

Schur-hooks and Bernoulli number recurrences

JOHN M. CAMPBELL

Abstract

Given an identity relating families of Schur and power sum symmetric functions, this may be thought of as encoding representation-theoretic properties according to how the p -to- s transition matrices provide the irreducible character tables for symmetric groups. The case of the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule for cycles provides that $p_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i s_{(n-i, 1^i)}$, and, since the power sum generator p_n reduces to $\zeta(2n)$ for the Riemann zeta function ζ and for specialized values of the indeterminates involved in the inverse limit construction of the algebra of symmetric functions, this motivates both combinatorial and number-theoretic applications related to the given case of the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule. In this direction, since every Schur-hook admits an expansion in terms of twofold products of elementary and complete homogeneous generators, we exploit this property for the same specialization that allows us to express p_n with the Bernoulli number B_{2n} , using remarkable results due to Hoffman on multiple harmonic series. This motivates our bijective approach, through the use of sign-reversing involutions, toward the determination of identities that relate Schur-hooks and power sum symmetric functions and that we apply to obtain a new recurrence for Bernoulli numbers.

MSC: 05E05, 11B68

Keywords: Schur function, integer partition, Bernoulli number, symmetric function, Riemann zeta function, Murnaghan–Nakayama rule, sign-reversing involution, multiple harmonic series

1 Introduction

One of the most important identities in both algebraic combinatorics and representation theory is given by how the transition matrices for expanding the power sum bases of the homogeneous components of the algebra Sym of symmetric functions in terms of Schur functions provide the irreducible character tables for the representations of symmetric groups. Closely related

to this is the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule for expanding products of power sum generators and Schur functions in terms of the s -basis. Power sum generators, when viewed as formal power series according to the inverse limit construction of \mathbf{Sym} , may be expressed with Bernoulli numbers for specified values for the indeterminates involved in this construction. So, for the same assignment of values, by expressing Schur functions arising from the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule in terms of Bernoulli numbers, we would obtain both number-theoretic and representation-theoretic interpretations, via the resultant relation among Bernoulli numbers and via the irreducible character entries of the p -to- s transition matrices.

The sequence $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ of Bernoulli numbers is typically defined according to the Laurent series expansion whereby $\frac{x}{e^x-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \frac{x^i}{i!}$ for $|x| < 2\pi$, with $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0) = (1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, -\frac{1}{30}, 0, \frac{1}{42}, 0, -\frac{1}{30}, 0, \frac{5}{66}, \dots)$. Apart from how Bernoulli numbers provide a prominent area within number theory, the sequence of Bernoulli numbers has even been considered as being among the most important number sequences in all of mathematics [10], with applications in special functions theory, real analysis, differential geometry, numerical analysis, and many other areas. This motivates the development of interdisciplinary areas based on the use of combinatorial tools in the determination of Bernoulli number identities.

The Riemann zeta function is such that $\zeta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^x}$ for $\Re(x) > 1$. One of the most fundamental properties of the Bernoulli numbers is given by Euler’s relation such that

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n}, \quad (1)$$

for positive integers n . Informally, by expressing the left-hand side of (1) with the power sum generator $p_n \in \mathbf{Sym}$, and by similarly expressing the e - and h -generators of \mathbf{Sym} using multiple harmonic series identities due to Hoffman [5], then, given an identity relating the specified generators, this provides a corresponding identity involving Bernoulli numbers. This approach has been applied by Merca [9, 10, 11, 12, 13], but it appears that this approach has not been considered in relation to Schur functions, providing a main purpose of our paper.

The Murnaghan–Nakayama rule that is reviewed in Section 2 does not seem to have previously been considered in relation to Bernoulli numbers. In this direction, we apply a sign-reversing involution to prove a cancellation-free

evaluation in the p -basis for the first moment for a case of the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule for cycles. As suggested above, apart from the number-theoretic interest in our recurrence for Bernoulli numbers obtained through a relation among Schur-hooks and power sum symmetric functions, this is of representation-theoretic interest, in view of the consequent character relation we obtain according to

$$p_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_{\mathfrak{S}_n}^\lambda(\mu) s_\lambda. \quad (2)$$

2 Preliminaries

We adopt the convention whereby symmetric polynomials and symmetric functions are over \mathbb{Q} , writing

$$\mathbf{Sym}^{(n)} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n} \quad (3)$$

to denote the set of symmetric polynomials in n independent indeterminates, and letting the action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n be given by permuting the variables in (3) [8, p. 17]. Writing $\mathbf{Sym}_i^{(n)}$ in place of the set of homogeneous symmetric polynomials with degree i in (3), we obtain the graded ring structure such that

$$\mathbf{Sym}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{Sym}_i^{(n)}.$$

