VERSA: A Versatile Evaluation Toolkit for Speech, Audio, and Music

Jiatong Shi¹, Hyejin Shim¹, Jinchuan Tian¹, Siddhant Arora¹, Haibin Wu², Darius Petermann³, Jia Qi Yip⁴, You Zhang⁵, Yuxun Tang⁶, Wangyou Zhang⁷, Dareen Alharthi¹, Yichen Huang¹, Koichi Saito⁸, Jionghao Han¹, Yiwen Zhao¹, Chris Donahue¹, Shinji Watanabe¹,

¹Carnegie Mellon University, ²Microsoft, ³Indiana University, ⁴Nanyang Technological University, ⁵University of Rochester, 6 Renmin University of China, ⁷Shanghai Jiaotong University, 8 Sony AI

Abstract

In this work, we introduce *VERSA*, a unified and standardized evaluation toolkit designed for various speech, audio, and music signals. The toolkit features a Pythonic interface with flexible configuration and dependency control, making it user-friendly and efficient. With full installation, *VERSA* offers 63 metrics with 711 metric variations based on different configurations. These metrics encompass evaluations utilizing diverse external resources, including matching and non-matching reference audio, text transcriptions, and text captions. As a lightweight yet comprehensive toolkit, *VERSA* is versatile to support the evaluation of a wide range of downstream scenarios. To demonstrate its capabilities, this work highlights example use cases for *VERSA*, including audio coding, speech synthesis, speech enhancement, singing synthesis, and music generation. The toolkit is available at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/shinjiwlab/versa) [shinjiwlab/versa](https://github.com/shinjiwlab/versa).

1 Introduction

With the rapid advancements in artificial intelligence-generated content (AIGC), deep generative models have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in producing high-quality outputs across various domains, including image, video, and sound generation. As generative models become increasingly sophisticated, the need for comprehensive AIGC evaluation has grown, aimed at identifying the strengths and weaknesses of the generated outputs.

As an essential part of the language processing community, diverse generative models for speech, music, and general audio have shown significant potential in applications such as conversational interfaces [\(McTear,](#page-10-0) [2002\)](#page-10-0), entertainment [\(Fraser et al.,](#page-8-0) [2018;](#page-8-0) [Fancourt and Steptoe,](#page-8-1) [2019;](#page-8-1) [Dash and Agres,](#page-7-0) [2024\)](#page-7-0), and task management [\(Kulkarni et al.,](#page-9-0) [2019\)](#page-9-0). Due to the perceptual nature of sound-based applications, human subjective assessment is widely

regarded as the gold standard for evaluating sound generative models.

The most fundamental and widely used metric for these models is the mean opinion score (MOS) [\(Recommendation,](#page-10-1) [1994\)](#page-10-1). The initial purpose of MOS was to measure the naturalness of generated audio, but it has since evolved into various specific forms, such as evaluating speaker similarity [\(Toda et al.,](#page-11-0) [2016\)](#page-11-0), comparative performance against baseline systems [\(Harada](#page-8-2) [and Ohmuro,](#page-8-2) [1999\)](#page-8-2), emotional similarity [\(Choi](#page-7-1) [et al.,](#page-7-1) [2019\)](#page-7-1), and alignment with prompts [\(Guo](#page-8-3) [et al.,](#page-8-3) [2023;](#page-8-3) [Li et al.,](#page-9-1) [2024\)](#page-9-1). Despite its importance, subjective evaluations relying on human input are challenging to conduct due to their laborintensive nature. Furthermore, achieving statistically significant results often requires a substantial number of samples [\(Rosenberg and Ramabhadran,](#page-10-2) [2017\)](#page-10-2). Additionally, range-equalizing bias is frequently observed in MOS evaluations due to the psychological grounding of human subjective assessments [\(Cooper and Yamagishi,](#page-7-2) [2023;](#page-7-2) [Le Ma](#page-9-2)[guer et al.,](#page-9-2) [2024\)](#page-9-2). Such biases introduce considerable challenges in achieving comparable results across different evaluation datasets and participants, thereby complicating the process of benchmarking generative models effectively. Last but not least, certain evaluation variants may require individuals with expert knowledge to conduct the assessment, particularly in the music domain [\(Ji et al.,](#page-8-4) [2020\)](#page-8-4).

An alternative approach is to develop automatic metrics that align with human preferences. The design of such metrics can vary based on the use of external references and the specific application scenarios, which further complicates their selection.

The basic setup for evaluation involves using only the candidate audio being evaluated [\(Liang](#page-9-3) [and Kubichek,](#page-9-3) [1994;](#page-9-3) [Falk and Chan,](#page-7-3) [2006;](#page-7-3) [Lo et al.,](#page-9-4) [2019;](#page-9-4) [Saeki et al.,](#page-10-3) [2022\)](#page-10-3), which has been actively discussed in recent VoiceMOS challenges [\(Huang](#page-8-5) [et al.,](#page-8-5) [2022;](#page-8-5) [Cooper et al.,](#page-7-4) [2023;](#page-7-4) [Huang et al.,](#page-8-6)

Figure 1: Using various external resources for automatic sound evaluation. External resources include any matching reference signals, non-matching reference signals, transcriptions, visual cues, or textual captions.

[2024b\)](#page-8-6). In addition, a variety of external resources can be optionally utilized for evaluation, as illustrated in Fig[.1.](#page-1-0) These resources may include matching reference audio [\(Rix et al.,](#page-10-4) [2001\)](#page-10-4), non-matching reference audio [\(Manocha et al.,](#page-10-5) [2021;](#page-10-5) [Ragano et al.,](#page-10-6) [2024a\)](#page-10-6), textual transcription [\(Hayashi et al.,](#page-8-7) [2020\)](#page-8-7), textual captions [\(Huang](#page-8-8) [et al.,](#page-8-8) [2023\)](#page-8-8), or visual cues [\(Hu et al.,](#page-8-9) [2021\)](#page-8-9).^{[1](#page-1-1)}

The focus of automatic metrics can vary significantly depending on the application scenario. For instance, in voice conversion, discriminative speaker embeddings can be employed to measure speaker similarity between the generated speech and speech from the same speakers [\(Das](#page-7-5) [et al.,](#page-7-5) [2020\)](#page-7-5). In cases involving domain-specific content, such as singing, pre-trained models in singing voices may better align with assessments of singing naturalness [\(Tang et al.,](#page-11-1) [2024\)](#page-11-1). For a finegrained, sample-level generation, signal-to-noise ratio-related metrics are more suitable, particularly for tasks such as speech enhancement and separation [\(Luo and Mesgarani,](#page-9-5) [2019\)](#page-9-5). Due to the creative nature of music, distributional metrics are often better suited for evaluating music generation [\(Kilgour et al.,](#page-9-6) [2019\)](#page-9-6). Given the diversity of audio signals, effectively evaluating them requires a broad understanding of general audio events and their contextual relevance [\(Huang et al.,](#page-8-8) [2023\)](#page-8-8).

Considering the diversity of metrics and the evolution of generative models for speech, audio, and music, the need for standardized evaluation metrics has become increasingly evident. Without a unified framework, the diversity in evaluation methodologies often leads to inconsistent results, making it challenging to benchmark models or assess advancements effectively. Standardization ensures that all systems are evaluated under comparable conditions, fostering fairness, reproducibility, and

 1 Note that we specifically exclude pre-trained models from our notion of "external resources".

meaningful insights across studies. Moreover, centralizing metrics within a single toolkit not only reduces redundancy and inefficiencies but also encourages collaboration by providing researchers with a shared foundation for assessing performance. This need for consistency and centralization underpins the development of *VERSA*, a toolkit designed to address these challenges.

Extended from its prior version in [\(Shi et al.,](#page-11-2) [2024\)](#page-11-2), this work introduces a versatile evaluation toolkit for speech, audio, and music: *VERSA*. Built on a Pythonic interface, *VERSA* integrates 63 metrics and more than 711 variants, offering a wide array of automatic evaluation tools tailored for speech, audio, and music. By providing diverse metrics, *VERSA* aims to serve as a one-stop solution for multi-domain, multi-level, and multi-focus sound evaluation across various downstream applications. With examples showcasing the use of *VERSA* in *ESPnet-Codec* and *ESPnet-SpeechLM*, we anticipate that the *VERSA* toolkit will become a key component in advancing sound generation benchmarks, addressing challenges in speech generation, and supporting multi-modal generative frameworks. The codebase is publicly available at <https://github.com/shinjiwlab/versa>. [2](#page-1-2)

2 VERSA

This section details the design of *VERSA*, focusing on its general framework, supported metrics, and potential benefits for the community.

2.1 VERSA Framework

As illustrated in Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) the core library of *VERSA* is implemented as a Python package with two straightforward interfaces: scorer.py and aggregate_result.py. The scorer.py interface computes the automatic metrics, while aggregate_result.py consolidates the results into a final report for users.

Once installed via pip, using *VERSA* is as simple as the following:

python versa/bin/scorer.py \ --score_config egs/general.yaml \ --gt <ground truth audio list> \ --pred <candidate audio list> \ ⁵ -- output_file test_result

Listing 1: A simple scorer interface.

where the ground truth audio list is optional and is not required for independent metrics.

²A video demonstration is available at [https://youtu.](https://youtu.be/t7UP1uFvaCM) [be/t7UP1uFvaCM](https://youtu.be/t7UP1uFvaCM).

```
-versa
     | launch.sh # launch examples with SLURM<br>\---egs # example configs/running sc
           egs     # example configs/running scripts<br>| general.yaml         # default metric li
| | |general.yaml # default metric list
| | |run_cpu.sh # run CPU metrics
           | run gpu.sh # run GPU metrics
           | an end metrics # Config for individual metrics
                     mcdf0.yaml
                     | | spk.yaml
| | ...
        --versa # main library<br>| scorer shared.py # module regis
          | scorer_shared.py # module register interface<br>\---bin # binary interface
                     |<br>| + binary interface<br>| scorer.py | # scorer interface
| scorer.py # scorer interface
| aggregate_results.py # aggregate corpus-level results
| \---corpus_metrics # corpus-level metrics
                     fad.py
                     clap_score.py
| ...
           | \---utterance_metrics # utterance-level metrics
                    | mcd_f0.py
                     | spk.py
| ..
     \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} + - -t \geq 0 \\ -t \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}install_emo2vec.sh
          install_fadtk.sh
| ...
```
Figure 2: Directory structure of *VERSA*. Detailed discussion can be found in Sec. [2.1](#page-1-3)

I/O Interface: *VERSA* offers three I/O interfaces for handling audio samples: the Soundfile interface, the Directory interface, and the Kaldi interface. These interfaces support a variety of audio formats (e.g., PCM, FLAC, MP3, Kaldi-ARK) and different file organizations, such as wav.scp files or individual audio files stored within a parent directory. For each (candidate, reference) audio signal pair, a resampling is conducted for each metric according to the specific sampling rate required by that metric. librosa is used for resampling.

