

# ENTROPY APPROACH FOR A GENERALIZATION OF FRANKL'S CONJECTURE

VERONICA PHAN\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will use the entropy approach to derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an element that belongs to at least half of the sets in a finite family of sets.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The union-closed set conjecture, or Frankl's conjecture, is a famous open problem in combinatorics. A family of set  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be union-closed if the union of any two sets from  $\mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  as well.

**Conjecture** (Frankl). *For every finite union-closed family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \neq \{\emptyset\}$ , there exists an element  $i$  such that at least half of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  contain  $i$ .*

In 2022, Gilmer [1] used entropy method to establish the first constant lower bound 0.01 for this conjecture, soon later, three preprints [2][3][4] improved the bound to  $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , and Lei Yu [5] and Cambie [6] improved it to about 0.38234.

In this paper, we won't just study union-closed family, but arbitrary finite family of sets as well. For  $S \in [n]$  and family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ , denote  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  be the family of sets which have the form  $S \cup F, F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.** *For family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ , there exists an element  $i$  such that at least half of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  contain  $i$  if and only if there exists a family  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ ,  $|\mathcal{G}| > 1$  such that*

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}| \log |\mathcal{G}|}{2}$$

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

All the log in this paper are of base 2. For a random variable  $X$  valued in sets and a set  $S$ , we could define random variables  $X \cup S, X/S, \dots$  in a natural way, and denote  $H(X), |X|$  be the entropy and the number of possible value of  $|X|$ . For a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$  of set, let  $X_{\mathcal{F}}$  be a random variable of sets sampled uniformly at random from  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We will use these following properties of entropy:

- (1)  $0 \leq H(X) \leq \log |X|$
- (2)  $H(X, Y, Z) + H(Z) \leq H(X, Z) + H(Y, Z)$
- (3)  $H(X|f(X)) + H(f(X)) = H(X, f(X)) = H(X)$  for function  $f$ .

---

\*Ho Chi Minh City; email: kyubivulpes@gmail.com.

## 3. MAIN LEMMA

First, we will prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a random variable of sets sampled from  $2^{[n]}$ , then there exists  $n$  nonnegative numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  such that  $H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  and for every set  $S \subseteq [n]$ , we have:*

$$H(X \cup S) \geq \sum_{i \in [n]/S} x_i$$

**Proposition 3.** *For every set  $S \subseteq [n], n \notin S$ , we have:*

$$H(X) - H(X \cup \{n\}) \leq H(X \cup S) - H(X \cup S \cup \{n\})$$

*Proof.* For  $R \subseteq [n]$ , if we know  $R \cup S$  and  $R \cup \{n\}$ , we could determine  $R$ , which is  $R \cup \{n\}$  if  $n \in R \cup S$  and  $(R \cup \{n\})/\{n\}$  if otherwise. From this, we could deduce that  $H(X) = H(X, X \cup S, X \cup \{n\}) = H(X \cup S, X \cup \{n\})$ .

Now we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H(X \cup S, X \cup \{n\}, X \cup S \cup \{n\}) + H(X \cup S \cup \{n\}) &\leq H(X \cup S, X \cup S \cup \{n\}) + H(X \cup \{n\}, X \cup S \cup \{n\}) \\ \Rightarrow H(X) + H(X \cup S \cup \{n\}) &\leq H(X \cup S) + H(X \cup \{n\}) \\ \Rightarrow H(X) - H(X \cup \{n\}) &\leq H(X \cup S) - H(X \cup S \cup \{n\}) \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of lemma 2.* We will prove by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , we could choose  $x_1 = H(X)$  and it's easy to show  $x_1$  satisfies the lemma.

Assume the lemma is true with  $n = k - 1$ . For  $n = k$  and random variable  $X$  of sets sampled from  $2^{[n]}$ , consider  $(X \cup \{n\})/\{n\}$  and view it as random variable of sets sampled from  $2^{[n-1]}$ . Apply the induction hypothesis, there exists nonnegative numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$  such that  $H(X \cup \{n\}) = H((X \cup \{n\})/\{n\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i$  and for every set  $S \in [n-1]$ , we have:

$$H(X \cup S \cup \{n\}) = H(((X \cup \{n\})/\{n\}) \cup S) \geq \sum_{i \in [n-1]/S} x_i$$

Now we take  $x_n = H(X|X \cup \{n\}) = H(X) - H(X \cup \{n\}) \geq 0$ , then we just need to check  $H(X \cup S) \geq \sum_{i \in [n]/S} x_i$  for every set  $S \subseteq [n], n \notin S$ . By proposition 3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in [n]/S} x_i &= \sum_{i \in [n-1]/S} x_i + x_n \\ &\leq H(X \cup S \cup \{n\}) + (H(X \cup S) - H(X \cup S \cup \{n\})) \\ &= H(X \cup S) \end{aligned}$$

as we want. □

## 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

For finite family of sets  $\mathcal{F}$ , denote  $w_{\mathcal{F}}(i)$  be the number of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  that not contain  $i$ .

*Proof of theorem 1. Only if:* Take  $i \in [n]$  such that at least half of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  contain  $i$ , then  $w_{\mathcal{F}}(i) \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{2}$ . We take  $\mathcal{G} = \{\emptyset, \{i\}\}$ , then  $|\mathcal{G}| = 2$ , for  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|\mathcal{G}(S)| = |\{S\}| = 1$  if  $i \in S$  and  $|\mathcal{G}(S)| = |\{S, S \cup \{i\}\}| = 2$  if otherwise, so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}, i \in S} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}, i \notin S} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| \\ &= (|\mathcal{F}| - w_{\mathcal{F}}(i)) \log 1 + w_{\mathcal{F}}(i) \log 2 = w_{\mathcal{F}}(i) \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{2} = \frac{|\mathcal{F}| \log |\mathcal{G}|}{2} \end{aligned}$$

**If:** For family of sets  $\mathcal{G}$  satisfies the condition of the theorem, take  $n$  nonnegative numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  by applying lemma 2 for the random variable  $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i w_{\mathcal{F}}(i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}, i \notin S} x_i \\ &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i \in [n]/S} x_i \\ &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} H(X_{\mathcal{G}} \cup S) \\ &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \log |X_{\mathcal{G}} \cup S| \\ &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}| \log |\mathcal{G}|}{2} \\ &= \frac{|\mathcal{F}| H(X_{\mathcal{G}})}{2} = \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \end{aligned}$$

so there exists  $i \in [n]$  such that  $w_{\mathcal{F}}(i) \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{2}$  (as  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = H(X_{\mathcal{G}}) = \log |\mathcal{G}| > 0$ ), in other word, at least half of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  contain  $i$   $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *The union-closed conjecture is true for finite union-closed family of sets  $\mathcal{F}$  if there exists subfamily  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|\mathcal{G}| > 1$  such that:*

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}| \log |\mathcal{G}|}{2}$$

This corollary does not depend on the base set but only the union structure of the family  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have some strategies to prove the union-closed conjecture for  $\mathcal{F}$

A natural way to choose the subfamily  $\mathcal{G}$  is the family  $\mathcal{F}$  itself, but the condition  $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \log |\mathcal{F}(S)| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{F}| \log |\mathcal{F}|}{2}$  is not always true, for example  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$ . We may need another quantity on random variable valued on sets which behave like entropy to prove the union-closed conjecture this way.

Another strategy is note that the union-closed conjecture is true for  $\mathcal{F}$  if it is true for  $\mathcal{F}^N$  for some  $N$ . For very small  $\epsilon > 0$  and very large  $N$  depend on  $\epsilon$ , take  $\mathcal{G}$  be the subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}^N$  consist all the set  $S$  such that  $|\mathcal{F}^N(S)| \geq |\mathcal{F}|^{\epsilon(N-2)}$ . We

expect that for many cases,  $|\mathcal{G}| \leq (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)|\mathcal{F}^N|$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}^N} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}^N/\mathcal{G}} \log |\mathcal{G}(S)| \\
&\leq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} \log |\mathcal{G}| + \sum_{S \in \mathcal{F}^N/\mathcal{G}} \log |\mathcal{F}^N(S)| \\
&\leq |\mathcal{G}| \log |\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{F}^N| \log |\mathcal{F}|^{\epsilon(N-2)} \\
&\leq (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)|\mathcal{F}^N| \log |\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{F}^N| (\log |\mathcal{F}|^{N\epsilon} - \log |\mathcal{F}|^{2\epsilon}) \\
&\leq (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)|\mathcal{F}^N| \log |\mathcal{G}| + |\mathcal{F}^N| (\log |\mathcal{F}^N|^\epsilon - \log 2^{2\epsilon}) \\
&= (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)|\mathcal{F}^N| \log |\mathcal{G}| + \epsilon(|\mathcal{F}^N| (\log |\mathcal{F}^N| - 2)) \\
&\leq (\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)|\mathcal{F}^N| \log |\mathcal{G}| + \epsilon(|\mathcal{F}^N| (\log |\mathcal{G}|)) = \frac{|\mathcal{F}^N| \log |\mathcal{G}|}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

so  $\mathcal{F}^N$  as well as  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the union-closed conjecture.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Justin Gilmer. "A constant lower bound for the union-closed sets conjecture". arXiv: 2211.09055.
- [2] Zachary Chase, Shachar Lovett. "Approximate union closed conjecture". arXiv: 2211.11689.
- [3] Ryan Alweiss, Brice Huang, Mark Sellke. "Improved Lower Bound for Frankl's Union-Closed Sets Conjecture". arXiv: 2211.11731.
- [4] Will Sawin. "An improved lower bound for the union-closed set conjecture". arXiv: 2211.11504.
- [5] Lei Yu. "Dimension-Free Bounds for the Union-Closed Sets Conjecture". arXiv: 2212.00658.
- [6] Stijn Cambie. "Better bounds for the union-closed sets conjecture using the entropy approach". arXiv: 2212.12500.