We then define

$$\mathbf{Sym}_i := \varprojlim_n \mathbf{Sym}_i^{(n)}, \quad (4)$$

referring to Macdonald’s text for details as to the inverse limit involved in (4), which, for our purposes, relies on truncation morphisms from $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]$ to $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ restricted to obtain corresponding morphisms from $\mathbf{Sym}^{(m)}$ to $\mathbf{Sym}^{(n)}$ [8, pp. 17–19]. The inverse limit in (4) then allows us to define \mathbf{Sym} so that

$$\mathbf{Sym} := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{Sym}_i. \quad (5)$$

Building on the work of Merca [10], we intend to exploit the relation in (1) by setting the indeterminates involved in the construction of \mathbf{Sym} so that $x_j = \frac{1}{j^2}$ for all indices j .

For $n \geq 0$, the elementary symmetric generator $e_n \in \mathbf{Sym}$ is such that $e_0 = 0$ and such that

$$e_n = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}$$

for $n > 0$. By writing $e_n = e_n(x_1, x_2, \dots)$, we are to make use of the remarkable result due to Hoffman [5, Corollary 2.3] such that

$$e_n \left(\frac{1}{1^2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots \right) = \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}. \quad (6)$$

By setting

$$h_n = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}$$

as the n^{th} complete homogeneous generator of \mathbf{Sym} , and by writing $h_n = h_n(x_1, x_2, \dots)$, it is known that

$$h_n \left(\frac{1}{1^2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots \right) = (-1)^n \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n)!} (2 - 2^{2n}) B_{2n}, \quad (7)$$

and a proof of this was given by Merca [9] and can also be obtained from a case of Theorem 2.1 from the work of Hoffman [5]. By setting $p_n = \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i^n$ as the n^{th} power sum generator of \mathbf{Sym} , and by writing $p_n = p_n(x_1, x_2, \dots)$, we find that the Euler identity in (1) is such that

$$p_n \left(\frac{1}{1^2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots \right) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n}, \quad (8)$$

as noted in MacDonal's text [8, pp. 33–34].

An integer partition is a finite tuple of positive integers. The length of an integer partition λ is denoted with $\ell(\lambda)$ and refers to the number of entries or parts of λ . The sequence of these parts may be denoted by writing $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$. For the empty partition $()$, we write $e_{()} = h_{()} = p_{()} = 1$, and for a nonempty partition λ , we write $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots e_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$ and $h_\lambda = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \cdots h_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$ and $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots p_{\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}}$. From the direct sum decomposition in (5), by writing \mathcal{P} in place of the set of integer partitions, we have that $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$ and $\{h_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$ and $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}}$ are all bases of \mathbf{Sym} .


The Schur functions are often regarded as providing the most important basis of \mathbf{Sym} , in view of how Schur functions are of core importance within

algebraic combinatorics. It is common to define the Schur function indexed by $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ according to the Jacobi–Trudi rule such that

$$s_\lambda = \det (h_{\lambda_i - i + j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad (9)$$

letting it be understood that expressions of the form h_k vanish for $k < 0$. It seems that identities as in (9) have not previously been considered in relation to Bernoulli number identities.

For a partition λ , the *diagram* associated with λ is an arrangement of cells formed from $\ell(\lambda)$ horizontal rows whereby the i^{th} such row, listed from top to bottom and for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell(\lambda)\}$, consists of λ_i cells, with the rows left-justified. For partitions λ and μ such that $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i$ for all possible indices i , the *skew diagram* λ/μ is obtained by aligning the diagrams of λ and μ by the upper left cells of these diagrams and by removing any overlapping cells. A *rim hook* is a skew diagram that is edgewise connected and that contains no 2×2 configuration of cells, as in the following.



The *Murnaghan–Nakayama rule* may be formulated via an expansion of the form

$$p_r s_\lambda = \sum (-1)^{\text{ht}(\mu/\lambda)+1} s_\mu, \quad (10)$$

where the sum in (10) is over all μ such that μ/λ is a rim hook of size r , and where the height of a skew tableau refers to the number of its rows. The special case of (10) whereby $\lambda = ()$ may be referred to as the *Murnaghan–Nakayama rule for a cycle* [2, p. 116], noting that this base case may be applied to obtain combinatorial interpretations for the p -to- s transition matrices providing the irreducible character tables for symmetric groups. See also the work of Murnaghan [15] and of Nakayama [16, 17].

The case of (10) whereby λ is empty reduces to

$$p_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i s_{(n-i, 1^i)}, \quad (11)$$

and (11) provides a key tool in our work, writing $(a, 1^b) = (a, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_b)$, with partitions of this form being referred to as *hooks*. We may thus refer

to a Schur function indexed by a hook as a *Schur-hook*. Schur-hooks play important roles in many areas of combinatorics and representation theory, in view, for example, of the representation-theoretic significance of (11). This was considered in our past work on combinatorial objects we refer to as *bipieri tableaux* [1], and an identity for Schur-hooks applied in this past work provides another key to our current work. This Schur-hook identity is such that

$$s_{(a,1^b)} = \sum_{i=0}^b (-1)^i h_{a+i} e_{b-i}, \quad (12)$$

and (12) may be proved using sign-reversing involutions on bipieri tableaux [1].

3 From Schur-hooks to Bernoulli numbers

Our technique for deriving Bernoulli number identities relies on identities relating Schur-hooks and elements of the p -basis of \mathbf{Sym} , by rewriting Schur-hooks involved according to (12), and by then applying the Hoffman identities in (6) and (7). As a way of illustrating our technique, as a natural place to start, we apply it to the identity allowing us to expanding p -generators in terms of Schur-hooks, as follows.

From the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule for cycles, we rewrite the summand in (11) according to the Schur-hook identity in (12), i.e., so that

$$p_n = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq i}} (-1)^{i+j} h_{n-i+j} e_{i-j}. \quad (13)$$

According to Hoffman’s multiple harmonic series identities in (6) and (7), together with the Bernoulli number identity in (8), we find that (13) implies that

$$(2n+1)B_{2n} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq j \leq i}} \binom{2n+1}{2i-2j+1} B_{2n-2i+2j} (2^{1-2i+2j} - 2^{2-2n}). \quad (14)$$

Summing over $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and, for each such index, over $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, i\}$, and then reversing the order of summation, we may obtain from (14) an

equivalent version of

$$B_{2n}2^{2n-1} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{2n}{2j-1} B_{2j} (2^{2j-1} - 1), \quad (15)$$

with (15) providing a natural companion to the identity due to Ramanujan [18] such that

$$2n + 1 = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{2j} B_{2j} 2^{2j}. \quad (16)$$

While the identity in (15) is related to the lacunary recurrences pioneered by Lehmer [7] and can be obtained using known identities involving Bernoulli numbers (see [4, Eq. (50.5.32)]) the research interest in Ramanujan's formula in (16) motivates how our symmetric functions-based technique can be used to obtain new and further Bernoulli sum identities. In this direction, *nested* sums involving Bernoulli numbers, that cannot be reduced in any obvious way (compared with (14)) are of a much more elusive nature, and this motivates how we apply our technique to obtain a nested Bernoulli sum identity from Theorem 1 below.

If we consider the summand of the alternating sum in the special case of the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule in (11), as a natural way of extending this case, we consider the first moment associated with the specified summand, and this has led us to experimentally discover, with the use of the **SageMath** system, the following symmetric function identity that appears to be new and we are to prove bijectively.

Theorem 1. *The relation*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i (n-i) s_{(2n+1-i,i)} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{(2n+1-i,i)}. \quad (17)$$

holds for natural numbers n .

Apart from the representation-theoretic properties that can be gleaned using Theorem 1 according to the irreducible character identity in (2), Hoffman's multiple harmonic series identities in (6) and (7), can be used, via (12), to obtain from Theorem 1 the following.

Corollary 1. *The relation*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \binom{4n+2}{2j-1} (2n+1-j)(1-2^{2j-1})B_{2j} = (4n+3)2^{4n}B_{4n+2}$$

holds for nonnegative integers n .

Proof. By Hoffman's multiple harmonic series relations applied to Theorem 1, we obtain that the relation

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq 2n \\ 0 \leq j \leq i}} \binom{4n+2}{2j} \frac{n-i}{2j+1} (2^{1-4n} - 2^{2-2j}) B_{4n-2j+2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{4n+2}{2i} B_{2i} B_{4n-2i+2}$$

holds for natural numbers n . The right-hand side of this identity is half of the full sum from $i = 1$ to $2n$. Then, from the convolution identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{2j} B_{2j} B_{2n-2j} = -(2n+1)B_{2n}$$

attributed to Euler, we obtain that the right-hand side of the above consequence of Theorem 1 is such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{4n+2}{2i} B_{2i} B_{4n-2i+2} = -\frac{1}{2}(4n+3)B_{4n+2}.$$

We denote the left-hand side of the above consequence of Theorem 1 as S . We then rewrite S so that

$$S = \frac{1}{2^{4n}} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{2n} (n-i) \right) \binom{4n+2}{2j} \frac{1}{2j+1} (2 - 2^{4n-2j+2}) B_{4n-2j+2}.$$

Evaluating the inner sum allows us to write

$$S = \frac{-1}{2^{4n+2}} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} \binom{4n+2}{2j-1} (2n+1-j)(2-2^{2j})B_{2j},$$

giving us an equivalent version of the desired result. \square

The new recursion for $(B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ highlighted in Corollary 1 is motivated by how there is a rich history about Bernoulli number recursions. In this direction, an especially celebrated Bernoulli sum identity is due to Miki [14] and is such that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} - \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} = \frac{2B_n H_n}{n}, \quad (18)$$

writing $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ to denote the n^{th} harmonic number. The relation in (18) was proved by Miki via the Fermat quotient $(a^p - 1)/p \pmod{p^2}$, and an inequivalent proof via p -adic analysis is due to Shiratani and Yokoyama [19], and this touches on the number-theoretic interest in Corollary 1. See also Gessel's alternative proof of Miki's identity [3] along with many further references related to Miki's identity. The goal of Section 4 below is to bijectively prove Theorem 1 and thus Corollary 1.

4 A bijective approach

Algebraic and combinatorial properties of Schur functions are often revealed by determining cancellation-free formulas from alternating sums, as explored by van Leeuwen [6]. This leads us to apply a sign-reversing involution to prove the Schur-hook identity in Theorem 1 and the consequent Bernoulli number identity in Corollary 1.

Proof of Theorem 1: We rewrite the right-hand side of (17) to apply the Murnaghan–Nayakama rule, with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i p_{2n+1-i} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j s_{(i-j, 1^j)} \right) p_{2n+1-i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq j \leq i-1}} (-1)^j s_{(i-j, 1^j)} p_{2n+1-i}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule, we thus have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i p_{2n+1-i} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq j \leq i-1}} (-1)^{j + \text{ht}(\mu/(i-j, 1^j)) + 1} s_{\mu} \quad (19)$$

$(2n+1-i)$ -rim hooks $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$

By then letting \mathcal{S}_n denote the set of all rim hooks of the form $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$ such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq i-1$, we define $\varphi_n: \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n$ as below. For a rim hook $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$, we denote the inner shape $(i-j, 1^j)$ with blank cells, and we denote any remaining cells in $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$ with colored cells. For a rim hook of the specified form, there is always at least one blank cell in the upper left, subject to the given constraints on the indices i and j .

If the most lower-left colored cell in a $(2n+1-i)$ -rim hook of the form $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$ is immediately to the right of a blank cell (in the first column), then we color this blank cell and any cells beneath it. From the inner hook shape and the given constraints on the indices, if this first condition holds, then it cannot also be the case that the most upper-right colored cell is immediately beneath a blank cell (in the first row). In this second case, we color this blank cell and any cells to the right of it. In either case, the sign of $(-1)^{j+\text{ht}(\mu/(i-j, 1^j))+1}$ is reversed. Given a rim hook that satisfies the conditions for either case, we let φ_n map this rim hook according to the specified procedures, respectively.

Suppose that the two cases given in the preceding paragraph are not satisfied. Again, there is at least one blank cell in the upper left, and we obtain a (possibly empty) rim hook (not necessarily appearing in \mathcal{S}_n) by switching any colored cell in the first column to a blank cell, or by switching any colored cell in the first row to a blank cell. If there is at least one colored cell in the first row and at least one colored cell in the first column, then we perform the specified switching operation to the row/column with the least number of colored cells, noting that a “tie” is not possible by the parity of $2n+1$, and we define φ_n accordingly, again if the first two cases are not satisfied. Otherwise, if the three preceding cases are not satisfied, we let φ_n map a rim hook in \mathcal{S}_n to itself. The given constraints on i , j , and the size of $(2n+1-i)$ -rim hooks of the form $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$ then give us, from the four possible cases, that φ_n is an involution. So, since φ_n is an involution and since the sign $(-1)^{j+\text{ht}(\mu/(i-j, 1^j))+1}$ is reversed for the first two cases, the sign is reversed in the third case.


A $(2n+1-i)$ -rim hook in \mathcal{S}_n of shape $\mu/(i-j, 1^j)$ is mapped to itself by φ_n if and only if μ is of hook shape, since if we were to attempt to apply the switching procedures given above, we would again obtain a rim hook of outer hook shape, but the sign would not be reversed for the same outer hook shape. So, from our sign-reversing involution, the right-hand side of (19) reduces to a signed sum of Schur-hooks, with any two Schur-hooks of

the same shape being of the same sign, i.e., the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i (n-i) s_{(2n+1-i, i)}, \quad (20)$$

where the multiplicity given by the factor $(n-i)$ in the summand in (20) is given by the $n-i$ choices given by the possible number of blank cells in the first row or column, depending on whether the inner hook shape $(i-j, 1^j)$ is vertical or horizontal. \square

Example 1. For the $n = 3$ case of our proof of Theorem 1, the matchings or pairings we obtain according to the sign-reversing involution φ_n are illustrated below.