Flexible Configuration: *VERSA* employs a unified YAML-style configuration file to define which metrics to use and control their detailed setups. While users can directly explore the library code, we also provide example configuration files for different metrics in the egs directory, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) For instance, egs/general.yaml provides a configuration template for the default installation metrics. Additionally, individual YAML configuration files for specific metrics are available under egs/separate_metric. Some example configurations are discussed in Appendix [A.](#page-12-0)

Strict Dependency Control: Managing dependencies can be challenging when using diverse evaluation metrics. To address this, *VERSA* offers a minimal-dependency installation that supports a core set of metrics by default, while additional installation scripts are provided for metrics with extra requirements. This approach significantly reduces dependency overhead during *VERSA* installation, especially for metrics with heavy dependencies or complex compilation needs. As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-2-0) these additional installation scripts are located in the tools directory.

To ensure correct model usage, many official packages released by model providers enforce strict dependency control by specifying exact package versions (e.g., specific versions of PyTorch or NumPy). While this ensures compatibility, it often introduces unnecessary dependency conflicts with major packages. To provide a more flexible environment for users, *VERSA* bypasses these strict version requirements by adapting the interfaces of such metrics into our own local forks. These forks are supplemented with additional numerical tests to ensure functionality without adhering to rigid version control.

Moreover, using our own fork allows us to integrate *VERSA*-specific interfaces into external metrics that may otherwise conflict with the toolkit's design philosophy. This flexibility ensures a consistent and seamless interface across the *VERSA* library, enhancing usability and maintaining the toolkit's design integrity.

In Appendix [B,](#page-13-0) we further discuss additional system design concepts on job scheduling, test protocol, resource management, and community-driven contribution guidelines.

2.2 Supported Metrics

VERSA stands out for its extensive range of supported metrics, categorized into four main types:

Independent metrics: These metrics do not require any dependent external resources, other than pre-trained models. Notably, we adopt an extended form of independent metrics in speech and audio assessment, which also considers profilingrelated metrics, such as voice activity detection and speaker turn-taking. *VERSA* currently supports 22 independent metrics.

Dependent metrics: These metrics rely on matching sound references. In *VERSA*, 25 dependent metrics are supported.

Non-matching metrics: These metrics use nonmatching reference data or different modalities. *VERSA* currently supports 11 non-matching metrics.

Distributional metrics: These metrics conduct distribution comparisons between two datasets, providing a more general view of the generative models' performance. *VERSA* currently supports 5 distributional metrics.

As summarized in Table [1,](#page-3-0) *VERSA* supports a total of 63 metrics, 39 of which are included in the

Name	Domain			Open-source Link	
	Music Audio Speech				Metric Type
<i>ESPnet</i> (Watanabe et al., 2018)				https://github.com/espnet/espnet	16
Apmhion (Zhang et al., 2023a)			✓	https://github.com/open-mmlab/Amphion	
<i>SHEET</i> (Huang et al., 2024a)		Ā	✓	https://github.com/unilight/sheet	
SpeechMOS		√	✓	https://pypi.org/project/speechmos	
BSS-EVAL (Févotte et al., 2005)			✓	https://pypi.org/project/fast-bss-eval	
ClearerVoice-SpeechScore		х	х	https://github.com/modelscope/ClearerVoice-Studio	14
AudioLDM-Eval (Liu et al., 2023)	х		✓	https://github.com/haoheliu/audioldm_eval	
Stable-Audio-Metric		J	✓	https://github.com/Stability-AI/stable-audio-metrics	
Sony Audio-Metrics (Grachten, 2024)	✓		✓	https://github.com/SonyCSLParis/audio-metrics	
FADTK (Gui et al., 2024)	х			https://github.com/microsoft/fadtk	
Pysepm		х	х	https://github.com/schmiph2/pysepm	10
AudioCraft (Copet et al., 2024)	Х			https://github.com/facebookresearch/audiocraft/blob/main/docs/METRICS.md	6
AutaTK (Vinay and Lerch, 2023)	Х			https://github.com/Ashvala/AQUA-Tk	
VERSA	✓			https://github.com/shinjiwlab/versa	63

Table 2: Comparison to related toolkits. The number of metrics are collected at 12/09/2024.

minimal installation. Of these, 54 metrics are applicable to speech tasks, 22 to general audio tasks, and 22 to music tasks. Additionally, several metrics feature variations based on different pre-trained models, such as word error rate, speaker similarity, and Fréchet Audio Distance (FAD) scores. By simply modifying the configuration file,[3](#page-4-0) *VERSA* can generate up to 711 distinct metric variants, offering flexibility for a wide range of evaluation scenarios.

2.3 Advantages of *VERSA*

This section highlights the key benefits of *VERSA*, focusing on its ability to ensure consistency, facilitate comparability, provide comprehensive insights, and enhance efficiency.

Consistency: *VERSA* ensures uniform evaluation criteria across experiments, addressing a critical need in the field of sound generative models. By standardizing the implementation of metrics, *VERSA* minimizes the variability introduced by subjective judgments or inconsistent evaluation setups (e.g., coding environments).

Comparability: One of *VERSA*'s key advantages is its ability to facilitate benchmarking against existing models and methodologies. By providing a unified set of metrics, it ensures that evaluations are conducted under fair and objective conditions. This comparability is important for assessing the relative performance of new approaches, fostering innovation, and enabling the broader research community to progress effectively.

Comprehensiveness: *VERSA* supports a wide array of evaluation metrics, including dimensions such as perceptual quality, intelligibility, affective information, and creative diversity. By incorporating these diverse measures, the toolkit provides a

holistic view of system performance, especially for researchers to gain deeper insights into both the strengths and weaknesses of each method.

Efficiency: With its all-in-one design, *VERSA* significantly enhances efficiency by supporting multiple metrics within a single toolkit. Users no longer need to rely on separate tools or perform manual calculations to assess different aspects of audio performance. This workflow reduces the time, effort, and potential errors associated with using fragmented evaluation methods, accelerating the overall research and development process.

3 Comparison to Other Toolkits

As discussed in Sec. [1,](#page-0-0) the challenges associated with subjective evaluation have propelled the community toward exploring objective evaluation metrics. The growing demand for sound evaluation toolkits has led to numerous efforts in domainspecific evaluation for sound generation.

In the speech domain, prior to the deep learning era, the International Telecommunication Union Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) played a pivotal role in designing evaluation metrics for speech processing tasks such as speech coding and speech enhancement. More recently, text-to-speech (TTS) toolkits have begun incorporating speech quality assessment features, exemplified by *ESPnet-TTS* [\(Hayashi et al.,](#page-8-7) [2020,](#page-8-7) [2021\)](#page-8-13) and *Amphion* [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-12-1) [2023a\)](#page-12-1). Additionally, the *Speech Human Evaluation Estimation Toolkit (SHEET)* framework provides an allin-one recipe-style toolkit for data preparation, speech quality prediction model training, and evaluation [\(Huang et al.,](#page-8-10) [2024a\)](#page-8-10). In speech enhancement, foundational signal-level metrics were first consolidated in [\(Févotte et al.,](#page-8-14) [2005\)](#page-8-14), followed by extensions such as *Pysepm*, which supports 10 met-

³An example of a configuration change is provided in Appendix [A.2.](#page-13-1)

rics [\(Loizou,](#page-9-16) [2013\)](#page-9-16). More recent advancements include the addition of 14 speech enhancement metrics in *ClearerVoice* [\(ClearerVoice-Studio,](#page-7-13) [2023\)](#page-7-13).

In the audio domain, *AudioLDM-Eval* focuses on evaluating audio language models with nine types of metrics [\(Liu et al.,](#page-9-15) [2023,](#page-9-15) [2024\)](#page-9-17). Stability AI has introduced three audio metrics [\(Stability-AI,](#page-11-10) [2023\)](#page-11-10), while Sony CSL has open-sourced four additional types of audio metrics [\(Grachten,](#page-8-18) [2024\)](#page-8-18).

In the music domain, *MIR_EVAL* is a pioneering toolkit that aggregates metrics for music information retrieval tasks [\(Raffel et al.,](#page-10-16) [2014\)](#page-10-16). More recently, Microsoft released *FADTK*, which emphasizes a comprehensive FAD-embedding space for generative music evaluation [\(Gui et al.,](#page-8-19) [2024\)](#page-8-19).

A summary of the related toolkits is presented in Table [2.](#page-4-1) Each framework has made significant contributions to the community, many serving as foundational tools for sound generation research. Building on these previous toolkits, *VERSA* distinguishes itself with a general design applicable across multiple domains and its comprehensive inclusion of 63 metrics with 711 variants—capabilities that have not been achieved before.

4 Demonstration

We demonstrate several use cases of *VERSA* in diverse scenarios, including speech coding, speech synthesis, speech enhancement, singing synthesis, and music generation.

Speech coding remains one of the most widely utilized applications within the speech-processing community. In this demonstration, we leverage *VERSA* to evaluate nine publicly available codecs. More details and corresponding results are discussed in Table [4](#page-14-0) in Appendix [C.1.](#page-15-0)

Text-to-speech aims to convert text into speech signals. In this demonstration, we use *VERSA* to compare nine open-source TTS models. More details and corresponding are shown in Table [6,](#page-15-1) Appendix [C.2.](#page-15-2)

Speech enhancement: we also demonstrate the *VERSA* usage in speech enhancement in three speech enhancement models. More details and corresponding are shown in Table [7,](#page-16-0) Appendix [C.3.](#page-17-0)

Singing synthesis is an intersection between speech and music generation, where both speechoriented and music-oriented evaluation metrics are needed. *VERSA* offers a one-stop solution to this problem based on the collection of a variety of metrics in each domain. In this work, we demonstrate

the evaluation of a range of singing voice synthesis models. More details and corresponding are shown in Table [9,](#page-16-1) Appendix [C.4.](#page-17-1)

Music generation: the evaluation of music generation systems has received increasing attention due to the rapid progress in model development. To consider both creativity and musical harmony, recent evaluation methods mostly utilize distribution metrics for the evaluation, exhibiting a large difference to other sound generation systems. In this demonstration, *VERSA* aggregates a range of music generation evaluation metrics into a single toolkit. More details and corresponding are shown in Table [11,](#page-16-2) Appendix [C.5.](#page-17-2)

5 Conclusion

In this work, we introduced *VERSA*, a comprehensive and versatile evaluation toolkit for assessing speech, audio, and music signals. With its flexible Pythonic interface and extensive suite of metrics, *VERSA* empowers researchers and developers to conduct rigorous and reproducible evaluations across diverse generative tasks.

