

# VERMA MODULES AND FINITE-DIMENSIONAL IRREDUCIBLE MODULES OF THE UNIVERSAL ASKEY–WILSON ALGEBRA AT ROOTS OF UNITY

HAU-WEN HUANG

ABSTRACT. Assume that  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field and fix a nonzero scalar  $q \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $q^4 \neq 1$ . The universal Askey–Wilson algebra  $\Delta_q$  is a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  defined by generators and relations. The generators are  $A, B, C$  and the relations assert that each of

$$A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}$$

commutes with  $A, B, C$ . The Verma  $\Delta_q$ -modules are a family of infinite-dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -modules with marginal weights. Under the condition that  $q$  is not a root of unity, it was shown that every finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module has a marginal weight and is isomorphic to a quotient of a Verma  $\Delta_q$ -module. Assume that  $q$  is a root of unity. We prove that every finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module with a marginal weight is isomorphic to a quotient of a Verma  $\Delta_q$ -module. Properly speaking, two natural families of finite-dimensional quotients of Verma  $\Delta_q$ -modules contain all finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules with marginal weights up to isomorphism. Furthermore, we classify the finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules with marginal weights up to isomorphism.

**Keywords:** Askey–Wilson algebras, Verma modules, marginal weights.

**MSC2020:** 05E30, 22E47, 33D45

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we adopt the following conventions: A vacuous product is equal to the multiplicative identity. A vacuous summation is equal to the additive identity. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all nonnegative integers. Assume that the underlying field  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\mathbb{F}^\times$  denote the multiplicative group of all nonzero scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Fix a scalar  $q \in \mathbb{F}^\times$  with  $q^4 \neq 1$ . Let  $x$  denote an indeterminate over  $\mathbb{F}$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  let  $\sqrt{a}$  denote a fixed root of  $x^2 - a$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Given a left (resp. right) action of a group  $G$  on a set  $S$ , the notation  $G \backslash S$  (resp.  $S/G$ ) stands for the set of all  $G$ -orbits of  $S$ .

The Askey–Wilson algebras [39, 48] are a family of unital associative algebras defined by generators and relations. These algebras describe the bispectral properties of orthogonal polynomials in the Askey scheme [31]. Since the advent of Askey–Wilson algebras, they have been found to have applications in various fields such as  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes [2, 13, 15, 25–29, 45, 47], spin models [5, 6, 37, 38], Leonard pairs [16, 40, 44, 46], Lie algebras [1, 3, 4, 7, 10, 35, 41], double affine Hecke algebras [12, 21, 23, 24, 30, 32, 33, 36, 43], coupling problems [14, 19, 20, 34] and superintegrable systems [8, 9, 11]. The universal Askey–Wilson algebra is a central extension of the Askey–Wilson algebras corresponding to the most general orthogonal polynomials in the Askey scheme, namely the Askey–Wilson polynomials and the  $q$ -Racah polynomials. The definition is presented as follows:

**Definition 1.1** (Definition 1.2, [42]). The *universal Askey–Wilson algebra*  $\Delta_q$  is a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  defined by generators and relations. The generators are  $A, B, C$  and the relations assert that each of

$$(1.1) \quad A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \quad C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}$$

is central in  $\Delta_q$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  denote the central elements of  $\Delta_q$  obtained by multiplying the elements (1.1) by  $q + q^{-1}$  respectively. In other words

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\alpha}{q + q^{-1}} = A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\beta}{q + q^{-1}} = B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{\gamma}{q + q^{-1}} = C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}.$$

**Proposition 1.2.** *The algebra  $\Delta_q$  has a presentation given by generators  $A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and the relations assert that  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are central in  $\Delta_q$  and*

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha = \frac{B^2A - (q^2 + q^{-2})BAB + AB^2 + (q^2 - q^{-2})^2A + (q - q^{-1})^2B\gamma}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})},$$

$$(1.6) \quad \beta = \frac{A^2B - (q^2 + q^{-2})ABA + BA^2 + (q^2 - q^{-2})^2B + (q - q^{-1})^2A\gamma}{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})}.$$

*Proof.* The relations (1.5) and (1.6) are obtained by applying (1.4) to eliminate  $C$  in (1.2) and (1.3).  $\square$

Let  $V$  denote a  $\Delta_q$ -module. For any  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^\times$  define

$$V(\mu) = \{v \in V \mid (B - \mu - \mu^{-1})v = 0\}.$$

Note that  $V(\mu) = V(\mu^{-1})$  for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ . A scalar  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^\times$  is called a *weight* of  $V$  whenever  $V(\mu) \neq \{0\}$ . In this case  $V(\mu)$  is called a *weight space* of  $V$  with weight  $\mu$  and every nonzero  $v \in V(\mu)$  is called a *weight vector* of  $V$  with weight  $\mu$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *For any  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  and any weight  $\mu$  of  $V$  the following relations hold:*

- (i)  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1}q^{-2})(B - \mu q^{-2} - \mu^{-1}q^2)AV(\mu) \subseteq V(\mu)$ .
- (ii)  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1}q^{-2})(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})AV(\mu) \subseteq V(\mu q^{-2})$ .
- (iii)  $(B - \mu q^{-2} - \mu^{-1}q^2)(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})AV(\mu) \subseteq V(\mu q^2)$ .

*Proof.* (i): Let  $v \in V(\mu)$  be given. Applying  $v$  to either side of (1.5) it follows that

$$(1.7) \quad (B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1}q^{-2})(B - \mu q^{-2} - \mu^{-1}q^2)Av$$

is equal to  $(q - q^{-1})^2$  times  $(q + q^{-1})\alpha v - (\mu + \mu^{-1})\gamma v$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are central in  $\Delta_q$  the vectors  $\alpha v$  and  $\gamma v$  are in  $V(\mu)$ . Therefore (1.7) lies in  $V(\mu)$ . The relation (i) follows.

(ii), (iii): The relation (i) implies that

$$(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1}q^{-2})(B - \mu q^{-2} - \mu^{-1}q^2)AV(\mu) = \{0\}.$$

The relations (ii) and (iii) are immediate from the above equation.  $\square$

**Definition 1.4.** A weight  $\mu$  of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  is said to be *marginal* if there exists a weight vector  $v$  of  $V$  with weight  $\mu$  such that

$$(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})Av = 0.$$

If  $q$  is not a root of unity, it was shown that all finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules have marginal weights and can be constructed from the following infinite-dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -modules up to isomorphism:

**Theorem 1.5** (Section 3, [17]). *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  there exists an infinite-dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *There exists a basis  $\{m_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  for the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  such that*

$$(A - \theta_i)m_i = m_{i+1} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(B - \theta_i^*)m_i = \varphi_i m_{i-1} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $m_{-1}$  is interpreted as any vector of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  and

$$(1.8) \quad \theta_i = a\lambda^{-1}q^{2i} + a^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \theta_i^* = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2i} + b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= a^{-1}b^{-1}\lambda q(q^i - q^{-i})(\lambda^{-1}q^{i-1} - \lambda q^{1-i}) \\ &\quad \times (q^{-i} - abc\lambda^{-1}q^{i-1})(q^{-i} - abc^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{i-1}) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *The elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  act on  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  as scalar multiplication by*

$$(1.11) \quad (b + b^{-1})(c + c^{-1}) + (a + a^{-1})(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}),$$

$$(1.12) \quad (c + c^{-1})(a + a^{-1}) + (b + b^{-1})(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}),$$

$$(1.13) \quad (a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1}) + (c + c^{-1})(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}),$$

respectively.

By Theorem 1.5(i) the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  has the marginal weight  $b\lambda^{-1}$ . In 2009 the present author thought up the rough idea for creating  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  during his work [16] on Leonard triples of  $q$ -Racah type. Those Leonard triples provide a family of finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules. In the 2015 paper [17], the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  was formally launched to classify the finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules at  $q$  not a root of unity. The  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is called the *Verma  $\Delta_q$ -module* as the contribution of Verma modules did in the semisimple Lie algebras.

In this article, we focus on those finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules with marginal weights under the condition that  $q$  is a root of unity. From now on, we always assume that  $q$  is a root of unity with order  $d \neq 1, 2, 4$  and set

$$\bar{d} = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{d}{2} & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\bar{d}$  is the order of  $q^2$  and  $\bar{d} \geq 3$ . In [22] it was shown that the dimension of every finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module is less than or equal to  $\bar{d}$ . Moreover every irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module of dimension less than  $\bar{d}$  has a marginal weight.

There are two natural families of finite-dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -modules obtained by taking quotients of Verma  $\Delta_q$ -modules. One of the two families was first released in [17, Section 4] and the description is as follows: Pick a triple  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set the parameter

$\lambda = q^n$ . Let  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  denote the subspace of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  spanned by  $\{m_i\}_{i=n+1}^\infty$ . By construction  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is invariant under  $A$ . By (1.10) the scalar  $\varphi_{n+1} = 0$ . Combined with Theorem 1.5(i) this implies that  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is invariant under  $B$ . By Theorem 1.5(ii) the elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  act on  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  as scalar multiplication. Thus  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is a  $\Delta_q$ -submodule of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  by Proposition 1.2. Moreover  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is the  $\Delta_q$ -submodule of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  generated by  $m_{n+1}$ . Therefore

$$V_n(a, b, c) := M_\lambda(a, b, c)/N_\lambda(a, b, c)$$

is an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -module that has the basis

$$m_i + N_\lambda(a, b, c) \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

In this paper we will prove that all irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules with dimensions less than  $\bar{d}$  are contained in the first family of finite-dimensional quotients of Verma  $\Delta_q$ -modules up to isomorphism:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Suppose that  $V$  is an irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module that has dimension less than  $\bar{d}$ . Then there exist an element  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$  and an integer  $n$  with  $0 \leq n \leq \bar{d} - 2$  such that the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V_n(a, b, c)$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .*

Let  $\{\pm 1\}$  denote the multiplicative group consisting of the integers 1 and  $-1$ . There exists a unique left  $\{\pm 1\}^3$ -action on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (-1, 1, 1) \cdot (a, b, c) &= (a^{-1}, b, c), \\ (1, -1, 1) \cdot (a, b, c) &= (a, b^{-1}, c), \\ (1, 1, -1) \cdot (a, b, c) &= (a, b, c^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$ . An irreducibility criterion for  $V_n(a, b, c)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $\{\pm 1\}^3$ -action on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$ :

**Theorem 1.7.** *For any element  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$  and any integer  $n$  with  $0 \leq n \leq \bar{d} - 2$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V_n(a, b, c)$  is irreducible.*
- (ii)  *$\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} \notin \{q^{n-2i+1} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for all  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \in \{\pm 1\}^3 \cdot (a, b, c)$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of [17, Theorem 4.4]. □

Fix an integer  $n$  with  $0 \leq n \leq \bar{d} - 2$ . Let  $\mathbf{I}_n$  denote the set of the isomorphism classes of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules. Let  $\mathbf{P}_n$  denote the set of all elements  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$  satisfying Theorem 1.7(ii). Clearly  $\mathbf{P}_n$  is closed under the  $\{\pm 1\}^3$ -action on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 3}$ . Similar to the case of  $q$  not a root of unity, Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 result in a classification of all irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules that have dimensions less than  $\bar{d}$ :

**Theorem 1.8.** *For any integer  $n$  with  $0 \leq n \leq \bar{d} - 2$  there is a bijection  $\{\pm 1\}^3 \backslash \mathbf{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{I}_n$  given by*

$$\{\pm 1\}^3 \cdot (a, b, c) \mapsto \text{the isomorphism class of } V_n(a, b, c)$$

for all  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{P}_n$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of [17, Theorem 4.7]. □

We now take up the second family of finite-dimensional quotients of Verma  $\Delta_q$ -modules. Pick any quadruple  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$ . Since  $q^{2\bar{d}} = 1$  the parameters (1.8)–(1.10) satisfy the cyclic properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_i &= \theta_{\bar{d}+i} && \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \theta_i^* &= \theta_{\bar{d}+i}^* && \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \varphi_i &= \varphi_{\bar{d}+i} && \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}$  denote an additional parameter. Define  $O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  to be the subspace of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  spanned by  $\{\delta m_i - m_{\bar{d}+i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Applying Theorem 1.5(i) along with the cyclic properties, it is routine to verify that  $O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is invariant under  $A$  and  $B$ . By Theorem 1.5(ii) the elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  act on  $O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  as scalar multiplication. Thus  $O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is a  $\Delta_q$ -submodule of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  by Proposition 1.2. Moreover  $O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is the  $\Delta_q$ -submodule of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  generated by  $\delta m_0 - m_{\bar{d}}$ . Therefore

$$W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) := M_\lambda(a, b, c) / O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$$

is a  $\bar{d}$ -dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -module that has the basis

$$m_i + O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \quad (0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

In this paper we will prove that all  $\bar{d}$ -dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules with marginal weights are contained in the second family of finite-dimensional quotients of Verma  $\Delta_q$ -modules up to isomorphism:

**Theorem 1.9.** *Suppose that  $V$  is a  $\bar{d}$ -dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module with marginal weights. Then there exists an element  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  such that the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .*

There is a unique left  $\{\pm 1\}$ -action on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  given by

$$(-1) \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda) = (-a, -b, -c, -\lambda)$$

for all  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$ . Recall that the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  of degree four has a presentation given by the transpositions (1 2), (2 3), (3 4) subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned}(1\ 2)^2 &= (2\ 3)^2 = (3\ 4)^2 = 1, \\ (1\ 2)(3\ 4) &= (3\ 4)(1\ 2), \\ (1\ 2)(2\ 3)(1\ 2) &= (2\ 3)(1\ 2)(2\ 3), \\ (2\ 3)(3\ 4)(2\ 3) &= (3\ 4)(2\ 3)(3\ 4).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the presentation it is straightforward to verify that there exists a unique right  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ -action on  $\{\pm 1\} \backslash \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda)) \cdot (1\ 2) &= \{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c^{-1}, \lambda), \\ (\{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda)) \cdot (2\ 3) &= \{\pm 1\} \cdot \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}} \right), \\ (\{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda)) \cdot (3\ 4) &= \{\pm 1\} \cdot (a^{-1}, b, c, \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$ .

**Definition 1.10.** (i) For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  we define

$$(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$$

whenever  $(\{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda)) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_4 = (\{\pm 1\} \cdot (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})) \cdot \mathfrak{S}_4$  in  $(\{\pm 1\} \setminus \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}) / \mathfrak{S}_4$ .

(ii) For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  we define

$$(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$$

whenever  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  and

$$(1.14) \quad \delta + a^{\bar{d}} \lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}} \lambda^{\bar{d}} = \bar{\delta} + \bar{a}^{\bar{d}} \bar{\lambda}^{-\bar{d}} + \bar{a}^{-\bar{d}} \bar{\lambda}^{\bar{d}}.$$

Note that  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  and  $\{\pm 1\} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$ .

An irreducibility criterion for the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  can be expressed in terms of the equivalence relation  $\{\pm 1\} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$ :

**Theorem 1.11.** For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is irreducible.
- (ii)  $\bar{\delta} \neq 0$  or  $\bar{\lambda}^2 \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}$  for all  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$ .

In order to classify the irreducible  $\bar{d}$ -dimensional  $\Delta_q$ -modules with marginal weights, the equivalence relation  $\{\pm 1\} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  is extended as follows:

**Definition 1.12.** For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  we define  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \sim (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  whenever any of the following conditions holds:

- (i)  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ .
- (ii)  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a^{-1}, b, c, \lambda^{-1} q^{-2}, \delta)$  and  $\lambda^2 \in \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}$ .
- (iii)  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c, \lambda^{-1} q^{-2}, \delta)$  and the following conditions hold:
  - (a)  $b^2 \lambda^{-2} \notin \{q^{2(\bar{d}-i+1)} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}$ .
  - (b)  $\delta(b^{\bar{d}} \lambda^{-\bar{d}} - b^{-\bar{d}} \lambda^{\bar{d}}) = a^{-\bar{d}} b^{\bar{d}} (\lambda^{2\bar{d}} - 1)(a^{\bar{d}} b^{\bar{d}} c^{\bar{d}} \lambda^{-\bar{d}} q^{\bar{d}} - 1)(a^{\bar{d}} b^{\bar{d}} c^{-\bar{d}} \lambda^{-\bar{d}} q^{\bar{d}} - 1)$ .

**Definition 1.13.** Define  $\simeq$  to be the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  generated by  $\sim$ .

Let  $\mathbf{IM}_{\bar{d}}$  denote the set of the isomorphism classes of all  $\bar{d}$ -dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -modules that have marginal weights. Let  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}}$  denote the set of all elements  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  satisfying Theorem 1.11(ii). It can be shown that  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}}$  is closed under  $\simeq$ . Let  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}} / \simeq$  denote the set of all equivalence classes of  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}}$  under  $\simeq$ .

**Theorem 1.14.** There is a bijection from  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}} / \simeq$  to  $\mathbf{IM}_{\bar{d}}$  given by

the equivalence class of  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$  under  $\simeq \mapsto$  the isomorphism class of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  for all  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}}$ .

The outline of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we recall some properties of the marginal weights and closely related weight vectors called the marginal weight vectors. In Section 3 we reinterpret the universal property of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  and relate the property to a functional relation called the feasible relation. In Section 4 we give a proof of Theorem 1.6. In Section 5 we establish a polynomial characterization for the feasible relation; consequently the feasible relation can be expressed in terms of the equivalence relation  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$ . In Section 6 we give a proof of Theorem 1.9. In Section 7 we characterize the equivalence

relations  $\{\pm 1\} \approx \mathfrak{S}_4$  and  $\{\pm 1\} \simeq \mathfrak{S}_4$  in terms of the  $\Delta_q$ -modules  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  and  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ . In Section 8 we give a proof of Theorem 1.11. In Section 9 we relate the binary relation  $\sim$  to the marginal weight vectors of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ . In Section 10 we give a proof of Theorem 1.14. In addition the  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ -action of  $\{\pm 1\} \setminus \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  is fully displayed in Appendix A.

## 2. THE MARGINAL WEIGHTS AND THE MARGINAL WEIGHT VECTORS

Recall the marginal weights of  $\Delta_q$ -modules from Definition 1.4.

**Theorem 2.1** (Theorem 6.3, [22]). *The dimension of any finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module with marginal weights is less than or equal to  $\bar{d}$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** (Theorem 6.10, [22]). *The dimension of any finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module without marginal weights is equal to  $\bar{d}$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Every nonzero  $\Delta_q$ -module with dimension less than  $\bar{d}$  has marginal weights.*

*Proof.* Immediate from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mu$  denote a weight of a  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$ . A weight vector  $v$  of  $V$  with weight  $\mu$  is said to be *marginal* whenever  $v$  is an eigenvector of

$$(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})A.$$

By Definition 1.4, if a  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  contains a marginal weight vector with weight  $\mu$  then  $\mu$  is a marginal weight of  $V$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (Lemma 6.1, [22]). *Assume that  $V$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module. For any weight  $\mu$  of  $V$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  is a marginal weight of  $V$ .
- (ii) There exists a marginal weight vector of  $V$  with weight  $\mu$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Assume a finite-dimension irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  contains a marginal weight vector  $v$  with weight  $\mu$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $(B - \mu q^{2i} - \mu^{-1} q^{-2i})A^i v$  is a linear combination of  $v, Av, \dots, A^{i-1}v$ .
- (ii)  $\prod_{h=0}^i (B - \mu q^{2h} - \mu^{-1} q^{-2h})$  vanishes at  $v, Av, \dots, A^i v$ .

*Proof.* (i): Immediate from [22, Lemma 6.2].

(ii): Applying Lemma 2.6(i) a routine induction on  $i$  yields (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *If a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  contains a marginal weight vector  $v$ , then  $V$  is spanned by  $\{A^i v\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  denote the subspace of  $V$  spanned by  $\{A^i v\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $W$  is  $A$ -invariant. By Lemma 2.6(i),  $W$  is  $B$ -invariant. By Schur's lemma the central elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  act on  $V$ , as well as  $W$ , as scalar multiplication. Hence  $W$  is a  $\Delta_q$ -submodule of  $V$  by Proposition 1.2. Since  $v \in W$  and  $v \neq 0$  it follows that  $W = V$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

### 3. THE UNIVERSAL PROPERTY OF $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$ AND THE FEASIBLE RELATION

For the sake of brevity, the following notational agreements will be used throughout the rest of this paper. While using the quadruple  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  to represent an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$ , the notation  $\{m_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes the basis for  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  mentioned in Theorem 1.5(i) and  $\{\theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  always stand for the accompanying parameters (1.8)–(1.10).

We begin with this section with a simplified description for [17, Proposition 3.2], which is called the universal property of the Verma  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  be given. For any  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  and  $v \in V$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$  that sends  $m_0$  to  $v$ .*
- (ii) *The following equations hold on  $V$ :*

$$(3.1) \quad Bv = \theta_0^*v,$$

$$(3.2) \quad (B - \theta_1^*)Av = (\theta_0(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*) + \varphi_1)v,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \beta v = \omega^*v,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma v = \omega^\varepsilon v,$$

where  $\omega^*$  and  $\omega^\varepsilon$  are the scalars (1.12) and (1.13), respectively.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.5 the condition (i) implies (3.1), (3.3), (3.4) and the following equations:

$$(3.5) \quad (B - \theta_1^*)(A - \theta_0)v = \varphi_1v,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \alpha v = \omega v,$$

where  $\omega$  is the scalar (1.11). By [17, Proposition 3.2] the equations (3.1) and (3.3)–(3.6) imply the condition (i). Observe that (3.2) is identical to (3.5) when (3.1) holds. Applying  $v$  to either side of (1.5), we evaluate the resulting equation by using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4); thereby gaining the equation (3.6). Therefore (3.1)–(3.4) hold if and only if (3.1) and (3.3)–(3.6) hold. The proposition follows.  $\square$

Since the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is generated by  $m_0$ , if Proposition 3.1(i) holds then the mentioned map is unique. The coefficient of  $v$  in the right-hand side of (3.2) is equal to  $q - q^{-1}$  times

$$(c + c^{-1})(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}) - (a + a^{-1})(bq - b^{-1}q^{-1}).$$

Inspired by Proposition 3.1 we study the following functional relation:

**Definition 3.2.** For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  and any  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathbb{F}^3$ , we say that  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is *feasible* for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  whenever the following equations hold:

- (i)  $\mu = b\lambda^{-1}$ .
- (ii)  $\varphi = (c + c^{-1})(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}) - (a + a^{-1})(bq - b^{-1}q^{-1})$ .
- (iii)  $\omega^* = (c + c^{-1})(a + a^{-1}) + (b + b^{-1})(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1})$ .
- (iv)  $\omega^\varepsilon = (a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1}) + (c + c^{-1})(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1})$ .



**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  and  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathbb{F}^3$  be given. Suppose that a  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  contains a nonzero vector  $v$  satisfying the following equations:*

$$(3.7) \quad Bv = (\mu + \mu^{-1})v,$$

$$(3.8) \quad (B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})Av = (q - q^{-1})\varphi \cdot v,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \beta v = \omega^* v,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \gamma v = \omega^\varepsilon v.$$

Then  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i)  $\mu = b\lambda^{-1}$ .

(ii) There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$  that sends  $m_0$  to  $v$ .

*Proof.* The condition (i) is exactly Definition 3.2(i).

( $\Rightarrow$ ): The condition (ii) is immediate from Proposition 3.1 and Definition 3.2.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Since (ii) holds it follows from Proposition 3.1 that the equations (3.1)–(3.4) hold. By (i) the scalar  $\theta_1^*$  in (3.3) is equal to  $\mu q^2 + \mu^{-1} q^{-2}$ . Since  $v \neq 0$  and comparing (3.2) with (3.8), this yields Definition 3.2(ii). For similar reasons Definition 3.2(iii), (iv) follow. Therefore  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$ .  $\square$

We end this section with the following lemmas related to Theorem 3.3:

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose that  $V$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -module with a marginal weight  $\mu$ . Then there are a nonzero vector  $v \in V$  and three scalars  $\varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the equations (3.7)–(3.10).*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5 there exists a marginal weight vector  $v$  of  $V$  with weight  $\mu$ . Hence (3.7) follows. By Definition 2.4 and since  $q^2 \neq 1$  there is a scalar  $\varphi \in \mathbb{F}$  such that (3.8) holds. By Schur's lemma there are  $\omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  such that (3.9) and (3.10) hold.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any  $\Delta_q$ -submodule  $O$  of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  with  $m_0 \notin O$  the following statements are true:*

(i)  $m_0 + O$  is a marginal weight vector of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)/O$  with weight  $b\lambda^{-1}$ .

(ii)  $m_0 + O$  is a marginal weight vector of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)/O$  with weight  $b^{-1}\lambda$  if and only if  $b\lambda^{-1} = b^{-1}\lambda$ , or  $m_0 + O$  and  $m_1 + O$  are linearly dependent.

*Proof.* (i): By Theorem 1.5(i) it is routine to verify the statement (i).

(ii): By Theorem 1.5(i) a straightforward calculation shows that

$$(B - b^{-1}\lambda q^2 - b\lambda^{-1} q^{-2})Am_0 = \varphi \cdot m_0 + (q^2 - q^{-2})(b\lambda^{-1} - b^{-1}\lambda)m_1$$

for some scalar  $\varphi \in \mathbb{F}$ . The statement (ii) follows from the above equation.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF FOR THEOREM 1.6

**Lemma 4.1.** *There exists a unique algebra automorphism  $\vee$  of  $\Delta_q$  that sends*

$$(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (B, A, \beta, \alpha, \gamma).$$

*Proof.* It is routine to verify the lemma by using Proposition 1.2.  $\square$

For any  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  the notation

$$V^\vee$$

stands for the  $\Delta_q$ -module obtained by twisting the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  via  $\vee$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $n$  denote an integer with  $1 \leq n \leq \bar{d} - 2$ . Suppose that  $\{h_i\}_{i=0}^{n+1}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $h_{i+2} - h_{i-1} = (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})(h_{i+1} - h_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .
- (ii) *There are three integers  $j, k, \ell$  with  $0 \leq j < k < \ell \leq n + 1$  such that  $h_j = h_k = h_\ell = 0$ .*

*Then  $h_i = 0$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n + 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$  then the lemma is immediate from (ii). Now suppose that  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $q^4 \neq 1$  the roots  $1, q^2, q^{-2}$  of the characteristic equation for the linear homogeneous recurrence (i) are mutually distinct. Thus there are  $c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$h_i = c_0 + q^{2i}c_1 + q^{-2i}c_2 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n + 1).$$

By (ii) the following linear equations hold:

$$\begin{cases} c_0 + q^{2j}c_1 + q^{-2j}c_2 = 0, \\ c_0 + q^{2k}c_1 + q^{-2k}c_2 = 0, \\ c_0 + q^{2\ell}c_1 + q^{-2\ell}c_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix for the above linear equations is equal to

$$(q^{j-k} - q^{k-j})(q^{k-\ell} - q^{\ell-k})(q^{\ell-j} - q^{j-\ell}).$$

Since each of  $k - j, \ell - k, \ell - j$  is a positive integer less than  $\bar{d}$ , none of  $q^{2(k-j)}, q^{2(\ell-k)}, q^{2(\ell-j)}$  is equal to one. Therefore the determinant is nonzero. Since the coefficient matrix is invertible each of  $c_0, c_1, c_2$  is zero. The lemma follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* By Lemma 2.3 there exists a marginal weight  $\mu$  of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$ . By Lemma 3.4 there are a nonzero vector  $v$  of  $V$  and three scalars  $\varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the equations (3.7)–(3.10).

By Lemma 2.3 there exists a marginal weight  $\kappa$  of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V^\vee$ . Let  $n$  denote the dimension of  $V$  minus one. Set

$$(4.1) \quad (a, b, \lambda) = (\kappa q^n, \mu q^n, q^n)$$

and  $c$  to be the scalar in  $\mathbb{F}^\times$  satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad c + c^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - (a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1})}{\lambda q + \lambda^{-1} q^{-1}} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \frac{\varphi + (a + a^{-1})(bq - b^{-1}q^{-1})}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $0 \leq n < \bar{d}$  it follows that  $\lambda^2 = 1$  if and only if  $n = 0$ . Since  $q^4 \neq 1$  it follows that  $\lambda^2 \neq -q^{-2}$  when  $n = 0$ . Hence the denominators in the right-hand side of (4.2) are nonzero. Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed the existence of  $c$  follows.

We are now going to show that  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$ . Apparently Definition 3.2(i) is immediate from (4.1). Due to (4.2) we divide the argument into the two cases:  $n = 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

( $n = 0$ ): In this case  $v$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $(a, b, \lambda) = (\kappa, \mu, 1)$ . Definition 3.2(iv) is immediate from (4.2). Since  $a$  is a weight of  $V^\vee$  it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$(4.3) \quad Av = (a + a^{-1})v$$

on  $V$ . Evaluating the left-hand side of (3.8) by using (3.7) and (4.3) yields that

$$\varphi = -(a + a^{-1})(bq - b^{-1}q^{-1}).$$

Definition 3.2(ii) follows. Applying  $v$  to either side of (1.6) we evaluate the resulting equation by using (3.7) and (4.3). It follows that  $\omega^*$  is equal to

$$(a + a^{-1}) \cdot \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - (a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1})}{q + q^{-1}} + (q + q^{-1})(b + b^{-1}).$$

Definition 3.2(iii) follows by substituting Definition 3.2(iv) into the above scalar. Therefore  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  when  $n = 0$ .

( $n \geq 1$ ): Definition 3.2(ii) is immediate from (4.2). Set

$$(4.4) \quad v_0 = v, \quad v_i = (A - \theta_{i-1})v_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

By Lemma 2.7 the vectors  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^n$  are a basis for  $V$ . By Lemma 2.5 there exists a marginal weight vector  $w$  of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V^\vee$  with weight  $\kappa$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6(ii) that  $\prod_{i=0}^n (A - \theta_i)$  vanishes at  $\{B^i w\}_{i=0}^n$  on the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$ . By Lemma 2.7 the vectors  $\{B^i w\}_{i=0}^n$  are also a basis for  $V$ . Hence  $\prod_{i=0}^n (A - \theta_i)$  vanishes on  $V$ . Combined with (4.4) this implies that

$$(4.5) \quad (A - \theta_n)v_n = 0.$$

Let  $\varphi_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{F}$  for all integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i, j \leq n$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad (B - \theta_i^*)v_i = \sum_{j=0}^n \varphi_j^{(i)} v_j.$$

By Lemma 2.6(i) the coefficients

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi_j^{(i)} = 0 \quad (0 \leq i < j \leq n).$$

Applying (3.7) and (3.8) yields that

$$\varphi_0^{(1)} = (q - q^{-1})\varphi - \theta_0(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*).$$

Evaluating the right-hand side of the above equation by using Definition 3.2(ii) yields that

$$(4.8) \quad \varphi_0^{(1)} = \varphi_1.$$

For any integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we apply  $v_{i-1}$  to either side of (1.6) and evaluate the coefficient of  $v_i$  in the resulting equation by using (3.7) and (4.4)–(4.7). It follows that

$$(4.9) \quad \varphi_i^{(i+1)} - (q^2 + q^{-2})\varphi_{i-1}^{(i)} + \varphi_{i-2}^{(i-1)} + c_i + (q - q^{-1})^2 \omega^\varepsilon = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Here  $\varphi_{-1}^{(0)} = \varphi_n^{(n+1)} = 0$  and

$$c_i = (\theta_i + \theta_{i-1})(\theta_i^* + \theta_{i-1}^*) - (q^2 + q^{-2})(\theta_i \theta_i^* + \theta_{i-1} \theta_{i-1}^*) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Applying (4.9) yields that

$$\varphi_{i+1}^{(i+2)} - (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})(\varphi_i^{(i+1)} - \varphi_{i-1}^{(i)}) - \varphi_{i-2}^{(i-1)} = c_i - c_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1).$$

On the other hand a direct calculation shows that  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^{n+1}$  also satisfy the above recurrence. Hence the scalars

$$h_i = \varphi_{i-1}^{(i)} - \varphi_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n+1)$$

satisfy Lemma 4.2(i). By (4.8) and since  $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{n+1} = \varphi_{-1}^{(0)} = \varphi_n^{(n+1)} = 0$  it follows that  $h_0 = h_1 = h_{n+1} = 0$ . Applying Lemma 4.2 yields that

$$\varphi_{i-1}^{(i)} = \varphi_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n+1).$$

It is now routine to verify Definition 3.2(iv) by substituting the above equations into (4.9).

For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq n$  we apply  $v_i$  to either side of (1.6) and evaluate the coefficient of  $v_i$  in the resulting equation. It follows that

$$(4.10) \quad (q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})\omega^* = \varphi_i^{(i+2)} - (q^2 + q^{-2})\varphi_{i-1}^{(i+1)} + \varphi_{i-2}^{(i)} + c_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

Here  $\varphi_{-2}^{(0)} = \varphi_{-1}^{(1)} = \varphi_{n-1}^{(n+1)} = \varphi_n^{(n+2)} = 0$  and

$$c_i = (\theta_i - \theta_{i+1})\varphi_i + (\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})\varphi_{i+1} - (q - q^{-1})^2\theta_i^2\theta_i^* + (q^2 - q^{-2})^2\theta_i^* + (q - q^{-1})^2\theta_i\omega^\varepsilon \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

A direct calculation shows that  $c_i$  is equal to  $(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})$  times the right-hand side of Definition 3.2(iii) for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Combined with (4.10) this implies that  $\varphi_i^{(i+2)} - (q^2 + q^{-2})\varphi_{i-1}^{(i+1)} + \varphi_{i-2}^{(i)}$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  are identical. It follows that

$$\varphi_i^{(i+2)} - (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})(\varphi_{i-1}^{(i+1)} - \varphi_{i-2}^{(i)}) - \varphi_{i-3}^{(i-1)} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 yields that

$$\varphi_{i-2}^{(i)} = 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n + 2).$$

Definition 3.2(iii) follows by substituting the above equations into (4.10). Therefore  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  when  $n \geq 1$ .

Since  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  it follows from Theorem 3.3 that there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism

$$(4.11) \quad M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$$

that sends  $m_0$  to  $v$ . By (4.3) and (4.5) the vector  $m_{n+1}$  lies in the kernel of (4.11). Recall from Section 1 that the  $\Delta_q$ -submodule  $N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is generated by  $m_{n+1}$ . Hence (4.11) induces the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism

$$(4.12) \quad V_n(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$$

that sends  $m_0 + N_\lambda(a, b, c)$  to  $v$ . Since  $V_n(a, b, c)$  and  $V$  have the same dimension  $n + 1$  and by the irreducibility of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$ , the map (4.12) is a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism. The result follows.  $\square$

## 5. A POLYNOMIAL CHARACTERIZATION FOR THE FEASIBLE RELATION

Recall the feasible relation from Definition 3.2. We derive the following characterization for the feasible relation:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathbb{F}^3$ . For any scalars  $\kappa, \lambda, c \in \mathbb{F}^\times$  the quadruple  $(\kappa\lambda, \mu\lambda, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

(i)  $\kappa$  is a root of the polynomial

$$\frac{x^4}{\mu q} - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon + q^{-1}\varphi}{q + q^{-1}}x^3 + (\omega^* - \mu q^{-1} - \mu^{-1}q)x^2 - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - q\varphi}{q + q^{-1}}x + \mu q.$$

(ii)  $\lambda$  is a root of the polynomial

$$\kappa\mu q x^6 + \left( \kappa^{-1}\mu q - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - q\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} \right) x^4 + \left( \frac{\omega^\varepsilon + q^{-1}\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} - \kappa\mu^{-1}q^{-1} \right) x^2 - \frac{1}{\kappa\mu q}.$$

(iii)  $c$  is a root of  $x^2 - rx + 1$  where

$$r = \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi + (\kappa\lambda + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1})(\mu\lambda q - \mu^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-1})}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} & \text{if } \lambda^2 \neq 1, \\ \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - (\kappa\lambda + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1})(\mu\lambda + \mu^{-1}\lambda^{-1})}{\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}} & \text{if } \lambda^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let

$$(5.1) \quad (a, b) = (\kappa\lambda, \mu\lambda).$$

Clearly Definition 3.2(i) holds. Then  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  if and only if Definition 3.2(ii)–(iv) hold.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Suppose that Definition 3.2(ii)–(iv) hold and we show (i)–(iii). The condition (iii) is immediate from Definition 3.2(ii) if  $\lambda^2 \neq 1$ ; the condition (iii) is immediate from Definition 3.2(iv) if  $\lambda^2 = 1$ .

Using Definition 3.2(ii), (iv) it is routine to verify that

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - q\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} = \lambda^{-1}(c + c^{-1}) + bq(a + a^{-1}),$$

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{\omega^\varepsilon + q^{-1}\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} = \lambda(c + c^{-1}) + b^{-1}q^{-1}(a + a^{-1}).$$

We multiply (5.2) and (5.3) by  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$  respectively. The difference of the resulting equations gives

$$\lambda \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - q\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} - \lambda^{-1} \frac{\omega^\varepsilon + q^{-1}\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} = (a + a^{-1})(b\lambda q - b^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-1}).$$

The condition (ii) follows by substituting (5.1) into the above equation.

We multiply (5.2) and (5.3) by  $a^{-1}\lambda$  and  $a\lambda^{-1}$  respectively. The sum of the resulting equations gives

$$(5.4) \quad a^{-1}\lambda \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - q\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} + a\lambda^{-1} \frac{\omega^\varepsilon + q^{-1}\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} = (a + a^{-1})(a^{-1}b\lambda q + ab^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-1} + c + c^{-1}).$$

Subtracting Definition 3.2(iii) from (5.4) yields that

$$a^{-1}\lambda \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - q\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} + a\lambda^{-1} \frac{\omega^\varepsilon + q^{-1}\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} - \omega^* = (ab^{-1} - a^{-1}b)(a\lambda^{-1}q^{-1} - a^{-1}\lambda q).$$

The condition (i) follows by substituting (5.1) into the above equation. The “only if” part follows.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose that (i)–(iii) hold and we show Definition 3.2(ii)–(iv). Definition 3.2(ii) is immediate from (iii) if  $\lambda^2 \neq 1$ ; Definition 3.2(ii) is obtained from (ii) if  $\lambda^2 = 1$ .

Definition 3.2(iv) is immediate from (iii) if  $\lambda^2 = 1$ . Suppose that  $\lambda^2 \neq 1$ . Applying (ii) yields that

$$\frac{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}\omega^\varepsilon = (\kappa\lambda + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1})(\mu\lambda^2 q - \mu^{-1}\lambda^{-2}q^{-1}) + \frac{\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}\varphi.$$

To get Definition 3.2(iv), we evaluate the right-hand side of the above equation by using Definition 3.2(ii) and replacing  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  with  $a\lambda^{-1}$  and  $b\lambda^{-1}$ .

Applying (i) yields that

$$\omega^* = (\kappa^{-1}q - \kappa q^{-1}) \left( \kappa\mu^{-1} - \kappa^{-1}\mu - \frac{\varphi}{q + q^{-1}} \right) + \frac{\kappa + \kappa^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}\omega^\varepsilon.$$

To get Definition 3.2(iii), we evaluate the right-hand side of the above equation by using Definition 3.2(ii), (iv) and replacing  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  with  $a\lambda^{-1}$  and  $b\lambda^{-1}$ . The “if” part follows.  $\square$

By Theorem 5.1 there are at most 48 elements of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  which are feasible for a given element of  $\mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathbb{F}^3$ . By Definition 1.10(i) each equivalence class under  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  consists of at most 48 elements.

**Theorem 5.2.** *For any  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}^\times \times \mathbb{F}^3$  the following statements are true:*

- (i) *There exists an element  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  which is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  then the equivalence class of  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  under  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  consists of all elements of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  which are feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* (i): Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed the statement (i) is immediate from Theorem 5.1.

(ii): Since  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  we may substitute Definition 3.2(i)–(iv) into the polynomials given in Theorem 5.1(i)–(iii). By Theorem 5.1 one may factor these polynomials into linear factors to obtain all elements which are feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$ . By Table 1 they are all elements of the equivalence class of  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  under  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROOF FOR THEOREM 1.9

While using the quintuple  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$  to represent an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  we simply write

$$w_i = m_i + O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall from Section 1 that  $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  is a basis for  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  the following statements hold on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ :*

- (i) *The actions of  $A$  and  $B$  on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  are as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \theta_i)w_i &= w_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 2), & (A - \theta_{\bar{d}-1})w_{\bar{d}-1} &= \delta w_0, \\ (B - \theta_i^*)w_i &= \varphi_i w_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1), & (B - \theta_0^*)w_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) *The elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  act on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  as scalar multiplication by (1.11)–(1.13), respectively.*

- (iii) *The element  $\prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (A - \theta_i)$  acts on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  as scalar multiplication by  $\delta$ .*

*Proof.* (i): Since  $\delta m_0 - m_{\bar{d}} \in O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  the vector  $w_{\bar{d}} = \delta w_0$ . Combined with Theorem 1.5(i) the statement (i) follows.

(ii): Immediate from Theorem 1.5(ii).

(iii): Using Lemma 6.1(i) yields that  $\prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (A - \theta_i)w_j = \delta w_j$  for all  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1$ . Since  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is spanned by  $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  the statement (iii) follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$ . For any  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$  and  $v \in V$ , there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$  that sends  $w_0$  to  $v$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$  that sends  $m_0$  to  $v$ .*

$$(ii) \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (A - \theta_i)v = \delta v.$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Composing the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$  with the canonical map  $M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  yields the condition (i). The condition (ii) is immediate from Lemma 6.1(iii).

( $\Leftarrow$ ): By (ii) the vector

$$\delta m_0 - \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (A - \theta_i)m_0$$

lies in the kernel of the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$  described in (i). By Theorem 1.5(i) the above subtrahend is equal to  $m_d$ . Since the  $\Delta_q$ -submodule  $O_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  of  $M_\lambda(a, b, c)$  is generated by  $\delta m_0 - m_d$ , this induces the desired  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism. The proposition follows.  $\square$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a unique polynomial  $T_n(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that

$$T_n(x + x^{-1}) = x^n + x^{-n}.$$

Note that  $T_n(x)$  is of degree  $n$  for each integer  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 6.3.**  $T_d(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (x - \mu q^{2i} - \mu^{-1} q^{-2i}) + \mu^d + \mu^{-d}$  for any scalar  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ .

*Proof.* Immediate from the construction of  $T_d(x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4** (Theorem 3.2, [18]). *The elements  $T_d(A)$ ,  $T_d(B)$ ,  $T_d(C)$  are central in  $\Delta_q$ .*

**Lemma 6.5.** *For any scalar  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}^\times$  the elements*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (A - \mu q^{2i} - \mu^{-1} q^{-2i}), \quad \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (B - \mu q^{2i} - \mu^{-1} q^{-2i}), \quad \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (C - \mu q^{2i} - \mu^{-1} q^{-2i})$$

*are central in  $\Delta_q$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 6.3 and Theorem 6.4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* Let  $\mu$  denote a marginal weight of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$ . By Lemma 3.4 there are a nonzero vector  $v$  of  $V$  and three scalars  $\varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the equations (3.7)–(3.10).

By Theorem 5.2(i) there exists an element  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  which is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$ . By Theorem 3.3 there exists a unique  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism

$$M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$$

that sends  $m_0$  to  $v$ . By Lemma 6.5 the element  $\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (A - \theta_i)$  is central in  $\Delta_q$ . Combined with Schur's lemma there exists a scalar  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (A - \theta_i)$  acts on  $V$  as scalar multiplication by  $\delta$ . It follows from Proposition 6.2 that there exists a unique  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism

$$(6.1) \quad W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \rightarrow V$$

that sends  $w_0$  to  $v$ . Since  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  and  $V$  have the same dimension  $d$  and by the irreducibility of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $V$ , the map (6.1) is a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism. The result follows.  $\square$

7. THE REPRESENTATION-THEORETICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  AND  $\{\pm 1\} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$ 

Starting from this section, we add a few more notational agreements. While using the quadruple  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  to represent an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$ , the notation  $\{\bar{m}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes the basis for  $M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  mentioned in Theorem 1.5(i) and  $\{\bar{\theta}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{\bar{\theta}_i^*\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{\bar{\varphi}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  stand for the accompanying parameters (1.8)–(1.10). While using the quintuple  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  to represent an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  we write

$$\bar{w}_i = \bar{m}_i + O_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall the equivalence relation  $\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  from Definition 1.10(i).

**Theorem 7.1.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$ .
- (ii) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \rightarrow M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that maps  $m_0$  to  $\bar{m}_0$ .*
- (iii) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow M_{\lambda}(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{m}_0$  to  $m_0$ .*
- (iv) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \rightarrow M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that maps  $m_0$  to  $\bar{m}_0$ .*
- (v) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow M_{\lambda}(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{m}_0$  to  $m_0$ .*

*Proof.* (ii), (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv), (v): Trivial.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (iii): By Theorem 5.2(ii) the condition (i) implies that  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  and  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  are feasible for the same element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}^3$ . Combined with Theorem 3.3 the conditions (ii) and (iii) follow.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By Lemma 3.5(i) the vector  $m_0$  is a marginal weight vector of  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c)$  with weight  $b\lambda^{-1}$ . By Lemma 3.5(ii) and since  $\bar{m}_0$  and  $\bar{m}_1$  are linearly independent, the condition (ii) implies  $\bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = b\lambda^{-1}$ . Applying Theorem 3.3 yields that  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  and  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  are feasible for the same element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}^3$ . Combined with Theorem 5.2(ii) the condition (i) follows.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Similar to the proof of (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.2.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$ , if there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that sends  $w_0$  to  $\bar{w}_0$  then  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4}} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$ .*

*Proof.* Composed with the canonical map  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  we obtain the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that sends  $m_0$  to  $\bar{w}_0$ . By Lemma 3.5(i) the vector  $m_0$  is a marginal weight vector of  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c)$  with weight  $b\lambda^{-1}$ . Since  $\bar{w}_0$  and  $\bar{w}_1$  are linearly independent and by Lemma 3.5(ii) this forces that  $\bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = b\lambda^{-1}$ . Applying Theorem 3.3 yields that  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  and  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  are feasible for the same element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}^3$ . Combined with Theorem 5.2(ii) the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.3.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  and any nonzero  $\bar{w} \in W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$ , there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that sends  $w_0$  to  $\bar{w}$  if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_{\lambda}(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that maps  $m_0$  to  $\bar{w}$ .*
- (ii) *The equation (1.14) holds.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.2 it suffices to show that

$$(7.1) \quad \prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (A - \theta_i) \bar{w} = \delta \bar{w}$$



holds if and only if (1.14) holds. To see this we apply the following two equations: It follows from Lemma 6.1(iii) that

$$(7.2) \quad \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (A - \bar{\theta}_i) \bar{w} = \bar{\delta} \bar{w}.$$

It follows from Lemma 6.3 that

$$(7.3) \quad \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (x - \theta_i) + a^d \lambda^{-d} + a^{-d} \lambda^d = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (x - \bar{\theta}_i) + \bar{a}^d \bar{\lambda}^{-d} + \bar{a}^{-d} \bar{\lambda}^d.$$

(7.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (1.14): We replace  $x$  by  $A$  and then apply  $\bar{w}$  to either side of (7.3). Simplifying the resulting equation by using (7.1) and (7.2) it follows that

$$(\delta + a^d \lambda^{-d} + a^{-d} \lambda^d) \bar{w} = (\bar{\delta} + \bar{a}^d \bar{\lambda}^{-d} + \bar{a}^{-d} \bar{\lambda}^d) \bar{w}.$$

Since  $\bar{w} \neq 0$  the equation (1.14) follows.

(1.14)  $\Rightarrow$  (7.1): Simplifying (7.3) by using (1.14) yields that

$$\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (x - \theta_i) - \delta = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (x - \bar{\theta}_i) - \bar{\delta}.$$

We replace  $x$  by  $A$  and apply  $\bar{w}$  to either side of the above equation. Since the right-hand side of the resulting equation is zero by (7.2), the equation (7.1) follows.  $\square$

Recall the equivalence relation  $\{\pm 1\} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$  on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  from Definition 1.10(ii).

**Theorem 7.4.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ .
- (ii) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that maps  $w_0$  to  $\bar{w}_0$ .*
- (iii) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_0$ .*
- (iv) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that maps  $w_0$  to  $\bar{w}_0$ .*
- (v) *There exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_0$ .*

*Proof.* (ii), (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv), (v): Trivial.

(ii), (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Immediate from Lemma 7.2 and Proposition 7.3.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  by Definition 1.10(ii), the map mentioned in Theorem 7.1(ii) exists. Composed with the canonical map  $M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  we obtain the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_\lambda(a, b, c) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  that maps  $m_0$  to  $\bar{w}_0$ . Since (1.14) holds by Definition 1.10(ii), the condition (ii) is now immediate from Proposition 7.3.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Similar to the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).  $\square$

## 8. PROOF FOR THEOREM 1.11

For convenience we always assume that  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$  denotes a fixed element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  throughout the rest of this paper.

**Lemma 8.1.** *If the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is irreducible then  $\delta \neq 0$  or  $\lambda^2 \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, d-2\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that  $\delta = 0$  and  $\lambda^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  for some integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$ . Let  $W$  be the subspace of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  spanned by  $\{w_h\}_{h=i}^{\bar{d}-1}$ . By Lemma 6.1(ii) the elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  act on  $W$  as scalar multiplication. Since  $\delta = 0$  and  $\varphi_i = 0$  by (1.10), it follows from Lemma 6.1(i) that  $W$  is invariant under  $A$  and  $B$ . Hence  $W$  is a proper  $\Delta_q$ -submodule of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  by Proposition 1.2, a contradiction.  $\square$

After Theorem 7.4 and Lemma 8.1, Theorem 1.11(ii) is already an obvious necessary condition for the irreducibility of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ .

*Proof of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) of Theorem 1.11.* In view of Theorem 7.4 the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is isomorphic to  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  for any  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$ . Combined with Lemma 8.1 the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows.  $\square$

Theorem 1.11(ii) can be expanded as follows by using Table 1:

**Lemma 8.2.** Theorem 1.11(ii) holds if and only if each of the following conditions holds:

- (i)  $\delta \neq 0$  or  $\lambda^2, a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}$ .
- (ii)  $\delta \neq (a^{\bar{d}} - a^{-\bar{d}})(\lambda^{\bar{d}} - \lambda^{-\bar{d}})$  or
 
$$\lambda^2, ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$
- (iii)  $\delta + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}} \neq (b^{\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}} + b^{-\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}})q^{\bar{d}}$  or
 
$$ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, b^{-2}q^{-2} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$
- (iv)  $\delta + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}} \neq (b^{\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}} + b^{-\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}})q^{\bar{d}}$  or
 
$$ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}, b^{-2}q^{-2}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$

Recall the algebra automorphism  $\vee$  of  $\Delta_q$  from Lemma 4.1. We are going to investigate the marginal weights and the marginal weight vectors of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$ . Define  $\nu$  to be a scalar in  $\mathbb{F}^\times$  satisfying

$$(8.1) \quad \nu^{\bar{d}} + \nu^{-\bar{d}} = \delta + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is algebraically closed the existence of  $\nu$  follows. Set

$$\vartheta_i = \nu^{-1}q^{2i} + \nu q^{-2i} \quad \text{for all integers } i.$$

**Lemma 8.3.** The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is equal to

$$(8.2) \quad \prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (x - \vartheta_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (x - \theta_i) - \delta.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1(iii) the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is equal to the right-hand side of (8.2). It follows from Lemma 6.3 that

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (x - \theta_i) + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}} = \prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (x - \vartheta_i) + \nu^{\bar{d}} + \nu^{-\bar{d}}.$$

Combined with (8.1) the lemma follows.  $\square$

For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  we define  $e_i \in W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  by

$$(8.3) \quad e_i = \sum_{h=1}^{\bar{d}} \prod_{j=h}^{\bar{d}-1} (\vartheta_i - \theta_j) w_{h-1}.$$

**Lemma 8.4.** *For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the coefficient of  $w_{\bar{d}-1}$  in  $e_i$  with respect to the basis  $\{w_h\}_{h=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  for  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is equal to one.*

*Proof.* Immediate from (8.3). □

By Lemma 8.3 the weights of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  are  $\{\nu q^{-2i}\}_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  and  $\{\nu^{-1}q^{2i}\}_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$ .

**Lemma 8.5.** *For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the following statements are true:*

- (i) *The weight space of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  with weight  $\nu^{-1}q^{2i}$  is spanned by  $e_i$ .*
- (ii) *The weight space of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  with weight  $\nu q^{-2i}$  is spanned by  $e_i$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 6.1(i) a direct calculation shows that  $(A - \vartheta_i)e_i$  is equal to

$$\delta w_0 + \sum_{h=1}^{\bar{d}-1} \prod_{j=h}^{\bar{d}-1} (\vartheta_i - \theta_j) w_h - \sum_{h=1}^{\bar{d}} \prod_{j=h-1}^{\bar{d}-1} (\vartheta_i - \theta_j) w_{h-1}.$$

Using the change of indices yields that the above vector is equal to the scalar multiple of  $w_0$  by

$$\delta - \prod_{j=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (\vartheta_i - \theta_j),$$

which is equal to zero by (8.2). Therefore  $e_i$  is a weight vector of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  with weights  $\nu q^{-2i}$  and  $\nu^{-1}q^{2i}$ . By Lemma 6.1(i) the rank of  $A - \vartheta_i$  on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is at least  $\bar{d} - 1$ . The lemma now follows from the rank-nullity theorem. □

**Lemma 8.6.** *For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\nu^{-1}q^{2i}$  is a marginal weight of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$ .*
- (ii)  *$(A - \vartheta_{i+1})(A - \vartheta_i)Be_i = 0$  on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ .*
- (iii)  *$e_i$  is a marginal weight vector of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  with weight  $\nu^{-1}q^{2i}$ .*
- (iv)  *$\nu \in \{a\lambda^{-1}q^{2(i-1)}, a^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{2(i-1)}, bcq^{2i-1}, bc^{-1}q^{2i-1}\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii): Immediate from Definition 1.4 and Lemma 8.5(i).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii): Immediate from Definition 2.4 and Lemma 8.5(i).

(ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv): Applying Lemma 1.3(ii) to  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  yields that

$$(8.4) \quad (A - \vartheta_{i+1})(A - \vartheta_i)Be_i \in W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee(\nu^{-1}q^{2(i-1)}).$$

Combined with Lemma 8.5(i) the left-hand side of (8.4) is a scalar multiple of  $e_{i-1}$ , where  $e_{i-1}$  is interpreted as  $e_{\bar{d}-1}$  if  $i = 0$ . In view of Lemma 8.4 the condition (ii) holds if and only if the coefficient of  $w_{\bar{d}-1}$  in the left-hand side of (8.4) is equal to zero. Applying Lemma 6.1(i) a direct calculation shows that the aforementioned coefficient is equal to  $b^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})$  times

$$(q^{1-i} - bc\nu^{-1}q^i)(q^{1-i} - bc^{-1}\nu^{-1}q^i)(q^{i-1} - a\lambda\nu q^{1-i})(q^{i-1} - a^{-1}\lambda\nu q^{1-i}).$$

The equivalence of (ii) and (iv) follows. □

**Lemma 8.7.** *For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\nu q^{-2i}$  is a marginal weight of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$ .*
- (ii)  *$(A - \vartheta_{i-1})(A - \vartheta_i)Be_i = 0$  on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ .*
- (iii)  *$e_i$  is a marginal weight vector of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  with weight  $\nu q^{-2i}$ .*
- (iv)  *$\nu \in \{a\lambda q^{2(i+1)}, a^{-1}\lambda q^{2(i+1)}, b^{-1}cq^{2i+1}, b^{-1}c^{-1}q^{2i+1}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Lemma 8.6.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.8.** *For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $e_i$  is a marginal weight vector of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$ .
- (ii)  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{a\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, a^{-1}\lambda q^2, a\lambda q^2, a^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, bcq^{-1}, b^{-1}c^{-1}q, bc^{-1}q^{-1}, b^{-1}cq\}$ .
- (iii)  $\nu^{-1}q^{2i} \in \{a\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, a^{-1}\lambda q^2, a\lambda q^2, a^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, bcq^{-1}, b^{-1}c^{-1}q, bc^{-1}q^{-1}, b^{-1}cq\}$ .

*Proof.* Combine Lemmas 8.6 and 8.7.  $\square$

Let  $L_{jk}^{(i)}$  for all integers  $i, j, k$  with  $0 \leq i, j, k \leq \bar{d} - 1$  denote the scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfying

$$(8.5) \quad \prod_{h=1}^k (B - \theta_{\bar{d}-h}^*) e_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\bar{d}-1} L_{jk}^{(i)} w_{\bar{d}-j-1}.$$

Let  $i$  denote an integer with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$ . Using Lemma 6.1(i) yields that  $L_{jk}^{(i)} = 0$  for all integers  $j, k$  with  $0 \leq j < k \leq \bar{d} - 1$ . In particular

$$(8.6) \quad \prod_{h=1}^{\bar{d}-1} (B - \theta_{\bar{d}-h}^*) e_i = L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} w_0.$$

**Theorem 8.9.** *The  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is reducible if and only if there exists an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $e_i$  is a marginal weight vector of the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$ .
- (ii)  $L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} = 0$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Since the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is reducible, there is a proper irreducible  $\Delta_q$ -submodule  $W$  of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ . By Lemma 2.3 there exists a marginal weight  $\mu$  of  $W^\vee$ . By Lemma 8.3 there exists an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\mu = \nu q^{-2i}$  or  $\mu = \nu^{-1} q^{2i}$ . Combined with Lemmas 8.6 and 8.7 the vector  $e_i$  is a marginal weight vector of  $W^\vee$ . The condition (i) follows. By (8.6) the vector  $L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} w_0 \in W$ . Since the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is generated by  $w_0$  the vector  $w_0 \notin W$ . The condition (ii) follows.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Suppose on the contrary that the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  is irreducible. Applying Lemma 2.7 to  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)^\vee$  the vectors  $\{B^h e_i\}_{h=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  form a basis for  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ . By (ii) the right-hand side of (8.6) is zero. Then  $\{B^h e_i\}_{h=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  are linearly dependent, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.10.** *For any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the following statements are true:*

- (i) *Suppose that  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{a\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, a^{-1}\lambda q^2\}$ . Then*

$$L_{jk}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{h=1}^j \varphi_{\bar{d}-h} & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \quad (0 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

(ii) Suppose that  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{a\lambda q^2, a^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}\}$ . Then  $L_{jk}^{(i)}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{h=1}^k (q^{h+j-k} - q^{k-h-j})(a\lambda q^{h+1} - bcq^{-h})(b^{-1}q^h - a^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-h-1}) \\ & \times \prod_{h=1}^j (\lambda q^{h+1} - \lambda^{-1}q^{-h-1}) \prod_{h=1}^{j-k} (aq^{1-h} - a^{-1}q^{h-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (0 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

(iii) Suppose that  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{bcq^{-1}, b^{-1}c^{-1}q\}$ . Then  $L_{jk}^{(i)}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{h=1}^k (q^{h+j-k} - q^{k-h-j})(bq^{-h} - b^{-1}q^h)(a\lambda q^{h+1} - bcq^{-h}) \\ & \times \prod_{h=1}^j (\lambda^{-1}q^{-h-1} - a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}q^h) \prod_{h=1}^{j-k} (bc\lambda q^h - aq^{1-h}) \end{aligned} \quad (0 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

(iv) Suppose that  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{bc^{-1}q^{-1}, b^{-1}cq\}$ . Then  $L_{jk}^{(i)}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{h=1}^k (q^{h+j-k} - q^{k-h-j})(bq^{-h} - b^{-1}q^h)(a\lambda q^{h+1} - bc^{-1}q^{-h}) \\ & \times \prod_{h=1}^j (\lambda^{-1}q^{-h-1} - a^{-1}b^{-1}cq^h) \prod_{h=1}^{j-k} (bc^{-1}\lambda q^h - aq^{1-h}) \end{aligned} \quad (0 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

*Proof.* Fix an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$ . Recall the coefficients  $\{L_{jk}^{(i)}\}_{0 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d}-1}$  from (8.5). Applying Lemma 6.1(i) yields that

$$(8.7) \quad L_{0k}^{(i)} = 0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq \bar{d} - 1),$$

$$(8.8) \quad L_{jk}^{(i)} = \varphi_{\bar{d}-j} L_{j-1, k-1}^{(i)} + (\theta_{\bar{d}-j-1}^* - \theta_{\bar{d}-k}^*) L_{j, k-1}^{(i)} \quad (1 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

By (8.3) we have

$$(8.9) \quad L_{j0}^{(i)} = \prod_{h=1}^j (\vartheta_i - \theta_{\bar{d}-h}) \quad (0 \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1).$$

The coefficients  $\{L_{jk}^{(i)}\}_{0 \leq j, k \leq \bar{d}-1}$  are uniquely determined by the recurrence (8.8) and the initial conditions (8.7) and (8.9). To see (i)–(iv) it is routine but tedious to verify that the given formulae satisfy (8.7)–(8.9).  $\square$

*Proof of the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) of Theorem 1.11.* Suppose on the contrary that Theorem 1.11(i) fails. By Theorem 8.9 there is an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that Theorem 8.9(i), (ii) hold. By Lemma 8.8 there are four possible situations: (a)  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{a\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, a^{-1}\lambda q^2\}$ ; (b)  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{a\lambda q^2, a^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{-2}\}$ ; (c)  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{bcq^{-1}, b^{-1}c^{-1}q\}$ ; (d)  $\nu q^{-2i} \in \{bc^{-1}q^{-1}, b^{-1}cq\}$ .

(a): Since the order of  $q^2$  is  $\bar{d}$  the scalar  $\nu^{\bar{d}} \in \{a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}}, a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}}\}$ . It follows from (8.1) that  $\delta = 0$ . By Lemma 8.2(i) this forces that

$$\lambda^2, a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$

Hence  $\varphi_i \neq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{d} - 1$  by (1.10). Combined with Proposition 8.10(i) this yields that  $L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} \neq 0$ , a contradiction to Theorem 8.9(ii).

(b): Since the order of  $q^2$  is  $\bar{d}$  the scalar  $\nu^{\bar{d}} \in \{a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}}, a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}}\}$ . It follows from (8.1) that  $\delta = (a^{\bar{d}} - a^{-\bar{d}})(\lambda^{\bar{d}} - \lambda^{-\bar{d}})$ . By Lemma 8.2(ii) this forces that

$$\lambda^2, ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$

Then  $L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} \neq 0$  by Proposition 8.10(ii), a contradiction to Theorem 8.9(ii).

(c): Since the order of  $q^2$  is  $\bar{d}$  the scalar  $\nu^{\bar{d}} \in \{b^{\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}}q^{\bar{d}}, b^{-\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}}q^{\bar{d}}\}$ . It follows from (8.1) that  $\delta + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}} = (b^{\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}} + b^{-\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}})q^{\bar{d}}$ . By Lemma 8.2(iii) this forces that

$$ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, b^{-2}q^{-2} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$

Then  $L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} \neq 0$  by Proposition 8.10(iii), a contradiction to Theorem 8.9(ii).

(d): Since the order of  $q^2$  is  $\bar{d}$  the scalar  $\nu^{\bar{d}} \in \{b^{\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}}q^{\bar{d}}, b^{-\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}}q^{\bar{d}}\}$ . It follows from (8.1) that  $\delta + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}} = (b^{\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}} + b^{-\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}})q^{\bar{d}}$ . By Lemma 8.2(iv) this forces that

$$ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}, b^{-2}q^{-2}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1} \notin \{q^{2i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2\}.$$

Then  $L_{\bar{d}-1, \bar{d}-1}^{(i)} \neq 0$  by Proposition 8.10(iv), a contradiction to Theorem 8.9(ii).  $\square$

## 9. THE BINARY RELATION $\sim$ AND THE MARGINAL WEIGHT VECTORS OF $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$

**Lemma 9.1.** *The characteristic polynomial of  $B$  on  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  is equal to*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1} (x - \theta_i^*).$$

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 6.1(i).  $\square$

For any integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1$  we define  $w_{ij} \in W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  by

$$(9.1) \quad w_{ij} = \sum_{h=0}^{j-i} \left( \prod_{k=h}^{j-i-1} \varphi_{i+k+1} \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{h-1} (\theta_j^* - \theta_{i+k}^*) \right) w_{i+h}.$$

Note that  $w_{ii} = w_i$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1$ . Applying Lemma 6.1(i) a straightforward calculation yields the following three lemmas:

**Lemma 9.2.** *If there exists an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\varphi_i = 0$ , then*

$$(B - \theta_j^*)w_{ij} = 0$$

*for any integer  $j$  with  $i \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1$ . In particular  $(B - \theta_i^*)w_{0i} = 0$  for any integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$ .*

**Lemma 9.3.** *For each integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  the vector  $(B - \theta_{i+1}^*)(B - \theta_i^*)Aw_{0i}$  is equal to the scalar multiple of  $w_{0, i-1}$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} & ab^{-1}\lambda q(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})(q^i - q^{-i}) \cdot \varphi_i \\ & \times (bq^i - b^{-1}q^{-i})(q^{-i} - a^{-1}bc^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{i-1})(q^{-i} - a^{-1}bc\lambda^{-1}q^{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9.4.** *The following statements hold on  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$ :*

- (i)  $(B - \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*)(B - \theta_0^*)Aw_0 = (\theta_1^* - \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*)w_{01}$ .
- (ii) *For each integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 2$  the vector  $(B - \theta_{i-1}^*)(B - \theta_i^*)Aw_{0i}$  is equal to*

$$\frac{(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})}{(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i+1} - q^{-i-1})}(\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)w_{0,i+1}.$$

- (iii)  $(B - \theta_{\bar{d}-2}^*)(B - \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*)Aw_{0,\bar{d}-1}$  *is equal to the scalar multiple of  $w_0$  by*

$$q^{-\frac{\bar{d}(\bar{d}-1)}{2}}(q^2 - q^{-2}) \prod_{i=1}^{\bar{d}-1} (q^i - q^{-i}) \cdot (\theta_0^* - \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*) \\ \times (\delta(b^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} - b^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}}) - a^{-\bar{d}}b^{-\bar{d}}(\lambda^{2\bar{d}} - 1)(a^{\bar{d}}b^{\bar{d}}c^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}}q^{\bar{d}} - 1)(a^{\bar{d}}b^{\bar{d}}c^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}}q^{\bar{d}} - 1)).$$

**Lemma 9.5.** *Suppose that there are two integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\varphi_i = 0$  and one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_{i+2}\cdots\varphi_j \neq 0$ .
- (ii)  $\theta_j^* \notin \{\theta_h^* \mid h = i, i+1, \dots, j-1\}$ .

*Then  $w_{ij}$  is a basis for the  $\theta_j^*$ -eigenspace of  $B$  on the subspace of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  spanned by  $\{w_h\}_{h=i}^j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  denote the subspace of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  spanned by  $\{w_h\}_{h=i}^j$ . Since  $\varphi_i = 0$  it follows from Lemma 6.1(i) that  $W$  is  $B$ -invariant and the characteristic polynomial of  $B$  on  $W$  is  $\prod_{h=i}^j (x - \theta_h^*)$ .

Suppose that (i) holds. Then the rank of  $B - \theta_j^*$  on  $W$  is equal to  $j - i$ . By the rank-nullity theorem the  $\theta_j^*$ -eigenspace of  $B$  on  $W$  is of dimension one. By (9.1) the coefficient of  $w_i$  in  $w_{ij}$  is  $\varphi_{i+1}\varphi_{i+2}\cdots\varphi_j \neq 0$ . Hence  $w_{ij}$  is nonzero. Combined with Lemma 9.2 the lemma is true when (i) holds.

Suppose that (ii) holds. Since  $\theta_j^*$  is a simple root of  $\prod_{h=i}^j (x - \theta_h^*)$ , the  $\theta_j^*$ -eigenspace of  $B$  on  $W$  is of dimension one. By (9.1) the coefficient of  $w_j$  in  $w_{ij}$  is  $\prod_{h=i}^{j-1} (\theta_j^* - \theta_h^*) \neq 0$ . Hence  $w_{ij}$  is nonzero. Combined with Lemma 9.2 the lemma is true when (ii) holds.  $\square$

Recall the binary relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  from Definition 1.12.

**Lemma 9.6.** *Suppose that there exists an integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\lambda^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$ . Then  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a^{-1}, b, c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, \delta)$  satisfies following statements:*

- (i)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{i+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii)  $w_i$  is a marginal weight vector of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  with weight  $\bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ .
- (iii) *There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_i$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  and  $(\bar{b}, \bar{\lambda}) = (b, \lambda^{-1}q^{-2})$  it follows that

$$(9.2) \quad \bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2i}.$$

The condition (i) follows. Let  $\mu$  denote the scalar (9.2). Then  $(\mu + \mu^{-1}, \mu q^2 + \mu^{-1}q^{-2}) = (\theta_i^*, \theta_{i+1}^*)$ . Since  $\lambda^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  it follows from (1.10) that  $\varphi_i = 0$ . By Lemma 9.2 the vector  $w_i$  is a weight vector of  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)$  with weight  $\mu$ . Let

$$\varphi = \frac{\varphi_{i+1} + \theta_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Using Lemma 6.1(i) a routine calculation yields that  $(B - \theta_{i+1}^*)Aw_i = (q - q^{-1})\varphi \cdot w_i$ . The condition (ii) follows.

Under the hypothesis  $\lambda^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  the element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  where  $\omega^*$  and  $\omega^\varepsilon$  are the scalars (1.12) and (1.13) respectively. By Theorem 3.3 there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)$  that sends  $\bar{m}_0$  to  $w_i$ . Since  $(\bar{a}^d \bar{\lambda}^{-d}, \bar{\delta}) = (a^{-d} \lambda^d, \delta)$  the equation (1.14) holds. By Proposition 7.3 the condition (iii) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.7.** *Suppose that the conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 1.12(iii) hold. Then  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a^{-1}, b^{-1}, c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-2}, \delta)$  satisfies the following statements:*

- (i)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{\bar{d}-h-1}^*$  for all  $h = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1$ .
- (ii)  $w_{0, \bar{d}-1}$  is a marginal weight vector of  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)$  with weight  $\bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ .
- (iii) There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_{0, \bar{d}-1}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\bar{b}, \bar{\lambda}) = (b^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}q^{-2})$  it follows that

$$(9.3) \quad \bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = b^{-1}\lambda q^2.$$

The condition (i) follows. Let  $\mu$  denote the scalar (9.3). Then  $(\mu + \mu^{-1}, \mu q^2 + \mu^{-1}q^{-2}) = (\theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*, \theta_{\bar{d}-2}^*)$ . Observe that the condition (a) of Definition 1.12(iii) is equivalent to

$$(9.4) \quad \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^* \neq \theta_i^* \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 2.$$

By Lemma 9.5 the vector  $w_{0, \bar{d}-1}$  is a basis for  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu)$ . Under the condition (b) of Definition 1.12(iii), Lemma 9.4(iii) implies that

$$(B - \theta_{\bar{d}-2}^*)(B - \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*)Aw_{0, \bar{d}-1} = 0.$$

Since  $q^2 \neq 1$  and  $w_{0, \bar{d}-1}$  is a basis for  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu)$ , there is a scalar  $\varphi \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $(B - \theta_{\bar{d}-2}^*)Aw_{0, \bar{d}-1} = (q - q^{-1})\varphi \cdot w_{0, \bar{d}-1}$ . The condition (ii) follows.

Applying Lemma 6.1(i) a direct calculation shows that

$$\varphi = \frac{\varphi_{\bar{d}-1} + \theta_{\bar{d}-1}(\theta_{\bar{d}-1}^* - \theta_{\bar{d}-2}^*)}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

By Definition 3.2 it is straightforward to verify that  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  is feasible for  $(\mu, \varphi, \omega^*, \omega^\varepsilon)$  where  $\omega^*$  and  $\omega^\varepsilon$  are the scalars (1.12) and (1.13) respectively. By Theorem 3.3 there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $M_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{m}_0$  to  $w_{0, \bar{d}-1}$ . Since  $(\bar{a}^d \bar{\lambda}^{-d}, \bar{\delta}) = (a^{-d} \lambda^d, \delta)$  the equation (1.14) holds. By Proposition 7.3 the condition (iii) follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.8.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \sim (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ , there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)$  that sends  $\bar{w}_0$  to a marginal weight vector of  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^\delta(a, b, c)$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Theorem 7.4 and Lemmas 9.6 and 9.7.  $\square$

## 10. PROOF FOR THEOREM 1.14

Recall the equivalence relation  $\simeq$  on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  from Definition 1.13. Recall the set  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}}$  from below Definition 1.13.



**Proposition 10.1.** *For any  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbf{PM}_{\vec{d}}$  and any  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ , the  $\Delta_q$ -module  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  is isomorphic to  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$ . Moreover the set  $\mathbf{PM}_{\vec{d}}$  is closed under  $\simeq$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Theorem 1.11 and Proposition 9.8.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.2.** *If  $(a, b, c, \lambda), (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$ , then  $\theta_i^* = \bar{\theta}_i^*$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  and by Theorem 5.2(ii), the elements  $(a, b, c, \lambda)$  and  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  are feasible for the same element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}^3$ . Hence  $b\lambda^{-1} = \bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$  by Definition 3.2(i). By (1.9) the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.3.** *Suppose that there is an integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \vec{d} - 1$  such that  $\varphi_i = 0$ . Then there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\bar{\lambda}^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{\theta}_h = \theta_h$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iii)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_h^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iv)  $\bar{\varphi}_h = \varphi_h$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (v) *There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  that maps*

$$\bar{w}_h \mapsto w_h \quad \text{for all } h = 0, 1, \dots, \vec{d} - 1.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi_i = 0$  it follows from (1.10) that  $q^{2(i-1)} \in \{\lambda^2, a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, a^{-1}b^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}\}$ . We select  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  from the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> rows of Table 1 as follows:

$$(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} (a, b, c, \lambda) & \text{if } q^{2(i-1)} = \lambda^2, \\ \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}} \right) & \text{if } q^{2(i-1)} = a^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}, \\ \left( \frac{ac}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{bc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}} \right) & \text{if } q^{2(i-1)} = a^{-1}b^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

By construction the condition (i) holds. Since  $\bar{a}\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = a\lambda^{-1}$  the condition (ii) holds by (1.8). Since  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$  by Definition 1.10(i), the condition (iii) is immediate from Lemma 10.2. It is straightforward to verify the condition (iv) by using (1.10).

Let  $\bar{\delta} = \delta$ . Since  $(\bar{a}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}, \bar{\delta}) = (a\lambda^{-1}, \delta)$  the equation (1.14) holds. Hence  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  by Definition 1.10(ii). By Theorem 7.4 there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism

$$f : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$$

that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_0$ . Applying (ii) and Lemma 6.1(i) yields that  $f(\bar{w}_h) = w_h$  for all  $h = 0, 1, \dots, \vec{d} - 1$ . The condition (v) follows.  $\square$

While using  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  to denote an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$ , the notation  $\bar{w}_{ij}$  represents the vector of  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  corresponding to the vector  $w_{ij}$  of  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  for any integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i, j \leq \vec{d} - 1$ .

**Proposition 10.4.** *Suppose that there is an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \vec{d} - 1$  such that  $\varphi_i = 0$ . Then there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{i+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) *There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $f : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_i$ .*

(iii)  $f(\bar{w}_{0,j-i}) = w_{ij}$  for any integer  $j$  with  $i \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1$  and  $\theta_j^* \notin \{\theta_h^* \mid h = i, i+1, \dots, j-1\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $i = 0$  then the proposition follows by selecting  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$ . Suppose that  $i \geq 1$ . By Proposition 10.3 we may assume that  $\lambda^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  instead of  $\varphi_i = 0$ . Combined with Lemma 9.6 the conditions (i) and (ii) follow.

Suppose that  $j$  is an integer with  $i \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1$  satisfying the hypothesis  $\theta_j^* \neq \theta_h^*$  for all  $h = i, i+1, \dots, j-1$ . Applying Lemma 6.1(i) the vector  $f(\bar{w}_h)$  is equal to  $w_{i+h}$  plus a linear combination of  $w_i, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_{i+h-1}$  for all  $h = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - i - 1$ . Hence  $f(\bar{w}_{0,j-i})$  is a linear combination of  $\{w_h\}_{h=i}^j$ . In addition the coefficient of  $w_j$  in  $f(\bar{w}_{0,j-i})$  is equal to the coefficient of  $\bar{w}_{j-i}$  in  $\bar{w}_{0,j-i}$ . By Lemma 9.2 and since  $\bar{\theta}_{j-i}^* = \theta_j^*$  by (i) it follows that  $(B - \theta_j^*)\bar{w}_{0,j-i} = 0$ . Applying  $f$  to the above equation we have  $(B - \theta_j^*)f(\bar{w}_{0,j-i}) = 0$ . By Lemma 9.5 there is a scalar  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$(10.1) \quad f(\bar{w}_{0,j-i}) = \varepsilon w_{ij}.$$

By (9.1) the coefficient of  $w_j$  in  $w_{ij}$  is equal to  $\prod_{h=i}^{j-1} (\theta_j^* - \theta_h^*) \neq 0$  and the coefficient of  $\bar{w}_{j-i}$  in  $\bar{w}_{0,j-i}$  is equal to  $\prod_{h=1}^{j-i} (\bar{\theta}_{j-i}^* - \bar{\theta}_{h-1}^*)$ . By (i) both coefficients are equal. Comparing the coefficients of  $w_j$  in both sides of (10.1) yields that  $\varepsilon = 1$ . The condition (iii) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.5.** *Suppose that there is an integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i \neq 0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(B - \theta_{i+1}^*)(B - \theta_i^*)w_{0i} = 0$ .
- (ii)  $q^{2(i-1)} \in \{b^{-2}q^{-2}, ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}, ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}\}$ .

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Immediate from Lemma 9.3.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Looking at the coefficient of  $w_0$  in  $w_{0,i-1}$  by (9.1) yields that  $w_{0,i-1}$  is nonzero. Since  $\varphi_i \neq 0$  and by Lemma 9.3 the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows.  $\square$

Later the quintuple  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta})$  will also be used to denote an element of  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$ . We will adopt a similar convention for the notations  $\{\hat{\theta}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\hat{\theta}_i^*\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\hat{\varphi}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\hat{w}_i\}_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1}, \{\hat{w}_{ij}\}_{0 \leq i, j \leq \bar{d}-1}$ .

**Proposition 10.6.** *Suppose that there is an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i \neq 0$ . If*

$$(B - \theta_{i+1}^*)(B - \theta_i^*)w_{0i} = 0,$$

*then there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{i+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (ii) *There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_{0i}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $i = 0$  then the proposition follows by selecting  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$ . Suppose that  $i \geq 1$ . It follows from Lemma 10.5 that  $q^{2(i-1)} \in \{b^{-2}q^{-2}, ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}, ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}\}$ . We select  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda})$  from the 10<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> rows of Table 1 as follows:

$$(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} (c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, b^{-1}q^{-1}) & \text{if } q^{2(i-1)} = b^{-2}q^{-2}, \\ \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{abc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ac\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}} \right) & \text{if } q^{2(i-1)} = ab^{-1}c\lambda q^{-1}, \\ \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ab}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ac}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{a\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}} \right) & \text{if } q^{2(i-1)} = ab^{-1}c^{-1}\lambda q^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

By construction  $\hat{\lambda}^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$ . Note that  $(a, b, c, \lambda)_{\{\pm 1\}} \approx_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda})$  by Definition 1.10(i). Set

$$\hat{\delta} = \delta + a^{\bar{d}}\lambda^{-\bar{d}} + a^{-\bar{d}}\lambda^{\bar{d}} - \hat{a}^{\bar{d}}\hat{\lambda}^{-\bar{d}} - \hat{a}^{-\bar{d}}\hat{\lambda}^{\bar{d}}.$$

Then  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta})$  by Definition 1.10(ii). By Theorem 7.4 there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism

$$f_1 : W_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\hat{\delta}}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$$

that maps  $\hat{w}_0$  to  $w_0$ . Since  $\hat{\lambda}^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  it follows from Lemma 9.6 that there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta}) \sim (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  such that  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \hat{\theta}_{i+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and there is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism

$$f_2 : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\hat{\delta}}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$$

that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $\hat{w}_i$ . Since  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta}) \sim (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  and  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \sim (\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta})$  by Definition 1.12(i) it follows from Definition 1.13 that  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ .

Since  $\theta_h^* = \hat{\theta}_h^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  by Lemma 10.2, the condition (i) follows. To see (ii) it suffices to show that  $(f_1 \circ f_2)(\bar{w}_0)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $w_0$ . Since  $\hat{\lambda}^2 = q^{2(i-1)}$  it follows from (1.10) that  $\hat{\varphi}_i = 0$ . Hence

$$(B - \theta_i^*)\hat{w}_i = 0$$

by Lemma 9.2. Since  $f_1(\hat{w}_0) = w_0$  and by Lemma 6.1(i) the vector  $f_1(\hat{w}_i)$  is a linear combination of  $\{w_h\}_{h=0}^i$ . By Lemma 9.5 there is a scalar  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$(f_1 \circ f_2)(w_0) = f_1(\hat{w}_i) = \varepsilon w_{0i}.$$

Since  $f_1$  is a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism the vector  $f_1(\hat{w}_i)$  is nonzero and the scalar  $\varepsilon$  is nonzero. The proposition follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.7.** *Suppose there are two integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq d-1$  such that  $\varphi_i = 0$  and  $\theta_j^* \notin \{\theta_h^* \mid h = i, i+1, \dots, j-1\}$ . Then there exist an integer  $k$  with  $i \leq k \leq j$  an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\bar{\varphi}_1 \bar{\varphi}_2 \cdots \bar{\varphi}_{j-k} \neq 0$ .
- (ii)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{k+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iii) *There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_{0, j-k}$  to  $w_{ij}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $j-i$ . If  $i = j$  then the lemma is immediate from Proposition 10.4. Now suppose that  $j > i$ . By Proposition 10.4 there exists an element  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\hat{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{i+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $f : W_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\hat{\delta}}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  such that

$$f(\hat{w}_{0, j-i}) = w_{ij}.$$

If  $\hat{\varphi}_1 \hat{\varphi}_2 \cdots \hat{\varphi}_{j-i} \neq 0$  then the lemma follows. Thus suppose that there is an integer  $\ell$  with  $1 \leq \ell \leq j-i$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}_\ell = 0$ . By the induction hypothesis there exist an integer  $m$  with  $\ell \leq m \leq j-i$  and an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta}) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\bar{\varphi}_1 \bar{\varphi}_2 \cdots \bar{\varphi}_{j-i-m} \neq 0$ .
- (b)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \hat{\theta}_{m+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (c) There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $g : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\hat{\lambda}}^{\hat{\delta}}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$  such that

$$g(\bar{w}_{0, j-i-m}) = \hat{w}_{\ell, j-i}.$$

By the transitive property of  $\simeq$  the element  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ . Set

$$k = i + m.$$

Then the condition (i) is exactly the condition (a). The condition (ii) is immediate from the conditions (1) and (b). Since  $\hat{\varphi}_\ell = 0$  and by (9.1) the vector  $\hat{w}_{0,j-i}$  is a linear combination of  $\{\hat{w}_h\}_{h=\ell}^{j-i}$ . By Lemma 9.2,  $(B - \hat{\theta}_{j-i}^*)\hat{w}_{0,j-i} = 0$ . By Lemma 9.5 there is a scalar  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\hat{w}_{0,j-i} = \varepsilon \hat{w}_{\ell,j-i}$ . Applying the conditions (2) and (c) yields that  $\varepsilon \cdot (f \circ g)$  satisfies the condition (iii). The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.8.** *Suppose that  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbf{PM}_d$  and there are two integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq d - 1$  such that  $\varphi_i = 0$  and  $\theta_j^* \notin \{\theta_h^* \mid h = i, i + 1, \dots, j - 1\}$ . If*

$$(10.2) \quad (B - \theta_{j+1}^*)(B - \theta_j^*)w_{ij} = 0,$$

*then there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(i)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{j+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) *There is a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $w_{ij}$ .*

*Proof.* There are an integer  $k$  with  $i \leq k \leq j$  and an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying Lemma 10.7(i)–(iii). Let  $f$  denote the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism described in Lemma 10.7(iii). Since  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \in \mathbf{PM}_d$  and by Theorem 1.11 the map  $f$  is a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism. Pulling back (10.2) via  $f$  yields that

$$(B - \bar{\theta}_{j-k+1}^*)(B - \bar{\theta}_{j-k}^*)\bar{w}_{0,j-k} = 0.$$

Combined with Proposition 10.6 the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.9.** *Suppose that there are two integers  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq d - 1$  such that  $\varphi_{i+1} = 0$  and  $\theta_i^* = \theta_j^*$ . Then there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(i)  $\bar{\theta}_h^* = \theta_{j+h}^*$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) *If  $j = i + 1$  then the following equation holds:*

$$\frac{\varphi_i + \theta_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+2}^*)}{q - q^{-1}} = (\bar{c} + \bar{c}^{-1})(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}^{-1}) - (\bar{a} + \bar{a}^{-1})(\bar{b}q - \bar{b}^{-1}q^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 10.3 we may assume that

$$(10.3) \quad \lambda^2 = q^{2i}$$

instead of  $\varphi_{i+1} = 0$ . Since  $\theta_i^* = \theta_j^*$  it follows that  $\lambda^2 = b^2q^{2(i+j)}$ . Substituting (10.3) into the above equation yields that

$$(10.4) \quad b^{-2}q^{-2} = q^{2(j-1)}.$$

Set  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) = (a, b^{-1}, c, \lambda, \delta)$ . Then  $\bar{b}\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2j}$  by (10.4). The condition (i) holds. Using (10.3) and (10.4) it is straightforward to verify the condition (ii). It remains to show that  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ . Let

$$\delta' = \delta + a^d\lambda^{-d} + a^{-d}\lambda^d - (b^d c^{-d} + b^{-d}c^d)q^d.$$

By the 23<sup>rd</sup> row of Table 1 and Definition 1.10(ii),

$$(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (c^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, b^{-1}q^{-1}, \delta').$$

Since (10.4) holds it follows from Definition 1.12(ii) that

$$(c^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, b^{-1}q^{-1}, \delta') \sim (c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, bq^{-1}, \delta').$$

By the 18<sup>th</sup> row of Table 1 and Definition 1.10(ii),

$$(c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, bq^{-1}, \delta')_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}).$$

By the transitive property of  $\simeq$  the relation  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  holds.  $\square$

Recall the statement of Theorem 1.14.

*Proof of Theorem 1.14.* By Proposition 10.1 the function from  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}} / \simeq$  into  $\mathbf{IM}_{\bar{d}}$  exists. By Theorem 1.9 this function is onto. To see the injectivity, we assume that  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta)$  and  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta})$  are in  $\mathbf{PM}_{\bar{d}}$  and there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism  $f : W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$ . We need to show that

$$(10.5) \quad (a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta}).$$

We claim that there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  such that  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  and there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism

$$g : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$$

that maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $f(\hat{w}_0)$ . Suppose that the claim is true. Then the  $\Delta_q$ -module homomorphism  $f^{-1} \circ g : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$  maps  $\bar{w}_0$  to  $\hat{w}_0$ . Applying Theorem 7.4 yields that  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta})_{\{\pm 1\}} \simeq_{\mathfrak{S}_4} (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$ . In particular  $(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\delta}) \sim (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  by Definition 1.12(i). Combined with the relation  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  the relation (10.5) follows. Thus it suffices to prove the claim.

By Lemma 3.5(i) the vector  $\hat{w}_0$  is marginal weight vector of  $W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c})$  with weight

$$\mu = \hat{b}\hat{\lambda}^{-1}.$$

Hence  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is a marginal weight vector of  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)$  with weight  $\mu$ . Since any scalar appears in  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^{\bar{d}-1}$  at most twice, the dimension of  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)$  is less than or equal to two. Let

$$K = \ker(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1}q^{-2})(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})A|_{W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)}.$$

Since  $f(\hat{w}_0) \in K$  the dimension of  $K$  is at least one. By Lemma 9.1 there is an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\theta_i^* = \mu + \mu^{-1}$ . Hence  $\mu \in \{b\lambda^{-1}q^{2i}, b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i}\}$  and

$$\mu q^2 + \mu^{-1}q^{-2} = \begin{cases} \theta_{i+1}^* & \text{if } \mu = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2i}, \\ \theta_{i-1}^* & \text{if } \mu = b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i} \text{ and } i \neq 0, \\ \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^* & \text{if } \mu = b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i} \text{ and } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

To prove the claim, we divide the argument into the four cases: (a)  $\dim W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu) = \dim K = 1$  and  $\mu = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2i}$ ; (b)  $\dim W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu) = \dim K = 1$  and  $\mu = b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i}$ ; (c)  $\dim W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu) = 2 > \dim K = 1$ ; (d)  $\dim W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu) = \dim K = 2$ .

(a): Applying Proposition 10.4 repeatedly we may assume that  $\varphi_1\varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i \neq 0$ . By (9.1) the coefficient of  $w_0$  in  $w_{0i}$  is nonzero. Hence  $w_{0i}$  is nonzero. Combined with Lemma 9.2

the vector  $w_{0i}$  is a basis for  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu)$ . Since  $K = W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu)$  the vector  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $w_{0i}$ . The claim is now immediate from Proposition 10.6.

(b): Since the former case has been done, there is nothing to prove whenever  $\mu$  is also equal to  $b\lambda^{-1}q^{2j}$  for some integer  $j$  with  $0 \leq j \leq \bar{d} - 1$ . Thus we suppose that  $\mu \notin \{b\lambda^{-1}q^{2j} \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1\}$ . In other words

$$(10.6) \quad \lambda^2 \neq b^2q^{2(i+j)} \quad \text{for all } j = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1.$$

Then  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_h^*$  for all  $h = 0, 1, \dots, i - 1$ . By (9.1) the coefficient of  $w_i$  in  $w_{0i}$  is nonzero. Combined with Lemma 9.2 the vector  $w_{0i}$  is a basis for  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu)$  as well as  $K$ .

Suppose that  $0 \leq i \leq \bar{d} - 2$ . Then  $\theta_{i+1}^* \neq \theta_h^*$  for all  $h = 0, 1, \dots, i$  by (10.6). By (9.1) the coefficient of  $w_{i+1}$  in  $w_{0,i+1}$  is nonzero. Hence  $w_{0,i+1}$  is nonzero. Since  $w_{0i} \in K$  it follows from Lemma 9.4(i), (ii) that

$$\begin{cases} \theta_0^* = \theta_i^* & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ \theta_1^* = \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^* & \text{if } i = 0, \end{cases}$$

which contradicts (10.6). Therefore  $i = \bar{d} - 1$ . Then the condition (a) of Definition 1.12(iii) follows from (10.6). Since  $w_{0,\bar{d}-1} \in K$  and  $\theta_0^* \neq \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*$  by (10.6), it follows from Lemma 9.4(iii) the condition (b) of Definition 1.12(iii) follows. Since  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $w_{0,\bar{d}-1}$ , the claim is immediate from Lemma 9.7.

Before launching into the cases (c) and (d), we have some comments on

$$\dim W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu) = 2.$$

In this case there are two integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $0 \leq i < j \leq \bar{d} - 1$  such that  $\theta_i^* = \theta_j^* = \mu + \mu^{-1}$ . Then  $\theta_h^* = \theta_\ell^*$  if and only if  $q^{2(h+\ell)} = q^{2(i+j)}$  for all distinct  $h, \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1\}$ . It follows that

- (1)  $\theta_i^* \neq \theta_h^*$  for all  $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ ;
- (2)  $\theta_j^* \neq \theta_h^*$  for all  $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, \bar{d} - 1\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ ;
- (3)  $\theta_{i+1}^* \neq \theta_h^*$  for all  $h = 0, 1, \dots, i - 1$ ;
- (4)  $\theta_1^* \neq \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^*$  provided that  $i = 0$ .

By the rank-nullity theorem there is an integer  $k$  with  $i < k \leq j$  such that  $\varphi_k = 0$ . By (1) the coefficient of  $w_i$  in  $w_{0i}$  is nonzero. By (2) the coefficient of  $w_j$  in  $w_{kj}$  is nonzero. Then  $w_{0i}$  and  $w_{kj}$  are linearly independent. Combined with Lemma 9.2 the vectors  $w_{0i}$  and  $w_{kj}$  give a basis for  $W_\lambda^\delta(a, b, c)(\mu)$ .

Observe that  $\mu = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2i} = b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2j}$  or  $\mu = b^{-1}\lambda q^{-2i} = b\lambda^{-1}q^{2j}$ . Applying Proposition 10.4 to  $\varphi_k = 0$  if necessary, we may only assume the latter case. Then

$$\mu q^2 + \mu^{-1}q^{-2} = \theta_{j+1}^* = \begin{cases} \theta_{i-1}^* & \text{if } i \neq 0, \\ \theta_{\bar{d}-1}^* & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\dim K = 2$ .
- (ii)  $w_{0i} \in K$ .
- (iii)  $w_{0,i+1} = 0$ .
- (iv)  $\theta_i^* = \theta_{i+1}^*$ .
- (v)  $j = k = i + 1$ .