5 Conclusion

We briefly conclude with some further areas to explore.

We encourage further explorations based on the derivation of Bernoulli number identities from relations among Schur-hooks and p -basis elements. For example, we have discovered that

$$s_{(m, 2, 1^{2n+1})} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{i+1} e_{2n-i+2} \sum_{j=1}^i s_{(m+j-1, 1^{i-j+2})} - \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} s_{(m+i, 1^{2n-i+3})},$$

and this provides a new triple sum identity for Bernoulli numbers.

Instead of using the Murnaghan–Nakayama rule, one could instead consider making use of the Littlewood–Richardson rule, toward the goal of introducing bijective proofs of Bernoulli number identities as in Corollary 1. For example, we may rewrite the right-hand sum in (17) using Littlewood–Richardson coefficients so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_{(2n+1-i,i)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq j \leq 2n-i \\ 0 \leq k \leq i-1 \\ \lambda}} (-1)^{j+k} c_{(2n+1-i-j,1^j)(i-k,1^k)}^{S\lambda},$$

which suggests that a bijective proof of Corollary 1 on Littlewood–Richardson tableaux may be possible.

Instead of making use of Hoffman’s results for $h_n(\frac{1}{1^2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots)$ and $e_n(\frac{1}{1^2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \dots)$, how could we instead apply, via the use of Schur-hooks, variants of these results for expressions such as $h_n(\frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{4^2}, \dots)$ and $e_n(\frac{1}{2^2}, \frac{1}{4^2}, \dots)$, or for alternating variants of such expressions?

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to acknowledge support from a Killam Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Killam Trusts, and the author is very grateful to Karl Dilcher and to Christophe Vignat for very useful feedback concerning the author’s discoveries.

References

- [1] J. M. CAMPBELL, Bipieri tableaux, *Australas. J. Combin.* **66(1)** (2016), 66–103.
- [2] T. CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN, F. SCARABOTTI, AND F. TOLLI, Representation theory of the symmetric groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).
- [3] I. M. GESSEL, On Miki’s identity for Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory* **110(1)** (2005), 75–82.
- [4] E. R. HANSEN, A table of series and products, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1975).

- [5] M. E. HOFFMAN, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.* **152(2)** (1992), 275–290.
- [6] M. A. A. VAN LEEUWEN, Schur functions and alternating sums, *Electron. J. Combin.* **11(2)** (2004/06), Article 5, 42.
- [7] D. H. LEHMER, Lacunary recurrence formulas for the numbers of Bernoulli and Euler, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **36(3)** (1935), 637–649.
- [8] I. G. MACDONALD, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1995).
- [9] M. MERCA, Asymptotics of the Chebyshev-Stirling numbers of the first kind, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **27(4)** (2016), 259–267.
- [10] M. MERCA, Bernoulli numbers and symmetric functions, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* **114(1)** (2020), Paper No. 20, 16.
- [11] M. MERCA, An infinite sequence of inequalities involving special values of the Riemann zeta function, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **21(1)** (2018), 17–24.
- [12] M. MERCA, On lacunary recurrences with gaps of length four and eight for the Bernoulli numbers, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **56(2)** (2019), 491–499.
- [13] M. MERCA, The Riemann zeta function with even arguments as sums over integer partitions, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **124(6)** (2017), 554–557.
- [14] H. MIKI, A relation between Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory* **10(3)** (1978), 297–302.
- [15] F. D. MURNAGHAN, The characters of the symmetric group, *Amer. J. Math.* **59(4)** (1937), 739–753.
- [16] T. NAKAYAMA, On some modular properties of irreducible representations of a symmetric group. I, *Jpn. J. Math.* **17** (1941), 165–184.
- [17] T. NAKAYAMA, On some modular properties of irreducible representations of a symmetric group. II, *Jpn. J. Math.* **17** (1941), 411–423.

- [18] S. RAMANUJAN, Some properties of Bernoulli's numbers, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **3** (1911), 219–234.
- [19] K. SHIRATANI AND S. YOKOYAMA, An application of p -adic convolutions, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A* **36(1)** (1982), 73–83.

JOHN M. CAMPBELL

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Dalhousie University
Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada

jmaxwellcampbell@gmail.com