Through its integration of more than 63 metrics and 711 variants, *VERSA* provides unparalleled support for evaluating speech synthesis, audio coding, music generation, and more. The toolkit not only simplifies the evaluation process but also addresses challenges such as bias in subjective evaluations and the need for domain-specific expertise.

6 Ethics Statement

VERSA is designed to address the challenges of evaluating sound generative models in diverse linguistic, cultural, and acoustic contexts. Generative sound models often risk perpetuating biases due to their reliance on datasets that may underrepresent certain languages, accents, and cultural expressions. To mitigate these risks, *VERSA* incorporates evaluation metrics and methodologies that accommodate a wide range of audio characteristics, including tonal, phonetic, and rhythmic variations present across global languages and music traditions.

The toolkit encourages the use of regionally diverse datasets and provides flexibility to integrate culturally specific evaluation resources, such as non-standard phonetic systems or traditional musical structures. By doing so, *VERSA* seeks to foster the development of sound generative models that respect and represent the full spectrum of human audio diversity.

Through these efforts, *VERSA* empowers researchers and developers to create more inclusive generative models, ensuring that advances in speech, audio, and music technologies benefit communities worldwide, regardless of linguistic or cultural background.

7 Broader Impact

7.1 Current Limitations

While *VERSA* offers a comprehensive and versatile evaluation framework for speech, audio, and music generation, it is not without its limitations. Below, we outline some areas where the toolkit could be further improved:

Dependence on External Resources: Many of *VERSA*'s metrics require external resources, such as pre-trained models, reference datasets, or additional Python packages. The quality and diversity of these resources can impact the accuracy and fairness of evaluations, particularly for underrepresented languages and cultures. While *VERSA* provides flexible configurations to accommodate various scenarios, the availability of such resources remains a bottleneck in some cases.

Bias in Metric Design: Despite efforts to include diverse evaluation metrics, some metrics may still reflect biases inherent in the training data or methodologies used to develop them. For example, evaluation frameworks optimized for widely spoken languages or Western music may not fully capture the nuances of less-studied languages, dialects, or musical traditions. This bias can lead to less accurate evaluations for certain domains or cultural contexts.

Subjectivity in Perceptual Metrics: While *VERSA* incorporates automatic metrics designed to align with human subjective assessments, these metrics may not always perfectly reflect human preferences or perceptions. Human evaluations remain the gold standard for certain aspects of sound quality, naturalness, and emotional expressiveness, which automated metrics cannot fully replicate.

Evolving Standards in Generative Models: The rapid advancement of generative audio technologies means that new evaluation needs and metrics may arise that *VERSA* does not yet support. As a result, maintaining the toolkit's relevance and adaptability will require ongoing updates and contributions from the community.

7.2 Future Adaptation

By accommodating a wide range of configurations, external resources, and application scenarios, we expect *VERSA* to bridge the gap between human subjective assessments and automatic evaluation metrics, ensuring robust and scalable benchmarking. The adoption and usage of *VERSA* are not restricted by geographic boundaries. As an open-source toolkit, it is accessible globally to researchers and practitioners, fostering international collaboration in advancing sound generation technologies. *VERSA* is designed with adaptability in mind, allowing users to integrate their local resources and datasets to perform culturally and regionally relevant evaluations.

Furthermore, *VERSA* facilitates cross-border innovation by providing a standardized framework for evaluating generative audio models. This standardization reduces duplication of effort and promotes reproducibility, ensuring that advancements in sound generation and evaluation can transcend political and cultural borders. Our commitment to accessibility and inclusivity reinforces our belief in the universal potential of AI to benefit humanity without being limited by geographic, linguistic, or cultural barriers.

Looking ahead, we envision *VERSA* as a key enabler for advancing the field of sound generation. Its modular design ensures adaptability to future developments, while its open-source availability fosters collaboration and community-driven enhancements. By setting a new standard for sound evaluation, *VERSA* could pave the way for more transparent and effective comparisons of generative models, ultimately accelerating progress in AI-driven audio and music technologies.

References

- 2Noise. 2024. [Chattts: A generative speech model for](https://github.com/2noise/ChatTTS) [daily dialogue.](https://github.com/2noise/ChatTTS) Available at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/2noise/ChatTTS) [2noise/ChatTTS](https://github.com/2noise/ChatTTS).
- Andrea Agostinelli, Timo I Denk, Zalán Borsos, Jesse Engel, Mauro Verzetti, Antoine Caillon, Qingqing Huang, Aren Jansen, Adam Roberts, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. 2023. Musiclm: Generating music from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11325*.
- Kaito Baba, Wataru Nakata, Yuki Saito, and Hiroshi Saruwatari. 2024. The t05 system for the voicemos challenge 2024: Transfer learning from deep image classifier to naturalness mos prediction of high-quality synthetic speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.09305*.
- Deblin Bagchi, Peter Plantinga, Adam Stiff, and Eric Fosler-Lussier. 2018. Spectral feature mapping with mimic loss for robust speech recognition. In *IEEE Conference on Audio, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*.
- Thomas P Barnwell III, MA Clements, and SR Quackenbush. 1988. Objective measures for speech quality testing.
- Christoph Boeddeker, Wangyou Zhang, Tomohiro Nakatani, Keisuke Kinoshita, Tsubasa Ochiai, Marc Delcroix, Naoyuki Kamo, Yanmin Qian, and Reinhold Haeb-Umbach. 2021. Convolutive transfer function invariant sdr training criteria for multi-channel reverberant speech separation. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 8428–8432. IEEE.
- Fei Chen and Philipos C Loizou. 2010. Analysis of a simplified normalized covariance measure based on binary weighting functions for predicting the intelligibility of noise-suppressed speech. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 128(6):3715–3723.
- Ke Chen, Yusong Wu, Haohe Liu, Marianna Nezhurina, Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick, and Shlomo Dubnov. 2024a. MusicLDM: Enhancing novelty in textto-music generation using beat-synchronous mixup strategies. In *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1206–1210. IEEE.
- Sanyuan Chen, Shujie Liu, Long Zhou, Yanqing Liu, Xu Tan, Jinyu Li, Sheng Zhao, Yao Qian, and Furu Wei. 2024b. Vall-e 2: Neural codec language models are human parity zero-shot text to speech synthesizers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.05370*.
- Michael Chinen, Felicia SC Lim, Jan Skoglund, Nikita Gureev, Feargus O'Gorman, and Andrew Hines. 2020. Visqol v3: An open source production ready objective speech and audio metric. In *2020 twelfth international conference on quality of multimedia experience (QoMEX)*, pages 1–6. IEEE.
- Heejin Choi, Sangjun Park, Jinuk Park, and Minsoo Hahn. 2019. Multi-speaker emotional acoustic modeling for CNN-based speech synthesis. In *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6950–6954. IEEE.
- ClearerVoice-Studio. 2023. https://github.com/modelscope/clearervoice-studio. Accessed: 2024-12-09.
- Collabora. 2024. [Whisperspeech: A speech process](https://github.com/collabora/WhisperSpeech)[ing toolkit.](https://github.com/collabora/WhisperSpeech) Available at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/collabora/WhisperSpeech) [collabora/WhisperSpeech](https://github.com/collabora/WhisperSpeech).
- Erica Cooper, Wen-Chin Huang, Yu Tsao, Hsin-Min Wang, Tomoki Toda, and Junichi Yamagishi. 2023. The VoiceMOS challenge 2023: zero-shot subjective speech quality prediction for multiple domains. In

2023 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU), pages 1–7. IEEE.

