Noether Symmetry Analysis for Generalized Brans-Dicke **Cosmology**

Andronikos Paliathanasis^{1, 2, 3, 4, [∗](#page-0-0)}

¹Institute of Systems Science, Durban University of Technology, Durban 4000, South Africa 2 Centre for Space Research, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

 3 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,

Avda. Angamos 0610, Casilla 1280 Antofagasta, Chile

⁴School of Sciences, Woxsen University, Hyderabad 502345, Telangana, India

We present the complete solution to the classification problem regarding the variational symmetries of the generalized Brans-Dicke cosmological model in the presence of a second scalar field minimally coupled to gravity and the generalized Brans-Dicke scalar field theory. Through the symmetry analysis, we were able to specify the functional form of the field equations such that they become integrable. Additionally, new families of integrable cosmological models are presented.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: Cosmology; Brans-Dicke Theory; Multi-scalar field; Noether symmetries

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the many models suggested by cosmologists to address the puzzle of cosmological observations $[1–5]$ $[1–5]$, scalar fields play a crucial role $[6–12]$ $[6–12]$ $[6–12]$. A scalar field model that has drawn attention is the Brans-Dicke theory [\[13](#page-13-4)] and its modifications [\[14\]](#page-13-5). These theories, known as scalar-tensor gravitational models, introduce a coupling function between the scalar field and the gravitational Lagrangian, which means that the existence of the scalar field is essential for the appearance of the gravitational field. Scalar-tensor theories satisfy Mach's principle [\[15,](#page-14-0) [16](#page-14-1)]. Furthermore, the scalar-tensor theories belong to the family of Horndeski models [\[17\]](#page-14-2), which means that the gravitational field equations are of second order and do not suffer

[∗]Electronic address: anpaliat@phys.uoa.gr

from Ostrogradsky's instabilities.

On large scales, the universe is assumed to be described by the isotropic and homogeneous Friedmann–Lemaˆıtre–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) spacetime. Despite the fact that the gravitational field equations in scalar-tensor theories are of second order, and the number of dynamical degrees of freedom for the gravitational field equations in cosmology is relatively small, there are very few exact and analytic cosmological solutions in the literature; see, for instance, [\[18](#page-14-3)[–21\]](#page-14-4) and references therein. There exists a minisuperspace description for the gravitational field equations in cosmology. Therefore, there exists a point-like Lagrangian which describes the field equations.

With this property in mind, in [\[22](#page-14-5)], Noether's theorems were applied in order to constrain the free parameters of the scalar-tensor theory so that variational symmetries could exist. By applying Noether's second theorem, conservation laws were determined, and the field equations were solved explicitly [\[23](#page-14-6)]. By imposing the constraint equation, a similar analysis was performed in [\[24](#page-14-7)]. The Noether symmetry analysis was extended to the case of Brans-Dicke theory in the presence of a matter source with a constant equation of state parameter [\[25\]](#page-14-8) and in the presence of a second scalar field [\[26\]](#page-14-9). The application of Noether symmetry analysis in gravitational physics leads to the Ovsiannikov group classification problem [\[27\]](#page-14-10), where all the free parameters of the model are constrained so that all the possible admissible symmetries can be calculated. For more details, we refer the reader to the discussion in [\[28,](#page-14-11) [29](#page-14-12)]. Moreover, as has been discussed in [\[25](#page-14-8)], the Noetherian conservation laws can be used to define quantum observables which can be used to solve the Wheeler-DeWitt equation of quantum cosmology.

Recently, in [\[30](#page-14-13)], the Noether symmetry analysis was applied to the study of the classical and quantum cosmological equations for a generalized Brans-Dicke model with an additional scalar field to describe the matter source. Nevertheless, the analysis presented in [\[30\]](#page-14-13) is incomplete, and the authors have not solved Ovsiannikov's group classification problem related to the symmetry analysis.

In this study, we present the complete classification of the Noether symmetry analysis for the same generalized Brans-Dicke cosmological model with a scalar field. We show that new analytic solutions can be derived, relating to integrable Hamiltonian systems for specific forms of the free functions of the theory. The structure of the paper is as follows.

In Section [2,](#page-2-0) we present the gravitational model under our consideration, which is a scalar-

tensor theory where the matter source component is described by a scalar field minimally coupled to gravity. The case of a FLRW geometry is discussed, and the basic properties of the variational symmetries are presented. In Section [3,](#page-6-0) we present the solution to the Noether symmetry classification problem for the cosmological model under our consideration. We find that the existence of symmetries depends on four different coupling functions for the nonminimally coupled scalar field and on four different potential functions for the second scalar field. This analysis shows that the results published in [\[30\]](#page-14-13) are only a fraction of the complete results. Furthermore, in Section [4,](#page-9-0) we employ the Noether symmetries to determine the integrability properties and to reduce the dynamical systems to other known dynamical systems that are integrable. Finally, in Section [5,](#page-11-0) we draw our conclusions.

2. GENERALIZED BRANS-DICKE COSMOLOGY

We consider the scalar-tensor theory expressed by the Action Integral [\[14\]](#page-13-5)

$$
S = \int dx^4 \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \phi R - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}{\phi} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{;\mu} \phi_{;\nu} - L_m \left(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi, \psi_{;\mu} \right) \right], \tag{1}
$$

in which $\phi(x^{\kappa})$ is the scalar field nonnominally coupled to gravity, $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$, with $\omega_{BD}(\phi) \neq$ 3 $\frac{3}{2}$, defines the coupling between the gravity and the scalar field, for $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = const$, the Brans-Dicke theory is recovered and $L_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi, \psi_{;\mu})$ is the Lagrangian function of a scalar field $\psi(x^{\kappa})$ minimally coupled to gravity and to the Brans-Dicke scalar field, that is,

$$
L_m(g_{,\mu\nu}, \psi, \psi_{;\mu}) = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \psi_{;\mu} \psi_{;\nu} + V(\psi). \tag{2}
$$

Despite the fact that function $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$ is the coefficient of the kinetic term of the scalar field φ, we can always define a new scalar field Φ , such that $\sqrt{\omega_0}d\Phi = \int \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}}d\phi$, in order to write the gravitational Action Integral in the equivalent form

$$
S = \int dx^4 \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \phi \left(\Phi \right) R - \frac{\omega_0}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \Phi_{;\mu} \Phi_{;\nu} - L_m \left(g_{,\mu \nu}, \Phi, \psi, \psi_{;\mu} \right) \right], \tag{3}
$$

in which the Brans-Dicke action is recovered when $\phi(\Phi) = \phi_0 \Phi^2$.

Variations of the Action Integral [\(1\)](#page-2-1) with respect to the metric tensor leads to the modified

$$
G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi^2} \left(\phi_{;\mu} \phi_{;\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\kappa\lambda} \phi_{;\kappa} \phi_{;\lambda} \right) - \frac{1}{\phi} \left(g_{\mu\nu} g^{\kappa\lambda} \phi_{;\kappa\lambda} - \phi_{;\mu} \phi_{;\nu} \right) - g_{\mu\nu} \frac{V(\phi)}{\phi} + \frac{1}{\phi} T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)
$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ describes the contribution of the field $\psi(x^k)$ in the field equations, that is,

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \psi_{;\mu}\psi_{;\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(g^{\kappa\lambda}\phi_{;\kappa}\phi_{;\lambda} + V\left(\psi\right)\right). \tag{5}
$$

Furthermore, the variation of the action integral [\(1\)](#page-2-1) with respect to the scalar fields $\phi(x^{\kappa}), \psi(x^{\kappa})$ leads to the Klein-Gordon equations

$$
g^{\kappa\lambda}\phi_{;\kappa\lambda} - \frac{1}{2\phi}g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{;\mu}\phi_{;\nu} + \frac{\phi}{2\omega_{BD}}\left(R - 2V_{,\phi}\right) = 0,\tag{6a}
$$

 $g^{\kappa\lambda}\psi_{;\kappa\lambda} + V_{,\psi} = 0.$ (7)

2.1. FLRW Cosmology

For the spatially flat FLRW line element

$$
ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t) \left(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}\right),
$$
\n(8)

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor of the universe and $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ $\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble function, with $\dot{a} = \frac{da}{dt}$. The Ricci scalar is calculated.

$$
R = 6\left[\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2\right].
$$
\n(9)

Moreover, we assume that the scalar fields inherit the symmetries of the background space. As a result, the field equations [\(4\)](#page-3-0) and the equations of motion for the scalar fields [\(6a\)](#page-3-1), [\(7\)](#page-3-2) form the following set of ordinary differential equations

$$
3\phi H^2 + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}{2\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\psi}^2 + V(\psi) = 0,
$$
\n(10)

$$
3\phi H^2 + 2\phi \dot{H} + 2H\dot{\phi} - \frac{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^2 + \ddot{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\psi}^2 + V(\psi) = 0, \tag{11}
$$

$$
\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\phi} + \left(\ln\left(\frac{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}{\phi}\right)\right)_{,\phi}\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\phi} + 3H\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} + \frac{1}{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}\left(\left(3\dot{H} + 6H^2\right) + V_{,\phi}\right) = 0,\tag{12}
$$

$$
\ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} + V_{,\psi}(\psi) = 0.
$$
\n(13)

The aforementioned dynamical system follows from the variation of the point-like Lagrangian function.

$$
L\left(a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(-6a\phi\dot{a}^2 - 6a^2\dot{a}\dot{\phi} - \frac{\omega_{BD}(\phi)}{\phi}a^3\dot{\phi}^2 - a^3\dot{\psi}^2\right) + a^3V(\psi) \tag{14}
$$

where the constraint equation [\(10\)](#page-3-3) is the energy related to the dynamical system with Lagrangian [\(14\)](#page-4-0).

In the following lines we employ Noether's theorems to determine the variational symmetries for the Action Integral defined by the Lagrangian function [\(14\)](#page-4-0). Specifically, the free functions $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$ and $V(\psi)$ will be constrained with the requirement of the existence of variational symmetries.

2.2. Variational Symmetries

In the early twentieth century, Emmy Noether published her pioneering work on variational symmetries and conservation laws [\[31](#page-14-14)]. Noether's first theorem provides a simple algebraic relation that can be used to calculate the one-parameter point transformations that leave invariant the variation of the action integral. Furthermore, Noether's second theorem relates the variational symmetries to the admitted conservation laws for the given dynamical system. Noether's work belongs to the wider field of Lie symmetry analysis of differential equations. The basic elements and definitions of the Noether symmetry analysis are presented below.

Consider the infinitesimal one parameter point transformation [\[32](#page-14-15)]

$$
\bar{t} = t + \varepsilon \xi \left(t, a, \phi, \psi \right), \tag{15}
$$

$$
\bar{a} = a + \varepsilon \eta_a \left(t, a, \phi, \psi \right), \tag{16}
$$

$$
\bar{\phi} = \phi + \varepsilon \eta_{\phi} \left(t, a, \phi, \psi \right), \tag{17}
$$

$$
\bar{\psi} = \psi + \eta_{\psi} \left(t, a, \phi, \psi \right) \tag{18}
$$

in which ε is an infinitesimal parameter, i.e. $\varepsilon^2 \to 0$, and ξ , η_a , η_ϕ are the components of the generator X for the infinitesimal transformation defined as

$$
X = \frac{\partial \bar{t}}{\partial \varepsilon} \partial_t + \frac{\partial \bar{a}}{\partial \varepsilon} \partial_a + \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial \varepsilon} \partial_\phi + \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial \varepsilon} \partial_\psi.
$$
 (19)

The variation of the Action Integral

$$
S = \int L\left(a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right) dt
$$

is invariant if and only there exist a function f , such that the following condition is true [\[32,](#page-14-15) [33\]](#page-14-16)

$$
X^{[1]}L + L\dot{\xi} = \dot{f},\tag{20}
$$

where $X^{[1]}$ is the first extension of X in the jet space defined as

$$
X^{[1]} = X + \left(\dot{\eta}_a - \dot{a}\dot{\xi}\right)\partial_{\dot{a}} + \left(\dot{\eta}_\phi - \dot{\phi}\dot{\xi}\right)\partial_{\dot{\phi}} + \left(\dot{\eta}_{\dot{\psi}} - \dot{\psi}\dot{\xi}\right)\partial_{\dot{\psi}}.\tag{21}
$$

The function f is a boundary term introduced to allow for the infinitesimal changes in the value of the Action Integral generated by the infinitesimal change in the boundary of the domain due to the transformation.

The symmetry condition [\(20\)](#page-5-0) in Noether's first theorem provides a set of constraint equations on the components of the generator X and the free parameters of the Lagrangian function. For the model under our consideration, the scalar field potential $V(\psi)$ and the coupling parameter $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$ are constrained such that the symmetry condition [\(20\)](#page-5-0) leads to the existence of nontrivial symmetry vectors.

Noether's second theorem, relates the variational symmetries X with the conservation laws for the equations of motions. Indeed, if X is a symmetry vector the function $[32, 33]$ $[32, 33]$ $[32, 33]$

$$
I\left(t, a, \dot{a}, \phi, \dot{\phi}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right) = \xi \mathcal{H} - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{a}}\eta_a + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}}\eta_\phi + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}}\eta_\psi\right) + f\tag{22}
$$

is a conserved quantity, i.e. $\frac{dI}{dt} = 0$; where $\mathcal H$ is the Hamiltonian function defined as

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{a}} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}} \dot{\psi} - L.
$$
\n(23)

Recall that for in our consideration, the Hamiltonian is zero due to the constraint [\(10\)](#page-3-3), that is, $H = 0$. The conserved quantities related to the Noether symmetries in Newtonian Mechanics are related with physical observables. Nevertheless till now there is a not a clear physical meaning on the conservation laws for the field equations of gravitational physics. Mainly these conserved quantities are used to identify integrable systems and derive analytic solutions.

At this point it is important to mention that in this study we employ the Noether symmetry analysis by assuming that the dynamical system is a regular system. Nevertheless, in gravitational physics due to the constraint equation the dynamical system can be seen as singular, and that leads to an alternative approach to the Noether symmetry analysis as discussed in [\[24\]](#page-14-7).

We proceed with the presentation of the solution for the Noether symmetry classification problem.

3. NOETHER SYMMETRY CLASSIFICATION PROBLEM

For the Lagrangian function [\(14\)](#page-4-0) the Noether symmetry conditions [\(20\)](#page-5-0) are presented in Appendix [A.](#page-12-0) For arbitrary functional forms of $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$ and $V(\psi)$, the symmetry conditions implies that there is always a Noether symmetry $X^0 = \partial_t$, with the corresponding conservation law being the Hamiltonian function H . This symmetry vector is trivial and indicates that the gravitational field equations form an autonomous dynamical system.

Nevertheless, for specific functional forms of $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$ and $V(\psi)$, the cosmological model under our consideration may admit additional nontrivial symmetries. These symmetries are presented in the following lines.

3.1. Arbitrary coupling function $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$

For arbitrary coupling functions $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$, there are three potential functions for which additional variational symmetries exist: the zero potential $V_A(\psi) = 0$, the constant (nonzero) potential $V_B(\psi) = V_0$, and the exponential potential $V_C(\psi) = V_0 e^{-\lambda \psi}$.

$$
X^1 = \partial_{\psi} , X^2 = 2t\partial_t + \frac{2}{3}a\partial_a,
$$

with corresponding conservation laws

$$
I^{1}\left(X^{1}\right) = \frac{3}{2}a^{3}\dot{\psi},\tag{24}
$$

$$
I^{2}\left(X^{2}\right) = 3a^{3}\phi\left(2H + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right),\tag{25}
$$

Furthermore, for the constant potential $V_B(\psi)$, there exist only the additional Noether symmetry X^1 , with conservation law the function $I^1(X^1)$.

Finally, for the exponential potential $V_C(\psi)$, the admitted Noether symmetry is the vector field $X^{1+2} = X^2 + \frac{4}{\lambda}X_1$, with conservation law

$$
I^{1+2}\left(X^{1+2}\right) = I^2\left(X^2\right) + \frac{4}{\lambda}I^1\left(X^1\right). \tag{26}
$$

3.2. Brans-Dicke theory

For the case where $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = \omega_{BD}^0$, the scalar-tensor field reduces to the Brans-Dicke theory. The Noether symmetry classification scheme for this problem was solved previously in [\[26](#page-14-9)] for a more general potential function that depends on the two scalar fields and leads to the introduction of a coupling function.

It has been found that the only new potential function where a nontrivial variational symmetry exists is the power-law potential $V_D(\psi) = V_0 (\psi - \psi_0)^{2+\alpha}$, with the Noether symmetry associated with the vector field

$$
X^{3} = \alpha X^{2} + \frac{8}{3} \left(-a \partial_{a} + 3 \phi \partial_{\phi} + \frac{3}{2} \left(\psi - \psi_{0} \right) \partial_{\psi} \right), \tag{27}
$$

with Noetherian conservation law

$$
I^{3}(X^{3}) = I^{2}(X^{2}) + \frac{8}{3} \left[3a^{2} \dot{\phi} a - 12a^{2} \dot{a} \phi - \frac{3\omega_{BD}^{0}}{\phi} a^{3} \phi \dot{\phi} - \frac{3}{2} a^{3} (\psi - \psi_{0}) \dot{\psi} \right].
$$
 (28)

9

Recall that when $V_D(\psi)$ is zero, or constant, i.e. $\alpha = -2$, the symmetry vector X^3 still exists.

3.3. Brans-Dicke theory with $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = 0$

In the special where the Brans-Dicke parameter is zero, there exist additional symmetries for the dynamical system. For arbitrary potential function $V(\psi)$ there exist the additional Noether symmetries

$$
Y^1 = \frac{1}{a}\partial_\phi \ , \ Y^2 = \frac{t}{a}\partial_\phi
$$

with conservation laws

$$
\bar{I}^{1}\left(Y^{1}\right) = a\dot{a} , \ \bar{I}^{2}\left(Y^{2}\right) = 2t a\dot{a} + a^{2}.
$$
 (29)

For the zero potential function $V_A(\psi)$, we derive the additional symmetry vectors

$$
Y^3 = \frac{1}{a} \left(\psi \partial_{\phi} + 3 \partial_{\psi} \right).
$$

where the corresponding conservation laws is defined as

$$
\bar{I}^3\left(Y^3\right) = a\psi \dot{a} + a^2 \dot{\psi}.\tag{30}
$$

3.4. Coupling function $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = \frac{3}{2}$ $\omega_0\phi$ $\omega_0\phi+\phi_0$

For the coupling function $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = \frac{3}{2}$ $\omega_0\phi$ $\frac{\omega_0 \varphi}{\omega_0 \varphi + \varphi_0}$, and for arbitrary potential function $V(\psi)$, there exists the additional symmetry vector

$$
X^4 = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_0 \phi + \phi_0}}{a} \partial_{\phi},\tag{31}
$$

where from Noether's second theorem we calculate the conservation law

$$
I^{4}\left(X^{4}\right) = 3a\sqrt{\omega_{0}\phi + \phi_{0}}\dot{a}.\tag{32}
$$

This is the only case calculated before in [\[30](#page-14-13)] for the constant potential $V(\psi) = V_0$. But as we can see from the present analysis conservation law $I^4(X^4)$ exists for any potential

$\omega(\phi) \backslash \mathbf{V}(\psi)$	${\bf V}\left(\psi\right)$	Zero	V_{0}	$V_0 e^{-\lambda \psi}$	$\sqrt{2+\alpha}$ $\mathbf{V}_0(\psi-\psi_0)$
$\mathbf{V}\left(\psi\right)$	X^0	X^0, X^1, X^2	X^0, X^1	X^0, X^{1+2}	X^0
$\omega_{BD}^0\neq 0$	X^0	X^0, X^1, X^2, X^3	X^0, X^1, X^3	X^0, X^{1+2}	X^0, X^3
$\omega_{BD}^0=0$		$X^0, Y^1, Y^2, X^0, X^1, X^2, X^3, Y^1, Y^2, Y^3, X^0, X^1, X^3, Y^1, Y^2$		X^0, X^{1+2}	X^0, X^3, Y^1, Y^2
$\frac{3}{2}$ $\omega_0 \phi$ $2\omega_0\phi+\phi_0$	X^0	X^0, X^1, X^2, X^4	X^0, X^1, X^4	X^0, X^{1+2}, X^4	X^0

TABLE I: Noether symmetry classifcation for the generalized Brans-Dicke cosmological model.

function $V(\psi)$.

The results of the symmetry classification scheme are summarized in Table [I.](#page-9-1)

4. NEW INTEGRABLE MODELS VIA THE NOETHER SYMMETRY ANALYSIS

The gravitational field equations form a Hamiltonian system of dimension three, which means that in order to determine the integrability, we need to derive three conservation laws that are independent and in involution. The constraint equation always exists; however, not all the functions derived by the Noether classification scheme lead to an integrable dynamical system. For the Brans-Dicke theory and the power-law potential, the integrability property was found previously by deriving hidden symmetries that lead to the construction of quadratic in the momentum conservation laws. Note that in this study, we investigated point symmetries that lead to linear in the momentum conservation laws.

In the following lines, we proceed with the derivation of a solution for the exponential potential $V_C(\psi) = V_0 e^{-\lambda \psi}$, in the case where $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = 0$, and $\omega_{BD} = \frac{3}{2}$ 2 $\omega_0\phi$ $\frac{\omega_0 \phi}{\omega_0 \phi + \phi_0}$.

4.1. Brans-Dicke theory with $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = 0$

In the case with $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = 0$, the gravitational field equations read

$$
3\phi H^2 + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\psi}^2 + V(\psi) = 0,
$$
\n(33)

$$
\dot{H} + 2H^2 = 0,\t(34)
$$

$$
2\left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2\right)\phi + 2\ddot{\phi} + 4H\dot{\phi} - \dot{\psi}^2 + 2V\left(\psi\right) = 0,\tag{35}
$$

$$
\ddot{\psi} + 3H\dot{\psi} + V_{,\psi}(\psi) = 0.
$$
\n(36)

Therefore the scale factor is always $a(t) = a_0\sqrt{t}$, i.e. $H(t) = \frac{1}{2t}$, which corresponds to the radiation universe.

For the exponential potential there exist the conservation law $I^{1+2}(X^{1+2})$ and the constraint equation, which lead to the reduced system

$$
\frac{I^{1+2}}{3\sqrt{t}} - \left(\phi + t\left(\dot{\phi} + 2\frac{\dot{\psi}}{\lambda}\right)\right) = 0,\tag{37}
$$

$$
\frac{3}{2t}\phi + 2V_0 e^{-\lambda\psi} + 3\dot{\phi} + t\dot{\psi}^2 = 0.
$$
 (38)

4.2. Coupling function $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = \frac{3}{2}$ $\omega_0\phi$ $\omega_0\phi+\phi_0$

For the exponential potential $V_C(\psi)$ and the coupling function $\omega_{BD}(\phi) = \frac{3}{2}$ $\omega_0\phi$ $\frac{\omega_0 \phi}{\omega_0 \phi + \phi_0}$, we apply the change of variable $\phi = -4\frac{\phi_0}{\omega_0}$ $\frac{\phi_0}{\omega_0} + \frac{\varphi^2}{4a^2}$ $\frac{\varphi_2}{4a^2}$ and the point-like Lagrangian function [\(14\)](#page-4-0) becomes

$$
L\left(a, \dot{a}, z, \dot{z}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right) = 3\frac{\phi_0}{\omega_0}a\dot{a}^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^3\dot{\psi}^2 - \frac{3}{4}a\dot{\varphi}^2 + a^3V_0e^{-\lambda\psi}.\tag{39}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\phi_0 = \omega_0$. In these variables, the cosmological model is equivalent to that of a quintessence scalar field with an exponential potential and a radiation fluid source. The analytic solution for this cosmological model has been derived previously in [\[34\]](#page-14-17), so we omit the presentation of the solution.

4.2.1. Solution for arbitrary potential

Nevertheless, for arbitrary potential $V(\psi)$, the point-like Lagrangian [\(39\)](#page-10-0) reads

$$
L\left(a, \dot{a}, z, \dot{z}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right) = 3a\dot{a}^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^3\dot{\psi}^2 - \frac{3}{4}a\dot{\varphi}^2 + a^3V\left(\psi\right),\tag{40}
$$

which is that of a quintessence scalar field with an arbitrary potential and a radiation fluid source. Indeed, this model is integrable via nonlocal transformations, as found in [\[34\]](#page-14-17).

Indeed, conservation law, I^4 becomes $I^4 \simeq a\dot{\varphi}$. By replacing the conservation law we end

with a reduced Hamiltonian system described by the Lagrangian function

$$
L\left(a, \dot{a}, z, \dot{z}, \psi, \dot{\psi}\right) = 3a\dot{a}^2 - \frac{1}{2}a^3\dot{\psi}^2 + \frac{\hat{I}^4}{a} + a^3V\left(\psi\right),\tag{41}
$$

where $\hat{I}^4 = -\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{3}{4}I^{4}.$

The solution of the latter gravitational model is [\[34](#page-14-17)]

$$
\phi(\tau) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} \int \left[\left(F'(\tau) - 6\gamma \rho_{m0} e^{F(\tau) - \frac{2}{3}\tau} \right) \right]^{1/2} d\tau, \tag{42}
$$

where

$$
V(\tau) = \frac{1}{12} e^{-F(\tau)} \left(1 - F'(\tau) \right) + \hat{I}^4 e^{-\frac{2}{3}\tau} \tag{43}
$$

where we have introduced the change of variable $dt = e^{\frac{1}{2}F(\tau)}d\tau$, and $a(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{3}$, with Hubble function $H(\tau) = \frac{1}{3}e^{-\frac{1}{2}F(\tau)}$, thus the FLRW line element reads

$$
ds^{2} = -e^{F(\tau)}d\tau^{2} + e^{\tau/3}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}).
$$
\n(44)

Hence, for any function $F(\tau)$ we can reconstruct a corresponding potential function $V(\psi)$, such that the theory to be cosmological viable.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we solved the complete symmetry classification problem for a Hamiltonian model that describes a constraint cosmological model with two scalar fields, one minimally coupled to gravity and another nonminimally coupled to gravity. The gravitational model under our consideration belongs to the family of generalized Brans-Dicke theory. The evolution of the physical parameters depends on two arbitrary functions: the coupling function of the generalized Brans-Dicke field with the gravitational field, and the scalar field potential which gives the mass of the minimally coupled scalar field.

We applied Noether's first theorem and required the existence of variational symmetries for this dynamical system. The symmetry condition leads to a system of linear inhomogeneous partial differential equations where the free functions of the theory are constrained. The results of the classification problem are presented in Table [I.](#page-9-1)

By using Noether's second theorem, we constructed the corresponding conservation laws and discussed the integrability properties of the field equations. Finally, we were able to reduce the given cosmological model to the equivalent form of another theory and apply previous results to solve the gravitational field equations.

This work completes the results presented in [\[30\]](#page-14-13). More specifically, from the sixteen different cases of the Noether symmetry classification scheme identified in this work, in [\[30\]](#page-14-13) only a fraction of the whole classification was presented.

The symmetry classification problem of differential equations is a classical problem of applied mathematics. Nevertheless, nowadays, Noether symmetry analysis has been used in gravitational physics, but often not in a rigorous mathematical formalism, which has resulted in new researchers producing various inaccurate results or incomplete studies.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the support of Vicerrectoria de Investigación y Desarrollo Tecnológico (Vridt) at Universidad Católica del Norte through Núcleo de Investigación Geometría Diferencial y Aplicaciones, Resolución Vridt No - 096/2022 and Resolución Vridt No - 098/2022.

Appendix A: Symmetry conditions

For the Lagrangian function [\(14\)](#page-4-0) the Noether symmetry condition [\(20\)](#page-5-0) provides the following system of partial differential equations

$$
0 = \left(\phi\eta_a + a\eta_\phi + 2a\phi\left(\frac{\partial\eta_a}{\partial a} + a\frac{\partial\eta_\phi}{\partial a}\right)\right) - \frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t}a\phi,
$$

\n
$$
0 = 3\left(2\phi^2\eta_a + a\eta_\phi\right) + 3a\phi^2\left(\frac{\partial\eta_a}{\partial a} + \frac{\omega_{BD}}{3\phi^2}a^2\frac{\partial\eta_\phi}{\partial a}\right) + 6\phi^2\left(\frac{\partial\eta_a}{\partial \phi} + 3a\frac{\partial\eta_\phi}{\partial \phi}\right) - 3a\phi\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t},
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi}\left(3\phi\eta_a - a\eta_\phi\right) + a\eta_\phi\frac{\partial\omega_{BD}}{\partial \phi} + 6\phi^2\frac{\partial\eta_a}{\partial \phi} + 2a\frac{\omega_{BD}}{\phi}\frac{\partial\eta_\phi}{\partial \phi} - \omega_{BD}a\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t},
$$

\n
$$
0 = a^2\frac{\partial\eta_\psi}{\partial a} + 3a\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\left(2\eta_a + a\eta_\phi\right),
$$

\n
$$
0 = a\frac{\partial\eta_\psi}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\left(3\phi^2\eta_1 + a\omega_{BD}\eta_\phi\right),
$$

$$
0 = 3\eta_a + 2a\frac{\partial \eta_{\psi}}{\partial \psi} - a\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t},
$$

\n
$$
0 = a^2 \left(3\eta_a + a\eta_{\psi}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \psi} + aV\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}\right) + f_{,t},
$$

\n
$$
0 = 3a\left(2\eta_a + a\phi\eta_{\phi}\right) + f_{,a},
$$

\n
$$
0 = \frac{a^2}{\phi}\left(3\phi^2\eta_a + a\eta_{\phi}\right) + f_{,\phi},
$$

\n
$$
0 = a^3\eta_{\psi} + f_{,\psi},
$$

\n
$$
\xi = \xi(t).
$$

The solution of the latter system constraint the functional forms for the coefficients ξ, η_a , η_ϕ and η_ψ of the vector field X, and the free functions $\omega_{BD}(\phi)$ and $V(\phi)$ of the Brans-Dicke gravitational model.

- [1] M. Tegmark et al., Astrophys. J. 606, 702 (2004)
- [2] M. Kowalski et al., Astrophys. J. 686, 749 (2008)
- [3] E. Komatsu et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 180, 330 (2009)
- [4] N. Suzuki et. al., Astrophys. J. 746, 85 (2012)
- [5] E. Abdalla et al., JHEAp. 2204, 002 (2022)
- [6] T.L. Smith, V. Poulin and M.A. Amin, Phys. Rev. D 101, 063523 (2020)
- [7] Gang Liu, Zhihuan Zhou, Yuhao Mu and Lixin Xu, MNRAS 529, 1852 (2024)
- [8] A. Adil, A. Albercht and L. Knox, Phys. Rev. D 107, 063521 (2022)
- [9] G. Alestas, L. Kazantzidis and L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Rev. D 101, 123516 (2020)
- [10] H. Amirhashchi and A.K. Yadav, Phys. Dark Univ. 30, 100711 (2020)
- [11] J. Sola, A. Gomez-Valent, J. de Cruz Perez and C. Moreno-Pulido, Astrophys. J. 886, L6 (2019)
- [12] Y. Tiwari, B. Ghosh and R.K. Jain, Eur. Phys. J. C 84, 220 (2024)
- [13] C. Brans and R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. 124, 195 (1961)
- [14] V. Faraoni, Cosmology in Scalar-Tensor Gravity, Fundamental Theories of Physics vol. 139, Kluwer Academic Press: Netherlands, (2004)
- [15] J. O'Hanlon, J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys. 5, 803 (1972)
- [16] T. Singh, Gen. Rel. Grav. 15, 875 (1983)
- [17] G.W. Horndeski, Int. J. Ther. Phys. 10, 363 (1974)
- [18] J.G. Russo, Phys. Lett. B 600, 185 (2004)
- [19] J.A. Belinchon, T. Harko and M.K. Mak, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 26, 1750073 (2017)
- [20] M. Ildes and M. Arik, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D. 32, 2250131 (2023)
- [21] H. Dehnen and O. Obregon, Astroph. Space Sci. 14, 454 (1971)
- [22] A. Paliathanasis, M. Tsamparlis, S. Basilakos and S. Capozziello, Phys. Rev. D 89, 06352 (2014)
- [23] M. Tsamparlis and A. Paliathanasis, Symmetry 10, 233 (2018)
- [24] P.A. Terzis, N. Dimakis and T. Christodoulakis, Phys. Rev. D 90, 123543 (2014)
- [25] A. Paliathanasis, M. Tsamparlis, S. Basilakos and J.D. Barrow, 93, 043528 (2016)
- [26] A. Paliathanasis, Gen. Rel. Grav. 51, 101 (2019)
- [27] L. V. Ovsiannikov, Group analysis of differential equations, Academic Press, New York (1982)
- [28] N.H. Ibragimov, CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, Volume I: Symmetries, Exact Solutions, and Conservation Laws, CRS Press LLC, Florida (2000)
- [29] P.J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1993)
- [30] M.O Ribas, H.O. Bonato, C.P. Constantinidis and F.P. Devecchi, Phys. Scripta 99, 085033 (2024)
- [31] E. Noether, Invariante Variationsprobleme, Koniglich Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften Gottingen Nachrichten Mathematik-physik Klasse 2, 235 (1918)
- [32] G.W. Bluman and S. Kumei, Symmetries and Differential Equations, Springer: New York, (1989).
- [33] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach and B.E. Schwarzbach, The Noether Theorems: Invariance and Conservation Laws in the Twentieth Century, Springer New York, New York (2011)
- [34] N. Dimakis, A. Karagiorgos, A. Zampeli, A. Paliathanasis, T. Christodoulakis and P.A. Terzis, Phys. Rev. D 93, 123518 (2018)