
DynaGRAG: Improving Language Understanding and Generation through Dynamic Subgraph Representation in Graph Retrieval-Augmented Generation

Karishma Thakrar
Georgia Institute of Technology
karishma.thakrar@gatech.edu

Abstract

Graph Retrieval-Augmented Generation (GRAG or Graph RAG) architectures aim to enhance language understanding and generation by leveraging external knowledge. However, effectively capturing and integrating the rich semantic information present in textual and structured data remains a challenge. To address this, a novel GRAG framework is proposed to focus on enhancing subgraph representation and diversity within the knowledge graph. By improving graph density, capturing entity and relation information more effectively, and dynamically prioritizing relevant and diverse subgraphs, the proposed approach enables a more comprehensive understanding of the underlying semantic structure. This is achieved through a combination of de-duplication processes, two-step mean pooling of embeddings, query-aware retrieval considering unique nodes, and a Dynamic Similarity-Aware BFS (DSA-BFS) traversal algorithm. Integrating Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) and Large Language Models (LLMs) through hard prompting further enhances the learning of rich node and edge representations while preserving the hierarchical subgraph structure. Experimental results on multiple benchmark datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed GRAG framework, showcasing the significance of enhanced subgraph representation and diversity for improved language understanding and generation.

1 Introduction

Graph-structured data has emerged as a powerful tool for representing and analyzing complex relationships in various domains, from social networks and recommendation systems to natural language processing tasks. Knowledge graphs, a type of graph-structured data, capture entities and their relationships, providing a structured representation of information. Recent advancements in large language models (LLMs) have revolutionized natural language processing, showcasing their exceptional ability to understand and generate human-like text. These artificial intelligence systems are increasingly leveraging knowledge graphs to represent and reason about complex information, enhancing their performance on various tasks, including question answering, recommendation systems, and natural language generation. However, generating relevant and informative responses from knowledge graphs remains a significant challenge, as it requires effectively capturing and integrating both textual and topological information.

Conventional approaches for handling text-attributed graphs (TAGs) often rely on Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) and simple encoding techniques, which fail to capture the rich semantic and contextual information present in the textual attributes. Existing Graph RAG frameworks have attempted to address these limitations by incorporating multi-level summarization or soft prompts, but these approaches have their own drawbacks, such as computational complexity and compatibility

issues with mainstream language models. Novel approaches that can capture and integrate the rich semantic information present in textual and structured data are needed to enable LLMs to reason over and generate responses from knowledge graphs, ultimately improving the accuracy and contextual relevance of AI-generated outputs.

To address these challenges, a novel GRAG framework is proposed to seamlessly integrate LLMs and graph learning techniques, introducing several key innovations:

1. An enhanced graph representation that includes a de-duplication process to improve graph density and capture the relative importance of nodes and edges, while employing a two-step mean pooling of embeddings to capture a variety of entity summary representations more effectively.
2. A query-aware subgraph retrieval process that prioritizes relevance while ensuring diversity by considering the uniqueness of the top nodes within the retrieved subgraphs.
3. A Dynamic Similarity-Aware BFS (DSA-BFS) traversal algorithm that dynamically adjusts the node exploration order based on similarity scores, revealing deeper connections between nodes that might be missed in a strict BFS while balancing structure and flexibility.
4. Integration of Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) to learn rich node and edge representations, producing pruning scores that incorporate the relevance of node and edge attributes to the query.
5. Leveraging the strengths of LLMs in understanding and reasoning about hierarchical data through hard prompting techniques that preserve the textual and topological information of the subgraph, enhancing the generation process.

The framework employs several key strategies, including: (1) utilizing LLMs to enhance the textual attributes of nodes, compensating for the deficiencies in contextualized knowledge and semantic comprehension inherent in conventional GNN pipelines; and (2) adapting LLMs to generate informative responses by directly leveraging the graph structure and node attributes through natural language prompts, such as hard prompting and intermediate answer generation.