- Erica Cooper and Junichi Yamagishi. 2023. [Investi](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-1076)[gating range-equalizing bias in mean opinion score](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-1076) [ratings of synthesized speech.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-1076) In *Interspeech*, pages 1104–1108.
- Jade Copet, Felix Kreuk, Itai Gat, Tal Remez, David Kant, Gabriel Synnaeve, Yossi Adi, and Alexandre Défossez. 2024. Simple and controllable music generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.
- Rohan Kumar Das, Tomi Kinnunen, Wen-Chin Huang, Zhen-Hua Ling, Junichi Yamagishi, Zhao Yi, Xiaohai Tian, and Tomoki Toda. 2020. Predictions of subjective ratings and spoofing assessments of voice conversion challenge 2020 submissions. In *Joint Workshop for the Blizzard Challenge and Voice Conversion Challenge 2020*. ISCA.
- Adyasha Dash and Kathleen Agres. 2024. Ai-based affective music generation systems: A review of methods and challenges. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(11):1–34.
- Michaël Defferrard, Kirell Benzi, Pierre Vandergheynst, and Xavier Bresson. 2017. [FMA: A dataset for music](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.01840) [analysis.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.01840) In *18th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference (ISMIR)*.
- Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Yossi Adi. 2024a. High fidelity neural audio compression. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*.
- Alexandre Défossez, Laurent Mazaré, Manu Orsini, Amélie Royer, Patrick Pérez, Hervé Jégou, Edouard Grave, and Neil Zeghidour. 2024b. Moshi: a speechtext foundation model for real-time dialogue. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00037*.
- Soham Deshmukh, Dareen Alharthi, Benjamin Elizalde, Hannes Gamper, Mahmoud Al Ismail, Rita Singh, Bhiksha Raj, and Huaming Wang. 2024. PAM: Prompting audio-language models for audio quality assessment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00282*.
- Lorenz Diener, Marju Purin, Sten Sootla, Ando Saabas, Robert Aichner, and Ross Cutler. 2023. [Plcmos – a](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-1532) [data-driven non-intrusive metric for the evaluation](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-1532) [of packet loss concealment algorithms.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-1532) In *INTER-SPEECH 2023*, pages 2533–2537.
- Zhihao Du, Qian Chen, Shiliang Zhang, Kai Hu, Heng Lu, Yexin Yang, Hangrui Hu, Siqi Zheng, Yue Gu, Ziyang Ma, et al. 2024. Cosyvoice: A scalable multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech synthesizer based on supervised semantic tokens. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05407*.
- Tiago H Falk and W-Y Chan. 2006. Single-ended speech quality measurement using machine learning methods. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 14(6):1935–1947.
- Tiago H Falk, Chenxi Zheng, and Wai-Yip Chan. 2010. A non-intrusive quality and intelligibility measure of reverberant and dereverberated speech. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 18(7):1766–1774.
- Daisy Fancourt and Andrew Steptoe. 2019. Present in body or just in mind: differences in social presence and emotion regulation in live vs. virtual singing experiences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10:778.
- Cédric Févotte, Rémi Gribonval, and Emmanuel Vincent. 2005. Bss_eval toolbox user guide–revision 2.0.
- Seth* Forsgren and Hayk* Martiros. 2022. [Riffusion -](https://riffusion.com/about) [Stable diffusion for real-time music generation.](https://riffusion.com/about)
- Jamie Fraser, Ioannis Papaioannou, and Oliver Lemon. 2018. Spoken conversational AI in video games: Emotional dialogue management increases user engagement. In *Proceedings of the 18th international conference on intelligent virtual agents*, pages 179– 184.
- Szu-Wei Fu, Cheng Yu, Tsun-An Hsieh, Peter Plantinga, Mirco Ravanelli, Xugang Lu, and Yu Tsao. 2021. [Metricgan+: An improved version of metricgan for](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2021-599) [speech enhancement.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2021-599) In *Interspeech 2021*, pages 201–205.
- Charles Goodwin and John Heritage. 1990. Conversation analysis. *Annual review of anthropology*, 19:283– 307.
- Maarten Grachten. 2024. Measuring audio prompt adherence with distribution-based embedding distances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.00775*.
- Azalea Gui, Hannes Gamper, Sebastian Braun, and Dimitra Emmanouilidou. 2024. Adapting frechet audio distance for generative music evaluation. In *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1331–1335. IEEE.
- Zhifang Guo, Yichong Leng, Yihan Wu, Sheng Zhao, and Xu Tan. 2023. PromptTTS: Controllable text-tospeech with text descriptions. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5. IEEE.
- Noboru Harada and Hitoshi Ohmuro. 1999. 5-khzbandwidth speech coder at 4-8 kbit/s. In *1999 IEEE Workshop on Speech Coding Proceedings. Model, Coders, and Error Criteria (Cat. No. 99EX351)*, pages 13–15. IEEE.
- Tomoki Hayashi, Ryuichi Yamamoto, Katsuki Inoue, Takenori Yoshimura, Shinji Watanabe, Tomoki Toda, Kazuya Takeda, Yu Zhang, and Xu Tan. 2020. ESPnet-TTS: Unified, reproducible, and integratable open source end-to-end text-to-speech toolkit. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 7654–7658. IEEE.
- Tomoki Hayashi, Ryuichi Yamamoto, Takenori Yoshimura, Peter Wu, Jiatong Shi, Takaaki Saeki, Yooncheol Ju, Yusuke Yasuda, Shinnosuke Takamichi, and Shinji Watanabe. 2021. Espnet2-tts: Extending the edge of tts research. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07840*.
- Chenxu Hu, Qiao Tian, Tingle Li, Wang Yuping, Yuxuan Wang, and Hang Zhao. 2021. Neural dubber: Dubbing for videos according to scripts. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, pages 16582–16595.
- Yi Hu and Philipos C Loizou. 2007. Evaluation of objective quality measures for speech enhancement. *IEEE Transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 16(1):229–238.
- Rongjie Huang, Jiawei Huang, Dongchao Yang, Yi Ren, Luping Liu, Mingze Li, Zhenhui Ye, Jinglin Liu, Xiang Yin, and Zhou Zhao. 2023. Make-an-audio: Textto-audio generation with prompt-enhanced diffusion models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 13916–13932. PMLR.
- Wen-Chin Huang, Erica Cooper, and Tomoki Toda. 2024a. Mos-bench: Benchmarking generalization abilities of subjective speech quality assessment models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.03715*.
- Wen Chin Huang, Erica Cooper, Yu Tsao, Hsin-Min Wang, Tomoki Toda, and Junichi Yamagishi. 2022. [The VoiceMOS challenge 2022.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2022-970) In *Interspeech*, pages 4536–4540.
- Wen-Chin Huang, Szu-Wei Fu, Erica Cooper, Ryandhimas E Zezario, Tomoki Toda, Hsin-Min Wang, Junichi Yamagishi, and Yu Tsao. 2024b. The Voice-MOS challenge 2024: Beyond speech quality prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.07001*.
- Keith Ito and Linda Johnson. 2017. [The lj speech](https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/) [dataset.](https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/) Available online: [https://keithito.com/](https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/) [LJ-Speech-Dataset/](https://keithito.com/LJ-Speech-Dataset/).
- Wissam A. Jassim, Jan Skoglund, Michael Chinen, and Andrew Hines. 2022. [Speech quality assessment with](https://doi.org/10.1049/sil2.12151) [warp-q: From similarity to subsequence dynamic](https://doi.org/10.1049/sil2.12151) [time warp cost.](https://doi.org/10.1049/sil2.12151) *IET Signal Processing*, n/a(n/a).
- Shengpeng Ji, Ziyue Jiang, Wen Wang, Yifu Chen, Minghui Fang, Jialong Zuo, Qian Yang, Xize Cheng, Zehan Wang, Ruiqi Li, et al. 2024. Wavtokenizer: an efficient acoustic discrete codec tokenizer for audio language modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.16532*.
- Shulei Ji, Jing Luo, and Xinyu Yang. 2020. A comprehensive survey on deep music generation: Multi-level representations, algorithms, evaluations, and future directions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06801*.
- Iver Jordal. 2023. log-wmse: A weighted log spectral loss for audio quality estimation. Accessed: 2024- 12-09.
- Jee-weon Jung, Hee-Soo Heo, Hemlata Tak, Hye-jin Shim, Joon Son Chung, Bong-Jin Lee, Ha-Jin Yu, and Nicholas Evans. 2021. Aasist: Audio anti-spoofing using integrated spectro-temporal graph attention networks. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.01200*.
- Thorsten Kastner and Jürgen Herre. 2019. [An efficient](https://doi.org/10.1109/WASPAA.2019.8937179) [model for estimating subjective quality of separated](https://doi.org/10.1109/WASPAA.2019.8937179) [audio source signals.](https://doi.org/10.1109/WASPAA.2019.8937179) In *2019 IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics (WASPAA)*, pages 95–99.
- James M Kates and Kathryn H Arehart. 2005. Coherence and the speech intelligibility index. *The journal of the acoustical society of America*, 117(4):2224– 2237.
- Kevin Kilgour, Mauricio Zuluaga, Dominik Roblek, and Matthew Sharifi. 2019. [Fréchet audio distance: A](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2019-2219) [reference-free metric for evaluating music enhance](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2019-2219)[ment algorithms.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2019-2219) In *Interspeech*, pages 2350–2354.
- Jaehyeon Kim, Jungil Kong, and Juhee Son. 2021. Conditional variational autoencoder with adversarial learning for end-to-end text-to-speech. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 5530–5540. PMLR.
- Dennis Klatt. 1982. Prediction of perceived phonetic distance from critical-band spectra: A first step. In *ICASSP'82. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, volume 7, pages 1278–1281. IEEE.
- Robert Kubichek. 1993. Mel-cepstral distance measure for objective speech quality assessment. In *Proceedings of IEEE pacific rim conference on communications computers and signal processing*, volume 1, pages 125–128. IEEE.
- Pradnya Kulkarni, Ameya Mahabaleshwarkar, Mrunalini Kulkarni, Nachiket Sirsikar, and Kunal Gadgil. 2019. Conversational AI: An overview of methodologies, applications & future scope. In *2019 5th International conference on computing, communication, control and automation (ICCUBEA)*, pages 1–7. IEEE.
- Anurag Kumar, Ke Tan, Zhaoheng Ni, Pranay Manocha, Xiaohui Zhang, Ethan Henderson, and Buye Xu. 2023. Torchaudio-squim: Reference-less speech quality and intelligibility measures in torchaudio. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5. IEEE.
- Rithesh Kumar, Prem Seetharaman, Alejandro Luebs, Ishaan Kumar, and Kundan Kumar. 2024. Highfidelity audio compression with improved rvqgan. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36.
- Yoach Lacombe, Vaibhav Srivastav, and Sanchit Gandhi. 2024. Parler-tts.
- Sébastien Le Maguer, Simon King, and Naomi Harte. 2024. The limits of the mean opinion score for speech synthesis evaluation. *Computer Speech & Language*, 84:101577.
- Shuhua Li, Qirong Mao, and Jiatong Shi. 2024. [PL-](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1429)[TTS: A generalizable prompt-based diffusion tts aug](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1429)[mented by large language model.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1429) In *Interspeech*, pages 4888–4892.
- Jin Liang and Robert Kubichek. 1994. Output-based objective speech quality. In *Proceedings of IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC)*, pages 1719– 1723. IEEE.
- Haohe Liu, Zehua Chen, Yi Yuan, Xinhao Mei, Xubo Liu, Danilo Mandic, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. 2023. AudioLDM: Text-to-audio generation with latent diffusion models. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 21450–21474.
- Haohe Liu, Yi Yuan, Xubo Liu, Xinhao Mei, Qiuqiang Kong, Qiao Tian, Yuping Wang, Wenwu Wang, Yuxuan Wang, and Mark D. Plumbley. 2024. [Audi](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2024.3399607)[oldm 2: Learning holistic audio generation with self](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2024.3399607)[supervised pretraining.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2024.3399607) *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 32:2871– 2883.
- Jinglin Liu, Chengxi Li, Yi Ren, Feiyang Chen, and Zhou Zhao. 2022. Diffsinger: Singing voice synthesis via shallow diffusion mechanism. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 36, pages 11020–11028.
- Chen-Chou Lo, Szu-Wei Fu, Wen-Chin Huang, Xin Wang, Junichi Yamagishi, Yu Tsao, and Hsin-Min Wang. 2019. [MOSNet: Deep learning-based objec](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2019-2003)[tive assessment for voice conversion.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2019-2003) In *Interspeech*, pages 1541–1545.
- Philipos C. Loizou. 2013. *Speech Enhancement: Theory and Practice*, 2nd edition. CRC Press, Inc., USA.
- Peiling Lu, Jie Wu, Jian Luan, Xu Tan, and Li Zhou. 2020. [Xiaoicesing: A high-quality and integrated](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2020-1410) [singing voice synthesis system.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2020-1410) In *Interspeech 2020*, pages 1306–1310.
- Yi Luo and Nima Mesgarani. 2018. [TaSNet: Time](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2018.8462116)[domain audio separation network for real-time,](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2018.8462116) [single-channel speech separation.](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2018.8462116) In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 696–700.
- Yi Luo and Nima Mesgarani. 2019. [Conv-TasNet: Sur](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2019.2915167)[passing ideal time–frequency magnitude masking for](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2019.2915167) [speech separation.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TASLP.2019.2915167) *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 27(8):1256– 1266.
- Ziyang Ma, Zhisheng Zheng, Jiaxin Ye, Jinchao Li, Zhifu Gao, ShiLiang Zhang, and Xie Chen. 2024. [emotion2vec: Self-supervised pre-training for speech](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2024.findings-acl.931)

