

## ON HARISH–CHANDRA’S ISOMORPHISM

ERIC OPDAM AND VALERIO TOLEDANO LAREDO

*At the occasion of Harish–Chandra’s centenary celebration*

ABSTRACT. Harish–Chandra’s famous isomorphism (1957) gives a description of the algebra of invariant differential operators of a Riemannian globally symmetric space  $X$  as a polynomial algebra with  $n$  indeterminates, where  $n$  denotes the rank of  $X$ . This key result has inspired many developments connecting representation theory, mathematical physics, special functions and algebraic geometry. After discussing the classical context for real and  $p$ -adic groups, we focus on a generalisation of Harish–Chandra’s system of differential equations for zonal spherical functions, called the hypergeometric system of differential equations for root systems. An important role is played by graded affine Hecke algebras, which creates various interesting new perspectives. We close with a discussion of the existence and uniqueness of *nonsymmetric* shift operators, which are certain differential-reflection operators with a “transmutation property” relative to Dunkl-Cherednik operators.

---

*Date:* December 24, 2024.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C08; Secondary 22D25, 22E35, 43A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Harish–Chandra homomorphism, Satake isomorphism, Affine Hecke algebra, Dunkl-Cherednik operator, Shift operator.

VTL was supported in part through the NSF grant DMS-2302568.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
Acknowledgments	5
2. Harish–Chandra’s homomorphism	5
2.1. Zonal spherical functions	5
2.2. An integral formula for zonal spherical functions	7
2.3. The Abel transform	8
2.4. The radial part of an invariant operator	9
2.5. Asymptotic behaviour; Harish–Chandra’s $c$ -function	10
3. Satake’s isomorphism	12
3.1. Satake transform	13
4. The Iwahori Hecke algebra and Bernstein’s isomorphism	14
5. Macdonald’s explicit formula for spherical functions	16
6. Graded Hecke algebras and Dunkl operators	19
6.1. The graded affine Hecke algebra	19
6.2. Dunkl-Cherednik operators on $\mathbb{C}[T]$	20
6.3. Hypergeometric differential operators and $\mathbb{D}(X)$	20
6.4. The graded affine Hecke algebra	21
6.5. Dunkl-Cherednik representation $\eta$ and symmetric spaces	22
6.6. Comparison with the $p$ -adic case; further developments	22
7. Generalised spherical transforms	27
7.1. Harmonic analysis; the Weyl density functions	27
7.2. The inner product spaces	27
7.3. $*$ -structures and $*$ -representations	28
7.4. Harmonic analysis; joint eigenfunctions	28
7.5. Variations on Harish–Chandra’s $c$ -function	30
7.6. Compact case; decomposition of $L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt)$	30
7.7. Non-compact case; decomposition of $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)$	32
7.8. Further applications and developments	34
8. Shift operators	36
8.1. The Abel transform	36
8.2. Hypergeometric shift operators	36
8.3. Heckman’s construction	37
8.4. Nonsymmetric shift operators	38
8.5. Adjoints of nonsymmetric shift operators	38
8.6. Compositional identities	39
8.7. Rank 1	40
References	41

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G_c$  be a connected complex reductive group, and let  $\mathcal{Z}(G_c)$  be the algebra of linear differential operators on  $G_c$  which are invariant under  $G_c \times G_c$  for its left times right action on  $G_c$ . In his 1951 paper [26], Harish–Chandra gave an explicit description of  $\mathcal{Z}(G_c)$ , a result which is fundamental in representation theory. To describe the result, let  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  denote the Lie algebra of  $G_c$ . We identify the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  with the algebra of left-invariant differential operators on  $G_c$ . Under this identification  $\mathcal{Z}(G_c)$  corresponds to the algebra  $\mathfrak{U}^{\text{Ad}(G_c)}$  of  $\text{Ad}(G_c)$ -invariant elements of  $\mathfrak{U}$ , which equals in our setting to the center  $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{U}^{\text{Ad}(G_c)} \subset \mathfrak{U}$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}_c \subset \mathfrak{g}_c$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ , and let  $S(\mathfrak{h}_c) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{U}$  be the canonical embedding of the symmetric algebra  $S(\mathfrak{h}_c) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h}_c)$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_c$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Harish–Chandra [26, Part III] showed that there exists an algebra isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \gamma : \mathcal{Z}(G_c) \xrightarrow{\cong} S(\mathfrak{h}_c)^W$$

where  $W$  denotes the Weyl group of  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c)$ .

The interest of Harish–Chandra in the homomorphism  $\gamma$  is revealed in the introduction of his paper 1957 paper [28], where he sketched with broad strokes an inspired vision for his long term goal of computing the explicit Plancherel formula for a real reductive group  $G$ . A key role is played by the study of orbital integrals, and their relation to the homomorphism  $\gamma$ . To wit, let  $\theta$  denote a Cartan involution of  $G$ , and let  $H$  a  $\theta$ -stable Cartan subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . We denote the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c)$  by  $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{h}_c^*$ , and fix a set of positive roots  $\Phi_+ \subset \Phi$ . Harish–Chandra introduced orbital integrals relative to a Cartan subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  in [28]. We shall assume for simplicity that the Weyl vector  $\delta := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \alpha$  is analytically integral on  $H$ . The Weyl denominator  $\Delta(h) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} (h^{\alpha/2} - h^{-\alpha/2})$  is then a well defined real analytic function on  $H$ . The subset of regular elements  $H' = \{h \in H \mid \Delta(h) \neq 0\} \subset H$  is open and dense. For  $h \in H'$  Harish–Chandra defined the orbital integral of a function  $f \in C_c(G)^\infty$  by:

$$(1.2) \quad F_f(h) = \epsilon_+(h) \Delta(h) \int_{x^* \in G/H} f(x^* h (x^*)^{-1}) dx^*$$

where  $\epsilon_+(h) \in \{\pm 1\}$  is the sign of the product  $\Delta_+(h)$  of the factors of  $\Delta(h)$  corresponding to the non-imaginary roots. He then moved on to show that  $F_f \in C^\infty(H')$  has bounded support, is a bounded function, and extends to a smooth function on the larger set  $H'' \subset H$  where only the factors of  $\Delta$  corresponding to the noncompact imaginary roots

are nonvanishing. Subsequently he derived that for  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$  and  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  one has the following remarkable formula ( $h \in H'$ ):

$$(1.3) \quad F_{zf}(h) = \gamma(z)F_f(h)$$

Since  $\gamma(z)$  is an invariant differential operator for the Abelian group  $H$ , we see that  $F_f$  has a "transmutation property": It connects the harmonic analysis on  $G$  in the open subset of  $G$  of elements which are conjugate to elements of  $H'$ , with the harmonic analysis on the abelian group  $H$ . Harish–Chandra deduced from this that in situations where  $F_f$  extends to  $C_c^\infty(H)$ , the Fourier transform of  $F_f$  at a character of the torus  $\chi \in \hat{H}$  is a  $G$ -invariant eigendistribution. Of course one needs to adopt this construction to the general situation, where  $F_f$  does have jumps when moving from one connected component of  $T''$  to a neighbouring one. This is a serious challenge, but fortunately the case  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  provides a guideline of how one could go about this.

The picture that emerges in [28] is quite compelling. The discrete series of  $G$  should be related to Fourier transforms of orbital integrals relative to a compact Cartan subgroup. Harish–Chandra realised, already in this very early stage, the crucial importance of compact Cartan subgroups for the existence and parameterisation of the discrete series. At the other extreme end, the most continuous part of the Plancherel formula of  $G$  is parameterised by the Fourier transforms of the orbital integrals relative to a maximally split  $\theta$ -stable Cartan subgroup. A case in point is the Fourier transform for  $K$ -spherical functions, where  $K \subset G$  is a maximal compact subgroup. This subject had already been studied by Bargmann [3], Gelfand [20], Gelfand–Naimark [21], Godement [24] and indeed Harish–Chandra himself [27], as a natural generalisation of the theory of spherical harmonics. On the one hand, the compactness of  $K$  makes it easy to see that the spectral measure of the  $C^*$ -completion of the commutative convolution algebra  $L^1(G//K)$  acting on  $L^2(G//K)$  is a component of the most continuous part of the Plancherel measure of  $G$ . On the other hand, and this is one of the important insights Harish–Chandra provides in [29], this Plancherel measure of  $L^2(G/K)$  can be expressed in terms of the asymptotic behaviour of the elementary spherical functions "at infinity", thus obtaining a description of the spherical Plancherel measure in terms of the geometric and algebraic structure of  $G$ . The orbital integrals in this spherical case are smooth, thus the complication of the "jumps" of  $F_f$  disappears. These ideas formed the bases of Harish–Chandra's approach to the determination of the explicit Plancherel formula for real reductive groups.

## Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Dan Ciubotaru for his help on the topic of spherical unitary representations and interesting discussions.

## 2. Harish–Chandra’s homomorphism

Harish–Chandra’s homomorphism  $\gamma$  has a natural generalisation in the context of symmetric spaces, viewing  $G$  as the symmetric space  $G \times G/\text{diag}(G)$ . Of special interest to us is the case of a Riemannian symmetric space  $X = G/K$ , a case which Harish–Chandra worked out in [29] in order to study the  $K$ -spherical part of the Plancherel measure. This is the main case we will be discussing in this paper. There are further generalisations to much more general  $G_c$ -varieties, see [51].

To fix notations, let  $G$  be a linear non-compact real reductive group, with Cartan involution  $\theta$ , and Cartan decomposition  $G = KP$ . Here  $K = G^\theta$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , and  $P = \exp(\mathfrak{p})$  where  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is the  $-1$ -eigenspace of  $\theta$ <sup>1</sup>. Recall that  $\exp : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow P$  is a diffeomorphism. For the Riemannian globally symmetric space  $X = G/K$ , we may identify the algebra  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $X$  with  $\mathcal{D}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{U}^{\text{Ad}(K)}/(\mathfrak{U}^{\text{Ad}(K)} \cap \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{k})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be a maximally split  $\theta$ -stable Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$  be the corresponding maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . In this section, let  $W \subset \text{GL}(\mathfrak{a})$  denote the little Weyl group, i.e.

$$(2.1) \quad W = N_K(\mathfrak{a})/C_K(\mathfrak{a})$$

The set  $\Phi$  of nonzero restrictions to  $\mathfrak{a}$  of the roots in  $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c)$  form a (possibly non-reduced) root system whose associated reflection group is equal to  $W$ . The Cartan decomposition  $G = KP$  can be refined to the decomposition  $G = KAK$ , using that  $P = \cup_{k \in K} kAk^{-1}$ . If  $g \in G$  and we write  $g = k_1ak_2$ , then the  $W$ -orbit  $Wa \subset A$  is uniquely determined by  $g$ .

### 2.1. Zonal spherical functions

A spherical function on  $G$  is a function which is bi- $K$ -invariant. By the Cartan decomposition  $G = KAK$ , a spherical function  $f$  satisfies  $f(\theta(g)) = f(g^{-1})$ . Since  $\theta$  is an automorphism of  $G$  and  $g \rightarrow g^{-1}$  is an anti-automorphism of  $G$ , this observation yield a first fundamental result on spherical functions due to Gelfand [20].

---

<sup>1</sup>We will denote  $d\theta \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  simply by  $\theta$

**Theorem 2.1.** ([20]) *The convolution algebra  $C_c(G//K)$  of spherical continuous compactly supported functions is a commutative algebra.*

Let us recall some elements of the theory of spherical functions (cf. [24]). Any nonzero joint eigenfunction (for convolution)  $\varphi$  of  $C_c(G//K)$  defines a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{sph}^\varphi : C_c(G//K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $f \in C_c(G//K)$  we have  $f * \varphi = \mathcal{F}_{sph}^\varphi(f)\varphi$ . It is not hard to see that the converse also holds: For any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{F} : C_c(G//K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  there exists a joint eigenfunction  $\varphi \in C(G//K)$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{sph}^\varphi$ . Observe that necessarily  $\varphi(1) \neq 0$ . We call a joint eigenfunction  $\varphi$  which is normalised such that  $\varphi(1) = 1$  an *elementary* or *zonal* spherical function. In that case one easily sees that:

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_{sph}^\varphi(f) := \int_G f(g)\varphi(g^{-1})dg$$

In fact  $\varphi$  is a zonal spherical function if and only if  $\varphi$  satisfies the functional equation:

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{k \in K} \varphi(xky)dk = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$$

Indeed, for any  $f \in C_c(G//K)$  and  $\varphi$  zonal spherical we have that:

$$f * \varphi(y) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g^{-1}y)dg = \int_G f(g) \int_K \varphi(g^{-1}ky)dkdg$$

and also

$$f * \varphi(y) = \mathcal{F}_{sph}^\varphi(f)\varphi(y) = \int_G f(g)\varphi(g^{-1})\varphi(y)dg$$

For any  $y \in G$  fixed, this holds for all  $f \in C_c(G//K)$  if and only if (2.3) holds (with  $x = g^{-1}$ ), since both hand sides are spherical functions in  $x$ , finishing the proof.

In a similar vein as the commutativity proof of  $C_c(G//K)$ , it is not hard to see that for  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , the formal transpose  $D^t$  is equal to  ${}^\theta D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is commutative as well. Harish–Chandra’s homomorphism gives an explicit description of this commutative algebra. First we fix a choice of positive roots of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c)_+$ , which also determines a set  $\Phi_+ \subset \Phi$  of positive roots restricted roots. We write  $N \subset G$  for corresponding unipotent group, which gives rise to the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KAN$ , where  $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ . We are now ready to formulate Harish–Chandra’s theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** ([29, Theorem 1]) *Given  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  define  $\gamma(D) := \tau(\gamma'(D)) \in S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$ , where  $\gamma'(D) \in S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$  denotes the component of  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X) = \mathfrak{U}^{\text{Ad}(K)}/(\mathfrak{U}^{\text{Ad}(K)} \cap \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{k})$  in  $S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$  relative to the decomposition*

$\mathfrak{U} = S(\mathfrak{a}_c) \oplus \mathfrak{k}\mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{n}$ , and  $\tau$  denotes the translation over  $\rho$ , where  $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  is the half sum of the restricted positive roots  $\Phi_+$  (i.e.  $\gamma(D)(\lambda) := \gamma'(D)(\lambda - \rho)$ ). Then  $\gamma$  defines an algebra isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma : \mathcal{D}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} S(\mathfrak{a}_c)^W$$

We remark that in this case of a maximally split Cartan  $\mathfrak{h}$ , the imaginary roots of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c)$  are all compact. The restriction of this set of roots to  $\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$  is a root system, namely the set of roots of the compact group  $M := C_K(\mathfrak{a})$ . The minimal  $\theta$ -stable Levi subgroup  $C_G(\mathfrak{a}) = MA$  is the Levi factor of the minimal  $\theta$ -stable parabolic  $Q = MAN \subset G$ .

## 2.2. An integral formula for zonal spherical functions

The elementary spherical functions can also be characterised as analytic  $K$ -invariant functions  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $f(1) = 1$  and which are joint eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . It thus follows that:

**Corollary 2.3.** *The set of elementary spherical functions is parameterised by the set  $W \backslash \mathfrak{a}_c^*$  of  $W$ -orbits of elements  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ , such that the elementary functions  $\varphi_\lambda$  which corresponds to  $W\lambda$  is the unique analytic  $K$ -invariant function on  $X$  satisfying:*

- (i)  $\varphi_\lambda(1) = 1$ .
- (ii) For all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  we have  $D\varphi_\lambda = \gamma(D)(\lambda)\varphi_\lambda$ .

From this description and the definition of  $\gamma$  it is not hard to see that we have the following integral equation for  $\varphi_\lambda$ :

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $H : G \rightarrow A$  denote the Iwasawa projection relative to the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KAN$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ . Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi_\lambda(g) = \int_{k \in K} e^{(\lambda - \rho)(\log(H(gk)))} dk$$

where  $dk$  denotes the normalised Haar measure of  $K$ .

One shows easily that (see [40, Ch IV.4, Lemma 4.4, formula (7)]) that  $\varphi_\lambda(g^{-1}) = \varphi_{-\lambda}(g)$ . This leads to the following alternative integral formula for  $\varphi_\lambda$ . By abuse of notation, we also use  $A$  to denote the projection onto the  $A$ -component relative to the decomposition  $G = NAK$ . Then:

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi_\lambda(g) = \int_{k \in K} e^{(\lambda + \rho)(\log(A(kg)))} dk$$

This also gives an alternative description of  $\gamma$ , by computing the eigenvalue of  $\varphi_\lambda$  in two different ways using (2.5) and (2.6):

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .*

- (i) *Define  $\gamma^{nak}(D) := \tau^-(\gamma''(D)) \in S(a_c)$ , where  $\gamma''(D) \in S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$  is the component of  $D$  in  $S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$  relative to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{A} = S(\mathfrak{a}_c) \oplus \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{k}$ , and  $\tau^-$  denotes the translation over  $-\rho$ . Then  $\gamma^{nak}(D) = \gamma(D)$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ .*
- (ii) *We have  $\gamma(D^t) = (\gamma(D))^t$ , where  $t$  refers to the formal transpose relative to  $dg$  on the left hand side, and relative to  $da$  on the right hand side.*

### 2.3. The Abel transform

The Abel-transform of a function  $f \in C_c^\infty(X)^K$  is a slight variation of the orbital integral of  $f$  relative to  $H$  in this context (the difference is in the domain (the Abel transform is only defined on the split component  $A$  of  $H$ ), and in the normalisation factor (factors of roots which vanish on  $\mathfrak{a}$  are omitted)). Harish–Chandra defined [29] for  $a \in A$ :

$$(2.7) \quad F_f(a) = |\Delta_+(a)| \int_{x^* \in G/H} f(x^* a (x^*)^{-1}) dx^*$$

He shows that this can be rewritten as

$$(2.8) \quad F_f(a) = a^\rho \int_{n \in N} f(an) dn$$

where  $dn$  is the Haar measure of  $N$  such that  $\int_{n \in N} e^{-2\rho \log(H(\theta(n)))} dn = 1$ .

The Abel transform is a powerful tool, also in this context of the spherical Plancherel formula. At this point it is easy to show that:

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$ . We have:*

- (i) ([29])  $F_f \in C_c^\infty(A)^W$ , and the map  $F_f : C_c^\infty(G//K) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(A)^W$  is continuous.
- (ii) ([40, Ch II.5(39)])<sup>2</sup> For all  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  one has ( $a \in A$ ):

$$(2.9) \quad F_{Df}(a) = \gamma(D)F_f(a).$$

We again see that  $F_f$  connects the harmonic analysis on  $X$  and the classical harmonic analysis of the abelian group  $A$ . The following result makes this precise. Let  $dg$  denote the Haar measure on  $G$  defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{g \in G} f(g) dg = \int_{n \in N} \int_{a \in A} \int_{k \in K} f(kan) e^{-2\rho(\log(a))} dk dadn$$

---

<sup>2</sup>To prove this result, use Corollary 2.5(i).



If  $f \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$  then we define its spherical Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}(f)_{sph}^G(\lambda)$  by:

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f)(\lambda) := \mathcal{F}_{sph}^{\varphi_\lambda}(f) = \int_G f(g)\varphi_\lambda(g^{-1})dg$$

If  $g \in C_c^\infty(A)^W$  then we define the abelian Fourier transform of  $g$  by:

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{F}^A(g)(\lambda) := \int_A g(a)e^{-\lambda(\log(a))}da$$

The following result is straightforward from the definitions.

**Proposition 2.7.** ([29]) *For  $f \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$  we have:*

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f)(\lambda) := \int_{g \in G} \varphi_\lambda(g^{-1})f(g)dg = \int_{a \in A} e^{-\lambda(\log(a))}F_f(a)da = \mathcal{F}^A(F_f)(\lambda)$$

**Corollary 2.8.** *We have  $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi_{w(\lambda)}$  for all  $w \in W$ .*

#### 2.4. The radial part of an invariant operator

In order to study the spherical Fourier transform, Harish–Chandra studied the asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi_{i\lambda}$  on  $G$  in [29]. The Cartan decomposition  $G = KAK$  and (2.1) makes it clear that a spherical function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  is determined by its restriction  $\text{Res}(\varphi_{i\lambda})$  to  $A$ , and that its restriction is  $W$ -invariant. Therefore it is enough to study the asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi_{i\lambda}$  on  $\overline{A_+}$ . This is studied by a careful analysis of the differential equations which  $\text{Res}(\varphi_\lambda)$  satisfy on  $A$ .

Recall the  $K$ -radial part  $\text{Rad}(D)$  of an element  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , the radial part of  $D$  when expressed in "polar coordinates" on  $X$  relative to the action of  $K$  on  $X$ , with  $A.o \subset X$  as transversal set. Then  $\text{Rad}(D)$  is a  $W$ -invariant linear partial differential operator on  $A$  which has rational coefficients in the coordinates  $x_i = e^{-\alpha_i}$ , with an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$(2.14) \quad \text{Rad}(D) = \partial(\gamma'(D)) + \sum_{\mu \in Q: \mu < 0} e^\mu \partial(p_\mu^D)$$

where  $\gamma'(D)$  is defined in Theorem 2.2, and  $p_\mu^D \in S(\mathfrak{a}_c)$  has degree less than  $\gamma'(D)$ . The radial part map

$$(2.15) \quad \text{Rad} : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \text{Rad}(\mathcal{D}(X))$$

is an injective homomorphism of algebras. As an important explicit example, recall that:

$$(2.16) \quad \text{Rad}(L_X) = L_A + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k_\alpha \coth(\alpha/2) \partial_{\alpha^*}$$

where  $R = 2\Phi$ , and where for each  $\alpha \in R$ , we define  $k_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}m_{\alpha/2}$ . (Here  $\alpha^* \in \mathfrak{a}$  is such that for all  $\beta \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  we have  $\beta(\alpha^*) = (\alpha, \beta)$ ; recall that  $\gamma(L_X)(\lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda) - (\rho, \rho)$ .) Then the restriction  $\text{Res}(\varphi_\lambda)$  of  $\varphi_\lambda$  to  $A$  satisfies the system of equations (with  $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ ):

$$(2.17) \quad \text{Rad}(D)(\text{Res}(\varphi_\lambda)) = \gamma(D)(\lambda)\text{Res}(\varphi_\lambda)$$

## 2.5. Asymptotic behaviour; Harish–Chandra’s $c$ -function

Harish–Chandra deduced from the surjectivity of his homomorphism  $\gamma$  and (2.14) that the dimension of the local solution spaces of (2.17) on  $A'$  is bounded by  $|W|$ . An argument with a predominant role for the Laplace operator  $L_X$  of  $X$  shows that, for  $\lambda$  generic, the local solution spaces of the system (2.17) on  $A'$  have dimension  $|W|$ , and that  $\varphi_\lambda$  admits an expansion on  $A_+.o$  in terms of  $|W|$  asymptotically free "plane wave" solutions:

$$(2.18) \quad \varphi_\lambda(a.o) = \sum_{w \in W} c(w\lambda)\Phi_{w\lambda}(a.o)$$

The asymptotically free solutions  $\Phi_{w\lambda}$  have an expansion of the form

$$(2.19) \quad \Phi_{w\lambda}(a.o) = a^{w\lambda-\rho} \sum_{\mu \in Q_-} \Gamma_\mu(\lambda)a^\mu$$

with  $\Gamma_0(\lambda) = 1$ , which is uniformly convergent on all subsets of the form  $\overline{A_+.b}$  with  $b \in A_+$ . Hence  $\Phi_{w\lambda}(a) \sim e^{w\lambda-\rho}(\log(a))$  when  $a \rightarrow \infty$  in the cone  $A_+.o$ .

By general principles Harish–Chandra expected from this asymptotic behaviour that the Plancherel measure for the spherical Fourier transform would be of the form (with  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ ):

$$(2.20) \quad d\mu_{Pl}^{sph}(i\lambda) := \frac{1}{|W||c(i\lambda)|^2}d\lambda,$$

where  $i\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ , and where  $d\lambda$  defines the measure on  $i\mathfrak{a}^*$  which is Fourier dual to the measure  $da$  on the vector group  $A$ . Equivalently, for spherical functions  $f \in L^2(X, dg)^K$  which are suitably well behaved, he expected that:

$$(2.21) \quad |W|f(o) = \int_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*} \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f)(i\lambda)|c(i\lambda)|^{-2}d\lambda$$

In [29], [30] Harish–Chandra discovers important new notions and subtleties, but he is also confronted with technical obstacles. He introduces a very important commutative convolution algebra of smooth spherical functions on  $G$  for which (2.21) should hold, the spherical part  $\mathcal{S}(G//K)$  of the Harish–Chandra Schwartz space of  $G$ . This is based

on an upper and a lower bound for the function  $\varphi_0$ . The elementary spherical functions themselves are novel and interesting objects. In the complex case the elementary spherical functions  $\varphi_\lambda$  can be expressed in terms of elementary functions, but the general rank one case showed that in general,  $\varphi_\lambda$  is a transcendental function. In the rank one case he found that  $\varphi_\lambda$  can be expressed as a Gauss hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ , with parameters  $a, b, c$  depending on the root multiplicities  $k_{2\alpha} := \frac{1}{2}m_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$  (with  $2\alpha \in R = 2\Phi$ ). Harish–Chandra [29] showed that for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$  and  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_+$  (write  $a = \exp(H) \in A_+$ ):

$$(2.22) \quad c(\lambda) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{(\rho-\lambda)(tH)} \varphi_\lambda(a^t) = \int_{\overline{N}} e^{-(\lambda+\delta)(H(\overline{n}))} d\overline{n}$$

In view of (2.22), the  $c$ -function is a ”connection coefficient” for the system of differential equations (2.17). Harish–Chandra computed this all important  $c$ -function for the rank one cases using the theory of hypergeometric functions. Also he computed this function in the complex case, in which case it is an elementary function. However, a general explicit expression remained elusive at this point, and this would only be resolved later, by the work of Gindikin and Karpelevič, see [22], [23]. A representation theoretic interpretation of the Harish–Chandra  $c$ -function in terms of intertwining operators between the minimal principal series representations of  $G$  was provided by Schiffmann [77], also see [49].

In [30] Harish–Chandra proved the inversion formula (2.21) modulo two conjectures. The first conjecture states that there exists a polynomial upper bound for  $|c(i\lambda)|^{-2}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ . This is solved easily by the use of Stirling’s Theorem in combination with the explicit formula for the  $c$ -function in [22], [23]. The second conjecture is the injectivity of the Abel transform  $F_f$  for  $f$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G//K)$ . This was solved by Harish–Chandra in [31], thereby finally completing his proof of the spherical Plancherel formula for the spherical Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(G//K)$ .

Another approach to the Plancherel formula of  $G/K$  was initiated by Ehrenpreis and Mautner [19], and followed up by Takahashi, Helgason, Gangolli and finally Rosenberg [72] (see [40, IV, Notes] for an account of this development and further references). This approach is based on a Paley-Wiener Theorem, and simplified the proof of the Plancherel formula for  $G/K$ , see [40, Ch. IV]. The image of the spherical Fourier transform of the space  $C_c^\infty(G//K)$  is contained in the classical Paley-Wiener space for the abelian group  $A$  by Propositions 2.6 and 2.7, using the properties of  $F_f$ . The proof of the converse statement is remarkable and direct, following Rosenberg [72].

Finally we arrived at the following results about the "transmutation operator," the Abel transform  $F_f$ :

**Corollary 2.9.**  $F_f : C_c^\infty(G//K) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(A)^W$  is an algebra isomorphism. Similarly, if  $\mathcal{S}(G//K)$  denotes the space of spherical functions of the Harish-Chandra Schwartz space of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{S}(A)^W$  the space of Weyl group invariant elements of the Schwartz space of  $A$ ,  $F_f : \mathcal{S}(G//K) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(A)^W$  is an isomorphism of algebras.

### 3. Satake's isomorphism

We will make a brief excursion to the study of spherical Harmonic analysis on reductive groups of  $p$ -adic type. There are remarkable analogies, but importantly, also some lessons to be learned. The Iwahori Hecke algebra makes its presence felt, even when studying  $K$ -spherical functions for a maximal compact subgroup  $K$ . In the real case this is in some sense also true, but it is much more hidden.

Let  $k$  be a non-Archimedean local field, and let  $G$  be the group of  $k$ -points of a reductive group  $\underline{G}$  defined over  $k$ . Assume that  $\underline{G}$  is not anisotropic over  $k$ , and let  $A \subset G$  be (the group of points of) a maximal split  $k$ -torus. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Bruhat-Tits building of  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the apartment corresponding to  $A$ . There is a canonical affine root  $R^a$  system associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ , and an extended affine Weyl group  $W^e = W^a \rtimes \Omega$  acting on  $\mathcal{A}$ , with  $W^a$  the affine Weyl group associated with  $R^a$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  be a special point, and  $\Sigma$  the corresponding finite root system. We choose a set  $\Sigma$  of positive roots, with corresponding positive Weyl chamber  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$  be the alcove in  $\mathcal{C}$  containing  $x_0$ . Put  $K = G_{x_0}$ , and let  $B \subset K$  be the Iwahori subgroup fixing  $\mathcal{C}_0$ . The lattice  $L = A/(A \cap K)$  comes equipped with a natural  $W$ -action, and acts on  $\mathcal{A}$  by translations. We have a splitting  $L \rightarrow A$  which we will denote by  $L \ni \lambda \rightarrow \pi^\lambda \in A$ . Let  $L_+ \subset L$  be the monoid of positive translations, corresponding to the chamber  $\mathcal{C}$ . We have the Cartan decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad G = KL_+K$$

The convolution algebra of spherical functions  $\mathbf{H}(G, K) := C_c^\infty(G//K)$  is called the spherical Hecke algebra of  $(G, K)$ , with unit element  $e_K$ . One can show - in a similar fashion to the real case - that  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$  is a commutative algebra.

Analogous to the real case, we say that an element  $\omega \in C^\infty(G//K)$  is an elementary (or zonal) spherical function  $K$ -spherical function if

$\omega$  is a joint eigenfunction of  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ . As we have seen, in the real case zonal spherical functions can also be characterised as joint  $K$ -bi-invariant eigenfunctions  $\omega$  of  $D(X)$  such that  $\omega(e) = 1$ . Therefore the set of zonal spherical functions is parametrized by the set of complex homomorphisms of the algebra  $D(X)$ . By Harish–Chandra’s isomorphism (2.2) and basic algebraic geometry, we find that the space of  $K$ -spherical functions is naturally parametrized by the set of points of the affine  $\mathbb{C}$ -variety  $\mathfrak{a}_c^*/W$ .

### 3.1. Satake transform

Satake, inspired by these results of Harish–Chandra, set out to find a similar parametrization of the set of zonal spherical functions in the  $p$ -adic case. Although in the  $p$ -adic case the ”infinitesimal version”  $D(X)$  of the spherical Hecke algebra is not present, Satake realized that we can do without this here, because  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$  is itself a finitely generated commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra now.

Using the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = KAN$ , Satake first constructs, for every complex character

$$(3.2) \quad s \in T := \text{Hom}(L, \mathbb{C}^\times)$$

of  $L$ , a zonal spherical function  $\omega_s$  by the analog of (2.5). The spherical Fourier transform of  $f \in \mathbf{H}(G, K)$  at  $s \in T$  is defined by:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f)(s) := (\omega_s, f^*) = \int_{g \in G} f(g) \omega_s(g^{-1}) dg,$$

By analogy with (2.8) he introduces a homomorphism

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_S : \mathbf{H}(G, K) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(A, A \cap K)^W = \mathbb{C}[L]^W$$

$$f \rightarrow \{a \rightarrow \delta^{1/2}(a) \int_N f(an) dn\}$$

where  $dn$  denotes the Haar measure on  $N$  (normalized so that the volume of  $N \cap K$  is 1), and where  $\delta$  denotes the Haar modulus of the group  $AN$ .

As in the real case, we have:

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f)(s) = \mathcal{F}_{sph}^A(\gamma_S(f))(s)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{sph}^A$  denotes the spherical Fourier transform on the abelian group  $A$  relative to the compact subgroup  $A \cap K$ . Satake proves that

$$\mathcal{F}_{sph}^G : \mathbf{H}(G, K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T]^W$$

is an algebra isomorphism, or equivalently (via the Fourier transform on  $L$ ):

**Theorem 3.1.** ([76]) *Let  $L = A/(A \cap K)$ , a lattice of rank  $n = \dim(\mathcal{A}_A)$ . The above map  $\gamma_S : \mathbf{H}(G, K) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)^W = \mathbb{C}[L]^W$  is an algebra isomorphism. In particular  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[T]^W$  (a subalgebra of finite index of a polynomial algebra) with  $n = \text{rank}(L)$  generators.*

**Corollary 3.2.** *The set of zonal spherical functions is parametrized naturally by the set of points of the affine variety  $T/W$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

A major difference with the real case is the fact that the zonal spherical functions in the  $p$ -adic case are rational functions, which can be made *completely explicit*. The integral representation for zonal spherical functions (analogous to (2.5)) in the  $p$ -adic case was evaluated directly by I. G. Macdonald ([56], [55]), thereby also explicitly inverting Satake's isomorphism  $\gamma_S$  and computing the spherical Plancherel measure. With the benefit of hindsight, these developments can be best understood by placing them in the context of another major insight that arose in the representation theory of  $p$ -adic reductive groups. Let  $B \subset K$  be the Iwahori subgroup. Casselman and Borel ([10], [6]) pointed out that the category  $\mathcal{C}(G)_{(B,1)}$  of Iwahori-spherical smooth representations is equal to the *abelian subcategory* generated by the unramified minimal principal series of the category  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  of the category of smooth representations of  $G$ . This is in stark contrast with the category of  $K$ -spherical smooth representations, which is not an abelian subcategory. The functor  $\mathcal{C}(G)_{(B,1)} \ni V \rightarrow V^B \in \mathbf{H}(G, B) - \text{mod}$  is an equivalence of categories of this abelian subcategory with the module category of the Iwahori Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$ . The spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}(G, K) \subset \mathbf{H}(G, B)$  naturally embeds in  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$  (but not as a subalgebra; the unit elements are different). The computation of the zonal spherical functions and their asymptotic expansion can be reduced to an elementary study of the  $\mathbf{H}(K, B)$ -spherical representation theory of  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$  (where the trivial representation of  $\mathbf{H}(K, B)$  is the one dimensional representation  $T_w \rightarrow q(w)$  of  $\mathbf{H}(K, B)$ ).

#### 4. The Iwahori Hecke algebra and Bernstein's isomorphism

The affine roots  $a \in R^a$  of  $G$  correspond to root subgroups  $N_a$  such that  $N_a \subset N_{a-1}$ , with finite index  $q_a := (N_{a-1} : N_a)$ . Macdonald defines a possibly non-reduced root system  $\Sigma_{nr}$  containing  $\Sigma$ , by adding  $\alpha/2$  as a root whenever the affine roots  $q_\alpha$  and  $q_{\alpha+1}$  are distinct, and

defined:

$$(4.1) \quad q_{\alpha/2} := q_{a+1}/q_a$$

<sup>3</sup> Let  $V = N_G(A)$  be the normalizer of  $A$  in  $G$ , then  $(V, B)$  is an extended (in the sense of [43]) Tits pair, with as Weyl group the extended affine Weyl group  $V/(A \cap B) = W^e$ . This extended affine Weyl group is  $W^e := X \rtimes W$ , where  $W = W_{x_0}$ . The length function  $l$  on the affine Weyl group  $W^a$  can be extended to  $W^e$  (cf. [69, Section 1]), and the set  $\Omega \subset W^e$  of elements of length 0 is a normal abelian subgroup such that  $W^e = W^a \rtimes \Omega$ . For elements  $w \in W^e$  of the extended affine Weyl group, one defines

$$(4.2) \quad q(w) := (Bn_w B : B) = \prod_{a \in R_+^a \cap w^{-1}R_-^a} q_a$$

where  $n_w$  is a representative of  $w$  in the normalizer  $V$  of  $A \cap B$  in  $G$ .

The Iwahori Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$  has a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear basis  $\{T_w \mid w \in W^e\}$  where  $T_w$  is the characteristic function of the double coset  $Bn_w B$ , divided by the volume of  $B$ . Let  $S^a$  denote the set of affine simple reflections in  $W^e$ . Basic facts of Bruhat-Tits theory applied to the Tits pair  $(B, N)$  then yields the well known relations in  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$  as in [42], [43]:

- (a) If  $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$  then  $T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$ .
- (b) If  $s \in S^a$  then  $(T_s + 1)(T_s - q(s)) = 0$ .

The Iwahori Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$  admits a trace  $\tau$  given by:

$$(4.3) \quad \tau\left(\sum_{w \in W^e} c_w T_w\right) = c_e$$

and anti-linear anti-involution  $*$  such that  $T_w^* = T_{w^{-1}}$ , giving rise to a positive definite Hermitean form  $(a, b) := \tau(ab^*)$  such that  $(T_v, T_w) := \delta_{v,w} q(w)$ .

Given  $\lambda \in L$  write  $t_\lambda \in W^e$  for the corresponding translation, viewed as element of the extended affine Weyl group  $W^e$ . Bernstein defined an algebra embedding

$$(4.4) \quad \eta_B : \mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B) = \mathbb{C}[L] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}(G, B),$$

characterised by: If  $\lambda \in L_+ \subset L = A/(A \cap B)$ , the commutative monoid of positive translations of  $\mathcal{A}_S$ , then:

$$\eta_B(\pi^\lambda e_{A \cap B}) = q(t_\lambda)^{-1/2} T_{t_\lambda} := q(t_\lambda)^{-1/2} T_e \pi^\lambda T_e \in \mathbf{H}(G, B).$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Macdonald operates in the context of simply connected Chevalley groups, but his setup can be generalized to reductive groups, e.g. using the theory developed in [43].

This gives rise to another presentation of the algebra  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ , the Bernstein - Zelevinski presentation <sup>4</sup>: For  $\lambda \in L$  we put  $\theta_\lambda^A = \pi^\lambda e_{A \cap B}$  and we define  $\theta_\lambda := \eta_B(\theta_\lambda^A) \in \mathbf{H}(G, B)$ . Let  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{H}(G, B)$  denote the the image of  $\eta_B$  (the commutative subalgebra of  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$  generated by the  $\theta_\lambda$ ).

**Theorem 4.1.** (a) *The elements  $T_w \theta_\lambda$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $\lambda \in L$  form a basis of  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ .*

(b) *The elements  $\theta_\lambda T_w$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $\lambda \in L$  also form a basis of  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ .*

(c) *Let  $\lambda \in L$  and let  $\alpha \in F$  be a simple root for  $R$ . Let  $s = s_\alpha$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\lambda T_s - T_s \theta_{s(\lambda)} &= \\ &= \begin{cases} (q_\alpha - 1) \frac{\theta_\lambda - \theta_{s(\lambda)}}{1 - \theta_{-\alpha^\vee}} & \text{if } \alpha/2 \notin \Sigma_{nr}. \\ ((q_{\alpha/2} q_\alpha - 1) + q_{\alpha/2}^{1/2} (q_\alpha - 1) \theta_{-\alpha^\vee}) \frac{\theta_\lambda - \theta_{s(\lambda)}}{1 - \theta_{-2\alpha^\vee}} & \text{if } \alpha/2 \in \Sigma_{nr}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

One important consequence of this theorem is the precise description of the center  $\mathbf{Z}$  of  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ . This result is also due to Bernstein and Zelevinski.

**Theorem 4.2.**  *$A$  and  $A \cap B$  are  $W$ -invariant, hence  $\mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)$  is naturally a  $W$ -module via the action of  $W$  on  $L = A/(A \cap B)$  (i.e.  $w(\theta_\lambda^A) = \theta_{w\lambda}^A$ ). We transfer the  $W$ -action to  $\mathbf{A}$  via  $\eta_B$ . Then the center  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{H}(G, B))$  equals  $\mathbf{Z} = \eta_B(\mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)^W) = \mathbf{A}^W$ , and in particular,  $\eta_B$  restricts to an isomorphism from  $\mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)^W$  onto  $\mathbf{Z}$*

The following result is also well known.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $w_0 \in W$  denote the longest element, and  $\lambda \in L$ . Then:*

$$\theta_\lambda^* = T_{w_0} \theta_{-w_0(\lambda)} T_{w_0}^{-1}.$$

## 5. Macdonald's explicit formula for spherical functions

Let  $e_K \in \mathbf{H}(G, B)$  be the unit of the spherical Hecke algebra. Then obviously we have  $e_K = P_W(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} T_w$  where  $P_W(q) := \sum_{w \in W} q(w)$  denotes the Poincaré polynomial of the Weyl group  $W$ . Then  $\mathbf{H}(G, K) = e_K \mathbf{H}(G, B) e_K \subset \mathbf{H}(G, B)$ .

By the Cartan decomposition,  $\mathbf{H}(G, K) = e_K \eta_B(\mathbb{C}[L]) e_K$  (indeed, the set  $\{e_K \theta_\lambda e_K \mid \lambda \in L_+\}$  is a basis). Using the Bernstein - Zelevinski

<sup>4</sup>This is unpublished work of Bernstein and Zelevinski, but see e.g. [54]



presentation Theorem 4.1, one can prove easily (cf. [69, Lemma 2.28, Theorem 2.29], [32, equation (1.23)]) that for all  $\lambda \in L$ :

$$(5.1) \quad \theta_\lambda^+ := e_K \theta_\lambda e_K = e_K P_W (q^{-1})^{-1} \eta_B \left( \sum_{w \in W} w(c(\cdot, q) \theta_\lambda^A) \right)$$

where

$$c(\cdot, q) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_{nr,+}} \frac{1 - q_\alpha^{-1} q_{\alpha/2}^{-1} \theta_{-\alpha^\vee}^A}{1 - q_{\alpha/2}^{-1} \theta_{-\alpha^\vee}^A}$$

(here the  $\cdot$  refers to the interpretation of this expression as a rational function on  $T$ ).

**Corollary 5.1.** *We have  $\mathbf{H}(G, K) = e_K \mathbf{Z}$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon : \mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)^W &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}(G, K) \\ z &\rightarrow e_K \eta_B(z) \end{aligned}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By (5.1) and Theorem 4.2 we see that  $\mathbf{H}(G, K) \subset e_K \mathbf{Z}$ , while the opposite inclusion is obvious. By Theorem 4.2 we have  $\mathbf{Z} = \eta_B(\mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)^W) \subset \mathbf{A}$ , and  $e_K = P_W(q)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} T_w$ . Hence  $\epsilon$  is an isomorphism by Theorem 4.1 and (4.4).  $\square$

Let  $t \in W \setminus T$ . Let  $\mathbf{A} := \eta_B(\mathbf{H}(A, A \cap B)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[L] \subset \mathbf{H}(G, B)$  and consider the induced representation

$$(5.2) \quad \pi_t = \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{H}(G, K)} \mathbb{C}_t = \mathbf{H}(G, B) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbb{C}_t = \mathbf{H}(K, B) \otimes \mathbb{C}_t.$$

This defines a family of  $\mathbf{H}(K, B)$ -spherical representations with one dimensional space of spherical vectors generated by  $e_K \otimes \mathbb{C}_t$ .

**Definition 5.2.** *Define the functional  $\omega_t \in \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{H}(G, K), \mathbb{C})$  by (cf. [32, Section 2]) (with  $f \in \mathbf{H}(G, K)$ ):*

$$(5.3) \quad \tau(\omega_t f) = (\omega_t, f^*) := \text{Tr}(\pi_t(f))$$

Then  $\omega_t$  is a linear functional on  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ , and can be expressed as a formal sum of the elements  $\theta_\lambda^+$  (with  $\lambda \in L_+$ ). Since the space of spherical vectors in  $\text{Ind}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{H}(G, K)} \mathbb{C}_t$  is one dimensional,  $\omega_t$  is an eigenfunction of  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ , and thus a zonal spherical function. Indeed, we see that for all  $f \in \mathbf{H}(G, K)$ :

$$(5.4) \quad f \omega_t = (\omega_t, f^*) \omega_t$$

In fact,  $\omega_t$  is the zonal spherical function as in (3.3), which Satake associated to  $t$ .

Macdonald's Theorem on the explicit form of  $\omega_t$  is now a simple consequence of (5.1):

**Theorem 5.3.** *For  $\lambda \in L_+$  we have*

$$(5.5) \quad (\omega_t, \theta_\lambda^*) = \frac{1}{P_W(q^{-1})} \sum_{w \in W} c(wt, q) wt(\lambda)$$

or equivalently

$$(5.6) \quad \omega_t(\pi^{-\lambda}) = \frac{\delta^{-1/2}(\pi^\lambda)}{P_W(q^{-1})} \sum_{w \in W} c(wt, q) wt(\lambda)$$

*Proof.* Using (5.1) we see easily that (5.5) follows from the definition of  $\omega_t$  as in (5.3). Macdonald's formula [55, Thm 4.1.2] follows from the remark that the characteristic function of the double orbit  $K\pi^\lambda K$  is given by  $\chi_{K\pi^\lambda K} := \frac{\delta^{1/2}(\pi^\lambda)\theta_\lambda^+}{(\theta_\lambda^+, \theta_\lambda^+)}$  (cf. [69, Proposition 2.32] and its proof).

Hence we can write  $\omega_t = \sum_{\lambda \in L_+} \omega_t(\pi^\lambda) \chi_{K\pi^\lambda K}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega_t, \theta_\lambda^*) &= (\omega_t, \theta_{-w_0\lambda}^+) \\ &= \omega_t(\pi^{-w_0\lambda}) \delta^{1/2}(\pi^\lambda) \\ &= \omega_t(\pi^{-\lambda}) \delta^{1/2}(\pi^\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_W(q^{-1})} \sum_{w \in W} c(wt, q) wt(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and the result for  $\omega_t(\pi^{-\lambda})$  follows easily (this proof is in spirit an algebraic version of Casselman's proof in [10]).  $\square$

Satake's result on  $\gamma_S$  follows too, and we even find that  $\gamma_S$  is a very simple and explicit isomorphism:

**Theorem 5.4.** *The inverse of  $\gamma_S$  (cf. (3.4)) is the isomorphism  $\epsilon$  of Corollary 5.1.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove this for the basis elements  $\theta_\lambda^+$  ( $\lambda \in L_+$ ) of  $f \in \mathbf{H}(G, K)$ . By equation (3.5) and (5.4), we see that  $\mathcal{F}_{sph}^A(\gamma_S(\theta_\lambda^+))(t) = \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(\theta_\lambda^+)(t) = (\omega_t, \theta_\lambda^*)$ , so Theorem 5.3 implies that:

$$(5.7) \quad \gamma_S(\theta_\lambda^+) = \frac{1}{P_W(q^{-1})} \sum_{w \in W} w(c(\cdot, q)\theta_\lambda^A)$$

We conclude that  $\epsilon(\gamma_S) = \text{id}$  by (5.1).  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** *Observe that Bernstein's embedding  $\eta_B$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $\eta_B : \mathbf{H}(L, W; q) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(G, B)$  of affine Hecke algebras, where  $W^e = L \rtimes W$  (the "Bernstein's presentation" of  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$ ).*

Next we will consider a real analog of all of this.

## 6. Graded Hecke algebras and Dunkl operators

### 6.1. The graded affine Hecke algebra

We return to the world of real reductive groups and Riemannian symmetric spaces. We will introduce in some sense an extended Bernstein isomorphism in this context, mapping a *graded affine* Hecke algebra to certain differential reflection operators on  $A \subset \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ . In Section 2 we considered a non-compact Riemannian symmetric space  $X = G/K$ , with Cartan decomposition  $G = KAK$ , and extracted several data and structures on  $A$  which capture the geometry of  $X$ . In the present Section we will start more generally with the introduction of such structures on a real vector group  $A$ , but not necessarily coming from a symmetric space  $X$ . We put  $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie}(A)$ , and equip  $\mathfrak{a}$  with a Euclidean structure. Let  $R \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  be a (possibly non-reduced) root system, and  $R^\vee \subset \mathfrak{a}$  the coroot system. Put  $Q = \mathbf{Z}R \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  for the root lattice, and  $Q^\vee = \mathbf{Z}R^\vee \subset \mathfrak{a}$  for the coroot lattice, with dual lattice  $P = \text{Hom}(Q^\vee, \mathbf{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ , the weight lattice of  $R$ . Let  $T_c = \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{C}^\times) \simeq \mathfrak{t}/2\pi i Q^\vee$  be the complex algebraic torus with character lattice  $P$ . Put  $\mathfrak{t} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{a}$  is the Lie algebra of  $T$ , and write  $T_c = T_u A$  for the polar decomposition, where  $T_u = \text{Hom}(P, S^1)$  and  $A = \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{R}^+)$ .

**Remark 6.1.** *Let us explain the comparison with the case of a Riemannian symmetric pair  $(G, K)$ . Here we think of  $A = \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{R}^+)$  as a maximal flat subspace of  $X = G/K$ , not as the maximal split Cartan  $A_G = \exp(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \exp(\mathfrak{p}) \subset G$ . In the complexification  $G_c$  of  $G$  we have that  $A_{G,c} \cap K_c$  is the 2-torsion subgroup of  $A_{G,c}$  (the elements  $a \in A_{G,c}$  such that  $\theta(a) = a = a^{-1}$ , where  $\theta$  is the (holomorphic) Cartan involution), hence the isogeny  $A_{G,c} \rightarrow A_{G,c}$ ,  $a \rightarrow a^2$  descends to an isomorphism  $T_c = A_{G,c}/(A_{G,c} \cap K_c) \rightarrow A_{G,c}$ . Therefore the root system  $R \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$  identifies with  $R = 2\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . Also observe that the natural isomorphism from  $A$  to  $A_G$  is given by  $a \rightarrow a^2$ , and this is the map we use to identify these two vector groups. Note that  $P = 2P_\Phi$  and  $A_{G,c} = \text{Hom}(P_\Phi, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ .*

For  $\mu \in P$ , the corresponding character in  $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  is denoted by  $t^\mu \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ .

The ring of regular functions  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  on  $T$  is isomorphic to the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[P]$  of  $P$  via the Fourier transform, which we often use to identify these two with the group algebra's. The Weyl group  $W$  of  $R$  acts on everything in sight. Choose a Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{a}_+^*$ , let  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset W$  be the corresponding set of simple reflections. Put

$P_+ := P \cap \overline{\mathfrak{a}_+^*}$ , the monoid of dominant weights. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{k \in \mathbb{C}^R \mid k = (k_\alpha), k_{w\alpha} = k_\alpha \forall w \in W, \alpha \in R\}$ , space of root multiplicity parameters. Put  $\mathcal{K}_+ = \{k \in \mathcal{K} \mid k_\alpha \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in R\}$  (positive parameters).

## 6.2. Dunkl-Cherednik operators on $\mathbb{C}[T]$

Let  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $\rho(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} k_\alpha \alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  be the Weyl vector. Let  $\mathcal{D}(T^{reg})[W]$  be the ring of differential-reflection operators on  $T^{reg}$ . For  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$  we define (following I. Cherednik [11]):

$$T(\xi, k) = \partial(\xi) - \rho(k)(\xi) + \sum_{\alpha > 0} k_\alpha \alpha(\xi) (1 - t^{-\alpha})^{-1} (1 - s_\alpha) \in \mathcal{D}(T^{reg})[W]$$

Despite the denominators in the expression of  $T(\xi, k)$ , we have that  $T(\xi, k)|_{\mathbb{C}[T]} \in \text{End}_c(\mathbb{C}[T])$  and  $T(\xi, k)|_{C_c^\infty(A)} \in \text{End}_c(C_c^\infty(A))$ . A crucial property is:

**Theorem 6.2.** (*I. Cherednik [11], G.J. Heckman [65]*) *The operators  $T(\xi, k)$  commute: For all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{a}$  we have:  $[T(\xi, k), T(\eta, k)] = 0$ .*

## 6.3. Hypergeometric differential operators and $\mathbb{D}(X)$

Using the Dunkl-Cherednik operators one can construct  $W$ -invariant operators, the so-called hypergeometric differential operators.

**Theorem 6.3.** (*Hypergeometric operators*) *Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_B : S(\mathfrak{t}) &\rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[T]) \\ p &\rightarrow \eta_B(p) = T(p, k) \end{aligned}$$

*by canonical extension of the linear map  $\xi \rightarrow T(\xi, k)$ . If  $p \in S(\mathfrak{t})^W$  then  $T(p, k)$  is  $W$ -invariant. Its restriction to  $\mathbb{C}[T]^W$  is a differential operator  $D(p, k) \in \mathbb{A}(W \setminus T)$  (these "hypergeometric differential operators"  $D(p, k)$  had been constructed earlier in [63]).*

Whenever the parameters  $k_\alpha$  arise from a Riemannian symmetric space  $X$ , the hypergeometric differential operators are the radial parts of the operators in  $\mathbb{D}(X)$ :

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $X = G/K$  be a Riemannian symmetric space, and  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  maximal abelian. Put  $R = 2\Phi(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  and  $k_\alpha = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha/2})/2$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^W$  recall that  $\gamma_{HC}^{-1}(p) \in \mathbb{D}(X)$  is the  $G$ -invariant operator on  $X$  corresponding to  $p$  via the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Then (analogous to  $\gamma_S^{-1}(f) = \eta_B(f)e_K$ ):*

$$\text{Rad}(\gamma_{HC}^{-1}(p)) = \eta_B(p)|_{C^\infty(A)^W} (= D(p, k)) \in \mathbb{A}(W \setminus T).$$

where  $\text{Rad}(D)$  (with  $D \in \mathbb{D}(X)$ ) denotes the radial part of  $D$  on  $A$ .

The result of Theorem 6.4 should be compared with the result Theorem 5.4 for  $p$ -adic groups. But notice that something is not quite right: The idempotent  $e_K$  seems to be missing in the real version. This will be fixed shortly, the problem is caused by the fact that  $\mathbb{D}(X)$  is not quite the correct analogy of the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$ . Rather we should be looking at the spherical algebra of the Archimedean Hecke algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}(X)$  but not quite the same. See Subsection 6.6 for more details.

#### 6.4. The graded affine Hecke algebra

We saw in Remark 5.5 that  $\eta_B$  in the  $p$ -adic version could naturally be extended to an abstract affine Hecke algebra given in its Bernstein presentation, to the concrete Iwahori Hecke algebra of  $G$ . In the present situation we do not have an "Iwahori Hecke algebra" available, so this would be too much to ask for. But we do have something to offer which - at least partially - restores the analogy. We will discuss this in the next two sections.

**Definition 6.5.** *The graded affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  is the unique associative algebra satisfying*

- (i)  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(R_+, k) := S\mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathbb{C}[W]$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $S\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ ,  $p \mapsto p \otimes 1$  and  $\mathbb{C}[W] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ ,  $w \mapsto 1 \otimes w$  are algebra homomorphisms, and so we will identify  $S\mathfrak{t}$  and  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  with their images in  $\mathbb{H}$  via these maps,
- (iii)  $p \cdot w = p \otimes w$  with  $\cdot$  denoting the algebra multiplication in  $\mathbb{H}$ ,
- (iv)  $s_i \cdot p - s_i(p) \cdot s_i = -k_i(p - s_i(p))/\alpha_i^\vee$  with  $w(p)$  the natural transform of  $p \in S\mathfrak{t}$  under  $w \in W$ , and  $k_i = \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha_i/2} + k_{\alpha_i}$ .

**Corollary 6.6.** *The center  $Z(\mathbb{H})$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  is isomorphic to  $S\mathfrak{t}^W$ . So  $\mathbb{H}$  is finite over  $Z(\mathbb{H})$ , and all irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{H}$  are finite dimensional.*

**Remark 6.7.** *Let  $\epsilon_+ = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} w \in \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ , the idempotent of the trivial representation of  $W$ . The spherical algebra  $\mathbb{H}^{sph}(R_+, k) \subset \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  is the algebra  $\epsilon_+ \mathbb{H}(R_+, k) \epsilon_+ \subset \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  (with unit element  $\epsilon_+$ ). Then  $\mathbb{H}^{sph}(R_+, k) = \epsilon_+ Z(\mathbb{H}(R_+, k))$ , and in particular  $\mathbb{H}^{sph}(R_+, k)$  is commutative.*

### 6.5. Dunkl-Cherednik representation $\eta$ and symmetric spaces

The algebraic (or compact) version of the Dunkl-Cherednik representation is the analog of the (extended) Bernstein isomorphism we encountered in the  $p$ -adic case.

**Theorem 6.8.** ([11], [34], [35], [63], [65]) *There exists a faithful representation  $\eta_u = \eta_u(k) : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}[T])$  (the Dunkl-Cherednik representation), where  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  is the graded affine Hecke algebra with positive root system  $R_+ \subset R$ , and parameter function  $k : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by*

- (a) *For  $p \in S(\mathfrak{t})$  we have  $\eta_u(p) := T(p, k)$ .*
- (b) *If  $w \in W$  then  $\eta_u(w)$  is the natural action of  $w$  on  $\mathbb{C}[T]$ .*

The next result is the version of Bernstein's isomorphism adopted to non-compact Riemannian symmetric spaces:

**Theorem 6.9.** ([11], [34], [35], [63], [65]) *There exists a faithful representation  $\eta_v = \eta_v(k) : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \text{End}(C_c^\infty(A))$ , where  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  is the graded affine Hecke algebra with positive root system  $R_+ \subset R$  and parameter function  $k : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by the same map as above but with the operators acting on  $C_c^\infty(A)$ .*

### 6.6. Comparison with the $p$ -adic case; further developments

Let  $X = G/K$  be a real Riemannian symmetric space. The "Archimedean Hecke algebra"  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  ([50]) of the Riemannian symmetric pair  $(G, K)$  is the algebra of (two-sided)  $K$ -finite distributions on  $G$  with support on  $K$ . It is well known that

$$(6.1) \quad \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{g}, K) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{k})} R(K)$$

where  $R(K)$  denotes the algebra of  $K$ -finite functions with support on  $K$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{g}, K)$  is an associative algebra with respect to convolution (without unit, in general).

This convolution algebra of distributions has a natural action (by convolution) on the space  $C^\infty(G)_{K \times K}$  of two sided  $K$ -finite smooth functions on  $G$ .

We remark that the indicator function  $\epsilon_K \in R(K)$  of  $K$  is an idempotent element.

**Definition 6.10.** *The spherical algebra  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  is the algebra defined by  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K) = \epsilon_K * \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{g}, K) * \epsilon_K$ .*

It is readily seen that  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  is an associative algebra with unit element  $\epsilon_K$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  acts by convolution on the space

of spherical functions  $C(G//K)$ . It follows in the usual way that  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  is commutative, which also follows from the next Proposition:

**Proposition 6.11.** *Let  $X = G/K$ . The map  $U(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  defined by  $D \rightarrow \tilde{D} := D * \epsilon_K$  induces an algebra isomorphism  $\mathbb{D}(X) \simeq \mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$ . The space  $C^\infty(X)^K$  corresponds to  $C^\infty(G//K)$  via lifting  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ . Then  $\tilde{D} * \tilde{f} = (Df)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K) = \epsilon_K * U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{k})} \mathbb{C}\epsilon_K = U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{k})} \mathbb{C}\epsilon_K$ . Hence the algebra map  $U(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$  given by  $D \rightarrow D * \epsilon_K$  is surjective, and from  $D * \epsilon_K = D \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{k})} \epsilon_K$  we see that the kernel equals  $U(\mathfrak{g})^K \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}$ , which yields the result. The action on smooth  $K$ -bi-invariant functions is immediate from the definitions.  $\square$

In the comparison with the Satake isomorphism in the  $p$ -adic case, it is more natural to define Harish–Chandra’s homomorphism not on  $\mathbb{D}X$ , but rather on the isomorphic algebra  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$ . We define:

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_S^{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K) &\rightarrow S(\mathfrak{a})^W \\ D * \epsilon_K &\rightarrow \gamma(D) \end{aligned}$$

Recall Bernstein’s extended isomorphism  $\eta_B : \mathbf{H}(L, W, q) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(G, B)$  in the  $p$ -adic case. We are not aware of the existence of any analog like this in the real case, hence we are settling for the present version, where we are only able to extend and deform the algebra of *radial parts* of operators in  $\mathbb{D}(X)$ . