

Classical Annealing of Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Spin Glass Using Kubo-Suzuki Mean-field Ising Dynamics

Soumyaditya Das,^{1,*} Soumyajyoti Biswas,^{1,2,†} and Bikas K. Chakrabarti^{3,‡}

¹*Department of Physics, SRM University - AP, Amaravati, Andhra Pradesh - 522240, India*

²*Department of Computer Science and Engineering, SRM University - AP, Amaravati, Andhra Pradesh - 522240, India*

³*Condensed Matter Physics, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata 700064, India*

We propose and demonstrate numerically a fast classical annealing scheme for the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) spin glass model, employing the Suzuki-Kubo meanfield Ising dynamics (supplemented by a modified Thouless-Anderson-Palmer reaction field). The resultant dynamics, starting from any arbitrary paramagnetic phase (with local $m_i = \pm 1$ for the i^{th} spin, and the global magnetization $m = 0$), takes the system quickly to an appropriate state with small local values of magnetization (m_i) commensurate with the (frustrated) interactions as the temperature decreases with the annealing which practically remains (in an effective adiabatic way) close to the ground state as the m_i 's and the spin glass order parameter q grows to unity. For an N -spin SK model (with N up to 8000) the annealed ground state energy per spin $E_N^0 - E_0$ is found to scale as $N^{-2/3}$, with $E^0 = -0.7633 \pm 0.0003$ (the universal value is $E^0 = -0.7631667265 \dots$), fluctuation σ_N in E_N^0 decreases as $\sim N^{-3/4}$ and the annealing time $\tau_N \sim N$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) [1] model was proposed as the infinite finite-dimensional or mean field limit of Ising spin glasses with disordered competing interactions with irreducible frustrations. The model proved to have unusually complex structure and dynamics (see e.g., [2] for a review). The complex nature of the SK model ground state structure was found by Parisi [3, 4] to have Replica Symmetry Breaking (RSB). It was shown that the complete RSB estimated ground state energy per spin E^0 of the SK model is $-0.7631667265 \dots$ [4–6]. Since then, major numerical efforts have been made (see Example [7–10]), which various (conformational space) annealing, quenching, local search techniques that found the ground state energy per spin as $E_N^0 - E^0$ with finite size scaling behavior as $N^{-2/3}$ (see e.g., [11]) and the fluctuations σ in E_N^0 decreasing as $N^{-3/4}$ (see e.g., [12]). Finding the ground state energy for the SK spin glass for a given configuration is NP hard, and therefore, the approximate algorithms are either parameter sensitive (e.g., the genetic algorithm [13]) or less accurate (e.g., simulated annealing [14]) or time consuming (e.g., Extremal Optimization that scales as N^4 [11]).

Here we study the (classical) annealing [15] of the SK model Hamiltonian

$$H = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} S_i S_j \quad (1)$$

where $\langle ij \rangle$ denotes the distinct pairs (counted once) of the Ising spins $s_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, interacting with long-range interactions J_{ij} . The J_{ij} values are taken randomly

from a Gaussian distribution

$$P(J_{ij}) = (1/J)(N/2\pi)^{1/2} \exp[-N(J_{ij}/2J)^2] \quad (2)$$

where, $[J_{ij}^2]_{av} - [J_{ij}]_{av}^2 = J^2/N = 1/N$. Writing $H = - \sum_i h_i s_i$ with the effective field h_i on any site i , obtained from Eq. (1), and the SK model being a mean field one, employing the Suzuki-Kubo mean field Ising dynamics [16] of local magnetization (for a particular configuration $[c]$ corresponding to a particular realization of the distribution of J_{ij}) $m_i^{[c]} = \langle s_i^{[c]} \rangle$ to study its annealing behavior when the temperature T is tuned down to zero from the spin glass transition point temperature $T = T_g = 1$ [2] in annealing time τ :

