High-Energy Fixed-Angle Meson Scattering and the Constituent Counting Rule in Holographic QCD

Adi Armoni,^a Bartosz Pyszkowski [©],^{b,c} Shigeki Sugimoto,^{b,c,d} and Dorin Weissman^e

- ^aDepartment of Physics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK
- ^bDepartment of Physics, Kyoto University,
- Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
- ^c Center for Gravitational Physics and Quantum Information, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,
- Kitashirakawa Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
- ^dKavli IPMU (WPI), UTIAS, The University of Tokyo,
- Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8582, Japan
- ^eAsia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Cheongam-ro, Nam-gu, Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea E-mail: a.armoni@swansea.ac.uk,
- pyszkowski.bartosz@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp, sugimoto@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp, dorin.weissman@apctp.org

ABSTRACT: We investigate the high-energy fixed-angle scattering of pions and ρ -mesons in a bottom-up holographic QCD model. To this end, we generalise the approach of Polchinski and Strassler [1] to write an ansatz for meson scattering amplitudes based on superstring scattering amplitudes in asymptotically AdS space. We demonstrate that our generalisation of the Polchinski–Strassler proposal is necessary to describe ρ -meson scattering consistently with the Nambu–Goldstone boson equivalence theorem. Our results for pion and ρ -meson scattering amplitudes are in agreement with the constituent counting rule found in QCD. Moreover, our proposal for 2-to-2 scattering amplitudes provides a method for computing scattering angle dependence.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	A Holographic QCD Model	4
3	Generalising the Polchinski–Strassler Proposal	6
	3.1 Brief Review of the Original Proposal	6
	3.2 Statement of the Generalised Proposal	8
	3.3 A Simple Example of the Generalised Proposal	10
4	The Constituent Counting Rule for Meson Scattering	12
	4.1 Power Counting in the Original Proposal	13
	4.2 Gauge Invariance in Vector Meson Scattering	14
	4.3 Results from the Generalised Proposal	15
5	Summary and Outlook	17
\mathbf{A}	Conventional Derivation of the Constituent Counting Rule	21
в	The Nambu–Goldstone Boson Equivalence Theorem	22
С	Open Superstring Scattering Amplitudes in Minkowski Space	23

1 Introduction

The early days of string theory can be traced back to 1968 when Veneziano first wrote down a cross-symmetric, Regge-behaved scattering amplitude meant to describe 2-to-2 scattering of low-lying mesons [2] (with some of the clues to the result found earlier in reference [3]). The cross-symmetric property of strong interactions, also known at the time as the duality hypothesis or the Dolen, Horn, and Schmid duality, suggested that the *s*- and *t*-channels of meson scattering amplitudes should be equivalent [4–6]. Moreover, in the Regge regime, where *s* is large and *t* is fixed, the scattering amplitudes were required to be $\mathcal{A} \sim s^{\alpha(t)}$. Both requirements were captured by the Veneziano formula:

$$\mathcal{A}(s,t) = \frac{\Gamma(-\alpha(s))\,\Gamma(-\alpha(t))}{\Gamma(-\alpha(s) - \alpha(t))},\tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha(s)$ and $\alpha(t)$ denote linear functions of s and t.

Around the time Veneziano published his formula, experiments conducted at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory investigating proton- and neutron-electron scattering at large s and t with their ratio fixed (i.e. in the high-energy fixed-angle regime) found that the differential cross sections for these processes exhibit power-law scaling with s [7–12]. Famously, these results reinforced the idea that hadrons are composite particles made up of point-like constituents collectively referred to as partons [13, 14].

On the other hand, the Veneziano formula (1.1) in the high-energy fixed-angle regime displays exponentially soft behaviour in s, and although the above-mentioned experiments at SLAC focused on proton- and neutron-electron scattering, it was soon recognised that elastic, high-energy fixed-angle meson scattering would also show power-law scaling with s. This, in consequence, invalidated the application of the Veneziano formula to the scattering of low-lying mesons in the high-energy fixed-angle regime.

Soon after the SLAC experiments, dimensional counting arguments in four-dimensional asymptotically free confining theories (e.g. in four-dimensional QCD) led to a prediction for the leading-order scaling with s of exclusive hadronic amplitudes in the high-energy fixed-angle regime, which matched the expected behaviour [15–17]:

$$\mathcal{A}(s,\theta_i) \sim s^{2-\frac{m}{2}} f(\theta_i), \tag{1.2}$$

where m is the minimal number of hard constituents making up the states being scattered, and $f(\theta_i)$ captures the dependence of \mathcal{A} on the scattering angles θ_i . Equation (1.2) is known in the literature as the constituent counting rule.

Today, with the aid of holography [18], we can reconsider whether string scattering can give rise to power-law scaling with s in the high-energy fixed-angle regime. An early breakthrough in this direction came from Polchinski and Strassler [1], who put forward a proposal based on a Virasoro–Shapiro-like amplitude formulated in hard-wall AdS space that appears to recover the leading-order scaling with s for n-point scalar glueball scattering amplitudes in agreement with the constituent counting rule. The problem of recovering the constituent counting rule and other partonic features from string scattering amplitudes was also explored in the context of holography in references [19–24]. In reference [1], Polchinski and Strassler further mentioned that their proposal can be used to study the scattering of states with arbitrary spin, in agreement with the constituent counting rule (1.2). However, in this paper, based on a study of vector meson scattering in the context of a holographic QCD model in an asymptotically AdS space (to be introduced in Section 2), we will show that the original proposal [1] can fail to recover the constituent counting rule and, more importantly, disagrees with the Nambu–Goldstone (NG) boson equivalence theorem. To address this, we will introduce a new generalised proposal that is consistent with both the constituent counting rule and the NG boson equivalence theorem.

Although the precise details of our generalised proposal are not essential at this stage, briefly examining it can help clarify our objectives. In the context of our holographic QCD model from Section 2, our proposal relates the high-energy fixed-angle limit of an *n*-meson scattering amplitude \mathcal{A}_n in four dimensions to an *n*-superstring scattering amplitude in a five-dimensional asymptotically AdS (AAdS) space:

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)},\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) = \int dw \sqrt{-g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n\left(p_M^{(i)},\zeta_M^{(i)}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \psi^{(i)}(w), \tag{1.3}$$

where $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$ and $\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}$ for i = 1, ..., n and $\mu = 0, ..., 3$ are the momenta and polarisations of the scattered mesons, respectively. The coordinates $x^M = (x^{\mu}, w)$ for M = 0, ..., 4parameterise the AAdS space, g is the AAdS metric determinant, and \tilde{S}_n is a differential operator obtained from an *n*-superstring scattering amplitude S_n of gauge bosons in fivedimensional Minkowski space, acting on the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ of the scattered mesons. Inside \tilde{S}_n , dot products are performed using the AAdS metric, and the fifth component of the polarisations $\zeta_M^{(i)}$, i.e. $\zeta_w^{(i)}$, is assigned based on the type of meson. The momenta $p_M^{(i)}$ will be defined later, along with other relevant details. In addition, note that the original proposal [1] can be recovered from our proposal (1.3) by simply setting $p_w^{(i)} = 0$ for all i(this will become clear once we review both proposals in Section 3).

In this paper, we will always work in the regime where $\alpha'/R_5^2 \ll 1$, with α' and R_5 denoting the Regge slope and radius of curvature of the five-dimensional AAdS space in its asymptotically AdS region, respectively.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we outline the essential features of our bottom-up holographic QCD model. The main findings of this paper can be found in Section 3 and Section 4. In Section 3.1, we review the original proposal. In Section 3.2, we present our generalised proposal. Then, in Section 3.3, we examine a toy model related to our holographic QCD model to motivate the generalised proposal.

In Section 4, we use both the original and generalised proposals in our holographic QCD model to determine the scaling with s of pion and ρ -meson scattering amplitudes in the high-energy fixed-angle limit. In Section 4.1, we illustrate how a naive application of the original proposal recovers the constituent counting rule for these scattering processes. In Section 4.2, we more carefully perform the previous analysis, observing that the original proposal fails to reproduce the constituent counting rule for processes with ρ -mesons, which, as we show, implies a contradiction with the NG boson equivalence theorem. In Section 4.3, we demonstrate how our generalised proposal recovers the constituent counting rule for pion and ρ -meson scattering amplitudes, consistently with the NG boson equivalence theorem.

Finally, in Section 5, we summarise our findings and discuss potential directions for future work. Three supplementary appendices are also included. In Appendix A, we review the derivation of the constituent counting rule based on dimensional counting arguments. In Appendix B, we review the NG boson equivalence theorem. In Appendix C, we provide evidence for a statement made in Section 3.2, below equation (3.17).

Conventions and Notation

We use natural units, where $c = \hbar = 1$, and adopt the mostly-plus sign convention for the metric tensor. The metric tensor g_{MN} is reserved for curved spaces, while $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the Minkowski metric. Uppercase Latin letters label Lorentz indices ranging from 0 to 4, whereas lowercase Greek letters represent Lorentz indices ranging from 0 to 3. We adopt the convention where all external momenta in a scattering process are treated as incoming. For 2-to-2 scattering with initial momenta $k^{(1)}$ and $k^{(2)}$ and final momenta $k^{(3)}$ and $k^{(4)}$, we define the Mandelstam variables as follows:

$$s = -\left(k^{(1)} + k^{(2)}\right)^2, \qquad t = -\left(k^{(2)} + k^{(3)}\right)^2, \qquad u = -\left(k^{(1)} + k^{(3)}\right)^2, \qquad (1.4)$$

in agreement with the conventions used in reference [6].

2 A Holographic QCD Model

In this section, we introduce the bottom-up holographic QCD model used in this paper to describe massless pions, as well as a tower of massive vector and axial vector mesons. The holographic realisation of these mesons is in terms of components of a five-dimensional gauge field, whose Kaluza–Klein (KK) decomposition includes a massless scalar interpreted as the pion, together with a tower of massive vector and axial vector fields, the lightest of which corresponds to the ρ -meson. For our purposes, the only necessary aspect to compute from the model is the asymptotic UV-behaviour of the pion and ρ -meson wavefunctions.

The holographic QCD model we consider is similar to the models in references [25, 26], which aim to describe QCD with N_f massless quarks. We assume that the low-energy effective theory of our mesons is a $U(N_f)$ gauge theory in five-dimensional AAdS space, described by the following action:

$$S \sim -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x dw \sqrt{-g} \operatorname{tr} \left[g^{MN} g^{PQ} F_{MP} F_{NQ} \right]$$

$$\sim -\int d^4x dw \sqrt{-g} \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{h(w)}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\sigma} + k(w) \eta^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu w} F_{\nu w} \right], \qquad (2.1)$$

where F_{MN} is the field strength tensor of the $U(N_f)$ gauge field A_M , the coordinates $x^M = (x^{\mu}, w)$ parameterise the bulk space, and recall that $M = 0, \ldots, 4$ and $\mu = 0, \ldots, 3$. We also assume that the metric in our model can be expressed by:

$$ds^{2} = g_{MN} dx^{M} dx^{N} = a(w) \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + b(w) dw^{2}, \quad -\infty < w < +\infty.$$
(2.2)

The functions h(w) and k(w) are defined as follows:

$$h(w) = \frac{1}{a^2(w)}, \qquad k(w) = \frac{1}{a(w)b(w)}.$$
 (2.3)

In addition, we assume that the metric (2.2) approaches the AdS metric as $w \to \pm \infty$, and that the functions a(w) and b(w) are non-singular, positive-valued, and symmetric under the transformation $w \to -w$ for all values of w. This setup is known to be consistent with confinement and chiral symmetry breaking in four-dimensional QCD [25, 26].

More precisely, the action (2.1) should be interpreted as the kinetic term for massless open string states, while the complete action of our theory is defined to include an infinite tower of excited massive string states, which UV-complete the action (2.1) (this draws on the understanding found in reference [27]).

It will be useful later to know the asymptotic behaviour of the functions a(w) and b(w), as well as that of the volume element $\sqrt{-g}$, as $w \to \pm \infty$ (i.e. in the UV-region):

$$a(w) \sim \frac{w^2}{R_5^2}, \qquad b(w) \sim \frac{R_5^2}{w^2}, \qquad \sqrt{-g} \sim \frac{|w|^3}{R_5^3},$$
 (2.4)

where R_5 is the radius of curvature of the five-dimensional AAdS space in the UV-region.

To recover four-dimensional physics from the action (2.1), we expand A_M in terms of the complete sets of modes $\{\psi_n(w)\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{\phi_n(w)\}_{n\geq 0}$:

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\mu},w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\mu}^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\psi_n(w), \quad A_w(x^{\mu},w) = \varphi^{(0)}(x^{\mu})\phi_0(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{(n)}(x^{\mu})\phi_n(w).$$
(2.5)

As the lightest vector meson state, $B^{(1)}_{\mu}$ will be identified with the ρ -meson field, while $B^{(n)}_{\mu}$ for n > 1 with a tower of heavier vector and axial vector meson fields. The zero mode $\varphi^{(0)}$ will be a massless pion field, identified with the NG boson associated with global chiral symmetry breaking.¹ The other fields $\varphi^{(n)}$ for $n \ge 1$ will denote fictitious NG bosons that will be absorbed by the fields $B^{(n)}_{\mu}$ via the Stueckelberg mechanism, making the fields $B^{(n)}_{\mu}$ massive in the four-dimensional theory.

Although we could choose to write A_M in a gauge that does not include the fictitious NG bosons, we have decided to retain them, as they will play an essential role later when we use the NG boson equivalence theorem in Section 4.

To obtain a canonically normalised action for the massless pion $\varphi^{(0)}$ and the massive mesons $B^{(n)}_{\mu}$, we impose the following orthonormality conditions on $\psi_n(w)$ and $\phi_n(w)$:

$$\int dw \sqrt{-g} h(w)\psi_n(w)\psi_m(w) = \delta_{nm}, \qquad \int dw \sqrt{-g} k(w)\phi_n(w)\phi_m(w) = \delta_{nm}.$$
 (2.6)

In addition, $\psi_n(w)$ and $\phi_n(w)$ for $n \ge 1$ are chosen to satisfy the following equations:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}h(w)}\partial_w\left(\sqrt{-g}k(w)\partial_w\psi_n(w)\right) = -m_n^2\psi_n(w), \qquad \partial_w\psi_n(w) = m_n\phi_n(w), \qquad (2.7)$$

where m_n^2 are the eigenvalues of the eigenequation written above on the left. For $n \ge 1$, it can be shown that m_n^2 are positive and correspond to the masses of the mesons $B_{\mu}^{(n)}$. Meanwhile, $\phi_0(w)$ is chosen to be proportional to $1/(\sqrt{-g} k(w))$, ensuring that it satisfies:

$$\partial_w \left(\sqrt{-g} \, k(w) \phi_0(w) \right) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

¹The interpretation of the modes $\varphi^{(0)}$ and $B^{(1)}_{\mu}$ as the pion and ρ -meson, respectively, can be shown to be further supported by their parity (see also reference [26]).

Given equations (2.5)-(2.8), the action (2.1) reads:

$$S \sim -\int d^{4}x \operatorname{tr} \left[\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{(0)} \partial^{\mu} \varphi^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} B_{\nu}^{(n)} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\mu}^{(n)} \right)^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_{n}^{2} \left(B_{\mu}^{(n)} - \frac{1}{m_{n}} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{(n)} \right)^{2} + \cdots \right], \quad (2.9)$$

where the dots above represent interaction terms. In the case where $N_f = 1$, the fictitious NG bosons $\varphi^{(n)}$ for $n \ge 1$ will always appear in the combination $B^{(n)}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{m_n} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{(n)}$, and thus can be absorbed via the Stueckelberg mechanism. More generally, however, it can be shown that these fictitious NG bosons can also be gauged away in the non-abelian case. On the other hand, the pion field $\varphi^{(0)}$ cannot be gauged away (see reference [26]).

The asymptotic behaviour of the pion and ρ -meson wavefunctions in the UV-region can be determined by inserting an ansatz of the form w^c (with c being an arbitrary constant) into equations (2.7) and (2.8) and requiring that it vanishes in the limit $w \to \pm \infty$, which is necessary to obtain the finite four-dimensional action (2.9). The pion wavefunction, due to the simplicity of equation (2.8), can be explicitly found given a specific metric:

$$\psi_{\pi}(w) \coloneqq \phi_0(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g} \, k(w)} \sim \frac{R_5^3}{|w|^3},\tag{2.10}$$

where, in the final expression above, we have presented the leading term in the UV-region. The asymptotic behaviour of the ρ -meson wavefunction in the UV-region is:

$$\psi_{\rho}(w) \coloneqq \psi_1(w) \sim \frac{R_5^2}{w^2}.$$
(2.11)

In equations (2.10) and (2.11), we have introduced a new notation for the pion and ρ -meson wavefunctions and omitted writing explicit normalisation factors.

3 Generalising the Polchinski–Strassler Proposal

In this section, after reviewing the original Polchinski–Strassler proposal [1] in Section 3.1, we generalise it in Section 3.2 and conclude with an example of our generalised proposal in Section 3.3. The main difference from the original proposal is that our proposal partially accounts for the bulk space momenta transverse to the momenta in the dual field theory. In contrast, the original proposal argued that such bulk space momenta could be completely neglected when examining the leading-order scaling with s of the dual field theory scattering amplitudes in the high-energy fixed-angle limit, under the assumption that $\alpha'/R_5^2 \ll 1$.

3.1 Brief Review of the Original Proposal

The original Polchinski–Strassler proposal [1] argues that the high-energy fixed-angle limit of an *n*-glueball scattering amplitude \mathcal{A}_n in a four-dimensional confining gauge theory, holographically dual to a superstring theory in five-dimensional AdS space with a cut-off, can be obtained from a superstring scattering amplitude in the same AdS space:

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) = \int dw \sqrt{-g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \psi^{(i)}(w).$$
(3.1)

In the previous equation, $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$ for i = 1, ..., n are the momenta of the scattered states in four dimensions, $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ are the wavefunctions of the scattered states, and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$ is obtained from an *n*-superstring scattering amplitude \mathcal{S}_n in five-dimensional Minkowski space within which the Minkowski metric was replaced with the AdS metric. In the original proposal [1], as mentioned before, the bulk space was a five-dimensional hard-wall AdS space. This space can be obtained from equation (2.2) by setting $a(w) = 1/b(w) = w^2/R_5^2$ and restricting wto $w_{\mathrm{IR}} < w < \infty$, where $w_{\mathrm{IR}} > 0$ serves as an IR cut-off.

Next, notice that S_n in the original proposal (3.1) does not depend on the momenta of the scattered strings along the *w*-direction, which we denote in this context by $k_w^{(i)}$. This is because reference [1] argued that $k_w^{(i)}$ can be neglected when $\alpha'/R_5^2 \ll 1$ (this claim will be clarified in the next subsection). However, as we will show in Section 4, $k_w^{(i)}$ is essential for obtaining ρ -meson scattering amplitudes that are consistent with the NG boson equivalence theorem in our holographic QCD model and thus cannot be completely neglected.

For completeness, we now provide an example of \widetilde{S}_n from reference [1]. In that work, the authors initially examined *n*-point scalar glueball scattering. In holography, glueballs correspond to closed string states, with the scalar glueballs in reference [1] identified with the dilaton. Hence, for example, in the case of 2-to-2 scalar glueball scattering, the dual superstring scattering amplitude at tree level in the original proposal (3.1) is given by:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_4\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) \propto g_s^4 \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha'\tilde{s}}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha'\tilde{t}}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{\alpha'\tilde{u}}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha'\tilde{s}}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha'\tilde{t}}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{\alpha'\tilde{u}}{4}\right)}\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}_4\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)}\right),\tag{3.2}$$

where g_s is the open string coupling constant, α' is the Regge slope, \widetilde{K}_4 is a kinematical factor that depends on the four-dimensional momenta $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$, and \tilde{s} is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{s} = -g^{\mu\nu} \left(k^{(1)}_{\mu} + k^{(2)}_{\mu} \right) \left(k^{(1)}_{\nu} + k^{(2)}_{\nu} \right) = -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{a(w)} \left(k^{(1)}_{\mu} + k^{(2)}_{\mu} \right) \left(k^{(1)}_{\nu} + k^{(2)}_{\nu} \right) = \frac{s}{a(w)}, \quad (3.3)$$

with similar definitions for the variables \tilde{t} and \tilde{u} . Here, note that a tilde above a quantity (e.g. \tilde{K}_4 or \tilde{s}) indicates that contractions of indices of momenta and polarisations inside it are performed using the AdS metric instead of the Minkowski metric.

Moreover, reference [1] demonstrated that, starting from n-point dilaton scattering in five-dimensional hard-wall AdS space, the original proposal (3.1) predicts the following behaviour for scalar glueball scattering amplitudes in the high-energy fixed-angle limit:

$$\mathcal{A}_n \sim s^{2-\frac{\Delta}{2}},\tag{3.4}$$

where $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i$ with Δ_i denoting the conformal dimension of the lowest-dimension operator that can create the *i*th scattered state. The authors also argued that Δ should be interpreted as the minimum number of hard constituents involved in the scattering process. Given this interpretation, the result (3.4) agrees with the constituent counting rule (1.2).

The authors in reference [1] further mentioned that their result (3.4) can be generalised to the scattering of glueball states with arbitrary spin (and potentially also to other states), in agreement with the constituent counting rule. However, as we will show in this paper, the original proposal requires modification before it can be applied more generally.

In the next subsection, we will present our generalisation of the original proposal (3.1). We will explain exactly why the original proposal requires modification later in Section 4.2.

3.2 Statement of the Generalised Proposal

In the context of our holographic QCD model from Section 2, we propose that an *n*-point scattering amplitude \mathcal{A}_n of four-dimensional mesons arising from a U(N_f) gauge field in five-dimensional AAdS space in the high-energy fixed-angle limit is given by:

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)},\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) = \int dw \sqrt{-g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n\left(p_M^{(i)},\zeta_M^{(i)}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \psi^{(i)}(w), \tag{3.5}$$

where $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$ for i = 1, ..., n are the momenta of the scattered mesons, which include pions, as well as vector and axial vector mesons.² The polarisations $\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}$ are reserved for the vector and axial vector mesons. Here, a key difference from the original proposal (3.1) is that \tilde{S}_n is a differential operator acting on the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ of the scattered mesons.

More precisely, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_n$ is constructed from an open superstring scattering amplitude \mathcal{S}_n for $U(N_f)$ gauge bosons, with momenta $p_M^{(i)}$ and polarisations $\zeta_M^{(i)}$, in five-dimensional Minkowski space. For 2-to-2 scattering at tree level, with the Chan–Paton factors omitted (a practice we adopt henceforth, unless specified otherwise), \mathcal{S}_4 is given by [6, 28]:

$$\mathcal{S}_4(p_M^{(i)}, \zeta_M^{(i)}) = F_4^{M_1 N_1 \cdots M_4 N_4}(p_M^{(i)} \sqrt{\alpha'}) M_{M_1 N_1}^{(1)} \cdots M_{M_4 N_4}^{(4)},$$
(3.6)

where:

$$F_4^{M_1N_1\cdots M_4N_4}\left(p_M^{(i)}\sqrt{\alpha'}\right) = -\frac{g_s^2}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\alpha's\right)\Gamma\left(-\alpha't\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\alpha's-\alpha't\right)} t^{M_1N_1\cdots M_4N_4}.$$
(3.7)

Here, s and t are the Mandelstam variables in five-dimensional Minkowski space, and t^{\cdots} is a tensor constructed solely from combinations of the five-dimensional Minkowski metric (for an explicit definition, see references [6, 28]). In addition, the tensor t^{\cdots} is antisymmetric under the exchange of its indices $M_i \leftrightarrow N_i$ and symmetric under the exchange of any pair of particles $(M_iN_i) \leftrightarrow (M_jN_j)$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$. Moreover, $M_{MN}^{(i)}$ is expressed as:

$$M_{MN}^{(i)} = p_M^{(i)} \zeta_N^{(i)} - p_N^{(i)} \zeta_M^{(i)}.$$
(3.8)

Returning to our proposal (3.5) for any n, we now provide a precise prescription for the case where $N_f = 1.^3$ We focus on $N_f = 1$ because the *n*-photon superstring scattering amplitude can be expressed in terms of $M_{MN}^{(i)}$ [30]. For 4-meson scattering, our proposal also holds for any N_f , as the 4-point open superstring scattering amplitude can likewise be written using $M_{MN}^{(i)}$, as shown in equation (3.6). The reasons that prevent us from giving a precise prescription for the case with $N_f > 1$ and any n will be discussed in Section 5.

In this context, S_n is given by:

$$\mathcal{S}_n\left(p_M^{(i)}, \zeta_M^{(i)}\right) = F_n^{M_1 N_1 \cdots M_n N_n}\left(p_M^{(i)} \sqrt{\alpha'}\right) M_{M_1 N_1}^{(1)} \cdots M_{M_n N_n}^{(n)}.$$
(3.9)

²From now on, we will often focus on the pion and ρ -meson. However, our findings will also directly apply to the other vector and axial vector mesons captured by our holographic QCD model from Section 2.

³Note that *n*-point scattering amplitudes of U(1) gauge bosons vanish when *n* is odd, as a consequence of charge conjugation symmetry (see Furry's theorem on page 318 of reference [29] for a reminder).

In the previous equation, F_n^{\dots} is a tensor formed from combinations of the five-dimensional Minkowski metric and momenta $p_M^{(i)}$ (see equation (3.7) for an example).

Following the preceding discussion, in our proposal (3.5), S_n for *n*-point scattering of U(1) gauge bosons and 4-point scattering of U(N_f) gauge bosons is given by:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n\left(p_M^{(i)},\zeta_M^{(i)}\right) = \widetilde{F}_n^{M_1N_1\cdots M_nN_n}\left(p_\mu^{(i)}\sqrt{\alpha'}\right)\widetilde{M}_{M_1N_1}^{(1)}\cdots\widetilde{M}_{M_nN_n}^{(n)},\tag{3.10}$$

where \widetilde{F}_n^{\dots} and $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$ are to be interpreted as F_n^{\dots} and $M_{MN}^{(i)}$, subject to the following replacements (i), (ii), and (iii). In analogy to this, in our generalised proposal, any other quantity with a tilde above it (e.g. \widetilde{s}) is also subject to the replacements (i), (ii), and (iii). Before we proceed, notice that the components $p_w^{(i)}$ are omitted in \widetilde{F}_n^{\dots} in equation (3.10) (a justification for this will be given soon).

(i) The five-dimensional Minkowski metric η_{MN} is replaced with the AAdS metric g_{MN} from equation (2.2):

$$\eta_{MN} \to g_{MN}. \tag{3.11}$$

(ii) The four-dimensional momenta $p_{\mu}^{(i)}$ are replaced with the momenta $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$, while $p_{w}^{(i)}$ are replaced with covariant derivatives $-i\nabla_{w}^{(i)}$ that act only on the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ with the same index (i):

$$p_{\mu}^{(i)} \to k_{\mu}^{(i)}, \qquad p_{w}^{(i)} \to -i\nabla_{w}^{(i)},$$
 (3.12)

with $\nabla_w^{(i)}$ and $\nabla_w^{(j)}$ commuting for $i \neq j$. Here, note that in our generalised proposal, only the terms $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$ act as differential operators, and that in these terms, $p_w^{(i)}$ can be replaced with $-i\partial_w^{(i)}$, as the contributions from the Christoffel symbols cancel.

(iii) The polarisations of the vector and axial vector mesons $B^{(n)}_{\mu}$ are set to:

$$\zeta_M^{(i)} \to \left(\zeta_\mu^{(i)}, 0\right),\tag{3.13}$$

while the pion $\varphi^{(0)}$ and fictitious NG boson $\varphi^{(n)}$ "polarisations" are set to:

$$\zeta_M^{(i)} \to (0, 0, 0, 0, \zeta_w^{(i)}).$$
 (3.14)

In addition, the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ are replaced according to:

$$\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\psi^{(i)}(w) \to \zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\psi_{n_{i}}(w), \qquad \zeta_{w}^{(i)}\psi^{(i)}(w) \to \phi_{n_{i}}(w).$$
(3.15)

Now, let us explain why $p_w^{(i)}$ can be neglected in \widetilde{F}_n^{\dots} . Here, note that the high-energy fixed-angle limit of an *n*-point scattering amplitude is the limit in which all generalised Mandelstam variables $s_{ij} = -(k^{(i)} + k^{(j)})^2$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $i \neq j$ simultaneously approach infinity, while their ratios, corresponding to the scattering angles θ_i , remain fixed. In this limit, the scattering amplitude depends only on s (i.e. s_{12}) and several independent scattering angles θ_i .

In the high-energy fixed-angle limit, the leading-order contribution in s to \mathcal{A}_n comes from the UV-region where $w \to \pm \infty$, since outside this region, \mathcal{A}_n is strongly suppressed by the exponential softness of the superstring scattering amplitude [1]. Then, focusing on the high-energy fixed-angle limit and the UV-region, we define \tilde{s} :

$$\tilde{s} = -g^{MN} \left(p_M^{(1)} + p_M^{(2)} \right) \left(p_N^{(1)} + p_N^{(2)} \right) \sim \frac{R_5^2}{w^2} s + \frac{w^2}{R_5^2} \nabla_w^{(1)} \nabla_w^{(2)},$$
(3.16)

where s is the Mandelstam variable in four-dimensional Minkowski space, and in the final expression, we used the identities $p_{\mu}^{(i)} \rightarrow k_{\mu}^{(i)}$ and $p_{w}^{(i)} \rightarrow -i\nabla_{w}^{(i)}$ and assumed that $w \rightarrow \pm \infty$.

Given the above discussion, the dominant contribution to the integral in equation (3.5) comes from the region where $\alpha'\tilde{s} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Now, recall from equations (2.10) and (2.11) that in the UV-region, the wavefunctions in our holographic model have power-law behaviour, implying that in this region $\nabla_w^{(i)} \sim 1/w$. Thus, \tilde{s} can be further simplified in the UV-region:

$$\alpha'\tilde{s} \sim \frac{1}{w_*^2} + \frac{\alpha'}{R_5^2},$$
(3.17)

where $w_* \coloneqq w/(R_5\sqrt{\alpha' s})$. Then, requiring that $\alpha' \tilde{s} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, we find that the leading-order contribution comes from $w_*^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \ll \alpha'/R_5^2$. Hence, the contribution from the second term in equation (3.17) to \tilde{s} can be neglected, assuming that $\alpha'/R_5^2 \ll 1$.

The discussion above should have clarified why reference [1] argued that $p_w^{(i)}$ can be neglected in \widetilde{S}_n . However, we emphasise that this is not true in general. The momenta $p_w^{(i)}$ can only be neglected in \widetilde{F}_n^{\dots} , while they must still be kept in $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$. Since, as we will see in Section 4, for processes with ρ -mesons, the leading term comes from $p_w^{(i)}$ in $\widetilde{M}_{\mu w}^{(i)}$.

Even though the dependence of \widetilde{F}_n^{\cdots} on $p_M^{(i)}$ extends beyond the Mandelstam variables (e.g. momenta $p_M^{(i)}$ with uncontracted indices may appear in \widetilde{F}_n^{\cdots}), a similar argument to the one above shows that $p_w^{(i)}$ can be neglected in \widetilde{F}_n^{\cdots} , provided that the leading-order term is non-zero. In Appendix C, we show that the components $F_n^{\mu_1 w \cdots \mu_n w}$ are non-vanishing, providing sufficient evidence to neglect $p_w^{(i)}$ in \widetilde{F}_n^{\cdots} in our generalised proposal.

3.3 A Simple Example of the Generalised Proposal

To motivate our proposal (3.5), we examine 2-to-2 scattering amplitudes in a toy model described by a five-dimensional action in AAdS space that, in addition to the kinetic term of the gauge field A_M from equation (2.1), includes the following terms:

$$S = \int d^4x dw \sqrt{-g} \Big[g^{MN} \partial_M X \partial_N X - M^2 X^2 + X g^{MN} g^{PQ} F_{MP} F_{NQ} \Big], \qquad (3.18)$$

where X is a massive real scalar field serving as the exchanged mode in the scattering amplitudes that we will study (see Figure 1 on the next page), and the metric is given by equation (2.2). For simplicity, we consider the case with $N_f = 1$.

To compute the scattering amplitude presented in Figure 1, we assume that A_M takes the following form:

$$A_{\mu}(x^{\mu}, w) = B_{\mu}^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \psi_{n}(w) = \zeta_{\mu} \psi_{n}(w) e^{ik_{\mu}x^{\mu}}, \qquad (3.19)$$

$$A_w(x^{\mu}, w) = \varphi^{(n)}(x^{\mu}) \phi_n(w) = \phi_n(w) e^{ik_{\mu}x^{\mu}}, \qquad (3.20)$$

where k_{μ} and ζ_{μ} are the on-shell momenta and polarisations of the mesons, respectively.



Figure 1. An *s*-channel Feynman diagram contributing to the 2-to-2 scattering of modes $B_{\mu}^{(n_i)}$ and $B_w^{(n_i)} \coloneqq \varphi^{(n_i)}$ with momenta $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$.

Given equations (3.19) and (3.20), the field strength tensor F_{MN} can be expressed as $i \widetilde{M}_{MN} \psi(w) \exp(i k_{\mu} x^{\mu})$, where \widetilde{M}_{MN} is a differential operator:

$$\widetilde{M}_{MN} = -i(\zeta_N \partial_M - \zeta_M \partial_N), \qquad (3.21)$$

and the wavefunction $\psi(w)$ must be replaced as in equation (3.15).

The interaction term in the action (3.18) corresponding to the three-point vertex on the left side of the diagram in Figure 1 can be written as follows:

$$S \sim \int d^4x dw \sqrt{-g} X g^{MN} g^{PQ} \widetilde{M}_{MP}^{(1)} \widetilde{M}_{NQ}^{(2)} \prod_{i=1}^2 \psi^{(i)}(w) e^{ik_{\mu}^{(i)}x^{\mu}}$$
$$\sim \int d^4x dw \sqrt{-g} X J^{(1,2)}(x^{\mu}, w).$$
(3.22)

In the equation above, we have implicitly defined the source term $J^{(1,2)}$:

$$J^{(1,2)}(x^{\mu},w) = g^{MN} g^{PQ} \widetilde{M}^{(1)}_{MP} \widetilde{M}^{(2)}_{NQ} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \psi^{(i)}(w) e^{ik^{(i)}_{\mu}x^{\mu}}.$$
(3.23)

Notice that the index (i) on $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$ and $\psi^{(i)}(w) \exp(ik_{\mu}^{(i)}x^{\mu})$ indicates that the combination $\psi^{(i)}(w) \exp(ik_{\mu}^{(i)}x^{\mu})$ represents the wavefunction of the *i*th scattered meson, and that $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$ acts exclusively on it.

The propagator for the exchanged five-dimensional real scalar field X can be formally derived from its Green's function G:

$$G(x^{M}; y^{M}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{1}{\nabla^{2} + M^{2}} \delta^{(5)} (x^{M} - y^{M}).$$
(3.24)

Furthermore, following the same arguments as in the previous subsection, we can neglect the ∇_w^2 -component appearing in the equation above assuming that $M^2 R_5^2 \gg 1$:

$$G(x^M; y^M) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{1}{-\tilde{s} + M^2} \,\delta^{(5)}(x^M - y^M),$$
 (3.25)

where we introduced the rescaled Mandelstam variable \tilde{s} :

$$\tilde{s} = -g^{\mu\nu} \left(k^{(1)}_{\mu} + k^{(2)}_{\mu} \right) \left(k^{(1)}_{\nu} + k^{(2)}_{\nu} \right).$$
(3.26)

Here, note that $p_w^{(i)}$ was omitted from the definition of \tilde{s} compared to equation (3.16).

Thus, an *s*-channel contribution to the 2-to-2 tree level scattering amplitude presented in Figure 1 can be expressed formally as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = \int d^5 x d^5 y \sqrt{-g(x^M)} \sqrt{-g(y^M)} J^{(1,2)}(x^M) G(x^M; y^M) J^{(3,4)}(y^M)$$

$$\sim \int d^4 x dw \sqrt{-g} J^{(1,2)}(x^\mu, w) \frac{1}{-\tilde{s} + M^2} J^{(3,4)}(x^\mu, w)$$

$$\sim \delta^{(4)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 k_\mu^{(i)}\right) \int dw \sqrt{-g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}\left(p_M^{(i)}, \zeta_M^{(i)}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^4 \psi^{(i)}(w), \qquad (3.27)$$

where:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} = \frac{1}{-\widetilde{s} + M^2} g^{M_1 M_2} g^{N_1 N_2} g^{M_3 M_4} g^{N_3 N_4} \widetilde{M}^{(1)}_{M_1 N_1} \cdots \widetilde{M}^{(4)}_{M_4 N_4}.$$
(3.28)

In conclusion, the result (3.27) offers a concrete example of our generalised proposal (3.5).

4 The Constituent Counting Rule for Meson Scattering

In asymptotically free confining theories in four dimensions (e.g. in four-dimensional QCD), scattering amplitudes of low-lying hadrons exhibit characteristic scaling behaviour with s in the high-energy fixed-angle limit, as described by the constituent counting rule [15–17]:

$$\mathcal{A}(s,\theta_i) \sim s^{2-\frac{m}{2}} f(\theta_i), \tag{4.1}$$

where m is the minimum number of hard constituents that make up the scattered states, and $f(\theta_i)$ captures the dependence of the scattering amplitude on the scattering angles θ_i (see Appendix A for a brief review of a derivation of the constituent counting rule).

Now, let m_j denote the minimum number of hard constituents in the j^{th} hadron, then:

$$m = \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j, \tag{4.2}$$

for a scattering process with n hadrons. In the context of the quark model, m_j represents the number of quarks that make up the j^{th} hadron. Thus, the prediction for the scaling of 2-to-2 meson scattering amplitudes with s in the high-energy fixed-angle limit is:

$$\mathcal{A}_4(s,\theta) \sim s^{-2} f(\theta), \tag{4.3}$$

while for n-meson scattering, we find:

$$\mathcal{A}_n(s,\theta_i) \sim s^{2-n} f(\theta_i). \tag{4.4}$$

In this section, we study the scaling with s of pion and ρ -meson scattering amplitudes in the high-energy fixed-angle limit, in the context of our holographic model from Section 2. Our objective is to reproduce equations (4.3) and (4.4). Before we proceed, recall that our holographic model contains an infinite tower of excited massive string states, which must be exchanged during meson scattering at high energies. To construct scattering amplitudes of pions and ρ -mesons that include these exchanged modes, we use the original proposal [1] in Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, and our generalised proposal in Section 4.3.

4.1 Power Counting in the Original Proposal

Now, applying the original proposal [1], reviewed earlier in Section 3.1, to an *n*-meson scattering amplitude \mathcal{A}_n in our holographic QCD model, we obtain the following expression in the high-energy fixed-angle limit:

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)},\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) = \int dw \sqrt{-g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)},\zeta_M^{(i)}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \psi^{(i)}(w), \tag{4.5}$$

where $k_w^{(i)}$ are set to zero for all *i*, as instructed by the original proposal. In the above, the polarisations $\zeta_M^{(i)}$ should be interpreted according to the equations (3.13) and (3.14). Further, recall that the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ must be replaced as in equation (3.15).

The 4-point superstring scattering amplitude was explicitly written in equation (3.10). However, we will not need to make detailed assumptions about the superstring scattering amplitude to obtain the constituent counting rule through simple power counting analysis.

It suffices to observe that the integral in equation (4.5) is dominated by the region where $w/(R_5\sqrt{\alpha's}) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ in the high-energy fixed-angle limit, as discussed in Section 3.2 and in reference [1]. Then, changing the integration variable to the dimensionless variable:

$$w_* = \frac{w}{R_5} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha's}},\tag{4.6}$$

will allow us to extract the s-dependence using the following observations.

The superstring amplitude S_n can in general depend on the various dot products of momenta and polarisations: $k^{(i)} \cdot k^{(j)}$, $k^{(i)} \cdot \zeta^{(j)}$, and $\zeta^{(i)} \cdot \zeta^{(j)}$ defined by contraction with the bulk metric (2.1). We can easily show that these after rescaling (4.6) are all of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

The simplest are the products $k^{(i)} \cdot k^{(j)}$ directly related to the Mandelstam variables. In the region where $w_* \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, these products behave as follows:

$$-k^{(i)} \cdot k^{(j)} \to -g^{\mu\nu}k^{(i)}_{\mu}k^{(j)}_{\nu} = -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{a(w)}k^{(i)}_{\mu}k^{(j)}_{\nu} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha' w_*^2} \sim \mathcal{O}(1).$$
(4.7)

As for the polarisations, let us consider pions and ρ -mesons separately. For the ρ -mesons, the leading contribution comes from the longitudinal polarisation $\zeta_{\mu} \approx k_{\mu}/m_{\rho}$, which is of order \sqrt{s} .⁴ Then, the products $k^{(i)} \cdot \zeta^{(j)}$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \cdot \zeta^{(j)}$ are seen to be essentially equivalent to the Mandelstam variables, and the previous argument (4.7) holds for them as well.

For the pions and fictitious NG bosons, the polarisation ζ_w is naively of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ since it is independent of momentum. However, when it appears next to the wavefunction $\psi^{(i)}(w)$, as in equation (3.15), we can use equation (2.10) and the fact that in the UV-region:

$$\phi_{n_i}(w) \sim \frac{R_5^3}{w^3},$$
(4.8)

to conclude that effectively $\zeta_w \sim R_5/w$ if and only if we take the wavefunctions entering equation (4.5) to be $\psi^{(i)}(w) \sim R_5^2/w^2$. Hence, effectively $g^{ww}\zeta_w\zeta_w \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$.

⁴Here, we are considering summing (or averaging) over the polarisations of ρ -mesons when computing the cross section. To this end, we use the expression $\sum_{\lambda} \zeta_{\mu}^{\lambda} \zeta_{\nu}^{\lambda*} = -\eta_{\mu\nu} + k_{\mu}k_{\nu}/m_{\rho}^{2}$, which indicates that, in the limit $s \to \infty$, the leading contribution comes from the longitudinal polarisation $\zeta_{\mu} = k_{\mu}/m_{\rho} \sim \sqrt{s}$.

Then, we can extract the scaling with s for all pion and ρ -meson scattering amplitudes from the following contributions (a) and (b).

(a) The integration measure, which in the UV-region becomes:

$$dw\sqrt{-g} \sim dw \,\frac{|w|^3}{R_5^3} \sim dw_* |w_*|^3 R_5 \left(\alpha's\right)^2. \tag{4.9}$$

(b) The product of wavefunctions, in which each wavefunction in the UV-region is:

$$\psi^{(i)}(w) \sim \frac{R_5^2}{w^2} \sim \frac{1}{w_*^2} \frac{1}{\alpha' s}.$$
 (4.10)

In total the product of *n*-wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ gives a factor of s^{-n} .

This completes the power counting argument, as we can write:

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)},\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) \sim s^{2-n} \int dw_* \,\mathcal{F}_n\left(w_*;\theta_i\right). \tag{4.11}$$

In the above, the s-dependence in the high-energy fixed-angle limit is entirely contained in the prefactor s^{2-n} . The function \mathcal{F}_n in the integral above depends on the precise form of the superstring scattering amplitude, wavefunctions, background, and the specific choice of polarisations, which together determine the scattering angle dependence of \mathcal{A}_n .

In conclusion, the result (4.11) seems to agree with the constituent counting rule (4.4). However, as we will soon demonstrate, our discussion in this subsection was incomplete, and the prescription above fails to capture the expected scaling (4.4) for scattering processes with ρ -mesons.

4.2 Gauge Invariance in Vector Meson Scattering

The power counting analysis from the previous subsection does not provide the full picture, even though it appears to produce results in agreement with the constituent counting rule. The crucial point missing from the previous power counting analysis is that the flat-space superstring scattering amplitude S_n is gauge invariant and must satisfy:

$$S_n\left(k_M^{(i)}, \zeta_M^{(i)}; \zeta_M^{(1)} \to \lambda_1 k_M^{(1)}\right) = 0, \qquad (4.12)$$

when all scattered states are massless. In the equation above, without loss of generality, we replaced $\zeta_M^{(1)} \to \lambda_1 k_M^{(1)}$. However, the same applies for $\zeta_M^{(i)} \to \lambda_i k_M^{(i)}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, with each λ_i being an arbitrary constant, as the gauge transformation can be performed locally on any asymptotic state.

The simple power counting argument from the previous subsection for the scaling of the scattering amplitudes with ρ -mesons assumed that ρ -meson polarisations scale as \sqrt{s} . However, this applies only to the longitudinal component of the ρ -meson polarisations, which is exactly the component for which S_n vanishes. Thus, a closer examination reveals that the original proposal [1] predicts that scattering amplitudes involving ρ -mesons are suppressed by 1/s relative to those involving only pions, or, in other words, it predicts that the leading term of order s^{2-n} in the high-energy fixed-angle regime vanishes. This prediction is problematic, as there is no a priori reason in field theory to expect that the ρ -meson scattering amplitudes should be suppressed compared to the prediction of the constituent counting rule. More importantly, we find that this result is inconsistent with our holographic QCD model, where gauge invariance should manifest itself through the NG boson equivalence theorem.

Recall from Section 2 that in our holographic model, the massive four-dimensional ρ -meson and its tower of excited KK modes $B_{\mu}^{(n)}$ arise from a massless five-dimensional gauge field A_M . The KK modes $B_{\mu}^{(n)}$ gain their mass by absorbing the degrees of freedom of the fictitious NG bosons $\varphi^{(n)}$ from the *w*-component of A_M . The NG boson equivalence theorem essentially states that the longitudinal component of the massive gauge field $B_{\mu}^{(n)}$ couples to the other fields in the same way as the fictitious NG boson $\varphi^{(n)}$ that it absorbed to gain mass. In abelian theories, this can be easily understood, as the two always appear in the combination $B_{\mu}^{(n)} - \frac{1}{m_n} \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{(n)}$. However, it is not difficult to show that the theorem holds more generally in non-abelian theories. We provide a brief review of the NG boson equivalence theorem in Appendix B for readers interested in further details.

Returning to the question of the scaling of scattering amplitudes involving ρ -mesons, note that the wavefunction of the fictitious NG boson $\varphi^{(n)}$ is given by $\phi_n(w) \sim R_5^3/w^3$ and its "polarisations" are $\zeta_{\mu} = 0$ and $\zeta_w = 1$. This implies, following the power counting arguments from Section 4.1, that scattering amplitudes with fictitious NG bosons $\varphi^{(n)}$ will have the same scaling as those with pions, and thus will not exhibit the suppression observed in scattering amplitudes with ρ -mesons.

Although the fictitious NG boson $\varphi^{(n)}$ is not a physical degree of freedom, the lack of suppression in scattering amplitudes involving these bosons still implies an inconsistency. The inconsistency arises because the NG boson equivalence theorem dictates that scattering amplitudes with longitudinally polarised ρ -mesons and fictitious NG bosons $\varphi^{(n)}$ should exhibit the same scaling with s in the high-energy fixed-angle limit. However, as we found, an application of the original proposal [1] leads to a contradiction with this statement.

In the next subsection, we will show how our generalised proposal from Section 3.2 recovers predictions consistent with the NG boson equivalence theorem and the constituent counting rule.

4.3 Results from the Generalised Proposal

Following our generalised proposal (3.5), we argue that in the high-energy fixed-angle limit an *n*-meson scattering amplitude \mathcal{A}_n to leading order in *s* is given by:

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(k_{\mu}^{(i)},\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\right) = \int dw \sqrt{-g} \times \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_n\left(p_M^{(i)},\zeta_M^{(i)}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^n \psi^{(i)}(w)$$
$$= \int dw \sqrt{-g} \,\widetilde{F}_n^{M_1N_1\cdots M_nN_n}\left(p_{\mu}^{(i)}\sqrt{\alpha'}\right) \prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{M}_{M_iN_i}^{(i)}\psi^{(i)}(w), \tag{4.13}$$

where the prescription given above holds for any n only for U(1) mesons, while for n = 4, it also applies to U(N_f) mesons. For the definitions of \widetilde{F}_n^{\dots} and $\widetilde{M}_{M_iN_i}^{(i)}$, consult Section 3.2.

Here, recall that in \widetilde{F}_n^{\ldots} and $\widetilde{M}_{M_iN_i}^{(i)}$, the four-dimensional momenta $p_{\mu}^{(i)}$ are replaced with $k_{\mu}^{(i)}$. In addition, in \widetilde{F}_n^{\ldots} , the components $p_w^{(i)}$ are set to zero for all i, while in $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$, the components $p_w^{(i)}$ are replaced with partial derivatives $-i\partial_w^{(i)}$, with each derivative acting exclusively on its corresponding wavefunction $\psi^{(i)}(w)$:

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}\psi^{(i)}(w) = \left(k_{\mu}^{(i)}\zeta_{\nu}^{(i)} - k_{\nu}^{(i)}\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\right)\psi^{(i)}(w), \qquad (4.14)$$

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mu w}^{(i)}\psi^{(i)}(w) = \left(k_{\mu}^{(i)}\zeta_{w}^{(i)} + i\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\partial_{w}^{(i)}\right)\psi^{(i)}(w).$$
(4.15)

Next, as discussed in Section 3.2, in the high-energy fixed-angle limit, the integral in equation (4.13) is dominated by the region where $\alpha' \tilde{s} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, as the superstring scattering amplitude exponentially suppresses contributions outside this region. In this region, \tilde{S}_n is of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ after acting on the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$. Thus, the leading-order scaling with s of \mathcal{A}_n can be obtained from the volume element and wavefunctions, as in Section 4.1. However, with our generalised proposal the scattering amplitudes with ρ -mesons no longer vanish due to contributions from the components $p_w^{(i)}$. Now, let us be more precise and show why these scattering amplitudes do not vanish. To this end, we compute the action of $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$ on the wavefunctions $\psi^{(i)}(w)$ in equation (4.13).

For pions, $\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)} = 0$ and $\zeta_{w}^{(i)} \psi^{(i)}(w) \to \psi_{\pi}(w)$, so the only non-zero contribution is:

$$\widetilde{M}^{(i)}_{\mu w} \psi^{(i)}(w) = k^{(i)}_{\mu} \psi_{\pi}(w) \sim k^{(i)}_{\mu} \frac{R_5^3}{|w|^3}, \qquad (4.16)$$

where in the final expression above we focused on the UV-region and recall that the pion wavefunction was given in equation (2.10).

For ρ -mesons, the leading contribution will come from the longitudinal polarisations. Taking $\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)} = k_{\mu}^{(i)}/m_{\rho}$, we observe that $M_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ vanishes, as a consequence of gauge invariance. However, in our generalised proposal, $\zeta_{M}^{(i)}$ is no longer longitudinal in a five-dimensional sense, since at high energies $\zeta_{M}^{(i)} \sim (k_{\mu}^{(i)}/m_{\rho}, 0) \neq p_{M}^{(i)}/m_{\rho}$ since $p_{w}^{(i)}$ is included. Then, in contrast to the result obtained with the original proposal [1] in Section 4.2, there is an additional non-vanishing contribution:

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mu w}^{(i)}\psi^{(i)}(w) = i\zeta_{\mu}^{(i)}\partial_{w}\psi_{\rho}(w) \sim \frac{k_{\mu}^{(i)}}{m_{\rho}}\frac{R_{5}^{2}}{w^{3}}.$$
(4.17)

In the final expression above, we focused only on the regime that provides the relevant result in the high-energy fixed-angle limit, specifically where $\psi_{\rho}(w) \sim R_5^2/w^2$ and $k_{\mu} \sim \sqrt{s}$.

Given that the scaling with w and s in equations (4.16) and (4.17) matches, and that there are no other polarisation-dependent quantities in equation (4.13) beyond those computed above, we conclude that scattering amplitudes of pions and ρ -mesons will exhibit the same scaling with s in the high-energy fixed-angle limit. Further, as already mentioned above, by following the same power-counting arguments as in Section 4.1, we can now faithfully reproduce the constituent counting rule (4.4).

Finally, let us comment on the NG boson equivalence theorem. From our discussion above, we find that the longitudinal component of the ρ -meson couples through the term:

$$\widetilde{M}^{(i)}_{\mu w} \psi^{(i)}(w) = i \frac{k^{(i)}_{\mu}}{m_{\rho}} \partial^{(i)}_{w} \psi_{\rho}(w).$$
(4.18)

For comparison, consider the fictitious NG boson $\varphi^{(1)}$. Its polarisation is in the *w*-direction, like the pion and the only coupling it has is:

$$\widetilde{M}^{(i)}_{\mu w} \psi^{(i)}(w) = i \frac{k^{(i)}_{\mu}}{m_{\rho}} \partial_w \psi_{\rho}(w), \qquad (4.19)$$

where we used the fact that the wavefunction of $\varphi^{(1)}$ is $\phi_1(w) = \frac{1}{m_{\rho}} \partial_w \psi_{\rho}(w)$, as written in equation (2.7). In conclusion, we observe that the couplings (4.18) and (4.18) are identical, reflecting gauge symmetry and indicating that the NG boson equivalence theorem is upheld.

5 Summary and Outlook

In this paper, we revisited the old problem of computing meson scattering amplitudes in the high-energy fixed-angle regime using the modern tools of string theory and holography. To this end, we generalised the Polchinski–Strassler proposal [1], originally formulated for glueballs, and applied it to study meson scattering in a similar spirit to reference [24]. Our focus was on the scattering amplitudes of pions and ρ -mesons. However, our findings can also be extended to the scattering of the other vector and axial vector mesons captured by our holographic QCD model from Section 2.

We pointed out that a naive application of the original Polchinski–Strassler proposal [1] to study the scattering amplitudes with ρ -mesons does not lead to the expected constituent counting rule. Nevertheless, the original proposal was sufficient to reproduce the behaviour of pion scattering amplitudes in agreement with the constituent counting rule. The failure to reproduce the expected behaviour for scattering amplitudes with ρ -mesons was due to the scattering amplitude vanishing when the polarisation of a ρ -meson was chosen to be proportional to its momentum. This property followed directly from gauge invariance of the superstring scattering amplitudes and hence was unavoidable in our holographic model, in which the ρ -meson is realised as a component of the five-dimensional gauge field A_M .

More importantly, the scaling behaviour for ρ -meson scattering amplitudes obtained from the original proposal [1] was inconsistent with the NG boson equivalence theorem, which asserts the equivalence between scattering amplitudes with longitudinal ρ -mesons and those with fictitious NG bosons in the high-energy limit. An application of the original proposal in the context of our holographic model showed that scattering amplitudes with fictitious NG bosons scale with s in the same manner as those involving pions, since both the fictitious NG boson and pion arise from the same component A_w of A_M and the scaling behaviour of their corresponding wavefunctions is the same in the UV-region. This resulted in an inconsistency with the NG boson equivalence, as the original proposal also predicted that amplitudes with pions did not scale with s in the same manner as those with ρ -mesons.

The main point of our proposal was to retain the *w*-derivative $\partial_w^{(i)}$ in the factor $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$, e.g. given in equation (3.21). Moreover, we argued that the terms involving $\partial_w^{(i)}$ in $\widetilde{M}_{MN}^{(i)}$ contribute the leading-order term in the limit $s \to \infty$ for ρ -meson scattering amplitudes and thus cannot be neglected. With this approach, both the expected constituent counting rule for ρ -meson scattering amplitudes and the NG boson equivalence theorem were recovered. To obtain the constituent counting rule from our generalised prescription for *n*-point scattering amplitudes, we focused on the case with $N_f = 1$. In this case, the dependence on polarisations in the scattering amplitude can be expressed in terms of the antisymmetric tensor $M_{MN}^{(i)} = p_M^{(i)} \zeta_N^{(i)} - p_N^{(i)} \zeta_M^{(i)}$, as shown in equation (3.9). This factorisation also holds for the particular case of n = 4 with generic N_f .

In Section 3.2, this property was needed to argue that, assuming $\alpha'/R_5^2 \ll 1$, we can neglect all factors of $p_w^{(i)}$ present in the superstring scattering amplitude outside of $M_{MN}^{(i)}$. The antisymmetry of $M_{MN}^{(i)}$ also enabled us to replace all covariant derivatives $\nabla_M^{(i)}$ in $M_{MN}^{(i)}$ with ordinary derivatives: $p_M^{(i)} \rightarrow (k_{\mu}^{(i)}, -i\partial_w^{(i)})$. Without this property, our prescription can, in principle, suffer from ordering ambiguities due to the non-vanishing of the commutator of covariant derivatives $[\nabla_M^{(i)}, \nabla_N^{(i)}] \neq 0.5$ Given this, our prescription might not be fully defined in the most general case of n > 4, $N_f > 1$ without resolving these ambiguities.

Expressing the scattering amplitudes in terms of $M_{MN}^{(i)}$ also allowed us to easily recover the NG boson equivalence theorem. However, for $N_f > 1$, the non-abelian structure may introduce additional terms. One counterexample is the 3-point function [6], and another is the 5-point function [31, 32]. These scattering amplitudes with odd n vanish for $N_f = 1$, but have non-zero expressions for $N_f > 1$, which are not of the form of equation (3.9).

To see where the difficulty might arise in the *n*-point scattering amplitude with $N_f > 1$, let us attempt to sketch a "proof" of the NG boson equivalence theorem in our context. In general, a flat-space scattering amplitude S_n can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}_n^{M_1 \cdots M_n} \zeta_{M_1}^{(1)} \cdots \zeta_{M_n}^{(n)}, \tag{5.1}$$

with each polarisation appearing exactly once, and S_n^{\dots} is a tensor formed from the momenta and the Minkowski metric.

Now, gauge invariance implies that S_n should vanish when one of the polarisations, without loss of generality $\zeta_M^{(1)}$, is taken to be proportional to its respective momentum $p_M^{(1)}$. If we decompose the five-dimensional momentum as $p_M^{(1)} = \frac{1}{m_{\rho}} (k_{\mu}^{(1)}, p_w^{(1)})$, this readily implies the following equality:

$$\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\mu M_{2} \cdots M_{n}} \frac{k_{\mu}^{(1)}}{m_{\rho}} \zeta_{M_{2}}^{(2)} \cdots \zeta_{M_{n}}^{(n)} = -\mathcal{S}_{n}^{w M_{2} \cdots M_{n}} \frac{p_{w}^{(1)}}{m_{\rho}} \zeta_{M_{2}}^{(2)} \cdots \zeta_{M_{n}}^{(n)}.$$
(5.2)

We can identify the term on the left-hand side with the scattering amplitude of the longitudinal ρ -meson, where we chose the polarisation to be $\zeta_M^{(1)} = \frac{1}{m_{\rho}} (k_{\mu}^{(1)}, 0)$. The term on the right-hand side must be identified with the amplitude of the fictitious NG boson, with polarisation $\zeta_M = (0, \zeta_w)$. The momentum $p_w^{(1)}$ acts once on the wavefunction $\psi^{(1)}$ to yield $\phi_1 = \frac{1}{m_{\rho}} \partial_w \psi_{\rho}$. The statement of gauge symmetry is that these two scattering amplitudes are equal, and from this, in principle, we should be able to recover the constituent counting rule for all amplitudes following the power counting argument from Section 3.2.

The issue with the generic, non-abelian n-point scattering amplitude is that, due to potential ambiguities in our prescription, it is not immediately clear whether the scattering amplitudes on both sides of equation (5.2) are well defined without additional input.

⁵Here, recall that the commutator $\left[\nabla_{M}^{(i)}, \nabla_{N}^{(j)}\right]$ for $i \neq j$ vanishes because the derivatives act only on their respective wavefunctions.

Despite these subtleties, since our argument holds for 4-point functions and there is no reason to believe that gauge symmetry will cause scattering amplitudes to vanish for n > 4, it is not unreasonable to conjecture that our prescription will reproduce the constituent counting rule for all *n*-point scattering amplitudes involving pions and ρ -mesons:

$$\mathcal{A}_n \sim s^{2-n} f_n(\theta_i), \tag{5.3}$$

where $f_n(\theta_i)$ can, in principle, be computed using our prescription, given the explicit form of the flat-space superstring scattering amplitude.

Our approach can be extended to many other types of mesons and scattering processes. In particular, it is simple to calculate scattering amplitudes that involve higher spin mesons by realising them as excited open string states [27]. Here, also note that our holographic QCD model already includes the a_1 -meson, described by the mode $B^{(2)}_{\mu}$. Therefore, it would be worthwhile to examine the scattering amplitudes involving these mesons in more detail using our proposal.

Although holographic models of QCD have shown promise in fitting a wide range of empirical data, computations of scattering processes remain less common in the literature. Existing works often focus on qualitative aspects, including proton-proton scattering via Pomeron exchange and Reggeization [33], pion-pion scattering [34–36], Reggeization [37], holographic corrections [38–40], and Pomeron/Reggeon dynamics [21, 41, 42]. However, a key advantage of our approach is that it can be used to compute the full angular dependence of the studied meson scattering amplitudes. Unlike the constituent counting rule, which is universal, the angular dependence will vary according to the specifics of the holographic model. As we have seen, the constituent counting rule is recovered from the UVregion, where the geometry is asymptotically AdS, and the meson wavefunctions decay with the appropriate power-law behaviour. The integral expression determining the angular dependence, given in equation (4.13), requires as input the full background geometry and wavefunctions, including their behaviour in the IR-region.

Most progress made in calculating scattering amplitudes and correlation functions in holography tends to rely heavily on supersymmetry and conformal symmetry, limiting their applicability to non-supersymmetric, confining geometries required for holographic QCD. Of particular note is the significant progress made in computing superstring scattering amplitudes in AdS space [43]. The small curvature [44], fixed-angle [45], and Regge limits [46] have also been investigated. It will be interesting to explore whether our generalisation of the Polchinski–Strassler proposal [1] can be connected to these works.

A great challenge for string theory is to describe baryon scattering. Hadron colliders, such as the LHC, scatter protons, not pions. It is an important and challenging task to describe such collisions, because in string theory baryons are described by D-branes, which are non-perturbative objects. We postpone this very interesting problem to future studies (see references [33, 37] for works in this direction).

Acknowledgments

We thank S. J. Brodsky and H. Kawamura for useful correspondence. BP also thanks Z. Du, Y. Fu, K. Ikeda, S. Moriyama, M. Ward, and X. U. Nguyen for insightful discussions.

AA was supported by STFC grant ST/T000813/1. BP was supported by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2110. DW was supported by an appointment to the YST Program at the APCTP through the Science and Technology Promotion Fund and Lottery Fund of the Korean Government. This was also supported by the Korean Local Governments of Gyeongsangbuk-do Province and Pohang City. The work of SS was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B)) grant number JP24K00628 and MEXT KAKENHI (Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Areas A "Extreme Universe") grant number 21H05187.

A Conventional Derivation of the Constituent Counting Rule

The constituent counting rule (1.2) can be derived from conventional dimensional analysis. Here, following the original references [15, 16] and the more recent work [47], we will briefly review this derivation. The constituent counting rule discussed in this paper is expected to be valid in asymptotically free confining theories in four dimensions.⁶ Our approach below follows an argument from perturbative QCD in four dimensions, and treats the asymptotic hadron states as free quarks moving together.⁷

In the high-energy fixed-angle regime, there is only a single relevant energy scale given by the momentum $P \sim \sqrt{s}$. For hadron scattering involving *m* constituent (anti-)quarks, we can focus solely on diagrams where each quark line is connected by at least one gluon, using the fewest gluons necessary. These diagrams will scale with the highest power of *s* in the high-energy fixed-angle regime. An example of such a diagram is shown in Figure 2.

In general, a minimal diagram includes:

- $\frac{m}{2} 1$ gluon propagators of dimension P^{-2} ,
- $\frac{m}{2} 2$ (anti-)quark propagators of dimension P^{-1} ,
- m external fermions whose normalised wavefunctions carry dimension \sqrt{P} ,
- m-2 interaction vertices with a dimensionless coupling constant.

Multiplying these factors together, we find that the amplitude will scale as P to the power:

$$-2 \times \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) - 1 \times \left(\frac{m}{2} - 2\right) + \frac{1}{2} \times m = 4 - m.$$
 (A.1)

Thus, we conclude that a scattering amplitude of n = m/2 mesons must scale as:

$$\mathcal{A} \sim s^{2-\frac{m}{2}} \sim s^{2-n}.\tag{A.2}$$



Figure 2. A minimal Feynman diagram for 2-to-2 meson scattering, where q_i and \bar{q}_i represent quarks and antiquarks for i = 1, ..., 4. In agreement with the counting above, this diagram has m = 8 external lines, 3 gluon propagators, 2 internal fermion propagators, and 6 interaction vertices.

⁶In confining theories with operators that have non-vanishing anomalous dimensions in the UV-limit, we expect the exponent of s in equation (A.2) to receive additional corrections (e.g. see reference [48]).

⁷More details on how non-perturbative effects can be incorporated are provided in reference [17].

B The Nambu–Goldstone Boson Equivalence Theorem

In this appendix, we briefly review the NG boson equivalence theorem, mainly following Chapter 21.2 of reference [29]. For the complete original proof, see references [49, 50].

In gauge theories with spontaneously broken gauge symmetries, the physical behaviour of massive vector bosons remains closely controlled by the original gauge symmetry, even after symmetry breaking. To illustrate this, we will examine an arbitrary matrix element that involves a gauge current between two on-shell states $\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \langle \text{on-shell} | J_{\mu}(k) | \text{on-shell} \rangle$, where k_{μ} is the external momentum of the gauge current J_{μ} . We choose the Lorentz gauge (i.e. the R_{ξ} gauge with $\xi = 0$), which includes the fictitious NG boson that gives mass to the external vector boson (related to the gauge current J_{μ}) after symmetry breaking.

In the Lorentz gauge, the Ward identity implies:

$$0 = k_{\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\mu} = k_{\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\mu}_{1} + k_{\mu}F^{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{2}, \tag{B.1}$$

where \mathcal{M}_{1}^{μ} represents a one-particle irreducible vertex and $\zeta_{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\mu}$ denotes a scattering amplitude involving the external vector boson with momentum k^{μ} and polarisation ζ^{μ} . In addition, $F^{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{2}$ represents the contribution from a diagram where the propagator of the fictitious NG boson is connected to the external vector boson leg. Here, \mathcal{M}_{2} corresponds to a scattering amplitude involving the fictitious NG boson, while F^{μ} represents the NG boson propagator.

Notice that F^{μ} can be determined by appealing to Lorentz covariance and recognising that it contributes to the mass term m_A in the propagator of the external vector boson after symmetry breaking, giving $F^{\mu} = -m_A k^{\mu}/k^2$.

In the high-energy limit, the longitudinal polarisation ζ_{μ}^{L} of the external vector boson becomes proportional to k_{μ}/m_{A} to leading order in s. Thus, taking the high-energy limit of equation (B.1), we obtain:

$$\zeta^L_\mu \mathcal{M}^\mu_1 = \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{O}\big(m_A^2/k^2\big). \tag{B.2}$$

The equation above is known as the NG boson equivalence theorem.



Figure 3. The NG boson equivalence theorem, at leading order in s in the high-energy regime, relates the amplitudes for absorbing or emitting a longitudinally polarised vector boson A^L_{μ} to those for absorbing or emitting a corresponding NG boson φ eaten by A^L_{μ} after symmetry breaking.

C Open Superstring Scattering Amplitudes in Minkowski Space

In this Appendix, we explicitly demonstrate that $F_n^{\mu_1 w \cdots \mu_n w}$ is non-zero, a property that is relevant at the end of Section 3.2, just below equation (3.17). In our analysis, we adopt the conventions from the review in reference [31].

The tree-level *n*-point open superstring scattering amplitude of $U(N_f)$ gauge bosons in ten-dimensional Minkowski space is given by [6, 28, 31]:

$$S_n(p^{(i)},\zeta^{(i)}) = i(2\pi)^{10} \,\delta^{(10)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p^{(i)}\right) \sum_{\text{perm}} \operatorname{tr}(\lambda^{a_1}\lambda^{a_2}\cdots\lambda^{a_n}) \,A(1,2,\ldots,n), \qquad (C.1)$$

where \sum_{perm} denotes the sum over all non-cyclic permutations of the sets $\{p^{(i)}, \zeta^{(i)}, a_i\}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n and λ^{a_i} are the $U(N_f)$ generators associated with the *i*-th gauge boson. Moreover, A(1, 2, ..., n) is expressed by:

$$A(1,2,\ldots,n) = 2g_s^{n-2} (x_{n-1} - x_1)(x_n - x_1) \times \times \int dx_2 \cdots dx_{n-2} \int d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_{n-2} \prod_{i>j}^n (x_i - x_j - \theta_i \theta_j)^{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)}} \times \times \int d\phi_1 \cdots d\phi_n \exp\left(\sum_{i\neq j}^n \frac{(\theta_i - \theta_j)\phi_i \zeta^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_i \phi_j \zeta^{(i)} \cdot \zeta^{(j)}}{x_i - x_j - \theta_i \theta_j}\right), \quad (C.2)$$

where x_i are real parameters that satisfy $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$, whereas θ_i and ϕ_i are Grassmannian variables, and we have set $\alpha' = 1/2$. The superstring scattering amplitude does not depend on $x_1, x_{n-1}, x_n, \theta_{n-1}$, and θ_n . Thus, to simplify the following discussion, we set $(x_1, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (0, 1, \infty)$ and $\theta_{n-1} = \theta_n = 0$.

Let us now examine the case where the only non-zero components of the momenta $p^{(i)}$ and polarisations $\zeta^{(i)}$ are $p^{(i)}_{\mu}$ for $\mu = 0, \ldots, 3$ and $\zeta^{(i)}_w := \zeta^{(i)}_4 = 1$, respectively, for all *i*. In this case, the non-zero components of $M_{MN}^{(i)} = p^{(i)}_M \zeta^{(i)}_N - p^{(i)}_N \zeta^{(i)}_M$ are $M^{(i)}_{\mu w} = -M^{(i)}_{w\mu} = p^{(i)}_{\mu}$ and according to equation (3.9), the scattering amplitude S_n for $N_f = 1$ is:

$$S_n(p^{(i)}, \zeta^{(i)}) = 2^n F_n^{\mu_1 w \cdots \mu_n w}(p^{(i)}_{\mu} \sqrt{\alpha'}) M^{(1)}_{\mu_1 w} \cdots M^{(n)}_{\mu_n w_n}.$$
 (C.3)

Then, if we could establish that the scattering amplitude above is non-vanishing, we would conclude that $F_n^{\mu_1w\cdots\mu_nw}$ is non-zero when the components $p_w^{(i)}$ are set to zero for all *i*.

To show that the amplitude (C.3) is non-vanishing, we evaluate the expression (C.2) more explicitly. With our chosen momenta and polarisations, we find that $\zeta^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)} = 0$ and $\zeta^{(i)} \cdot \zeta^{(j)} = 1$. As a result, the integrand in equation (C.2) simplifies to:

$$\prod_{i>j}^{n} (x_i - x_j - \theta_i \theta_j)^{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_i \phi_j}{x_i - x_j - \theta_i \theta_j}\right)$$
$$= \prod_{i>j}^{n} (x_i - x_j)^{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)}} \exp\left(-\frac{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)} \theta_i \theta_j + \phi_i \phi_j}{x_i - x_j} - \frac{\phi_i \phi_j \theta_i \theta_j}{(x_i - x_j)^2}\right)$$
$$\sim -\frac{1}{x_n} \phi_n \phi_{n-1} \prod_{i>j}^{n-1} (x_i - x_j)^{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)}} \exp\left(-A_{ij} \theta_i \theta_j - B_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j - C_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j \theta_i \theta_j\right).$$
(C.4)

In the previous equation:

$$A_{ij} = \frac{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)}}{x_i - x_j}, \qquad B_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} - \frac{1}{1 - x_j} + \frac{1}{1 - x_i}, \qquad C_{ij} = \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2}.$$
 (C.5)

In the final step of equation (C.4), we extracted the term proportional to $\phi_n \phi_{n-1}$ and applied the identity $p^{(n)} \cdot (p^{(1)} + \cdots + p^{(n-1)}) = 0$, which follows from momentum conservation and the mass-shell condition $p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(i)} = 0$. Note that the factor $1/x_n$ in the expression (C.4) is cancelled by the factor $(x_{n-1} - x_1)(x_n - x_1) = x_n$ in equation (C.2).

Substituting the expression (C.4) into A(1, 2, ..., n) in equation (C.2) and performing the fermionic integrals, we arrive at:

$$A(1,2,\ldots,n) = \frac{g_s^{n-2}}{2^{n-3}} \int dx_2 \cdots dx_{n-2} \prod_{i>j}^{n-1} (x_i - x_j)^{p^{(i)} \cdot p^{(j)}} \sum_{\substack{j_1,\ldots,j_{n-2}=1\\\text{distinct}}}^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}-1-k}}{(k!)^2 \left(\frac{n}{2}-1-k\right)!} \\ \times \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2k}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) A_{j_1 j_2} \cdots A_{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} B_{j_{\sigma(1)} j_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots B_{j_{\sigma(2k-1)} j_{\sigma(2k)}} C_{j_{2k+1} j_{2k+2}} \cdots C_{j_{n-3} j_{n-2}}.$$
(C.6)

In the equation above, S_{2k} denotes the symmetric group of order 2k, $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation $\sigma \in S_{2k}$, the integration over x_i is restricted to $0 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-2} < 1$, and the summation over j_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$ is taken over distinct values.

It is not difficult to see that equation (C.6) is non-zero for a generic choice of momenta. This implies that whenever the amplitude S_n admits a factorisation of the form given in equation (C.3), which occurs for *n*-point scattering of U(1) gauge bosons and 4-point scattering of U(N_f) gauge bosons (omitting Chan–Paton factors), our reasoning shows that $F_n^{\mu_1 w \cdots \mu_n w}$ is non-zero for a generic choice of momenta (here, recall that $F_n^{\mu_1 w \cdots \mu_n w}$ is independent of the polarisations), thereby completing our argument.

References

- J. Polchinski and M.J. Strassler, Hard scattering and gauge / string duality, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 031601 [hep-th/0109174].
- G. Veneziano, Construction of a crossing symmetric, Regge behaved amplitude for linearly rising trajectories, Nuovo Cim. A 57 (1968) 190.
- [3] M. Ademollo, H.R. Rubinstein, G. Veneziano and M.A. Virasoro, Bootstrap of meson trajectories from superconvergence, Phys. Rev. 176 (1968) 1904.
- [4] R. Dolen, D. Horn and C. Schmid, Prediction of Regge Parameters of rho Poles from Low-Energy pi N Data, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 402.
- [5] R. Dolen, D. Horn and C. Schmid, Finite energy sum rules and their application to pi N charge exchange, Phys. Rev. 166 (1968) 1768.
- M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, Vol. 1, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press (7, 1988), 10.1017/CBO9781139248563.
- [7] D.H. Coward et al., ELECTRON PROTON ELASTIC SCATTERING AT HIGH MOMENTUM TRANSFERS, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20 (1968) 292.

- [8] J. Litt et al., MEASUREMENT OF THE RATIO OF THE PROTON FORM-FACTORS, G(E) / G(M), AT HIGH MOMENTUM TRANSFERS AND THE QUESTION OF SCALING, Phys. Lett. B 31 (1970) 40.
- [9] E.D. Bloom, D.H. Coward, H. DeStaebler, J. Drees, G. Miller, L.W. Mo et al., *High-energy inelastic e p scattering at 6° and 10°*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 23 (1969) 930.
- [10] M. Breidenbach, J.I. Friedman, H.W. Kendall, E.D. Bloom, D.H. Coward, H. DeStaebler et al., Observed behavior of highly inelastic electron-proton scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 23 (1969) 935.
- [11] A. Bodek, M. Breidenbach, D.L. Dubin, J.E. Elias, J.I. Friedman, H.W. Kendall et al., Comparisons of Deep Inelastic e p and e n Cross-Sections, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 (1973) 1087.
- [12] J.S. Poucher et al., High-Energy Single-Arm Inelastic e p and e d Scattering at 6-Degrees and 10-Degrees, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 118.
- [13] R.P. Feynman, Very high-energy collisions of hadrons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23 (1969) 1415.
- [14] J.D. Bjorken and E.A. Paschos, Inelastic Electron Proton and gamma Proton Scattering, and the Structure of the Nucleon, Phys. Rev. 185 (1969) 1975.
- [15] V.A. Matveev, R.M. Muradian and A.N. Tavkhelidze, Automodellism in the large angle elastic scattering and structure of hadrons, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 7 (1973) 719.
- [16] S.J. Brodsky and G.R. Farrar, Scaling Laws at Large Transverse Momentum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31 (1973) 1153.
- [17] S.J. Brodsky and G.R. Farrar, Scaling Laws for Large Momentum Transfer Processes, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 1309.
- [18] J.M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [hep-th/9711200].
- [19] O. Andreev, Scaling laws in hadronic processes and string theory, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 046001 [hep-th/0209256].
- [20] R.C. Brower and C.-I. Tan, Hard scattering in the M theory dual for the QCD string, Nucl. Phys. B 662 (2003) 393 [hep-th/0207144].
- [21] J. Polchinski and M.J. Strassler, Deep inelastic scattering and gauge / string duality, JHEP 05 (2003) 012 [hep-th/0209211].
- [22] O. Andreev and W. Siegel, Quantized tension: Stringy amplitudes with Regge poles and parton behavior, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 086001 [hep-th/0410131].
- [23] Y. Hatta, E. Iancu and A.H. Mueller, Deep inelastic scattering at strong coupling from gauge/string duality: The Saturation line, JHEP 01 (2008) 026 [0710.2148].
- [24] M. Bianchi, M. Firrotta, J. Sonnenschein and D. Weissman, Partonic behavior of string scattering amplitudes from holographic QCD models, JHEP 05 (2022) 058 [2111.12106].
- [25] D.T. Son and M.A. Stephanov, Qcd and dimensional deconstruction, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 065020.
- [26] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Low energy hadron physics in holographic QCD, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113 (2005) 843 [hep-th/0412141].
- [27] T. Imoto, T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Mesons as Open Strings in a Holographic Dual of QCD, Prog. Theor. Phys. 124 (2010) 263 [1005.0655].

- [28] J. Polchinski, String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press (12, 2007), 10.1017/CBO9780511618123.
- [29] M.E. Peskin and D.V. Schroeder, An Introduction to quantum field theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, USA (1995), 10.1201/9780429503559.
- [30] O.D. Andreev and A.A. Tseytlin, Generating Functional for Scattering Amplitudes and Effective Action in the Open Superstring Theory, Phys. Lett. B 207 (1988) 157.
- [31] R. Medina, F.T. Brandt and F.R. Machado, The Open superstring five point amplitude revisited, JHEP 07 (2002) 071 [hep-th/0208121].
- [32] L.A. Barreiro and R. Medina, 5-field terms in the open superstring effective action, JHEP 03 (2005) 055 [hep-th/0503182].
- [33] Z. Hu, B. Maddock and N. Mann, A Second Look at String-Inspired Models for Proton-Proton Scattering via Pomeron Exchange, JHEP 08 (2018) 093 [1710.02463].
- [34] M. Bianchi, D. Consoli and P. Di Vecchia, On the N-pion extension of the Lovelace-Shapiro model, JHEP 03 (2021) 119 [2002.05419].
- [35] G. Veneziano, S. Yankielowicz and E. Onofri, A model for pion-pion scattering in large-N QCD, JHEP 04 (2017) 151 [1701.06315].
- [36] C. Hoyos, N. Jokela and D. Logares, Revisiting the chiral effective action in holographic models, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 026017 [2203.05916].
- [37] S.K. Domokos, J.A. Harvey and N. Mann, The Pomeron contribution to p p and p anti-p scattering in AdS/QCD, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 126015 [0907.1084].
- [38] A. Armoni and E. Ireson, Holographic Corrections to Meson Scattering Amplitudes, Nucl. Phys. B 919 (2017) 238 [1611.00342].
- [39] A. Armoni and E. Ireson, Holographic Corrections to the Veneziano Amplitude, Phys. Lett. B 771 (2017) 430 [1607.04422].
- [40] A. Armoni, E. Ireson and D. Vadacchino, Equivalence of Meson Scattering Amplitudes in Strong Coupling Lattice and Flat Space String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 928 (2018) 72 [1708.05309].
- [41] R.C. Brower, J. Polchinski, M.J. Strassler and C.-I. Tan, The Pomeron and gauge/string duality, JHEP 12 (2007) 005 [hep-th/0603115].
- [42] A. Amorim, M.S. Costa and M. Järvinen, Regge theory in a holographic dual of QCD in the Veneziano limit, JHEP 07 (2021) 065 [2102.11296].
- [43] L.F. Alday and T. Hansen, The AdS Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude, JHEP 10 (2023) 023 [2306.12786].
- [44] L.F. Alday, S.M. Chester, T. Hansen and D.-l. Zhong, The AdS Veneziano amplitude at small curvature, JHEP 05 (2024) 322 [2403.13877].
- [45] L.F. Alday, T. Hansen and M. Nocchi, High Energy String Scattering in AdS, JHEP 02 (2024) 089 [2312.02261].
- [46] L.F. Alday, M. Nocchi, C. Virally and X. Zhou, On the Regge behaviour of the AdS Virasoro-Shapiro Amplitude, 2409.03695.

- [47] H. Kawamura, S. Kumano and T. Sekihara, Determination of exotic hadron structure by constituent-counting rule for hard exclusive processes, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 034010 [1307.0362].
- [48] C.G. Callan, Jr. and D.J. Gross, Fixed Angle Scattering in Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2905.
- [49] J.M. Cornwall, D.N. Levin and G. Tiktopoulos, Derivation of Gauge Invariance from High-Energy Unitarity Bounds on the s Matrix, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 1145.
- [50] C.E. Vayonakis, Born Helicity Amplitudes and Cross-Sections in Nonabelian Gauge Theories, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 17 (1976) 383.