[emotion representation.](https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2024.findings-acl.931) In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*, pages 15747–15760, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Pranay Manocha, Buye Xu, and Anurag Kumar. 2021. NORESQA: A framework for speech quality assessment using non-matching references. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, pages 22363–22378.
- Michael F McTear. 2002. Spoken dialogue technology: enabling the conversational user interface. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 34(1):90–169.
- Gabriel Mittag, Babak Naderi, Assmaa Chehadi, and Sebastian Möller. 2021. [Nisqa: A deep cnn-self](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2021-299)[attention model for multidimensional speech qual](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2021-299)[ity prediction with crowdsourced datasets.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2021-299) In *Interspeech 2021*, pages 2127–2131.
- Muhammad Ferjad Naeem, Seong Joon Oh, Youngjung Uh, Yunjey Choi, and Jaejun Yoo. 2020. Reliable fidelity and diversity metrics for generative models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 7176–7185. PMLR.
- Vassil Panayotov, Guoguo Chen, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur. 2015. Librispeech: an ASR corpus based on public domain audio books. In *2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 5206–5210. IEEE.
- Yifan Peng, Jinchuan Tian, Brian Yan, Dan Berrebbi, Xuankai Chang, Xinjian Li, Jiatong Shi, Siddhant Arora, William Chen, Roshan Sharma, et al. 2023. Reproducing whisper-style training using an opensource toolkit and publicly available data. In *2023 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, pages 1–8. IEEE.
- Daniel Povey, Arnab Ghoshal, Gilles Boulianne, Lukas Burget, Ondrej Glembek, Nagendra Goel, Mirko Hannemann, Petr Motlicek, Yanmin Qian, Petr Schwarz, et al. 2011. The Kaldi speech recognition toolkit. In *IEEE 2011 workshop on automatic speech recognition and understanding*. IEEE Signal Processing Society.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. 2023. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 28492–28518. PMLR.
- Colin Raffel, Brian McFee, Eric J Humphrey, Justin Salamon, Oriol Nieto, Dawen Liang, Daniel PW Ellis, and C Colin Raffel. 2014. Mir_eval: A transparent implementation of common mir metrics. In *ISMIR*, volume 10, page 2014.
- Alessandro Ragano, Jan Skoglund, and Andrew Hines. 2024a. NOMAD: Unsupervised learning of perceptual embeddings for speech enhancement and non-matching reference audio quality assessment.

In *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1011–1015. IEEE.

- Alessandro Ragano, Jan Skoglund, and Andrew Hines. 2024b. [SCOREQ: Speech quality assessment with](https://openreview.net/forum?id=HDVsiUHQ1w) [contrastive regression.](https://openreview.net/forum?id=HDVsiUHQ1w) In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- ITU-T Recommendation. 1994. Telephone transmission quality subjective opinion tests. a method for subjective performance assessment of the quality of speech voice output devices.
- Chandan KA Reddy, Vishak Gopal, and Ross Cutler. 2021. DNSMOS: A non-intrusive perceptual objective speech quality metric to evaluate noise suppressors. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 6493–6497. IEEE.
- Chandan KA Reddy, Vishak Gopal, and Ross Cutler. 2022. DNSMOS P. 835: A non-intrusive perceptual objective speech quality metric to evaluate noise suppressors. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 886–890. IEEE.
- Antony W Rix, John G Beerends, Michael P Hollier, and Andries P Hekstra. 2001. Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ)-a new method for speech quality assessment of telephone networks and codecs. In *2001 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech, and signal processing. Proceedings (Cat. No. 01CH37221)*, volume 2, pages 749–752. IEEE.
- Dominik Roblek, Kevin Kilgour, Matt Sharifi, and Mauricio Zuluaga. 2019. Fréchet audio distance: A reference-free metric for evaluating music enhancement algorithms. In *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, pages 2350–2354.
- Andrew Rosenberg and Bhuvana Ramabhadran. 2017. Bias and statistical significance in evaluating speech synthesis with mean opinion scores. In *Interspeech*, pages 3976–3980.
- Takaaki Saeki, Soumi Maiti, Shinnosuke Takamichi, Shinji Watanabe, and Hiroshi Saruwatari. 2024. [Speechbertscore: Reference-aware automatic eval](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1508)[uation of speech generation leveraging nlp evaluation](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1508) [metrics.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1508) In *Interspeech 2024*, pages 4943–4947.
- Takaaki Saeki, Detai Xin, Wataru Nakata, Tomoki Koriyama, Shinnosuke Takamichi, and Hiroshi Saruwatari. 2022. [UTMOS: UTokyo-SaruLab sys](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2022-439)[tem for voiceMOS challenge 2022.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2022-439) In *Interspeech*, pages 4521–4525.
- Kai Shen, Zeqian Ju, Xu Tan, Eric Liu, Yichong Leng, Lei He, Tao Qin, Jiang Bian, et al. 2023. Naturalspeech 2: Latent diffusion models are natural and zero-shot speech and singing synthesizers. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Jiatong Shi, Shuai Guo, Nan Huo, Yuekai Zhang, and Qin Jin. 2021. Sequence-to-sequence singing voice synthesis with perceptual entropy loss. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 76–80. IEEE.
- Jiatong Shi, Shuai Guo, Tao Qian, Tomoki Hayashi, Yuning Wu, Fangzheng Xu, Xuankai Chang, Huazhe Li, Peter Wu, Shinji Watanabe, and Qin Jin. 2022. [Muskits: an end-to-end music processing toolkit for](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2022-10039) [singing voice synthesis.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2022-10039) In *Interspeech 2022*, pages 4277–4281.
- Jiatong Shi, Jinchuan Tian, Yihan Wu, Jee-weon Jung, Jia Qi Yip, Yoshiki Masuyama, William Chen, Yuning Wu, Yuxun Tang, Massa Baali, et al. 2024. ESPnet-Codec: Comprehensive training and evaluation of neural codecs for audio, music, and speech. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.15897*.
- SileroTeam. 2024. Silero vad: pre-trained enterprisegrade voice activity detector (vad), number detector and language classifier.
- Stability-AI. 2023. https://github.com/stabilityai/stable-audio-metrics. Accessed: 2024-12-09.
- Cem Subakan, Mirco Ravanelli, Samuele Cornell, Mirko Bronzi, and Jianyuan Zhong. 2021. Attention is all you need in speech separation. In *ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 21–25. IEEE.
- Cees H Taal, Richard C Hendriks, Richard Heusdens, and Jesper Jensen. 2011. An algorithm for intelligibility prediction of time–frequency weighted noisy speech. *IEEE Transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 19(7):2125–2136.
- Yuxun Tang, Jiatong Shi, Yuning Wu, and Qin Jin. 2024. SingMOS: An extensive open-source singing voice dataset for MOS prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.10911*.
- Tomoki Toda, Ling-Hui Chen, Daisuke Saito, Fernando Villavicencio, Mirjam Wester, Zhizheng Wu, and Junichi Yamagishi. 2016. The voice conversion challenge 2016. In *Interspeech*, volume 2016, pages 1632–1636.
- JM Tribolet, Peter Noll, B McDermott, and R Crochiere. 1978. A study of complexity and quality of speech waveform coders. In *ICASSP'78. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing*, volume 3, pages 586–590. IEEE.
- Cassia Valentini-Botinhao. 2017. [Noisy speech](https://doi.org/10.7488/ds/2117) [database for training speech enhancement algorithms](https://doi.org/10.7488/ds/2117) [and tts models, 2016 \[sound\].](https://doi.org/10.7488/ds/2117)
- Ashvala Vinay and Alexander Lerch. 2023. Aquatk: An audio quality assessment toolkit. In *ISMIR 2023 Hybrid Conference*.
- Shinji Watanabe, Takaaki Hori, Shigeki Karita, Tomoki Hayashi, Jiro Nishitoba, Yuya Unno, Nelson Enrique Yalta Soplin, Jahn Heymann, Matthew Wiesner, Nanxin Chen, Adithya Renduchintala, and Tsubasa Ochiai. 2018. [ESPnet: End-to-end speech processing](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2018-1456) [toolkit.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2018-1456) In *Interspeech*, pages 2207–2211.
- Jee weon Jung, Wangyou Zhang, Jiatong Shi, Zakaria Aldeneh, Takuya Higuchi, Alex Gichamba, Barry-John Theobald, Ahmed Hussen Abdelaziz, and Shinji Watanabe. 2024. [Espnet-spk: full pipeline speaker](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1345) [embedding toolkit with reproducible recipes, self](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1345)[supervised front-ends, and off-the-shelf models.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-1345) In *Interspeech 2024*, pages 4278–4282.
- Haibin Wu, Naoyuki Kanda, Sefik Emre Eskimez, and Jinyu Li. 2024a. Ts3-codec: Transformerbased simple streaming single codec. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.18803*.
- Yuning Wu, Jiatong Shi, Yifeng Yu, Yuxun Tang, Tao Qian, Yueqian Lin, Jionghao Han, Xinyi Bai, Shinji Watanabe, and Qin Jin. 2024b. Muskits-espnet: A comprehensive toolkit for singing voice synthesis in new paradigm. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 11279– 11281.
- Yuning Wu, Chunlei Zhang, Jiatong Shi, Yuxun Tang, Shan Yang, and Qin Jin. 2024c. [Toksing: Singing](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-2360) [voice synthesis based on discrete tokens.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2024-2360) In *Interspeech 2024*, pages 2549–2553.
- Yusong Wu, Ke Chen, Tianyu Zhang, Yuchen Hui*, Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick, and Shlomo Dubnov. 2023. Large-scale contrastive language-audio pretraining with feature fusion and keyword-to-caption augmentation. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP*.
- Detai Xin, Xu Tan, Shinnosuke Takamichi, and Hiroshi Saruwatari. 2024. Bigcodec: Pushing the limits of low-bitrate neural speech codec. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.05377*.
- Dongchao Yang, Dingdong Wang, Haohan Guo, Xueyuan Chen, Xixin Wu, and Helen Meng. 2024. Simplespeech: Towards simple and efficient text-tospeech with scalar latent transformer diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.02328*.
- NetEase Youdao. 2024. [Emotivoice: a multi-voice and](https://github.com/netease-youdao/EmotiVoice) [prompt-controlled tts engine.](https://github.com/netease-youdao/EmotiVoice) Available at [https:](https://github.com/netease-youdao/EmotiVoice) [//github.com/netease-youdao/EmotiVoice](https://github.com/netease-youdao/EmotiVoice).
- Yifeng Yu, Jiatong Shi, Yuning Wu, and Shinji Watanabe. 2024. Visinger2+: End-to-end singing voice synthesis augmented by self-supervised learning representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.08761*.
- Wangyou Zhang, Robin Scheibler, Kohei Saijo, Samuele Cornell, Chenda Li, Zhaoheng Ni, Anurag Kumar, Jan Pirklbauer, Marvin Sach, Shinji Watanabe, et al. 2024a. Urgent challenge: Universality, robustness, and generalizability for speech enhancement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04660*.
- Xin Zhang, Dong Zhang, Shimin Li, Yaqian Zhou, and Xipeng Qiu. 2024b. Speechtokenizer: Unified speech tokenizer for speech language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Xueyao Zhang, Liumeng Xue, Yicheng Gu, Yuancheng Wang, Jiaqi Li, Haorui He, Chaoren Wang, Songting Liu, Xi Chen, Junan Zhang, et al. 2023a. Amphion: An open-source audio, music and speech generation toolkit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09911*. 17
- Yongmao Zhang, Jian Cong, Heyang Xue, Lei Xie, 20 # -- sar: signal to artifact ratio Pengcheng Zhu, and Mengxiao Bi. 2022. Visinger: 21 # -- sdr: signal to distortion ratio Variational inference with adversarial learning for ²² # -- ci -sdr: scale - invariant signal to end-to-end singing voice synthesis. In *ICASSP 2022-* 2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, ²³ [#] -- si-snri: scale-invariant signal to *Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 7237– 7241. IEEE. 25
- Yongmao Zhang, Heyang Xue, Hanzhao Li, Lei Xie, Tingwei Guo, Ruixiong Zhang, and Caixia Gong. 2023b. [Visinger2: High-fidelity end-to-end singing](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-391) $_{28}$ [voice synthesis enhanced by digital signal processing](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-391) $_{29}$ [synthesizer.](https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2023-391) In *INTERSPEECH 2023*, pages 4444– ³⁰ # stoi related metrics 4448.
- Ziqiang Zhang, Long Zhou, Chengyi Wang, Sanyuan ³² - name : stoi Chen, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Yanqing Liu, 33 Huaming Wang, Jinyu Li, et al. 2023c. Speak for-³⁴ # discrete speech metrics eign languages with your own voice: Cross-lingual neural codec language modeling. *arXiv preprint* ³⁶ # -- speech_bleu : speech bleu score *arXiv:2303.03926*.
- Wenliang Zhao, Xumin Yu, and Zengyi Qin. 2023. [Melotts: High-quality multi-lingual multi-accent text](https://github.com/myshell-ai/MeloTTS)[to-speech.](https://github.com/myshell-ai/MeloTTS)

A Example Configuration

A.1 Base Configuration

We demonstrate an example configuration file in 46 Listing [2,](#page-12-2) illustrating the ease of setup and cus- 47 tomization within *VERSA*. The configuration options are designed to cater to various user needs, 50 offering flexibility for advanced users to tailor evaluations to specific scenarios.

Simultaneously, default settings are provided to 54 # speaker related metrics ensure an intuitive experience for new users, allowing them to quickly begin leveraging the toolkit $_{56}$ # without requiring extensive configuration knowledge. These thoughtful defaults strike a balance between simplicity and functionality, empowering $58 -$ name: speaker both novice and experienced users to perform ro- 59 bust evaluations effortlessly.

 # Example YAML config 2 # mcd f0 related metrics 4 # -- mcd: mel cepstral distortion # -- f0_corr : f0 correlation # -- f0_rmse : f0 root mean square error

```
name: mcd_f0
    f0min: 40
    9 f0max : 800
    mcep_shift: 5
11 mcep_fftl : 1024
12 mcep_dim : 39
13 mcep_alpha: 0.466
14 seq_mismatch_tolerance : 0.1
15 power_threshold: -20
16 dtw: false
18 # signal related metrics
19 # -- sir: signal to interference ratio
    distortion ratio
      noise ratio improvement
24 - name : signal_metric
26 # pesq related metrics
27 # -- pesq : perceptual evaluation of
      speech quality
   name: pesq
31 # - stoi: short-time objective
      intelligibility
  35 # -- speech_bert : speech bert score
37 # -- speech_token_distance : speech token
       distance score
38 - name : discrete_speech
40 # pseudo subjective metrics
41 # -- utmos : UT -MOS score
42 # -- dnsmos : DNS -MOS score
43 # -- plcmos : PLC -MOS score
44 - name : pseudo_mos
45 predictor_types: ["utmos", "dnsmos", "
      plcmos ", " singmos "]
    predictor_args:
      utmos:
        fs: 16000
      dnsmos:
        fs: 16000plcmos:
52 fs : 16000
55 # -- spk_similarity : speaker cosine
      similarity
                        model tag can be
      any ESPnet -SPK huggingface repo at
                        https://huggingface
      .co/ espnet
    model_tag: default
61 # torchaudio - squim
62 # -- torch_squim_pesq : reference - less
     pesq
63 # -- torch_squim_stoi : reference - less
     stoi
64 # -- torch_squim_si_sdr : reference - less
  si -sdr
```
39

53

60

```
65 # -- torch_squim_mos : MOS score with
     reference
66 - name : squim_ref
67 - name : squim_no_ref
68
69 # An overall model on MOS - bench from
      Sheet toolkit
70 # More info in https :// github . com /
     unilight / sheet / tree / main
71 # -- sheet_ssqa : the mos prediction from
      sheet_ssqa
72 - name: sheet ssqa
73
74 # Word error rate with OpenAI - Whisper
      model
75 # More model_tag can be from https ://
      github . com / openai / whisper ? tab = readme
      -ov - file # available - models -and -
      languages .
76 # The default model is 'large -v3 '.
77 # NOTE ( jiatong ): further aggregation are
      necessary for corpus - level WER /CER
78 # -- whisper_hyp_text : the hypothesis
      from ESPnet ASR decoding
79 # -- ref_text : reference text ( after
     cleaner )
80 # -- whisper_wer_delete : delete errors
81 # -- whisper_wer_insert : insertion errors
82 # -- whisper_wer_replace : replacement
     errors
83 # --whisper_wer_equal: correct matching
     words / character counts
84 # -- whisper_cer_delete : delete errors
85 # -- whisper_cer_insert : insertion errors
86 # -- whisper_cer_replace : replacement
     errors
87 # --whisper_cer_equal: correct matching
     words / character counts
88 - name : whisper_wer
89 model_tag: default
90 beam size: 5
91 text_cleaner: whisper_basic
92
93 # Speech Enhancement - based Metrics
94 # model tag can be any ESPnet -SE
     huggingface repo
95 # -- se_sdr: the SDR from a reference
     speech enhancement model
96 # -- se_sar : the SAR from a reference
     speech enhancement model
97 # -- se_ci_sdr: the CI-SDR from a
     reference speech enhancement model
98 # -- se_si_snr: the SI-SNR from a
      rerference speech enhancement model
99 - name : se_snr
```
100 model_tag: default

Listing 2: An example of the configuration file.

A.2 Configuration Change for Model Variations

As mentioned in Sec[.2.2,](#page-2-1) the configuration can be easily adjusted to accommodate different model variations. For instance, by default, we use the Rawnet-based speaker embedding [\(weon Jung](#page-11-8) [et al.,](#page-11-8) [2024\)](#page-11-8) for speaker similarity calculation, as demonstrated in Listing [3.](#page-13-2)

```
1 # Example Speaker Similarity YAML config
2
3 # speaker related metrics
4 # -- spk_similarity : speaker cosine
    similarity
5 # model tag can be
    any ESPnet -SPK huggingface repo at
6 # https://huggingface
     .co/ espnet
7 - name : speaker
8 model_tag: default
```
Listing 3: An example of the speaker similarity configuration file.

To switch the backend speaker embedding model, you can simply modify the model_tag to any supported speaker embedding model available in the ESPnet Huggingface collection. 4 An example is provided in Listing [4.](#page-13-4)

```
# Example Speaker Similarity YAML config
3 # speaker related metrics
4 # -- spk_similarity : speaker cosine
     similarity
5 - name : speaker
6 model_tag: espnet/
     voxcelebs12_ecapa_wavlm_joint
```
Listing 4: An example of the speaker similarity configuration file with a switched base model.

B Additional System Design

Job-scheduling Systems: In *VERSA*'s main library, Python multi-processing is not natively supported to avoid complicating the codebase design. Instead, *VERSA* achieves multi-processing through job-scheduling systems. Inspired by the approaches used in Kaldi and ESPnet [\(Povey et al.,](#page-10-17) [2011;](#page-10-17) [Watanabe et al.,](#page-11-7) [2018\)](#page-11-7), this design enables efficient task scheduling on both computing clusters and local operating systems. To facilitate this, *VERSA* includes an example script, launch.sh, which utilizes SLURM as the job-scheduling system to manage multi-processing tasks effectively.

Test Protocol with Continuous Integration: To ensure toolkit consistency and guarantee numerical stability across diverse scenarios, *VERSA* is actively integrating to continuous integration testing. Unit tests are implemented for each metric, supporting a range of Python versions and external package dependencies.

Efficient Resource Management: Controlling external resources is a key consideration in the design of *VERSA*, particularly for scenarios involving the

2

⁴The complete list can be found at h ttps:// [huggingface.co/models?other=speaker-recognition&](https://huggingface.co/models?other=speaker-recognition&sort=trending&search=espnet) [sort=trending&search=espnet](https://huggingface.co/models?other=speaker-recognition&sort=trending&search=espnet).

Table 3: Detailed information of codec models and inference setups.

Codec	kBPS	Num. Levels	Token Rate	Frame Rate	Sampling Rate	Link
Encodec (Défossez et al., 2024a)	$\sqrt{2}$	8	600	75	24kHz	(https://huggingface.co/facebook/encodec_24khz)
DAC (Kumar et al., 2024)	ϵ	8	600	75	24kHz	(https://github.com/descriptinc/descript-audio-codec/releases/download/0.0.4/weights_24khz.pth)
SpeechTokenizer (Zhang et al., 2024b)	4	8	400	50	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/fnlp/AnyGPT-speech-modules/tree/main/speechtokenizer)
SO-Codec (Yang et al., 2024)	8		50	50	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/Dongchao/UniAudio/resolve/main/16k 50dim 9.zip)
SoundStream (ESPnet) (Shi et al., 2024)	4	8	400	50	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/espnet/owsmdata_soundstream_16k_200epoch)
Speech-DAC (ESPnet) (Shi et al., 2024)	4	\circ Δ	400	50	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/ftshijt/espnet_codec_dac_large_v1.4_360epoch)
Mimi (Défossez et al., 2024b)		32	100	12.5	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/kyutai/mimi)
BigCodec (Xin et al., 2024)			80	80	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/Alethia/BigCodec/resolve/main/bigcodec.pt)
WavTokenizer (Ji et al., 2024)			75	75	16kHz	(https://huggingface.co/novateur/MavTokenizer-large-speech-75token)
TS3-Codec (Wu et al., 2024a)			50	50	16kHz	$\overline{}$

Table 4: *VERSA* demonstration on speech coding. Codecs with streaming capacities are marked with +. The performance is evaluated on the LibriSpeech-test-clean set.

use of external resources such as pre-trained models, datasets, and reference files. To optimize performance and reduce redundant downloads, *VERSA* implements a robust cache control mechanism. This mechanism ensures that once a resource is downloaded, it is stored locally and can be reused across different metrics and evaluation runs. By centralizing resource management, *VERSA* minimizes network overhead and computational costs while maintaining consistency across evaluations.

Functional Interface: *VERSA* is designed with versatility and ease of use in mind, offering both command-line and Python function interfaces to accommodate diverse user preferences and workflows. While the command-line interface provides a straightforward way to execute evaluations, the Pythonic API enables seamless integration into custom scripts and larger pipelines, making it particularly useful for researchers and developers looking to automate or extend evaluations. To demonstrate this flexibility, we provide an interactive Google Colab notebook showcasing how *VERSA* can be effortlessly utilized within Python. 5 This demonstration highlights the simplicity of configuring and executing evaluations programmatically, empowering users to leverage *VERSA* for both standard and

5 [https://colab.research.google.com/drive/](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/11c0vZxbSa8invMSfqM999tI3MnyAVsOp) [11c0vZxbSa8invMSfqM999tI3MnyAVsOp](https://colab.research.google.com/drive/11c0vZxbSa8invMSfqM999tI3MnyAVsOp)

highly customized use cases with minimal setup. Community-driven Contribution: *VERSA* is de-

signed not just as a toolkit, but as an evolving, community-driven platform that thrives on collaboration and shared innovation. To encourage and facilitate contributions, we provide clear and comprehensive guidelines for community members, available at [https://github.com/shinjiwlab/](https://github.com/shinjiwlab/versa/blob/main/contributing.md) [versa/blob/main/contributing.md](https://github.com/shinjiwlab/versa/blob/main/contributing.md). These guidelines outline the process for proposing new features, adding metrics, reporting issues, and improving the existing codebase. By fostering an open and inclusive environment, *VERSA* invites researchers, developers, and practitioners from diverse backgrounds to contribute their expertise, ensuring that the toolkit remains up-to-date and relevant for a wide range of applications. This community-driven approach not only accelerates the development of *VERSA* but also ensures that it reflects the collective needs and insights of the global sound generation research community. Through active collaboration, *VERSA* aims to establish itself as a dynamic and enduring resource for the advancement of sound evaluation technologies.

C Experimental Demonstration

This appendix includes the experimental results for the demonstration discussed in Section [4.](#page-5-0)

Model	Feature Type	Model Type	Pre-trained Model Link
ESPnet-TTS (Hayashi et al., 2021)	Continuous	NAR	(https://huggingface.co/espnet/kan-bayashi_ljspeech_vits)
ESPnet-SpeechLM	Discrete	AR	(https://huggingface.co/espnet/speechlm_tts_v1)
ChatTTS (2Noise, 2024)	Discrete	AR	(https://huggingface.co/2Noise/ChatTTS)
CosyVoice (Du et al., 2024)	Discrete	AR	(https://huggingface.co/model-scope/CosyVoice-300M)
EmotiVoice (Youdao, 2024)	Continuous	NAR	(https://www.modelscope.cn/syq163/outputs.git)
MeloTTS (Zhao et al., 2023)	Continuous	NAR	(https://huggingface.co/myshell-ai/MeloTTS-English)
Parler-TTS (Lacombe et al., 2024)	Discrete	AR	(https://huggingface.co/parler-tts/parler-tts-mini-v1)
WhisperSpeech (Collabora, 2024)	Discrete	AR	(https://huggingface.co/WhisperSpeech/WhisperSpeech/blob/main/t2s-v1.95-small-8lang.model)
VallE-X (Zhang et al., $2023c$)	Discrete	AR & NAR	(https://huggingface.co/Plachta/VALL-E-X/resolve/main/vallex-checkpoint.pt)
Vall-E 2 (Chen et al., $2024b$)	Discrete	AR & NAR	(https://huggingface.co/amphion/valle)
Natural Speech 2 (Shen et al., 2023)	Continuous	NAR	(https://huggingface.co/amphion/naturalspeech2_libritts)

Table 5: Detailed information of TTS models. AR and NAR stand for autoregressive and non-autoregressive architectures.

Table 6: *VERSA* demonstration on TTS. We use † for TTS systems with a fixed speaker.

Model	UTMOS(†)	DNSMOS (P.835)(1)	SHEET-SSQA(1)	PLCMOS(1)	SPK-SIM(1)	$TS-PESQ(\uparrow)$	TS-SI-SNR(1)	TS-MOS([†]	Whisper-WER(L)
ESPnet-TTS (Hayashi et al., 2021)	4.06	3.05	4.02	4.51		3.61	26.55	4.25	5.01
ESPnet-SpeechLM	4.05	3.24	4.24	4.44	0.55	3.58	23.94	4.33	3.12
ChatTTS ⁺ (2Noise, 2024)	3.52	3.24	4.17	4.56		3.42	23.63	4.28	7.09
CosyVoice† (Du et al., 2024)	4.15	3.25	4.40	4.51	0.51	3.59	22.43	4.41	4.95
EmotiVoice† (Youdao, 2024)	4.22	3.04	4.49	4.68		4.14	28.61	4.15	3.10
MeloTTS [†] (Zhao et al., 2023)	3.80	3.15	3.99	4.71		3.65	27.77	4.28	4.64
Parler-TTS† (Lacombe et al., 2024)	3.83	3.16	4.34	4.55		3.87	25.78	4.27	4.66
WhisperSpeech† (Collabora, 2024)	4.06	3.25	4.36	4.66		3.92	27.43	4.33	13.45
VallE-X (Zhang et al., 2023c)	3.38	3.25	3.64	4.45	0.35	3.58	21.42	4.34	27.33
Vall-E 2 (Chen et al., 2024b)	3.65	2.88	3.84	3.67	0.46	3.31	18.74	4.12	27.83
NaturalSpeech2 (Shen et al., 2023)	2.35	2.24	3.16	4.27	0.32	2.27	10.85	3.62	4.62
Ground Truth	4.09	3.18	4.35	4.16	.	3.59	24.01	4.53	2.59

C.1 Codec Evaluation Demonstration

We demonstrate the usage of *VERSA* for speech coding evaluation with nine open-source models, including Encodec [\(Défossez et al.,](#page-7-14) [2024a\)](#page-7-14), DAC [\(Kumar et al.,](#page-9-18) [2024\)](#page-9-18), Mimi [\(Défossez et al.,](#page-7-15) [2024b\)](#page-7-15), BigCodec [\(Xin et al.,](#page-11-12) [2024\)](#page-11-12), SpeechTokenizer [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-12-3) [2024b\)](#page-12-3), Wavtokenizer [\(Ji](#page-8-20) [et al.,](#page-8-20) [2024\)](#page-8-20), SQ-Codec [\(Yang et al.,](#page-11-11) [2024\)](#page-11-11), TS3- Codec [\(Wu et al.,](#page-11-13) [2024a\)](#page-11-13), and several ESPnet-Codec public checkpoints [\(Shi et al.,](#page-11-2) [2024\)](#page-11-2). We use the test-clean set in Librispeech for evaluation [\(Panayotov et al.,](#page-10-19) [2015\)](#page-10-19).

For all selected models, we utilize their public checkpoints along with the corresponding released inference scripts. Additionally, for multilevel codec models, we limit the codec levels to ensure alignment within a comparable bitrate range. Detailed inference hyperparameter configurations and the corresponding results are provided in Table [3.](#page-14-2)

Table [4](#page-14-0) presents the evaluation results using the *VERSA* minimum installation. While detailed model discussions are beyond the scope of this paper, *VERSA* offers a versatile perspective for analyzing models and assessing their advantages. This capability significantly reduces the effort both model developers and end-users need to select codecs tailored to specific downstream applications. For example, the high pseudo-MOS scores (UTMOS and DNSMOS) observed in BigCodec indicate superior synthesis quality. However, the lower signal-level metrics (e.g., SDR and PESQ) suggest that the low bitrate leads to a substantial loss of signal detail.

