

REVISIT HAMILTONIAN S^1 -MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSION 6 WITH 4 FIXED POINTS

HUI LI

ABSTRACT. If the circle acts in a Hamiltonian way on a compact symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$, then there are at least $n + 1$ fixed points. The case that there are exactly $n + 1$ isolated fixed points has its importance due to various reasons. Besides dimension 2 with 2 fixed points, and dimension 4 with 3 fixed points, which are known, the next interesting case is dimension 6 with 4 fixed points, for which the integral cohomology ring and the total Chern class of the manifold, and the sets of weights of the circle action at the fixed points are classified by Tolman. In this note, we use a new different argument to prove Tolman's results for the dimension 6 with 4 fixed points case. We observe that the integral cohomology ring of the manifold has a nice basis in terms of the moment map values of the fixed points, and the largest weight between two fixed points is nicely related to the first Chern class of the manifold. We will use these ingredients to determine the sets of weights of the circle action at the fixed points, and moreover to determine the global invariants the integral cohomology ring and total Chern class of the manifold. The idea allows a direct approach of the problem, and the argument is short and easy to follow.

1. INTRODUCTION

If the circle acts on a compact $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map ϕ , then the fixed point set M^{S^1} contains at least $n + 1$ points. If M^{S^1} consists of exactly $n + 1$ points, we call the action has *minimal* isolated fixed points. When $\dim(M) = 2$ with 2 fixed points, then M can only be S^2 . When $\dim(M) = 4$ with 3 fixed points, then M is S^1 -equivariantly symplectomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (see e.g. [6] or [3]). Tolman studies the case when $\dim(M) = 6$ and M^{S^1} consists of 4 points ([12]). Part of the motivation of the work comes from the classical Petrie's conjecture in the symplectic category. She classifies the integral cohomology ring and the total Chern class of the manifold, and the sets of weights of the circle action at the fixed points. After the work in dimension 6, it comes the work in dimension 8 ([2, 5]), in dimension 10 ([9]), and in dimension $2n$ with large first Chern classes ([8]). Now, for the purpose of other studies for dimension 6, we try to look at the case of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points again, in a point of view that this note presents, and reprove Tolman's results. Note that to have 4 fixed points in dimension 6, we only need to restrict the second Betti number $b_2(M) = 1$, then $b_4(M) = 1$ by Poincaré duality, and $b_0(M) = b_6(M) = 1$ naturally holds. Tolman also considers nonisolated fixed points for the case $b_2(M) = 1$. In this note, we restrict to isolated fixed points.

Key words and phrases. Symplectic manifold, Hamiltonian circle action, equivariant cohomology, Chern classes.

2020 classification. 53D05, 53D20, 55N25, 57R20.

Now we concentrate on $\dim(M) = 6$ and M^{S^1} consists of 4 points, i.e., $M^{S^1} = \{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$. By [12] or [8] and Lemma 2.2, for each $0 \leq i \leq 3$, P_i has Morse index $2i$ for the Morse function ϕ , and

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(P_0) < \phi(P_1) < \phi(P_2) < \phi(P_3).$$

Moreover, $H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ as groups for each $0 \leq i \leq 3$. If $[\omega]$ is an integral cohomology class, then

$$\phi(P_i) - \phi(P_j) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i, j.$$

At each fixed point P_i , a neighborhood of P_i is S^1 -equivariantly diffeomorphic to a neighborhood of the tangent space $T_{P_i}M$ on which S^1 acts linearly by

$$\lambda \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\lambda^{w_{i1}} z_1, \dots, \lambda^{w_{in}} z_n),$$

where $\lambda \in S^1$ and $w_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The integers w_{ij} 's are well defined, and are called the *weights* of the S^1 -action at P_i . For the case of *minimal* isolated fixed points, we observe that the equivariant and ordinary cohomology rings of the manifold have nice simple basis in terms of the moment map values of the fixed points. For certain cases of isolated fixed points, the largest weight between two fixed points is nicely related to the first Chern class of the manifold. If we use these ingredients in the determination of the weights at the fixed points, then we find that the weights can be determined in a straight forward way. Moreover, we use the above mentioned basis of equivariant and ordinary cohomology rings of the manifold in terms of the moment map values of the fixed points to determine the global invariants, the integral cohomology ring and the total Chern class of the manifold. Tolman uses a different basis in [12]. Our proofs lie in the use of Lemmas 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, and Lemma 4.2. These observations show the strong link between the local data — weights at the fixed points and moment map values of the fixed points, and the global intrinsic invariants — the integral cohomology ring and the Chern classes of the manifold.

For a larger category, namely circle actions on an almost complex manifold M of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points, Ahara [1], and Jang [4] classifies the sets of weights at the fixed points, where Ahara restricts to consider the case that $\text{Todd}(M) = 1$ and $c_1^3[M] \neq 0$. Here, for Hamiltonian circle actions on symplectic manifolds, besides the sets of weights at the fixed points, we also determine the two global invariants, the integral cohomology ring and the total Chern class of the manifold, and show the close relations between the local data related to the circle action and the two global topological and geometric invariants.

