

Compact Neural Network Algorithm for Electrocardiogram Classification

Mateo Frausto-Avila,¹ Jose Pablo Manriquez-Amavizca,² Alfred U'Ren,³ and Mario A. Quiroz-Juarez^{1,*}

¹*Centro de Física Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Boulevard Juriquilla 3001, 76230 Querétaro, México*

²*Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey,*

Epigmenio González 500, Fracc, San Pablo, 76130, Querétaro, México

³*Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 70-543, 04510 Cd. Mx., México*

In this paper, we present a high-performance, compact electrocardiogram (ECG)-based system for automatic classification of arrhythmias, integrating machine learning approaches to achieve robust cardiac diagnostics. Our method combines a compact artificial neural network with feature enhancement techniques, including mathematical transformations, signal analysis and data extraction algorithms, to capture both morphological and time-frequency features from ECG signals. A novel aspect of this work is the addition of 17 newly engineered features, which complement the algorithm's capability to extract significant data and physiological patterns from the ECG signal. This combination enables the classifier to detect multiple arrhythmia types, such as atrial fibrillation, sinus tachycardia, ventricular flutter, and other common arrhythmic disorders. The system achieves an accuracy of 97.36% on the MIT-BIH arrhythmia database, using a lower complexity compared to state-of-the-art models. This compact tool shows potential for clinical deployment, as well as adaptation for portable devices in long-term cardiac health monitoring applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Arrhythmic diseases arise when there are irregularities in the normal functioning of the electrical impulses responsible for regulating the heartbeat. Arrhythmias can manifest in various forms. Some arrhythmias are benign, while others may pose serious, even life-threatening, risks. The World Health Organization (WHO) indicates that cardiovascular diseases remain the leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for 31% of all global deaths [1][2]. Consequently, the study and detection of arrhythmias are essential for maintaining public health and reducing the risk of fatal cardiac events. Electrocardiograms (ECGs) play a central role in this effort. First introduced in 1902 by Willem Einthoven [3], ECGs measure the electrical activity of the heart and produce a visual record of these impulses. ECG signals reflect the contractions and relaxations of the heart muscle that occur with each heartbeat, enabling it to pump blood throughout the body. Today, ECGs are the most widely used noninvasive diagnostic tool for assessing a patient's cardiovascular health and are a universal standard in clinical practice. Approximately 300 million ECGs are recorded annually [4]. Interestingly, significant research efforts have been dedicated to modeling ECG signals in order to better understand the macroscopic electrical activity of the heart [5–10].

In a healthy individual, the ECG trace follows a characteristic PQRST waveform, as depicted in Fig. 1, which reflects the sequential depolarization and repolarization events that occur in the chambers of the heart. The PQRST wave is a periodic pattern observed throughout the ECG signal, and deviations from this pattern

often indicate underlying cardiac conditions. When the PQRST sequence is irregular, physicians may suspect an arrhythmic or structural cardiac disorder as the source of these abnormalities. ECGs have shown significant efficacy in the diagnosis of various cardiac conditions, including tachycardia, characterized by a heart rate exceeding 100 beats per minute (BPM), and bradycardia, defined as a heart rate below 60 BPM, as well as hypertension, hypotension, and myocardial infarction [11][12]. In addition, ECGs are instrumental in the detection of arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation and ventricular fibrillation, congenital heart defects, heart block, coronary artery disease, pericarditis, cardiomyopathy, electrolyte imbalances, and rheumatic heart disease. However, the analysis of ECG records is entirely dependent on the interpretive skills of the healthcare professional and is often labor intensive. In addition, the same arrhythmic disease can cause different symptoms and multiple rhythm irregularities, making it challenging for physicians, even experienced cardiologists, to identify a normal heartbeat from a potentially fatal arrhythmia. Therefore, it is essential to design techniques that facilitate the simplification and automation of data analysis.

Machine learning (ML) has emerged as an innovative tool, demonstrating its ability to identify intricate patterns and provide novel insights in various scientific disciplines [13–18]. There have been several approaches to ECG classification using machine learning (ML) algorithms, with neural networks being one of the most common and popular choices. Zhai et al. proposed a convolutional neural network (CNN) that eliminates the need for manual feature extraction [19]. Although the performance of such models is generally satisfactory, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are inherently complex and require significant computational resources, so CNNs can be challenging to implement in real-world ap-

* maqj@fata.unam.mx




Figure 1: Typical PQRST pattern present in a healthy body.

plications. A similar approach was proposed by Jun et al. [20], who used a two-dimensional CNN that achieved an impressive overall performance of 99.05%. Furthermore, Wang [21] proposed a convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture that incorporates the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) as input feature, achieving a classification accuracy of 98.74%. Alternatively, some researchers have proposed using feature engineering techniques to extract relevant information from raw ECG data, thereby avoiding the need for complex algorithms such as CNNs. One such approach was presented by Sadhukhan [22], who employed a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) for ECG classification. His model achieved a performance of 95.6%, but it was limited to the detection of myocardial infarction. Similarly, Zen et al. [23] utilized the Shannon energy envelope as an extracted feature for ECG classification of a single disorder, achieving a performance of 99.21%. In this regard, we propose a compact neural network algorithm to classify multiple arrhythmia types from ECG clinical records. Due to its low dimensionality, our classifier can be easily implemented in portable electronic devices and apps. Interestingly, our approach leverages feature engineering and signal analysis techniques to minimize the need for complex algorithms, ensuring both efficiency and practicality in ECG classification.

II. METHODOLOGY

Our work aims to identify arrhythmic diseases through the analysis of ECG data. To achieve this, we first created a comprehensive database of ECG recordings to train our model. Next, we applied feature engineering techniques to extract key characteristics from the ECG signals. These features served as inputs to the machine

learning model, which underwent hyperparameter optimization to enhance predictive performance.

A. Database

Our initial efforts focused on collecting clinical ECG data for four types of arrhythmic disorders. The dataset includes ECG recordings from the open MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database, which contains data from 47 subjects [19]. These recordings were obtained at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center during experiments conducted between 1975 and 1979 [24]. The database also includes 23 randomly selected recordings from a total of 4,000 24-hour ambulatory ECG recordings collected at Boston’s Beth Israel Hospital. These additional recordings include rare but clinically significant arrhythmias for research purposes. For this work, we used all available recordings. All samples have a duration of 30 minutes, sampled at 360 Hz, with 11-bit resolution over a 10 mV dynamic range. To augment the dataset, we incorporated ECG recordings from the open St. Petersburg INCART 12-lead Arrhythmia Database, which consists of 75 recordings from 32 patients collected at the St. Petersburg Institute of Cardiac Technics [25]. These recordings were sampled at 257 Hz and include signals from 12 electrode placements. Note that in this study, the standard Lead I configuration was used, placing the positive electrode on the left arm and the negative electrode on the right arm. For our work, we collected 97 samples of Normal Sinus Rhythm, 100 of Sinus Bradycardia, 100 of Sinus Tachycardia, 24 of Ventricular Flutter, and 105 of Atrial Fibrillation, each sample being 5 seconds long. A sample corresponding to each arrhythmia type is displayed in Fig. 2.

B. Signal preprocessing

The MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database electrocardiograms were processed using a downsampling algorithm to reduce the sampling frequency to 257 Hz, matching the sampling frequency of the St. Petersburg INCART Database. The data were divided into 5-second segments, resulting in a dataset with 1,285 features. Each row was labeled according to the arrhythmic disorder it presented, with proper labeling in both original databases. Subsequently, we applied a moving average algorithm to all data with a window size of 12 to smooth the signals and significantly reduce noise without removing substantial information. This process led to a dataset with 1,273 features. The data was also normalized to a range of 0 to 1 using the min-max scaling algorithm.




Figure 2: Samples of every arrhythmic disorder that was studied

C. Model and architecture

Machine learning has emerged as a collection of algorithms designed to identify patterns within data and enable decision-making for specific tasks. Among these, neural networks have gained prominence as a powerful and widely used approach. Neural networks consist of interconnected nodes, or neurons, that serve as mathematical operators. Each neuron computes an output by applying a nonlinear function to a weighted sum of its

inputs [26]. The synaptic weights of a neural network are optimized during the training phase, while its performance is evaluated in the testing phase. Notably, as the number of neurons or layers in the network increases, the computational cost increases, along with the risk of overfitting the data. Overfitting is a prevalent issue in supervised machine learning that hinders the development of generalized models[27]. It occurs when the model becomes overly specialized in the training data, resulting in poor generalization to unseen data. Our approach involves building a model with a single hidden layer, which minimizes the number of neurons in the layer to reduce computational costs. To further optimize performance, we employ advanced feature engineering and signal analysis techniques to extract the maximum amount of relevant information from the raw ECG data. This combination of a simplified architecture and sophisticated data processing enables efficient and effective classification while minimizing resource requirements. The model we built is a compact yet powerful neural network with an architecture of four layers.

