THE GENERIC GEOMETRY OF STEADY STATE VARIETIES

ELISENDA FELIU, OSKAR HENRIKSSON, AND BEATRIZ PASCUAL-ESCUDERO

Abstract. We answer several fundamental geometric questions about reaction networks with power-law kinetics, on topics such as generic finiteness of steady states, robustness, and nondegenerate multistationarity. In particular, we give an ideal-theoretic characterization of generic absolute concentration robustness, as well as conditions under which a network that admits multiple steady states also has the capacity for nondegenerate multistationarity. The key tools underlying our results come from the theory of vertically parametrized systems, and include a linear algebra condition that characterizes when the steady state system has positive nondegenerate zeros.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental object of interest in the study of chemical reaction networks is the set of *positive steady states*, which under the assumption of mass-action kinetics (or more generally power-law kinetics) are the zeros of a polynomial system. More precisely, for a network with *n* species with concentrations $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, participating in *m* reactions with reaction rate constants $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_m)$, the positive steady states are the positive zeros of the system

$$
f_{\kappa}(x) := N(\kappa \circ x^M),
$$

where $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the stoichiometric matrix, $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ is the kinetic matrix, x^M is the vector of monomials with exponent vectors given by the columns of M , and \circ denotes componentwise multiplication.

The ordinary differential equations that model a mass-action system often have linear first integrals that are independent of the choice of reaction rate constants. These correspond to conservation laws of the form $Lx = b$ where the rows of $L \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ are chosen as a basis for the left kernel of *N* and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_d)$ are total amounts. The steady states compatible with a choice of total amounts are given as the zeros of the polynomial system

$$
F_{\kappa,b}(x) := \left(\begin{array}{c} N(\kappa \circ x^M) \\ Lx - b \end{array} \right).
$$

Many questions about the steady states of a reaction network are related to understanding the geometry of the positive zero sets $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ and $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ for varying values of the parameters $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Even though the study of reaction networks in the current mathematical formalism goes back at least to Feinberg, Horn and Jackson in the 1970's [\[Fei72,](#page-21-0) [HJ72\]](#page-21-1), many fundamental properties about the underlying polynomial systems are still not fully understood, including properties such as dimension, finiteness, and singularities. Understanding the relations among these concepts is often necessary to lift results about small networks to larger networks where they appear as submotives (see, e.g., [\[BP18,](#page-20-0) [CF06,](#page-20-1) [JS13\]](#page-21-2)), and a prerequisite for using machinery from algebraic geometry to study the steady states (see, e.g., [\[PM11,](#page-22-0) Section 6.5], [\[PEF22\]](#page-22-1)).

Understanding the geometry of $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ and $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ for all possible networks and parameter values is a very challenging task. Indeed, it follows from the classical *Hungarian lemma* [\[HT79\]](#page-21-3) that the positive part of *any* algebraic variety can appear as $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ for some network and some choice of $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, which means that the set of steady states can have very complicated geometry. However, one of the main messages of this paper is that the problem becomes much more well-behaved if we change it to instead understand $V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ and $V_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ up to perturbations of the parameter values (i.e., in *open regions* of parameter space). In particular, we show that for many properties, the behavior up to perturbation agrees qualitatively with the behavior of the complex varieties $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ for generic $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+d}$, and hence lends itself to be studied with tools from algebraic geometry.

We first tackle the question of *finiteness*. By a simple equation count, it is reasonable to expect that $V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ has codimension rk(N), and that $V_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ should be finite. Nevertheless, it is easy to construct examples of networks where these sets have higher-than-expected dimension for some parameter values. In fact, this can be done even for very well-behaved families of networks, such as those that are endotactic [\[KD24\]](#page-21-4) or weakly reversible [\[BCY20\]](#page-20-2) (see [Example 3.12\)](#page-9-0). However, it has been an open question whether this type of pathology can arise for open regions of parameter space (see [\[BCY20,](#page-20-2) Section 5]).

As our first main result, we answer this question in the negative. In fact, we show that there are two possible scenarios for $V_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$, depending on whether or not the network is *nondegenerate*, in the sense that ker(*N*) ∩ $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$ and it satisfies the rank condition

$$
\operatorname{rk}\begin{bmatrix} N \operatorname{diag}(w) M^\top \operatorname{diag}(h) \\ L \end{bmatrix} = n \quad \text{for some } (w, h) \in \ker(N) \times (\mathbb{R}^*)^n. \tag{1.1}
$$

None of the scenarios allow infinitely many steady states in an open region of parameter space.

Theorem A [\(Theorem 3.4\)](#page-7-0). *Suppose that we have a network with* ker(*N*) $\cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$. If the *network is nondegenerate, then:*

- The set \mathcal{Z}_{cc} of $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for which $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ is nonempty has nonempty interior.
- $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ *is finite for generic* $(\kappa,b) \in \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$ *.*
- *The Jacobian* $J_{F_{\kappa,b}}(x)$ *is nonsingular on* $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ *for generic* $(\kappa,b) \in \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$ *.*

If the network is degenerate, then

- The set \mathcal{Z}_{cc} of $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ for which $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ is nonempty but has empty interior.
- *The Jacobian* $J_{F_{\kappa,b}}(x)$ *is singular on all of* $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ *for all* $(\kappa,b) \in \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$ *.*

Analogous statements relating emptiness and dimension are given for $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ in [Theorem 3.1.](#page-6-0) Both of these results are an application of the theory of *vertically parametrized systems* developed in $[FHPE24]$. Condition (1.1) is easy to check computationally for specific networks, which we demonstrate in [Section 3.2,](#page-9-1) where we check it for all networks in the database ODEbase [\[LSR22\]](#page-21-6). In [Section 3.3,](#page-9-2) we also prove that it is satisfied by large families of networks, including weakly reversible networks, injective networks, conservative networks lacking boundary steady states, and the networks of the deficiency one theorem.

Next, we treat the problem of *absolute concentration robustness* (ACR). The term ACR was introduced in [\[SF10\]](#page-22-2) and has been extensively studied, e.g., [\[ASBL99,](#page-20-3) [CGK20,](#page-20-4) [GPGH](#page-21-7)+25, [KPMD](#page-21-8)⁺12, [MST22,](#page-21-9) PEF22. We say that a network has ACR in X_i for a given $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ if $V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ is nonempty and contained in a parallel translate of the *i*-th coordinate plane. The weaker notion of *local ACR* was introduced in [\[PEF22\]](#page-22-1) and means that $V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ is contained in a finite union of translates of a coordinate hyperplane.

Understanding when ACR or local ACR arise for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ is a very challenging algebraicgeometric problem, as has recently been explored in detail in [\[GPGH](#page-21-7)+25, [PEF22\]](#page-22-1), but the *generic* counterpart of these problems, where we only require that a property holds for almost all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, turns out to be much more well-behaved. Using the theory of vertically parametrized systems, we prove that the rank condition from [\[PEF22,](#page-22-1) Section 5] precisely characterizes generic local ACR. In particular, it is a necessary condition for ACR to hold in a Euclidean open subset. We also strengthen the sufficient ideal-theoretic condition from [\[GPGH](#page-21-7)+25, Proposition 3.8] to a complete characterization of generic ACR.

Theorem B [\(Theorem 4.4,](#page-12-0) [Corollary 4.7\)](#page-13-0)**.** *For a nondegenerate network, the following are equivalent:*

- *(i) The network has generic local ACR for* X_i *.*
- (iii) $\text{rk}(N \text{ diag}(w) M_{\backslash i}^{\top}) < \text{rk}(N)$ *for all* $w \in \text{ker}(N)$ *, where* $M_{\backslash i}$ *is* M *without the <i>i*-th row.
- *(iii) There exists a nonconstant polynomial* $g \in (\langle f_{\kappa} \rangle : (x_1 \cdots x_n)^\infty) \cap \mathbb{R}(\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_m)[x_i].$

In particular:

- If g has a single positive root for generic $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$, the network has generic ACR for X_i .
- If the network does not have generic local ACR, then, for generic $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, the network *does not have (local) ACR for Xi.*

Finally, we also study the property of *multistationarity*, which refers to $V_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ having at least two elements for some $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$. When, in addition, the steady states are stable, this property is believed to underlie cellular decision processes, in that it offers robust switch-like behavior as a response to changes in the parameters via a phenomenon known as *hysteresis* [\[LK99\]](#page-21-10). The study of multistationarity can be found at the roots of reaction network theory, with celebrated results on complex balancing [\[Fei72,](#page-21-0) [Fei87,](#page-21-11) [HJ72\]](#page-21-1) and numerous algorithms and criteria to decide upon its existence or lack thereof, e.g., [\[CF05,](#page-20-5) [CFRS07,](#page-20-6) [JEKF18,](#page-21-12) [PMDSC12\]](#page-22-3); see [\[JS15\]](#page-21-13) for an overview.

Several results for inferring multistationarity from reduced models require, however, a stronger condition, namely that there is a choice of parameters for which $V_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ has at least two steady states where the Jacobian is nonsingular, as they rely on the implicit function theorem or homotopy continuation, see, e.g., [\[BP18,](#page-20-0) [CF06,](#page-20-1) [CFW20,](#page-20-7) [FW13,](#page-21-14) [JS13,](#page-21-2) [JTZ24\]](#page-21-15). This property is referred to as *nondegenerate multistationarity*.

In [\[JS17,](#page-21-16) Conjecture 2.3], the authors conjecture that if $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ is finite for all $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and has cardinality *p* for some choice of parameters, then there is also a choice of parameters such that the network has *p nondegenerate* positive steady states. This is known as the *Nondegeneracy Conjecture*. It has been proven for small networks (with at most 2 species and 2 reactions, which can be reversible) in [\[JS17,](#page-21-16) [SdW19\]](#page-22-4) and for $rk(N) = 1$ in [\[JTZ24\]](#page-21-15), but the general case remains open. Here, we prove the conjecture in the $p = 2$ case for nondegenerate networks (see [Theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) for the full statement, which allows for milder assumptions on the network).

Theorem C [\(Theorem 5.2\)](#page-15-0). *A nondegenerate network for which* $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ *is finite for all* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and which has at least two positive steady states for some choice of parameters, *admits at least two nondegenerate positive steady states.*

Organization of the paper. In [Section 2,](#page-3-0) we fix the notation for the rest of the paper, and recall some basic terminology of chemical reaction network theory, as well as some results regarding the Jacobian of f_k and $F_{k,b}$. In [Section 3,](#page-6-1) we discuss the connection between the properties of nondegeneracy, dimension and consistency, leading up in particular to [Theorem A.](#page-1-1) We discuss also computational aspects, as well as how nondegeneracy relates to other properties of reaction networks such as weak reversibility and the dimension of the kinetic subspace. In [Section 4,](#page-12-1) we address ACR, state [Theorem B](#page-1-2) and give several examples to clarify the relation to previous work. After this, we devote [Section 5](#page-15-1) to nondegenerate multistationarity. Finally, we give the proofs relying on technical aspects of vertically parametrized systems in [Section 6.](#page-18-0)

Acknowledgements. EF and OH have been funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation project with grant reference number NNF20OC0065582. BP has been funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie IF grant agreement No 794627 and the Spanish Ministry of Economy project with reference number PID2022-138916NB-I00. This work has also been funded by the European Union under the Grant Agreement no. 101044561, POSALG. Views and opinions expressed are those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Research Council (ERC). Neither the European Union nor ERC can be held responsible for them.