The results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, ω) be a compact effective Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 and moment map ϕ . Then there are 4 types of integral cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$, total Chern class $c(M)$, and the sets of weights at the fixed points as follows.*

$$(1a) \quad H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/x^4, \quad c(M) = (1+x)^4.$$

$$P_0: \{a, a+b, a+b+c\}, \quad P_3: \{-a-b-c, -c-b, -c\},$$

$$P_1: \{-a, b, b+c\}, \quad P_2: \{-a-b, -b, c\}.$$

In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = a$, $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b$ and $\phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = c$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. These data are the same as those of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ with a standard circle action.

$$(1b) \ H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 2y, y^2), \ c(M) = 1 + 3x + 8y + 4xy.$$

$$P_0: \left\{ a, a + b, \frac{1}{2}(2a + b) \right\}, \ P_3: \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(2a + b), -a - b, -a \right\},$$

$$P_1: \left\{ -a, \frac{1}{2}b, a + b \right\}, \ P_2: \left\{ -a - b, -\frac{1}{2}b, a \right\}.$$

In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = a$ and $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. These data are the same as those of $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^5)$ with a standard circle action.

$$(2a) \ H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 5y, y^2), \ c(M) = 1 + 2x + 12y + 4xy.$$

$$P_0: \{1, 2, 3\}, \ P_3: \{-1, -2, -3\},$$

$$P_1: \{-1, 4, 1\}, \ P_2: \{-1, -4, 1\}.$$

In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = 1$ and $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = 4$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. These data are the same as those of V_5 with a circle action.

$$(2b) \ H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 22y, y^2), \ c(M) = 1 + x + 24y + 4xy.$$

$$P_0: \{1, 2, 3\}, \ P_3: \{-1, -2, -3\},$$

$$P_1: \{-1, 5, 1\}, \ P_2: \{-1, -5, 1\}.$$

In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = 1$ and $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = 10$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. These data are the same as those of V_{22} with a circle action.

Here, $\deg(x) = 2$ and $\deg(y) = 4$.

Theorem 1 follows from Theorems 4.1, 4.3, 3.3, and 3.4, Proposition 3.1, and Lemma 3.2.

For self completeness, we now give the examples $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^5)$, corresponding to (1a) and (1b).

Example 1.2. Consider $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ with the S^1 -action:

$$\lambda \cdot [z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3] = [z_0, \lambda^a z_1, \lambda^{a+b} z_2, \lambda^{a+b+c} z_3],$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$. This action has 4 fixed points, $P_0 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$, $P_1 = [0, 1, 0, 0]$, $P_2 = [0, 0, 1, 0]$ and $P_3 = [0, 0, 0, 1]$, respectively with moment map values 0, a , $a + b$ and $a + b + c$.

Example 1.3. Let $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^5)$ be the Grassmanian of oriented 2-planes in \mathbb{R}^5 , and let the S^1 -action on $\tilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^5)$ be induced from the S^1 -action on \mathbb{R}^5 given by

$$\lambda \cdot (t, z_0, z_1) = (t, \lambda^{a+\frac{b}{2}} z_0, \lambda^{\frac{b}{2}} z_1),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in 2\mathbb{N}$. This action has 4 fixed points, P_0 , P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 , where P_0 and P_3 are the plane $(0, z_0, 0)$ with two different orientations, and P_1 and P_2 are the 2-plane $(0, 0, z_1)$ with two different orientations. The moment map values of the fixed points are respectively $-a - \frac{b}{2}$, $-\frac{b}{2}$, $\frac{b}{2}$, and $a + \frac{b}{2}$.

Cases (2a) and (2b) in the theorem exist by McDuff — in [11], she constructs them and shows that they are unique up to S^1 -equivariant symplectomorphism. We take the names V_5 and V_{22} from [11].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this part, we summarize the main tools we will use in our proof.

Let M be a smooth S^1 -manifold. The **equivariant cohomology** of M in a coefficient ring R is $H_{S^1}^*(M; R) = H^*(S^\infty \times_{S^1} M; R)$, where S^1 acts on S^∞ freely. If p is a point, then $H_{S^1}^*(p; R) = H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty; R) = R[t]$, where $t \in H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty; R)$ is a generator. If S^1 acts on M trivially, then $H_{S^1}^*(M; R) = H^*(M; R) \otimes R[t] = H^*(M; R)[t]$. The projection map $\pi: S^\infty \times_{S^1} M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ induces a pull back map $\pi^*: H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \rightarrow H_{S^1}^*(M)$, so that $H_{S^1}^*(M)$ is an $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ -module.

First we have an equivariant extension of the symplectic class $[\omega]$ for a Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold:

Lemma 2.1. [10] *Let the circle act on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists $\tilde{u} = [\omega - \phi t] \in H_{S^1}^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ such that for any fixed point set component F ,*

$$\tilde{u}|_F = [\omega|_F] - \phi(F)t.$$

If $[\omega]$ is an integral class, then \tilde{u} is an integral class.