The first layer is an input layer of size 18, which matches the size of the feature vector. Subsequently, a batch normalization layer is applied directly to the output of the input layer, which significantly helps stabilize the learning process. The data then flows into a hidden layer with 5 neurons, each containing a "leaky_relu" activation function. Finally, the output layer consists of five neurons, corresponding to the number of classes, each with a "softmax" activation function which determines the probability for each class. This architecture ensures a powerful and stable model while maintaining simplicity. Our algorithm was trained exclusively with data from our database. Each iteration was trained with 100 epochs, a learning rate of 0.03 and a L1 regularization of $1e-5$. The batch size was fixed at 36 per iteration, and the test set represented 30% of the original data. We utilized the Adam algorithm for stochastic optimization due to its high computational efficiency and ease of implementation [28].

D. Feature Engineering

To optimize the performance of our neural network without increasing its complexity, we employed feature engineering. The resulting feature vector was constructed using 17 key metrics derived from each five-second ECG segment, producing a representation of 1273 dimensions. The nineteen key metrics include: The 17 features are cardiac rhythm, the mean of the signal, the Euclidean norm of the vector, peak amplitude variability, the average distance between peaks, skewness, kurtosis, Shannon entropy, the mean, minimum and maximum deviation of the Hilbert-transformed signal amplitude. Additionally, the features include the kurtosis and variance of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) of the signal, the number of points below the average amplitude, and three




Figure 3: a) General architecture of our compact ECG classification neural network algorithm and b) Confusion matrix of the neural network performance

dimensions derived from Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

We propose the application of classical statistical measures, such as the mean, kurtosis, skewness, and the vector norm, to extract informative and computationally efficient features that effectively capture the behavior and characteristics of the ECG signal [1]. The mean provides a measure of the central tendency of the signal and can be defined with the following equation:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of data points in the signal and x_i represents individual data points. The kurtosis and skewness convey information about peak sharpness and signal asymmetry. The skewness (S) is defined by the following equation:

$$S = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^3}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}\right)^3}, \quad (2)$$

where $(x_i - \mu)^2$ is the variance and $(x_i - \mu)^3$ is the third power of deviations from the mean. The kurtosis (K) of the signal is defined by the following equation:

$$K = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^4}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right)^2}, \quad (3)$$

where $(x_i - \mu)^4$ is the fourth power of deviations from the mean. Finally, the Euclidean norm (or magnitude) of a vector is defined as the square root of the sum of the squares of its components. For a vector $\mathbf{v} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, the Euclidean norm is given by the formula:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2}, \quad (4)$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are the components of the vector. In this context, given that each 5-second sample contains 1271 data points, the magnitude of the corresponding vector is calculated as the square root of the sum of the squares of these 1271 components. These features are generally sufficient for classifying ECG signals with acceptable accuracy [4]. In particular, statistical moments (mean, kurtosis, skewness, and variance) have proven to be reliable features for signal classification algorithms, capturing key characteristics of the data distribution and the signal dynamics [29, 30]. Nevertheless, our proposed algorithm required more advanced feature engineering techniques to achieve more than 95% of accuracy.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a statistical method that reduces the dimensionality of data, condensing it into key components that capture the most significant information. PCA has proven to be valuable in ECG analysis and signal processing, particularly in the extraction of patterns and the identification of physiological disorders [31]. In addition, PCA has been used in the classification of cardiac arrhythmias to reduce data dimensionality while preserving critical features [32]. We

implemented three components derived from PCA as features for classification within our neural network model.

As demonstrated by Sadhukhan et al. [22], mathematical transformations yield significant features that enhance ECG interpretation and support classification tasks. We implemented the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) on each ECG signal. Fourier decomposition has been effectively utilized for biometric identification in ECG signals, enabling models to achieve accuracies above 97% [33]. The DFT of a sequence $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$ is defined by the following expression:

$$X_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n e^{-i2\pi \frac{kn}{N}}, \quad (5)$$

where X_k represents the k -th frequency component of the DFT, and x_n are the time-domain samples with $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Here, N is the number of samples in the sequence, and $e^{-i2\pi \frac{kn}{N}}$ is the complex exponential function that represents the basis functions of the Fourier transform. The DFT converts the original time-domain signal into its frequency components, which are represented by the complex values X_k . The Fourier algorithm generates a set of complex numbers that represent the magnitude and phase the signal's frequency components. This data is then processed to obtain its skewness and kurtosis.

Furthermore, we applied the Hilbert transform, a widely used method in ECG studies, known to provide valuable information on ECG characteristics [1, 34]. The Hilbert Transform generates an envelope function that captures the essential oscillatory behavior of ECG signals. The Hilbert transform $\hat{x}(t)$ of $x(t)$ is given by the following equation:

$$\hat{x}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x(\tau)}{t - \tau} d\tau, \quad (6)$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the Cauchy principal value. The integral defines the Hilbert transform as a convolution operation [35]. We processed the resulting transformed signal to extract the mean, minimum amplitude, and maximum amplitude.

Another essential feature in our proposed algorithm is entropy, a measure of the unpredictability, disorder, and complexity of the signal, widely applied in signal analysis. In ECG studies, entropy has been shown to be a significant feature, contributing to the successful diagnosis of atrial fibrillation, one of the most common arrhythmic disorders [36–38]. Our methodology incorporates Shannon entropy $H(X)$, which measures data complexity and is defined as:

Class	Precision	Sensitivity	Specificity	F1 Score	Accuracy
NSR	0.99	1	1	1	0.97
SB	0.98	0.94	0.99	0.96	0.97
ST	0.94	0.97	0.98	0.95	0.97
VF	0.96	0.9	1	0.93	0.97
AF	0.99	1	0.99	0.99	0.97

Table I: Performance metrics of the classification algorithm for each class. NSR refers to Normal Sinus Rhythm, SB to Sinus Bradycardia, ST to Sinus Tachycardia, VF to Ventricular Flutter, and AF to Atrial Fibrillation.

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \log_2 p(x_i), \quad (7)$$

where $p(x_i)$ is the probability of the i -th outcome x_i , \log_2 denotes the logarithm to the base 2, n is the number of possible outcomes for the random variable X , and x_i represents the i -th outcome of the random variable. Shannon entropy was calculated for each 5-second ECG segment.

Additional features include heart rate, defined as the number of heartbeats per unit of time, typically expressed in beats per minute (bpm). It represents the frequency of the cardiac cycle, determined by the interval between consecutive peaks in an ECG signal. Another key feature is peak amplitude variability, quantified as the standard deviation of the amplitude differences between successive peaks. Furthermore, the average distance between the peaks indicates the mean time elapsed between successive heartbeats, providing information on the regularity of the cardiac rhythm. These features are widely utilized in cardiology studies to diagnose arrhythmic disorders. [1]. These 17 metrics provide our neural network with a comprehensive set of relevant characteristics, optimizing performance while maintaining low algorithmic complexity.

III. RESULTS

The results of our neural network were tracked using a confusion matrix after each iteration. The results of 100 iterations were averaged to produce the final confusion matrix which is presented in Fig. 3. Our compact neural network has achieved strong performance, reaching an accuracy of 97.36%. These promising results indicate that the features extracted from the ECGs provide a reliable and relevant data source for the detection and classification of arrhythmic disorders. Further analysis of the results, including sensitivity, specificity, F1 score, and accuracy for each class, is documented in Table I.