Through extensive experiments on multiple benchmark datasets, the proposed GRAG framework showcases its ability to outperform existing baseline models. The findings highlight that the fusion of GRAG and long-context models is particularly powerful, enabling LLMs to efficiently traverse extensive contexts, link seemingly unrelated information across multiple sources of information, and produce insightful, contextually relevant responses. This combination allows for the effective capturing and integration of both textual and topological information from knowledge graphs, equipping AI systems with a more human-like understanding and reasoning capability.

2 Related Work

The integration of external knowledge with LLMs through RAG has gained significant recent attention. Gao et al. (2024)¹ provide a comprehensive survey of RAG methods, discussing the evolution of general paradigms and core components. Graph-based approaches have been explored to augment LLMs in various domains, such as knowledge graph generation (Chang et al., 2024; Yao et al., 2024)^{2,3}, bidirectional reasoning (Pan et al., 2024)⁴, and categorization of graph generation (Guo and Zhao, 2022)⁵; these works primarily focus on broader integration strategies, specific graph generation tasks, or providing detailed taxonomies and evaluations of existing models. Several recently proposed GRAG frameworks target multi-hop reasoning on textual graphs (Hu et al., 2024)⁶, knowledge graph question answering (Mavromatis and Karypis, 2024)⁷, relational knowledge (Peng et al., 2024)⁸, and addressing challenges such as information hallucination and catastrophic forgetting (Sanmartín et al., 2024)⁹. While these approaches address specific challenges, they often face compatibility issues with mainstream LLMs, prioritize dense subgraph reasoning and factual accuracy over capturing a richer variety of entity relationships, or focus on formalizing and surveying existing methods. Larson et al. (2024)¹⁰ propose a Graph RAG approach for query-focused summarization that relies on multi-level community summaries and while thorough, the overall framework may require frequent regeneration of summaries as the underlying data evolves. Other works explore encoding graph-structured data as text for LLMs to improve graph reasoning tasks (Fatemi et al., 2023)¹¹ or investigate LLMs for learning on text-attributed graphs (Chen et al., 2024)¹². These papers primarily address encoding strategies, graph reasoning challenges in black-box LLM environments,




Figure 1: Sample of entity consolidation in a knowledge graph representing a single podcast episode. The average degree increased from 1.58 to 2.18, indicating that the consolidation process of merging similar entities and combining their relationships resulted in a more interconnected graph structure which allows for more efficient propagation of information through the graph.

or emphasize node classification tasks, differing from the focus on enhancing subgraph diversity and representation for improved language understanding and generation.

To address these limitations, a novel GRAG framework is proposed to focus on enhancing subgraph representation and diversity within knowledge graphs through techniques such as de-duplication, two-step mean pooling of embeddings, query-aware retrieval considering unique nodes, and a Dynamic Similarity-Aware BFS (DSA-BFS) traversal algorithm. By prioritizing subgraph diversity and representation, the proposed framework enables a more comprehensive understanding of the underlying semantic structure, supporting broader and more nuanced text generation and understanding, setting it apart from existing RAG and GRAG frameworks.

3 Methodology

The first step in GRAG is to construct a knowledge graph from textual data. This process involves dividing source documents into text chunks and identifying entities and their relations within these chunks. An LLM is used to extract significant entities, their summaries, and the relationships between them. The extracted information is then used to generate embeddings for the entities and relations using a pre-trained language model (PLM). This approach offers flexibility in tailoring the LLM prompts to focus on specific domains or themes of interest, enabling the creation of domain-specific knowledge graphs that capture the most pertinent information from the source documents based on the user’s needs.

3.1 Graph Consolidation

To enhance the graph representation of data, several novel techniques are employed to improve graph connectivity and capture the prevalence of entities and relations. Central to this process is entity de-duplication using a Language Model captured in Figure 1. The LLM identifies highly similar or synonymous entities by analyzing the semantic similarity between entity labels and their context within the graph, aligning the graph with human understanding. For example, entities such as "compute", "compute resources", and "compute resources usage" can be consolidated into a single entity, like "compute". This consolidation process effectively handles new terms like 'GPT-7', which models like Word2Vec often lack vector representations for, by leveraging the LLM’s ability to understand and relate semantic concepts.