The authors thank Anne Shiu for helpful feedback on earlier versions of the manuscript.

2. Reaction networks and steady states

In this section we give a quick overview of reaction networks, introduce the varieties of positive steady states, and fix notation that will be used in the rest of the paper.

A *reaction network* is simply a collection of *reactions*

$$
\alpha_{1j}X_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{nj}X_n \xrightarrow{\kappa_j} \beta_{1j}X_1 + \cdots + \beta_{nj}X_n, \quad j = 1, \ldots, m,
$$

that model interactions among *species* X_1, \ldots, X_n . Each of these interactions transforms a *complex* (a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -linear combination of the species) called the *reactant*, into another complex called the *product*. The reactions are labelled by positive real numbers $\kappa_j > 0$ called *reaction rate constants*, which play a role in the dynamics of the system represented by the network.

This way, a reaction network can be considered as a digraph, with reactions as directed edges, labeled by the reaction rate constants, and complexes as nodes.

Example 2.1. A simple representation of enzymatic transfer of calcium ions between the endoplasmic reticulum and the cytosol gives rise to the following network with $n = 4$ species and $m = 6$ reactions:

$$
0 \xrightarrow[\kappa_2]{\kappa_1} X_1 \qquad X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} 2X_1 \qquad X_1 + X_3 \xrightarrow[\kappa_5]{\kappa_4} X_4 \xrightarrow{\kappa_6} X_2 + X_3.
$$

Here, X_1 stands for cytosolic calcium, X_2 for calcium in the endoplasmic reticulum, and X_3 is an enzyme catalyzing the transfer via the formation of an intermediate *X*⁴ [\[GES05\]](#page-21-17).

Under the assumption of *power-law kinetics* with *kinetic matrix* $M = (\nu_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, the evolution of the concentration $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of the species X_1, \ldots, X_n over time is given by the autonomous system

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = N(\kappa \circ x^M), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0},\tag{2.1}
$$

where $N = (\beta_{ij} - \alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ is the *stoichiometric matrix*, x^M denotes the vector whose *j*-th entry is the product $x_1^{\nu_{1j}}$ $v_1^{v_{1j}} \cdots x_n^{v_{nj}}$ (i.e., the monomial in *x* with exponents given by the *j*-th column of *M*), and $\kappa \circ x^M$ is the entry-wise product of the two vectors $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_m)$ and x^M .

For the specific case in which the kinetics is **mass action** [\[GW64\]](#page-21-18), $M = (\alpha_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n \times m}$ is the *reactant matrix*. In the examples, we consider mass-action kinetics unless stated otherwise.

For the rest of our work, we will assume that $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$, that is M has integer entries, when referring to power-law kinetics. The results extend to systems where $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times m}$ using the approach in [\[PEF22,](#page-22-1) Section 4.2]. As we allow negative exponents, we mostly work in the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x^{\pm}] = \mathbb{R}[x_1^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}]$ (or over \mathbb{C}).

Observe that [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) is well defined also over $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ if M has nonnegative entries. Under mild additional assumptions, namely that all negative monomials of the expression for *dxi/dt* are multiples of x_i , both $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ are forward invariant [\[Vol72\]](#page-22-5). In particular, this is the case under mass-action kinetics.

A (positive) *steady state* of the ODE system in [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) is a tuple $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $N(\kappa \circ x^M) = 0$. The steady states provide useful information about the dynamics of the biological system under study, and this is a key topic in the theory of reaction networks; see [\[Fei19\]](#page-21-19) for an introduction to the field.

To remove redundancies arising when *N* does not have full rank *n*, we make a choice of matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times m}$ with $s := \text{rk}(N)$ and $\text{ker}(C) = \text{ker}(N)$, and consider the **steady state system**

$$
f := C(\kappa \circ x^M) \in \mathbb{R}[\kappa, x^{\pm}]^s. \tag{2.2}
$$

The results in this work do not depend on the specific matrix *C*, so a choice is implicitly made throughout. We write f_{κ} for the system [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) evaluated at a fixed $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$, and whose zeros are the steady states for this κ . In this case the **positive steady state variety** of the system f_{κ} is the (semialgebraic) set

$$
\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n : C(\kappa \circ x^M) = 0 \} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n : N(\kappa \circ x^M) = 0 \}.
$$

We denote the set of parameter values for which $V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ is nonempty by

$$
\mathcal{Z} := \{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0} : \mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \neq \varnothing \}.
$$

By letting \mathbb{R}^* and \mathbb{C}^* denote the set of real and complex numbers excluding 0, we will also consider the *real* and *complex steady state varieties*, given by

$$
\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}^*}(f_{\kappa}) := \{ x \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^n : f_{\kappa}(x) = 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}) := \{ x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n : f_{\kappa}(x) = 0 \}.
$$

Note that $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.

The vector subspace $\text{im}(N)$ is called the *stoichiometric subspace*. The trajectory of system [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) with initial condition x^0 is confined to the linear subspace $x^0 + \text{im}(N)$. We will therefore also be interested in the positive steady states constrained to these linear subspaces. Specifically, we consider a fixed matrix $L \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ with full rank $d := n - s$ (recall $s = \text{rk}(N) = \text{rk}(C)$), whose rows form a basis of the left kernel of *N*. Then, we consider the (open) *stoichiometric compatibility classes*

$$
\mathcal{P}_b := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} : Lx - b = 0 \}, \qquad b \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Such a matrix *L* is called a *matrix of conservation laws*.

Many questions about the dynamics of [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1), and in particular about the steady states, are studied in the restriction to the stoichiometric compatibility classes. Two steady states in the same class are said to be *stoichiometrically compatible*. Studying the set of all positive steady states in the class \mathcal{P}_b can be done via the square polynomial system

$$
F = \left(\begin{array}{c} C(\kappa \circ x^M) \\ Lx - b \end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}[\kappa, b, x^{\pm}]^n, \tag{2.3}
$$

.

which we refer to as the *augmented steady state system* (by L). We write $F_{\kappa,b}$ for the system [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) when κ and *b* have been fixed. The set of positive zeros of $F_{\kappa,b}$ is by construction the intersection of $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ and \mathcal{P}_{b} . Analogous to \mathcal{Z} , we consider

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{cc} := \{ (\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_b \neq \varnothing \}.
$$

Note here that $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{b} \neq \emptyset$ requires that $b \in L(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n})$, that is, *b* belongs to the positive cone on the columns of *L*. We remark that as *L* has full rank, $L(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$ is a *d*-dimensional cone.

Again, the choice of *L* is implicit throughout, as it does not affect the conclusions of this work. The sets $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b}), \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ are defined analogously to f.

Example 2.2. For the network in [Example 2.1,](#page-3-3) the ODE system (2.1) is built out of the matrices

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The rank of *N* is $s = 3$, as the bottom two rows are linearly dependent. Hence we can choose

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

With this in place, the steady state system becomes

$$
f = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_3 x_1 x_2 - \kappa_4 x_1 x_3 + \kappa_5 x_4, -\kappa_3 x_1 x_2 + \kappa_6 x_4, -\kappa_4 x_1 x_3 + \kappa_5 x_4 + \kappa_6 x_4),
$$

while the augmented steady state system has the additional entry $x_3 + x_4 - b$.

In general, for a polynomial system $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_\ell)$ in the variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, a zero x^* of *g* is said to be *degenerate* if the Jacobian matrix $J_g(x) = \left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x}\right)^2$ *∂x^j* \setminus $\sum_{i,j}$ of *g* does not have full rank when evaluated at x^* .

A steady state $x^* \in V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ is said to be *degenerate* if it is degenerate as a zero of F_{κ,Lx^*} . This is a weaker property than being a degenerate zero of f_{κ} , as it requires $J_{f_{\kappa}}(x^*)$ to be singular on $\text{im}(N)$ (and hence sometimes one refers to degeneracy *with respect to* $\text{im}(N)$).

The key to be able to make conclusions about the steady state varieties is the bijection

$$
\phi: \ker(C) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to \{ (\kappa, b, x) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^d \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n : F(\kappa, b, x) = 0 \}
$$

(*w*, *h*) \mapsto (*w* \circ *h*^{*M*}, *Lh*⁻¹, *h*⁻¹), (2.4)

where h^{-1} is taken componentwise, and the image is the (complex) *incidence variety* of the system *F*. Importantly, ϕ also restricts to a bijection onto the positive incidence variety:

$$
(\ker(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \to \{(\kappa, b, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n : F(\kappa, b, x) = 0\}.
$$

This bijection allows to derive the following well-known proposition, which is handy for studying the set of all Jacobian matrices of *f* and *F* for all parameter values and zeros. In preparation for that, we define the matrices

$$
Q_f(w) := C \operatorname{diag}(w) M^\top \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times n}, \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^m,
$$

$$
Q_F(w, h) := \begin{bmatrix} C \operatorname{diag}(w) M^\top \operatorname{diag}(h) \\ L \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad h \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.
$$
 (2.5)

Proposition 2.3. *Consider a reaction network with stoichiometric matrix* $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ *, kinetic matrix* $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$, steady state system f as in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) for $C \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$ of full rank $s = \text{rk}(N)$ such *that* $\text{ker}(N) = \text{ker}(C)$ *, and augmented steady state system F as in* [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) *for a full rank matrix* $L \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ *with* $LN = 0$ *and* $d = n - s$ *. Then the following holds:*

- (i) ker $(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset \iff \mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset \iff \mathcal{Z}_{cc} \neq \emptyset$.
- *(ii) The set* Z *is connected.*
- *(iii)* For $(\kappa, b, x) = \phi(w, h)$ *it holds that*

$$
J_{f_{\kappa}}(x) = Q_f(w) \operatorname{diag}(h) \quad \text{and} \quad J_{F_{\kappa,b}}(x) = Q_F(w, h).
$$

Proof. (i) For ker(*C*) ∩ $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ apply ϕ to some $(w, h) \in (\ker(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. The rest of the implications are immediate from definition. (ii) $\mathcal Z$ is the image of the connected set $(\ker(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ by the projection of the continuous map ϕ in [\(2.4\)](#page-5-0) onto $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$. (iii) Follows from a direct computation of the Jacobian matrices, see [\[FHPE24,](#page-21-5) Proposition 3.2]. \Box

It is common in the literature to say that a network is *consistent* (or dynamically nontrivial) if the equivalent statements in [Proposition 2.3\(](#page-5-1)i) hold. [Proposition 2.3\(](#page-5-1)iii) is telling us that the set of Jacobian matrices $J_{f_{\kappa}}(x)$ for $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $x \in \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ and the set of matrices $Q_f(w) \text{diag}(h)$ for $w \in \text{ker}(C)$ and $h \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ agree. Furthermore, the equality of sets restricts when values of *κ* and *x* are chosen positive. An analogous equality of matrix sets is derived for *F*.

We conclude this section by reminding the reader of a series of well-known definitions from the theory of reaction networks that will be used later on:

- The *linkage classes* of a reaction network are its connected components as a digraph. Its *strong linkage classes* are the maximal strongly connected subdigraphs. Among the strong linkage classes, one distinguishes the *terminal strong linkage classes*, as those for which there is no edge from a node inside of the class to a node outside of it, in the original network.
- A network is *weakly reversible* if all connected components of the underlying digraph are strongly connected.
- The *deficiency* of a given network is the nonnegative integer $\delta = c \ell s$, where *c* is the number of complexes and *ℓ* is the number of linkage classes.
- A network is called *conservative* if $\ker(N^{\top}) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \neq \emptyset$, that is, the row span of *L* contains a positive vector (equivalently the Euclidean closure of \mathcal{P}_b is a compact set for all *b* [\[BI64\]](#page-20-8)).
- If $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n \times m}$ (so that zeros of f, F can be considered in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$), a network is said to *lack relevant boundary steady states* if there does not exist $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $F_{\kappa,b}(x) = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_b \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \neq \emptyset$.
- A network is called *injective* if f_{κ} is injective as a map $\mathcal{P}_b \to \mathbb{R}^s$ for all $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times L(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$ (see [\[CF05,](#page-20-5) [FW12,](#page-21-20) [MFR](#page-21-21)⁺15]).

3. Nondegeneracy, consistency, dimension and finiteness

This section is devoted to the key theorems about generic nonemptiness and dimension of the positive steady state variety and its intersection with the stoichiometric compatibility classes. The results are a consequence of the general theorems proven in [\[FHPE24\]](#page-21-5), which are rewritten here for this more restricted context and adapted to the reaction network language. In particular, in [\[FHPE24\]](#page-21-5) the dimension and consistency of a type of parametric systems called (augmented) vertically parametrized systems is studied. The steady state and the augmented steady state systems are both of this type. A version of the main result there can be found in [Section 6.](#page-18-0) The main take home message is that the existence of a nondegenerate zero is enough to ensure that the varieties behave "nicely".

We say that a property holds for *generic* parameters in a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ when this property holds in a nonempty Zariski open subset of A. When A is $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}^d$, this implies that the property holds in a set with nonempty Euclidean interior, and outside a subset of A of Lebesgue measure zero, and hence is robust against small perturbations of the parameter values.

Given a reaction network with kinetic matrix *M*, the steady state system *f* has *s* linearly independent entries and *n* variables, while the augmented steady state system has *n* entries and variables. One could therefore expect that $\dim(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})) = n - s$ and $\dim(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})) = 0$ as semialgebraic sets. This is certainly the case in typical examples, but it is not hard to construct examples where the expectation does not hold true.

3.1. **Generic consistency and dimension.** The following theorems give us precise tools to describe the geometry of $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ and $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{b}$ for generic choices in \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}_{cc} .

Theorem 3.1 (Expected dimension of steady state varieties)**.** *Consider a reaction network with* stoichiometric matrix $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ and kinetic matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$, and consider the steady state *system f* as in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2) for $C \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$ of full rank $s = \text{rk}(N)$ such that $\text{ker}(N) = \text{ker}(C)$ *. Assume* $\ker(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) rk $(C \text{diag}(w) M^{\top}) = s$ *for some* $w \in \text{ker}(C)$ *.*
- *(ii)* f_{κ} *has a nondegenerate zero in* $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ *for some* $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$ *.*
- *(iii)* For generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, all zeros of f_{κ} in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ are nondegenerate.
- (*iv*) \mathcal{Z} has nonempty Euclidean interior in $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$.
- (*v*) \mathcal{Z} *is not contained in a hypersurface of* \mathbb{R}^m *.*
- *(vi)* $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ *has pure dimension* $n s$ *for at least one* $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$ *.*

Furthermore, the following holds:

- *If the equivalent statements hold, then* $\nabla_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ *and* $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ *have pure dimension* $n s$ *for generic* $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, and the same is true for $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ *as a semialgebraic set. Additionally, all zeros of* f_{κ} *in* $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ *are nondegenerate for generic* $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ *.*
- *If the equivalent statements do not hold, then* $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}) = \varnothing$ *for generic* $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ *, and when not empty,* dim $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}) > n - s$ *and all zeros of* f_{κ} *are degenerate.*
- The ideal generated by f_{κ} in $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm}]$ is radical for generic $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

The proof of this result can be found in [Section 6.](#page-18-0) A steady state system with ker $(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$ and satisfying any of the equivalent conditions (i)-(vi) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) is said to be *nondegenerate*. The term hints at the existence of nondegenerate zeros as well as the fact that the dimension of the varieties of *f* are generically as expected.

Example 3.2. With the matrices given in [Example 2.2,](#page-4-1) and for $w = (1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1) \in \text{ker}(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, it holds that

$$
rk(C \operatorname{diag}(w)M^{\top}) = 3.
$$

Hence condition (i) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) is satisfied, and we conclude that *f* is nondegenerate. Furthermore, [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) tells us that the network has positive steady states for κ in a subset of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^6$ with nonempty Euclidean interior [\(Theorem 3.1\(](#page-6-0)iv)), and that for generic κ in this set, $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}), \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}), \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ have dimension 1 and all zeros of f_{κ} are nondegenerate.

Example 3.3. For the following reaction network with mass-action kinetics

 $3X_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} 2X_1$ $X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} 2X_1$ $X_1 + X_3$ $X_1 + X_3 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} X_1$ $2X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} 2X_2 + X_3$ $X_2 + X_3 \xrightarrow{\kappa_5} X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ $2X_3 \xrightarrow{\kappa_6} X_2 + 2X_3$

we have $s = 3$, and the defining matrices are

$$
C = N = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Any $w \in \text{ker}(C)$ is of the form $w = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_3, u_1, u_2)$ for some $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. But then

$$
\det(C \operatorname{diag}(w) M^{\top}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} -3u_1 & u_1 & u_1 \\ -2u_2 & -u_2 & 2u_2 \\ -u_3 & 2u_3 & -u_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0.
$$

Hence condition (i) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) does not hold. We conclude that *f* is degenerate and none of the statements (i)-(vi) hold. In particular, any positive steady state is degenerate, and the positive steady state variety is generically empty.

Theorem 3.4 (Finiteness of the number of stoichiometrically compatible steady states)**.** *Consider a* reaction network with stoichiometric matrix $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ and kinetic matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$. *Consider the augmented steady state system F as in* [\(2.3\)](#page-4-0) *for* $C \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n}$ *of full rank* $s = \text{rk}(N)$ *such that* ker(*N*) = ker(*C*) *and* $L \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ *with* $LN = 0$ *and* $d = n - s$ *. Assume* ker(*C*)∩ $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$ *. The following statements are equivalent:*

(*i*) *For some* $w \in \text{ker}(C)$ *and* $h \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ *it holds that*

$$
\operatorname{rk} \left[\frac{C \operatorname{diag}(w) M^{\top} \operatorname{diag}(h)}{L} \right] = n.
$$

(ii) $F_{\kappa,b}$ *has a nondegenerate zero in* $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ *for some* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^d$.

- *(iii)* For generic $(\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$, all zeros of $F_{\kappa, b}$ *in* $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ *are nondegenerate.*
- (*iv*) \mathcal{Z}_{cc} *has nonempty Euclidean interior in* $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ *.*
- (*v*) \mathcal{Z}_{cc} *is not contained in a hypersurface of* $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

(vi) $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ *is nonempty and finite for at least one* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^d$. *Furthermore:*

• *If the equivalent statements are satisfied, then for generic* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$, *it holds that*

$$
0 < \#(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_b) < \infty
$$

and all points of $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{b}$ *are nondegenerate steady states.*

• The ideal generated by $F_{\kappa,b}$ in $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm}]$ is radical for generic $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^d$.

The proof is analogous to that of [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) and both can be found in [Section 6,](#page-18-0) where additional properties of *f* and *F* are given. We say that the augmented steady state system *F* (or the network) is **nondegenerate** if $\text{ker}(C) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$ and \overline{F} satisfies any of the equivalent conditions (i)-(vi) in [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0)

[Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) tells us that $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{b}$ is either generically empty [\(Theorem 3.4\(](#page-7-0)v) does not hold), or generically finite. We obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 3.5. *The set* $\{(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times L(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n) : \#(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_b) = \infty\}$ *is contained in a proper algebraic variety, and hence always has empty Euclidean interior in* $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0} \times L(\mathbb{R}^n_{>0})$.

It is straightforward to see that condition (i) in [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) implies condition (i) in [Theo](#page-6-0)[rem 3.1.](#page-6-0) This gives rise to the following corollary. However, the converse is not necessarily true, as shown by [Example 3.7.](#page-8-0)

Corollary 3.6. *If the augmented steady state system is nondegenerate, then so is the steady state system.*

Example 3.7. Consider the reaction network

$$
X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} X_1 \qquad X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} 2X_2.
$$

The steady states are described by the single parametric polynomial

$$
f = -\kappa_1 x_1 x_2 + \kappa_2 x_2 = x_2(-\kappa_1 x_1 + \kappa_2).
$$

We obtain that $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} : x_1 = \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1}$ $\mathbb{R}^2_{\kappa_1}$ for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$, hence $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$ and hence f is nondegenerate (as condition (iv) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) holds). As the stoichiometric compatibility classes are defined by the equation $x_1 = b$ for $b > 0$, $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_b \neq \emptyset$ only if $\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} = b$, and hence \mathcal{Z}_{cc} has empty Euclidean interior. We conclude that *F* is degenerate as condition (iv) in [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) does not hold.

[Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) concern the *generic* behavior of the zero sets, but they do not preclude pathological behaviors from arising for specific choices of parameters. Examples of what these behaviors can be are given next.

Example 3.8. The reaction network

$$
2X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} 3X_1 \qquad X_1 + 2X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} 2X_1 + X_2 \qquad X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} 2X_2
$$

gives rise to the system $f = x_1x_2(\kappa_1x_1 + \kappa_2x_2 - \kappa_3)$ and the sets \mathcal{P}_b are defined by the equation $x_1 + x_2 = b$, giving that *F* is generically finite, hence nondegenerate. Nevertheless, for $\kappa = (1, 1, 1), \mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{b} = \varnothing$ if $b \neq 1$, whereas $\#(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_{b}) = \infty$ for $b = 1$. This only happens nongenerically, namely for parameters of the form $((\kappa_1, \kappa_1, \kappa_1, b), b)$ with $\kappa_1, b > 0$.

Example 3.9. For the reaction network

$$
3X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} 4X_1 \qquad 2X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} 3X_2 \qquad X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} 2X_1,
$$

we have $n = 2$, $s = 1$, and the steady state system is $f = x_1 x_2 (\kappa_1 x_1^2 - 2\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_3)$, which is generically 1-dimensional. For parameters satisfying $\kappa_2^2 = \kappa_1 \kappa_3$, all zeros of f_κ are degenerate but $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ still have pure dimension 1. Hence, degeneracy of all steady states for a choice of parameters does not necessarily imply that the dimension of the steady state variety is higher than expected for that parameter value.

Example 3.10. For the network

$$
3X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} 4X_1 + 2X_2
$$

\n
$$
X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} 2X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} X_1
$$

\n
$$
X_1 + 3X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} 2X_1 + 4X_2
$$

\n
$$
X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_5} X_1 + 2X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_6} X_2,
$$

with stoichiometric and reactant matrices

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},
$$

the steady state system *f* is degenerate. For $\kappa = (1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2)$ we have

$$
f_{\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2(x_1^2 + 2 - 2x_1 + x_2^2 - 2x_2) \\ x_1x_2(x_1^2 - 2x_1 + x_2^2 + 2 - 2x_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2) \\ x_1x_2((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2) \end{pmatrix},
$$

which has finite $V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$. Hence, statement (vi) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) cannot be replaced by the existence of a choice of parameters for which the set of positive zeros is finite.

Remark 3.11 (Boundary steady states)**.** The results in this section concern the steady states with nonzero coordinates. By [\[FHPE24,](#page-21-5) Theorem 3.19], the results on generic dimension extend from $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ to \mathbb{C}^n if all the polynomials in f_{κ} have a constant term involving a different parameter. This scenario arises when the network includes all *inflow reactions*, that is, reactions of the form $0 \to X_i$ for all species X_i (in particular $s = n$). This is the case for *Continuous-flow stirred-tank reactors (CFSTR)*, see [\[Fei19,](#page-21-19) Section 4.2.1]. For these networks, the existence of a nondegenerate zero of f_{κ} ensures that the set of nonnegative steady states in $\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ has generically dimension 0.

3.2. **Computationally deciding on nondegeneracy.** Checking condition (i) in [Theorems 3.1](#page-6-0) and [3.4](#page-7-0) can be done computationally as follows. Let $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-s)}$ be a Gale dual matrix to *C*, in the sense that $\ker(C) = \text{im}(G)$. Recall the matrices $Q_f(w)$ and $Q_f(w, h)$ from [\(2.5\)](#page-5-2).

Consistency: Nonemptiness of ker(*C*) ∩ $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$ is equivalent to the feasibility of the system ${Cx = 0, x \geq 1}$, which can be checked with linear programming.

Nondegeneracy of f: To check condition (i) of [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) we pick a random $u \in \mathbb{R}^{m-s}$ (for some appropriate distribution), and compute $rk(Q_f(Gu))$ with exact arithmetic. If the rank is *s*, we conclude that *f* is nondegenerate. If not, we view *u* as indeterminate, compute the *s*-minors of $Q_f(Gu)$ in $\mathbb{R}[u_1,\ldots,u_{m-s}]$, and use that f is degenerate if and only if all minors are zero.

Nondegeneracy of F: Similarly, condition (i) in [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) can be checked by first computing $\text{rk}(Q_F(Gu, h))$ for random $(u, h) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-s} \times (\mathbb{R}^*)^n$ with exact arithmetic. If the rank is *n*, it follows that *F* is nondegenerate; if not, we compute $\det(Q_F(Gu, h))$ as a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[u_1,\ldots,u_{m-s},h_1^{\pm},\ldots,h_n^{\pm}],$ and use that *F* is degenerate if and only if the determinant is zero.

A Julia implementation of these computations, based on the computer algebra package Oscar.jl [\[OSC24\]](#page-22-6) and the reaction network theory package Catalyst.jl [LMI+23] [LMI+23] [LMI+23] , can be found in the GitHub repository

<https://github.com/oskarhenriksson/generic-geometry-of-steady-state-varieties> .

As a demonstration of the applicability of our implementation, we apply it to the networks in the database ODEbase [\[LSR22\]](#page-21-6), modeling all of them with mass-action kinetics for simplicity. Out of 628 networks, we found that precisely 368 are consistent. Among these, 6 networks have degenerate steady state system *f*. For the other 362 networks, both *f* and *F* are nondegenerate. The results of these computations are available in the aforementioned GitHub repository.

The largest network in the database that admits a nondegenerate positive steady state is BIOMD0000000014, with $n = 86$, $m = 300$ and $d = n - s = 9$, for which applying the nondegeneracy checks takes less than 2 seconds in Julia^{*}.

3.3. **Network-theoretic conditions that ensure nondegeneracy.** In this section we go through a number of properties that play a central role in the reaction network theory literature, and prove that they imply nondegeneracy of the network, and hence the equivalent properties in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0)

Weakly reversible networks are quite well understood; for example, they are known to admit positive steady states for all choices of $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times L(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n)$ [\[Bor19\]](#page-20-9), and are conjectured to display strong dynamical behaviors such as persistence [\[Fei87\]](#page-21-11) or bounded trajectories [\[And11\]](#page-20-10). It was also earlier believed that the number of steady states in each stoichiometric compatibility class was finite for this type of networks, a fact that was disproven in [\[BCY20\]](#page-20-2) with the following example (see also [\[KD24\]](#page-21-4) for further examples).

[∗]All computations were run on a MacBook Air with an Apple M2 chip and 16 GB of RAM.

Example 3.12. In [\[BCY20\]](#page-20-2), the authors considered the network

with $s = n = 2$, and fine tuned the reaction rate constants in such a way that the two equations defining the steady states had a common factor and the other factors did not admit positive zeros. They obtained dim $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_k) = \dim \mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_k) = 1$, and hence infinitely many steady states in the only stoichiometric compatibility class $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. With this trick, they illustrated that even for weakly reversible reaction networks, dim $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ could be larger than expected for some choice of parameter values.

Motivated by the example above, the authors of [\[BCY20\]](#page-20-2) posed the following question:

Is it possible for a weakly reversible network to have infinitely many positive steady states [in each positive stoichiometric compatibility class] for each choice of reaction rate constants in a [Euclidean] open set of the parameter space $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$?

We answered the question in the negative in [Corollary 3.5](#page-7-1) for *any* network. So the condition on weak reversibility is superfluous in answering the question. However, for weakly reversible networks, we give the following strengthened answer.

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose that a reaction network satisfies any of the following conditions:*

(i) It is weakly reversible and has mass-action kinetics.

(*ii*) $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n \times m}$, *it is conservative, and lacks relevant boundary steady states.*

Then $\mathcal{Z}_{cc} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times L(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$ *and hence the network is nondegenerate.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{Z}_{cc} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times L(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$ follows for case (i), from [\[Bor19\]](#page-20-9), and for case (ii), by a standard Brouwer fixed point argument, using that the Euclidean closure of \mathcal{P}_b is compact and there are no steady states at the boundary (see e.g. $[FH24, Proposition 6.5]$ $[FH24, Proposition 6.5]$). The statement now follows from condition (iv) in [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0) \Box

Two other important classes of networks for which our results have implications are those that are injective and those that satisfy the conditions of Feinberg's Deficiency One Theorem [\[Fei95,](#page-21-24) Theorem 4.2].

The *Deficiency One Theorem* requires that, when all linkage classes of a given network are considered separately, their deficiencies add up to exactly the deficiency of the network, none of them being higher than 1. Additionally, each linkage class should have no more than one terminal strong linkage class. These properties do not guarantee the existence of a positive steady state, so we need to require the network to be consistent.

Corollary 3.14. *Suppose that a reaction network satisfies* ker(*N*) $\cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$ *and any of the following conditions:*

(i) It is injective.

(ii) It fulfils the criteria of the Deficiency One Theorem.

Then \mathcal{Z}_{cc} *has nonempty Euclidean interior and hence the network is nondegenerate.*

Proof. By [Proposition 2.3\(](#page-5-1)i), $\mathcal{Z}_{cc} \neq \emptyset$. Any steady state is guaranteed to be nondegenerate in case (i) by [\[CF10,](#page-20-11) Sec. 6], see also [\[FW12,](#page-21-20) Corollary 5.12], and in case (ii) by [\[Fei95,](#page-21-24) Theorem 4.3]. The result now follows since condition (i) in [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) holds. \Box

3.4. **The kinetic and stoichiometric subspace.** We close the section with a discussion on how the dimension of the *kinetic subspace* is related to nondegeneracy.

For a fixed choice of reaction rate constants $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, let Σ_{κ} be the coefficient matrix of $N(\kappa \circ x^M)$ as a Laurent polynomial system in $\mathbb{R}[x^{\pm}]^n$. The *kinetic subspace* is

$$
S_{\kappa} := \operatorname{im}(\Sigma_{\kappa}),
$$

and satisfies that the trajectories of (2.1) are contained in parallel translates of S_{κ} . In fact, this is the minimal vector subspace with this property. It clearly holds that $S_{\kappa} \subseteq \text{im}(N)$, but the inclusion might be strict. The stoichiometric subspace $S = \text{im}(N)$ depends only on *N*, while the kinetic subspace also depends on the value of κ and the kinetic matrix M.

In [\[FH77\]](#page-21-25), it is shown that if all linkage classes contain a unique terminal strong linkage class, then *S* and S_k agree for all κ . Moreover, inequality of these spaces implies all steady states are degenerate; this is immediate as if $S_k \subsetneq S$, then the entries of f_k are linearly dependent (see also [\[Fei19,](#page-21-19) Section 3.A.1]). The converse is not true, as it is possible to find degenerate systems *f* with $S_{\kappa} = S$ for all κ (e.g., [Example 3.3\)](#page-7-2).

Corollary 3.15. *If* $S_{\kappa} \subseteq S$ *for generic* $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ *, then f* and *F* are both degenerate. In particular, *the network is degenerate,* Z *has empty Euclidean interior, and*

$$
#(V_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}) \cap \mathcal{P}_b) = \infty \quad \text{for all } (\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}.
$$

Proof. If $S_{\kappa} \subsetneq S$ for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, then all zeros of f_{κ} are degenerate generically for $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$. Thus condition (iii) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) cannot hold, and *f*, and hence *F*, are degenerate. The rest of the conclusions follow from [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0) \Box

Example 3.16. Consider the following network from [\[Fei19,](#page-21-19) Example 3.A.2]

$$
X_2 \xleftarrow{\kappa_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} X_3 \qquad \qquad X_2 + X_3 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} 2X_1
$$

with mass-action kinetics. This network has defining matrices

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} -\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 & 2\kappa_3 \\ \kappa_1 & -\kappa_3 \\ \kappa_2 & -\kappa_3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

and $s = 2$. The first row of Σ_{κ} is linearly dependent to the two bottom rows, and, if the two bottom rows are linearly independent, that is, $\kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2$, then f_{κ} cannot have a zero in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. We conclude that Σ_{κ} needs to have rank 1 if $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ and hence $S_{\kappa} \subsetneq S$ for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$. By [Corollary 3.15,](#page-11-0) *f* is degenerate, and so is the network.

In [\[Fei19,](#page-21-19) Page 123] it is stated that *"when the kinetic subspace is smaller than the stoichiometric subspace, a positive equilibrium in a stoichiometric compatibility class will usually be accompanied by an infinite number of them"*. This was made precise in [Corollary 3.15.](#page-11-0)

Finally, the results from [\[FH77\]](#page-21-25) regarding the stoichiometric and kinetic subspaces allow us to relate degeneracy and deficiency *δ*. Let *ℓ* and *t* denote the number of linkage classes and terminal strong linkage classes, respectively.

Corollary 3.17. For any network such that $t - \ell > \delta$, taken with mass-action kinetics, both f *and F are degenerate. In particular, the network with mass-action kinetics is degenerate.*

Proof. This follows from [Corollary 3.15,](#page-11-0) as our assumption implies $S_{\kappa} \subsetneq S$ for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ by statement (ii) in the theorem in Section 6 of $[FH77]$.

4. Generic absolute concentration robustness

In this section we use our new understanding of the steady state varieties to strenghten previous results on Absolute Concentration Robustness (ACR) and to clarify its generic behavior.

A reaction network with a choice of power-law kinetics is said to have ACR for a certain species X_i if it is consistent and, for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$, the concentration of X_i attains a unique value for all positive steady states in $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ [\[SF10\]](#page-22-2). The weaker notion of *local* ACR was introduced in $[PEF22]$, and refers to the concentration of a species X_i attaining only a *finite* number of possible values for all positive steady states in $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$.

Example 4.1. Consider the following network with mass-action kinetics from [\[SF10\]](#page-22-2) describing the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation mechanism for the enzyme isocitrate dehydrogenase:

$$
X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow[\kappa_2]{\kappa_1} X_3 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} X_1 + X_4 \qquad X_3 + X_4 \xrightarrow[\kappa_5]{\kappa_4} X_5 \xrightarrow{\kappa_6} X_2 + X_3.
$$

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^6$, the positive steady state variety is

$$
\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = \left\{ \left(x_1, x_2, \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_3} x_1 x_2, \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_4} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_5}{\kappa_6} \right), \frac{\kappa_1 \kappa_3}{\kappa_6 (\kappa_2 + \kappa_3)} x_1 x_2 \right) : x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}^5,
$$

from where it is clear that $x_4 = \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_4}$ $\frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_4}(1+\frac{\kappa_5}{\kappa_6})$ is constant for any $x \in \mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$. The network has ACR for X_4 , but not for X_1 , as the steady state value of x_1 does not only depend on κ .

Example 4.2. For the network in [Example 3.9,](#page-8-1) the value of x_1 at steady state is a positive root of the polynomial $\kappa_1 x_1^2 - 2\kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_3$, and hence attains one or two values whenever $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_\kappa) \neq \emptyset$. Hence the network displays local ACR for *X*1.

Many works have explored ACR, both from the experimental and the theoretical points of view, see for example [\[ASBL99,](#page-20-3) [CGK20,](#page-20-4) [GPGH](#page-21-7)+25, [KPMD](#page-21-8)+12, [MST22,](#page-21-9) [PEF22,](#page-22-1) [SF10\]](#page-22-2). However, no general procedure allows to detect it in practice, outside of specific contexts or under restrictive hypotheses. Previous work in the literature has attempted to understand ACR at the level of the ideal generated by f_k , often for fixed values of κ . One of the first sources of this is [\[PM11\]](#page-22-0), and a more recent account of this approach can be found in [\[GPGH](#page-21-7)+25]. However, understanding the positive part of an algebraic variety for *all* parameter values is a challenging problem as pathologies can easily arise for specific values of κ (such as the variety having real dimension lower than expected). Several of these pathologies are discussed in [\[GPGH](#page-21-7)⁺25].

As illustrated by [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and [Theorem 3.4,](#page-7-0) the behavior of the positive variety for *generic* parameter values is nonpathological and resembles that of the complex variety. This leads us to introduce the concepts of *generic ACR* and *generic local ACR*, and we show that these properties can be characterized in a more satisfactory way.

In what follows, we let $\pi_i: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the projection onto the x_i -coordinate.

Definition 4.3. For a reaction network assume that the steady state system f is nondegenerate. For a fixed $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, we say that $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ has

- *local ACR* for X_i if $\#\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})) < \infty$,
- *ACR* for X_i if $\#\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})) = 1$.

We furthermore say that the network has

- *generic local ACR* for X_i if $\#\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})) < \infty$ for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$,
- *generic ACR* for X_i if $\#\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})) = 1$ for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$.

If the properties hold for all $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, then we say that the network has local ACR or ACR for X_i respectively.

The following theorem settles that a linear algebra condition arising from [\[PEF22,](#page-22-1) Theorem 5.3], the study of elimination ideals over the coefficient field $\mathbb{C}(\kappa)$, or the study of the complex counterpart of $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$, all completely characterize generic (local) ACR.

Theorem 4.4. *Consider a reaction network with stoichiometric matrix* $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ and kinetic *matrix* $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$. Let $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_s)$ be the steady state system [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2), and let $C \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times m}$ be *of full rank s* with $\text{ker}(N) = \text{ker}(C)$ *. Suppose f is nondegenerate. The following are equivalent:*

- *(i)* The network has generic local ACR for X_i .
- *(ii)* $\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}))$ *is a finite set for generic* $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$ *.*
- (iii) $rk(C \text{ diag}(w) M_{\backslash i}^{\top}) \leq s 1$ *for all* $w \in \text{ker}(C)$ *, where* $M_{\backslash i}$ *is* M *without the <i>i*-th row.
- *(iv) For* $I := \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x^{\pm}],$ *the elimination ideal* $I \cap \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x_i^{\pm}]$ *is generated by one nonconstant polynomial.*

Furthermore:

- *If the network does not have generic local ACR for* X_i , then, for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ *has no (local)* ACR *for* X_i *.*
- If the network has generic local ACR for X_i and for a specific $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ every irreducible compo n_{ent} *of* $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ *that intersects* $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ *contains a nondegenerate zero of* f_{κ} *, then* $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ *has local ACR for* X_i *. In particular, the network has local ACR for* X_i *if* $rk(C \text{ diag}(v)M^{\top}) = s$ *for* all $v \in \text{ker}(C) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{>0}^m$.

The proof of [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) is given in [Section 6.2,](#page-19-0) as it relies on a general result on augmented vertically parametrized systems. We emphasize first, that condition (iii) of [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) can easily be rejected by taking one random choice of parameter value, and second, that conditions (ii) and (iv) of [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) refer to the complex variety $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$; hence generic local ACR cannot occur if the equivalent property does not arise over \mathbb{C}^* .

The following corollary is a direct consequence of [Theorem 4.4.](#page-12-0)

Corollary 4.5. *Consider a reaction network for which the steady state system f is nondegenerate. If the set of* $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ *for which* $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ *has local ACR for* X_i *has nonempty Euclidean interior, then the network has generic local ACR for Xi, and hence any of the equivalent statements (ii)-(iv) in [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) holds.*

Remark 4.6. Suppose $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n \times m}$. In this case, we can replace $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x^{\pm}]$ in [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) with the saturation ideal

$$
\langle f_1,\ldots,f_s\rangle_{\mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x]}:(x_1\cdots x_n)^{\infty}\subseteq\mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x]
$$

as this ideal equals $I \cap \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x]$ and monomials are units in $\mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x^{\pm}]$.

In $[\text{GPGH}^+25, \text{Proposition 3.8}],$ the ideal $\langle f_{\kappa,1}, \ldots, f_{\kappa,s} \rangle \cap \mathbb{C}[x_i] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_i]$ is studied for fixed values of $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, as a sufficient condition for $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_\kappa)$ to have ACR. It is also given as a sufficient condition that the elimination ideal of the saturated ideal is generated by a polynomial of the form $x_i - \alpha$ for some α . We settle here that the study of the generator of the elimination ideal after saturating $\langle f_{\kappa,1}, \ldots, f_{\kappa,s} \rangle$ completely characterizes whether (local) ACR arises generically.

Corollary 4.7. *Consider a reaction network such that the steady state system f is nondegenerate. The following statements are equivalent:*

- *(i) The network has generic ACR for* X_i *.*
- *(ii)* For $I := \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x^{\pm}],$ the elimination ideal $I \cap \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x_i^{\pm}]$ is generated by one *polynomial that has exactly one positive root for generic* $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Proof. Immediate from [Theorem 4.4.](#page-12-0) □

Example 4.8. In [Example 4.2,](#page-12-2) it holds that

$$
\{C \operatorname{diag}(w)M^{\top} : w \in \ker(C)\} = \{[-2w_1 + 2w_2 \quad 0] : w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}\}.
$$

We see that condition (i) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) holds, so f is nondegenerate. Removal of the first column gives a matrix of rank 0. Hence, condition (ii) in [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) is satisfied, and we conclude that the network has generic local ACR for *X*1.

Remark 4.9. Clearly, having generic ACR is necessary for the network to have ACR (for nondegenerate steady state systems). [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0) gives also that lack of generic local ACR implies that generically, there is no ACR. We illustrate here that other relations between these concepts might not hold.

We exploit an easy source of examples for ACR, which arise from networks with full rank $s = n$. When the number of steady states is finite, uniqueness of steady states readily gives ACR. Although these are not the interesting cases in applications, they allow us to understand what phenomena are not to be expected. In particular:

(1) *Generic (local) ACR does not imply (local) ACR*: The steady state system

 $f = (-\kappa_1 x_1 x_2 + \kappa_2 x_2^2 + \kappa_3 x_2, \kappa_1 x_1 x_2 - \kappa_4 x_2^2 - \kappa_5 x_2),$

which can be seen to arise from a network with mass-action kinetics, has positive zeros in a set with nonempty Euclidean interior \mathcal{Z} , and for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, there is only one such zero, namely *κ*2*κ*5−*κ*3*κ*⁴ $\frac{\kappa_2\kappa_5 - \kappa_3\kappa_4}{\kappa_1(\kappa_2-\kappa_4)}, \frac{\kappa_5-\kappa_3}{\kappa_2-\kappa_4}$ *κ*₂−*κ*₃</sub> and *X*₂. However, when *κ*₂ = *κ*₄ + and $\kappa_3 = \kappa_5$, the zero set consists of the points $\left(\frac{\kappa_2 x_2 + \kappa_3}{\kappa_1}\right)$ $\frac{x_2 + \kappa_3}{\kappa_1}, x_2$ for $x_2 > 0$, and hence there is no ACR (nor local ACR).

(2) *Absence of generic ACR does not imply that, generically, there is no ACR*: The network with one species

 $3X_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} 2X_1 \longrightarrow 2X_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} 3X_1 \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} 0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} X_1$

has steady state system $f = -\kappa_1 x_1^3 + \kappa_2 x_1^2 - \kappa_3 x_1 + \kappa_4$, which is an arbitrary degree three polynomial with coefficients of fixed and alternating sign. Hence, $\mathcal Z$ has nonempty Euclidean interior. Furthermore, in a nonempty Euclidean open subset of Z , f has exactly one positive root, so there is ACR for X_1 . However, also in a nonempty Euclidean open subset of \mathcal{Z}, f has three positive roots, and hence there is no ACR. As both presence and absence of ACR occur in nonempty Euclidean open sets, none of the properties arise generically for this network.

(3) *Absence of generic local ACR does not preclude local ACR for a specific κ*: The network with two species

$$
3X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} 4X_1
$$

\n
$$
2X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} X_1 + 2X_2
$$

\n
$$
X_1 + 3X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_3} 2X_1 + 2X_2
$$

\n
$$
X_1 + 2X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} 3X_2
$$

\n
$$
X_1 + X_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_5} 2X_1
$$

has $s = 1$ and the steady state system is

$$
f = x_1 x_2 (\kappa_1 x_1^2 - \kappa_2 x_1 + \kappa_3 x_2^2 - \kappa_4 x_2 + \kappa_5).
$$

For generic κ in \mathcal{Z} , the zero set is a nonlinear curve, and hence, there is no generic local ACR. However, for $\kappa = (1, 2, 1, 2, 2)$, we have $f_{\kappa} = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$, $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ consists of one (degenerate) point, and has trivially ACR for X_1 and X_2 .

The GitHub repository of this paper (cf. [Section 3.2\)](#page-9-1) also includes code for checking the rank condition in [Theorem 4.4.](#page-12-0) When applying the condition to the networks in the database ODEbase, we found 48 consistent nondegenerate networks that have generic local ACR but not full rank (which would trivially imply generic local ACR). For this check, we excluded species that do not appear in the reactant or product of any of the reactions.

Example 4.10. Network BIOMD0000000167 satisfies the conditions for generic local ACR in *X*9. In fact, we have generic ACR, since

$$
\kappa_2 \kappa_4^2 \kappa_6^2 \kappa_7 \kappa_{10} (\kappa_{11}^2 + 2\kappa_{11} \kappa_{13} + \kappa_{13}^2) x_9^2 - \kappa_1 \kappa_3^2 \kappa_5^2 \kappa_8 \kappa_9 (\kappa_{12}^2 + 2\kappa_{12} \kappa_{14} + \kappa_{14}^2) \in \langle f \rangle \cap \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x_9^{\pm}]
$$

which clearly has a unique positive root for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{14}_{>0}$.

5. Nondegenerate multistationarity

A network is said to be *multistationary* if there exists $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$
2 \leq \# \mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})\,.
$$

We say that the network admits *nondegenerate multistationary* if in addition the elements in $V_{>0}(F_{\kappa,b})$ are nondegenerate steady states. The following theorem, which is strengthening of [\[CFMW17,](#page-20-12) Theorem 1], shows that, for a special type of networks, multistationarity and nondegenerate multistationarity go hand in hand. The concept of *dissipative* networks, which generalizes conservative networks, refers to networks for which, given κ and b , there exists a compact set *C* such that the trajectories of (2.1) in \mathcal{P}_b eventually remain in *C*; see [\[CFMW17\]](#page-20-12) for details. Recall the matrix $Q_F(w, h)$ given in [\(2.5\)](#page-5-2).

Theorem 5.1. *Consider a reaction network with kinetic matrix* $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n \times m}$ *. Assume that the network lacks relevant boundary steady states and is dissipative, and let F be the augmented steady state system. Assume that* $det(Q_F(w, h))$ *attains both positive and negative signs for* $(w, h) \in (\ker(N) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. Then the network admits at least three nondegenerate positive *steady states for some choice of parameters.*

Proof. On the one hand, by [\[CFMW17,](#page-20-12) Theorem 1], there exists $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ (depending on rk(*N*) and a choice of a specific order of the rows of $J_{F_{\kappa,b}}(x)$) such that the network is multistationary if

$$
\text{sign}(\det(J_{F_{\kappa^*,Lx^*}}(x^*)) = \varepsilon \tag{5.1}
$$

for some $\kappa^* \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$ and $x^* \in V_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$. More specifically, [\[CFMW17,](#page-20-12) Theorem 1] states that if [\(5.1\)](#page-15-2) holds, the network has more than two steady states for this κ^* and $b = Lx^*$, and if all steady states are nondegenerate, then there is an odd number of them.

By [Proposition 2.3](#page-5-1) and hypothesis, as $det(Q_F(w, h))$ takes both signs, there exists $(w, h) \in (\ker(N) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ such that the sign of $\det(Q_F(w, h))$ is ε . Then $(\kappa^*, b^*, x^*) := \phi(w, h)$ (with ϕ as in [\(2.4\)](#page-5-0)) is such that x^* is a nondegenerate steady state for the reaction rate constant *κ* [∗] and there is multistationarity for *b* ∗ .

On one hand, the implicit function theorem provides a Euclidean ball $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ containing (κ^*, b^*) , such that for all $(\kappa, b) \in B$, $F_{\kappa,b}$ has a nondegenerate zero *x* and the sign of $\det(J_{F_{\kappa,b}}(x))$ is preserved, that is, is ε .

On the other hand, [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) and the existence of a nondegenerate steady state tells us that all steady states are nondegenerate for all (κ, b) in a nonempty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$. We conclude that for any parameter point (κ, b) in the intersection $\mathcal{U} \cap B$, which is nonempty, all steady states are nondegenerate and at least one steady state satisfies [\(5.1\)](#page-15-2). Hence there is an odd number of them and at least two, giving the statement. \Box

Next, we give conditions that ensure that a network with the capacity for multistationarity also has the capacity for nondegenerate multistationarity. This can be seen as a version of the Nondegeneracy Conjecture from [\[JS17,](#page-21-16) [JTZ24,](#page-21-15) [SdW19\]](#page-22-4). We note that, trivially, degenerate networks cannot exhibit nondegenerate multistationarity.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that a network has at least two isolated positive steady states for a given parameter value* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and that furthermore one of the following conditions holds:

- *(i)* The network is nondegenerate and $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa',b'})$ is finite for all (κ',b') in an open neighborhood *of* (κ, b) *in* $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ *.*
- *(ii) At least one of the positive steady states for* (κ, b) *is an isolated point in* $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ *.*

Then there also exists a choice of parameters such that the network has at least two nondegenerate positive steady states.

Proof. Consider the parametrization of \mathcal{Z}_{cc} , obtained by composing the restriction of ϕ in [\(2.4\)](#page-5-0) to the positive real orthant with the projection map:

$$
\varphi \colon (\ker(N) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (v, h) \mapsto (v \circ h^M, Lh^{-1}),
$$

where $d = n - s$. By the definition of ϕ , the preimage of (κ, b) by φ is in one-to-one correspondence with the positive zeros of $F_{\kappa,b}$. An easy dimension count shows that both the domain and codomain of φ are differential manifolds of dimension $m + d$. By definition, $\text{im}(\varphi) = \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$.

Note that the network is nondegenerate, so in particular, \mathcal{Z}_{cc} is a full-dimensional semialgebraic set. The nondegeneracy is an assumption in case (i). In case (ii), the theorem of dimension of fibers [\[Mum76,](#page-21-26) Theorem 3.13, Corollary 3.15] says that if $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ has an isolated point, then $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa',b'})$ is nonempty and finite for generic $(\kappa',b') \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^d$, so the network is nondegenerate by [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0)

The set \mathcal{D}_{cc} of parameter values for which there is a degenerate steady state coincides with the set of critical values of φ , and the Zariski closure $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ of \mathcal{D}_{cc} is a proper algebraic variety under the assumption of nondegeneracy [\[FHPE24,](#page-21-5) Proposition 3.4]. So

$$
T := \varphi^{-1}(H) \subseteq (\ker(N) \cap \mathbb{R}^m_{>0}) \times \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}
$$

is a proper Zariski closed subset.

By assumption, there exists a point $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$, such that its fiber contains at least two isolated points $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \varphi^{-1}(\kappa, b)$. If we are in case (ii), we can in addition assume that ξ_1 corresponds to an isolated point in $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$.

In order to have something to prove, we assume that at least one of ξ_1 and ξ_2 corresponds to a degenerate steady state, which implies that $(\kappa, b) \in H$. We want to show that there exist $(\kappa', b') \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$
1 < \#\varphi^{-1}(\kappa', b') < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad (\kappa', b') \notin H. \tag{5.2}
$$

Let U_1 and U_2 be disjoint open balls in $(\text{ker}(N) \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ around ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, such that they only contain these preimages of (κ, b) . Then $(\kappa, b) \in \varphi(U_1) \cap \varphi(U_2)$. Both $\varphi(U_1)$ and $\varphi(U_2)$ have nonempty Euclidean interior, as any ball around (κ, b) contains points not in *H*.

If $V := (\varphi(U_1) \cap \varphi(U_2))^{\circ} \neq \varnothing$, that is, the intersection has nonempty interior, then for all $(\kappa', b') \in V$, the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(\kappa', b')$ contains at least two distinct points: one in U_1 and one in U_2 . Any $(\kappa', b') \in V \setminus H$ now satisfies [\(5.2\)](#page-16-0).

If the above does not hold, that is $(\varphi(U_1) \cap \varphi(U_2))^{\circ} = \varnothing$, then necessarily (κ, b) is neither in $\varphi(U_1)^\circ$ nor $\varphi(U_2)^\circ$. To complete the proof, it is enough to show that φ is not injective on $U_1 \setminus T$ by using that (κ, b) is a boundary point of $\varphi(U_1)$.

We first show that there exists an open neighborhood $U \subseteq U_1$ of ξ_1 , such that the fibers of $\varphi_{|U|}$ are finite. This is trivial in case (i). For case (ii), we use the fact that the map $\xi \mapsto \dim_{\xi}(\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi)))$, where dim_ξ denotes the local dimension at ξ and $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the extension of φ to $\mathbb C$ as in [\(6.1\)](#page-18-1) below, is upper semi-continuous [\[Sta24,](#page-22-7) 02FZ]. As by assumption, ξ_1 is isolated $\text{Im } \varphi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\kappa, b)$, it holds that $\text{dim}_{\xi_1}(\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\kappa, b)) = 0$ and hence, there is an open neighborhood $U \subseteq U_1$ of ξ_1 such that $\varphi_{|U}^{-1}$ $\chi_{|U}^{-1}(\varphi_{|U}(\xi))$ is finite for all $\xi \in U$.

Aiming for a contradiction, we assume that φ is injective on $U \setminus T$. As all fibers of $\varphi_{|U|}$ are finite, the Main Theorem in [\[BOT06\]](#page-20-13) tells us that $\varphi_{|U}$ is injective and hence an open map by the theorem of invariance of domain. Hence, ξ_1 is mapped to a point in the interior of $\varphi(U_1)$, thus contradicting the fact that (κ, b) is a boundary point of $\varphi(U_1)$. This concludes the proof. \Box

We remark that case (ii) of [Theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) implies that the network is nondegenerate and holds if $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(F_{\kappa,b})$ is finite. For nondegenerate networks, case (i) holds if the sets $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa',b'})$ are finite for all parameter choices (as required for the original Nondegenerate Conjecture in [\[JS17\]](#page-21-16).) Note also that (i) can be replaced by $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(F_{\kappa',b'})$ being finite *and nonempty* for all (κ',b') in an open neighborhood of (κ, b) in $\mathbb{R}^m_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ (which already implies nondegeneracy of the network).

Example 5.3. To understand some of the phenomena behind assumptions (i) and (ii) in [Theorem 5.2,](#page-15-0) we consider the network with $s = n = 2$ and stoichiometric and kinetic matrix

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 & -6 & 11 & -4 & 12 & -14 & 1 & -6 & 15 & -18 & 10 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 2 & -6 & 11 & -4 & 12 & -14 & 1 & -6 & 15 & -18 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
$$

which gives rise to a degenerate steady state system f (hence all positive steady states are degenerate). Taking $\kappa = (1, \ldots, 1)$ gives

$$
f_{\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 x_2 ((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2) ((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2) \\ x_1 x_2 ((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2) ((x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2) \end{pmatrix}
$$
(5.3)

for which $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = \{(1,1), (1,2)\}\$, and hence has two (isolated) points. Therefore, we have multistationarity but not nondegenerate multistationarity. Note that condition (ii) of [Theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) is not satisfied, as $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_\kappa)$ is infinite for this κ . The second part of condition (i) of [Theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) is not satisfied either, as $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ is infinite for any $\kappa = (1, \ldots, 1, a, a)$ with $0 < a < 1$.

Remark 5.4. One might expect that the existence of two isolated positive steady states for some parameter value (κ, b) in a nondegenerate network is sufficient for nondegenerate multistationarity. However, some additional assumptions, like assumptions (i) or (ii) in [Theorem 5.2,](#page-15-0) are needed to guarantee that multiple nondegenerate steady states arise for some small perturbation of (κ, b) .

To illustrate this, we consider a modification of [Example 5.3](#page-16-1) with matrices

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 2 & -6 & 11 & -4 & 12 & -14 & 1 & -6 & 15 & -18 & 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 2 & -6 & 11 & -4 & 12 & -14 & 1 & -6 & 15 & 0 & -18 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 15},
$$

\n
$$
M = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2 \times 15}.
$$

The matrix *N* has full rank, the steady state system f_k is nondegenerate, and $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_k)$ has generically four elements.

An easy computation shows that if either $\kappa_{12} = \kappa_{13}$ or $\kappa_{14} = \kappa_{15}$, but not simultaneously, then there are no positive steady states. If $\kappa_{12} = \kappa_{13}$ and $\kappa_{14} = \kappa_{15}$, then $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa})$ has dimension 1 (hence all zeros are degenerate), and for $\kappa^* = (1, \ldots, 1)$, f_{κ^*} coincides with [\(5.3\)](#page-17-0), and there are thus two degenerate and isolated positive steady states. Analogously to [Example 5.3,](#page-16-1) assumptions (i) and (ii) of [Theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) are not satisfied.

We show next that any perturbation of κ^* yields a system without nondegenerate real zeros. To see this, we need to assume $\kappa_{12} \neq \kappa_{13}$ and $\kappa_{14} \neq \kappa_{15}$, in which case the polynomial $f_{\kappa,1} - f_{\kappa,2}$ yields $x_2 = \frac{5(\kappa_{14}-\kappa_{15})}{9(\kappa_{12}-\kappa_{12})}$ $\frac{\partial (k_{14}-k_{15})}{\partial (k_{12}-k_{13})}$ at any zero. By inserting this expression into $f_{\kappa,1}$ and clearing denominators and the factor $x_1 x_2$, we obtain a degree 4 polynomial in x_1 . We reparametrize the polynomial using $\kappa_{12} = \epsilon + \kappa_{13}$ and $\kappa_{14} = a \cdot \epsilon + \kappa_{15}$ with $\epsilon \neq 0$ and $a > 0$ (so x_2 is positive), such that it becomes:

$$
g := \epsilon^4 \Big(6561 \kappa_1 x_1^4 - 26244 \kappa_2 x_1^3 + (4050 \, a^2 \kappa_3 - 21870 \, a \, \kappa_4 + 72171 \kappa_5) x_1^2 + (-8100 \, a^2 \kappa_6 + 43740 \, a \, \kappa_7 - 91854 \kappa_8) x_1 + 625 \, a^4 \kappa_9 - 6750 \, a^3 \kappa_{10} + 30375 \, a^2 \kappa_{11} - 65610 \, a \, \kappa_{13} + 65610 \kappa_{15} \Big).
$$

At $\kappa_i = 1$, for $i \in \{1, ..., 11, 13, 15\}$, *g* has the roots

$$
1 \pm \left(\frac{5a}{9} - 2\right) I
$$
, $1 \pm \left(\frac{5a}{9} - 1\right) I$,

i.e., either 4 complex roots, or 2 complex roots and a double positive root, independently of *ϵ*. Hence, no choice of $\epsilon \neq 0$ and $a > 0$ leads to nondegenerate positive steady states. If $a \notin \{\frac{9}{5}, \frac{18}{5}\}$ $\frac{18}{5}\},$ then any small perturbation of the κ_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 11, 13, 15\}$ yields a polynomial with 4 complex simple roots as well. If a perturbation for $a \in \{\frac{9}{5}, \frac{18}{5}$ $\frac{18}{5}$ yielded positive real simple roots, then the same would be true after perturbing *a*, which we already shown is not the case.

This reparameterization shows that any small perturbation of κ^* will yield a system with no nondegenerate real zero. In particular, this illustrates that extra assumptions such as (i) and (ii) in [Theorem 5.2](#page-15-0) are necessary if one aims at obtaining nondegenerate multistationarity by perturbing the given isolated zeros.

The system f_{κ} in this discussion admits, however, choices of parameters for which there are two nondegenerate positive steady states, but these are "far" from *κ* [∗] and hence there existence is presumably independent of the existence of two zeros for *κ* ∗ .

6. Proof of [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) and [Theorem 4.4](#page-12-0)

In this final section we give the full theorem on augmented vertically parametrized systems from [\[FHPE24\]](#page-21-5) for completeness, and use it to derive [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) and [Theorem 4.4.](#page-12-0)

An augmented vertically parametrized system is one of the form

$$
g = \left(C(\kappa \circ x^M), Lx - b\right) \in \mathbb{C}[\kappa, b, x^{\pm}]^{s+\ell}, \qquad \text{rk}(C) = s, \quad s \le n, \quad 0 \le \ell \le n - s.
$$

With $\ell = 0$, the steady state system is of this form (there is no linear part), and with $\ell = n - s$ so is the augmented steady state system. The complex incidence variety

$$
\mathcal{I}_g := \{ (\kappa, b, x) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^\ell \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n : g(\kappa, b, x) = 0 \}
$$

is nonsingular and admits a parametrization

$$
\phi \colon \ker(C) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^\ell \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n, \quad (w, h) \mapsto (w \circ h^M, Lh^{-1}, h^{-1}), \tag{6.1}
$$

analogous to [\(2.4\)](#page-5-0). We let

$$
\mathcal{Z}_g = \{ (\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^\ell : \mathbb{V}_{>0}(g_{\kappa, b}) \neq \varnothing \}.
$$

The following theorem is [\[FHPE24,](#page-21-5) Theorem 3.7] applied (with the notation of loc. cit.) to $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, combined with the considerations at the start of Section 3.5 and Remark 2.4 in [\[FHPE24\]](#page-21-5). Additionally, we add the condition (setZC), which is equivalent to (setZ) by [\[FHPE24,](#page-21-5) Lemma 2.6]. At the core of the proof is that degenerate zeros of *g* correspond to critical values of the projection of ϕ onto parameter space [\[FHPE24,](#page-21-5) Proposition 3.3].

Theorem 6.1. *For a real augmented vertically parametrized system*

 $g = (C(\kappa \circ x^M), \ Lx - b) \in \mathbb{R}[\kappa, b, x^{\pm}]^{s+\ell}$ *with* $s \leq n$ *and* $0 \leq \ell \leq n - s$,

assume that $\mathcal{I}_g \cap (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n) \neq \emptyset$. Consider the following statements:

- (deg1) $g_{\kappa,b}$ *has a nondegenerate zero in* $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ *for some* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ *.*
- (degX1) $g_{\kappa,b}$ *has a nondegenerate zero in* $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ *for some* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$.
- (degXG) *There exists a nonempty Zariski open subset* $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_g$ *such that for all* $(\kappa, b, x) \in \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n)$, *x* is a nondegenerate zero of $g_{\kappa,b}$.
- (degAll) *For generic* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}_g$ *, all zeros of* $g_{\kappa, b}$ *in* $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ *are nondegenerate.*
- (setE) \mathcal{Z}_g *has nonempty Euclidean interior in* $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$.
	- (setZ) \mathcal{Z}_g *is Zariski dense in* $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$ *.*
- $(\text{setZC}) \{ (\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}_{>0}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell} : \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^{*}}(g_{\kappa, b}) \neq \varnothing \} \text{ is Zariski dense in } \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell}.$
- (dim1) $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(q_{\kappa,b})$ *has pure dimension* $n-s-\ell$ *for at least one* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}_q$ *.*
- (dimG) $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(g_{\kappa,b})$ *has pure dimension* $n s \ell$ *for generic* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}_a$ *.*
	- (real) *For generic* $(\kappa, b) \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{R}^*}(g_{\kappa,b})$ *has pure dimension* $n s \ell$, and $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(g_{\kappa,b})$ *has dimension* $n - s - \ell$ *as a semialgebraic set.*
- (rad) $g_{\kappa,b}$ generates a radical ideal in $\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm}]$ for generic $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$, and g generates a *radical ideal in* $\mathbb{C}(\kappa, b)[x^{\pm}]$ *.*
- (reg) For generic $(\kappa, b) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$, $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(g_{\kappa,b})$ *is a nonsingular complex algebraic variety (in*) *particular, different irreducible components do not intersect).*

Then the following holds:

• *The statements* (deg1), (degX1), (degXG), (degAll), (setE), (setZ), (setZC), (dimG), (dim1) *are all equivalent to the condition*

$$
\mathrm{rk}\begin{bmatrix} C\operatorname{diag}(w)M^\top\operatorname{diag}(h) \\ L \end{bmatrix} = s + \ell \quad \text{for some } (w,h) \in \ker(C) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.
$$

- *Any of the statements mentioned above implies* (real) *and* (reg)*.*
- *The statement* (rad) *holds, independently of the other statements.*

6.1. **Proof of [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0)** We apply [Theorem 6.1](#page-18-2) to the steady state system *f*, where $\ell = 0$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_f$ for [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) or to the augmented steady state system *F* with $\ell = n - s$ and $\mathcal{Z}_F = \mathcal{Z}_{cc}$ for [Theorem 3.4.](#page-7-0) In both cases, the condition ker(*C*) ∩ $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \neq \emptyset$ implies $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{cc} \neq \emptyset$ by [Proposition 2.3,](#page-5-1) and hence $\mathcal{I}_f \cap (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I}_F \cap (\mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n) \neq \varnothing$. Therefore, we can apply [Theorem 6.1.](#page-18-2)

The equivalence between (i) –(vi) in [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and in [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) correspond to the first bullet point of [Theorem 6.1](#page-18-2) by using $(\text{deg1}), (\text{degAll}), (\text{setE}), (\text{setZ}), (\text{dim1}).$

For [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) we now have that if the equivalent statements hold, [Theorem 6.1](#page-18-2) gives that (dimG) and (real) hold, and so does the first bullet point. For the second bullet point, as (dim1) and (deg1) do not hold, we obtain the statement about dimension and degeneracy. As (setZC) does not hold, the complex varieties are nonempty only for parameters in a proper Zariski closed subset, hence generically empty.

The last bullet point of both [Theorem 3.1](#page-6-0) and [Theorem 3.4](#page-7-0) agree with that of [Theorem 6.1.](#page-18-2) For [Theorem 3.4,](#page-7-0) the first bullet point follows from (setZ), (real) and (degAll). \Box

6.2. **Proof of [Theorem 4.4.](#page-12-0)** We first show (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). As f is nondegenerate, Z has nonempty Euclidean interior and is Zariski dense in \mathbb{C}^m . Let H be the augmented vertical system constructed by appending $x_i - c$ to f, and let $\mathcal{Z}_H \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ be the subset of parameters (κ, c) such that $H_{\kappa,c}$ has a zero in $\mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. For each $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$, let c_{κ} be the x_i value of some $x \in \mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}),$ which is nonempty by hypothesis. Then $(\kappa, c_{\kappa}) \in \mathcal{Z}_H$ as *H* has a positive zero. Hence we have a dominant map of varieties

$$
\rho\colon \overline{\mathcal{Z}_H}\to \overline{\mathcal{Z}}=\mathbb{C}^m, \qquad (\kappa,c)\mapsto \kappa,
$$

where the overline refers to the Zariski closure in complex spaces. By construction, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $\rho^{-1}(\kappa) = \pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}))$ if nonempty. By the theorem of the dimension of fibers [\[Sha13,](#page-22-8) Theorem 1.25], we conclude that the fibers of ρ have generically dimension dim $(\overline{\mathcal{Z}_H}) - m$.

Condition (iii) is exactly the failure of the rank condition in [Theorem 6.1,](#page-18-2) namely that the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix} C \operatorname{diag}(w) M^\top \operatorname{diag}(h) \\ e_i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(s+1)\times n},
$$

with e_i is the canonical row vector with 1 in the *i*-th entry and zero otherwise, has rank at most *s* for all $w \in \text{ker}(C) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $h \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Hence, (iii) holds if and only if (setZC) does not hold, that is, $\overline{Z_H}$ is a proper Zariski closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}$, that is, has dimension at most *m*. This in turn holds if and only if the fibers of ρ have generically dimension 0, hence if and only if $\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}))$ has generically dimension 0, giving the equivalence with (ii).

For the equivalence between (ii) and (iv), let I_k denote the specialization of *I* to κ . The closure theorem gives us that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(I_{\kappa} \cap \mathbb{C}[x_i^{\pm}]) = \overline{\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}))}$ for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^m$ [\[CLO15\]](#page-20-14). So $\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}))$ is finite if and only if $I_{\kappa} \cap \mathbb{C}[x_i^{\pm}]$ is not the zero ideal.

Given $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x^{\pm}]$ a Gröbner basis of *I*, it specializes to a Gröbner basis of I_{κ} for generic κ . This gives that $I_{\kappa} \cap \mathbb{C}[x_i^{\pm}]$ is generated by one polynomial for generic κ if and only if $I \cap \mathbb{C}(\kappa)[x_i^{\pm}]$ is, and from this the equivalence follows.

As $\mathcal Z$ is Zariski dense, we readily have that (ii) implies (i). The proof of the equivalences is complete if we show (i) implies (iii). Given $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m$, let V_{κ}^{nd} be the union of the irreducible components of $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_\kappa)$ that contain a nondegenerate positive zero of f_κ . Then [\[PEF22,](#page-22-1) Theorem 5.3] states that (iii) is equivalent to $V_{\kappa}^{\text{nd}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n}$ having local ACR for X_i for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{m}$ for which $V_{\kappa}^{\text{nd}} \neq \emptyset$. By [Theorem 3.1,](#page-6-0) all zeros of f_{κ} are nondegenerate generically for $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ and hence, generically, $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = V_{\kappa}^{\text{nd}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. With this in place the equivalence between (i) and (iii) follows.

We now show the bullet points of the statement. For the first bullet point, if (i) does not hold, neither does (ii), and then $\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}^*}(f_{\kappa}))$ equals \mathbb{C}^* minus a finite number of points for generic $\kappa \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. As \mathcal{Z} is Zariski dense, it follows that $\pi_i(\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}))$ also is infinite for generic $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ and hence, generically, there is no local ACR.

For the first part of the second bullet point, the extra assumption implies $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = V_{\kappa}^{\text{nd}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ for the given κ . Then, the specialized version [\[PEF22,](#page-22-1) Theorem 4.11] of [PEF22, Theorem 5.3] gives that $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa})$ has local ACR for X_i if and only if the matrix $J_{f_{\kappa}}(x)_{\setminus i}$ obtained by removing the *i*-th column of $J_{f_{\kappa}}(x)$, has rank at most $s-1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{V}_{>0}(\tilde{f}_{\kappa})$. But this is guaranteed by (iii) and [Proposition 2.3.](#page-5-1) The second part follows again from [\[PEF22,](#page-22-1) Theorem 5.3], as the condition ensures $\mathbb{V}_{>0}(f_{\kappa}) = V_{\kappa}^{\text{nd}} \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n}$ for all $\kappa \in \mathcal{Z}$ by [Proposition 2.3.](#page-5-1)

REFERENCES

- [And11] D. F. Anderson. Boundedness of trajectories for weakly reversible, single linkage class reaction systems. *J. Math. Chem.*, 49:2275–2290, 2011.
- [ASBL99] U. Alon, M. G. Surette, N. Barkai, and S. Leibler. Robustness in bacterial chemotaxis. *Nature*, 397(6715):168–171, 1999.
- [BCY20] B. Boros, G. Craciun, and P. Y. Yu. Weakly reversible mass-action systems with infinitely many positive steady states. *SIAM J. Appl. Math*, 80(4):1936–1946, 2020.
- [BI64] A. Ben-Israel. Notes on linear inequalities, I: The intersection of the nonnegative orthant with complementary orthogonal subspaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 9:303–314, 1964.
- [Bor19] B. Boros. Existence of positive steady states for weakly reversible mass-action systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 51(1):435–449, 2019.
- [BOT06] A. Blokh, L. Oversteegen, and E. D. Tymchatyn. On almost one-to-one maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(11):5003–5014, 2006.
- [BP18] M. Banaji and C. Pantea. The inheritance of nondegenerate multistationarity in chemical reaction networks. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 78:1105–1130, 2018.
- [CF05] G. Craciun and M. Feinberg. Multiple equilibria in complex chemical reaction networks. I. The injectivity property. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 65(5):1526–1546, 2005.
- [CF06] G. Craciun and M. Feinberg. Multiple equilibria in complex chemical reaction networks: extensions to entrapped species models. *Syst. Biol. (Stevenage)*, 153:179–186, 2006.
- [CF10] G. Craciun and M. Feinberg. Multiple equilibria in complex chemical reaction networks: Semiopen mass action systems. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 70(6):1859–1877, 2010.
- [CFMW17] C. Conradi, E. Feliu, M. Mincheva, and C. Wiuf. Identifying parameter regions for multistationarity. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, 13(10):e1005751, 2017.
- [CFRS07] C. Conradi, D. Flockerzi, J. Raisch, and J. Stelling. Subnetwork analysis reveals dynamic features of complex (bio)chemical networks. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 104(49):19175–80, 2007.
- [CFW20] D. Cappelletti, E. Feliu, and C. Wiuf. Addition of flow reactions preserving multistationarity and bistability. *Math. Biosc.*, 320(108295), 2020.
- [CGK20] D. Cappelletti, A. Gupta, and M. Khammash. A hidden integral structure endows absolute concentration robust systems with resilience to dynamical concentration disturbances. *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 17:20200437, 2020.
- [CLO15] D. A. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea. *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, 2015.

the deficiency zero and deficiency one theorems. *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 42(10):2229–2268, 1987. [Fei95] M. Feinberg. The existence and uniqueness of steady states for a class of chemical reaction networks. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, 132(4):311, 1995. [Fei19] M. Feinberg. *Foundations of chemical reaction network theory*, volume 202 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, Cham, 2019. [FH77] M. Feinberg and F. J. M. Horn. Chemical mechanism structure and the coincidence of the stoichiometric and kinetic subspaces. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, 66(1):83–97, 1977. [FH24] E. Feliu and O. Henriksson. Toricity of vertically parametrized systems with applications to reaction network theory. *Preprint:* arXiv:2411.15134, 2024. [FHPE24] E. Feliu, O. Henriksson, and B. Pascual-Escudero. Generic consistency and nondegeneracy of vertically parametrized systems, 2024. Preprint: arXiv:2304.02302. [FW12] E. Feliu and C. Wiuf. Preclusion of switch behavior in networks with mass-action kinetics. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 219(4):1449–1467, 2012. [FW13] E. Feliu and C. Wiuf. Simplifying biochemical models with intermediate species. *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 10:20130484, 2013. [GES05] K. Gatermann, M. Eiswirth, and A. Sensse. Toric ideals and graph theory to analyze Hopf bifurcations in mass action systems. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 40(6):1361–1382, 2005. [GPGH⁺25] L. D. García Puente, E. Gross, H. A. Harrington, M. Johnston, N. Meshkat, M. Pérez Millán, and A. Shiu. Absolute concentration robustness: Algebra and geometry. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 128:102398, 2025. [GW64] C. M. Guldberg and P. Waage. Studier i affiniteten. *Videnskabs-Selskabet i Christiania*, pages 35–45, 1864. [HJ72] F. Horn and R. Jackson. General mass action kinetics. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, 47:81–116, 1972. [HT79] V. Hárs and J. Tóth. On the inverse problem of reaction kinetics. In *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai 30., Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, Szeged (Hungary)*, pages 363–379, 1979. [JEKF18] H. Ji, P. Ellison, D. Knight, and M. Feinberg. CRNToolbox version 2.35, 2018. available at <http://crnt.osu.edu/CRNTWin>. [JS13] B. Joshi and A. Shiu. Atoms of multistationarity in chemical reaction networks. *J. Math. Chem.*, 51(1):153–178, 2013. [JS15] B. Joshi and A. Shiu. A survey of methods for deciding whether a reaction network is multistationary. *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.*, 10(5):47–67, 2015. [JS17] B. Joshi and A. Shiu. Which small reaction networks are multistationary? *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, 16(2):802—-833, 2017. [JTZ24] Y. Jiao, X. Tang, and X. Zeng. Multistability of small zero-one reaction networks, 2024. Preprint: arXiv:2406.11586. [KD24] S. Kothari and A. Deshpande. Endotactic and strongly endotactic networks with infinitely many positive steady states. *J. Math. Chem.*, 62(6):1454–1478, 2024. [KPMD⁺12] R. L. Karp, M. Pérez Millán, T. Dasgupta, A. Dickenstein, and J. Gunawardena. Complex-linear invariants of biochemical networks. *J. Theoret. Biol.*, 311:130–138, 2012. [LK99] M. Laurent and N. Kellershohn. Multistability: a major means of differentiation and evolution in biological systems. *Trends Biochem. Sciences*, 24(11):418–422, 1999. [LMI⁺23] T. E. Loman, Y. Ma, V. Ilin, S. Gowda, N. Korsbo, N. Yewale, C. Rackauckas, and S. A. Isaacson. Catalyst: Fast and flexible modeling of reaction networks. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*, 19(10):1–19, 10 2023. [LSR22] C. Lüders, T. Sturm, and O. Radulescu. ODEbase: a repository of ODE systems for systems biology. *Bioinf. Adv.*, 2(1), 2022. [MFR⁺15] S. Müller, E. Feliu, G. Regensburger, C. Conradi, A. Shiu, and A. Dickenstein. Sign conditions for injectivity of generalized polynomial maps with applications to chemical reaction networks and real algebraic geometry. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 16(1):69–97, 2015. [MST22] N. Meshkat, A. Shiu, and A. Torres. Absolute concentration robustness in networks with lowdimensional stoichiometric subspace. *Vietnam J. Math.*, 50:623–651, 2022. [Mum76] D. Mumford. *Algebraic Geometry I, Complex Projective Varieties*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, 1976. 22

[Fei72] M. Feinberg. Complex balancing in general kinetic systems. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, 49(3):187,

[Fei87] M. Feinberg. Chemical reaction network structure and the stability of complex isothermal reactors—I.

1972.

- [OSC24] Oscar – open source computer algebra research system, version 1.0.0, 2024.
- [PEF22] B. Pascual-Escudero and E. Feliu. Local and global robustness at steady state. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 45(1):359–382, 2022.
- [PM11] M. Pérez Millán. *Métodos algebraicos para el estudio de redes bioquímicas*. PhD Thesis, 2011. Available at [https://bibliotecadigital.exactas.uba.ar/collection/tesis/document/tesis_](https://bibliotecadigital.exactas.uba.ar/collection/tesis/document/tesis_n5103_PerezMillan) [n5103_PerezMillan](https://bibliotecadigital.exactas.uba.ar/collection/tesis/document/tesis_n5103_PerezMillan).
- [PMDSC12] M. Pérez Millán, A. Dickenstein, A. Shiu, and C. Conradi. Chemical reaction systems with toric steady states. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 74:1027–1065, 2012.
- [SdW19] A. Shiu and T. de Wolff. Nondegenerate multistationarity in small reaction networks. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 24(6):2683–2700, 2019.
- [SF10] G. Shinar and M. Feinberg. Structural sources of robustness in biochemical reaction networks. *Science*, 327(5971):1389–91, 2010.
- [Sha13] I. R. Shafarevich. *Basic Algebraic Geometry 1: Varieties in Projective Space*. Springer, Heidelberg, third edition, 2013.
- [Sta24] The Stacks Project. Available at <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2024.
- [Vol72] A. I. Vol'pert. Differential equations on graphs. *Math. USSR-Sb*, 17:571–582, 1972.

Authors' addresses:

