

Total restrained coalitions in graphs

M. Chellali ¹, J.C. Valenzuela-Tripodoro ², H. Golmohammadi ^{3,4},
I.I. Takhonov ³, N.A. Matrokhin ³

January 22, 2025

¹LAMDA-RO Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, University of Blida,
Blida, Algeria

²Department of Mathematics, University of Cádiz, Spain

³Novosibirsk State University, Pirogova str. 2, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

⁴Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Ak. Koptuyug av. 4, Novosibirsk, 630090,
Russia

m_chellali@yahoo.com jcarlos.valenzuela@uca.es
h.golmohammadi@ng.nsu.ru i.takhonov@ng.nsu.ru
n.matrokhin@ng.nsu.ru

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of total restrained coalition and total restrained coalition partition in graphs. A vertex set in a graph without isolated vertices is a total restrained dominating set (TRD-set) if it is dominating, induces a subgraph without isolated vertices, and the vertices not in the set also induce a subgraph without isolated vertices. Two vertex sets, which are not TRD-sets, form a total restrained coalition if their union is a TRD-set. A total restrained coalition partition is a partition where none of its elements are TRD-sets, but each forms a total restrained coalition with another element. The goal is to maximize the cardinality of such a partition, denoted $C_{tr}(G)$. We initiate the study of this concept by proving certain properties, extremal values, general bounds, and its relation to known structural parameters. Exact values for specific graph families are also provided.

Keywords: Coalition; total restrained coalition, total restrained dominating set.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C60.

1 Introduction

Throughout this article, we only consider finite and simple graphs without isolated vertices. For such a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a vertex $v \in V$, we denote by $N(v) := \{w \in V \mid vw \in E\}$ the open neighborhood of v and by $N[v] := N(v) \cup \{v\}$ its closed neighborhood. The order of a graph G refers to the cardinality $|V|$ of its set of vertices. Each vertex of $N(v)$ is called a neighbor of v , and the cardinality of $|N(v)|$ is called the degree of v , denoted by $\deg(v)$. The minimum and maximum degree of graph vertices are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. An isolated vertex in G is a vertex of degree 0. A graph is isolate-free if it contains no isolated vertex.

A set $S \subseteq V$ is called a dominating set if every vertex of $V \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S . Further if every vertex in G is adjacent to some other vertex in S , then S is a total dominating set, abbreviated TD-set of G . The domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G , while the total domination number $\gamma_t(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of a TD-set of G . Various aspects of domination are well studied in the literature, and a thorough study of domination appears in [11, 12].

Given a graph G , a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be a total restrained dominating set (abbreviated TRD-set) of G if every vertex in $V \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S and at least one other vertex in $V \setminus S$, and every vertex in S is adjacent to at least one other vertex in S .

The total restrained domination number of G , denoted by $\gamma_{tr}(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimum TRD-set of G . It is worth mentioning that every graph without isolated vertices has a TRD-set, since $S = V$ is such a set. The concept of the total restrained domination was introduced by Telle and Proskurovsky [14], although implicitly, as a vertex partitioning problem. Total restrained domination in graphs is well studied in the literature. For more details we refer the reader to the recent book chapter by Hattingh and Joubert [6].

Let \mathcal{D} be a partition of the vertex set $V(G)$ of G . If all sets of \mathcal{D} are total dominating sets in G , then \mathcal{D} is called a total domatic partition of G . The maximum number of sets of a total domatic partition of G is the total domatic number $d_t(G)$ of G . In [15], Zelinka studied this concept. Analogously the total restrained domatic partition is a partition of vertices of a graph into total restrained dominating sets. The maximum cardinality of a total restrained domatic partition is called the total restrained domatic number, denoted by $d_t^r(G)$. The total restrained domatic number of a graph was introduced by Zelinka in [16].

Fairly recently, the concept of coalition in graphs has triggered a great deal of interest due to its definition, which is based on dominating sets. A coalition in a graph G is composed of two disjoint sets of vertices X and Y of G , neither of

which is a dominating set but whose union $X \cup Y$ is a dominating set of G . A coalition partition is a vertex partition $\pi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of V such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ the set V_i is either a dominating set and $|V_i| = 1$, or there exists another set V_j so that they form a coalition. The maximum cardinality of a coalition partition is called the coalition number of the graph, and denoted by $C(G)$. Coalitions in graphs were introduced and first studied by Haynes et al. in [7], and have been studied further [4, 8, 9, 10]. Several types of domination coalitions have been studied by imposing additional conditions on the domination coalition, see [1, 2, 3, 5, 13]. The aim of this paper is to introduce and study the concept of total restrained coalition in graphs. We begin with the following definitions.

Definition 1.1 (Total restrained coalition) *Two disjoint sets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$ form a total restrained coalition in a graph G if they are not TRD-sets but their union is a TRD-set in G .*

Definition 1.2 (Total restrained coalition partition) *A total restrained coalition partition, abbreviated as a trc-partition, of a graph G is a partition $\Phi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of the vertex set V such that any $V_i \in \Phi, 1 \leq i \leq k$, is not a TRD-set but forms a total restrained coalition with another set $V_j \in \Phi$. The maximum cardinality of a total restrained coalition partition is called the total restrained coalition number of G and denoted by $C_{tr}(G)$. A trc-partition of G of cardinality $C_{tr}(G)$ is called a $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition.*

Since every TRD-set in G is a TD-set, a natural question that arises is whether both problems are equivalent. Consider the cycle graph C_3 with $V(C_3) = \{x, y, z\}$. The trc-partitions of C_3 with two elements are

$$\Phi_1 = \{\{x\}, \{y, z\}\}, \quad \Phi_2 = \{\{y\}, \{x, z\}\}, \quad \Phi_3 = \{\{z\}, \{x, y\}\}.$$

First, note that none of the trc-partitions Φ_1 , Φ_2 , or Φ_3 qualifies as a tc-partition, because each contains a two-vertex set that is a total dominating set.

Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that $\{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}\}$ is a tc-partition but not a trc-partition of C_3 , leading to the inequality

$$2 = C_{tr}(C_3) < C_t(C_3) = 3.$$

Therefore, both problems are not equivalent, and it is worth studying the total restrained coalition partition problem.

The main contributions of this work are as follows. In Section 2, we first discuss the possibility of the existence of trc-partitions in graphs and derive some bounds. In Section 3, we determine the total restrained coalition number for some classes of graphs. In Section 4, we are interested in graphs with a large total restrained coalition number.

2 Properties and bounds

In this section, we present basic properties and bounds on the total restrained coalition number. We first call up the following trivial observation that we need for what follows.

Observation 2.1 [6] *Every graph G without an isolated vertex has a TRD-set.*

Now we state the following observation about the total restrained coalition number of a graph G .

Observation 2.2 *If a graph G contains an isolated vertex, then $C_{tr}(G) = 0$.*

We are now in a position to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3 *Let G be an isolate-free graph. Then G has, at least, a trc-partition and $C_{tr}(G) \geq 2d_t^r(G)$.*

Proof. Consider a graph G with a total restrained domatic partition $\mathcal{D} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$, with $k = d_t^r$. In what follows we demonstrate the process of constructing a trc-partition Φ of G . For any integer $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, assume that S_i is a minimal TRD-set of G . If it is not, then there exists a minimal TRD-set $S'_i \subseteq S_i$. In this case, we replace S_i with S'_i and put all members of $S_i \setminus S'_i$ to S_k . In order to create a trc-partition, Φ of G , we divide each minimal TRD-set S_i with $i < k$ into two non-empty sets $S_{i,1}$ and $S_{i,2}$ and add them to Φ . Note that neither $S_{i,1}$ nor $S_{i,2}$ is a TRD-set, but their union is a TRD-set. Next, we consider the set S_k . If S_k is a minimal TRD-set, we split it into two non-empty sets $S_{k,1}$ and $S_{k,2}$ and attach them to Φ . So, we obtain a trc-partition Φ of cardinality $2d_t^r$.

If S_k is not a minimal TRD-set, there exists a set $S'_k \subseteq S_k$ that is minimal and total restrained dominating. We split S'_k into two non-empty sets $S'_{k,1}$ and $S'_{k,2}$ and attach them to Φ . Let $S''_k = S_k \setminus S'_k$. It is worth emphasizing that S''_k cannot be a TRD-set, as this would imply that $d_t^r(G) > k$, against our assumptions. If S''_k forms a total restrained coalition with any set in Φ , we attach it to Φ and finish the construction process obtaining a total restrained coalition partition Φ , of cardinality at least $2k + 1 \geq 2d_t^r$. Otherwise, by replacing $S'_{k,2}$ with $S'_{k,2} \cup S''_k$ in Φ we obtain a trc-partition with cardinality $2k = 2d_t^r$. \square

It is clear that for all graphs G without isolated vertices, $d_t^r(G) \geq 1$. By Theorem 2.3 we infer the following result.

Corollary 2.4 *If G is an isolate-free graph, then $2 \leq C_{tr}(G) \leq n$.*

Notice that if an isolate-free graph G satisfies $C_{tr}(G) = 2$, then we must have $d_t^r(G) = 1$. However, the converse is not true and this can be seen by the cycle C_5 , where $d_t^r(C_5) = 1$ and $C_{tr}(C_5) = 3$.

We next recall the following result due to Zelinka [16].

Theorem 2.5 [16] *Let G be a graph without isolated vertices. Then $d_t^r(G) = d_t(G)$.*

Plugging the result of Theorem 2.5 into the bound of Theorem 2.3 immediately yields the following result.

Corollary 2.6 *Let G be a graph without isolated vertices. Then $C_{tr}(G) \geq 2d_t(G)$.*

In [15], Zelinka showed that if G is an isolate-free graph of order n and minimum degree δ , then $d_t(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{n-\delta+1} \rfloor$. As a consequence of this result and Corollary 2.6, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.7 *For any isolate-free graph G , $C_{tr}(G) \geq 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{n-\delta+1} \rfloor$.*

Restricted to connected graphs G with minimum degree at least two and girth seven or more, we provide a lower bound for $C_{tr}(G)$ in terms of the maximum degree.

Theorem 2.8 *Let G be a connected graph with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 2$, maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ and girth at least 7. Then $C_{tr}(G) \geq \Delta(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let $\delta(G) = \delta$ and $\Delta(G) = \Delta$. Let w be a vertex with maximum degree, and let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_\Delta$ denote the neighbors of w . Clearly, $N(w)$ is independent, for otherwise G has a triangle contradicting the assumption on the girth. The same argument of the girth together with the fact $\delta \geq 2$ also imply $V(G) - N[w]$ is non empty. Let $A = V(G) - N[w]$. Clearly, since $\delta \geq 2$, each $w_i \in N(w)$ has at least one neighbor in A other than w . For any $w_i \in N(w)$, let w'_i denote a neighbor of w_i in $A - \{w\}$. Recall that w has no neighbor in A and thus $ww'_i \notin E(G)$. We make some useful remarks for the following. For any two distinct vertices $w_i, w_j \in N(w)$, we have:

- (i) $w'_i \neq w'_j$, for otherwise vertices w, w_i, w_j and w'_i induce a cycle C_4 , contradicting G has girth at least 7.
- (ii) $w'_i w'_j \notin E(G)$, for otherwise vertices w, w_i, w_j, w'_i and w'_j induce a cycle C_5 , a contradiction too.
- (iii) No vertex x in A is adjacent to both w'_i and w'_j , for otherwise w, w_i, w_j, w'_i, w'_j and x induce a cycle C_6 , a contradiction.

Accordingly, since $\delta \geq 2$, each vertex $A - \{w\}$ still has a neighbor in A . In particular, $A - \{w, w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_\Delta\}$ is non empty and induce an isolate-free subgraph. Now, consider the partition $\Phi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\Delta, V_{\Delta+1}\}$, where for any $i \in \{1, \dots, \Delta\}$, each $V_i = \{w_i, w'_i\}$ and $V_{\Delta+1} = A - \{w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_\Delta\}$. Clearly since $w \in V_{\Delta+1}$ and w has no neighbor in $V_{\Delta+1}$, no set of Φ is a TRD-set. Moreover, it is not hard to notice that $V_{\Delta+1}$ forms a total restrained coalition with any other set of Φ , leading to $C_{tr}(G) \geq |\Phi| = \Delta + 1$. \square

The bound established in Theorem 2.8 is tight, as demonstrated, for example, by any cycle C_n where $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 7$. (see Th. 3.9)

We next present a technical lemma, which gives us the number of total restrained coalitions involving any set in a $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition of G .

Lemma 2.9 *If G is an isolate-free graph, then for any $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition Φ and for any $X \in \Phi$, the number of total restrained coalitions formed by X is at most $\Delta(G)$.*

Proof. Since $X \in \Phi$, X is not a TRD-set. We now distinguish two cases.

Case 1. There is a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $N(v) \cap X = \emptyset$.

We first assume that $v \in X$. If a set $A \in \Phi$ forms a total restrained coalition with X , then $A \cup X$ is a TRD-set of G . So v must have at least one neighbor in A . Thus, there are at most $|N(v)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ other sets that can be in a total restrained coalition with X , and consequently, X is in at most $\Delta(G)$ total restrained coalitions. Next let $v \notin X$ and $X \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. Then, each set of Φ which is in a total restrained coalition with X must contain at least one of the members of $N[v]$. We claim that there is no set $Y \in \Phi$ that forms a total restrained coalition with X and $Y \cap N[v] = \{v\}$. Suppose to the contrary that there is a set $Y \in \Phi$ that forms a total restrained coalition with X and $Y \cap N[v] = \{v\}$. Thus $X \cup Y$ is a TRD-set. This implies that v has a neighbor in $X \cup Y$, contradicting our assumption $X \cap N(v) = \emptyset$ and $Y \cap N(v) = \emptyset$. This proves the claim. Consequently, there exists a unique set Y among all sets of Φ forming a total restrained coalition with X , where v belongs to Y and Y has a non-empty intersection with $N(v)$. This implies that the largest possible number of sets in Φ forming a total restrained coalition with X is no more than $|N(v)|$. Therefore, the total number of sets of Φ forming a total restrained coalition with X is at most $\Delta(G)$.

Case 2. There is a vertex $v \in V - X$ such that $N(v) \cap (V - X) = \emptyset$.

In this case, we prove that there is exactly one set in Φ that forms a total restrained coalition with X . Assume that $W \in \Phi \setminus \{X\}$ such that $\{X, W\}$ is a tr-coalition. If $v \notin W$ then $v \notin X \cup W$ and therefore $N(v) \cap V \setminus (X \cup W) \neq \emptyset$ because $X \cup W$ is a TRD-set in G . The latter is a contradiction because $N(v) \subseteq X$. Hence,

it must be that $v \in W$. and thus, W is the only set that forms a total restrained coalition with X .

It follows from the two cases above that X belongs to, at most, $\Delta(G)$ total restrained coalitions. \square

Now we prove the following lemmas for graphs with leaves.

Lemma 2.10 *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) = 1$, and let x be a leaf of G and y be the support vertex of x . Let Φ be a $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition, and let $X, Y \in \Phi$ such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ (possibly $X = Y$). For any two sets $A, B \in \Phi$ that form a total restrained coalition, we have $A \in \{X, Y\}$ or $B \in \{X, Y\}$.*

Proof. Since A and B form a total restrained coalition, $A \cup B$ is a TRD-set of G . If $A \notin \{X, Y\}$ and $B \notin \{X, Y\}$, then the vertex x has no neighbor in $A \cup B$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $A \in \{X, Y\}$ or $B \in \{X, Y\}$. \square

Remark 2.11 *Since, by the definition of a total restrained dominating S set, we may deduce that $\deg(v) \geq 2$ for every vertex $v \notin S$. Consequently, any leaf of G must belong to S .*

We establish next an upper bound on the total restrained coalition in terms of the maximum degree of G .


Theorem 2.12 *Let G be an isolate-free graph with $\delta(G) = 1$. Then, $C_{tr}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$.*

Proof. Let x be a vertex of G with $\deg(x) = 1$ and let $\Phi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ be a $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x \in V_1$. If $\{V_i, V_j\} \subseteq \Phi$ form a total restrained coalition then, by Remark 2.11, we have that $x \in V_i \cup V_j$. Consequently, $V_1 \in \{V_i, V_j\}$. By Lemma 2.9, V_1 is in total restrained coalition with at most $\Delta(G)$ sets of Φ . Hence, $C_{tr}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$.

Let us point out that the bound given by Theorem 2.12 is sharp. To see this, it is sufficient to consider the graph depicted in Figure 1, where V_1 forms a tr-coalition with any of the remaining sets V_2, V_3 , or V_4 .

Theorem 2.13 *Let G be an isolate-free graph with $\delta(G) = 2$. Then, $C_{tr}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G)$.*

Proof. Let x be a vertex of G with $\deg(x) = 2$, and suppose that $N(x) = \{y, z\}$. Let Φ be a $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition. We now distinguish the following cases.



$$\Phi = \{V_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}, V_2 = \{v_3, v_4\}, V_3 = \{v_5, v_6\}, V_4 = \{v_7, v_8\}\}$$

Figure 1: A graph attaining the bound given by Theorem 2.12.

- **Case 1.** There is a set $U \in \Phi$ such that $\{x, y, z\} \subseteq U$. Then, each set of $\Phi \setminus U$ must form a total restrained coalition with U . Otherwise, we would have two distinct sets $A, B \in \Phi$ forming a total restrained coalition. Thus, x must have at least one neighbor in $A \cup B$, contradicting our supposition that $\deg(x) = 2$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.9, U is in total restrained coalitions with at most $\Delta(G)$ sets. Consequently, $C_{tr}(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1 \leq 2\Delta(G) + 1$.
- **Case 2.** Assume that $X, A \in \Phi$ such that $x \in X$ and $\{y, z\} \subseteq A$. Since $N(x) \subseteq A$, there is no set $B \neq A$ that forms a total restrained coalition with X . So X forms a total restrained coalition only with A . Moreover, A does not form a total restrained coalition with any other set in Φ other than X . Otherwise, we would have a set $C \in \Phi$ forming a total restrained coalition with A . Thus, x must have at least one neighbor outside in $A \cup C$, contradicting our supposition that $\deg(x) = 2$. Hence, $C_{tr}(G) \leq 2$.
- **Case 3.** Assume that $Y, B \in \Phi$ such that $y \in Y$ and $\{x, z\} \subseteq B$. Then, each set of $\Phi \setminus \{Y, B\}$ form a total restrained coalition with Y or B . Otherwise, we would have two distinct sets $C, D \in \Phi$ forming a total restrained coalition. Thus, x must have at least one neighbor in $C \cup D$, contradicting our supposition that $\deg(x) = 2$. If Y and B form a total restrained coalition, by Lemma 2.9, we have $C_{tr}(G) \leq \Delta(G) - 1 + \Delta(G) - 1 + 1 + 1 = 2\Delta(G)$. Next, suppose that Y and B do not form a total restrained coalition. We consider two subcases.

- **Subcase 3.1.** There exists a vertex $w \in V(G)$ having no neighbor in $Y \cup B$. Since any set of $\Phi \setminus \{Y, B\}$ form a total restrained coalition with Y or B , in order to totally restrained dominate the vertex w , any set of $\Phi \setminus \{Y, B\}$ must contain at least one of the members of $N(w)$. So, by Lemma 2.9, $C_{tr}(G) \leq |N(w)| + 2 \leq \Delta(G) + 2 \leq 2\Delta(G) + 1$.
- **Subcase 3.2.** There exists a vertex $w \in (V - (Y \cup B))$ such that $N(w) \cap (V - (Y \cup B)) = \emptyset$. It follows that $N(w) \subseteq (Y \cup B)$. Then all TRD-sets must contain the vertex w , as each set of $\Phi \setminus \{Y, B\}$ form a total restrained coalition with Y or B . This yields that w is totally restrained dominated. Since x and y are adjacent, we deduce that there are at most $|N(y)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ sets containing a member of $N(y)$. Thus, the set Y is in at most $|N(y)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ total restrained coalitions. Analogously, we observe that the set B is in at most $|N(z)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ total restrained coalitions. Hence, $C_{tr}(G) \leq \Delta(G) - 1 + \Delta(G) - 1 + 2 = 2\Delta(G) \leq 2\Delta(G) + 1$.
- **Case 4.** There are two distinct sets $Z, C \in \Phi$ such that $z \in Z$ and $\{x, y\} \subseteq C$. The proof is similar to the proof of **Case 3**.
- **Case 5.** Assume that $X, Y, Z \in \Phi$ such that $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$. We claim the following facts,
 - (5.i) If $X, T \in \Phi$ form a tr-coalition then $T \in \{Y, Z\}$. This is because the neighbors of x belongs to $Y \cup Z$.
 - (5.ii) Y, Z can not form a tr-coalition because otherwise $x \notin Y \cup Z$ would not be total restrained dominated.
 - (5.iii) If $Y, T \in \Phi \setminus \{X, Z\}$ form a tr-coalition then $N(z) \cap (Y \cup T) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, the vertex z , which does not belongs to $Y \cup T$, would not be total restrained dominated by $Y \cup T$.
 - (5.iv) If $Z, T \in \Phi \setminus \{X, Y\}$ form a tr-coalition then $N(y) \cap (Z \cup T) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, the vertex y , which does not belongs to $Z \cup T$, would not be total restrained dominated by $Z \cup T$.

Now, let us distinguish three different cases,

- If $N(z) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ or $N(z) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ then by considering (5.iii) we know that Y can form a tr-coalition with, at most, $|N(z)| - 2$ different sets T . Since x and y are adjacent, we deduce that there are at most $|N(y)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ sets which contain a member of $N(y)$. Thus, the set Z is in at most $|N(y)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ total restrained coalitions. Therefore,

$$C_{tr}(G) \leq |N(z)| - 2 + |N(y)| - 1 + 3 \leq 2\Delta(G)$$

- If $N(y) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ or $N(y) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ then by considering (5.iv) we know that Z can form a tr-coalition with, at most, $|N(y)| - 2$ different sets T . Since x and z are adjacent, we deduce that there are at most $|N(z)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ sets which contain a member of $N(z)$. Thus, the set Y is in at most $|N(z)| - 1 \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ total restrained coalitions. Therefore,

$$C_{tr}(G) \leq |N(z)| - 1 + |N(y)| - 2 + 3 \leq 2\Delta(G)$$

- Otherwise, assume that $N(z) \cap Z = N(z) \cap Y = N(y) \cap Z = N(y) \cap Y = \emptyset$. If T form a tr-coalition with Y then $N(z) \cap T \neq \emptyset$ because $z \notin Y \cup T$ and $Y \cup T$ is a TRD-set. Besides, $N(y) \cap T \neq \emptyset$ because $y \in Y \cup T$, $N(y) \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $Y \cup T$ is a TRD-set. Consequently, any set T that forms a tr-coalition with Y (analogously, with Z) must contain both a neighbor of y and a neighbor of z . Therefore,

$$C_{tr}(G) \leq |N(z)| - 1 + 3 \leq \Delta(G) + 2 \leq 2\Delta(G).$$

Based on the analysis of all the above cases, we infer that $C_{tr}(G) \leq 2\Delta(G)$.
□

The bound described in Theorem 2.13 is sharp, as illustrated by any cycle C_n with $n \geq 7$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ (refer to Th. 3.9 for further details).

3 Total restrained coalition number of specific graphs

In this section, we deal with the problem of obtaining the exact value of the total restrained coalition number. We first recall the following results.

Proposition 3.1 [6] *Let $n \geq 4$ be a positive integer. Then $\gamma_{tr}(K_n) = 2$.*

Proposition 3.2 [6] *Let n_1 and n_2 be positive integers such that $\min\{n_1, n_2\} \geq 2$. Then $\gamma_{tr}(K_{n_1, n_2}) = 2$.*

Proposition 3.3 [6] *Let n be a positive integer. Then $\gamma_{tr}(K_{1, n-1}) = n$.*

The following proposition gives us the total restrained coalition number of the complete graph.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $n \geq 4$ be a positive integer. Then $C_{tr}(K_n) = n$.*

Proof. Let G be a complete graph of order n with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Since $\gamma_{tr}(G) = 2$, every two adjacent vertices v_i and v_j of G can be in a total restrained coalition. It follows that $\Phi = \{\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_n\}\}$ is a trc-partition, and hence $C_{tr}(K_n) = n$. \square

By Proposition 3.2, we get the following result.

Observation 3.5 *Let $G = K_{p,q}$ be a complete bipartite graph such that $q \geq p \geq 2$. Then $C_{tr}(K_{p,q}) = p + q = n$.*

Proposition 3.3 gives the next result.

Observation 3.6 *If $G = K_{1,n-1}$ is a star graph, then $C_{tr}(K_{1,n-1}) = 2$.*

Next we determine the total restrained coalition number of paths. But before we need to recall the following result from [6].

Theorem 3.7 [6] *Let $n \geq 4$ be a positive integer. Then $\gamma_{tr}(P_n) = n - 2\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor$.*

Theorem 3.8 *For any path P_n ,*

$$C_{tr}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq 7 \\ 3, & \text{if } n \geq 8 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $V(P_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. By Theorem 2.12 and Corollary 2.4, we have $2 \leq C_{tr}(P_n) \leq 3$ for any path P_n . If $n = 2$, then Proposition 3.4 gives the desired result, while if $n = 3$, the result follows from Observation 3.6. We next proceed to show that $C_{tr}(P_n) \neq 3$ where $4 \leq n \leq 7$. Let $\Phi = \{A, B, C\}$ be a $C_{tr}(P_n)$ -partition. By Lemma 2.9, each set of Φ is in total restrained coalition with at most two sets of Φ . So, without loss of generality, assume that each of B and C forms a total restrained coalition with A . By Theorem 3.7, we have $|A| + |B| \geq n - 2\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor$ and $|A| + |C| \geq n - 2\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor$. Therefore, $2|A| + |B| + |C| \geq 2n - 4\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor$. On the other hand, we know that $|A| + |B| + |C| = n$. Hence, $|A| \geq n - 4\lfloor \frac{n-2}{4} \rfloor$. Now suppose that $n = 4$. Hence, $|A| \geq 4$, contradicting the fact that $|A| < 4$. This implies that $C_{tr}(P_4) \neq 3$. If $n = 5$, then $|A| \geq 5$ which is impossible as $|A| < 5$. Consequently, $C_{tr}(P_5) \neq 3$. Now assume that $n = 6$. Thus, we have $|A| \geq 2$. On the other side, $|A| \leq 5$. We now distinguish the following cases.

Case 1. Φ consists of a set of cardinality 2 (namely A), a set of cardinality 3 (namely B) and a singleton set (namely C). Since $\gamma_{tr}(P_6) = 4$, each of A and C must be in a total restrained coalition with B . This is impossible because P_6 has no TRD-set of order 5. Hence, $C_{tr}(P_6) \neq 3$.

Case 2. Let $|A| = |B| = |C| = 2$. We may assume that each of B and C must be in a total restrained coalition with A , which is impossible, as P_6 has a unique TRD-set of order 4. Hence, $C_{tr}(P_6) \neq 3$.

Case 3. Φ consists of a set of cardinality 3 (namely A), a set of cardinality 2 (namely B) and a singleton set (namely C). Analogous argument as in Case 1 (by interchanging the roles of A and B) can be applied to show that Φ of order 3 does not exist.

Case 4. Φ consists of a set of cardinality 4, say A , and two singleton sets such as B and C . Since $\gamma_{tr}(P_6) = 4$, no two singleton sets in Φ form a total restrained coalition. It follows that each of B and C must be in a total restrained coalition with A , which is impossible, as P_6 has no TRD-set of order 5. Hence, $C_{tr}(P_6) \neq 3$.

Case 5. Let $|A| = 5$. It follows that either B or C is an empty set. But this is impossible. Then, $C_{tr}(P_6) \neq 3$.

Next suppose that $n = 7$. So, we have $|A| \geq 3$. On the other side, $|A| \leq 6$. We now consider the following cases.

Case 1. Φ consists of two sets of cardinality 3, say A and B , and a singleton set C . Since $\gamma_{tr}(P_7) = 5$, neither A nor B can be in a total restrained coalition with C . Consequently, there is no total restrained coalition partition of order 3. Hence, $C_{tr}(P_7) \neq 3$.

Case 2. Φ consists of a set of cardinality 4 (namely A), a set of cardinality of 2 (namely B) and a singleton set (namely C). Since $\gamma_{tr}(P_7) = 5$, each of B and C must be in a total restrained coalition with A . This is impossible because P_7 has no TRD-set of order 6. Thus, $C_{tr}(P_7) \neq 3$.

Case 3. Φ consists of a set of cardinality 5, say A , and two singleton sets such as B and C . Since $\gamma_{tr}(P_7) = 5$, each of B and C must be in a total restrained coalition with A . This is impossible because P_7 has no TRD-set of order 6. Hence, $C_{tr}(P_7) \neq 3$.

Case 4. Let $|A| = 6$. It follows that either B or C is an empty set. But this is impossible. Hence, $C_{tr}(P_7) \neq 3$.

By the above discussions, we infer that $C_{tr}(P_n) = 2$ where $4 \leq n \leq 7$.

Finally, let $n \geq 8$. By Theorem 2.12, for any path P_n we have $C_{tr}(P_n) \leq 3$. To achieve equality, all we need is to give a total restrained partition of order 3 for any $n \geq 8$, and which will be as follows:

$$\Phi(P_n) = \{X = \{v_1, v_2 \dots v_{n-6}, v_{n-1}, v_n\}, Y = \{v_{n-5}, v_{n-4}\}, Z = \{v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}\}\}.$$

One can observe that each of Y and Z is in a total restrained coalition with X . Therefore, the proof is complete. \square

We close this section by calculating the total restrained coalition number of

cycles. It is straightforward to see that $C_{tr}(C_3) = 2$ so we next focus on the cases where the order is at least 4. We begin by recalling the following result.

Theorem 3.9 [6] *Let $n \geq 4$ be a positive integer. Then $\gamma_{tr}(C_n) = n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$.*

Theorem 3.10 *Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer and let C_n be a cycle. Then,*

$$\mathcal{C}_{tr}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 4 & n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 3 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $G = C_n$, where $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E(G) = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. By Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.4, we have $2 \leq C_{tr}(C_n) \leq 4$ for any cycle C_n . Now assume that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We find a total restrained coalition partition of order 4 as follows:

$\Phi(C_n) = \{A = \{v_n\}, B = \{v_{n-2}\}, C = \{v_1, v_2, v_5, v_6 \dots v_{n-7}, v_{n-6}, v_{n-3}\}, D = \{v_3, v_4, v_7, v_8 \dots v_{n-5}, v_{n-4}, v_{n-1}\}\}$. It is easy to verify that A and D form a total restrained coalition, and B and C form a total restrained coalition.

We next suppose that n is not divisible by 4. We claim that $\mathcal{C}_{tr}(C_n) \neq 4$. Suppose, to the contrary that $\mathcal{C}_{tr}(C_n) = 4$. Let $\{A, B, C, D\}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{tr}(C_n)$ -partition. By Theorem 2.9, we conclude that each set of Φ forms a total coalition with at most two sets of Φ . Now assume without loss of generality that A and B form a total restrained coalition, and C and D form a restrained total coalition. By Theorem 3.9, we have $\gamma_{tr}(C_n) = n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ where $n \geq 4$. Since $A \cup B$ and $C \cup D$ are the total restrained dominating sets, it follows that $|A| + |B| \geq n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ and $|C| + |D| \geq n - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$. Hence, $|A| + |B| + |C| + |D| \geq 2n - 4\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$. On the other side, we know that $|A| + |B| + |C| + |D| = n$. Thus, we obtain $4\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor \geq n$. Since n is not divisible by 4, we get $n - 1 \geq n$. But this leads to a contradiction. Then, $\mathcal{C}_{tr}(C_n) \neq 4$. Hence, $\mathcal{C}_{tr}(C_n) \leq 3$. In the following, we construct a total restrained coalition partition of order 3. So assume that $\Phi = \{A = \{v_1, v_2 \dots v_{n-4}\}, B = \{v_n, v_{n-1}\}, C = \{v_{n-2}, v_{n-3}\}\}$. Note that each of B and C forms a total restrained coalition with A . \square

4 Graphs with large total restrained coalition number

In this section, we will be interested in connected graphs G of order n such that $C_{tr}(G) = n$. We start by giving the following sufficient condition for G to have $C_{tr}(G) = n$. We recall that a universal vertex of a graph G is a vertex that is adjacent to every other vertex in G .

Proposition 4.1 *If G is a graph of order n and minimum degree at least three and having a universal vertex, then $C_{tr}(G) = n$.*

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n denote the vertices of G , and assume that v_1 is a universal vertex in G . Clearly, $n \geq 4$, since $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Consider the partition $\Phi = \{\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_n\}\}$. Since each vertex in G has at least two neighbors besides v_1 , the set $\{v_1\}$ forms a total restrained coalition with any other set of Φ . Hence Φ is a trc -partition in G , and thus $C_{tr}(G) = |\Phi| = n$. \square

We note that the condition on the minimum degree to be at least three is important, and this can be seen for stars of order at least three, when $\delta(G) = 1$, and for the graph G obtained from $k \geq 2$ triangles sharing the same vertex, when $\delta(G) = 2$. Both graphs have a universal vertex but in either graph $C_{tr}(G) < n$.

We now give a necessary condition for connected graphs G such that $C_{tr}(G) = n$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ such that $C_{tr}(G) = n$. Then*

- (1) $\gamma_{tr}(G) = 2$.
- (2) $\delta(G) \geq 2$.
- (3) G has diameter at most 2.

Proof. Let $\Phi = \{\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_n\}\}$ be a $C_{tr}(G)$ -partition. Clearly, item (1) follows from the fact that each set of Φ has to be in a total restrained coalition with another set of Φ .

To prove item (2), suppose to the contrary that G contains a leaf, say v_1 , and let v_2 be the neighbor of v_1 . Since v_1 and v_2 belong to all TRD-sets of G , and since $n \geq 3$, it is no longer possible to form total restrained coalitions from any two singleton sets of Φ , contradicting $\gamma_{tr}(G) = 2$. Hence $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Finally, to prove item (3), we first note that by (1) G has diameter at most three. Assume, for a contradiction, that G has diameter three, and let x and y be two vertices at distance three in G . Observe that x and y have no common neighbor, and thus set $\{x\}$ cannot form a total restrained coalition with any other set of Φ so that $\gamma_{tr}(G) = 2$. Hence G has diameter at most 2. \square

It is worth noting that the converse of Proposition 4.2 is not true. This can be seen by the graph G obtained from a cycle C_5 whose vertices are labeled in order $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_1$ by adding the edge v_2v_5 . Clearly G satisfies conditions of Proposition 4.2, but $\{v_1\}$ cannot form a total restrained coalition with any singleton set of V , leading to $C_{tr}(G) < 5$.

In the next, we characterize connected triangle-free graphs G of order $n \geq 2$ with $C_{tr}(G) = n$.

Proposition 4.3 *A connected triangle-free graphs G of order $n \geq 2$ satisfies $C_{tr}(G) = n$ if and only if $G = P_2$ or $G = K_{p,q}$ for any integers $p, q \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let G be a connected triangle-free graphs of order $n \geq 2$ such that $C_{tr}(G) = n$. Clearly, if $n = 2$, then G is a path P_2 . Hence assume that $n \geq 3$. By item (1) of Proposition 4.2, $\gamma_{tr}(G) = 2$, and so let $\{u, v\}$ be a minimum TRD-set of G . Since G is triangle free, each of $N(u)$ and $N(v)$ is an independent set. Moreover, u and v have no common neighbor. Let $A = N(u) - \{v\}$ and $B = N(v) - \{u\}$. Observe that if $A = \emptyset$, then G is a star and thus $C_{tr}(G) = 2 < n$, a contradiction. Therefore $A \neq \emptyset$ and likewise $B \neq \emptyset$. Now, since $\delta(G) \geq 2$, by Proposition 4.2-(2), each vertex in A has at least one neighbor in B and vice versa. Moreover, if a vertex $x \in A$ has a non-neighbor $y \in B$, then clearly x and y are at distance three in G , leading that G has diameter 3, contradicting item (3) of Proposition 4.2. Hence $A \cup B$ induces a complete bipartite graph $K_{|A|,|B|}$, and therefore $G = K_{|A|+1,|B|+1}$, as desired.

For the converse, since any two adjacent vertices of either P_2 or $K_{p,q}$ with $p, q \geq 2$, form a TRD-set of G , we easily conclude that $C_{tr}(G) = n$. \square

According to Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, for every tree T of order $n \geq 3$, $C_{tr}(T) \leq n - 1$. Our aim in the following is to characterize all trees T of order $n \geq 3$ such that $C_{tr}(T) = n - 1$.

Theorem 4.4 *The path P_3 is the only tree T of order $n \geq 3$ satisfying $C_{tr}(T) = n - 1$.*

Proof. If $T = P_3$, then by Theorem 3.8, $C_{tr}(P_3) = 2 = n - 1$. To prove the converse, let T be a tree of order $n \geq 3$ such that $C_{tr}(T) = n - 1$. Since $C_{tr}(T) \leq \Delta(T) + 1$, by Theorem 2.12, we deduce that $\Delta(T) \geq n - 2$. If $\Delta(T) = n - 1$, then T is a star $K_{1,n-1}$, and thus by Observation 3.6, $n = 3$ leading to $T = K_{1,2} = P_3$. Hence in the following we can assume that $\Delta(T) = n - 2$, and thus $n \geq 4$. Clearly, T is a double star $S_{n-3,1}$, with one support vertex having $n - 3$ leaf neighbors and the other with only one leaf neighbor. Let Φ be a $C_{tr}(T)$ -partition. Since $|\Phi| = n - 1$, one member of Φ has cardinality 2, and any other member is a singleton set, that is of cardinality 1. Now, if $n \geq 5$, then some leaf w of T alone will form a singleton set $\{w\}$ in Φ . But then $\{w\}$ cannot be in total restrained coalition with any other set of Φ , a contradiction. Hence $n = 4$ and so T is simply a path P_4 . By Theorem 3.8, $C_{tr}(P_4) = 2 < n - 1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

From the above, the following corollary is derived.

Corollary 4.5 *If T is a tree of order $n \geq 4$, then $C_{tr}(T) \leq n - 2$.*

Proposition 4.6 *Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 4$. Then $C_{tr}(T) = n - 2$ if and only if $T \in \{K_{1,3}, P_4, P_5\}$*

Proof. Let T be a tree of order $n \geq 4$ such that $C_{tr}(T) = n - 2$. By Theorem 2.12, $C_{tr}(T) \leq \Delta(T) + 1$ and thus $\Delta(T) \geq n - 3$. If $\Delta(T) = n - 1$, then T is a star $K_{1,n-1}$, and by Observation 3.6 we deduce that $n = 4$, that is $T = K_{1,3}$. Hence in the following we only consider $\Delta(T) \in \{n - 3, n - 2\}$.

Assume first that $\Delta(T) = n - 2$. Then T is a double star $S_{n-3,1}$, and since $V(T)$ is the unique TRD-set, we deduce that any $C_{tr}(T)$ -partition Φ contains only two sets, leading to $|\Phi| = n - 2 = 2$. Therefore $n = 4$ and $T = S_{1,1}$, that is T is a path P_4 .

Finally, assume that $\Delta(T) = n - 3$. Let x be the vertex of maximum degree, and let u and v denote the two non-neighbors of x . If u and v are adjacent, then, without loss of generality, let u and x have a common neighbor y . As before, since $V(T)$ is the unique TRD-set of T , we deduce that any $C_{tr}(T)$ -partition Φ contains only two sets, leading to $|\Phi| = n - 3 = 2$. Therefore, $n = 5$, and T is a path P_5 . In the following, we can assume that $uv \notin E(T)$. In this case, let y and z be the common neighbors of x with u and v , respectively. Note that every neighbor of x besides y and z , if any, is a leaf. But since T has diameter 4, any $C_{tr}(T)$ -partition Φ contains only two sets, leading as before to $n = 5$, and thus $T = P_5$.

The converse follows from Observation 3.6 and Theorem 3.8. \square

5 Concluding remarks

In this article, we introduced and studied the total restrained coalition in graphs. We investigated the existence of a total restrained coalition partition. We derived some upper and lower bounds for the total restrained coalition number. We provided a necessary condition for graphs G of order n such that $C_{tr}(G) = n$, and we characterized triangle-free graphs with $C_{tr}(G) = n$. Restricted to the class of trees, we characterized those trees T of order n such that $C_{tr}(T)$ belongs to $\{n - 1, n - 2\}$. In order to expand the study of coalitions, we propose some potential research directions.

1. Characterize all graphs satisfying $C_{tr}(G) = C(G)$.
2. Study the total restrained coalitions in cubic graphs.
3. Similar to the coalition graph, it is natural to define and study the total restrained coalition graph for a given graph G with respect to the total restrained coalition partition Φ . We define it as follows. Corresponding to any total restrained coalition partition $\Phi = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of a given

graph G , a *total resinated coalition graph* $TRCG(G, \Phi)$ is associated with a one-to-one correspondence between the vertices of $TRCG(G, \Phi)$ and the sets V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k of Φ . Two vertices of $TRCG(G, \Phi)$ are adjacent if and only if their corresponding sets in Φ form a total restrained coalition.

4. Study the restrained coalitions in graphs.
5. Does there exist a polynomial algorithm for computing $C_{tr}(T)$ for any tree T ?
6. Study the complexity issue of the decision problem related to the total restrained coalition number.

Author contributions statement

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability

No data was used in this investigation.

References

- [1] S. Alikhani, D. Bakhshesh and H. Golmohammadi, Total coalitions in graphs, Quaest. Math. (2024): 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2024.2365365>.
- [2] S. Alikhani, D. Bakhshesh, H. Golmohammadi and S. Klavzar, On independent coalition in graphs and independent coalition graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory (2024) doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2543.
- [3] S. Alikhani, D. Bakhshesh, H. Golmohammadi and E.V. Konstantinova, Connected coalitions in graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 44 (2024) 1551–1566.
- [4] D. Bakhshesh, M.A. Henning and D. Pradhan, On the coalition number of trees, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 46 (2023) 95.

- [5] J. Barát and Z.L. Blázsik, General sharp upper bounds on the total coalition number, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 44 (2024) 1567–1584.
- [6] J. H. Hattingh and E. J. Joubert, Restrained and total restrained domination in graphs, *Topics in Domination in Graphs. Dev. Math.*, vol., 64, Springer, Cham, 2020, 129–150.
- [7] T.W. Haynes, J.T. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, A.A. McRae and R. Mohan, Introduction to coalitions in graphs, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Combin.* 17 (2) (2020), 653–659.
- [8] T.W. Haynes, J.T. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, A.A. McRae and R. Mohan, Coalition graphs of paths, cycles, and trees, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 43 (2023) 931–946.
- [9] T.W. Haynes, J.T. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, A.A. McRae and R. Mohan, Upper bounds on the coalition number, *Austral. J. Combin.* 80 (3) (2021), 442–453.
- [10] T.W. Haynes, J.T. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, A.A. McRae and R. Mohan, Coalition graphs, *Comm. Combin. Optim.* no. 2 (2023), 423–430.
- [11] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and M. A. Henning, *Domination in Graphs: Core Concepts Series: Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer, Cham, 2023. xx + 644 pp.
- [12] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, in: Chapman and Hall/CRC Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.
- [13] M.A. Henning and S.N. Jogan, A characterization of graphs with given total coalition numbers, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 358 (2024) 395–403.
- [14] J.A. Telle and A. Proskurowski, Algorithms for vertex partitioning problems on partial k -trees, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 10 (1997) 529–550.
- [15] B. Zelinka, Total domatic number and degrees of vertices of a graph, *Math. Slovaca*, 39 (1) (1989), 7–11.
- [16] B. Zelinka, Remarks on restrained domination and total restrained domination in graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 55 (2005) 393–396.