

Optimal quadrature for weighted function spaces on multivariate domains *

Jiansong Li[†] Heping Wang[‡]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048

People's Republic of China

Abstract: Consider the numerical integration

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\sigma(\mathbf{x})$$

for weighted Sobolev classes $BW_{p,w}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$ with a Dunkl weight w and weighted Besov classes $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$ with the generalized smoothness index Θ and a doubling weight w on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{d+1} in the deterministic and randomized case settings. For $BW_{p,w}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$ we obtain the optimal quadrature errors in both settings. For $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$ we use the weighted least ℓ_p approximation and the standard Monte Carlo algorithm to obtain upper estimates of the quadrature errors which are optimal if w is an A_∞ weight in the deterministic case setting or if w is a product weight in the randomized case setting. Our results show that randomized algorithms can provide a faster convergence rate than that of the deterministic ones when $p > 1$.

Similar results are also established on the unit ball and the standard simplex of \mathbb{R}^d .

Keywords: Numerical integration; Weighted Sobolev classes; Weighted Besov classes of generalized smoothness; Deterministic and randomized case settings; Weighted least ℓ_p approximation

MSC(2000) subject classification: 65D30; 65D32; 41A55; 65C05; 33C50; 33C52.

*The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project no. 12371098).

[†]E-mail address: cnuljs2023@163.com

[‡]Corresponding author E-mail address: wanghp@cnu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

sec1

A critical problem in applied mathematics and data sciences is to calculate the numerical integration

$$\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}(f) = \int_{\Omega^d} f(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.1) \quad \boxed{1.1}$$

for a function f belonging to a class F_d of continuous functions on Ω^d , where Ω^d is a compact subset of the Euclidean space equipped with a measure $w(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$. For most cases, we could not compute this integration utilizing the fundamental theorem of the calculus since there is no closed form expression of the antiderivatives. Therefore, we have to approximate such integration numerically. Meanwhile, in the applications we only know function values on finite points on Ω^d which are called *standard information*. Hence, we have to use algorithms based on these finite function values.

One type of algorithms is the *deterministic algorithm* of the form

$$A_n(f) := \varphi_n [f(\mathbf{x}_1), f(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_n)],$$

where $\mathbf{x}_j \in \Omega^d$ can be chosen adaptively and $\varphi_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary mapping. We denoted by $\mathcal{A}_n^{\text{det}}$ the class of all algorithms of this form. (Adaption means that the selection of \mathbf{x}_j may depend on the already computed values $f(\mathbf{x}_1), f(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_{j-1})$.) Then, for a positive integer n , we define

- the *deterministic case error* of an algorithm A_n on F_d by

$$e^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d, A_n) := \sup_{f \in F_d} |\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}(f) - A_n(f)|;$$

- the *n-th minimal (optimal) deterministic case error* on F_d by

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d) := \inf_{A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\text{det}}} e^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d, A_n).$$

Usually we assume that the class F_d is convex and balanced. It follows from [3] that the n -th minimal deterministic case error $e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d)$ can be achieved by linear algorithms, i.e., the algorithms of the form

$$A_n^{\text{lin}}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f(\mathbf{x}_j), \quad \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

which is also called a *quadrature formula*, and, moreover, it follows from [46, Theorem 4.7] that

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d) = \inf_{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \Omega^d} \sup_{\substack{f \in F_d \\ f(\mathbf{x}_1) = \dots = f(\mathbf{x}_n) = 0}} \int_{\Omega^d} f(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.2) \quad \boxed{1}$$

We say that a quadrature formula A_n^{lin} is *positive* if $\lambda_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, n$, and is a *quasi-Monte-Carlo (QMC) algorithm* if $\lambda_j = 1/n, j = 1, \dots, n$.

For usual smooth classes the integration problem in the deterministic setting suffers from the curse of dimensionality if the dimension d is large. It is well known that the standard Monte-Carlo algorithm overcomes the curse of dimensionality. In this paper we consider randomized algorithms (Monte-Carlo algorithms). *Randomized algorithms* (also called Monte-Carlo algorithms) are understood as $\Sigma \otimes \mathcal{B}(F_d)$ measurable functions

$$(A^\omega) = (A^\omega(\cdot))_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \times F_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathcal{B}(F_d)$ denotes the Borel σ -algebra of F_d , $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, \mathcal{P})$ is a suitable probability space, and for any fixed $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$, A^ω is a deterministic method with cardinality $n(f, \omega)$. The number $n(f, \omega)$ may be randomized and adaptively depend on the input, and the cardinality of (A^ω) is then defined by

$$\text{Card}(A^\omega) := \sup_{f \in F_d} \mathbb{E}_\omega n(f, \omega) := \sup_{f \in F_d} \int_{\mathcal{F}} n(f, \omega) d\mathcal{P}(\omega).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}_n^{\text{ran}}$ the class of all randomized algorithms with cardinality not exceeding n . Then, for a positive integer n , we define

- the *randomized case error* of a randomized algorithm (A^ω) on F_d by

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d, (A^\omega)) := \sup_{f \in F_d} \mathbb{E}_\omega |\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}(f) - A^\omega(f)|;$$

- the *n -th minimal (optimal) randomized case error* on F_d by

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d) := \inf_{(A^\omega) \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\text{ran}}} e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d, (A^\omega)).$$

Since a deterministic algorithm may be viewed as a randomized algorithm, it follows from definitions that

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d) \leq e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d).$$

Numerous results indicate that the optimal randomized quadrature errors are generally less than optimal deterministic quadrature errors. Consequently, employing randomized algorithms proves to be an effective strategy for reducing errors in a variety of applications, particularly in dealing with high-dimensional scenarios.

Researchers have shown significant interest in the integration problem described in (1.1) for Sobolev-type and Besov-type spaces. These function spaces are crucial in functional analysis, numerical analysis, approximation theory, and related fields (see, for example, [15, 51, 52]). We can now review some previous findings in this area. There is a vast literature on this classical problem for various unweighted function classes, especially for Sobolev and Besov classes. For abbreviation, when $w \equiv 1$, we write $e_n^{\text{det}}(F_d) \equiv e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d)$ and $e_n^{\text{ran}}(F_d) \equiv e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F_d)$.

1. Consider the classical Sobolev class $BW_p^r([0, 1]^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by

$$BW_p^r([0, 1]^d) := \left\{ f \in L_p([0, 1]^d) : \sum_{|\alpha|_1 \leq r} \|D^\alpha f\|_p \leq 1 \right\},$$

and the Hölder class $C^{k, \gamma}([0, 1]^d)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, defined by

$$C^{k, \gamma}([0, 1]^d) := \left\{ f \in C([0, 1]^d) : |D^\alpha f(\mathbf{x}) - D^\alpha f(\mathbf{y})| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i - y_i|^\gamma, |\alpha| = k \right\},$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i$, and $D^\alpha f$ is the partial derivative of order α of f in the sense of distribution. Bakhvalov in [1] and [2] proved that¹

$$e_n^{\det}(C^{k, \gamma}([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{k+\gamma}{d}} \quad \text{and} \quad e_n^{\det}(BW_\infty^r([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}.$$

Novak extended the second equivalence result in [44] and [45], and obtained, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $r > d/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(BW_p^r([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}.$$

Meanwhile, Novak considered the randomized case errors of the above two classes in [44] and [45], and proved that

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(C^{k, \alpha}([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{k+\alpha}{d} - \frac{1}{2}},$$

and for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $r > d/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(BW_p^r([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+},$$

where $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$.

2. Consider the anisotropic Sobolev class $BW_p^{\mathbf{r}}([0, 1]^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, defined by

$$BW_p^{\mathbf{r}}([0, 1]^d) := \left\{ f \in L_p([0, 1]^d) : \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial^{r_j} f}{\partial x_j^{r_j}} \right\|_p \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Fang and Ye in [21] obtained for $g(\mathbf{r}) := (\sum_{j=1}^d r_j^{-1})^{-1} > 1/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(BW_p^{\mathbf{r}}([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-g(\mathbf{r})},$$

and for $g(\mathbf{r}) > 1/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(BW_p^{\mathbf{r}}([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-g(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

For the anisotropic Hölder-Nikolskii classes, Fang and Ye obtained the similar results in [21].

¹The notation $A_n \asymp B_n$ means that $A_n \lesssim B_n$ and $A_n \gtrsim B_n$, and $A_n \lesssim B_n$ ($A_n \gtrsim B_n$) means that there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of n such that $A_n \leq cB_n$ ($A_n \geq cB_n$).

3. Consider the Sobolev class with bounded mixed derivative $BW_p^{r,\text{mix}}([0, 1]^d)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, defined by

$$BW_p^{r,\text{mix}}([0, 1]^d) := \left\{ f \in L_p([0, 1]^d) : \sum_{|\alpha|_\infty \leq r} \|D^\alpha f\|_p \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $|\alpha|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \alpha_i$. The authors in [8, 23, 47, 50] obtained for $r > 1/p$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(BW_p^{r,\text{mix}}([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

It was shown in [34, 42, 54] that for $r > \max\{1/p, 1/2\}$ and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(BW_p^{r,\text{mix}}([0, 1]^d)) \asymp n^{-r - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

4. For the Sobolev class $BW_p^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, on the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , it was proved in [6, 29, 56] that for $r > d/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(BW_p^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}. \quad (1.3) \quad \boxed{1.3}$$

Wang and Zhang in [60] obtained for $r > d/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(BW_p^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (1.4) \quad \boxed{1.4}$$

5. For the Besov class $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_p(\mathbb{S}^d))$ of generalized smoothness, $1 \leq p, \gamma \leq \infty$, Duan, Ye and Li in [16] obtained

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_p(\mathbb{S}^d))) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}), \quad (1.5) \quad \boxed{1.5}$$

and

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_p(\mathbb{S}^d))) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (1.6) \quad \boxed{1.6}$$

We remark that if $\Theta(t) = t^r$, $r > 0$, the space $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_p(\mathbb{S}^d))$ coincides with the usual Besov space $B_\gamma^r(L_p(\mathbb{S}^d))$, which was first introduced in [37], and (1.5) was obtained in [30].

There are few related results for weighted function classes. Let Ω^d denote the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d with the usual surface measure $d\sigma$ normalized by $\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, or the unit ball \mathbb{B}^d or the standard simplex \mathbb{T}^d with the usual Lebesgue measure $d\mathbf{x}$ on \mathbb{R}^d normalized by $\int_{\Omega^d} d\mathbf{x} = 1$. See Sections 2 and 4 for related definitions.

6. For the weighted Sobolev class $BW_{p,w_\mu}^r(\mathbb{B}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, $\mu \geq 0$, Li and Wang in [36] obtained for $r > (d + 2\mu)/p$

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{B}^d, w_\mu}; BW_{p,w_\mu}^r(\mathbb{B}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}} \quad (1.7) \quad \boxed{1.9}$$

and

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{B}^d, w_\mu}; BW_{p,w_\mu}^r(\mathbb{B}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (1.8) \quad \boxed{1.10}$$

7. Dai and Wang in [11] investigated the weighted Besov class $BB_\gamma^r(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, $r > 0$ with an A_∞ weight w on Ω^d . They obtained for $r > s_w/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w}; BB_\gamma^r(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}. \quad (1.9) \quad \boxed{1.7}$$

Furthermore, the upper estimate also holds for all doubling weights. Particularly, for the weighted Besov class $BB_\gamma^r(L_{p,w_\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d))$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, with the Jacobi weight $w_\mu(\mathbf{x}) := (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{\mu-1/2}$, $\mu \geq 0$, Li and Wang in [36] obtained for $r > (d + 2\mu)/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{B}^d,w_\mu}; BB_\gamma^r(L_{p,w_\mu}(\mathbb{B}^d))) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}-\frac{1}{2}+(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (1.10) \quad \boxed{1.8}$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above results to weighted Sobolev classes $BW_{p,w_\kappa}^r(\Omega^d)$ with a Dunkl weight on Ω^d and weighted Besov classes $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$ of generalized smoothness. (See Sections 2 and 4 for related definitions.) Weighted Sobolev spaces with a Dunkl weight play a critical role in the Dunkl theory (see [10, 12, 20, 62]). Besov spaces of generalized smoothness have been studied in various domains, and this interest has been increasing in recent years. This growing focus can be attributed to two main directions. First, there is an emphasis on exploring the embeddings, limiting embeddings, and approximation results within Besov spaces. Second, it is also connected with applications in probability theory, stochastic processes, and the analysis of trace spaces on fractals, particularly in the study of so-called h -sets (see [7, 9, 15, 22, 27, 40, 41, 49, 57, 59]).

More precisely, in the deterministic and randomized case settings, we obtain sharp asymptotic orders of optimal quadrature for the weighted Sobolev classes $BW_{p,w_\kappa}^r(\Omega^d)$ with a Dunkl weight on Ω^d , and for the weighted Besov classes $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$ of generalized smoothness with a weight satisfying some conditions on Ω^d . Our results extends (1.3)-(1.10).

Now let us briefly introduce our results on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d . For our purpose, we shall consider *the product weights* of the form

$$w_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{j=1}^m |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad (1.11) \quad \boxed{1.11}$$

where $\kappa := (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in [0, +\infty)^m$ and $\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Furthermore, if $\{\pm \mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ forms a root system of a finite reflection group G on \mathbb{S}^d , and w_κ is invariant under the reflection group G with nonnegative κ_j , then w_κ is often called a *Dunkl weight* (see Section 2 for definitions).