$$dm_i^{[c]}/dt = -m_i^{[c]} + \tanh(h_i^{[c]}/T(t)) \quad (3)$$

in discrete time form

$$m_i^{[c]}(t+1) = \tanh[h_i^{[c]}(t)/T(t)]; T(t) = 1 - t/\tau. \quad (4)$$

In the spin glass phase, while the steady state value of the local magnetization $m_i^{[c]}$ are ± 1 , the long-range order $m^{[c]} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i m_i^{[c]}$ will vanish and the spin glass order parameter $q^{[c]} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (m_i^{[c]})^2$ grows from nearly zero for $T \geq T_g$ to unity at $T = 0$. Incorporating the Thouless-Anderson-Palmer reaction field [2, 17] (modified by removing the $1/T$ term to avoid its divergence in the $T = 0$ limit, for quantum cases when $q^{[c]}$ becomes less than unity within the quantum SK model phase transition boundary [18, 19]), we get for a particular configuration c ,

$$h_i^{[c]}(t) = - \sum_j J_{ij} m_j^{[c]}(t) - (1 - q^{[c]}(t)^2). \quad (5)$$

We have studied here the annealing dynamics from Eqs. (4) and (5) for N up to 8000 from $t = 0$ to $t = \tau$.

* soumyaditya_das@srmmap.edu.in

† soumyajyoti.b@srmmap.edu.in

‡ bikask.chakrabarti@saha.ac.in




FIG. 1. The time variations (due to annealing) of (a) magnetization $m_N^{[c]}(t)$, (b) spin glass order parameter $q_N^{[c]}(t)$ and (c) energy $E_N^{[c]}(t)$ are shown. In all these cases the configurational averages are shown in red (thick) line and each of the 1000 configurations are shown in light background. While the average magnetization remains close to zero throughout the dynamics, the magnitudes of the local magnetizations are initially lowered, which is reflected in the initial dip of the spin glass order parameter. At later times, the magnitudes of the local magnetizations and consequently that of the spin glass order parameter reach unity. The system size here is $N = 100$.




FIG. 2. The variation of $\Delta(\tau) = E_N^0(\tau) - E^0$ is shown with different annealing time (τ) for different system sizes. Clearly Δ becomes independent of τ (and $E_N^0(\tau)$ become E_N^0) when $\tau > \tau_N$, where the annealing time, τ_N is linear in N .

We report the finite size scaling of the approach to the universal ground state energy for the systems, the finite size scaling of the fluctuations in the energy values to follow the standard scaling relations mentioned above. Surprisingly, the algorithmic cost here scales as N^3 .

II. RESULTS

In simulating the model with the Kubo-Suzuki dynamics following Eqs. (4) and (5), the initial temperature is chosen at $T_g = 1$ and is lowered linearly such that at time $t = \tau$ it reaches zero. The initial local magnetisation values, for a given configuration, are assigned to be ± 1 with equal probability. A local spin is then randomly selected and updated following Eqs. (4) and (5) and N such updates constitute one time step.




FIG. 3. The lowest energy values for given system size are plotted against $N^{-2/3}$ which shows a scaling $E_0(N) \sim N^{-2/3}$. The ground state energy ($N \rightarrow \infty$) is the Parisi value $E^0 = -0.7631 \dots$, shown from the extrapolation. From the least-square fitting we get a ground state energy which is $E^0 = -0.7633 \pm 0.0003$ (considering the exponent to be $2/3$). Defining $\Delta \equiv |E_N^0 - E^0|$, the inset shows the variation of $\Delta N^{2/3}$ with N that saturates to the fitted prefactor 1.18 for large N .

During the dynamics, the individual local magnetisation values $m_i^{[c]}(t)$ are lowered initially, leading to an initial smoothening of the corrugated energy landscape faced by the system and also the spin glass order parameter $q_i^{[c]}(t)$ goes nearly to zero. As t increases, the system finds the low energy states and the orientations of $m_i^{[c]}(t)$ gets adjusted accordingly. Subsequently the local magnetization values increase gradually to ± 1 , which is reflected in the time variation of the spin glass order parameter $q^{[c]}(t)$ that reaches unity at $t = \tau$ (see Fig. 1).

We also compute, at each t , the cooperative energy $E_N^{[c]}(t) = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} m_i^{[c]}(t) m_j^{[c]}(t)$ and the con-




FIG. 4. (a) The fluctuations of the lowest energy values are plotted against N . It shows the scaling as $\sigma_N \sim N^{-3/4}$ [20]. (b) The CPU run time (with clock speed 2.3GHz) for annealing of one ensemble shows a power law scaling with the system size as N^3 .

figurational average of $E_N^{[c]}(t)$ is denoted by $E_N(t) \equiv \left[E_N^{[c]}(t) \right]_{av}$ that saturates to E_N^0 at $t = \tau_N$ (see Fig. 2). For any choice of $\tau > \tau_N$, this saturation value E_N^0 does not depend on τ (see inset of Fig. 2). The value of τ_N varies with N as $\tau_N \sim N$.

We denote the configurational average of total magnetization and the spin glass order parameter as $m_N(t) = \left[m_N^{[c]}(t) \right]_{av}$ and $q_N(t) = \left[q_N^{[c]}(t) \right]_{av}$ respectively. One then obtains a finite size scaling of the ground state energy, which shows a scaling form $E_N^0 - E^0 \sim N^{-2/3}$ (see Fig. 3) where E^0 denotes the ground state in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit. The fluctuation in E_N^0 , $\sigma_N \equiv \left[\sqrt{\langle E_N^0{}^2 \rangle - \langle E_N^0 \rangle^2} \right]_{av}$, scales as $\sigma_N \sim N^{-3/4}$ (see Fig. 4(a)). Both of these scaling forms conform with earlier observations, as noted above.

Given that the system is fully connected, a single time step requires N^2 spin scans. Then, as the annealing time scales linearly with N in order to get the saturation energy value mentioned above, the algorithmic time cost is expected to scale as N^3 , which is verified in Fig. 4(b).

TABLE I. The number of configuration averages done for each system size and the corresponding estimates of the ground state energies and their errors estimated from the standard deviations are listed below.

System size (N)	Confgs.	Ground state energy (E_N^0)
25	10000	-0.668 ± 0.055
100	5000	-0.723 ± 0.021
200	5000	-0.737 ± 0.013
400	7900	-0.746 ± 0.008
600	1000	-0.749 ± 0.006
800	800	-0.752 ± 0.005
1000	400	-0.753 ± 0.004
1600	700	-0.754 ± 0.003
2000	50	-0.756 ± 0.002
4000	70	-0.758 ± 0.001
6000	30	-0.759 ± 0.001
8000	20	-0.760 ± 0.001

III. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

We have reported the results of simulating SK spin glass using meanfield Kubo-Suzuki dynamics (Eqs. (4) and (5)). Unlike the usual convention, where the spins are treated as discrete variable ± 1 , the Kubo-Suzuki dynamics make each spin a continuous variable as long as the dynamics continues. Clearly, at the end of the dynamics, where $T = 0$, the spins return to their discrete configurations. However, in the initial phase of the dynamics, the spin values are lowered (as evident from the lowering of the spin glass order parameter in Fig. 1(b)). This results in a smoothening of the corrugated energy landscape faced by the system, which then is able to quickly orient (within an average annealing time $\tau_N \sim N$ (see Fig. 2)) in a low energy configuration. Subsequently the local magnetization values are increased, so is the spin glass order parameter and the cooperative energy is lowered (as the system had already reached the low energy state when the barriers were small). This mechanism, therefore, gives an overall algorithmic cost of only N^3 .