For an S^1 -manifold M , when there exists a finite stabilizer group $\mathbb{Z}_k \subset S^1$ with $k > 1$, the set of points, $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$, which is pointwise fixed by \mathbb{Z}_k but not pointwise fixed by S^1 , is called a \mathbb{Z}_k -**isotropy submanifold**.

Lemma 2.2. [8] *Let the circle act on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $[\omega]$ is an integral class. Then for any two fixed point set components F and F' , $\phi(F) - \phi(F') \in \mathbb{Z}$. If \mathbb{Z}_k is the stabilizer group of some point on M , then for any two fixed point set components F and F' on the same connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$, we have $k | (\phi(F') - \phi(F))$.*

For a compact Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension $2n$ with $n + 1$ fixed points $M^{S^1} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and moment map ϕ , we have ([12, 8]):

$$\phi(P_0) < \phi(P_1) < \dots < \phi(P_n).$$

The equivariant and ordinary cohomology of such a space have the following basis:

Proposition 2.3. [7, 13] *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $M^{S^1} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$. Then as an $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$ -module, $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has a basis $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i \in H_{S^1}^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that*

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i|_{P_i} = \Lambda_i^- t^i, \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha}_i|_{P_j} = 0, \forall j < i,$$

where Λ_i^- is the product of the negative weights at P_i . Moreover, $\{\alpha_i = r(\tilde{\alpha}_i) \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a basis for $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$, where $r: H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is the natural restriction map.

A direct corollary of Proposition 2.3 is:

Corollary 2.4. *Let the circle act on a compact $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $M^{S^1} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$. If $\tilde{\alpha} \in H_{S^1}^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a class such that $\tilde{\alpha}|_{P_j} = 0$ for all $j < i$, then*

$$\tilde{\alpha} = a_i \tilde{\alpha}_i \text{ for some } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using these results, we obtain a basis of the ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$:

Lemma 2.5. [9] *Let (M, ω) be a compact $2n$ -dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume $M^{S^1} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and $[\omega]$ is a primitive integral class. Then the integral cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the following $\alpha_i \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$'s for $0 \leq i \leq n$:*

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\Lambda_i^-}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i))} [\omega]^i,$$

where Λ_i^- is the product of the negative weights at P_i .

The proof of Lemma 2.5 goes as follows. Using the basis $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ of $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Proposition 2.3, by Corollary 2.4, we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t) = a_i \tilde{\alpha}_i \text{ for some } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Restricting it to P_i , we get

$$\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\phi(P_j) - \phi(P_i)) = a_i \Lambda_i^-.$$

Restricting it to ordinary cohomology, we get

$$[\omega]^i = a_i \alpha_i.$$

The 2 equalities above give the result for the generator α_i . Then the Lemma follows from Proposition 2.3 on the ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

Next, we give 2 ways of representing the first Chern class of the manifold.

Lemma 2.6. [8] *Let the circle act on a connected compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $c_1(M) = k[\omega]$, then for any two fixed point set components F and F' , we have*

$$\Gamma_F - \Gamma_{F'} = k(\phi(F') - \phi(F)),$$

where Γ_F and $\Gamma_{F'}$ are respectively the sums of the weights at F and F' .

In a symplectic S^1 -manifold (M, ω) with isolated fixed points, if $w > 0$ is a weight at a fixed point P , $-w$ is a weight at a fixed point Q , and P and Q are on the same connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_w}$, we say that w is a **weight from P to Q** . When the signs of w at P and at Q are clear, we will also say that **there is a weight w or $-w$ between P and Q** , or w or $-w$ is a **weight between P and Q** .

Lemma 2.7. [8] *Let the circle act on a connected compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with moment map $\phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume M^{S^1} consists of isolated points. Let $P, Q \in M^{S^1}$ with $P \neq Q$, where $(P) = 2i$ and $(Q) = 2j$ with $i \leq j$. Assume there is a weight $w > 0$ from P to Q , $-w$ is not a weight at P , $-w$ has multiplicity 1 at Q , and w is the largest among the absolute values of all the weights at P and Q . If $c_1(M) = k[\omega]$, then*

$$j - i + 1 = k \frac{\phi(Q) - \phi(P)}{w}.$$




FIGURE 1. Three types for the weight relations at the fixed points

Note that in Lemma 2.7, if $[\omega]$ is an integral class, then $\frac{\phi(Q) - \phi(P)}{w} \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $w \mid (\phi(Q) - \phi(P))$ by Lemma 2.2.

In our proof of determining the sets of weights at the fixed points, we mainly use Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, this is our new idea and method of proofs. In determining the integral cohomology ring and total Chern class of the manifold, we primarily use Proposition 2.3, Corollary 2.4 and Lemma 4.2. Other things we will use are the following results.

Lemma 2.8. [5] *Let the circle act on a closed $2n$ -dimensional almost complex manifold with isolated fixed points. Let w be the smallest positive weight that occurs at the fixed points on M . Then given any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, the number of times the weight $-w$ occurs at fixed points of index $2k+2$ is equal to the number of times the weight $+w$ occurs at fixed points of index $2k$.*

Lemma 2.9. [12] *Let the circle act on a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Let P and Q be fixed points which lie on the same connected component of $M^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ for some $k > 1$. Then the weights of the S^1 -action at P and Q are equal modulo k .*

3. DETERMINING THE SETS OF WEIGHTS AT THE FIXED POINTS

In this part, we determine the sets of weights of the circle action at all the fixed points.

First, we look at the possible connections of the weights at the fixed points.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 . Then there are 3 types of weight relations as in Figure 1.*

- (1) *There is exactly one weight between any pair of fixed points.*
- (2) *There is one weight between P_0 and P_1 , one weight between P_2 and P_3 , 2 weights between P_1 and P_2 , and 2 weights between P_0 and P_3 .*
- (3) *There is one weight between P_0 and P_1 , one weight between P_2 and P_3 , 2 weights between P_0 and P_2 , and 2 weights between P_1 and P_3 .*

Proof. Let ϕ be the moment map. Let $-a$ be the negative weight at P_1 . Then $M^{\mathbb{Z}_a}$ containing P_1 is compact and symplectic (Hamiltonian), and P_1 has index 2 in it, so there is a fixed point of index 0 below P_1 (relative to ϕ) in $M^{\mathbb{Z}_a}$, this fixed point can only be P_0 . So there is a weight a between P_0 and P_1 . Similarly, using $-\phi$, we

know that there is a weight between P_2 and P_3 . There are 2 negative weights at P_2 , for each negative weight at P_2 , we consider similarly the corresponding isotropy submanifold as above, we can see that there are 3 possibilities:

- (1) There is a weight between P_0 and P_2 , and a weight between P_1 and P_2 .
- (2) There are 2 weights between P_1 and P_2 .
- (3) There are 2 weights between P_0 and P_2 .

For each case, consider the fact that there are 3 weights at each fixed point and the index of the fixed points, we see that the weight relation can only be as stated in the proposition. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 . Then (3) in Proposition 3.1 is not possible.*

Proof. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, with no loss of generality, assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. Let ϕ be the moment map, by (1.1), we let

$$\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = c \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2.5 for $\alpha_1 = [\omega]$, we know that the weight between P_0 and P_1 is a ; similarly, using $-\phi$, we get that the weight between P_2 and P_3 is c . By (3) of Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.2, we can write the sets of negative weights P_i^- for P_i , where $i = 1, 2, 3$, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^- &: \{-a\}. \\ P_2^- &: \left\{ -\frac{a+b}{m_1}, -\frac{a+b}{m_2} \right\} \text{ for some } m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \\ P_3^- &: \left\{ -c, -\frac{b+c}{l_1}, -\frac{b+c}{l_2} \right\} \text{ for some } l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\alpha_1 = [\omega], \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \frac{a+b}{b} [\omega]^2, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} \frac{b+c}{a+b+c} [\omega]^3.$$

By Poincaré duality, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$. So

$$l_1 l_2 (a+b)(a+b+c) = m_1 m_2 b(b+c).$$

Similarly, using $-\phi$, we get

$$m_1 m_2 (c+b)(a+b+c) = l_1 l_2 b(b+a).$$

The two equalities give a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M, ω) be a compact Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 and moment map ϕ . Then the sets of weights at the fixed points in (1) of Proposition 3.1 have 2 cases:*

- (1a) $P_0: \{a, a+b, a+b+c\}$, $P_1: \{-a, b, b+c\}$, $P_2: \{-a-b, -b, c\}$, $P_3: \{-a-b-c, -c-b, -c\}$. In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = a$, $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b$ and $\phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = c$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. This case is the same as those of a circle action on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$.
- (1b) $P_0: \{a, a+b, \frac{2a+b}{2}\}$, $P_1: \{-a, \frac{b}{2}, a+b\}$, $P_2: \{-a-b, -\frac{b}{2}, a\}$, $P_3: \{-\frac{2a+b}{2}, -a-b, -a\}$. In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = a$ and $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. This case is the same as those of a circle action on $\widetilde{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^5)$.

Proof. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, with no loss of generality, assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral, and by (1.1), let

$$\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = c \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2.5 for $\alpha_1 = [\omega]$, we get that the weight between P_0 and P_1 is a ; similarly, using $-\phi$, we get that the weight between P_2 and P_3 is c . By (1) of Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.2, the set of negative weights P_i^- at P_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are:

$$P_1^- : \{-a\}.$$

$$P_2^- : \left\{ -\frac{a+b}{l_1}, -\frac{b}{l_2} \right\} \text{ for some } l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$P_3^- : \left\{ -\frac{a+b+c}{m_2}, -\frac{b+c}{m_1}, -c \right\} \text{ for some } m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\alpha_1 = [\omega], \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} [\omega]^2, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} [\omega]^3.$$