The proof of the equivalence (i)–(v) is as follows: The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. In view of (1) and (4), Lemma 9.4(i), (ii) implies the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). By (3)

and (9.1) the equivalence of (iii)–(v) follows. Suppose that (v) holds. Then  $\varphi_{i+1} = 0$  and  $w_{kj} = w_{i+1}$ . It follows that  $w_{i+1} \in K$ . The implication (ii), (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows.

(c): Since (ii) fails there is a scalar  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\varepsilon w_{0i} + w_{kj}$  is a basis for  $K$ . Then  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $\varepsilon w_{0i} + w_{kj}$ . If  $\varepsilon = 0$  then the claim is immediate from Proposition 10.8.

Suppose that  $\varepsilon \neq 0$ . Since (ii) fails it follows from Lemma 9.4(i), (ii) that  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})Aw_{0i}$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $w_{0,i+1}$ . Since (iv) fails and by (3) the coefficient of  $w_{i+1}$  in  $w_{0,i+1}$  is nonzero. Since  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})(B - \mu - \mu^{-1})Aw_{kj}$  a linear combination of  $\{w_h\}_{h=k}^{j-1}$ , this forces that  $k = i + 1$ . Then there exists an element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4} \times \mathbb{F}$  with  $(a, b, c, \lambda, \delta) \simeq (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\delta})$  satisfying Proposition 10.9(i). By Proposition 10.1 there exists a  $\Delta_q$ -module isomorphism

$$g : W_{\bar{\lambda}}^{\bar{\delta}}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \rightarrow W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c).$$

Since  $\dim K = 1$  the vector  $g(\bar{w}_0)$  must be a nonzero scalar multiple of  $f(\hat{w}_0)$ . The claim follows.

(d): By (v) the scalar  $\varphi_{i+1} = 0$  and  $w_{kj} = w_{i+1}$ . Since  $K = W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)$  the space  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)$  is  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})A$ -invariant. Set

$$\varphi = \frac{\varphi_i + \theta_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+2}^*)}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Using Lemma 6.1(i) a direct calculation shows that the  $2 \times 2$  matrix representing  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})A$  with respect to the ordering basis  $w_{i+1}, w_{0i}$  for  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i+2} + \theta_{i+1}(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+2}^*) & (\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+2}^*) \prod_{h=0}^{i-1} (\theta_i^* - \theta_h^*) \\ 0 & (q - q^{-1})\varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})A$  on  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)$  are

$$(10.7) \quad \varphi_{i+2} + \theta_{i+1}(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+2}^*),$$

$$(10.8) \quad (q - q^{-1})\varphi.$$

By (1) the upper right entry of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix is nonzero. Hence the geometric multiplicities of (10.7) and (10.8) are equal to one. By Definition 2.4 the vector  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is an eigenvector of  $(B - \mu q^2 - \mu^{-1} q^{-2})A$  in  $W_{\lambda}^{\delta}(a, b, c)(\mu)$ . If the eigenvalue corresponding to  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is (10.7), then  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $w_{i+1}$  and the claim follows from Proposition 10.4. If the eigenvalue corresponding to  $f(\hat{w}_0)$  is (10.8), then the claim follows from Propositions 10.1 and 10.9.

We have shown that the claim is true in all cases. Theorem 1.14 is established.  $\square$

We finish the paper with a question.

**Problem 10.10.** Consider the Askey–Wilson algebras or their central extensions corresponding to hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. For instance the Krawtchouk algebras, the universal Hahn algebra and the universal Racah algebra. Assume the underlying field is algebraically closed of positive characteristic. Please define what the marginal weights of their modules are and classify their finite-dimensional irreducible modules with marginal weights up to isomorphism.

APPENDIX A. THE RIGHT  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ -ACTION OF  $\{\pm 1\} \setminus \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$ 

Recall the left  $\{\pm 1\}$ -action on  $\mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  and the right  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ -action on  $\{\pm 1\} \setminus \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  from above Definition 1.10. Let  $(a, b, c, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  be given. In the table below, we list every element  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_4$  and a corresponding element  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times 4}$  satisfying

$$(\{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda)) \cdot \sigma = \{\pm 1\} \cdot (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda}).$$

$\sigma$	$(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{\lambda})$	$\bar{\lambda}^2$	$\bar{a}\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$	
1	$(a, b, c, \lambda)$	$\lambda^2$	$a\lambda^{-1}$	
(12)	$(a, b, c^{-1}, \lambda)$			
(23)	$(\frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{\lambda}{abcq}$		
(132)	$(\frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{c\lambda}{abq}$		
(13)	$(\frac{ac}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{bc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \sqrt{abc\lambda q}, \frac{c\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{c\lambda}{abq}$		
(123)	$(\frac{ac}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{bc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$			
(34)	$(a^{-1}, b, c, \lambda)$	$\lambda^2$		$a^{-1}\lambda^{-1}$
(12)(34)	$(a^{-1}, b, c^{-1}, \lambda)$			
(243)	$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ab}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ac}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{a\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{a\lambda}{bcq}$		
(1432)	$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ab}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{ac}, \frac{a\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{ac\lambda}{bq}$		
(143)	$(\frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{abc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{a}, \frac{ac\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{ac\lambda}{bq}$		
(1243)	$(\frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{abc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ac\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$			
(24)	$(\sqrt{abc\lambda q}, \frac{ab}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ac}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{a\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{a\lambda}{bcq}$	$bcq$	
(142)	$(\sqrt{abc\lambda q}, \frac{ab}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{ac}, \frac{a\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{\lambda}{abcq}$		
(234)	$(\frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{a}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{1}{b^2q^2}$		
(1342)	$(\frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{a}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{c}, \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$			
(13)(24)	$(c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, b^{-1}q^{-1})$			
(1423)	$(c, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a^{-1}, b^{-1}q^{-1})$			
(14)	$(\frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{c}, \frac{abc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{a}, \frac{ac\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{ac\lambda}{bq}$		$bc^{-1}q$
(124)	$(\frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{c}, \frac{abc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{a}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{ac\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$	$\frac{1}{b^2q^2}$		
(14)(23)	$(c^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a^{-1}, b^{-1}q^{-1})$	$\frac{c\lambda}{abq}$		
(1324)	$(c^{-1}, \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}, a, b^{-1}q^{-1})$	$\frac{c\lambda}{abq}$		
(134)	$(\frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{ac}, \frac{bc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \sqrt{abc\lambda q}, \frac{c\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$			
(1234)	$(\frac{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}{ac}, \frac{bc}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}}, \frac{c\lambda}{\sqrt{abc\lambda q}})$			

TABLE 1. The  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ -orbit of  $\{\pm 1\} \cdot (a, b, c, \lambda)$



**Acknowledgements.** The research was supported by the National Science and Technology Council of Taiwan under the projects MOST 105-2115-M-008-013, MOST 106-2628-M-008-001-MY4, MOST 110-2115-M-008-008-MY2 and NSTC 112-2115-M-008-009-MY2.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Alnajjar, *Leonard pairs associated with the equitable generators of the quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Linear and Multilinear Algebra **59** (2011), 1127–1142.
2. E. Bannai, E. Bannai, T. Ito, and R. Tanaka, *Algebraic Combinatorics*, De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2021.
3. S. Bockting-Conrad and H.-W. Huang, *The universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and the Racah algebra*, Communications in Algebra **48** (2020), 1022–1040.
4. B. Curtin, *A generalization of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$* , Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics 2004.
5. ———, *Modular Leonard triples*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **424** (2007), 510–539.
6. ———, *Spin Leonard pairs*, The Ramanujan Journal **13** (2007), 319–332.
7. V.X. Genest, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *The algebra of dual  $-1$  Hahn polynomials and the Clebsch–Gordan problem of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{-1}(2)$* , Journal of Mathematical Physics **54** (2013), Paper 023506.
8. ———, *Superintegrability in two dimensions and the Racah–Wilson algebra*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **104** (2014), 931–952.
9. ———, *The Bannai–Ito algebra and a superintegrable system with reflections on the two-sphere*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **47** (2014), 205202 (13 pages).
10. ———, *The equitable Racah algebra from three  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$  algebras*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **47** (2014), 025203 (12 pages).
11. ———, *The Racah algebra and superintegrable models*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **512** (2014), 012011 (15 pages).
12. ———, *The non-symmetric Wilson polynomials are the Bannai–Ito polynomials*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **144** (2016), 5217–5226.
13. J.T. Go, *The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube*, European Journal of Combinatorics **23** (2002), 399–429.
14. Y. Granovskiĭ and A. Zhedanov, *Hidden symmetry of the Racah and Clebsch–Gordan problems for the quantum algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_q(2)$* , Journal of Group Theory in Physics **1** (1993), 161–171.
15. H.-W. Huang, *An imperceptible connection between the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and the Terwilliger algebras of Grassmann graphs*, arXiv:2308.07851.
16. ———, *The classification of Leonard triples of QRacah type*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **436** (2012), 1442–1472.
17. ———, *Finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **340** (2015), 959–984.
18. ———, *Center of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra at roots of unity*, Nuclear Physics B **909** (2016), 260–296.
19. ———, *An embedding of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra into  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Nuclear Physics B **922** (2017), 401–434.
20. ———, *An algebra behind the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Journal of Algebra **496** (2018), 61–90.
21. ———, *The Racah algebra as a subalgebra of the Bannai–Ito algebra*, SIGMA **16** (2020), 075, 15 pages.
22. ———, *Finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra at roots of unity*, Journal of Algebra **569** (2021), 12–29.
23. ———, *Finite-dimensional modules of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra and DAHA of type  $(C_1^V, C_1)$* , Letters in Mathematical Physics **111** (2021), 81.
24. ———, *Finite-dimensional modules of the universal Racah algebra and the universal additive DAHA of type  $(C_1^V, C_1)$* , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **225** (2021), 106653.
25. ———, *The Clebsch–Gordan rule for  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , the Krawtchouk algebras and the Hamming graphs*, SIGMA **19** (2023), 017, 19 pages.
26. ———, *The Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and the Terwilliger algebras of Johnson graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **203** (2024), 105833.

27. H.-W. Huang and C.-Y. Lee, *A skew group ring of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  over  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , Leonard triples and odd graphs*, preprint.
28. H.-W. Huang and C.-Y. Wen, *Johnson graphs as slices of a hypercube and an algebra homomorphism from the universal Racah algebra into  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , arXiv:2406.13455.
29. ———, *A connection behind the Terwilliger algebras of  $H(D, 2)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}H(D, 2)$* , *Journal of Algebra* **634** (2023), 456–479.
30. T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *Double affine Hecke algebras of rank 1 and the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric Askey–Wilson relations*, *SIGMA* **6** (2010), Paper 065, 9 pages.
31. R. Koekoek, P. Lesky, and R. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their  $q$ -analogues*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
32. T.H. Koornwinder, *The relationship between Zhedanov’s algebra  $AW(3)$  and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case*, *SIGMA* **3** (2007), Paper 063, 15 pages.
33. ———, *Zhedanov’s algebra  $AW(3)$  and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case. II. The spherical subalgebra*, *SIGMA* **4** (2008), Paper 052, 17 pages.
34. J.-M. Lévy-Leblond and M. Lévy-Nahas, *Symmetrical coupling of three angular momenta*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **6** (1965), 1372.
35. K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger, *Krawtchouk polynomials, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , and Leonard pairs*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **437** (2012), 345–375.
36. ———, *The universal DAHA of type  $(C_1^\vee, C_1)$  and Leonard pairs of  $q$ -Racah type*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **533** (2017), 14–83.
37. ———, *Leonard pairs, spin models, and distance-regular graphs*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **177** (2021), 105312.
38. ———, *Spin models and distance-regular graphs of  $q$ -Racah type*, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **124** (2025), 104069.
39. P. Terwilliger, *Leonard pairs and dual polynomial sequences*, Unpublished manuscript available at <https://www.math.wisc.edu/~terwilli/Htmlfiles/leonardpair.pdf>, 1987.
40. ———, *An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials*, *Orthogonal polynomials and special functions: Computation and applications* (Berlin) (F. Marcellán and W. Van Assche, eds.), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1883, Springer, 2006, pp. 255–330.
41. ———, *The universal Askey–Wilson algebra and the equitable presentation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , *SIGMA* **7** (2011), Paper 099, 26 pages.
42. ———, *The universal Askey–Wilson algebra*, *SIGMA* **7** (2011), Paper 069, 24 pages.
43. ———, *The universal Askey–Wilson algebra and DAHA of type  $(C_1^\vee, C_1)$* , *SIGMA* **9** (2013), Paper 047, 40 pages.
44. P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas, *Leonard pairs and the Askey–Wilson relations*, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* **3** (2004), 411–426.
45. P. Terwilliger and A. Žitnik, *Distance-regular graphs of  $q$ -Racah type and the universal Askey–Wilson algebra*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **125** (2014), 98–112.
46. R. Vidūnas, *Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey–Wilson relations*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **422** (2007), 39–57.
47. Š. Miklavič, *Leonard triples and hypercubes*, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **28** (2008), 397–424.
48. A. Zhedanov, *“Hidden symmetry” of Askey–Wilson polynomials*, *Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika* **89** (1991), 190–204, (English transl.: *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 89:1146–1157, 1991).

(H.-W. Huang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CENTRAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNG-LI 32001  
TAIWAN

*Email address:* hauwenh@math.ncu.edu.tw