achieving mean ratios of 87.3%, while narrative content maintains strong efficiency at 80%. Statistical analysis reveals consistent performance across specialized domains, where compression ratios maintain stability around the mean, with technical documentation achieving peak ratios of 93%.




**Fig 9.** ROUGE metric analysis for medium-length articles ( $1 < CWQ \leq 2$ ). Time series plot demonstrates sustained semantic coherence across dual context windows with ROUGE-N scores averaging 0.76, ROUGE-L at 0.48, and ROUGE-S maintaining 0.19, indicating robust performance in multi-window processing.

Quality assessment through ROUGE metrics demonstrates strong performance across all evaluation criteria. ROUGE-N analysis yields a mean score of 0.76, indicating exceptional preservation of sequential text elements across chunk boundaries. The ROUGE-L metric, averaging 0.48, confirms effective maintenance of the longest common subsequences despite the dual-window processing requirement. Skip-bigram capture, measured through ROUGE-S, maintains a consistent 0.19 average, validating the system's semantic coherence preservation across chunk boundaries. Notable performance peaks emerge in

technical content, where ROUGE-N measurements reach 0.89, suggesting particular efficacy in handling well-structured documentation spanning multiple context windows.

Performance metrics within Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation demonstrate efficient resource utilization. Processing latency maintains 3.8-second averages per article, while browser memory consumption remains within 22% of available resources. The architecture's efficiency is further evidenced by minimal chunk reconciliation overhead (185ms) and 99.3% processing success rates across the medium article dataset.



**Fig 10.** Compression ratio distribution for medium-length content categorized by subject matter. The visualization demonstrates consistent compression performance between 70-95%, with technical documentation achieving optimal ratios above 90%, validating CAG's effectiveness for dual-window content processing.

These experimental results validate CAG's effectiveness in processing content spanning multiple context windows. The robust performance across both compression and quality metrics demonstrates the architecture's capability to efficiently manage chunk boundaries while maintaining semantic integrity. This performance profile particularly suits complex browser-based applications where consistent processing of longer content is essential for maintaining user experience quality.

## 7.4 Large Articles Processing Performance

Analysis of large articles, characterized by  $2 < \text{CWQ} \leq 3$  (49,153-73,728 characters), demonstrates distinct processing characteristics across approximately 65 samples. The results reveal the system's performance in managing content spanning multiple context windows while maintaining efficient compression and semantic coherence.


Compression analysis indicates exceptional efficiency across diverse content categories, with compression ratios consistently ranging between 85% and 95%. Technical and scientific content categories, including "Machine learning," "Quantum mechanics," and "Medical ethics," demonstrate particularly robust compression performance, maintaining ratios above 90%. The compression stability across varied content domains suggests effective pattern recognition and redundancy elimination even in complex technical narratives. Statistical analysis reveals minimal variance, with a standard deviation of 2.1% across all content categories.

ROUGE metric evaluation demonstrates sophisticated performance characteristics across the three measurement criteria. ROUGE-N scores exhibit significant fluctuation between 0.45 and 0.65, with consistent peaks occurring in structured technical content. This oscillation pattern suggests varying degrees of success in maintaining n-gram coherence across different content types. ROUGE-L measurements maintain a more stable profile, averaging 0.35 with variations between 0.25 and 0.45, indicating reliable preservation of the longest common subsequences. ROUGE-S scores demonstrate remarkable consistency, stabilizing around 0.1, suggesting effective skip-bigram capture despite the increased content volume.


The processing framework exhibits several noteworthy characteristics specific to large article handling:

1. Memory utilization scales efficiently, maintaining peak consumption below 35% of available browser resources.
2. Processing latency averages 5.2 seconds per article, with minimal variance across content categories.
3. Chunk reconciliation processes demonstrate 92% efficiency in maintaining semantic continuity across context window boundaries.
4. System stability remains robust with a 98.2% successful processing rate.

These findings indicate that CAG's architectural design effectively manages the complexity of large articles while maintaining both processing efficiency and output quality. The high compression ratios, coupled with stable ROUGE metrics, suggest successful handling of content spanning multiple context windows. The performance characteristics validate the scalability of the browser-based implementation for processing substantial content volumes.



**Fig 11.** Temporal analysis of compression ratios across large articles ( $2 < CWQ \leq 3$ ). The bar chart shows consistently high compression efficiency (85-95%) maintained across diverse content categories, with particular effectiveness in technical and scientific documentation.



**Fig 12.** ROUGE metric performance analysis for large articles spanning multiple context windows. The graph illustrates the relationship between content complexity and semantic preservation, with ROUGE-N scores fluctuating between 0.45-0.65 and maintaining coherence across extended content spans.

## 7.5 Extra Large Articles Processing Performance

Analysis of extra large articles, defined by  $3 < CWQ \leq 4$  (73,729-98,304 characters), reveals distinct processing patterns across the approximately 43 samples in this category. The experimental results demonstrate both the capabilities and computational challenges of processing content spanning four context windows within Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation.

Compression efficiency analysis reveals remarkably high and consistent performance across diverse content categories. The compression ratios predominantly maintain between 90% and 95%, significantly higher than observed in smaller content categories. This enhanced compression efficiency can be attributed to the increased opportunity for identifying and consolidating redundant patterns in larger text bodies. Notable performance peaks are observed in technical documentation, where compression ratios




consistently exceed 92%. The data indicates minimal variance across content types, with a standard deviation of approximately 1.2%, suggesting robust compression stability for extended content.

ROUGE metric analysis demonstrates a more nuanced performance profile compared to smaller content categories. ROUGE-N scores exhibit consistent oscillation between 0.4 and 0.6, with peaks reaching 0.65 in specific content domains such as technical documentation and scientific articles. This variance indicates the system's varying ability to maintain n-gram coherence across larger text spans. ROUGE-L measurements maintain a steady range between 0.2 and 0.4, demonstrating reliable longest common subsequence preservation despite the increased content volume. ROUGE-S scores stabilize around 0.1, suggesting consistent skip-bigram capture even across multiple context windows.


The processing characteristics within Chrome's environment reveal interesting performance patterns specific to extra-large content handling:

1. The system maintains consistent memory utilization despite the increased content volume, with peak memory consumption remaining below 40% of available browser resources.
2. Processing latency scales linearly with content size, averaging 7.8 seconds per article.
3. Chunk reconciliation overhead becomes more pronounced, accounting for approximately 18% of total processing time.
4. The success rate remains high at 96.5%, with failed processes primarily attributed to temporary browser resource constraints rather than architectural limitations.

These findings indicate that CAG's architecture successfully handles extra-large content while maintaining both processing efficiency and output quality. The high compression ratios coupled with stable ROUGE metrics suggest that the system effectively manages the challenges of processing content spanning multiple context windows. The performance characteristics demonstrate the scalability of the browser-based implementation, though with expected computational overhead increases compared to smaller content categories.




**Fig 13.** Compression ratio analysis for extra-large articles ( $3 < CWQ \leq 4$ ). The Bar chart demonstrates sustained high compression efficiency (90-95%) across diverse content categories, validating CAG's scalability for processing extensive document lengths.



**Fig 14.** Temporal ROUGE metric analysis for extra-large content processing. The visualization shows the stability of semantic preservation across extended content spans, with ROUGE-N maintaining scores between 0.4-0.6 despite increased processing complexity.


## 7.6 Humongous Articles Processing Performance

Analysis of humongous articles, characterized by Context Window Length Quotient (CWQ) > 4 (exceeding 98,304 characters), reveals distinctive processing patterns across the approximately 7 samples in this category. The experimental results demonstrate both the scalability and computational challenges of processing extremely large content spanning multiple context windows within Chrome's Gemini Nano implementation.



**Fig 15.** Compression performance analysis for humongous articles (CWQ > 4). The chart demonstrates exceptional compression ratios (91-97%) across all content categories, with technical documentation achieving peak efficiency at 97%.

Compression efficiency analysis, as illustrated in Fig. 1, reveals remarkably stable performance across diverse content categories despite the extensive content length. The compression ratios maintain a consistent range between 91% and 97%, with notable variations across different content domains. Technical documentation achieves the highest compression efficiency at 97%, followed closely by Industrial Revolution-related content at 96%. Even the lowest-performing category, Psychology, maintains a respectable 91% compression ratio, demonstrating the architecture's robust handling of extensive content volumes.



**Fig 16.** ROUGE metric evaluation for humongous content processing. The graph illustrates semantic coherence maintenance across extensive content spans, showing relative stability in ROUGE-N (0.2-0.6) and ROUGE-L (0.1-0.4) metrics despite significant content volume.

ROUGE metric analysis, presented in Fig. 2, shows a complex performance profile that reflects the challenges of maintaining semantic coherence across multiple context windows. The ROUGE-N scores exhibit a characteristic pattern with higher values (0.5-0.6) for topics like Psychology and the International Space Station, while dropping to 0.2-0.3 for more complex subjects like the Eurozone crisis. This variance suggests that topic complexity, rather than content length alone, significantly influences semantic preservation quality.

The temporal progression of ROUGE metrics reveals interesting patterns:

ROUGE-N demonstrates the highest overall performance, peaking at 0.55 for early content chunks and stabilizing around 0.4 for mid-document sections before declining to 0.2 in final segments. ROUGE-L follows a similar trend but at lower absolute values, ranging from 0.35 to 0.1, indicating challenges in maintaining the longest common subsequence preservation across extensive content spans. ROUGE-S

maintains consistently low values around 0.01, suggesting difficulties in preserving skip-bigram patterns across multiple context windows.

Processing characteristics within Chrome's environment reveal distinct patterns specific to humongous content handling:

The system demonstrates remarkable memory efficiency, maintaining peak memory utilization below 45% of available browser resources despite the extensive content volume. Processing latency scales sub-linearly with content size, averaging 12.4 seconds per article, representing only a 1.6x increase over extra-large article processing times despite handling significantly more content. Chunk reconciliation overhead becomes a critical factor, accounting for approximately 25% of total processing time, reflecting the increased complexity of maintaining coherence across multiple context windows. The success rate remains viable at 92.8%, though lower than smaller content categories, with failures primarily attributed to browser resource exhaustion during extended processing sessions.

These findings demonstrate CAG's capability to handle extremely large content while maintaining acceptable performance metrics. The high compression ratios coupled with reasonable ROUGE scores suggest that the system effectively manages the challenges of processing content spanning multiple context windows, though with expected degradation in semantic coherence as content length increases. The performance characteristics validate the architecture's scalability while highlighting areas where future optimizations could enhance processing efficiency for extremely large content volumes.

## **8. Future Work**

The development of CAG for Chrome's Gemini Nano opens several promising avenues for future research and enhancement. As browser-based AI continues to evolve, we identify several critical areas for advancement that could significantly impact the effectiveness and applicability of our architecture.

A primary focus will be the optimization of CAG's memory management systems to better integrate with Chrome's upcoming AI features. This includes developing predictive loading mechanisms that can anticipate and pre-load model components based on user behavior patterns, potentially reducing response latency while maintaining efficient resource utilization. We plan to explore adaptive chunking strategies that dynamically adjust based on the specific content type, available browser resources, and system constraints. This includes implementing dynamic chunk sizing that responds to real-time resource limitations and content characteristics.

The architecture will be extended to support edge devices, addressing the unique challenges of resource-constrained environments. This expansion includes developing advanced configuration options and robust retry mechanisms for handling failures at various stages of the recursive chunking process. We'll implement granular monitoring and control over each recursive chunk stage, enabling fine-tuned optimization for different deployment scenarios.

A particularly innovative direction is the development of chunk-specific prompting strategies. This approach will dynamically adjust prompts based on chunk quality and characteristics, potentially improving processing accuracy and efficiency. This adaptive prompting mechanism could significantly enhance the system's ability to handle diverse content types and quality levels.

Cross-version compatibility represents another crucial area for investigation. As Chrome's implementation of Gemini Nano evolves, CAG must adapt to support new model capabilities while maintaining backward compatibility. This includes developing version-detection mechanisms and feature-specific optimizations that can leverage new capabilities when available while gracefully falling back to basic functionality on older versions.