By Poincaré duality, $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$. So

$$m_1 m_2 = l_1 l_2.$$

Similarly, using $-\phi$, we get

$$l_1 m_2 = m_1 l_2.$$

So

$$m_1 = l_1 \text{ and } m_2 = l_2.$$

Then we can write the sets of weights at the fixed points only using m_1 and m_2 as follows:

$$P_0 : \left\{ a, \frac{a+b}{m_1}, \frac{a+b+c}{m_2} \right\}, \quad P_3 : \left\{ -\frac{a+b+c}{m_2}, -\frac{b+c}{m_1}, -c \right\},$$

$$P_1 : \left\{ -a, \frac{b}{m_2}, \frac{b+c}{m_1} \right\}, \quad P_2 : \left\{ -\frac{a+b}{m_1}, -\frac{b}{m_2}, c \right\}.$$

Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $c_1(M) = k[\omega]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_3}{a+b+c} = \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{b},$$

where Γ_i is the sum of weights at P_i , from which we get

$$m_1 = 1.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we also have

$$\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1}{a} = \frac{\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3}{c},$$

from which we get

$$a = c \text{ or } m_2 = m_1.$$

If $m_2 = m_1 = 1$, then we get the sets of weights at the fixed points as in Case (1a). Next, assume $a = c$ and $m_2 \geq 2$. Then $a+b = \phi(P_2) - \phi(P_0)$ is the largest weight on M , is between P_0 and P_2 (and is between P_1 and P_3). By Lemma 2.7, we get

$$c_1(M) = 3[\omega].$$

Then Lemma 2.6 gives

$$\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1 = 3a,$$

from which we get

$$2 + \frac{2}{m_2} = 3.$$

So

$$m_2 = 2.$$

In this case we get the sets of weights at the fixed points as in Case (1b). \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let (M, ω) be a compact effective Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 and moment map ϕ . Then the sets of weights at the fixed points in Case (2) of Proposition 3.1 have 2 possibilities:*

- (2a) $P_0: \{1, 2, 3\}, P_1: \{-1, 1, 4\}, P_2: \{-1, -4, 1\}, P_3: \{-1, -2, -3\}$. In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = 1$ and $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = 4$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral.
- (2b) $P_0: \{1, 2, 3\}, P_1: \{-1, 1, 5\}, P_2: \{-1, -5, 1\}, P_3: \{-1, -2, -3\}$. In this case $\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = 1$ and $\phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = 10$ if $[\omega]$ is primitive integral.

Proof. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, with no loss of generality, assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral, and by (1.1), let

$$\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = c \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2.5 for $\alpha_1 = [\omega]$, we know that the weight between P_0 and P_1 is a ; similarly, the weight between P_2 and P_3 is c . By (2) of Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.2, let the 2 weights between P_0 and P_3 be

$$\frac{a+b+c}{m_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a+b+c}{m_2}, \quad \text{with } m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the 2 weights between P_1 and P_2 be

$$\frac{b}{l_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b}{l_2}, \quad \text{with } l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\alpha_1 = [\omega], \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} \frac{b}{a+b}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \frac{a+b+c}{b+c}.$$

Poincaré duality $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ yields

$$m_1 m_2 b (b+c) = l_1 l_2 (a+b)(a+b+c).$$

Similarly, using $-\phi$, we get

$$m_1 m_2 b (b+a) = l_1 l_2 (c+b)(a+b+c).$$

Hence

$$a = c,$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad m_1 m_2 b = l_1 l_2 (2a+b).$$

Then we can write the sets of weights at the fixed points below:

$$P_0: \left\{ a, \frac{2a+b}{m_1}, \frac{2a+b}{m_2} \right\}, \quad P_3: \left\{ -\frac{2a+b}{m_1}, -\frac{2a+b}{m_2}, -a \right\},$$

$$P_1: \left\{ -a, \frac{b}{l_1}, \frac{b}{l_2} \right\}, \quad P_2: \left\{ -\frac{b}{l_1}, -\frac{b}{l_2}, a \right\}.$$

If $\gcd\left(\frac{2a+b}{m_1}, \frac{2a+b}{m_2}\right) = m > 1$, by effectiveness of the action, $m \nmid a$, then $M^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ is a 4-dimensional symplectic manifold with 2 fixed points P_0 and P_3 , a contradiction. Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \gcd\left(\frac{2a+b}{m_1}, \frac{2a+b}{m_2}\right) = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$(3.7) \quad \gcd\left(\frac{b}{l_1}, \frac{b}{l_2}\right) = 1.$$

If $\frac{2a+b}{m_1} = \frac{2a+b}{m_2} = 1$, then this contradicts to Lemma 2.8 on the smallest weight. Together with (3.6), with no loss of generality, assume

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{2a+b}{m_1} < \frac{2a+b}{m_2}.$$

With no loss of generality, assume

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{b}{l_1} \leq \frac{b}{l_2}.$$

Since $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $c_1(M) = k[\omega]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Lemma 2.6 for P_1 and P_2 , and for P_0 and P_3 , we get

$$(3.10) \quad -2a + \frac{2b}{l_1} + \frac{2b}{l_2} = kb,$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad 2a + 2\frac{2a+b}{m_1} + 2\frac{2a+b}{m_2} = k(2a+b).$$

First we show that a cannot be the largest weight on M . Assume instead that $a \geq \frac{b}{l_2}$ and $a \geq \frac{2a+b}{m_2}$. Then $a > 1$ by (3.8). Then the smallest weight on M is $\frac{2a+b}{m_1}$ or $\frac{b}{l_1}$. If the smallest weight is $\frac{2a+b}{m_1}$, then it contradicts to Lemma 2.8. If the smallest weight on M is $\frac{b}{l_1}$, by effectiveness of the action at P_1 , we have $a > \frac{b}{l_1}$. By Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\{\text{weights at } P_0\} = \{\text{weights at } P_1\} \pmod{a},$$

so we must have $\frac{b}{l_1} = \frac{2a+b}{m_1}$, again it contradicts to Lemma 2.8.

We next show that

$$(3.12) \quad a < \frac{b}{l_2}.$$

Assume instead that $a \geq \frac{b}{l_2}$. Since a is not the largest weight on M , we have

$$(3.13) \quad a < \frac{2a+b}{m_2}.$$

So $\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ has multiplicity 1 at P_0 and $-\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ has multiplicity 1 at P_3 , $\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ is the largest weight at P_0 and P_3 and is between P_0 and P_3 . If $m_2 = 1$, then by (3.6), $\frac{2a+b}{m_1} = 1$ is the smallest weight on M , is from P_0 to P_3 , contradicting to Lemma 2.8. Hence $m_2 \geq 2$. Then by Lemma 2.7 for P_0 and P_3 , we have 2 possibilities:

- (i) $c_1(M) = 2[\omega]$ and $m_2 = 2$.
- (ii) $c_1(M) = [\omega]$ and $m_2 = 4$.

First consider (i). Then (3.10) gives

$$a - \frac{b}{l_2} = \frac{b}{l_1} - b.$$

The left hand side is ≥ 0 and the right hand side is ≤ 0 . If $l_1 > 1$, this is a contradiction. If $l_1 = 1$, then $l_2 = 1$ by (3.9) and then $a = b$. By effectiveness of the action at P_1 , we get $a = b = 1$, then $\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ with $m_2 = 2$ is not an integer, a contradiction. Now consider (ii). Then (3.10) gives

$$a - \frac{b}{l_2} = \frac{b}{l_1} - \frac{b}{2}.$$

If $l_1 > 2$, then this is not possible. If $l_1 = 2$, then $a = \frac{b}{l_2}$. By (3.9), $l_2 = 2$ or $l_2 = 1$. Then $a = b/2$ or $a = b$. When $l_1 = l_2 = 2$ and $a = b/2$, by effectiveness of the action at P_1 , we get $a = 1$ and $b = 2$, then (3.13) with $m_2 = 4$ give a contradiction. When $l_1 = 2$, $l_2 = 1$, and $a = b$, by effectiveness of the action at P_1 , we get $a = b = 2$, then $\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ with $m_2 = 4$ is not an integer, a contradiction.

The argument above shows that (3.12) holds. So $\frac{b}{l_2} > 1$. By (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$\frac{b}{l_1} < \frac{b}{l_2}.$$

Now $\frac{b}{l_2}$ is the largest weight at P_1 and P_2 , and is between P_1 and P_2 . By Lemma 2.9,

$$\{\text{weights at } P_1\} = \{\text{weights at } P_2\} \pmod{\frac{b}{l_2}}.$$

So either $2a = \frac{b}{l_2}$ and $2\frac{b}{l_1} = \frac{b}{l_2}$, or $\pm a = \pm \frac{b}{l_1}$. In either case, we have

$$(3.14) \quad b = l_1 a.$$

Now (3.14) gives $a = \frac{b}{l_1}$. If $a \geq \frac{2a+b}{m_2}$, then the smallest weight on M can only be $\frac{2a+b}{m_1}$, contradicting to Lemma 2.8. So

$$a < \frac{2a+b}{m_2}.$$

Since $\frac{b}{l_2}$ is the largest weight at P_1 and P_2 , and it is between P_1 and P_2 , by Lemma 2.7, we have 2 possibilities:

- (i') $c_1(M) = 2[\omega]$ and $l_2 = 1$.
- (ii') $c_1(M) = [\omega]$ and $l_2 = 2$.

First consider Case (i'). Since $\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ is the largest weight at P_0 and P_3 , and it is between P_0 and P_3 , by Lemma 2.7 for P_0 and P_3 and the fact $c_1(M) = 2[\omega]$, we get

$$(3.15) \quad m_2 = 2.$$

Equation (3.11) now is

$$(3.16) \quad a + \frac{2a+b}{m_1} + \frac{2a+b}{m_2} = 2a+b.$$

By (3.5), (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), and the fact that $l_2 = 1$, we get

$$m_1 = 3, \text{ and } l_1 = 4.$$

Now the set of weights at P_1 is $\{-a, a, 4a\}$; by effectiveness of the action, we get $a = 1$. By (3.14), we get

$$b = 4.$$

The data above give us the sets of weights at the fixed points as in (2a).

Consider Case (ii'). Since $\frac{2a+b}{m_2}$ is the largest weight at P_0 and P_3 , and is between P_0 and P_3 , by Lemma 2.7 for P_0 and P_3 and the fact $c_1(M) = [\omega]$, we get

$$(3.17) \quad m_2 = 4.$$

Equation (3.11) now is

$$(3.18) \quad a + \frac{2a+b}{m_1} + \frac{2a+b}{m_2} = \frac{2a+b}{2}.$$

By (3.5), (3.14), (3.17), (3.18), and the fact that $l_2 = 2$, we get

$$m_1 = 6 \text{ and } l_1 = 10.$$

Then the set of weights at P_1 is $\{-a, a, 5a\}$; by effectiveness of the action, we get

$$a = 1.$$

Then by (3.14),

$$b = 10.$$

The data above give us the sets of weights at the fixed points as in (2b). \square

4. DETERMINING THE RING $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ AND THE TOTAL CHERN CLASS $c(M)$

In this section, we determine the integral cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and the total Chern class $c(M)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M, ω) be a compact effective Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 . Then $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has the following 4 types, corresponding to (1a), (1b), (2a) and (2b) in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4.*

- (1a) $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x]/x^4.$
- (1b) $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 2y, y^2).$
- (2a) $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 5y, y^2).$
- (2b) $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 - 22y, y^2).$

Here, $\deg(x) = 2$ and $\deg(y) = 4$.

Proof. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, with no loss of generality, assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. Let ϕ be the moment map, and by (1.1) let

$$\phi(P_1) - \phi(P_0) = a \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_2) - \phi(P_1) = b \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \phi(P_3) - \phi(P_2) = c \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For (1a), by (1a) in Theorem 3.3 on the set of weights at P_3 , and by Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\alpha_3 = [\omega]^3 \in H^6(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

is a generator. Since $\alpha_1 = [\omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, by Poincaré duality, $\alpha_2 = [\omega]^2 \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a generator. By Lemma 2.5, $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$1, [\omega], [\omega]^2, [\omega]^3.$$

Let $[\omega] = x$, we get the stated ring for (1a).

For (1b), by (1b) in Theorem 3.3 on the set of weights at P_3 with $a = c$, and by Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}[\omega]^3 \in H^6(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

is a generator. Since $\alpha_1 = [\omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, by Poincaré duality, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}[\omega]^2 \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a generator. By Lemma 2.5, $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$1, [\omega], \frac{1}{2}[\omega]^2, \frac{1}{2}[\omega]^3.$$

Let $[\omega] = x$ and $\frac{1}{2}[\omega]^2 = y$, then $x^2 = 2y$ and we get the stated ring for (1b).

Similarly, for (2a), by (2a) in Theorem 3.4 on the sets of weights at the fixed points with $a = c = 1$ and $b = 4$, and by Lemma 2.5, we get that $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$1, [\omega], \frac{1}{5}[\omega]^2, \frac{1}{5}[\omega]^3.$$

Let $[\omega] = x$ and $\frac{1}{5}[\omega]^2 = y$, then $x^2 = 5y$ and we get the stated ring for (2a).

Similarly, for (2b), by (2b) in Theorem 3.4 on the sets of weights at the fixed points with $a = c = 1$ and $b = 10$, and by Lemma 2.5, we get that $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$1, [\omega], \frac{1}{22}[\omega]^2, \frac{1}{22}[\omega]^3.$$

Let $[\omega] = x$ and $\frac{1}{22}[\omega]^2 = y$, then $x^2 = 22y$ and we get the stated ring for (2b). \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let (M, ω) be a compact effective Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 , and with moment map ϕ . Assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. Then the equivariant cohomology $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as an $H^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty; \mathbb{Z})$ module has the following 4 types of basis, corresponding to (1a), (1b), (2a) and (2b) in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, where \tilde{u} is as in Lemma 2.1.*

- (1a) $1, \tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{u} + \phi(P_0)t, \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \prod_{j=0}^1 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t), \tilde{\alpha}_3 = \prod_{j=0}^2 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t).$
- (1b) $1, \tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{u} + \phi(P_0)t, \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=0}^1 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t), \tilde{\alpha}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=0}^2 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t).$
- (2a) $1, \tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{u} + \phi(P_0)t, \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{5} \prod_{j=0}^1 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t), \tilde{\alpha}_3 = \frac{1}{5} \prod_{j=0}^2 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t).$
- (2b) $1, \tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{u} + \phi(P_0)t, \tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{22} \prod_{j=0}^1 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t), \tilde{\alpha}_3 = \frac{1}{22} \prod_{j=0}^2 (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t).$

Proof. Let $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$ be the basis of $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Proposition 2.3. By Corollary 2.4,

$$\prod_{j < i} (\tilde{u} + \phi(P_j)t) = a_i \tilde{\alpha}_i \text{ with } a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Restricting this to ordinary cohomology, we get

$$[\omega]^i = a_i \alpha_i.$$

By Theorem 4.1, for each case (1a), (1b), (2a) and (2b), we know the relation of α_i with $[\omega]^i$, so we know a_i . Then plugging in the a_i to the identity on $\tilde{\alpha}_i$, we get the results. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let (M, ω) be a compact effective Hamiltonian S^1 -manifold of dimension 6 with 4 fixed points $P_0, P_1, P_2,$ and P_3 . Then the total Chern class $c(M)$ has the following 4 types, corresponding to (1a), (1b), (2a) and (2b) in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4.*

- (1a) $c(M) = (1 + x)^4.$
- (1b) $c(M) = 1 + 3x + 8y + 4xy.$
- (2a) $c(M) = 1 + 2x + 12y + 4xy.$
- (2b) $c(M) = 1 + x + 24y + 4xy.$

Here, $\deg(x) = 2$ and $\deg(y) = 4$.

Proof. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, with no loss of generality, assume $[\omega]$ is primitive integral. Using the basis of $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and the degree of $c_1^{S^1}(M)$, we can write

$$c_1^{S^1}(M) = a_0 t + a_1 \tilde{\alpha}_1, \text{ where } a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Restricting it to P_0 and P_1 respectively, we get

$$c_1^{S^1}(M) = \Gamma_0 t + \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_0}{\Lambda_1^-} \tilde{\alpha}_1,$$

where Γ_i is the sum of weights at P_i , and Λ_1^- is the negative weight at P_1 . Restricting it to ordinary cohomology, we get

$$c_1(M) = \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_0}{\Lambda_1^-} \alpha_1.$$

Similarly, we can write

$$c_2^{S^1}(M) = b_0 t^2 + b_1 t \tilde{\alpha}_1 + b_2 \tilde{\alpha}_2.$$

Respectively restricting it to P_0, P_1 and P_2 , we get

$$c_2^{S^1}(M) = (\sigma_2(P_0))t^2 + \frac{\sigma_2(P_1) - \sigma_2(P_0)}{\Lambda_1^-} t \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \frac{\sigma_2(P_2) - \sigma_2(P_0) - \frac{\sigma_2(P_1) - \sigma_2(P_0)}{\Lambda_1^-} (\tilde{\alpha}_1(P_2))}{\Lambda_2^-} \tilde{\alpha}_2.$$

Here, $\sigma(P_i)$ is the degree 2 symmetric polynomial in the weights at P_i , and Λ_2^- is the product of the negative weights at P_2 . Restricting it to ordinary cohomology, we get

$$c_2(M) = \frac{\sigma_2(P_2) - \sigma_2(P_0) - \frac{\sigma_2(P_1) - \sigma_2(P_0)}{\Lambda_1^-} (\tilde{\alpha}_1(P_2))}{\Lambda_2^-} \alpha_2.$$

Since the top Chern number is equal to the number of fixed points, we have

$$c_3(M) = 4\alpha_3.$$

For each case, using the basis of $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ as in Lemma 4.2, the sets of weights in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, and the ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ in Theorem 4.1, we can obtain the results by computing $c_1(M)$ and $c_2(M)$. For example, for (1a), we get

$$c(M) = 1 + 4\alpha_1 + 6\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3.$$

By (1a) of Theorem 4.1, we have $\alpha_1 = x$, $\alpha_2 = x^2$, $\alpha_3 = x^3$. So $c(M)$ for (1a) follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ahara, *6-dimensional almost complex S^1 -manifolds with $\chi(M) = 4$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 38(1), 47-72 (1991).
- [2] L. Godinho and S. Sabatini, *New tools for classifying Hamiltonian circle actions with isolated fixed points*, Foundations in Computational Mathematics, 14(2014), 791-860.
- [3] D. Jang, *Symplectic periodic flows with exactly three equilibrium points*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. (2014), **34**, 1930-1963.
- [4] D. Jang, *Circle actions on almost complex manifolds with 4 fixed points*, Math. Z. (2020) 294:287-319.
- [5] D. Jang and S. Tolman, *Hamiltonian circle actions on eight dimensional manifolds with minimal fixed sets*, Transformation groups, vol. **22**, no. 2, 2017, 353-359.
- [6] Y. Karshon, *Periodic Hamiltonian flows on four dimensional manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **672**, 1999.
- [7] F. Kirwan, *Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1984.

- [8] H. Li, *Hamiltonian circle actions with minimal isolated fixed points*, Math. Z., 2023, 304:33.
- [9] H. Li, *Hamiltonian S^1 -manifolds which are like a coadjoint orbit of G_2* , arXiv:2403.01825v3.
- [10] H. Li and S. Tolman, *Hamiltonian circle actions with minimal fixed sets*, International Journal of Mathematics **23**, no. 8 (2012), 1250071.
- [11] D. McDuff, *Some 6-dimensional Hamiltonian S^1 manifolds*, J. of Topology, **2** (2009), no. 3, 589-623.
- [12] S. Tolman, *On a symplectic generalization of Petrie's conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **362** (2010), 3963-3996.
- [13] S. Tolman and J. Weitsman, *The cohomology rings of symplectic quotients*, Comm. Anal. Geom., **11** (2003), no. 4, 751-773.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, SUZHOU, 215006, CHINA.
Email address: hui.li@suda.edu.cn