The following Theorem 1.1 gives results in the deterministic case setting and its proof is standard. The proof of upper estimates relies on positive quadrature rules; the proof of lower estimates is based on traditional methods previously used in [11].

thm1 **Theorem 1.1.** *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$.*

(i) If w_{κ} is a Dunkl weight with the critical index $s_{w_{\kappa}}$ on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $r > s_{w_{\kappa}}/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}; \quad (1.12) \quad \boxed{1.4-0}$$

(ii) If w is an A_{∞} weight with the critical index s_w on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $r > s_w/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}; BB_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p, w}(\mathbb{S}^d))) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}).$$

Moreover, the upper estimates hold for all doubling weights.

rem1

Remark 1. The upper bound of (1.12) can be derived from (1.9). In fact, since the Dunkl weight w_{κ} is a doubling weight, together with the fact that $W_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is continuously embedded into $B_{\infty}^r(L_{p, w_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{S}^d))$ (see (2.11)), we have, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $r > s_{w_{\kappa}}/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \lesssim e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BB_{\infty}^r(L_{p, w_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{S}^d))) \lesssim n^{-\frac{r}{d}}.$$

Our main contribution is the following theorem which gives results in the randomized case setting. To prove the upper estimates, we develop the weighted least ℓ_p approximation, which is a “good” L_p approximation, and employ a standard Monte Carlo algorithm to derive an optimal randomized algorithm. We remark that the weighted least ℓ_p approximation operator is favored over the filtered hyperinterpolation operators discussed in [36, 58–60], since the latter is not applicable to general doubling weights. To prove the lower estimates, we utilize Novak’s technique, as outlined in [36], where the primary challenge lies in constructing “fooling” functions to satisfy the proper conditions.

thm2

Theorem 1.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$.

(i) If w_{κ} is a Dunkl weight with the critical index $s_{w_{\kappa}}$ on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $r > s_{w_{\kappa}}/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (1.13) \quad \boxed{1.4-1}$$

(ii) If w_{κ} is a product weight with the critical index $s_{w_{\kappa}}$ on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $r > s_{w_{\kappa}}/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BB_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p, w_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{S}^d))) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

Moreover, the upper estimates hold for all doubling weights.

rem2

Remark 2. For the special case $w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} |x_j|^{2\kappa_j}$, it has been given in [32, Theorem 1] that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $r > 0$,

$$d_n(BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d), L_{p, w_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}},$$

where d_n are the Kolmogorov numbers. Together with [33, Corollary 3] we have

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{2, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \lesssim n^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_n(BW_{2, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d), L_{2, w_{\kappa}}(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp n^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{d}}.$$

Then, by the fact that $W_{2, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is continuously embedded into $W_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$ for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, we get that

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \leq e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{2, w_{\kappa}}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)) \lesssim n^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{r}{d}}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

which gives the partial results of (1.13).

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we get asymptotics of the (linear) sampling numbers of weighted Besov class $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$ with an A_∞ weight in $L_{q,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ for the case of $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$. Let F_d be a class of continuous functions on Ω^d , and $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a normed linear space of functions on Ω^d . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

- the n -th sampling number (or optimal recovery) of F_d in X as

$$g_n(F_d, X) := \inf_{\substack{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Omega^d \\ \varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow X}} \sup_{f \in F_d} \|f - \varphi(f(\xi_1), \dots, f(\xi_n))\|_X,$$

- the n -th linear sampling number of F_d in X as

$$g_n^{\text{lin}}(F_d, X) := \inf_{\substack{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Omega^d \\ \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in X}} \sup_{f \in F_d} \left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) \psi_i \right\|_X.$$

Clearly, we have

$$g_n^{\text{lin}}(F_d, X) \geq g_n(F_d, X), \quad (1.14) \quad \boxed{1.14}$$

and it is well known (see [53]) that for a balanced convex set F_d ,

$$g_n(F_d, X) \geq \inf_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Omega^d} \sup_{\substack{f \in F_d \\ f(\xi_1) = \dots = f(\xi_n) = 0}} \|f\|_X. \quad (1.15) \quad \boxed{1.15}$$

We obtain the following Theorem 1.3 which gives sharp asymptotic orders of sampling numbers for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$.

thm1.4

Theorem 1.3. *Let $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$ and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$. If w is an A_∞ weight with the critical index s_w on \mathbb{S}^d , and $r > s_w/p$, then for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$*

$$g_n(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)), L_{q,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}). \quad (1.16) \quad \boxed{1.16}$$

In particular, for $1 \leq q \leq 2$,

$$g_n^{\text{lin}}(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{2,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)), L_{q,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}). \quad (1.17) \quad \boxed{1.17}$$

Moreover, the above upper estimates hold also for all doubling weights.

Remark 3. For the special case $w \equiv 1$, Theorem 1.3 has been given in [59, Theorem 1.1]. We conjecture that for any doubling weight w , and for all $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $r > s_w/p$,

$$g_n(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)), L_{q,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp g_n^{\text{lin}}(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)), L_{q,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)_+}.$$

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we will review some preliminary knowledge on the sphere \mathbb{S}^d . Section 3 will identify asymptotic orders of the weighted Sobolev and weighted Besov-type classes on \mathbb{S}^d , addressing both deterministic and randomized cases. Additionally, through the connections between the sphere, the ball, and the simplex, we will derive corresponding results for the unit ball and the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^d in Section 4. Finally we give some concluding remarks in Section 5.

2 Harmonic analysis on the unit sphere

sect2

In this section we collect some technical facts regarding doubling weights, spherical harmonics, weighted function spaces. Most of materials can be found in [11, 12, 62].

2.1 Basics.

For an integer $d \geq 1$, we denote by

$$\mathbb{S}^d := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 := \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{d+1}^2 = 1\}$$

the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} endowed with the usual Lebesgue measure $d\sigma$ normalized by $\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = 1$. Let $d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \arccos \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$ be the geodesic distance of $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^d$, and $c(\mathbf{x}, r) := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^d : d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq r\}$ be the spherical cap centered at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d$ with radius $r > 0$. Given a spherical cap $B := c(\mathbf{x}, r)$ and a constant $c > 0$, we denote by cB the spherical cap $c(\mathbf{x}, cr)$, which has the same center as B but c times the radius. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we say a finite subset Ξ of \mathbb{S}^d is *maximal ε -separated* if $d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) > \varepsilon$ for any distinct $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Xi$ and $\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d} \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \Xi) \leq \varepsilon$, where $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \Xi) := \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \Xi} d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

Given a weight function w (i.e., a nonnegative integrable function) on \mathbb{S}^d , we denote by $L_{p,w} \equiv L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ the space of all real functions f on \mathbb{S}^d endowed with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{p,w} \equiv \|f\|_{L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p w(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/p},$$

and by $L_{\infty} \equiv L_{\infty,w}(\mathbb{S}^d) \equiv C(\mathbb{S}^d)$ the space consisting of all continuous functions f on \mathbb{S}^d endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\infty} \equiv \|f\|_{L_{\infty}} := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|.$$

In particular, $L_{2,w}$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L_{2,w}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\sigma(\mathbf{x}).$$

A nonnegative integrable function w on \mathbb{S}^d is called a *doubling weight* if there exists a constant $L > 0$, depending only on d and w , such that

$$w(2B) \leq Lw(B), \quad \text{for all spherical caps } B \subset \mathbb{S}^d,$$

where $w(E) := \int_E w(\mathbf{x})d\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ for a measurable subset E of \mathbb{S}^d , and the least constant L , denoted by L_w , is called the doubling constant of w .

A weight function w on \mathbb{S}^d is called an A_{∞} *weight* if there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that for every spherical cap $B \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ and every measurable $E \subset B$,

$$\frac{w(B)}{w(E)} \leq \beta \left(\frac{|B|}{|E|} \right)^{\beta},$$

where the least constant β , denoted by $A_\infty(w)$, is called the A_∞ constant of w , and $|E| := \int_E d\sigma(\mathbf{x})$. Obviously, an A_∞ weight must be a doubling weight. In what follows, we shall use the symbol s_w to denote a positive number such that

$$\sup_{B \subset \mathbb{S}^d} \frac{w(2^m B)}{w(B)} \leq C_{L_w} 2^{ms_w}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1) \quad \boxed{2.00}$$

where C_{L_w} is a constant depending only on the doubling constant L_w . Clearly, such a constant s_w exists (for example, we can take $s_w = \log L_w / \log 2$). Note that if $w = 1$, the least constant s_w for which (2.1) holds is equal to d .

A typical example of A_∞ weights is *product weight* given by

$$w_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) \equiv h_\kappa^2(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{j=1}^m |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j}, \quad (2.2) \quad \boxed{2.0}$$

where $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in [0, +\infty)^m$ and $\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{S}^d, j = 1, \dots, m$. It was proved in [12, Example 5.1.5] that

$$w_\kappa(c(\mathbf{x}, r)) \asymp r^d \prod_{j=1}^m (|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle| + r)^{2\kappa_j}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d, \quad r \in (0, \pi),$$

and if E is a measurable subset of a spherical cap B in \mathbb{S}^d , then

$$c_1 \frac{|B|}{|E|} \leq \frac{w_\kappa(B)}{w_\kappa(E)} \leq c_2 \left(\frac{|B|}{|E|} \right)^\beta, \quad \beta = 1 + 2|\kappa| := 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \kappa_j, \quad (2.3) \quad \boxed{\text{Ainfy}}$$

for some positive constants c_1, c_2 depending only on d, m and κ , which implies that the product weight w_κ is an A_∞ weight on \mathbb{S}^d .

For a nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, the reflection $\sigma_{\mathbf{v}}$ along \mathbf{v} is defined by

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} - 2 \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

A root system \mathcal{R} is a finite subset of nonzero vectors in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} such that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}$ implies $-\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}$ implies $\sigma_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}$. For a fixed $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}$, the set of positive roots \mathcal{R}_+ with respect to \mathbf{v}_0 is defined by $\mathcal{R}_+ = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R} : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle > 0\}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_+ \cup (-\mathcal{R}_+)$. A finite reflection group G with root system \mathcal{R} on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} is a subgroup of orthogonal group $O(d+1)$ generated by $\{\sigma_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Let $\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}$ be a nonnegative multiplicity function $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \kappa_{\mathbf{v}}$ defined on \mathcal{R}_+ with the property that $\kappa_{\mathbf{u}} = \kappa_{\mathbf{v}}$ whenever $\sigma_{\mathbf{u}}$ is conjugate to $\sigma_{\mathbf{v}}$ in G , that is, there is a \mathbf{w} in G such that $\sigma_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{w} = \sigma_{\mathbf{v}}$. Then $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \kappa_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a G -invariant function.

If $\mathcal{R} := \{\pm \mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ forms a root system of a finite reflection group G on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} and the product weight w_κ given by (2.2) is invariant under the group G , then w_κ is called the

Dunkl weight on \mathbb{S}^d . For the special Dunkl weight $w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} |x_j|^{2\kappa_j}$, it was proved in [12, Example 5.1.4] that the least constant $s_{w_{\kappa}}$ for which (2.1) holds is given by

$$s_{w_{\kappa}} = d + 2|\kappa| - 2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq d+1} \kappa_j. \quad (2.4) \quad \boxed{2.000}$$

For a nonnegative integer n , let Π_n^d be the space of all real algebraic polynomials in d variables of degree at most n and \mathcal{P}_n^d be the space of real homogeneous algebraic polynomials in d variables of degree n . We denote by $\Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$ the space of all real algebraic polynomials in $d+1$ variables of degree at most n restricted to \mathbb{S}^d and $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$ the space of all real homogeneous algebraic polynomials in $d+1$ variables of degree n restricted to \mathbb{S}^d . In this paper, we will need the following weighted Nikolskii's inequality.

Lemma 2.1. ([12, Theorem 5.1]). *Let w be a doubling weight on \mathbb{S}^d and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then for $P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$,*

$$\|P\|_{q,w} \lesssim n^{(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})+s_w} \|P\|_{p,w}. \quad (2.5) \quad \boxed{2.4}$$

2.2 Weighted Besov classes of generalized smoothness on the sphere.

Now we give the definition of weighted Besov classes of generalized smoothness on the sphere. For the unweighted case, it was first introduced in [57].

Let $s \geq 1$ be a fixed positive number and let Θ denote a nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$. We say that $\Theta(t) \in \Phi_s^*$ if it satisfies:

1. $\Theta(0) = 0$ and $\Theta(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$;
2. $\Theta(t)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$;
3. $\Theta(t)$ is almost increasing on $[0, \infty)$, i.e., for any t_1, t_2 with $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\Theta(t_1) \leq C\Theta(t_2),$$

where $C \geq 1$ is a constant independent of t_1 and t_2 ;

4. for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$,

$$\Theta(nt) \leq Cn^s\Theta(t),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of n and t ;

5. there exists $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that $\Theta(t)/t^{\alpha_1}$ is almost increasing on $[0, \infty)$;
6. there exists $0 < \alpha_2 < s$ such that $\Theta(t)/t^{\alpha_2}$ is almost decreasing on $[0, \infty)$, i.e., for any t_1, t_2 with $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\Theta(t_1)/t_1^{\alpha_2} \geq C\Theta(t_2)/t_2^{\alpha_2},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of t_1 and t_2 .

The definition of weighted Besov spaces is based on the *best approximation* of a function $f \in L_{p,w}$ from $\Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$ defined by

$$E_n(f)_{p,w} := \inf_{g \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)} \|f - g\|_{p,w}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Now let w be a doubling weight on \mathbb{S}^d and $\Theta(t) \in \Phi_s^*$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, we define the *weighted Besov spaces of generalized smoothness* $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}) \equiv B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$ to be the space of all real functions f with finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})} := \|f\|_{p,w} + \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f)_{p,w}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma},$$

while the *weighted Besov class* $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$ is defined to be the unit ball of the weighted Besov space $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$. A prototype of functions belonging to Φ_s^* is $\Theta(t) = t^r(1 + (\ln \frac{1}{t})_+)^{-\beta}$, $0 < r < s$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, in which case, the space $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$ is the weighted Besov space $B_\gamma^{r,\beta}(L_{p,w}) \equiv B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$ with logarithmic perturbation. Particularly, if $\beta = 0$, then the space $B_\gamma^{r,\beta}(L_{p,w})$ coincides with the usual weighted Besov space $B_\gamma^r(L_{p,w})$.