While the current investigation serves as a demonstration with a small portion of the supported metrics in *VERSA*, we aim to conduct a more comprehensive analysis in future work, ensuring broader coverage across various aspects of sound generation.

C.2 TTS Evaluation Demonstration

Similar to the codec demonstration, we utilize a range of open-source TTS models for the TTS evaluation, including ESPnet-TTS [\(Hayashi et al.,](#page-8-13) 2021 ^{[6](#page-15-3)}, ESPnet-SpeechLM,^{[7](#page-15-4)} ChatTTS [\(2Noise,](#page-6-1) [2024\)](#page-6-1), CosyVoice [\(Du et al.,](#page-7-16) [2024\)](#page-7-16), EmotiVoice [\(Youdao,](#page-11-14) [2024\)](#page-11-14), MeloTTS [\(Zhao et al.,](#page-12-4) [2023\)](#page-12-4), Parler-TTS [\(Lacombe et al.,](#page-9-19) [2024\)](#page-9-19), Whisper-Speech [\(Collabora,](#page-7-17) [2024\)](#page-7-17), VallE-X [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-12-5) [2023c\)](#page-12-5), and Vall-E 2 [\(Chen et al.,](#page-7-18) [2024b\)](#page-7-18). Specifically, we use the common LibriSpeech test-clean

 6 We use the VITS model [\(Kim et al.,](#page-9-20) [2021\)](#page-9-20) trained on LJSpeech [\(Ito and Johnson,](#page-8-21) [2017\)](#page-8-21).

⁷ [https://github.com/espnet/espnet/tree/](https://github.com/espnet/espnet/tree/speechlm) [speechlm](https://github.com/espnet/espnet/tree/speechlm)

Table 7: *VERSA* demonstration on speech enhancement. The performance is evaluated on the Voicebank-DEMAND test set.

Model	UTMOS(†)	DNSMOS $(P.835)(\uparrow)$	SHEET-SSOA(↑)	PESO(†)	$STOI(\uparrow)$
Noisy	3.11	2.52	3.57	1.97	0.92
MetricGAN $+$ (Fu et al., 2021)	3.63	2.95	3.91	3.15	0.93
MTL-Mimic (Bagchi et al., 2018)	3.86	3.03	4.06	3.01	0.95
SepFormer (Subakan et al., 2021)	3.68	2.87	3.63	3.13	0.93

Table 8: Detailed pre-trained model links for the singing voice synthesis demonstration.

Model	Pre-trained Model Link
RNN (Shi et al., 2021)	https://huggingface.co/espnet/opencpop_naive_rnn_dp
XiaoiceSing (Lu et al., 2020)	https://huggingface.co/espnet/opencpop_xiaoice
DiffSinger (Liu et al., 2022)	https://github.com/MoonInTheRiver/DiffSinger/releases/download/pretrain-model/0228_opencpop_ds100_rel.zip
VISinger (Zhang et al., 2022)	https://huggingface.co/espnet/opencpop_visinger
VISInger2 (Zhang et al., 2023b)	https://huggingface.co/espnet/opencpop_visinger2
TokSing (Wu et al., 2024c)	https://huggingface.co/espnet/opencpop_svs2_toksing_pretrain
$VISinger2+ (Yu et al., 2024)$	https://huggingface.co/yifengyu/svs_train_visinger2plus_mert_raw_phn_None_zh_200epoch

Table 9: *VERSA* demonstration on singing voice synthesis. The performance is evaluated on the Opencpop test set.

Table 10: Detailed pre-trained model links for the music generation demonstration.

Table 11: *VERSA* demonstration on music generation.

Model			FAD-LAION-CLAP(\downarrow) KID-LAION-CLAP(\downarrow) Density-LAION-CLAP(\uparrow)	Coverage-LAION-CLAP (\uparrow)
AudioLDM2 (Liu et al., 2023)	47.97	2.05	0.04	0.0058
MusicGen-large (Copet et al., 2024)	20.06	0.49	0.07	0.0514
MusicLDM (Chen et al., 2024a)	40.46	1.62	0.07	0.0118
Riffusion-v1(Forsgren and Martiros, 2022)	52.58	2.63	0.01	0.0024
StableAudioOpen (Stability-AI, 2023)	20.99	0.62	0.08	0.0674

set for evaluation. For zero-shot TTS systems, we provide speaker prompts by randomly selecting a prompt segment from the target speaker. Table [5](#page-15-5) provides a high-level categorization of feature types—discrete or continuous acoustic features—and model types, namely autoregressive or non-autoregressive. Inference is performed using the open-source pipelines provided by the model developers, with no additional hyperparameter tuning.

Some models, such as Parler-TTS and CosyVoice, struggled to interpret capitalized input text from LibriSpeech. To address this, we optionally applied a case-recovery preprocessing step for those models. Importantly, no post-filtering was applied to autoregressive models utilizing discrete tokens.

In Table [6,](#page-15-1) we present the performance of various TTS models. Since these models have been optimized using different frameworks and datasets, deriving definitive scientific conclusions is challenging. However, two potential implications can be drawn in this context:

- There remains room for improvement in zeroshot TTS performance compared to fixedspeaker TTS systems.
- Discrete token-based autoregressive modeling continues to be a popular approach. However, without sufficient post-filtering, it struggles to achieve stability comparable to that of nonautoregressive methods.

Building on this demonstration, we anticipate a more comprehensive analysis utilizing all 54 speech-related metrics in *VERSA* for existing TTS models in our future work. This analysis would further explore the current state of TTS development and address the challenges associated with existing evaluation metrics.

C.3 Speech Enhancement Evaluation Demonstration

We demonstrate the speech enhancement evaluation with three public available models, including SepFormer [\(Subakan et al.,](#page-11-15) [2021\)](#page-11-15), MetricGAN [\(Fu](#page-8-22) [et al.,](#page-8-22) [2021\)](#page-8-22), and MTL-MIMIC [\(Bagchi et al.,](#page-7-19) [2018\)](#page-7-19). We use VoiceBank-DEMAND as the test set [\(Valentini-Botinhao,](#page-11-19) [2017\)](#page-11-19).

The evaluation of speech enhancement is demonstrated in Table [7.](#page-16-0) While this paper focuses on six specific metrics, we anticipate broader adoption of

the task by leveraging the diverse set of 63 metrics available in *VERSA*.

C.4 Singing Synthesis Evaluation **Demonstration**

For the singing voice synthesis demonstration, we select a range of open-source singing voice synthesis models, including Naive-RNN [\(Shi et al.,](#page-11-16) [2021\)](#page-11-16), XiaoiceSing [\(Lu et al.,](#page-9-21) [2020\)](#page-9-21), VISinger [\(Zhang](#page-12-6) [et al.,](#page-12-6) [2022\)](#page-12-6), VISinger2 [\(Zhang et al.,](#page-12-7) [2023b\)](#page-12-7), TokSing [\(Wu et al.,](#page-11-17) [2024c\)](#page-11-17), VISinger2+ [\(Yu et al.,](#page-11-18) [2024\)](#page-11-18), and DiffSinger [\(Liu et al.,](#page-9-22) 2022).^{[8](#page-17-3)} The links for pre-trained models are listed in Table [8.](#page-16-3)

We demonstrate the usage of *VERSA* in singing voice synthesis in Table [9.](#page-16-1) Compared to speech quality assessment, singing voice-related analysis usually utilizes subjective evaluation due to the limited resources available. With the support of *VERSA*, we additionally support SHEET-SSQA [\(Huang et al.,](#page-8-10) [2024a\)](#page-8-10) and SingMOS [\(Tang](#page-11-1) [et al.,](#page-11-1) [2024\)](#page-11-1), which consider singing voice synthesis, aligning a range of open-source singing synthesis models.

C.5 Music Generation Evaluation Demonstration

We utilize five models for music generation evaluation, including AudioLDM2 [\(Liu et al.,](#page-9-17) [2024\)](#page-9-17), MusicGen [\(Copet et al.,](#page-7-12) [2024\)](#page-7-12), MusicLDM [\(Chen](#page-7-20) [et al.,](#page-7-20) [2024a\)](#page-7-20), Riffusion-v1 [\(Forsgren and Martiros,](#page-8-23) [2022\)](#page-8-23), StableAudioOpen [\(Stability-AI,](#page-11-10) [2023\)](#page-11-10). Table [10](#page-16-4) shows the pre-trained model links used for the demonstration. To generate music from each model, we utilized text prompts from MusicCaps, a dataset comprising expert-annotated captions of 30-second YouTube clips [\(Agostinelli et al.,](#page-6-2) [2023\)](#page-6-2). We employed the rewritten, quality-neutral prompts provided in [\(Gui et al.,](#page-8-19) [2024\)](#page-8-19). Additionally, Chat-GPT was used to filter out prompts referring to non-instrumental music or those not typically associated with the text-to-music generation, such as tutorials or environmental sounds.

For evaluation, we utilize the final layer embedding of LAION-CLAP (Music pre-trained version) to compute the metrics [\(Wu et al.,](#page-11-20) 2023).^{[9](#page-17-4)} FMAsmall dataset is used as the reference dataset for distributional analysis [\(Defferrard et al.,](#page-7-21) [2017\)](#page-7-21).

⁸The DiffSinger is trained with its own open-source repository [\(Liu et al.,](#page-9-22) [2022\)](#page-9-22), where other models are trained with Muskit-ESPnet [\(Shi et al.,](#page-11-21) [2022;](#page-11-21) [Wu et al.,](#page-11-22) [2024b\)](#page-11-22).

⁹ <https://github.com/LAION-AI/CLAP>

Table [11](#page-16-2) presents the evaluation results obtained using *VERSA* for music generation tasks. The results highlight the strengths and unique characteristics of different models. Specifically, MusicGenlarge demonstrates robust capabilities in generating coherent and high-quality songs, showcasing its strength in musical structure and tonal consistency. On the other hand, StableAudioOpen excels in diversity, producing a wide range of musical styles and compositions. These results underscore the utility of *VERSA* in providing a detailed, multi-faceted evaluation of music generative models, enabling researchers to identify and compare model-specific strengths.