

RIGIDITY OF NON-NEGLIGIBLE OBJECTS OF MODERATE GROWTH IN BRAIDED CATEGORIES

PAVEL ETINGOF AND DAVID PENNEYS

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{k} be a field, and let \mathcal{C} be a Cauchy complete \mathbb{k} -linear braided category with finite dimensional morphism spaces and $\text{End}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{k}$. We call an indecomposable object X of \mathcal{C} **non-negligible** if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbb{1}$ is a direct summand of $Y \otimes X$. We prove that every non-negligible object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) < n!$ for some n is automatically rigid. In particular, if \mathcal{C} is semisimple of moderate growth and weakly rigid, then \mathcal{C} is rigid. As applications, we simplify Huang’s proof of rigidity of representation categories of certain vertex operator algebras, and we get that for a finite semisimple monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the data of a \mathcal{C} -modular functor is equivalent to a modular fusion category structure on \mathcal{C} , answering a question of Bakalov and Kirillov. Finally, we show that if \mathcal{C} is rigid and has moderate growth, then the quantum trace of any nilpotent endomorphism in \mathcal{C} is zero. Hence \mathcal{C} admits a semisimplification, which is a semisimple braided tensor category of moderate growth.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Proofs	3
2.1. Auxiliary lemmas	3
2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1	5
2.3. Non-negligible rigid objects	6
2.4. Proof of Corollary 1.5	7
2.5. Proof of Lemma 1.6	7
3. Remarks	7
3.1. The linearized category of crystals	7
3.2. Rigidity for small growth dimension in non-braided categories	9
3.3. Categories of non-moderate growth	10
3.4. Categories with nilpotent endomorphisms of nonzero trace	10
Acknowledgements	11
References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{k} be a field, and let \mathcal{C} be a Cauchy complete \mathbb{k} -linear braided monoidal category with finite dimensional morphism spaces and $\text{End}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{k}$. We say that an indecomposable $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is *non-negligible* if there exists $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbb{1}$ is a direct summand in $Y \otimes X$.¹ We say that X has

Date: December 24, 2024.

¹Clearly, if such a Y exists, it can be chosen indecomposable.

moderate growth if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) < n!$.² Our main result, proved in §2.2, is:

Theorem 1.1. *Every non-negligible object of moderate growth in \mathcal{C} is rigid.*

We say \mathcal{C} has *moderate growth* if all indecomposable $X \in \mathcal{C}$ have moderate growth. Thus we get:

Corollary 1.2. *If \mathcal{C} has moderate growth, then every non-negligible $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is rigid.*

Recall from [BD13] that an *r-category* is a monoidal category \mathcal{C} such that for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the functor $Z \mapsto \text{Hom}(Z \otimes X, \mathbb{1})$ is representable by some object X^* , and the functor $* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{mop}}$ (monoidal and arrow opposite) is an equivalence. Note that r-categories are particular examples of *Grothendieck-Verdier* categories, also known as **-autonomous* categories [Bar79]. Up to taking opposites, this is exactly the notion of *weak rigidity* in [BK01, Def. 5.3.4].³ Clearly, in a semisimple braided *r-category* with simple $\mathbb{1}$, every simple object is non-negligible.⁴ Thus we obtain:

Corollary 1.3. *Every semisimple braided r-category of moderate growth is rigid.*⁵

There are several applications of our results. The first is a simplification of the proof that the representation categories of certain vertex operator algebras are rigid [Hua05, Hua08b, Hua08a].

Second, given a finite split⁶ semisimple category \mathcal{C} , the data of a \mathcal{C} -modular functor is essentially equivalent⁷ to the data of non-degenerate *weak ribbon structure* on \mathcal{C} [BK01, Def. 5.3.5, Thm. 5.7.10], which implies that \mathcal{C} is a finite split semisimple braided r-category with simple $\mathbb{1}$. It was left open in [BK01, Rem. 5.3.7] whether every such \mathcal{C} is a modular fusion category, hinging on whether weak rigidity implies rigidity. Since finite semisimple monoidal categories have moderate growth, we answer this question affirmatively:

Corollary 1.4. *Given a finite split semisimple category \mathcal{C} , the data of a \mathcal{C} -modular functor in the sense of [BK01] is essentially equivalent⁷ to a modular fusion category structure on \mathcal{C} .*⁸

A third application concerns semisimplification; here, we assume that \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed.⁹ For rigid $X \in \mathcal{C}$, let Tr_X denote the quantum trace constructed from the Drinfeld isomorphism (see (3) below). We first show that X is non-negligible iff $\text{Tr}_X \neq 0$, which is the traditional definition of

²If \mathcal{C} is rigid, symmetric, and abelian, this is equivalent to the usual definition of moderate growth, saying that the length of $X^{\otimes n}$ grows exponentially with n . Indeed, if $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) < n!$, then the natural map $\mathbb{k}S_n \rightarrow \text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ is not injective. So if $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$, then by Schur-Weyl duality, there exists a Schur functor which annihilates X . Thus by Deligne’s theorem [Del02], X generates a super-Tannakian category, hence has moderate growth. On the other hand, if $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) > 0$, this follows from [CEO23, Prop. 4.7(5),(6)].

³As a monoidal category may not be equivalent to its opposite, there may a priori exist an *r-category* which is not weakly rigid, and vice versa (although we have not thought of an example). However, for a semisimple category, both notions are equivalent, as they are just a property of the fusion ring: there is a self-bijection $*$ of its basis B such that for $a, b \in B$, the multiplicity of $\mathbb{1}$ in ab is δ_{a,b^*} . Moreover, this property is implied by the fusion ring having duality, i.e., being a based ring as in [EGNO15, Def. 3.1.3].

⁴If $Y = X^*$, then $\text{mate}(\text{id}_X) : Y \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ corresponding to id_X is nonzero, hence $\mathbb{1}$ is a direct summand of $Y \otimes X$.

⁵More generally, this corollary holds in a braided ring category (i.e., not necessarily semisimple, but abelian with biexact tensor product, see [EGNO15, Def. 4.2.3]) of moderate growth if every object is a quotient of a direct sum of tensor products of non-negligible objects. Indeed, this follows from Corollary 1.2 and [BEO23, Cor. 2.36].

⁶Here, *split* means that for simple $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\text{End}(X) = \mathbb{k}$, which is automatic if \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed.

⁷One must also choose a square root of the central charge. We refer the reader to [BDSPV15, §1.3] for a more detailed discussion.

⁸While this article was being prepared, André Henriques informed us that he can use Huang’s argument to prove Corollary 1.4 using modular functors.

⁹Corollaries 1.5 and 1.7 can be extended to general fields, not necessarily algebraically closed. However, in this case, the dimension $\text{Tr}_X(\text{id}_X)$ of a non-negligible object can be zero. For example, the two dimensional irreducible representation $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ consisting of functions $\mathbb{Z}/3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ whose values sum to zero satisfies $\text{End}(V) \cong \mathbb{F}_4$, and the quantum trace equals the usual field-theoretic trace, which is zero on \mathbb{F}_2 and 1 on $\mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$.

non-negligibility. In particular, direct sums of negligible objects form a thick tensor ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{C}$: if $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{I}$ then $X \otimes Z \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence we can define the Green ring $K(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Green}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{I})$ whose \mathbb{Z}_+ -basis is formed by the isomorphism classes of non-negligible objects of \mathcal{C} and multiplication is defined by the tensor product.

Next, the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be adapted (see §2.4) to establish the following characterization of the nilradical $R(X) \subset \text{End}(X)$ for non-negligible $X \in \mathcal{C}$ of moderate growth:

Corollary 1.5. *If X is non-negligible of moderate growth (hence rigid by Theorem 1.1), then $R(X) = \ker(\text{Tr}_X)$. In other words, the quantum trace of every nilpotent endomorphism of X is zero, but $\text{Tr}_X(\text{id}_X) \neq 0$.*

We also have the following standard lemma, proved in §2.5.

Lemma 1.6. *If \mathcal{C} is rigid and the quantum trace of a nilpotent endomorphism of each non-negligible object of \mathcal{C} is zero, then this is so for any object of \mathcal{C} .*

Thus, if \mathcal{C} has moderate growth, then Corollary 1.5 enables the semisimplification procedure of [EO22, Thm. 2.6] to obtain a semisimple braided tensor category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of moderate growth. We get:

Corollary 1.7. *If \mathcal{C} has moderate growth, then \mathcal{C} admits a semisimplification $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, a semisimple braided tensor category of moderate growth whose Grothendieck ring is $K(\mathcal{C})$.¹⁰*

In particular, applying the main results of [Del02] in characteristic zero and of [CEO23] in positive characteristic, we obtain:

Corollary 1.8. *If \mathcal{C} is symmetric, then the category $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ from Corollary 1.7 admits a fiber functor to SuperVect in characteristic zero and to the Verlinde category Ver_p in positive characteristic, and thus is equivalent to the representation category of a linearly reductive affine group scheme in SuperVect, respectively Ver_p .*

In characteristic zero, such semisimple symmetric categories are just representation categories of pro-reductive groups, and for characteristics 2, 3, they are representation categories of linearly reductive group schemes classified by Nagata's theorem, see [CEO23, Section 8] (up to a super-twist of the symmetric structure outside characteristic 2). In positive characteristics $p \geq 5$, there is a conjectural classification of them [CEO24, Conjecture 4.1]. Thus the \mathbb{Z}_+ -ring spanned by non-negligible summands in $X^{*\otimes m} \otimes X^{\otimes n}$, where X is a non-negligible (hence rigid) object of any symmetric category \mathcal{C} of moderate growth, is very strongly constrained.

2. PROOFS

2.1. Auxiliary lemmas. Let \mathcal{C} be as in the last section. By convention, we suppress all associators and unitors. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be non-negligible, i.e., there is an indecomposable $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbb{1}$ is a direct summand of $Y \otimes X \cong X \otimes Y$. We denote X by an upwards oriented strand and Y by a downwards oriented strand. We begin with a well-known observation.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\smile \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes Y)$ and $\frown \in \text{Hom}(Y \otimes X, \mathbb{1})$ are such that $z := \text{diagram}$ is invertible, then X, Y are rigid with ${}^*X \cong Y \cong X^*$.*



¹⁰More precisely, the semisimplification procedure of [EO22, Thm. 2.6] is given in the case of pivotal categories, so works verbatim to establish Corollary 1.7 when \mathcal{C} is pivotal. In the absence of a pivotal structure, we should use a straightforward generalization of [EO22, Thm. 2.6], which applies to rigid braided categories in which the quantum trace of any nilpotent endomorphism is zero.

Proof. By rescaling, we may assume $z = \text{id}_X$. Then $z' := \text{diag}$ is a sub-diagram of $z^2 = z = \text{id}_X$, which implies $z' \neq 0$. Since $z'^2 = z'$, $z' = \text{id}_Y$ as Y is indecomposable. Using the braiding,

Thus X is rigid with ${}^*X \cong Y \cong X^*$. □

For $W, Z \in \mathcal{C}$, a morphism $r : W \rightarrow Z$ is called a *retract* or a *split surjection* if it admits a *splitting* $s : Z \rightarrow W$ such that $rs = \text{id}_Z$. Clearly, the existence of a retract $r : W \rightarrow Z$ is equivalent to Z being a direct summand of W . Thus there exists a retract $X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$. Next, we carefully choose one via the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Z be any object containing $\mathbb{1}$ as a direct summand, and $N \subset \text{End}(Z)$ a nilpotent subspace, i.e., $N^n = 0$ for some n . There exists a retract $r : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ such that for any $a : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Z$, we have $rNa = 0$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal for which there is a retract $r : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ such that for any $a : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Z$, $rN^k a = 0$. (Since $N^n = 0$, such a $k \leq n$ exists.) Suppose for contradiction that $k \geq 2$. Then there are $a_0 : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Z$, $x \in N$, and $y \in N^{k-2}$ such that $rxya_0 = 1$. Thus $r' := rx$ is also a retract, and for every $a : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Z$ we have $r'N^{k-1}a \subseteq rN^k a = 0$, contradicting the minimality of k . Thus $k = 1$. □

Let $R(X) \subset \text{End}(X)$ be the nilradical, and choose a retract $r = \text{diag} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and splitting $\text{diag} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ satisfying Lemma 2.2 for $Z = X \otimes Y$ and $N := R(X) \otimes \text{id}_Y \subset \text{End}(X \otimes Y)$. We prove the contrapositive of Theorem 1.1, i.e., if X is not rigid, then $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) \geq n!$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the braiding, we get a retract $Y \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ with a splitting:

Observe that if any of the following morphisms is invertible, then after redefining $\text{diag} : Y \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$, X is rigid with ${}^*X \cong Y \cong X^*$ by Lemma 2.1.

Recall that since X is indecomposable, the finite dimensional algebra $\text{End}(X)$ is local, hence every element of $\text{End}(X)$ is either invertible or nilpotent.¹¹ We may thus assume that the morphisms in (1) are all nilpotent, i.e., lie in $R(X)$.

Now consider the n -strand braid group

$$B_n := \left\langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \quad \forall i \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad j - i > 1 \end{array} \right\rangle \quad \sigma_i = \left| \cdots \right| \left| \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right| \left| \cdots \right| \left| \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right| \left| \cdots \right|$$

¹¹Since $\text{End}(X)$ is finite dimensional, it is Artinian, so $R(X)$ is nilpotent and the quotient $\text{End}(X)/R(X)$ is finite dimensional and semisimple. Since $\text{End}(X)$ has no non-trivial idempotents, $\text{End}(X)/R(X)$ must be a division algebra. If $a \in \text{End}(X)$ projects to a nonzero element $a_* \in \text{End}(X)/R(X)$, then a is invertible. Indeed, let $b \in \text{End}(X)$ be a lift of a_*^{-1} so that $ab = 1 - m$ with $m \in R(X)$. Then $b(1 + m + m^2 + \dots)$ is the inverse of a .

Given an element of B_n , we number its strands from left to right on the bottom; for example, σ_i swaps the i -th and $i+1$ -th strands. The standard set embedding of S_n into B_n is given by taking a reduced word $w \in S_n$ and writing the same word in B_n . In braid diagrams, these are the elements that can be written in the following form:

- any two distinct strands cross at most once, and
- if the i -th and j -th strands cross with $i < j$, then the i -th strand passes *over* the j -th strand.

Every element of $S_n \subset B_n$ can be written uniquely as $w_{n-1}w_{n-2} \cdots w_2w_1$ where for each index $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, denoting multiple strands by thick colored strands,

$$(2) \quad w_j \in \{1, \sigma_j, \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_j, \dots, \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_j\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{id} \\ \text{---} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j+1 \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma_j \\ \text{---} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j+1 \end{array} \right\}, \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_j \\ \text{---} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j+1 \end{array} \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_j \\ \text{---} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ 1 \quad j+1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We identify B_n, S_n with their respective images in B_{n+1}, S_{n+1} under adding a strand to the right.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $s, t \in S_n$ are distinct. There are $u, v \in B_{n-1} \subset B_n$ such that $s^{-1}t = u\sigma_{n-1}^jv$ in B_n , where $j \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$. (However, $u, v \notin S_{n-1}$ necessarily.)*

Proof. If $s^{-1}t \in B_{n-1}$, we are finished. Otherwise, since the n -th strands of s, t pass behind all other strands, there are $s', t' \in S_{n-1}$ such that $s = \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-1}s'$ and $t = \sigma_j\sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-1}t'$ with $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $s = \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-1}$ and $t = \sigma_j\sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_{n-1}$ with $i < j$. The result now follows by inspection; representing multiple strands by thick lines, we see

$$s^{-1}t = \sigma_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_j \cdots \sigma_{n-1} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j-1 \quad n \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ i \quad n \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j-1 \quad n \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ j \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \\ i \quad n \end{array} = \underbrace{\sigma_{j-1} \cdots \sigma_{n-2}}_{=: u \in B_{n-1}} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1} \underbrace{\sigma_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_i^{-1}}_{=: v \in B_{n-1}}. \quad \square$$

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. As above, we assume the morphisms in (1) are nilpotent, i.e., lie in the nilradical $R(X) \subset \text{End}(X)$. For $t \in S_n$, let $f_t \in \text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ denote the corresponding morphism. We claim that the set $\{f_t | t \in S_n\}$ is linearly independent, which implies the result.

Consider the bilinear form $\Phi : \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) \times \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{k}$ given by

$$\Phi(x, y) := \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \\ x \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \\ y \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}.$$

For $s, t \in S_n$, we claim that $\Phi(f_s^{-1}, f_t) = \delta_{s,t}$, which immediately implies that $\{f_t | t \in S_n\}$ is linearly independent:

$$0 = \sum_{t \in S_n} \lambda_t f_t \quad \implies \quad 0 = \Phi \left(f_s^{-1}, \sum_{t \in S_n} \lambda_t f_t \right) = \sum_{t \in S_n} \lambda_t \delta_{s,t} = \lambda_s \quad \forall s \in S_n.$$

Clearly $\Phi(f_s^{-1}, f_s) = 1$ by construction. If $s \neq t$, then writing $s = v_{n-1} \cdots v_1$ and $t = w_{n-1} \cdots w_1$ as in (2), pick k maximal such that $w_k \neq v_k$. Then $s^{-1}t$ lies in the image of S_k included into S_n , but the k -th lower boundary point of $s^{-1}t$ does not connect to the k -th upper boundary point. By

Lemma 2.3, there are $u, v \in B_{k-1} \subset B_n$ such that $s^{-1}t = u\sigma_{k-1}^{\pm 1}v$. Then $\Phi(f_s^{-1}, f_t) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{1})$ has $n - k$ closed loops which can be removed, but the k -th strand performs a self-crossing of the form

$$\gamma_+ = \text{diagram} \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_- = \text{diagram},$$

which lie in $R(X)$ by assumption. By naturality of the braiding, there is an $a : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ such that

$$\Phi(f_s^{-1}, f_t) = \text{diagram} \in rNa \underset{(\text{Lem. 2.2})}{=} 0. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.4. If \mathcal{C} is semisimple then $R(X) = 0$, so Lemma 2.2 is not needed and the proof simplifies.

2.3. Non-negligible rigid objects. Let \mathbb{k} be algebraically closed. It is well-known that when \mathcal{C} is braided and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is rigid, we have a distinguished quantum trace $\text{Tr}_X : \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{k}$ using the Drinfeld isomorphism $u_X : X \rightarrow X^{**}$:

$$(3) \quad \text{Tr}_X(f) := \text{diagram} = \text{diagram} \quad \text{where} \quad u_X := \text{diagram}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\text{Tr}_X(fg) = \text{Tr}_X(gf)$ for all $f, g \in \text{End}(X)$.

Lemma 2.5.

- (i) If X is rigid, then X is non-negligible if and only if there is an $f \in \text{End}(X)$ such that $\text{Tr}_X(f)$ is nonzero. In this case one may take $Y = X^*$ for the witness of non-negligibility of X .
- (ii) If X is rigid indecomposable and $\ker(\text{Tr}_X) = R(X)$ (i.e., the trace of a nilpotent endomorphism of X is zero), and if Y is indecomposable such that $Y \otimes X$ contains $\mathbb{1}$ as a direct summand, then $Y \cong X^*$.

Proof. (i) If such an f exists, we can take $Y = X^*$, $r := \text{ev}_{X^*}(u_X f \otimes \text{id}_{X^*})$ and $s := \text{Tr}_X(f)^{-1} \text{coev}_X$ so that $rs = 1$, and thus X is non-negligible. Conversely, if $r : X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ is a retract with splitting $s : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$, then set

$$(4) \quad f := \text{diagram} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Tr}_X(f) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \text{diagram} = \text{diagram} = 1.$$

(ii) If $r : X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ is a retract with splitting $s : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$, then the f from (4) above is invertible as $\text{Tr}_X(f) = 1$, $\ker(\text{Tr}_X) = R(X)$, and X is indecomposable. Dualizing, we see that

$f^* : X^* \rightarrow X^*$ is an isomorphism. But f^* can be written as $g \circ h$, where



This implies that g is a retract with splitting $h \circ (f^*)^{-1}$, so h identifies X^* with a direct summand of Y . Since Y is indecomposable, it follows that h is an isomorphism. \square

2.4. Proof of Corollary 1.5. When X is rigid and non-negligible, then $\text{Tr}_X : \text{End}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is non-zero by Lemma 2.5. Since $\dim \text{End}(X) < \infty$ and $R(X)$ is a maximal ideal, it suffices to prove that when X is rigid, non-negligible, and $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) < n!$ for some n , then $R(X) \subseteq \ker(\text{Tr}_X)$. To do so, we show that if X is rigid and non-negligible and there is a nilpotent $f \in R(X)$ with $\text{Tr}_X(f) \neq 0$, then $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) \geq n!$ for all n .

First, we mimic the proof of Lemma 2.2. Set $Y = X^*$, $r := \text{ev}_{X^*}(u_X \otimes \text{id}_{X^*})$ and $N := R(X) \otimes \text{id}_{X^*}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal such that $rN^k a = 0$ for all $a : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$. Since $\text{Tr}_X(f) \neq 0$, taking $a = \text{coev}_X$ shows that $k \geq 2$. Pick $g \in R(X)^{k-1}$ and $s_0 : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$ so that $r(g \otimes \text{id}_{X^*})s_0 = 1$. Setting $r_0 := r(g \otimes \text{id}_{X^*})$, we have $r_0 s_0 = 1$ and $r_0 N s_0 = 0$. Observe that



both lie in $R(X)$ as they contain $g \in R(X)^{k-1} \subset R(X)$. Now by mimicking the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can use r_0, s_0 in place of the cap/cup to see that $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) \geq n!$ for all n . \square

2.5. Proof of Lemma 1.6. Suppose

$$Z = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i \otimes X_i,$$

where X_i are pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects of \mathcal{C} and V_i are finite dimensional vector spaces. Then the nilradical $R(Z)$ of $\text{End}(Z)$ has the form

$$R(Z) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{End}(V_i) \otimes R(X_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \text{Hom}(V_i, V_j) \otimes \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j).$$

So Tr_Z vanishes on $R(Z)$, and on the quotient

$$\text{End}(Z)/R(Z) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{End}(V_i)$$

induces the linear functional $T(f_1, \dots, f_r) := \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \text{Tr}(f_i)$, where $c_i := \text{Tr}_{X_i}(\text{id}_{X_i})$. If $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ is a nilpotent endomorphism then f_i are nilpotent for all i , so $\text{Tr}_Z(f) = T(f_1, \dots, f_r) = 0$. \square

3. REMARKS

3.1. The linearized category of crystals. The assumption that \mathcal{C} is braided in Theorem 1.1 cannot be removed. A counterexample is the \mathbb{C} -linearization $\text{Crys}(G)$ of the *category of crystals* for the quantum group G_q attached to a simply connected simple complex group G [HK06], which is a semisimple category of moderate growth but is not braided, nor rigid (only weakly rigid). This category is a limit when $q \rightarrow 0$ of the braided, rigid categories $\text{Rep}(G_q)$ with the same Grothendieck ring, but the braiding and rigidity are destroyed in the limit; in fact, the only rigid objects in $\text{Crys}(G)$ are multiples of $\mathbb{1}$. Indeed, in $\text{Rep}(G_q)$, if V_λ is the irreducible representation with highest

weight λ and $f : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda^{**}$ is an isomorphism, then $\text{Tr}(f)\text{Tr}((f^*)^{-1}) = (\dim_q V_\lambda)^2$, which goes to infinity as $q \rightarrow 0$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$, as do those eigenvalues of the squared braiding on $V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu$ which are negative powers of q . The category $\text{Crys}(G)$ is, however, a *coboundary category* [HK06]: it carries a symmetric *commutor* (a natural isomorphism $\sigma_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$) satisfying the Reidemeister move R2, but not R3. This shows that the move R3 plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

To make things concrete, let us restrict to the case $G = SL(2)$. In this case, $\text{Crys}(G)$ can be realized as the *asymptotic Temperley-Lieb-Jones category* $TLJ(\infty)$. Namely, recall that the usual Temperley-Lieb-Jones category $TLJ(\delta)$ is defined so that the circle evaluates to δ , while the zig-zag evaluates to 1 (namely, $TLJ(\delta) = \text{Rep}(SL(2)_q)$ where $\delta = -q - q^{-1}$). By renormalizing the diagrams, we may arrange that the circle evaluates to 1, while the zig-zag evaluates to δ^{-1} . This allows us to specialize $TLJ(\delta)$ at $\delta = \infty$ (i.e., $\delta^{-1} = 0$), which yields a semisimple category $TLJ(\infty)$ with Grothendieck ring the representation ring of $SL(2)$ where the circle evaluates to 1 while the zig-zag evaluates to 0 (see [Vir, §3]), which is not braided, nor rigid (although is weakly rigid). Namely, $\text{Hom}([n],[m])$ in $TLJ(\infty)$ has the usual basis of Temperley-Lieb-Jones diagrams with n inputs and m outputs and composition given by concatenation of diagrams and removing the circles, but when the concatenation contains a zig-zag, instead of straightening it, the composition is declared to be zero.

The endomorphism algebra of the object $[n]$ in $TLJ(\infty)$ is thus the asymptotic Temperley-Lieb-Jones algebra $TLJ_n(\infty)$ spanned by diagrams with n inputs and n outputs (no longer generated by the usual generators e_i if $n \geq 3$, however). We obtain a quick proof that $TLJ_n(\infty)$ and hence the category $TLJ(\infty)$ are semisimple by defining a filtration¹² on $TLJ_n(\infty)$ in which the degree of a diagram u is its number of cups.

We can even identify $TLJ_n(\infty)$ as a multi-matrix algebra by observing that the Jones-Wenzl idempotents exist and are non-zero for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, the usual Jones-Wenzl recurrence relation [Wen87] simplifies greatly as zig-zags are zero:

$$JW_{n+1} = JW_n \otimes 1 - (JW_n \otimes 1)e_n(JW_n \otimes 1).$$

One can also check via this recurrence relation that JW_n is the linear combination of all projections p with no nested cups/caps where the coefficient of p is $(-1)^{\# \text{ caps in } p}$. Matrix units for the summands of $TLJ_n(\infty)$ are then obtained by cabling the ‘waists’ of basis elements by the appropriate Jones-Wenzl idempotents. It then follows that $TLJ_n(\infty)$ is a multi-matrix algebra, as diagrams whose through strings are cabled by different Jones-Wenzl idempotents are orthogonal.¹³

All these counterexamples have infinitely many simple objects, however. This gives rise to the following question, which is open even for weakly rigid categorifications of based rings (with duality).

Question 3.1. *Can Corollary 1.3 be generalized to finite semisimple weakly rigid categories (not necessarily braided)?*

Remark 3.2. The answer to Question 3.1 is “yes” when \mathcal{C} is a semisimple (not necessarily braided) full monoidal subcategory of endomorphisms of an infinite von Neumann factor which is weakly rigid when $*$: $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{mop}}$ is taking conjugates (so that $* \circ * \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$). Indeed, rigidity follows by [Lon90, Thm. 4.1]; see also [BDH14, Prop. 7.17] for bimodules over a von Neumann factor.

¹²Here, by a *filtration* on an algebra A , we mean a descending sequence $A \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$ of two-sided ideals. When $A = TLJ_n(\infty)$, I_k is spanned by the diagrams with at least k cups, and I_k/I_{k+1} is a semisimple unital algebra whose unit f_k is central in A/I_{k+1} . Thus $A/I_{k+1} = (1 - f_k)A/I_{k+1} \oplus f_k A/I_{k+1} = A/I_k \oplus I_k/I_{k+1}$, and so $A = \bigoplus_k I_k/I_{k+1}$ is semisimple.

¹³While preparing this article, we learned that the upcoming article [AS] will treat these categories in detail, including the semisimplicity, the formula for JW_n and matrix block decomposition.

3.2. Rigidity for small growth dimension in non-braided categories. For a positive element Z of a based ring A as in [EGNO15, Def. 3.1.3], define the *growth dimension* $\text{gd}(Z)$ to be

$$\text{gd}(Z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{length}(Z^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

(see [CEO23, Def. 4.1]), where the length of a positive element is the sum of its coefficients.¹⁴ For example, for A the character ring of a complex reductive group G , $\text{gd}(Z)$ is the usual dimension of the representation Z , and if A is finite, then $\text{gd}(Z)$ coincides with the Frobenius-Perron dimension $\text{FPdim}(Z)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X, Y be simple objects of a split semisimple weakly rigid category \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{k} such that $\mathbb{1}$ is a direct summand in $Y \otimes X$ (and thus in $X \otimes Y$). If $\text{gd}(Y \otimes X) < 4$ then X is rigid and $Y \cong X^*$.*

Proof. Fix a retract (cap) $r_+ : Y \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and splitting (cup) $s_+ : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ such that $r_+ s_+ = 1$ and similarly $r_- : X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and $s_- : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ such that $r_- s_- = 1$. We therefore have the zig-zags

$$z_1 = (r_+ \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s_-), \quad z_2 = (1 \otimes r_+)(s_- \otimes 1), \quad z_3 = (r_- \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s_+), \quad z_4 = (1 \otimes r_-)(s_+ \otimes 1) \in \mathbb{k}.$$

Moreover, $z_1^2 = z_1 z_2 = z_2^2$, so $z_1 = z_2 =: z$, and similarly $z_3 = z_4 =: z_*$.¹⁵

For a non-crossing matching u on the word $(YX)^n$, let $D(u)$ be the number of YX -matchings in u (so the number of XY -matchings is $n - D(u)$). Consider the pairing

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}((Y \otimes X)^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{1}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (Y \otimes X)^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

given by $\Phi(f, g) = f \circ g$. For every non-crossing matching u of $[1, 2n]$ we have elements $f_u \in \text{Hom}((Y \otimes X)^{\otimes n}, \mathbb{1})$ defined using r_+, r_- and $g_u \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (Y \otimes X)^{\otimes n})$ defined using s_+, s_- . It is easy to see that for any two non-crossing matchings u, v , we have

$$\Phi(f_u, g_v) = z^{a(u,v)} z_*^{b(u,v)},$$

where $a(u, v) - b(u, v) = D(u) - D(v)$, $a(u, v) + b(u, v) > 0$ if $u \neq v$, and $\Phi(f_u, g_u) = 1$. Thus if $z = 0$ or $z_* = 0$, then the matrix $[\Phi(f_u, g_v)]$ is upper or lower triangular in any ordering compatible with the D -grading, with 1 on the diagonal, hence nondegenerate. It then follows that $\{g_u\}$ are linearly independent. Since the number of non-crossing matchings is C_n (the n -th Catalan number), this implies that

$$\dim \text{Hom}(\mathbb{1}, (Y \otimes X)^{\otimes n}) \geq C_n.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = 4$, it follows that $\text{gd}(Y \otimes X) \geq 4$. Thus if $\text{gd}(Y \otimes X) < 4$ then $z, z_* \neq 0$, implying that X is rigid and $Y \cong X^*$. \square

Remark 3.4. Note that the bound in Proposition 3.3 is sharp: for the crystal $X \in \text{Crys}(SL_2)$ of the 2-dimensional representation, $Y = X$ and $\text{gd}(X) = 2$, so $\text{gd}(Y \otimes X) = 4$, but X is not rigid.

Moreover, if $\text{gd}(Y \otimes X) = 4$, it is possible that exactly one of z, z_* is zero. Namely, we may consider the universal pivotal category defined in [CE24, §3.2] which in our notation would be natural to denote $TLJ(\delta_1, \delta_2)$. Its morphisms are oriented Temperley-Lieb-Jones diagrams, with counterclockwise circles evaluated to δ_1 and clockwise circles to δ_2 . We can renormalize the diagrams so that both circles evaluate to 1 while $z = \delta_1^{-1}$, $z_* = \delta_2^{-1}$. Now we can take δ_1 or δ_2 to ∞ to get categories $TLJ(\infty, \delta_2)$, $TLJ(\delta_1, \infty)$ where $z = 0, z_* \neq 0$, respectively $z \neq 0, z_* = 0$.

¹⁴This limit exists since the sequence $d_n(Z) := \text{length}(Z^n)$ is super-multiplicative, i.e., $d_{n+m}(Z) \geq d_n(Z)d_m(Z)$, see [CEO23, §4].

¹⁵Note that we can rescale z by $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ by rescaling r_\pm, s_\pm , but then z_* will multiply by λ^{-1} , so the product zz_* is defined canonically. If X is rigid and $Y = X^*$ then it is easy to show that $zz_* = 1/|X|^2$, where $|X|^2$ is the Müger squared norm of X ([EGNO15], Def. 7.21.2).

Note that the category $TLJ(\delta, \infty)$ (and likewise $TLJ(\infty, \delta)$) is semisimple for $\delta \neq 0$. Indeed, the endomorphism algebra of a word W in X, Y in $TLJ(\delta, \infty)$ is the *asymptotic lopsided Temperley-Lieb-Jones algebra* $TLJ_W(\delta, \infty)$ in which both circles evaluate to 1, $z = \delta^{-1}$ and $z_* = 0$. Define a filtration on this algebra in which the degree of a diagram u is the number of $s_+ : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ minus the number of $r_+ : Y \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ in u . It is easy to check that this indeed defines a filtration, and the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(TLJ_W(\delta, \infty)) \cong TLJ_W(\infty, \infty)$, where $z = 0 = z_*$.

Thus, it suffices to show that $TLJ_W(\infty, \infty)$ is semisimple; we argue similarly to §3.1. Define the degree of a diagram u in $TLJ_W(\infty, \infty)$ as its number of cups. Then I_k/I_{k+1} is a direct sum of matrix algebras indexed over sub-words of W which can be obtained by successively removing instances of XY or YX from W . (These subwords are the words which are retracts of W via r_{\pm} .)

3.3. Categories of non-moderate growth. The assumption that X has moderate growth in Theorem 1.1 cannot be removed, even for symmetric categories. A counterexample is the symmetric oriented Brauer category $OB(\infty)$, which is the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the oriented Brauer categories $OB(t)$, also known as the Deligne categories $\text{Rep}(GL_t)$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$ [EGNO15, §9.12]. Namely, recall that $OB(t)$ has objects $[n, m]$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\text{Hom}([n_1, m_1], [n_2, m_2])$ is spanned by appropriate walled Brauer diagrams, with composition given by concatenation of diagrams, so that the circle evaluates to t . Similar to the construction of $TLJ(\infty)$ in §3.1, we may renormalize these diagrams by suitable powers of t so that the circle evaluates to 1, but the zig-zags are t^{-1} . This allows us to evaluate at $t = \infty$ (i.e., $t^{-1} = 0$) and, upon Cauchy completion, obtain a symmetric category $OB(\infty)$. In this category, diagrams are composed by concatenation and removing circles, but if the concatenated diagram contains a zig-zag, the composition is declared to be zero.

For example, $\text{End}([n, m])$ is the asymptotic walled Brauer algebra $W_{n,m}(\infty)$ obtained by the above limiting procedure from the usual walled Brauer algebra $W_{n,m}(t)$. This algebra has the usual basis of walled Brauer diagrams with n inputs and n outputs to the left of the wall and m inputs and m outputs to the right of the wall. As in §3.1, we can define a filtration on $W_{n,m}(\infty)$ in which the degree of a diagram u is its number of cups, and the associated graded algebra $\text{gr}(W_{n,m}(\infty)) = \bigoplus_k I_k/I_{k+1}$ is manifestly semisimple with k -th summand

$$I_k/I_{k+1} \cong \mathbb{C}[S_{n-k}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[S_{m-k}] \otimes \text{Mat} \left(\frac{n!m!}{(n-k)!(m-k)!k!} \right).$$

This implies that $W_{n,m}(\infty)$ and thus the category $OB(\infty)$ are semisimple, with simples $X_{\lambda,\mu}$ labeled by pairs of partitions, and the same fusion rules as in $OB(t)$. However, the only rigid objects in this category are multiples of $\mathbb{1}$: the dimension $\dim V_{\lambda,\mu}(t)$ in $OB(t)$ is a non-constant polynomial of t , hence goes to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Unsurprisingly, these are also the only objects in this category that have moderate growth.

One can also perform the same limiting procedure to the unoriented Brauer category $UB(t) = \text{Rep}(O_t)$ ([EGNO15, §9.12]), getting a semisimple category $UB(\infty)$ which is likewise a counterexample to Theorem 1.1 without moderate growth.

3.4. Categories with nilpotent endomorphisms of nonzero trace. The moderate growth assumption in Corollary 1.5 cannot be removed, even for symmetric categories, as was first observed by Deligne [Del07, §5.8]. Namely, there exist symmetric categories (of non-moderate growth) in which the trace of a nilpotent endomorphism of an object can be nonzero. A nice example is the oriented Brauer category $OB(t)$ over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 2, where $t \in \mathbb{k}$ is not equal 0 or 1. Then we can take $V := [1, 0]$, $X = V^{\otimes 2} = [2, 0]$ and $z = 1 - s \in \text{End}(X)$ where s is the swap $V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$. Then $z^2 = 0$ but $\text{Tr}(z) = \text{Tr}(1) - \text{Tr}(s) = t^2 - t \neq 0$. Many other examples of categories containing nilpotent endomorphisms with nonzero trace appear in [KOK22]. Of course, such a symmetric category cannot have monoidal functors to abelian symmetric tensor categories,

since in the latter the trace of any nilpotent endomorphism z must be zero (as z is strictly upper triangular in the filtration by kernels of its powers).

Acknowledgements. This collaboration started at the 2024 Annual Meeting of the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries. The authors would like to thank André Henriques, Corey Jones, Victor Ostrik, and Emily Peters for helpful conversations. Pavel Etingof was supported by NSF grant DMS-2001318 and David Penneys was supported by NSF grant DMS-2154389.

REFERENCES

- [AS] Moaz Alqady and Mateusz Stroiński. A coboundary Temperley-Lieb category for \mathfrak{sl}_2 -crystals. In preparation.
- [Bar79] Michael Barr. **-autonomous categories*, volume 752 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1979. With an appendix by Po Hsiang Chu. [MR550878](#).
- [BD13] Mitya Boyarchenko and Vladimir Drinfeld. A duality formalism in the spirit of Grothendieck and Verdier. *Quantum Topol.*, 4(4):447–489, 2013. [MR3134025](#) [DOI:10.4171/QT/45](#) [arXiv:1108.6020](#).
- [BDH14] Arthur Bartels, Christopher L. Douglas, and André Henriques. Dualizability and index of subfactors. *Quantum Topol.*, 5(3):289–345, 2014. [MR3342166](#) [DOI:10.4171/QT/53](#) [arXiv:1110.5671](#).
- [BDSPV15] Bruce Bartlett, Christopher L. Douglas, Christopher J. Schommer-Pries, and Jamie Vicary. Modular categories as representations of the 3-dimensional bordism 2-category, 2015. [arXiv:1509.06811](#).
- [BEO23] Dave Benson, Pavel Etingof, and Victor Ostrik. New incompressible symmetric tensor categories in positive characteristic. *Duke Math. J.*, 172(1):105–200, 2023. [MR4533718](#) [DOI:10.1215/00127094-2022-0030](#) [arXiv:2003.10499](#).
- [BK01] Bojko Bakalov and Alexander Kirillov, Jr. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, volume 21 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. [MR1797619](#).
- [CE24] Kevin Coulembier and Pavel Etingof. N -spherical functors and tensor categories. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (14):10615–10649, 2024. [MR4775671](#) [DOI:10.1093/imrn/rnae093](#) [arXiv:2312.03972](#).
- [CEO23] Kevin Coulembier, Pavel Etingof, and Victor Ostrik. On Frobenius exact symmetric tensor categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 197(3):1235–1279, 2023. With Appendix A by Alexander Kleshchev. [MR4564264](#) [DOI:10.4007/annals.2023.197.3.5](#) [arXiv:2107.02372](#).
- [CEO24] Kevin Coulembier, Pavel Etingof, and Victor Ostrik. Asymptotic properties of tensor powers in symmetric tensor categories. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 20(3):1141–1179, 2024. [MR4761534](#) [DOI:10.4310/pamq.2024.v20.n3.a4](#) [arXiv:2301.09804](#).
- [Del02] P. Deligne. Catégories tensorielles. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 2(2):227–248, 2002. Dedicated to Yuri I. Manin on the occasion of his 65th birthday, [MR1944506](#) [DOI:10.17323/1609-4514-2002-2-2-227-248](#).
- [Del07] P. Deligne. La catégorie des représentations du groupe symétrique S_t , lorsque t n’est pas un entier naturel. In *Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., pages 209–273. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007. [MR2348906](#) Available at <http://www.math.ias.edu/files/deligne/Symetrique.pdf>.
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. [MR3242743](#) [DOI:10.1090/surv/205](#).
- [EO22] Pavel Etingof and Victor Ostrik. On semisimplification of tensor categories. In *Representation theory and algebraic geometry—a conference celebrating the birthdays of Sasha Beilinson and Victor Ginzburg*, Trends Math., pages 3–35. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2022] ©2022. [MR4486913](#) [DOI:10.1007/978-3-030-82007-7_1](#) [arXiv:1801.04409](#).
- [HK06] André Henriques and Joel Kamnitzer. Crystals and coboundary categories. *Duke Math. J.*, 132(2):191–216, 2006. [MR2219257](#) [arXiv:math/0406478](#).
- [Hua05] Yi-Zhi Huang. Differential equations, duality and modular invariance. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 7(5):649–706, 2005. [MR2175093](#) [DOI:10.1142/S021919970500191X](#) [arXiv:math/0303049](#).
- [Hua08a] Yi-Zhi Huang. Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10(suppl. 1):871–911, 2008. [MR2468370](#) [DOI:10.1142/S0219199708003083](#) [arXiv:math/0502533](#).
- [Hua08b] Yi-Zhi Huang. Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10(1):103–154, 2008. [MR2387861](#) [DOI:10.1142/S0219199708002727](#) [arXiv:math/0406291](#).
- [KOK22] Mikhail Khovanov, Victor Ostrik, and Yakov Kononov. Two-dimensional topological theories, rational functions and their tensor envelopes. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 28(4):Paper No. 71, 68, 2022. [MR4450143](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00029-022-00785-z](#) [arXiv:2011.14758](#).

- [Lon90] Roberto Longo. Index of subfactors and statistics of quantum fields. II. Correspondences, braid group statistics and Jones polynomial. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 130(2):285–309, 1990. [MR1059320](#).
- [Vir] Rahbar Virk. Graded tensoring and crystals. [arXiv:1308.2402](#).
- [Wen87] Hans Wenzl. On sequences of projections. *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada*, 9(1):5–9, 1987. [MR873400](#).