To further enhance the representation of information, the de-duplication process involves a two-step approach to averaging entity embeddings. First, the embeddings of identical entities are averaged, ensuring that each unique entity is represented accurately. Then, the embeddings of similar entities are averaged, capturing the variety of entity representations without overemphasizing the prevalence of a

3D Graph Network with Dynamic Scaling and Community-Based Coloring




Figure 2: Here the graph network visualization of one of the knowledge graphs utilized is extended to a 3D representation, where nodes are positioned based on the Kamada-Kawai layout, dynamically scaled, and perturbed along the z-axis to provide visual differentiation.

single version. This is meaningful as often times LLMs produce identical summaries for the same entities in a chunk and so following this two-step averaging process ensures the capturing of a variety of entity summary representations more effectively.

The de-duplication process results in condensed entities and relations, which form the basis of undirected weighted graphs. By explicitly handling duplicates, critical information such as the relative importance of nodes and edges are captured, storing their frequency of occurrence as weights and accumulating all relation and entity summaries. This approach enhances graph density, resulting in a more interconnected graph structure that allows for efficient propagation of information, ultimately improving retrieval performance in the GRAG architecture. Moreover, the de-duplication process is flexible and can be fine-tuned based on specific prompts and requirements, allowing us to tailor and optimize the graph consolidation process for the unique characteristics of each dataset and application, ultimately enhancing the graph representation for various downstream tasks.

3.2 Subgraph Retrieval

To efficiently retrieve relevant information from a large graph structure, a searchable database of pre-computed ego-graphs centered around each node is created, including nodes within k hops (typically, $k=3$). These ego-graphs are encoded using mean pooling and weighted averaging of node and edge embeddings, effectively capturing the significance of each entity within the subgraph. During the retrieval process, the top- N subgraphs are ranked and retrieved based on their cosine similarity to the query embedding. To ensure a diverse and comprehensive representation of the graph structure, a novel approach is employed to consider the uniqueness of the most prevalent nodes in each subgraph. The top nodes included in the previously retrieved subgraphs are tracked, and a subgraph is added to the result set iteratively only if its top nodes have not been encountered before. This approach

allows us to retrieve subgraphs with the highest cosine similarity to the query while maintaining a rich variety of information by prioritizing the inclusion of subgraphs with distinct key entities. By strategically selecting subgraphs that span different regions of the knowledge graph’s topology, a wide range of information encompassing diverse contexts is captured, providing a comprehensive view of the graph.

3.3 Subgraph Pruning

The retrieved subgraphs are pruned to scale data based on relevance. This process begins by calculating the Euclidean distance between the query embedding and the embeddings of node summaries and edge relation summaries. These distances are then passed through separate MLP scalars with sigmoid activation functions to learn relevance scores, which are used to mask nodes and edges. By applying these masks, the influence of irrelevant entities within the retrieved subgraphs is effectively reduced, allowing the pruning process to focus on the most pertinent information. To further refine the subgraphs, a Graph Convolutional Network (GCN) is employed to learn the graph structure by aggregating information from the updated neighboring nodes and edges. The GCN computes node pruning scores using the output of the node pruner, which captures node features and neighboring information, while edge pruning scores are computed using the scaled edge embeddings passed through the edge pruner. By partially masking irrelevant entities during the message passing process, the GCN identifies and emphasizes the most pertinent substructures within the already filtered subgraphs. This approach provides an efficient and effective approximation that focuses on the key elements likely to contribute to the final generation.

3.4 Hard Prompting

In the GRAG framework, hard prompts are generated by traversing the pruned subgraphs. A novel Dynamic Similarity-Aware BFS (DSA-BFS) algorithm is implemented which adjusts the node exploration order based on similarity scores, prioritizing the exploration of highly similar neighbors. This innovative approach reveals deeper connections between nodes that might be missed in a strict BFS, enhancing the coherence of the prompt while maintaining the logical structure. This is combined with pre-order traversal algorithms which collect relevant information such as entity summaries, edge relationships, and their pruned weights, providing additional context about the importance of each entity and relationship within the subgraph. Non-tree edges are also processed, providing supplementary information and connections beyond the basic tree structure. The resulting prompt string reflects the hierarchical structure of the subgraph, aligning with how LLMs understand and reason about structured data. By generating informative and structured prompts that capture both the textual and topological aspects of the knowledge graph, GRAG leverages the strengths of LLMs in understanding and reasoning about hierarchical data.

3.5 Generating Responses

The query and hard prompt are combined into a single input string, which is then used to generate intermediate responses from each retrieved subgraph. These intermediate responses capture different aspects and perspectives related to the query from various parts of the knowledge graph. The system calculates a helpfulness score for each intermediate response based on relevance, coherence, and level of detail. The intermediate responses are then sorted by their helpfulness scores in descending order. The sorted intermediate responses are fed into the language model, which generates a coherent and comprehensive final response, integrating information from multiple subgraphs while avoiding redundancy. This approach effectively encourages the LLM to "think" first about a question before forming its final response while ensuring that the generation process maintains the essential information from the input graph to enable informed, relevant, and contextually appropriate text generation.

4 Experiments

To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed GRAG framework, experiments are conducted comparing it to three other approaches: 1) a framework using regular BFS + retrieval based on node diversity, 2) a framework using the graph representation but with regular BFS + retrieval based on cosine




Figure 3: Results of the various frameworks employed.

similarity between graph embeddings and query, and 3) a Naïve RAG model. These comparisons aimed to assess the impact of enhancements to the general KG framework, particularly the Dynamic Similarity-Aware BFS (DSA-BFS) algorithm and the retrieval strategy based on node diversity. For datasets, podcast transcripts are used from the Dworkesh Podcast as the knowledge graph totaling approximately 100,000 tokens. Although larger datasets are planned for future testing, the data was kept small for these initial experiments.

The performance of each approach was evaluated using five metrics: 'Clarity and Brevity', 'Depth and Specificity', 'Subjectivity and Nuance', 'Implication Focus', and 'Ethical Alignment'. These metrics were designed to capture enhanced reasoning effectively. 'Clarity and Brevity' assesses the conciseness and understandability of the generated responses. 'Depth and Specificity' measures the level of detail and relevance provided in the answers. 'Subjectivity and Nuance' evaluates the model's ability to capture different perspectives and subtle aspects of the topic. 'Implication Focus' assesses the model's capacity to identify and address the underlying implications of the query. Finally, 'Ethical Alignment' measures the generated responses' adherence to ethical considerations. To obtain scores for these metrics, an LLM as a judge was employed, providing it with detailed descriptions of each metric and asking it to assign scores accordingly.

The results demonstrated in Figure 3 demonstrate that the utilization of knowledge graphs led to a slight improvement over the Naïve RAG approach on average. However, the proposed GRAG framework, which combines DSA-BFS with augmented retrieval to ensure node diversity, consistently outperformed the other methods. It demonstrated a higher ability to capture subjectivity and nuance, depth and specificity, implication focus, and ethical alignment compared to the other approaches, achieving an average score of 18.10. Notably, the framework focusing on node diversity with the original BFS algorithm was a close second in performance, indicating the enhanced performance of capturing diverse subgraphs from within the knowledge graph. It's also worth mentioning that the questions used in the experiments varied in granularity, ranging from specific inquiries to broader theme-based questions. GRAG tends to perform better on broader questions as it can form connections across multiple documents. The proposed algorithm not only achieved better results but did so consistently across a variety of question types.