The achieved performance is comparable to that of other state-of-the-art models. [39] introduced a neu-

ral network that incorporates features based on wavelet transforms, achieving an accuracy of 96.67%. [40] constructed a relatively compact and low computationally complex 1-D Self-Operational Neural Network (Self-ONN) for ECG classification. Nonetheless, this model was limited to classifying ectopic and ventricular ectopic beats, achieving 82% and 94% accuracy, respectively. [41] proposed a complex 12-layer CNN for classifying similar arrhythmic disorders, achieving a slightly higher accuracy of 97.8%. Nevertheless, this performance came at the cost of a significantly more intricate architecture, which increases computational complexity. In another approach, [11] implemented a 64-filter, 1-D CNN with an average accuracy of 98.63%. However, our algorithm offers comparable accuracy with significantly lower computational complexity, enhancing its suitability for real-time applications and resource-limited environments. Other approaches include [42], who presented a CNN model that classified similar disorders with an accuracy of 92.5%. In a separate study, [43] proposed a decision tree-based approach that achieved an accuracy of 96.3%. As demonstrated, our algorithm performs comparably with other models, achieving similar accuracy while maintaining minimal computational complexity. A full comparison of our model with other state-of-the-art algorithms is presented in Table II.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Our research makes a significant contribution to the study of arrhythmic diseases through the analysis of ECG signals. We have demonstrated that compact neural networks can constitute a powerful machine learning algorithm for ECG classification tasks. Furthermore, the simplicity of the neural network algorithm we developed results in an easy-to-implement software solution that can be utilized in most healthcare settings, without the need for advanced equipment or technology. Additionally, the feature engineering techniques we employed are significant, providing relevant data for ECG classification processes. The performance of our model is comparable to most state-of-the-art algorithms. We strongly encourage our fellow researchers to continue exploring feature engineering as a means to develop simpler and more effective models for the detection and classification of arrhythmias.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.A.Q.-J. thankfully acknowledges financial support by CONAHCyT under the Project CF-2023-I-1496 and by DGAPA-UNAM under the Project UNAM-PAPIIT IA103325. A.B.U. thankfully acknowledges financial support by DGAPA-UNAM under the project UNAM-PAPIIT IN103521.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

-
- [1] A. K. Singh and S. Krishnan, "Ecg signal feature extraction trends in methods and applications," *BioMedical Engineering OnLine*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 22, 2023.
- [2] S. Mendis, S. Davis, and B. Norrving, "Organizational update: the world health organization global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014; one more landmark step in the combat against stroke and vascular disease," *Stroke*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. e121–e122, 2015.
- [3] M. AlGhatrif and J. Lindsay, "A brief review: history to understand fundamentals of electrocardiography," *Journal of community hospital internal medicine perspectives*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 14383, 2012.
- [4] Y. Sattar and L. Chhabra, "Electrocardiogram," in *StatPearls [Internet]*, StatPearls Publishing, 2023.
- [5] M. A. Quiroz-Juárez, O. Jiménez-Ramírez, J. Aragón, J. L. Del Río-Correa, and R. Vázquez-Medina, "Periodically kicked network of rlc oscillators to produce ecg signals," *Computers in biology and medicine*, vol. 104, pp. 87–96, 2019.
- [6] S. R. Gois and M. A. Savi, "An analysis of heart rhythm dynamics using a three-coupled oscillator model," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 2553–2565, 2009.
- [7] M. Quiroz-Juárez, O. Jiménez-Ramírez, R. Vázquez-Medina, V. Breña-Medina, J. Aragón, and R. Barrio, "Generation of ecg signals from a reaction-diffusion model spatially discretized," *Scientific reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 19000, 2019.
- [8] P. E. McSharry, G. D. Clifford, L. Tarassenko, and L. A. Smith, "A dynamical model for generating synthetic electrocardiogram signals," *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 289–294, 2003.
- [9] M. A. Quiroz-Juarez, O. Jimenez-Ramirez, R. Vazquez-Medina, E. Ryzhii, M. Ryzhii, and J. L. Aragón, "Cardiac conduction model for generating 12 lead ecg signals with realistic heart rate dynamics," *IEEE transactions on nanobioscience*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 525–532, 2018.
- [10] O. Rodríguez-Abreo, M. Cruz-Fernandez, C. Fuentes-Silva, M. A. Quiroz-Juárez, and J. L. Aragón, "Modeling the electrical activity of the heart via transfer functions and genetic algorithms," *Biomimetics*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 300, 2024.
- [11] F. Khan, X. Yu, Z. Yuan, and A. U. Rehman, "Ecg classification using 1-d convolutional deep residual neural network," *Plos one*, vol. 18, no. 4, p. e0284791, 2023.
- [12] Ö. Yıldırım, P. Pławiak, R.-S. Tan, and U. R. Acharya, "Arrhythmia detection using deep convolutional neural network with long duration ecg signals," *Computers in biology and medicine*, vol. 102, pp. 411–420, 2018.

Type of network	Accuracy	Year	Author	Arrhythmic disorders
Compact Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	97.36	Current work	Ours	Sinus Bradycardia Sinus Tachycardia Ventricular Flutter Atrial Fibrillation Normal Sinus Rhythm
Self-ONN	82, 94	2024	[36]	Supraventricular Ectopic Beats (SVEPs) Ventricular Ectopic Beats (VEPs)
12-layer CNN	97.8	2024	[37]	Left Bundle Branch Block (LBBB) Right Bundle Brack Block (RBBB) Atrial Premature Contraction (APC) Premature Ventricular Contraction (PVC) Normal Sinus Rhythm
1-D CNN	98.63	2023	[38]	SVEPs VEPs Fusion Beat Normal Sinus Rhythm
2-D CNN	96.04	2018	[16]	SVEPs VEPs Fusion Beat Normla Sinus Rhythm
CNN	92.5	2017	[39]	Sinus Tachycardia Atrial Fibrillation Atrial Flutter Normal Sinus Rhythm
Decision Tree	96.3	2016	[21]	Atrial Fibrillation Atrial Flutter Ventricular Flutter Normal Sinus Rhythm

Table II: Performance metrics of other state-of-the-art models.

- [13] E. Mjolsness and D. DeCoste, "Machine learning for science: state of the art and future prospects," *science*, vol. 293, no. 5537, pp. 2051–2055, 2001.
- [14] A. Villegas, M. A. Quiroz-Juárez, A. B. U'Ren, J. P. Torres, and R. d. J. León-Montiel, "Identification of model particle mixtures using machine-learning-assisted laser diffraction," in *Photonics*, vol. 9, p. 74, MDPI, 2022.
- [15] M. L. Lollie, F. Mostafavi, N. Bhusal, M. Hong, C. You, R. de J León-Montiel, O. S. Magaña-Loaiza, and M. A. Quiroz-Juárez, "High-dimensional encryption in optical fibers using spatial modes of light and machine learning," *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 3, p. 035006, 2022.
- [16] M. F. Salazar, C. M. Frausto-Avila, J. A. de Jesús Bautista, G. Polumati, B. A. M. Martínez, K. C. S. Reddy, M. Á. Hernández-Vázquez, E. Strupiechonski, P. Sahatiya, M. A. Quiroz-Juárez, *et al.*, "Improving the coverage area and flake size of res2 through machine learning in apcvd," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 35, no. 50, p. 505705, 2024.
- [17] H. Olivares-Pilón, A. M. Escobar-Ruiz, M. A. Quiroz-Juárez, and N. Aquino, "Confined hydrogen atom: endohedrals h@c36 and h@c60," *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 015024, 2023.
- [18] A. Escobar-Ruiz, L. Jiménez-Lara, P. Juárez-Flores, F. Montoya-Molina, J. Moreno-Sáenz, and M. Quiroz-Juárez, "Data-driven reconstruction of chaotic dynamical equations: The hénon–heiles type system," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 184, p. 115025, 2024.
- [19] X. Zhai and C. Tin, "Automated eeg classification using dual heartbeat coupling based on convolutional neural network," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 27465–27472, 2018.
- [20] T. J. Jun, H. M. Nguyen, D. Kang, D. Kim, D. Kim, and Y.-H. Kim, "Ecg arrhythmia classification using a 2-d convolutional neural network," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.06812*, 2018.
- [21] T. Wang, C. Lu, Y. Sun, M. Yang, C. Liu, and C. Ou, "Automatic eeg classification using continuous wavelet transform and convolutional neural network," *Entropy*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 119, 2021.
- [22] D. Sadhukhan, S. Pal, and M. Mitra, "Automated identification of myocardial infarction using harmonic phase distribution pattern of eeg data," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 2303–2313, 2018.
- [23] W. Zeng, L. Shan, C. Yuan, and S. Du, "Detection of myocardial infarction using shannon energy envelope, famvemd and deterministic learning," *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, pp. 1–19, 2024.
- [24] G. B. Moody and R. G. Mark, "The impact of the mit-bih arrhythmia database," *IEEE engineering in medicine and biology magazine*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 45–50, 2001.
- [25] A. L. Goldberger, L. A. Amaral, L. Glass, J. M. Hausdorff, P. C. Ivanov, R. G. Mark, J. E. Mietus, G. B.

- Moody, C.-K. Peng, and H. E. Stanley, “Physiobank, physiotookit, and physionet: components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals,” *circulation*, vol. 101, no. 23, pp. e215–e220, 2000.
- [26] Y.-c. Wu and J.-w. Feng, “Development and application of artificial neural network,” *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 102, pp. 1645–1656, 2018.
- [27] X. Ying, “An overview of overfitting and its solutions,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1168, p. 022022, feb 2019.
- [28] D. P. Kingma, “Adam: A method for stochastic optimization,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- [29] S. Soliman and S.-Z. Hsue, “Signal classification using statistical moments,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 908–916, 1992.
- [30] R. G. Afkhami, G. Azarnia, and M. A. Tinati, “Cardiac arrhythmia classification using statistical and mixture modeling features of ecg signals,” *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 70, pp. 45–51, 2016.
- [31] F. Castells, P. Laguna, L. Sörnmo, A. Bollmann, and J. M. Roig, “Principal component analysis in ecg signal processing,” *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2007, pp. 1–21, 2007.
- [32] J. Nadal and M. de C. Bossan, “Classification of cardiac arrhythmias based on principal component analysis and feedforward neural networks,” in *Proceedings of Computers in Cardiology Conference*, pp. 341–344, 1993.
- [33] B. Fatimah, P. Singh, A. Singhal, and R. B. Pachori, “Biometric identification from ecg signals using fourier decomposition and machine learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–9, 2022.
- [34] V. Gupta and M. Mittal, “Efficient r-peak detection in electrocardiogram signal based on features extracted using hilbert transform and burg method,” *Journal of the Institution of Engineers (India): Series B*, vol. 101, no. 1, pp. 23–34, 2020.
- [35] D. Benitez, P. Gaydecki, A. Zaidi, and A. Fitzpatrick, “The use of the hilbert transform in ecg signal analysis,” *Computers in biology and medicine*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 399–406, 2001.
- [36] M. Kumar, R. B. Pachori, and U. R. Acharya, “Automated diagnosis of atrial fibrillation ecg signals using entropy features extracted from flexible analytic wavelet transform,” *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 564–573, 2018.
- [37] A. Asgharzadeh-Bonab, M. C. Amirani, and A. Mehri, “Spectral entropy and deep convolutional neural network for ecg beat classification,” *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 691–700, 2020.
- [38] J. B. B. à Mougoufan, J. A. E. Fouda, M. Tchunte, and W. Koepf, “Three-class ecg beat classification by ordinal entropies,” *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 67, p. 102506, 2021.
- [39] S. Sahoo, B. Kanungo, S. Behera, and S. Sabut, “Multiresolution wavelet transform based feature extraction and ecg classification to detect cardiac abnormalities,” *Measurement*, vol. 108, pp. 55–66, 2017.
- [40] S. N, S. K. S, R. Sharma R, A. Sungeetha, C. R, and S. Hamsanandhini, “Compact 1d self-operational neural networks with feature injection for global ecg classification,” in *2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM)*, pp. 1–6, 2024.
- [41] B. C. Challagundla, “Advanced neural network architecture for enhanced multi-lead ecg arrhythmia detection through optimized feature extraction,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.15347*, 2024.
- [42] U. R. Acharya, H. Fujita, O. S. Lih, Y. Hagiwara, J. H. Tan, and M. Adam, “Automated detection of arrhythmias using different intervals of tachycardia ecg segments with convolutional neural network,” *Information sciences*, vol. 405, pp. 81–90, 2017.
- [43] U. R. Acharya, H. Fujita, M. Adam, O. S. Lih, T. J. Hong, V. K. Sudarshan, and J. E. Koh, “Automated characterization of arrhythmias using nonlinear features from tachycardia ecg beats,” in *2016 IEEE international conference on systems, man, and cybernetics (SMC)*, pp. 000533–000538, IEEE, 2016.