It is important that even in the process of making the individual spin values continuous during the dynamics, the well established finite size scaling of the energy and its fluctuations remain intact (see Figs. 3,4). As a result, the ground state energy extrapolated for $N \rightarrow \infty$ comes to $E^0 = -0.7633 \pm 0.0003$, which was earlier obtained (for smaller system sizes) at a higher cost of N^4 [11], or the proper finite size scaling ($|E_N^0 - E^0| \sim N^{-2/3}$ and $\sigma_N \sim N^{-3/4}$) could not be obtained even for much larger system sizes ($N = 40000$) [10].

In conclusion, the Kubo-Suzuki dynamics for annealing of SK spin glass allows for a much more affordable (N^3) and accurate ($E^0 = -0.7633 \pm 0.0003$) estimate of the ground state energy per spin of the SK model.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to Muktish Acharyya for useful discussions. BKC is grateful to the Indian National Science Academy for their Senior Scientist Research Grant. The simulations were performed using HPCC Chandrama in SRMAP.

-
- [1] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, *Solvable Model of a Spin-Glass*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **35**, 1792 (1975).
- [2] K. Binder and A. P. Young, *Spin glasses: Experimental facts, theoretical concepts, and open questions*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **58**, 801 (1986).
- [3] G. Parisi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *Infinite Number of Order Parameters for Spin-Glasses*, **43**, 1754 (1979).
- [4] G. Parisi, *J. Phys. A*, *A sequence of approximated solutions to the S-K model for spin glasses*, **13**, 1101 (1980).
- [5] P. Carmona, Y. Hu, *Universality in Sherrington-Kirkpatrick's spin glass model*, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré - PR* **42**, 215 (2006).
- [6] D. Panchenko, *The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model: an overview*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **149**, 362 (2012).
- [7] S.-Y. Kim, S. J. Lee and J. Lee, *Ground-state energy and energy landscape of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick spin glass*, *Phys. Rev. B* **76** 184412 (2007).
- [8] Stefan Boettcher, *Ground State Properties of the Diluted Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Spin Glass*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 177202, 2020.
- [9] A. Rakcheev and A. M. Lauchli, *Diabatic quantum and classical annealing of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model*, *Phys. Rev. A*, **107**, 062602 (2023).
- [10] V. Erbal, F. Behrens, F. Krzakala and L. Zdeborova, *Quenches in the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model*, *J. Stat. Mech.: Th. and Expt.*, 083302 (2024).
- [11] S. Boettcher, *Extremal Optimization for Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Spin Glasses*, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **46**, 501 (2005).
- [12] J.-P. Bouchaud, F. Krzakala, O. C. Martin, *Energy exponents and corrections to scaling in Ising spin glasses*, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 224404 (2003).
- [13] M. Pelikan, K. G. Helmut, S. Kobe. *Finding ground states of Sherrington-Kirkpatrick spin glasses with hierarchical bo and genetic algorithms*. In Proceedings of the 10th annual conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation (GECCO '08). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 447-454. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1389095.1389176> (2008).
- [14] G. S. Grest, C. M. Soukoulis, K. Levin, *Cooling-Rate Dependence for the Spin-Glass Ground-State Energy: Implications for Optimization by Simulated Annealing*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 1148 (1986).
- [15] S. Kirkpatrick, C. D. Gelatt and M. P. Vecchi, *Optimization by Simulated Annealing* *Science* **220** 671-680 (1983).
- [16] M. Suzuki and R. Kubo, *Dynamics of the Ising model near the critical point. I*, *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.* **24**, 51-60 (1968).
- [17] D. J. Thouless, P. W. Anderson and R. G. Palmer, *Solution of 'Solvable model of a spin glass'*, *Phil. Mag.*, **35**, 593-601 (1977).
- [18] H. Ishii and T. Yamamoto, *Effect of a transverse field on the spin glass freezing in the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model*, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **18**, 6225-6237 (1985).
- [19] P. Ray, B. K. Chakrabarti and A. Chakrabarti, *Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model in a transverse field: Absence of replica symmetry breaking due to quantum fluctuations*, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 11828 (1989).
- [20] S. Kobe, *Ground-state energy and frustration of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model and related models*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/cond-mat/0311657>.