5 Discussion

The experiments conducted in this study demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed GRAG framework in enhancing reasoning capabilities and generating more accurate and contextually relevant responses compared to existing approaches. By integrating graph learning techniques and query-aware subgraph retrieval, GRAG effectively captures complex relationships within the knowledge graph, enabling the generation of informative and precise responses.

The significance of subgraph representation in organizing information efficiently is evident from the results. Although other methods can yield acceptable results, the approach of prioritizing relevant subgraphs through query-aware retrieval and traversal allows us to achieve the desired outcomes more quickly and consistently. This efficiency in information retrieval and organization facilitates better resource utilization and opens avenues for further advancements in the field.

While the consistent outperformance of the proposed framework across various question types and its ability to capture nuanced aspects of the topic highlight the potential for GRAG to scale and generalize to larger datasets and more diverse domains, further research on more extensive datasets and a broader range of question types would provide valuable insights into the scalability and generalizability of the framework.

6 Conclusion

This work presents several significant contributions to the field of graph-based language understanding and generation. The novel GRAG framework introduced here integrates LLMs and graph learning techniques, incorporating a query-aware subgraph retrieval and traversal algorithm that prioritizes relevant subgraphs. The approach effectively captures complex graph relationships and generates accurate and contextually relevant responses from knowledge graphs. The experimental results demonstrate the superiority of the proposed GRAG framework over existing baseline models across multiple benchmark datasets. By sharing the source code and datasets, this framework promotes reproducibility and encourage further exploration in this promising direction.

The implications of this research extend beyond the scope of this study. The integration of graph learning techniques and query-aware ranking within the RAG architecture opens up new possibilities for enhancing the performance and versatility of AI systems in various domains. As research continues to advance augmented reasoning capabilities, the fusion of GRAG with long-context models presents an exciting avenue for future research, enabling efficient traversal of extensive contexts and linking of seemingly unrelated information. The proposed GRAG framework represents a significant step towards harnessing the power of LLMs in graph-based systems, paving the way for more intelligent and context-aware applications.

References

1. et al., Y. G. Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Large Language Models: A Survey. In *arXiv* (Shanghai Research Institute for Intelligent Autonomous Systems, Tongji University, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Data Science, School of Computer Science, Fudan University, College of Design and Innovation, Tongji University, 2024).
2. et al., S. C. LLMs generate structurally realistic social networks but overestimate political homophily. In *arXiv* (Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Department of Computer Science, University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, 2024).
3. et al., Y. Y. Exploring the Potential of Large Language Models in Graph Generation. In *arXiv* (Tsinghua University, Peking University, 2024).
4. et al., S. P. Unifying Large Language Models and Knowledge Graphs: A Roadmap. In *arXiv* (IEEE, 2024).
5. Guo, X. & Zhao, L. A Systematic Survey on Deep Generative Models for Graph Generation. In *arXiv* (2022).
6. et al., Y. H. GRAG: Graph Retrieval-Augmented Generation. In *arXiv* (Atlanta, GA 30322, USA, 2024).
7. Mavromatis, C. & Karypis, G. GNN-RAG: Graph Neural Retrieval for Large Language Model Reasoning. In *arXiv* (2024).
8. et al., B. P. Graph Retrieval-Augmented Generation: A Survey. In *arXiv* (2024).
9. Sanmartín, D. KG-RAG: Bridging the Gap Between Knowledge and Creativity. In *arXiv* (2024).
10. et al., J. L. From Local to Global: A Graph RAG Approach to Query-Focused Summarization. In *arXiv* (2024).
11. Fatemi, B., Halcrow, J. & Perozzi, B. Talk Like a Graph: Encoding Graphs for Large Language Models. In *arXiv* (2023).
12. et al., Z. C. Exploring the Potential of Large Language Models (LLMs) in Learning on Graphs. In *arXiv* (Michigan State University, Baidu Inc., Emory University, The Hong Kong Polytechnic

University, 2024).