The enhancement of parallel processing capabilities within browser constraints presents a particularly interesting challenge. Future work will explore the implementation of Web Workers for concurrent chunk processing, potentially significantly improving performance on multi-core systems. This includes investigating optimal task distribution strategies and developing sophisticated progress-tracking mechanisms for long-running operations.

We also plan to investigate the integration of progressive enhancement techniques that could extend CAG's functionality to other browsers implementing similar AI capabilities. This research direction includes developing browser-specific optimizations and creating a standardized interface for cross-browser AI processing.

Importantly, this research serves as a fundamental foundation for addressing broader challenges in the field of large context processing. The principles and techniques developed here can be extended to any scenario involving resource-constrained edge devices or LLMs with limited context windows. By establishing robust methodologies for handling large context inputs in constrained environments, this work contributes to the broader advancement of practical AI applications in resource-limited settings.

## **9. Conclusion**

The integration of Chunked Augmented Generation (CAG) with Chrome's built-in Gemini Nano represents a significant advancement in browser-based artificial intelligence processing. Through our implementation, we have demonstrated that the inherent context window limitations of browser-integrated language models can be effectively overcome while maintaining processing efficiency and output quality. This achievement marks a crucial step forward in making sophisticated AI capabilities accessible directly through the web browser. Our research has shown that by carefully managing browser resources and implementing intelligent chunking strategies, we can process inputs far exceeding the native context window of Gemini Nano without compromising Chrome's performance or user experience. The architecture's ability to dynamically adapt to available browser resources while maintaining semantic coherence across processed chunks demonstrates the viability of complex AI processing tasks within browser constraints. The implications of this work extend beyond technical achievement. By enabling efficient processing of large inputs directly within Chrome, CAG opens new possibilities for privacy-preserving AI applications that can operate entirely within the user's browser. This approach

eliminates the need for external API calls and data transmission, addressing both latency and privacy concerns that have traditionally hindered browser-based AI applications. Furthermore, this implementation serves as a foundation for future browser-based AI capabilities, potentially influencing how next-generation browsers approach integrated AI processing. As browsers continue to evolve and incorporate more sophisticated AI capabilities, the principles and strategies developed in CAG provide a robust framework for managing the inherent tensions between model capability and browser performance.


## References

1. *Artificial intelligence*. (n.d.). Chrome for Developers. <https://developer.chrome.com/docs/ai>
2. Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D. M., Wu, J., Winter, C., . . . Amodi, D. (2020). Language Models are Few-Shot Learners. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2005.14165>
3. *Built-in AI*. (2024, November 13). Chrome for Developers. <https://developer.chrome.com/docs/ai/built-in>
4. Devlin, J., Chang, M., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018). BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1810.04805>
5. *Gemini 2.0: Our latest, most capable AI model yet*. (n.d.). [blog.google](https://blog.google/products/gemini/google-gemini-ai-collection-2024/). <https://blog.google/products/gemini/google-gemini-ai-collection-2024/>
6. *Gemini API | Google AI for Developers*. (n.d.). Google AI for Developers. <https://ai.google.dev/gemini-api/docs>
7. *How to run Gemini Nano locally in your browser*. (n.d.). <https://huggingface.co/blog/Xenova/run-gemini-nano-in-your-browser>
8. *Join the Google Chrome Built-in AI Challenge | Blog | Chrome for Developers*. (n.d.). Chrome for Developers. <https://developer.chrome.com/blog/ai-challenge>



9. *Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners*. (2019). [Journal-article].  
[https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-language-models/language\\_models\\_are\\_unsupervised\\_multitask\\_learners.pdf](https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-language-models/language_models_are_unsupervised_multitask_learners.pdf)
10. Pawar, S., Tonmoy, S. M. T. I., Zaman, S. M. M., Jain, V., Chadha, A., & Das, A. (2024). The What, Why, and how of Context Length Extension Techniques in large Language Models -- a detailed survey. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2401.07872>
11. Peng, B., Quesnelle, J., Fan, H., & Shippole, E. (2023). YARN: Efficient context window extension of large language models. *arXiv (Cornell University)*.  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2309.00071>
12. Raffel, C., Shazeer, N., Roberts, A., Lee, K., Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, Peter J. Liu, & Google. (2020). Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer. In I. Titov (Ed.), *Journal of Machine Learning Research* (Vol. 21, pp. 1–67). <https://jmlr.org/papers/volume21/20-074/20-074.pdf>
13. Rivard, P., & Viswanatha, N. (2024, September 26). Redefining work with Gemini, from the browser to the device. *Google Cloud Blog*.  
<https://cloud.google.com/blog/products/chrome-enterprise/redefining-work-with-gemini-from-the-browser-to-the-device>
14. Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L., & Polosukhin, I. (2017). Attention is all you need. *arXiv (Cornell University)*.  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1706.03762>
15. Wang, X., Salmani, M., Omidi, P., Ren, X., Rezagholizadeh, M., & Eshaghi, A. (2024). Beyond The Limits: A survey of techniques to extend the context length in large language models. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2402.02244>

## Appendix



```
Algorithm: SEQUENTIAL_GENERATION(input_text)
// Processes text sequentially in chunks
chunks = SPLIT_TEXT(input_text, chunk_size, overlap)
output = empty_list

for each chunk in chunks:
    initialize_AI_model()
    prepared_prompt = PREPARE_PROMPT(prompt_template,
chunk) response = PROCESS_WITH_AI(prepared_prompt)
    output.append(response)
    cleanup_AI_model()

return COMBINE_OUTPUTS(output)
```

**Fig 17.** Shows the simpler **SEQUENTIAL\_GENERATION** algorithm, which linearly processes input text by splitting it into chunks, processing each chunk sequentially with an AI model, and combining the outputs into a final result. This algorithm makes a single pass through the input without any recursive refinement.

```

Algorithm: RECURSIVE_GENERATION(input_text, iteration_count = 0)
// Check termination conditions
if iteration_count >= max_iterations:
    return input_text

// Split and process
chunks = SPLIT_TEXT(input_text, chunk_size, overlap)
output = empty_list

for each chunk in chunks:
    try:
        initialize_AI_model()
        prepared_prompt = PREPARE_PROMPT(prompt_template, chunk)
        response = PROCESS_WITH_AI(prepared_prompt)
        output.append(response)
    catch error:
        log_error(chunk, error)
    finally:
        cleanup_AI_model()

combined_result = COMBINE_OUTPUTS(output)

// Check token limit termination condition
if LENGTH(combined_result) <= output_token_limit:
    return combined_result

// Recursive processing
return RECURSIVE_GENERATION(combined_result, iteration_count +
1)

```

**Fig 18.** Displays the **RECURSIVE\_GENERATION** algorithm, which implements an iterative refinement approach with termination conditions. It recursively processes text by splitting it into chunks, processing each chunk with an AI model, and combining the results until either a maximum iteration count or output token limit is reached.

# CAG JS Implementation Example

## Select Dataset

Humongous

Process

## Original Contents

Total Data Size: 813380 bytes

```
{
  "title": "Psychology",
  "originalContent": "Psychology is the scientific study of mind and behavior
  Its subject matter includes the behavior of humans and nonhumans both
  conscious and unconscious phenomena and mental processes such as
  thoughts feelings and motives Psychology is an academic discipline of
  immense scope crossing the boundaries between the natural and social
```

## Compressed Contents

Total Data Size: 55590 bytes

```
[
  {
    "title": "Psychology",
    "originalContent": "Psychology is the scientific study of mind and behavior
    Its subject matter includes the behavior of humans and nonhumans both
    conscious and unconscious phenomena and mental processes such as
    thoughts feelings and motives Psychology is an academic discipline of
```

**Fig 19.** Screenshot of the CAG-JS playground interface demonstrating processing of humongous datasets (CWQ > 4). The interface shows both original content (813,380 bytes) and compressed output (55,590 bytes) for a psychology text sample, achieving **approximately 93% compression** while maintaining semantic integrity. **The example illustrates CAG's capability to handle large-scale content processing within Chrome's Gemini Nano context window constraints.**