Clearly, it follows from the definition of $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$ that the Jackson inequality

$$E_n(f)_{p,w} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})} \quad (2.6) \quad \boxed{2.3}$$

holds for $f \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$. Furthermore, we also have the following embedding theorem, which has been proved in [11, Theorem 2.5] for the special case of $\Theta(t) = t^r$.

thm2.1

Proposition 2.1 (Embedding Theorem). *Let $\Theta_1(t), \Theta(t) := t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$ and let w be a doubling weight on \mathbb{S}^d . For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, if $r > (1/p - 1/q)_+ s_w$, then² $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}) \hookrightarrow L_{q,w}$. Furthermore, if $r > s_w/p$, then $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^d)$.*

Proof. For $\ell = 0, 1, \dots$, let $\delta_\ell(f)$ be a best approximant of $f \in L_{p,w}$ from $\Pi_\ell(\mathbb{S}^d)$, i.e., $E_\ell(f)_{p,w} = \|f - \delta_\ell(f)\|_{p,w}$. Note that the best approximant polynomials $\delta_\ell(f)$ always exist since $\Pi_\ell(\mathbb{S}^d)$ are the finite dimensional linear spaces (see, for instance, [38, p.17, Theorem 1]). Define

$$\sigma_j(f) = \delta_{2^{j-1}}(f) - \delta_{2^{j-2}}(f), \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\delta_{2^{-1}}(f) = 0$. Obviously, $\sigma_j(f) \in \Pi_{2^{j-1}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Then it follows from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{p,w} &\leq \|f - \delta_{2^{j-1}}(f)\|_{p,w} + \|f - \delta_{2^{j-2}}(f)\|_{p,w} \lesssim E_{2^{j-2}}(f)_{p,w} \\ &\lesssim \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7) \quad \boxed{3.6-0}$$

which, by the weighted Nikolskii's inequality (2.5), implies that

$$\|\sigma_j(f)\|_{q,w} \lesssim 2^{(j-1)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})_+ s_w} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}. \quad (2.8) \quad \boxed{3.6-1}$$

²Here, the notation $X \hookrightarrow Y$ means that the space X is continuously embedded into the space Y , i.e., $\|f\|_Y \leq C\|f\|_X$ for all $f \in X$.

By Lusin's theorem and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, the space of spherical polynomials is dense in $L_{p,w}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and in $C(\mathbb{S}^d)$ when $p = \infty$, which implies that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j(f)$ converges to f in the $L_{p,w}$ norm.

For $1 \leq q < \infty$, by the trigonometric inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{q,w} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{q,w} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w})} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[2^{(j-1)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})+sw} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \right] \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w})} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(j-1)[r-(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})+sw]} \Theta_1(2^{-1}) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w})}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\Theta_1(2^{-(j-2)}) \leq C\Theta_1(2^{-1})$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ since $\Theta_1 \in \Phi_s^*$.

For $q = \infty$, the above argument shows that the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j(f)$ converges uniformly on \mathbb{S}^d . Hence, in this case, $B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^d)$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. \square

2.3 Weighted Sobolev classes on the sphere.

To give the definition of weighted Sobolev spaces on the sphere, we shall need some facts about Dunkl harmonics. Now let w_{κ} be the Dunkl weight of the form (2.2) being invariant under the reflection group G . The essential ingredient of the theory of Dunkl harmonics is a family of first-order differential-difference operators, called Dunkl operators, which generates a commutative algebra. These operators are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_i f(\mathbf{x}) := \partial_i f(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \kappa_j \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\sigma_{\mathbf{v}_j} \mathbf{x})}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle} \langle \mathbf{v}_j, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, d+1,$$

where $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial x_i$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to x_i , and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0), \dots, \quad \mathbf{e}_{d+1} = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$$

denote the orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . Then the Dunkl-Laplace operator Δ_{κ} is defined by

$$\Delta_{\kappa} := \mathcal{D}_1^2 + \dots + \mathcal{D}_{d+1}^2,$$

which plays the role similar to that of the ordinary Laplacian. It was proved in [12] that

$$\Delta_{\kappa} = \frac{\Delta(f w_{\kappa}) - f \Delta(w_{\kappa})}{w_{\kappa}} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \kappa_j \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\sigma_{\mathbf{v}_j} \mathbf{x})}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle^2}.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{R}_+ = \{\mathbf{e}_j\}_{j=1}^{d+1}$, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^{d+1}$, then

$$\Delta_{\kappa} f = \Delta f + \sum_{j=1}^{d+1} \kappa_j \left(\frac{2}{x_j} \partial_j f - \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_{d+1}) - f(x_1, \dots, -x_j, \dots, x_{d+1})}{x_j^2} \right).$$

A Dunkl-harmonic polynomial Y is a homogeneous polynomial Y such that $\Delta_{\kappa} Y = 0$. Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa}) := \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n^{d+1} : \Delta_{\kappa} P = 0\}$$

be the space of the Dunkl harmonics of degree n . Then for $n \neq m$,

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_{L_2, w_{\kappa}} = 0, \quad P \in \mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa}), \quad Q \in \mathcal{H}_m^d(w_{\kappa}).$$

Throughout this paper, we fix the value of λ_{κ} as

$$\lambda_{\kappa} := |\kappa| + \frac{d-1}{2}.$$

In terms of the polar coordinates $\mathbf{y} = r\mathbf{y}'$, $r = \|\mathbf{y}\|$, the Dunkl-Laplacian operator Δ_{κ} takes the form

$$\Delta_{\kappa} := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2\lambda_{\kappa} + 1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{\kappa,0},$$

where $\Delta_{\kappa,0}$ is the Dunkl-Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere. Hence, applying Δ_{κ} to Dunkl harmonics $Y \in \mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa})$ with $Y(\mathbf{y}) = r^n Y(\mathbf{y}')$ shows that spherical Dunkl harmonics are eigenfunctions of $\Delta_{\kappa,0}$; that is,

$$\Delta_{\kappa,0} Y(\mathbf{x}) = -n(n + 2\lambda_{\kappa}) Y(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d, \quad Y \in \mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa}).$$

The density of polynomial spaces shows that

$$L_2, w_{\kappa} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \mathcal{H}_k^d(w_{\kappa}).$$

Let $\{Y_{n,k} : k = 1, \dots, N(d, n)\}$ be a collection of L_2 orthonormal real basis of $\mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa})$ (see, e.g., [12, Chapter 6]). Then the orthogonal projector $\text{proj}_n^{\kappa} : L_2, w_{\kappa} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n^d(w_{\kappa})$ given by

$$\text{proj}_n^{\kappa}(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(d,n)} \langle f, Y_{n,k} \rangle_{L_2, w_{\kappa}} Y_{n,k}$$

can be written as

$$\text{proj}_n^{\kappa}(f, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\mathbf{y}) P_n(w_{\kappa}; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{y}) d\sigma(\mathbf{y}),$$

where $P_n(w_\kappa; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the reproducing kernel of the space of Dunkl harmonics $\mathcal{H}_n^d(w_\kappa)$. Moreover, for $f \in L_{2,w_\kappa}$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{proj}_n^\kappa(f, \mathbf{x}),$$

in the L_{2,w_κ} norm.

Given $r > 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define the *weighted Sobolev space* $W_{p,w_\kappa}^r \equiv W_{p,w_\kappa}^r(\mathbb{S}^d)$ to be the space of all real functions f with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{W_{p,w_\kappa}^r} := \|f\|_{p,w_\kappa} + \|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2} f\|_{p,w_\kappa},$$

where the operator $(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2}$ is given by

$$(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2} f(\mathbf{x}) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n(n+2\lambda_\kappa))^{r/2} \text{proj}_n^\kappa(f, \mathbf{x}),$$

in the distribution sense, while the *weighted Sobolev class* BW_{p,w_κ}^r is defined to be the unit ball of the weighted Sobolev space W_{p,w_κ}^r . When $w_\kappa = 1$, W_{p,w_κ}^r recedes to the classical unweighted Sobolev space W_p^r . In this case, we write $W_p^r \equiv W_{p,w_\kappa}^r$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_p^r} \equiv \|\cdot\|_{W_{p,w_\kappa}^r}$ for simplicity. Moreover, we have the following results:

- Bernstein's inequality ([62, (3.4)]): for $r > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2} P\|_{p,w_\kappa} \lesssim n^r \|P\|_{p,w_\kappa}; \quad (2.9) \quad \boxed{2.1}$$

- Jackson's inequality ([62, Theorem 3.3]): for $r > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $f \in W_{p,w_\kappa}^r$,

$$E_n(f)_{p,w_\kappa} \lesssim n^{-r} \|f\|_{W_{p,w_\kappa}^r}. \quad (2.10) \quad \boxed{2.2}$$

By Jackson's inequality we obtain

$$\|f\|_{B_\infty^r(L_{p,w_\kappa})} := \|f\|_{p,w_\kappa} + \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{jr} E_{2^j}(f)_{p,w_\kappa} \lesssim \|f\|_{W_{p,w_\kappa}^r}, \quad (2.11) \quad \boxed{2.8-0}$$

which means that the weighted Sobolev space W_{p,w_κ}^r can be continuously embedded into the weighted Besov space $B_\infty^r(L_{p,w_\kappa})$;

- Embedding Theorem: if $r > (1/p - 1/q)_+ s_{w_\kappa}$, then $W_{p,w_\kappa}^r \hookrightarrow L_{q,w_\kappa}$. Furthermore, if $r > s_{w_\kappa}/p$, then $W_{p,w_\kappa}^r \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Indeed, this can be derived from (2.11) and Proposition 2.1.

3 Proofs of main results

sect3

In this section we are going to discuss the numerical integration (1.1) on the unit sphere. The asymptotic orders of the weighted Sobolev classes and the weighted Besov classes in the deterministic and randomized case settings will be determined. In the deterministic case setting, for the upper estimates, we use a positive quadrature formula; for the lower estimates, we will employ the identity presented in (1.2). In the randomized case setting, for the upper estimates, we use a constructive polynomial approximation based on the points that satisfying weighted L_p Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality and the standard Monte-Carlo methods; for the lower estimates, we will implement Novak's classical technique involving fooling functions.

3.1 Deterministic case.

This subsection is devoted to proving the estimates of deterministic case errors. More precisely, let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$. The following results will be established.

- (i) If w_κ is a Dunkl weight with the critical index s_{w_κ} on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $r > s_{w_\kappa}/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}; BW_{p, w_\kappa}^r) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}. \quad (3.1) \quad \boxed{3.1}$$

- (ii) If w is an A_∞ weight with the critical index s_w on \mathbb{S}^d , and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, then for $r > s_w/p$,

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w})) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}). \quad (3.2) \quad \boxed{3.2}$$

3.1.1 Upper estimates.

First we begin to address the proof of the upper estimates. As mentioned in Remark 1, it remains to prove (3.2). This can be straightforwardly verified through the following proposition, which is based on the positive cubature formulas.

prop

Proposition 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, and let w be a doubling weight with the critical index s_w on \mathbb{S}^d . Suppose that the following positive cubature formula holds for all $f \in \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i) =: Q_n(f), \quad \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i > 0, \quad (3.3) \quad \boxed{2}$$

with $\Lambda := \{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ being a finite subset of \mathbb{S}^d satisfying $N^d \leq n \leq C_1 N^d$. Then, for $r > s_w/p$, we have

$$\sup_{f \in BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w})} |\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}(f) - Q_n(f)| \leq C_2 \Theta(n^{-1/d}), \quad (3.4) \quad \boxed{3}$$

where the constant $C_2 > 0$ is independent of f and n .

The proof is based on the following lemma.

lem3.2 **Lemma 3.1.** ([55, Theorem 3.3] and [12, Lemma 5.4.5].) *Let w be a doubling weight on \mathbb{S}^d , and let μ be a nonnegative measure on \mathbb{S}^d satisfying*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^{p_0} d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^{p_0} w(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}), \text{ for all } f \in \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d), \quad (3.5) \quad \boxed{3.10}$$

for some $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$. Then for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $M \geq N$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \leq C_0 C \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{s_w} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p w(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}), \text{ for all } f \in \Pi_M(\mathbb{S}^d),$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on d , p , and w .

Now we are in a position to give the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1.

For a fixed positive integer n , it is easy to see that there exists a nonnegative integer m such that $2^{m-1} \leq N < 2^m$ and $n \asymp \dim \Pi_N^d \asymp N^d$. We keep the notations σ_j and δ_k in the proof of Proposition 2.1.

According to Theorem 2.1 we know that if $f \in B_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})$ and $r > s_w/p$, then the series $f = \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f) + \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \sigma_j(f)$ converges uniformly on \mathbb{S}^d . Thus, using the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(f, \Lambda, w) &:= |\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}(f) - Q_n(f)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \sigma_j(f)(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sigma_j(f)(\xi_i) \right) \right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \left\{ \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{p,w} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |\sigma_j(f)(\xi_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we derive from (3.3) that (3.5) is true for the discrete measure $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \delta_{\xi_i}$ with $p_0 = 2$ and $C_0 = 1$. Notice that $\sigma_j(f) \in \Pi_{2^{j-1}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$, and $2^{j-1} \geq N$ for $j \geq m+1$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 and (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |\sigma_j(f)(\xi_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} &\lesssim \left(\frac{2^{j-1}}{N} \right)^{s_w/p} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{p,w} \\ &\lesssim 2^{(j-1)s_w/p} N^{-s_w/p} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain,

$$I_n(f, \Lambda, w) \lesssim N^{-\frac{s_w}{p}} \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty 2^{(j-1)s_w/p} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)s_w/p} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-(j-1)(r-\frac{sw}{p})} \Theta_1(2^{-(j-2)}) \\
&\lesssim \Theta_1(2^{-(m-1)}) \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-(j-1)(r-\frac{sw}{p})} \\
&\asymp 2^{-(m-1)(r-\frac{sw}{p})} \Theta_1(2^{-(m-1)}) \\
&\asymp N^{\frac{sw}{p}} \Theta(n^{-1/d}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equivalence we used

$$\Theta_1(2^{-(m-1)}) \asymp \Theta_1(n^{-1/d}), \quad (3.6) \quad \boxed{3.13-1}$$

since $\Theta_1 \in \Phi_s^*$ and $2^{m-1} \asymp N \asymp n^{-1/d}$. Therefore, we get

$$I_n(f, \Lambda, w) \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})},$$

which proves (3.4). \square

3.1.2 Lower estimates.

To establish the lower estimates, we require the following lemma.

$\boxed{\text{lem3.4}}$

Lemma 3.2. ([11, Proposition 4.8].) *Let w be an A_∞ weight on \mathbb{S}^d , and let X be a linear subspace of $\Pi_m(\mathbb{S}^d)$ with $\dim X \geq \varepsilon \dim \Pi_m(\mathbb{S}^d)$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a function $f \in X$ such that $\|f\|_{p,w} \asymp 1$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ with the constants of equivalence depending only on ε, d and the A_∞ constant of w .*

Proof of lower estimates.

Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n be any n distinct points on \mathbb{S}^d . Take a positive integer N such that $2n \leq \dim \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d) \leq C_0 n$, and denote

$$X_0 := \{g \in \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d) : g(\xi_j) = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n\}. \quad (3.7) \quad \boxed{3.5-0}$$

Then, X_0 is a linear subspace of $\Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d)$ with

$$\dim X_0 \geq \dim \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d) - n \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d).$$

Case 1. Let w_κ be a Dunkl weight on \mathbb{S}^d . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a function $g_0 \in X_0$ such that $\|g_0\|_{p_0, w_\kappa} \asymp 1$ for all $1 \leq p_0 \leq \infty$. Let $f_0(\mathbf{x}) = N^{-r}(g_0(\mathbf{x}))^2$. Then by $f_0 \in \Pi_{2N}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ and (2.9) we have

$$\|f_0\|_{W_{p,w_\kappa}^r} = \|f_0\|_{p,w_\kappa} + \|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2} f_0\|_{p,w_\kappa} \lesssim N^r \|f_0\|_{p,w_\kappa} = \|g_0\|_{2p,w_\kappa}^2 \asymp 1.$$

Hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $0 < f_1 = C f_0 \in BW_{p,w_\kappa}^r$, and

$$f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) = \cdots = f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f_1(\mathbf{x}) w_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \asymp N^{-r} \|g_0\|_{2,w_\kappa}^2 \asymp n^{-r/d}.$$

Since BW_{p,w_κ}^r is convex and balanced, we derive from (1.2) that

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}; BW_{p,w_\kappa}^r) \geq \inf_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \in \mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f_1(\mathbf{x}) w_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \asymp n^{-r/d}.$$

Case 2. Let w be an A_∞ weight on \mathbb{S}^d , and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a function $g_0 \in \Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^d)$ with $\|g_0\|_{p_0, w} \asymp 1$ for all $1 \leq p_0 \leq \infty$. Let $f_0(\mathbf{x}) = \Theta(N^{-1})(g_0(\mathbf{x}))^2$, and let s be an integer such that $2^{s-1} \leq N < 2^s$. Then by $f_0 \in \Pi_{2^{s+1}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ and $E_{2^j}(f_0)_{p,w} \leq \|f_0\|_{p,w}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_0\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})} &= \|f_0\|_{p,w} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f_0)_{p,w}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \left(\frac{1}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} \|f_0\|_{p,w}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \left(\frac{1}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma &\lesssim 2^{-(s+1)\alpha_1\gamma} \Theta(2^{-s-1})^{-\gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} 2^{j\alpha_1\gamma} \\ &\asymp 2^{-(s+1)\alpha_1\gamma} \Theta(2^{-s-1})^{-\gamma} 2^{(s+1)\alpha_1\gamma} \\ &= \Theta(2^{-s-1})^{-\gamma}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8} \quad \boxed{3.5-000}$$

where in the first inequality we used

$$\frac{\Theta(2^{-j})}{2^{-j\alpha_1}} \gtrsim \frac{\Theta(2^{-(s+1)})}{2^{-(s+1)\alpha_1}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq s+1,$$

for some $\alpha_1 > 0$ since $\Theta \in \Phi_s^*$. It follows that

$$\|f_0\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})} \lesssim \Theta(2^{-s-1})^{-1} \Theta(N^{-1}) \|g_0\|_{2p,w}^2 \asymp 1.$$

Hence, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $0 < f_1 = C f_0 \in BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$, and

$$f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) = \cdots = f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f_1(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \asymp \Theta(N^{-1}) \|g_0\|_{2,w}^2 \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}).$$

Since $BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$ is convex and balanced, we derive from (1.2) that

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})) \geq \inf_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \in \mathbb{S}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f_1(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}),$$

which finishes the proof of the lower estimates. \square

3.2 Randomized case.

This subsection focuses on establishing estimates for randomized case errors. More precisely, let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$. The following results will be proved.

- (i) If w_κ is a Dunkl weight with the critical index s_{w_κ} on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $r > s_{w_\kappa}/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}; BW_{p, w_\kappa}^r) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (3.9) \quad \boxed{3.23}$$

- (ii) If w_κ is a product weight with the critical index s_{w_κ} on \mathbb{S}^d , and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, then for $r > s_{w_\kappa}/p$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_\kappa})) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (3.10) \quad \boxed{3.25}$$

3.2.1 Upper estimates.

We will first present the proof of upper estimates. As mentioned in Remark 1, it remains to prove the upper estimate of (3.10). For our purpose, it is essential to develop an algorithm to attain the upper bound. We will use constructive polynomial approximation that uses function values (the samples) at selected well-distributed points (sometimes called standard information). Here the ‘‘well-distributed’’ points indicate that those points satisfy $L_{p, w}$ Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund (MZ) inequalities on \mathbb{S}^d as follows.

Definition. Given a set of points $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ in \mathbb{S}^d and a set of positive numbers $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_N\}$, we say that they constitute an $L_{p, w}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, *Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund family* for $\Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$, denoted by $L_{p, w}$ -MZ, if there exist two constants $A, B > 0$ independent of n and N such that

$$A \|P\|_{p, w}^p \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \tau_k |P(\mathbf{x}_k)|^p \leq B \|P\|_{p, w}^p, \quad \text{for all } P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d); \quad (3.11) \quad \boxed{3.5}$$

and they constitute an L_∞ Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund family for $\Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$, denoted by L_∞ -MZ, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\|P\|_\infty \leq C \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} |P(\mathbf{x}_k)|, \quad \text{for all } P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d).$$

Remark 4. Equivalently, an $L_{p, w}$ -MZ family means that the L_p norm of $P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is comparable to the discretized norm $\|P\|_{(p)}$ given by the weighted ℓ_p norm of its restriction to these points, where

$$\|f\|_{(p)} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \tau_k |f(\mathbf{x}_k)|^p \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \max_{k=1, \dots, N} |f(\mathbf{x}_k)|, & p = \infty, \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mu_N(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \max_{k=1, \dots, N} |f(\mathbf{x}_k)|, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

for $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^d)$, and $\mu_N := \sum_{k=1}^N \tau_k \delta_{\mathbf{x}_k}$.

rem4 *Remark 5.* For a doubling weight w on \mathbb{S}^d , such an $L_{p,w}$ -MZ family for $\Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$ exists. For example, it follows from [12, Chapter 5] that there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, a maximal δ/n -separated subset $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ is an $L_{p,w}$ -MZ family with $\dim \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d) \leq N \asymp n^d$ and $\{\tau_k := w(c(\mathbf{x}_k, \delta/n)), k = 1, \dots, N\}$.

Weighted least ℓ_p approximation.

Based on an $L_{p,w}$ -MZ family, we can construct a weighted least ℓ_p approximation on \mathbb{S}^d for a continuous function on \mathbb{S}^d .

Definition. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, for $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^d)$, its weighted least ℓ_p approximation $L_{n,p}(f)$ is defined by

$$L_{n,p}(f) := \arg \min_{P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)} \|f - P\|_{(p)}.$$

Remark 6. Obviously, the solution of this problem exists for all $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Further, if $1 < p < \infty$, then the solution is unique. If $p = 1$ or ∞ , then the solution may be not unique and we can choose any solution to be $L_{n,p}(f)$. We note the operator $L_{n,p}$ is not linear except the case $p = 2$.

Remark 7. By the definition of $L_{n,p}$ we have

$$\|f - L_{n,p}(f)\|_{(p)} = \min_{P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)} \|f - P\|_{(p)}, \quad (3.12) \quad \boxed{3.6}$$

which follows that for all $P \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$,

$$\|f - L_{n,p}(f)\|_{(p)} \leq \|f - P\|_{(p)}. \quad (3.13) \quad \boxed{3.7}$$

Such approximation operators were first introduced by Gröchenig in [26] with $p = 2$. Given a sequence of Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities in L_2 on a compact space, weighted least squares approximation and least squares quadrature were investigated in [26, 39]. Inspired by these works, for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the authors in [35] developed weighted least ℓ_p approximation induced by a sequence of Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities in L_p on a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with normalized Riemannian measure. In this paper we will use the methods in [35] to study the weighted case on the sphere. We now present the approximation theorem for the weighted least ℓ_p approximation.

thm3.1 **Theorem 3.3.** *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$. If w is a doubling weight with the critical index s_w on \mathbb{S}^d , then for $f \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})$ with $r > s_w/p$,*

$$\|f - L_{n,p}(f)\|_{q,w} \leq C \Theta(n^{-1}) n^{\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w} \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}, \quad (3.14) \quad \boxed{3.9}$$

where $C > 0$ are independent of f and n .

Proof. We are going to prove the case of $1 \leq p < \infty$, since the case $p = \infty$ can be easily checked with a little difference. We keep the notations σ_j and δ_ℓ in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Let m be an integer such that $2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m$. If $r > s_w/p$, then for $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - L_{n,p}(f)\|_{q,w} &\leq \|f - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{q,w} + \|L_{n,p}(f) - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{q,w} \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15) \quad \boxed{3.12}$$

On the one hand, since the series $\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sigma_j(f)$ converges to $f - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)$ in $L_{q,w}$ norm, by (2.8) we obtain

$$I_1 \lesssim \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{q,w} \lesssim \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})},$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \\ &\lesssim 2^{(m-1)\alpha_2} \Theta_1(2^{-(m-1)}) \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)\left(-\alpha_2-r+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w\right)} \\ &\asymp 2^{(m-1)\alpha_2} \Theta_1(2^{-(m-1)}) 2^{(m-1)\left(-\alpha_2-r+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w\right)} \\ &\lesssim \Theta(n^{-1}) n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16) \quad \boxed{3.13-00}$$

where in the first inequality we used

$$\frac{\Theta_1(2^{-(j-2)})}{2^{-(j-2)\alpha_2}} \lesssim \frac{\Theta_1(2^{-(m-1)})}{2^{-(m-1)\alpha_2}}, \quad j \geq m+1,$$

for some $\alpha_2 > 0$ since $\Theta_1 \in \Phi_s^*$. Thus, we get

$$I_1 \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1}) n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w} \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}, \quad (3.17) \quad \boxed{3.13}$$

On the other hand, we derive from (3.11) and (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{n,p}(f) - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{p,w} &\lesssim \|L_{n,p}(f) - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{(p)} \\ &\leq \|L_{n,p}(f) - f\|_{(p)} + \|f - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{(p)} \\ &\leq 2\|f - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{(p)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{(p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18) \quad \boxed{3.14}$$

According to (3.11) we know that (3.5) is true for $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^N$ with $p_0 = p$ and $C_0 = B$. Note that $\sigma_j(f) \in \Pi_{2^{j-1}}(\mathbb{S}^d)$, and $2^{j-1} \geq n$ for $j \geq m+1$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for $j \geq m+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{(p)}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} |\sigma_j(f)(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mu_N(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{2^{j-1}}{n}\right)^{s_w} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{p,w}^p \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{2^{j-1}}{n}\right)^{s_w} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)})^p \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}^p \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|\sigma_j(f)\|_{(p)} \lesssim n^{-\frac{s_w}{p}} 2^{(j-1)\frac{s_w}{p}} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}. \quad (3.19) \quad \boxed{3.15}$$

Hence, for $r > s_w/p$, by (3.19), (3.18), and (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{n,p}(f) - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{p,w} &\lesssim \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_j(f)\|_{(p)} \\ &\lesssim n^{-\frac{s_w}{p}} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(j-1)\frac{s_w}{p}} \Theta(2^{-(j-2)}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})} \\ &\asymp \Theta(n^{-1}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20) \quad \boxed{3.16}$$

Note that $L_{n,p}(f) - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f) \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{S}^d)$. Then by the weighted Nikolskii's inequality (2.5), (3.20) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w} \|L_{n,p}(f) - \delta_{2^{m-1}}(f)\|_{p,w} \\ &\lesssim \Theta(n^{-1}) n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)_+ s_w} \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21) \quad \boxed{3.16-0}$$

By (3.15), (3.17), and (3.21), we get (3.14) for $1 \leq p < \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

We observe that the orders specified in Theorem 3.3 are optimal for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$. This can be demonstrated through the optimal recovery of weighted generalized Besov space $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$ as stated in Theorem 1.3. Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

For the upper bound, let $L_{m,p}$ be the weighted least ℓ_p approximation operator induced by an $L_{p,w}$ -MZ family $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ with $\dim \Pi_m(\mathbb{S}^d) \leq N \asymp m^d \asymp n$. Then, by Theorem 3.3 we have

$$g_n(BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}), L_{q,w}) \leq \sup_{f \in BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w})} \|f - L_{m,p}(f)\|_{q,w} \lesssim \Theta(m^{-1}) \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}).$$

Now we turn to show the lower bound. To this end, let $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ be any n distinct points on \mathbb{S}^d . Then following the proof of the lower estimates in the deterministic case setting, we know that there exists a function $f_1 \in BB_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})$ satisfying $f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) = \dots = f_1(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) = 0$ and $\|f_1\|_{q,w} \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d})$. Then it follows from (1.15) that

$$g_n(BB_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w}), L_{q,w}) \geq \inf_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \in \mathbb{S}^d} \|f_1\|_{q,w} \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}).$$

Together with the fact that the weighted least ℓ_2 approximation operator is linear and (1.15), the proof of Theorem 1.3 is finished. \square

According to Remark 5, we know that for an A_∞ weight and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, there exists an $L_{p,w}$ -MZ family on \mathbb{S}^d with $\dim \Pi_m(\mathbb{S}^d) \leq N \asymp m^d \asymp n$. Based on the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can conclude that for $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $r > s_w/p$,

$$\sup_{f \in BB_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})} \|f - L_{m,p}(f)\|_{q,w} \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}) \asymp g_n(BB_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w}), L_{q,w}),$$

which implies that the weighted least ℓ_p approximation operator $L_{m,p}$ serves as an asymptotically optimal algorithm for optimal recovery of $BB_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})$ measured in the $L_{q,w}$ norm.

Standard Monte Carlo algorithm.

To achieve the upper estimates, following Heinrich [28], we also need a concrete Monte Carlo method by virtue of the standard Monte Carlo algorithm. It is defined as follows: let $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be independent, \mathbb{S}^d -valued, distributed over \mathbb{S}^d with respect to the measure $w(\mathbf{x})d\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ random vectors on a probability space $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, \nu)$. For any $h \in C(\mathbb{S}^d)$, we put

$$Q_M^\omega(h) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M h(\boldsymbol{\xi}_i(\omega)), \quad \omega \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (3.22) \quad \square$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega Q_M^\omega(h) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} h(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\sigma(\mathbf{x}).$$

Following the proof of [28, Proposition 5.4], we have, for any $h \in C(\mathbb{S}^d)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega |\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w}(h) - Q_M^\omega(h)| \lesssim M^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+} \|h\|_{p,w}. \quad (3.23) \quad \square$$

Here we omit the proof.

Proof of upper estimates of (3.10).

For a positive integer n , define $M = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and let $\dim \Pi_m(\mathbb{S}^d) \leq N \asymp m^d \asymp n$ with $N \leq n/2$. Here, $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding x . Let $L_{m,p}$ be the

weighted least ℓ_p approximation operator induced by an $L_{p,w}$ -MZ family $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$. For a function $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^d)$, we introduce a randomized algorithm (A_n^ω) defined as follows:

$$A_n^\omega(f) = Q_M^\omega(f - L_{m,p}(f)) + \text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d,w}(L_{m,p}(f)),$$

where Q_M^ω is the standard Monte Carlo algorithm of the form (3.22). It is evident that the algorithm (A_n^ω) utilizes at most $M + N \leq n$ values of the function f , thus confirming that $(A_n^\omega) \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\text{ran}}$. Note that the algorithm (A_n^ω) is not linear except $p = 2$. A straightforward verification gives

$$|\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d,w}(f) - A_n^\omega(f)| = |\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d,w}(g) - Q_M^\omega(g)|,$$

where $g = f - L_{m,p}(f)$. Combining with (3.23) and (3.14), we obtain, for $f \in B_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})$, $r > s_w/p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\omega |\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d,w}(f) - A_n^\omega(f)| &= \mathbb{E}_\omega |\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d,w}(g) - Q_M^\omega(g)| \\ &\lesssim M^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+} \|f - L_{m,p}(f)\|_{p,w} \\ &\lesssim M^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+} \Theta(m^{-1}) \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})} \\ &\asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+} \|f\|_{B_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d,w}; BB_\gamma^\ominus(L_{p,w})) \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

This completes the proof of the upper estimate of (3.10). \square

We remark that the upper estimate of (3.10) holds whenever w is a doubling weight.

3.2.2 Lower estimates

For the proof of lower estimates, we use the technique of Novak, which relies on the following lemma.

lem3.5 **Lemma 3.4.** ([43] or [44, Lemma 3].) *Assume that Ω^d is the multivariate compact domain with a weight function w .*

(a) *Let $F \subset L_{1,w}(\Omega^d)$ and ψ_j , $j = 1, \dots, 4n$, with the following conditions:*

(i) *the functions ψ_j , $j = 1, \dots, 4n$ have disjoint supports and satisfy*

$$\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w}(\psi_j) = \int_{\Omega^d} \psi_j(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} \geq \delta, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

(ii) $F_1 := \{ \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \psi_j : \alpha_j \in \{-1, 1\} \} \subset F$.

Then

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w}; F) \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(b) We assume that instead of (ii) in statement (a) the property

$$(ii') F_2 := \{\pm\psi_j : j = 1, \dots, 4n\} \subset F.$$

Then

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; F) \geq \frac{1}{4}\delta.$$

Therefore, to obtain the lower estimates of randomized quadrature errors, we shall construct a sequence of functions $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.4, which are often call the fooling functions. Their construction follows by the similar arguments as stated in the estimates of the entropy numbers (see [61]).

Construct fooling functions.

Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa} := (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in [0, +\infty)^m$, $\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and

$$w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{j=1}^m |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, m$, we denote

$$E_j := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d : \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j) \right| \leq 2\varepsilon_{d,m} \right\},$$

and

$$\tilde{E}_j := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d : \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j) \right| \leq \varepsilon_{d,m} \right\},$$

where $\varepsilon_{d,m}$ is a sufficiently small positive constant depending only on d and m . Furthermore, let $E := \bigcup_{j=1}^m E_j$ and $\tilde{E} = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \tilde{E}_j$. Clearly, we have $|E_1| = \dots = |E_m| \asymp \varepsilon_{d,m}$. Then the Lebesgue measure of E satisfies

$$|E| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |E_j| \leq c_d m \varepsilon_{d,m} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

provided that $\varepsilon_{d,m}$ is small enough. Thus, the Lebesgue measure of $\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \tilde{E}$ satisfies

$$|\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \tilde{E}| \geq |\mathbb{S}^d \setminus E| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Given a positive integer n , we can choose a sufficient large positive integer N such that $N \asymp n^{1/d}$ and $N > \varepsilon_{d,m}^{-1}$. We take a maximal $2/N$ -separated subset $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^M$ of $\mathbb{S}^d \setminus E$. Then

$$c(\mathbf{x}_i, \frac{1}{N}) \cap c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}) = \emptyset, \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \quad (3.24) \quad \square$$

which follows from the definition of maximal separated subsets, and

$$c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}) \subset \mathbb{S}^d \setminus \tilde{E}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M, \quad (3.25) \quad \boxed{00}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v}_i) \right| &\geq \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{v}_i) \right| - |d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{v}_i) - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{y})| \\ &\geq \left| \frac{\pi}{2} - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{v}_i) \right| - d_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) \\ &> 2\varepsilon_{d,m} - \frac{1}{N} > \varepsilon_{d,m}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

for any $\mathbf{y} \in c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N})$ whenever $N > \varepsilon_{d,m}^{-1}$. Meanwhile, notice that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq |\mathbb{S}^d \setminus E| \leq \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^M c(\mathbf{x}_i, \frac{2}{N}) \right| \leq M \left| c(\mathbf{x}_1, \frac{2}{N}) \right| \asymp MN^{-d} \asymp Mn^{-1}$$

by the maximal separated property of $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^M$. Thus, we have $M \geq 4n$ for sufficiently large N with $N \asymp n^{1/d}$.

If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d \setminus \tilde{E}$, then

$$|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle| \geq \sin(\varepsilon_{d,m}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence,

$$w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^m |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j} \geq (\sin(\varepsilon_{d,m}))^{2|\kappa|},$$

which leads that

$$w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x}) \asymp 1, \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d \setminus \tilde{E}.$$

Let φ be a nonnegative C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R} supported in $[0, 1]$ and being equal to 1 on $[0, 1/2]$. As the above construction, we can choose $4n$ points $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$ from a maximal $2/N$ -separated subset of $\mathbb{S}^d \setminus E$, and define

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Clearly, $\varphi_j, j = 1, \dots, 4n$ have the following properties:

- (i) the support sets of $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$ are mutually disjoint, that is

$$\text{supp}(\varphi_i) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi_j) = \emptyset, \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \quad (3.26) \quad \boxed{3.26}$$

Indeed, it can be seen from the fact

$$\text{supp}(\varphi_j) \subset c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}) \subset \mathbb{S}^d \setminus \tilde{E}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

(ii) for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the L_{p,w_κ} norm of φ_j satisfies

$$\|\varphi_j\|_{p,w_\kappa} \asymp \left(\int_{c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N})} |\varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j))|^p d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/p} \asymp n^{-1/p}, \quad (3.27) \quad \boxed{3.27}$$

and the L_∞ norm of φ_j satisfies

$$\|\varphi_j\|_\infty = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N})} |\varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j))| = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |\varphi(x)| \asymp 1. \quad (3.28) \quad \boxed{3.28}$$

Now we set

$$F_0 := \left\{ f_\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \varphi_j : \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n} \right\}.$$

Then it follows from (3.26), (3.27), and (3.28) that for any $f_\alpha \in F_0$,

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{p,w_\kappa} \asymp n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (3.29) \quad \boxed{3.29}$$

where

$$\|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{4n} |\alpha_j|^p \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \max_{1 \leq j \leq 4n} |\alpha_j|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have the following lemma.

lem44 **Lemma 3.5.** *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, and $f_\alpha \in F_0$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$.*

(i) *If w_κ is a Dunkl weight on \mathbb{S}^d , then for any $r > 0$,*

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{W_{p,w_\kappa}^r} \lesssim n^{r/d-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}. \quad (3.30) \quad \boxed{3.30}$$

When $w_\kappa = 1$, the above inequality also holds.

(ii) *If w_κ is a product weight on \mathbb{S}^d , then for any $r > 0$,*

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{B_{p,w_\kappa}^\gamma} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1} n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}, \quad (3.31) \quad \boxed{3.31}$$

Proof. (i) By (3.29) it suffices to show that for all $r > 0$,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2} f_\alpha\|_{p,w_\kappa} \leq N^{r-d/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}. \quad (3.32) \quad \boxed{3.32}$$

First we claim that for any positive integer v ,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v f_\alpha\|_{p,w_\kappa} \lesssim N^{2v-d/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}. \quad (3.33) \quad \boxed{3.33}$$

Indeed, if $f_\alpha \in F_0$ then we have for any $v = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v f_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j (-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.34) \quad \boxed{3.34}$$

We will prove (3.33) by four steps.

Step 1. We shall show that for any $v = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\text{supp} (-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j \subset \bigcup_{\rho \in G} \rho \left(c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n, \quad (3.35) \quad \boxed{3.35}$$

where G is the finite reflection group generated by \mathcal{R}_+ and $\rho(E) := \{\rho\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in E\}$. Note that if $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then by the definition of \mathcal{D}_j we have

$$\text{supp} (\mathcal{D}_j f) \subset \bigcup_{\rho \in G} \rho(\text{supp}(f)), \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

Combining with the fact that the set $\bigcup_{\rho \in G} \rho(E)$ is invariant under the action of the group G , it implies that

$$\text{supp} ((-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v f) \subset \bigcup_{\rho \in G} \rho(\text{supp}(f)), \quad v = 1, 2, \dots$$

By the definition of the Dunkl-Laplacian-Beltrami operator, for any $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^d$, we have

$$\Delta_{\kappa,0} \varphi_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \Delta_{\kappa}(\varphi_j(\mathbf{x})) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\boldsymbol{\xi}},$$

which implies that

$$\text{supp} (\Delta_{\kappa,0} \varphi_j) \subset \bigcup_{\rho \in G} \rho \left(c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n. \quad (3.36) \quad \boxed{3.35-0}$$

This leads to (3.35).

Step 2. By the conclusion of Step 1, we get that for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \{1 \leq j \leq 4n : \alpha_j (-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \chi_{\bigcup_{\rho \in G} \rho(c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}))}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \sum_{\rho \in G} \chi_{\rho(c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}))}(\mathbf{x}) \\ & = \sum_{\rho \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \chi_{\rho(c(\mathbf{x}_j, \frac{1}{N}))}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \sum_{\rho \in G} 1 = \#G, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37) \quad \boxed{3.36}$$

where in the last inequality we used the pairwise disjoint property of $\{\rho(c(\mathbf{x}_j, 1/N))\}_{j=1}^{4n}$ for any $\rho \in G$.

Step 3. It is easy to verify that for any $1 \leq j \leq 4n$ and $v = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa})^v \varphi_j\|_{\infty} \lesssim N^{2v},$$

which leads to

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j\|_{\infty} \lesssim N^{2v}. \quad (3.38) \quad \boxed{3.37}$$

It follows from (3.36) and (3.38) that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j\|_{p,w_{\kappa}} \lesssim N^{2v-d/p}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.39) \quad \boxed{3.38}$$

Step 4. By (3.34), (3.37), and (3.39) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j (-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) \right|^p d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (\#G)^{1-1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \sum_{j=1}^{4n} |\alpha_j|^p |(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v \varphi_j(\mathbf{x})|^p d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim N^{2v-d/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.33).

Now we turn to prove (3.32). By the Kolmogorov-type inequality (see [13, Theorem 8.1]), (3.33) and (3.29) that for $v > r$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^{r/2} f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}} \leq \|(-\Delta_{\kappa,0})^v f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}}^{\frac{r}{2v}} \|f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}}^{\frac{2v-r}{2v}} \lesssim N^{r-d/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}.$$

Hence, the proof of (i) is completed.

(ii) Since $B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w_{\kappa}})$ is continuously embedded into $B_{\infty}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w_{\kappa}})$ for $0 < \gamma < \infty$, it only needs to show (3.31) for $B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p,w_{\kappa}})$, $0 < \gamma < \infty$. Notice that $E_{2^j}(f_{\alpha})_{p,w_{\kappa}} \leq \|f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}}$ for $j \geq 0$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{2^j < N} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f_{\alpha})_{p,w_{\kappa}}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^{\gamma} \leq \sum_{2^j < N} \left(\frac{1}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^{\gamma} \|f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}}^{\gamma}. \quad (3.40) \quad \boxed{3.43}$$

Similar to (3.8), we get

$$\sum_{2^j < N} \left(\frac{1}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^{\gamma} \lesssim \Theta(N^{-1})^{-\gamma}.$$

Together with (3.29) we have

$$\sum_{2^j < N} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f_{\alpha})_{p,w_{\kappa}}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^{\gamma} \lesssim \Theta(N^{-1})^{-\gamma} \|f_{\alpha}\|_{p,w_{\kappa}}^{\gamma}$$

$$\asymp \Theta(N^{-1})^{-\gamma} N^{-d\gamma/p} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}^\gamma. \quad (3.41) \quad \boxed{3.43}$$

Choose a positive integer $v > s$. By the fact that $\|f\|_{p, w_\kappa} \leq \|f\|_p$ and (2.10) we obtain

$$E_{2^j}(f_\alpha)_{p, w_\kappa} \leq E_{2^j}(f_\alpha)_p \lesssim 2^{-jv} \|f_\alpha\|_{W_p^v}, \quad j \geq 0,$$

which combining with (3.30) for W_p^v , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2^j \geq N} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f_\alpha)_{p, w_\kappa}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma &\leq \sum_{2^j \geq N} \left(\frac{2^{-jv}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma \|f_\alpha\|_{W_p^v}^\gamma \\ &\leq \Theta(N^{-1})^{-\gamma} N^{-s\gamma} \sum_{2^j \geq N} 2^{j(s-v)\gamma} \|f_\alpha\|_{W_p^v}^\gamma \\ &\asymp \Theta(N^{-1})^{-\gamma} N^{-v\gamma} \|f_\alpha\|_{W_p^v}^\gamma \\ &\lesssim \Theta(N^{-1})^{-\gamma} N^{-d\gamma/p} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}^\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (3.42) \quad \boxed{3.44}$$

where in the second inequality we used

$$\frac{\Theta(N^{-1})}{N^{-s}} \lesssim \frac{\Theta(2^{-j})}{2^{-js}}, \quad 2^j \geq N,$$

since $\Theta(t)/t^s$ is almost decreasing. It follows from (3.29), (3.41), and (3.42) that

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_\kappa})} := \|f_\alpha\|_{p, w_\kappa} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f_\alpha)_{p, w_\kappa}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} \lesssim \Theta(N^{-1})^{-1} N^{-d/p} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5. \square

Proof of lower estimates of (3.9).

The proof will be divided into two cases.

Case 1. $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. In this case, by the fact that W_{2, w_κ}^r is continuously embedded into W_{p, w_κ}^r , it suffices to consider the case $p = \infty$. Hence, what's left to show is

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}; BW_{\infty, w_\kappa}^r) \gtrsim n^{-r/d-1/2}. \quad (3.43) \quad \boxed{5.5}$$

Indeed, if $p = \infty$, then it follows from (3.30) that for $\alpha_j \in \{-1, 1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, 4n$,

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{W_{\infty, w_\kappa}^r} \lesssim n^{r/d} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\ell_\infty^{4n}} \lesssim n^{r/d}.$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant C_1 independent of n such that $C_1 n^{-r/d} f_\alpha \in BW_{\infty, w_\kappa}^r$. Set

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) := C_1 n^{-r/d} \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Clearly, we have

$$F_1 := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \psi_j : \alpha_j \in \{-1, 1\}, j = 1, \dots, 4n \right\} \subset BW_{\infty, w_{\kappa}}^r,$$

and by (3.27),

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}(\psi_j) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = C_1 n^{-r/d} \|\varphi_j\|_{1, w_{\kappa}} \asymp n^{r/d-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 (a) we obtain (3.43).

Case 2. $1 \leq p < 2$. It follows from (3.29) that

$$\|\pm \varphi_j\|_{W_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r} \lesssim n^{r/d-1/p}.$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant C_2 independent of n such that

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) := C_2 n^{-r/d+1/p} \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) \in BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r, j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Clearly, we have

$$F_2 := \{\pm \psi_j : j = 1, \dots, 4n\} \subset BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r,$$

and by (3.27),

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}(\psi_j) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) w_{\kappa}(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = C_2 n^{-r/d+1/p} \|\varphi_j\|_{1, w_{\kappa}} \asymp n^{-r/d+1/p-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 (b), we obtain for $1 \leq p < 2$,

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r) \gtrsim n^{-r/d+1/p-1},$$

which, together with (3.43), gives the lower bounds of $e_n^{\text{ran}}(BW_{p, w_{\kappa}}^r)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The proof of lower estimates of (3.9) is completed. \square

Proof of lower estimates of (3.10).

The proof will be divided into two cases.

Case 1. $2 \leq p \leq \infty$. In this case, we want to prove

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BB_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p, w_{\kappa}})) \gtrsim \Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{-1/2}.$$

It suffices to consider the case $p = \infty$ since the embedding relation

$$B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{\infty}) \hookrightarrow B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{p, w_{\kappa}}), \quad 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

Hence, what's left to show is

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_{\kappa}}; BB_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_{\infty})) \gtrsim \Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{-1/2}. \quad (3.44) \quad \boxed{3.47}$$

Indeed, if $p = \infty$, then it follows from (3.31) that when $\alpha_j \in \{-1, 1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, 4n$,

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_\infty)} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_\infty^{4n}} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1}.$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant C_1 independent of n such that $C_1\Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1}f_\alpha \in BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_\infty)$. Set

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) := C_1\Theta(n^{-1/d})\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Then we have

$$F_1 := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \psi_j : \alpha_j \in \{-1, 1\}, j = 1, \dots, 4n \right\} \subset BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_\infty).$$

Meanwhile, it follows from (3.27) that

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}(\psi_j) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) w_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = C_1\Theta(n^{-1/d}) \|\varphi_j\|_{1, w_\kappa} \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 (a) we obtain (3.44).

Case 2. $1 \leq p < 2$. In this case, we want to prove

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_\kappa})) \gtrsim \Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{1/p-1}. \quad (3.45) \quad \boxed{5.5-5}$$

Indeed, it follows from (3.31) that

$$\|\pm \varphi_j\|_{BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_\kappa})} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{-1/p}.$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant C_2 independent of n such that

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) := C_2\Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{1/p} \varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) \in BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_\kappa}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Then we have

$$F_2 := \{\pm \psi_j : j = 1, \dots, 4n\} \subset BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_\kappa}),$$

and by (3.27),

$$\text{Int}_{\mathbb{S}^d, w_\kappa}(\psi_j) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) w_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = C_2\Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{1/p} \|\varphi_j\|_{1, w_\kappa} \asymp \Theta(n^{-1/d}) n^{1/p-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.4 (b) we obtain (3.45).

This completes the proof. \square

4 Extensions to the unit ball and simplex

sect4

In this section, we will extend the results of the previous sections to the unit ball and standard simplex. For this purpose, we shall describe briefly some necessary notations and results on these domains. Unless otherwise stated, most of the results described in this section can be found in the paper [11] and the book [12].

4.1 Weights functions on Ω^d

Let Ω^d be either the unit ball

$$\mathbb{B}^d := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 \leq 1\}$$

or the simplex

$$\mathbb{T}^d := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}| := x_1 + \cdots + x_d \leq 1, x_1, \dots, x_d \geq 0\}$$

and let $d\mathbf{x}$ be the usual Lebesgue measure on Ω^d normalized by $\int_{\Omega^d} d\mathbf{x} = 1$. For simplicity, we denote $\text{meas}(E) := \int_E d\mathbf{x}$ of a subset E of Ω^d . The *distance* of two points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \Omega^d$ is defined by

$$d_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \begin{cases} \arccos \left(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \sqrt{1 - \|\mathbf{y}\|^2} \right), & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d, \\ \arccos \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \sqrt{x_j y_j} + \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|} \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{y}|} \right), & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d. \end{cases}$$

And we denote by $C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ the ball centred at $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^d$ and of radius $\theta > 0$ in the metric space (Ω^d, d_{Ω}) , that is

$$C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \theta) := \{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega^d : d_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq \theta\}.$$

A weight function w on Ω^d is called a *doubling weight* if

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^d, \theta > 0} \frac{w(C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, 2\theta))}{w(C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \theta))} =: L_w < \infty,$$

where $w(E) := \int_E w(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$ for a measurable subset E of Ω^d ; and it is called an A_{∞} weight if there exists $\beta \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{w(C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \theta))}{w(E)} \leq \beta \left(\frac{\text{meas}(C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, 2\theta))}{\text{meas}(E)} \right)^{\beta},$$

for every measurable subset E of an arbitrarily given ball $C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ in Ω^d .

Integrations on Ω^d are closely related to integrations on the sphere \mathbb{S}^d . Given a real function f on Ω^d , for any $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^d$, we define

$$T_{\Omega} f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} f(\mathbf{x}) |x_{d+1}|, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d, \\ \frac{1}{2} f(\mathbf{x}^2) \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} x_j, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (4.1) \quad \boxed{4.1}$$

and

$$\psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d, \\ \mathbf{x}^2, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (4.2) \quad \boxed{4.1-0}$$

where $\mathbf{x}^2 = (x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2)$. Then we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega^d} f(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} f \circ \psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) T_{\Omega}w(\bar{\mathbf{x}})d\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (4.3) \quad \boxed{4.2}$$

where $f \circ \psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) := f(\psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}))$ for $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{S}^d$. By the relation (4.3) it has been proved in [11, Theorem 2] that a weight function w on Ω^d is a doubling weight (resp. an A_{∞} weight) if and only if $T_{\Omega}w$ is a doubling weight (resp. an A_{∞} weight) on \mathbb{S}^d . Furthermore, if w is a doubling weight then $s_w > 0$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega^d, \theta > 0} \frac{w(C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{y}, 2^m \theta))}{w(C_{\Omega}(\mathbf{y}, \theta))} \leq C_{L_w} 2^{ms_w}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

if and only if it satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^d, r > 0} \frac{T_{\Omega}w(c(\mathbf{x}, 2^m r))}{T_{\Omega}w(c(\mathbf{x}, r))} \leq C'_{L_w} 2^{ms_w}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Given $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in [0, +\infty)^m$, $\mu \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, let $h_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^2(\mathbf{x})$ be given by (2.2) and be even in each of its variables if $\Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d$. Consider the following *product weights* on Ω^d :

$$w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} h_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^2(\mathbf{x}), & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d, \\ (1 - |\mathbf{x}|)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} h_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}^2(\sqrt{\mathbf{x}}) \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases} \quad (4.4) \quad \boxed{4.2-0}$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \Omega^d$, where $\sqrt{\mathbf{x}} = (\sqrt{x_1}, \dots, \sqrt{x_d})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$. Clearly,

$$T_{\Omega}w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\Omega}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = |x_{d+1}|^{2\mu} \prod_{j=1}^m |\langle \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} |\langle \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j} =: h_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^2(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = h_{\bar{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}}^2(\bar{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (4.5) \quad \boxed{4.2-00}$$

for $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^d$, where $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_j = (\mathbf{v}_j, 0) \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{m+1} = \mathbf{e}_{d+1}$, and $\bar{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} = (\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu)$. Thus, we conclude that $w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\Omega}$ is an A_{∞} weight on Ω^d . Specially, if $\mathcal{R} := \{\pm \bar{\mathbf{v}}_j\}_{j=1}^m$ forms a root system on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} and $h_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^2$ is invariant under the reflection group G generated by \mathcal{R} , then (4.4) is called *Dunkl weight* on Ω^d . As an example, when $m = d$ and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_j = \mathbf{e}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, i.e.,

$$w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^d |x_j|^{2\kappa_j}, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d, \\ (1 - |\mathbf{x}|)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{\kappa_j - \frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases}$$

by (2.4) and (4.5) we have

$$s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega} := d + 2|\kappa| + 2\mu - 2 \min\{\mu, \kappa_j, j = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

4.2 Function Spaces on Ω^d

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote by $L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)$ the weighted Lebesgue space on Ω^d equipped with finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)} := \left(\int_{\Omega^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p w(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p},$$

while in the case of $p = \infty$ we consider the space $C(\Omega^d)$ of continuous functions on Ω^d with uniform norm $\|f\|_{L_\infty(\Omega^d)} := \|f\|_{L_{\infty,w}(\Omega^d)}$. We denote by $\Pi_n(\Omega^d)$ the space of the restrictions to Ω^d of all real algebra polynomials in d variables of degree no more than n . Note that $\dim \Pi_n(\Omega^d) \asymp n^d$. The best approximation of $f \in L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)$ from $\Pi_n(\Omega^d)$ in $L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)$ by

$$E_n(f)_{L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)} := \inf_{g \in \Pi_n(\Omega^d)} \|f - g\|_{L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)}.$$

4.2.1 Weighted Besov classes on Ω^d

Let $\Theta \in \Phi_s^*$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, we say $f \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$ if $f \in L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)$ and

$$\|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))} := \|f\|_{L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)} + \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{E_{2^j}(f)_{L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)}}{\Theta(2^{-j})} \right)^\gamma \right\}^{1/\gamma}$$

is finite. Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1, we can prove that if $\Theta_1(t), \Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, and $r > (1/p - 1/q) + s_w$, then $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)) \hookrightarrow L_{q,w}(\Omega^d)$.

Using the relation (4.3) we have

$$E_n(f)_{L_{p,w}(\Omega^d)} = \begin{cases} E_{2n}(f \circ \psi)_{L_{p,T_\Omega w}(\mathbb{S}^d)}, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d, \\ E_n(f \circ \psi)_{L_{p,T_\Omega w}(\mathbb{S}^d)}, & \text{if } \Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d, \end{cases}$$

which leads that for all $B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$,

$$\|f\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))} \asymp \|f \circ \psi\|_{B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,T_\Omega w}(\mathbb{S}^d))}. \quad (4.6) \quad \boxed{4.11}$$

4.2.2 Weighted Sobolev classes on Ω^d

Consider the Dunkl weight function

$$w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbf{x}) := h_\kappa^2(\mathbf{x}) (1 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2)^{\mu-1/2}, \quad \mu \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{B}^d,$$

where h_{κ}^2 is given by (2.2) and is reflection invariant under the finite reflection group G . For simplicity, we denote $L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}) \equiv L_{2,w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}}(\mathbb{B}^d)$. Let $\mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ denote the space of weighted orthogonal polynomials of degree n with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} := \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}, \quad f, g \in L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}),$$

i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}) = \{f \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{B}^d) : \langle f, g \rangle_{L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} = 0, \text{ for all } g \in \Pi_{n-1}(\mathbb{B}^d)\}.$$

Elements of $\mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ are closely related to the h -spherical harmonics associated with the Dunkl weight function

$$h_{\kappa,\mu}^2(\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) := h_{\kappa}^2(\mathbf{x})|x_{d+1}|^{2\mu}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

which is invariant under the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let Y_n be such an h -harmonic polynomial of degree n and assume that Y_n is even in the $(d+1)$ -th variable; that is,

$$Y_n(\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) = Y_n(\mathbf{x}, -x_{d+1}).$$

Then we can write

$$Y_n(\mathbf{y}) = r^n P_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{y} = r(\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}, \quad r = \|\mathbf{y}\|, \quad (\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

in polar coordinates. Then $P_n \in \mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ and this relation is an one-to-one correspondence. Furthermore, let $\Delta_{\kappa,\mu}$ denote the Dunkl-Laplacian associated with $h_{\kappa,\mu}^2$ and $\Delta_{\kappa,\mu,0}$ denote the corresponding spherical Dunkl-Laplacian. When $\Delta_{\kappa,\mu,0}$ is applied to functions on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} that are even in the $(d+1)$ -th variable, the spherical Dunkl-Laplacian can be written in polar coordinates $\mathbf{y} = r(\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1})$ as

$$\Delta_{\kappa,\mu,0} = \Delta_{\kappa} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla \rangle^2 - 2\lambda_{\kappa,\mu} \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla \rangle, \quad \lambda_{\kappa,\mu} = |\kappa| + \mu + \frac{d-1}{2},$$

in which the operators Δ_{κ} and $\nabla = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)$ are all acting on \mathbf{x} variables, and Δ_{κ} is the Dunkl-Laplacian associated with h_{κ}^2 on \mathbb{R}^d . Define

$$D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}} := \Delta_{\kappa} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla \rangle^2 - 2\lambda_{\kappa,\mu} \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla \rangle,$$

which satisfies

$$D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}} P = -n(n + 2\lambda_{\kappa,\mu})P, \quad P \in \mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}).$$

For $f \in L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$, define $F(\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) = f(\mathbf{x})$. Then $F \in L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{S}})$ and for $r > 0$,

$$\|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})^{r/2} f\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} = \|(-\Delta_{\kappa,\mu,0})^{r/2} F\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{S}})}, \quad (4.7) \quad \boxed{4.3}$$

which, combining with (2.9), leads that Bernstein's inequality holds: for $P \in \Pi_n^d$,

$$\|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})^{r/2}P\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} \lesssim n^r \|P\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})}. \quad (4.8) \quad \boxed{4.4}$$

Therefore, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $r > 0$, we can define the weighted Sobolev space $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ to be the space of all real functions f with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} := \|f\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} + \|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})^{r/2}f\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})},$$

while the weighted Sobolev class $BW_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ is defined to be the unit ball of the weighted Sobolev space $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$. Similar to the spherical case, we have $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{B}^d)$ if $r > s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}}/p$, and it follows from (2.10) and (4.7) that Jackson's inequality holds: for $f \in W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$,

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} \lesssim n^{-r} \|f\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})}. \quad (4.9) \quad \boxed{4.5}$$

Consider the Dunkl weight function

$$w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{x}) = h_{\kappa}^2(\sqrt{\mathbf{x}})(1 - |\mathbf{x}|)^{\mu-1/2} / \sqrt{x_1 \dots x_d}, \quad \mu \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

where h_{κ}^2 given by (2.2) is invariant under the finite reflection group G and is even in each of its variables. For example, the finite reflection group G can be chosen as the rotation group \mathbb{Z}_2^d . For simplicity, we denote $L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}) \equiv L_{2,w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}}(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Let $\mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})$ be the space of weighted orthogonal polynomials on \mathbb{T}^d of degree n with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})} := \int_{\mathbb{B}^d} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}, \quad f, g \in L_2(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}).$$

For a polynomial $P_{2n} \in \Pi_{2n}^d$ being even in each of its variables, we can write P_{2n} as

$$P_{2n}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = R_n(x_1^2, \dots, x_d^2)$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$. This implies that $P_{2n} \in \mathcal{V}_{2n}^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})$ if and only if $R_n \in \mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$, and the relation is an one-to-one correspondence. In particular, applying $D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}$ on P_{2n} leads to a second order differential-difference operator, denoted by $D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}$, acting on R_n . Then

$$D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}P = -n(n + \lambda_{\kappa,\mu})P, \quad P \in \mathcal{V}_n^d(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}}), \quad \lambda_{\kappa,\mu} = |\kappa| + \mu + \frac{d-1}{2}.$$

For $f \in L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})$, define $F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}^2)$. Then $F \in L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ and for $r > 0$,

$$\|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})^{r/2}f\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})} = 2^{-r} \|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})^{r/2}F\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}})}, \quad (4.10) \quad \boxed{4.6}$$

which, combining with (2.9), gives Bernstein's inequality: for $P \in \Pi_n^d$,

$$\|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})^{r/2}P\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})} \lesssim n^r \|P\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^{\mathbb{T}})}. \quad (4.11) \quad \boxed{4.7}$$

Therefore, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $r > 0$, we can define the weighted Sobolev space $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})$ to be the space of all real functions f with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})} := \|f\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})} + \|(-D_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})^{r/2}f\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})},$$

while the weighted Sobolev class $BW_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})$ is defined to be the unit ball of the weighted Sobolev space $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})$. Similar to the spherical case, we have $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^d)$ if $r > s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T}}/p$, and it follows from (2.10) and (4.10) that Jackson's inequality holds: for $f \in W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})$,

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})} \lesssim n^{-r} \|f\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{T})}. \quad (4.12) \quad \boxed{4.8}$$

4.3 Main Results

4.3.1 Deterministic case.

thm4.1 **Theorem 4.1.** *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, and let w be an A_∞ weight with the critical index s_w on Ω^d . Then, for $r > s_w/p$, we have*

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}). \quad (4.13) \quad \boxed{4.13}$$

Moreover, the upper estimate of (4.13) holds for all doubling weights.

Furthermore, if $w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega$ is a Dunkl weight with the critical index $s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}$ on Ω^d , then for $r > s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}/p$, we have

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}; BW_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega)) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d}}. \quad (4.14) \quad \boxed{4.15}$$

Proof. The proof of the lower estimates is almost identical to that of lower estimates of spherical case, which depends on an analog of Lemma 3.2 on Ω^d (see [11, Proposition 4.8]). Meanwhile, by the embedding $W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega) \hookrightarrow B_\infty^r(L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega))$ we have

$$e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}; BW_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega)) \lesssim e_n^{\det}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d,w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}; BB_\infty^r(L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega))),$$

which indicates that the upper estimate of (4.14) can be derived from (1.9).

Now we turn to give the proof of the upper estimate of (4.13) for doubling weights. To this end, let w be a doubling weight on Ω^d and $f \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$. Then, by (4.6) we have $F = f \circ \psi \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,T_\Omega w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$. It follows from (3.1) that there exist $\mathbf{x}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n^* \in \mathbb{S}^d$ and $\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_n^* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) T_\Omega w(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^* F(\mathbf{x}_j^*) \right| \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}).$$

Choose $\mathbf{y}_j^* = \psi(\mathbf{x}_j^*) \in \Omega^d$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then by (4.3) we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega^d} f(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^* f(\mathbf{y}_j^*) \right| \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}),$$

which yields the desired estimate. This completes the proof. \square

4.3.2 Randomized case.

thm4.2

Theorem 4.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$, and let $w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega$ be a product weight with the critical index $s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}$ on Ω^d . Then, for $r > s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}/p$, we have*

$$e_n^{\text{ran}} \left(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}(\Omega^d)) \right) \asymp \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}. \quad (4.15) \quad \boxed{4.16}$$

Moreover, the upper estimate of (4.15) holds for all doubling weights.

Furthermore, if $w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega$ is a Dunkl weight with the critical index $s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}$ on Ω^d , then for $r > s_{w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}/p$, we have

$$e_n^{\text{ran}} \left(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega}; BW_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\Omega) \right) \asymp n^{-\frac{r}{d} - \frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

The proof of upper estimates of Theorem 4.2 is based on the relations between sphere, ball, and simplex, which is easy to be checked.

Proof of upper estimates.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, it suffices to show the upper estimate of (4.15) for doubling weights. To this end, let w be a doubling weight on Ω^d and $f \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p,w}(\Omega^d))$. Then, by (4.6) we have $F = f \circ \psi \in B_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, T_\Omega w}(\mathbb{S}^d))$. It follows from (3.9) that there exist a randomized algorithm $(A_n^\omega)_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}}$ for $C(\mathbb{S}^d)$ as

$$A_n^\omega(F) = \varphi_n^\omega [F(\mathbf{x}_{1,\omega}^*), \dots, F(\mathbf{x}_{n,\omega}^*)]$$

such that

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} F(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) T_\Omega w(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) d\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - A_n^\omega(F) \right| \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

Let $\mathbf{y}_{j,\omega}^* = \psi(\mathbf{x}_{j,\omega}^*) \in \Omega^d$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\tilde{A}_n^\omega(f) := A_n^\omega(f \circ \psi) = \varphi_n^\omega [f(\mathbf{y}_{1,\omega}^*), \dots, f(\mathbf{y}_{n,\omega}^*)].$$

Then $(\tilde{A}_n^\omega)_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}}$ can be viewed as a randomized algorithm for $C(\Omega^d)$, and by (4.3) we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega \left| \int_{\Omega^d} f(\mathbf{x}) w(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \tilde{A}_n^\omega(f) \right| \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})_+},$$

which yields the desired estimate.

The proof is finished. □

The proof of lower estimates of Theorem 4.2 is almost identical to that of the lower estimate of the sphere. For our purpose, we also need to construct the fooling functions. The argument depends on an analog of Lemma 3.5 on Ω^d . We only give the construction of the fooling functions and the detail proof of Theorem 4.2 is omitted.

In the following, we consider $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < \gamma \leq \infty$, $r > 0$, and $\Theta_1(t)$, $\Theta(t) = t^r \Theta_1(t) \in \Phi_s^*$. Let $\boldsymbol{\kappa} := (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in [0, +\infty)^m$, $\mu \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{v}_j \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Recall the product weight $w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^\Omega$ on Ω^d given by (4.4). Then

$$T_\Omega w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^\Omega(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} |\langle \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}_j \rangle|^{2\kappa_j} = h_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^2(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \equiv w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\mathbb{S}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}).$$

Let φ be a nonnegative C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R} supported in $[0, 1]$ and being equal to 1 on $[0, 1/2]$, and let N be a sufficient large integer with $N^d \asymp n$.

Construct fooling functions.

First we consider the case of $\Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d$. Using the same method in Subsection 3.2, we can choose $\{\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$ in the upper sphere

$$\mathbb{S}_+^d := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{S}^d : x_{d+1} \geq 0\}$$

such that

$$\bar{\varphi}_j(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) := \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n$$

have the following properties.

- (i) The supports $\text{supp}(\bar{\varphi}_j) \subset c(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, 1/N)$, $j = 1, \dots, 4n$ are disjoint, that is

$$\text{supp}(\bar{\varphi}_i) \cap \text{supp}(\bar{\varphi}_j) = \emptyset, \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

- (ii) For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, the $L_p(w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\mathbb{S}})$ norm of $\bar{\varphi}_j$ is

$$\|\bar{\varphi}_j\|_{L_p(w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\mathbb{S}})} \asymp \left(\int_{c(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \frac{1}{N})} |\varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j))|^p d\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \right)^{1/p} \asymp n^{-1/p},$$

and the L_∞ norm of $\bar{\varphi}_j$ is

$$\|\bar{\varphi}_j\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{S}^d)} = \sup_{\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in c(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \frac{1}{N})} |\varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j))| \asymp 1.$$

- (iii) For any $\bar{f}_\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \bar{\varphi}_j$ with $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$, we have

$$\|\bar{f}_\alpha\|_{L_p(w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\mathbb{S}})} \asymp n^{-1/p} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\ell_p^{4n}},$$

and

– for any $r > 0$,

$$\|\bar{f}_\alpha\|_{W_p^r(w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\mathbb{S}})} \lesssim n^{r/d-1/p} \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\ell_p^{4n}},$$

whenever $w_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mu}^{\mathbb{S}}$ is a Dunkl weight on \mathbb{S}^d ;

– for any $r > 0$,

$$\|\bar{f}_\alpha\|_{B_\gamma^\vartheta(L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{S}))} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1} n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}},$$

whenever $w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{S}$ is a product weight on \mathbb{S}^d .

Clearly, the mapping

$$\psi_1 : \mathbb{S}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d, \quad (\mathbf{x}, x_{d+1}) \mapsto \mathbf{x}$$

is bijection, which leads that its inverse $\psi_1^{-1} : \mathbb{B}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_+^d$ exists. Set

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) := \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n$$

and

$$F_0 := \left\{ f_\alpha := \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \varphi_j : \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n} \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_j := \psi_1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, 4n$. Then

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{B}}(\psi_1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}), \psi_1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j))) = \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{S}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j)) = \bar{\varphi}_j(\bar{\mathbf{x}}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Therefore, from (4.3), (4.7) and the above properties of $\{\bar{\varphi}_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$, we derive that for $f_\alpha \in F_0$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$,

(i) if $w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{B}$ is a Dunkl weight on \mathbb{B}^d , then

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{B})} \lesssim n^{r/d-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}};$$

(ii) if $w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{B}$ is a product weight on \mathbb{B}^d , then

$$\|f_\alpha\|_{B_\gamma^\vartheta(L_p(w_{\kappa,\mu}^\mathbb{B}))} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1} n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}.$$

Next we turn to consider the case of $\Omega^d = \mathbb{T}^d$. It can be transferred to the case of $\Omega^d = \mathbb{B}^d$ by the bijection

$$\psi_2 : \mathbb{B}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d, \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^2,$$

where $\mathbb{B}_+^d := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{B}^d : x_1, \dots, x_d \geq 0\}$. Similar to the case of $\Omega^d = \mathbb{S}^d$, we can choose $\{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$ in \mathbb{B}_+^d such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) := \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n$$

have the following properties.

(i) The supports $\text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_j) \subset C_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j, 1/N)$, $j = 1, \dots, 4n$ are disjoint, that is

$$\text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_i) \cap \text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_j) = \emptyset, \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

(ii) For any $1 \leq p < \infty$, the $L_p(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}})$ norm of $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ is

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_j\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} \asymp \left(\int_{C_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j, \frac{1}{N})} \frac{|\varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j))|^p}{\sqrt{1 - \|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|^2}} d\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \right)^{1/p} \asymp n^{-1/p},$$

and the $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{B}^d)$ norm of $\tilde{\varphi}_j$ is

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_j\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{B}^d)} = \sup_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in C_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j, \frac{1}{N})} |\varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j))| \asymp 1.$$

(iii) For any $\tilde{f}_{\alpha} := \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \tilde{\varphi}_j$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$, we have

$$\|\tilde{f}_{\alpha}\|_{L_p(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} \asymp n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}},$$

and

– for any $r > 0$,

$$\|\tilde{f}_{\alpha}\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}})} \lesssim n^{r/d-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}},$$

whenever $w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is a Dunkl weight on \mathbb{B}^d ;

– for any $r > 0$,

$$\|\tilde{f}_{\alpha}\|_{B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_p(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}}))} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1} n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}},$$

whenever $w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{B}}$ is a product weight on \mathbb{B}^d .

Set

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) := \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n$$

and

$$F_0 := \left\{ f_{\alpha} := \sum_{j=1}^{4n} \alpha_j \varphi_j : \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n} \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_j := \psi_2(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, 4n$. Then

$$\varphi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{T}}(\psi_2(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}), \psi_2(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j))) = \varphi(Nd_{\mathbb{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_j)) = \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 4n.$$

Therefore, from (4.3), (4.10) and the above properties of $\{\tilde{\varphi}_j\}_{j=1}^{4n}$, we derive that for $f_{\alpha} \in F_0$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{4n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$,

(i) if $w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is a Dunkl weight on \mathbb{T}^d , then

$$\|f_{\alpha}\|_{W_p^r(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{T}})} \lesssim n^{r/d-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}};$$

(ii) if $w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is a product weight on \mathbb{T}^d , then

$$\|f_{\alpha}\|_{B_{\gamma}^{\Theta}(L_p(w_{\kappa, \mu}^{\mathbb{T}}))} \lesssim \Theta(n^{-1/d})^{-1} n^{-1/p} \|\alpha\|_{\ell_p^{4n}}.$$

5 Concluding Remarks

sect5

In this paper we explore the numerical integration

$$\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}(f) = \int_{\Omega^d} f(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x})$$

for a weighted function class using the deterministic and randomized algorithms, where Ω^d denotes the sphere \mathbb{S}^d , the ball \mathbb{B}^d , or the standard simplex \mathbb{T}^d . The corresponding orders of optimal quadrature errors for weighted Sobolev classes with Dunkl weights were obtained. However, for the weighted Besov class of generalized smoothness with a doubling weight w , we derived that for $r > s_w/p$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$e_n^{\text{det}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w}(\Omega^d))) \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}}),$$

which is optimal whenever w is an A_∞ weight on Ω^d , and

$$e_n^{\text{ran}}(\text{Int}_{\Omega^d, w}; BB_\gamma^\Theta(L_{p, w}(\Omega^d))) \lesssim \Theta(n^{-\frac{1}{d}})n^{-\frac{1}{2}+(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})_+}.$$

which is optimal whenever w is the product weight on Ω^d . We believe that the aforementioned orders are optimal for all doubling weights, though verifying this may require different techniques.

Acknowledgment Jiansong Li and Heping Wang were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project no. 12371098).

References

- [B1] [1] N. S. Bakhvalov, On approximate computation of integrals, Vestnik MGU, Ser. Math. Mech. Aston. Phys. Chem. 4 (1959) 3-18.
- [B2] [2] N. S. Bakhvalov, On a rate of convergence of indeterministic integration processes within the functional classes W_p^l , Theory Probab. Appl. 7 (1962) 226-227.
- [B3] [3] N. S. Bakhvalov, On the optimality of linear methods for operator approximation in convex classes of functions, USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 11 (1971) 244-249.
- [BS] [4] N. K. Bary, S.B. Stechkin, Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. 5 (1956) 483-522.
- [BCCG] [5] L. Brandolini, C. Choirat, L. Colzani, G. Gigante, R. Seri, G. Travaglini, Quadrature rules and distribution of points on manifolds, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) 13 (4) (2014) 889-923.

- [BH] [6] J. S. Brauchart, K. Hesse, Numerical integration over spheres of arbitrary dimension, *Constr. Approx.* 25 (1) (2007) 41-71.
- [Br] [7] M. Bricchi, Tailored function spaces and related h-sets, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Jena, 2002.
- [BV] [8] V. A. Bykovskii, On the correct order of the error of optimal cubature formulas in spaces with dominant derivative, and on quadratic deviations of grids. *Akad. Sci. USSR, Vladivostok, Computing Center Far-Eastern Scientific Center*, 1985.
- [CK] [9] F. Cobos, T. Kühn, Approximation and entropy numbers in Besov spaces of generalized smoothness, *J. Approx. Theory* 160 (2009) 56-70.
- [DH] [10] F. Dai, H. Feng, Riesz transforms and fractional integration for orthogonal expansions on spheres, balls and simplices, *Adv. Math.* 301 (2016) 549-614.
- [DW] [11] F. Dai, H. Wang, Optimal cubature formulas in weighted Besov spaces with A_∞ weights on multivariate domains, *Constr. Approx.* 37 (2013) 167-194.
- [DX] [12] F. Dai, Y. Xu, *Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Spheres and Balls*, Springer, 2013.
- [Di] [13] Z. Ditzian, Fractional derivatives and best approximation, *Acta Math.* 81 (4) (1998) 323-348.
- [DKU] [14] M. Dolbeault, D. Krieg, M. Ullrich, A sharp upper bound for sampling numbers in L_2 , *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 63 (2023) 113-134.
- [DT] [15] Ó. Domínguez, S. Tikhonov, Function spaces of logarithmic smoothness: embeddings and characterizations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 282 (2023), no. 1393, vii+166 pp.
- [DY] [16] L. Duan, P. Ye, W. Li, Complexity of Monte Carlo integration for Besov classes on the unit sphere, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 14 (1) (2023) 18 pp.
- [Du1] [17] V. V. Dubinin, Cubature formulas for classes of functions with bounded mixed difference. *Math. Sb.* 183 (7) (1992) 23-34.
- [Du2] [18] V. V. Dubinin, Cubature formulas for Besov classes, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Math.* 61 (2) (1997) 27-52.
- [DU] [19] D. Dung, T. Ullrich, Lower bounds for the integration error for multivariate functions with mixed smoothness and optimal Fibonacci cubature for functions on the square, *Math. Nachr.* 288 (7) (2015) 743-762.
- [DunXu] [20] C. Dunkl, Y. Xu, *Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables*, Second Edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 155, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014.

- [FY1] [21] G. Fang, P. Ye, Complexity of deterministic and randomized methods for multivariate integration problems for the class $H^p(I_d)$, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 25 (3) (2005) 473-485.
- [FL] [22] W. Farkas, H.G. Leopold, Characterizations of function spaces of generalized smoothness, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 185 (2006) 1-62.
- [FKK] [23] K. K. Frolov, Upper bounds on the error of quadrature formulas on classes of functions, *Doklady Akademy Nauk USSR*, 231 (1976) 818-821.
- [GLLW] [24] J. Geng, Y. Ling, J. Li, H. Wang, Optimal quadrature errors and sampling numbers for Sobolev spaces with logarithmic perturbation on spheres, submitted.
- [GS] [25] P. J. Grabner, T.A. Stepanyuk, Upper and lower estimates for numerical integration errors on spheres of arbitrary dimension, *J. Complex.* 53 (2019) 113-132.
- [G] [26] K. Gröchenig, Sampling, Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities, approximation, and quadrature rules, *J. Approx. Theory*, 257 (2020) 20pp.
- [HM] [27] D. Haroske, S. Moura, Continuity envelopes and sharp embeddings in spaces of generalized smoothness, *J. Funct. Anal.* 254 (2008) 1487-1521.
- [H1] [28] S. Heinrich, Random approximation in numerical analysis, *Appl. Math.* 150 (1994) 123-171.
- [H] [29] K. Hesse, A lower bound for the worst-case cubature error on sphere of arbitrary dimension, *Numer. Math.* 103 (2006) 413-433.
- [HMS] [30] K. Hesse, H. N. Mhaskar, I. H. Sloan, Quadrature in Besov spaces on the Euclidean sphere, *J. Complexity* 23 (2007) 528-552.
- [HS] [31] K. Hesse, I. H. Sloan, Hyperinterpolation on the sphere, in: "Frontiers in Interpolation and Approximation (Dedicated to the memory of Ambikeshwar Sharma)" (editors: N.K. Govil, H.N. Mhaskar, R.N. Mohapatra, Z. Nashed, J. Szabados), Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006 pp. 213-248.
- [HW] [32] H. Huang, K. Wang, On the widths of Sobolev classes, *J. Complexity* 27 (2) (2011) 201-220.
- [K] [33] D. Krieg, Optimal Monte Carlo methods for L_2 -approximation, *Constr. Approx.* 49 (2019) 385-403.
- [KN] [34] D. Krieg, E. Novak, A universal algorithm for multivariate integration, *Found. Comput. Math.* 17 (4) (2017) 895-916.
- [LLGW] [35] J. Li, Y. Ling, J. Geng, H. Wang, Weighted least ℓ_p approximation on compact Riemannian manifolds, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 30 (5) (2024) Paper No. 57, 29 pp.

- [LW] [36] J. Li, H. Wang, Optimal randomized quadrature for weighted Sobolev and Besov classes with the Jacobi weight on the ball, *J. Complex.* 73 (2022), 20 pp.
- [LR] [37] P.I. Lizorkin, K.P. Rustamov, On some equivalent normings of a Nikolskii-Besov space on the sphere, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 45 (1992) 58-62.
- [L] [38] G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of Functions*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
- [LuW] [39] W. Lu, H. Wang, Approximation and quadrature by weighted least squares polynomials on the sphere. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* 8(2) (2023) 565-581.
- [M] [40] S. Moura, Function spaces of generalised smoothness, *Diss. Math.* 398 (2001) 1-88.
- [ML] [41] S. Moura, J. Neves, M. Piotrowski, Continuity envelopes of spaces of generalized smoothness in the critical case, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 15 (2009) 775-795.
- [NUU] [42] V. K. Nguyen, M. Ullrich, T. Ullrich, Change of variable in spaces of mixed smoothness and numerical integration of multivariate functions on the unit cube, *Constr. Approx.* 46 (2017) 69-108.
- [N1] [43] E. Novak, Stochastic properties of quadrature formulas, *Numer. Math.* 53 (1988) 609-620.
- [N2] [44] E. Novak, *Deterministic and Stochastic Error Bound in Numerical Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [N3] [45] E. Novak, Some results on the complexity of numerical integration. Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* 163 (2016) 161-183.
- [NW2008] [46] E. Novak, H. Woźniakowski, *Tractability of Multivariate Problems, Volume I: Linear Information*. European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [SM] [47] M. M. Skriyanov, Constructions of uniform distributions in terms of geometry of numbers, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 6 (1995) 635-664.
- [SWo] [48] I. H. Sloan, R. S. Womersley, Filtered hyperinterpolation: a constructive polynomial approximation on the sphere, *GEM Int. J. Geomath.* 3 (1) (2012) 95-117.
- [SW] [49] Y. Sun, H. Wang, Representation and approximation of multivariate periodic functions with bounded mixed modulus of smoothness, *Pro. Steklov Inst. Math.* 219 (1997) 350-371.
- [T3] [50] V. N. Temlyakov, On a way of obtaining lower estimates for the error of quadrature formulas, *Math. USSR Sb. Russian*, 181 (1990) 1403-1413. English translation: *Math. USSR Sbornik*, 71 (1992) 247-257.

- Tem1993** [51] V. N. Temlyakov, Approximation of periodic functions. Computational Mathematics and Analysis Series. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Commack, NY, 1993. x+419 pp.
- Tem2018** [52] V. N. Temlyakov, Multivariate approximation. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, 32. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018. xvi+534 pp.
- TWW** [53] J. F. Traub, G. W. Wasilkowski, H. Woźniakowski, Information-Based Complexity, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- U** [54] M. Ullrich, A Monte Carlo method for integration of multivariate smooth functions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 55 (3) (2017) 1188-1200.
- W1** [55] H. Wang, Quadrature formulas for classes of functions with Bounded mixed derivative or difference. Science in China (Series A) 40 (5) (1997) 429-495.
- Wang** [56] H. Wang, Optimal lower estimates for the worst case quadrature error and the approximation by hyperinterpolation operators in the Sobolev space setting on the sphere, Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process. 7 (6) (2009) 813-823.
- WT** [57] H. Wang, S. Tang, Widths of Besov classes of generalized smoothness on the sphere. J. Complexity 28 (2012), no. 4, 468-488.
- WS** [58] H. Wang, I. H. Sloan, On filtered polynomial approximation on the sphere, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 23 (4) (2017) 863-876.
- WW1** [59] H. Wang, K. Wang, Optimal recovery of Besov classes of generalized smoothness and Sobolev classes on the sphere, J. Complex. 32 (2016) 40-52.
- WZ** [60] H. Wang, Y. Zhang, Optimal randomized quadrature for Sobolev classes on the sphere, preprint.
- WW** [61] K. Wang, H. Wang, Entropy numbers of weighted Sobolev classes on the unit sphere, arXiv:1912.03451, 2019.
- X05** [62] Y. Xu, Weighted approximation of functions on the unit sphere, Constr. Approx. 21 (2005) 1-28.
- Y** [63] P. Ye, Computational complexity of the integration problem for anisotropic classes, Adv. Comput. Math. 23 (4) (2005) 375392.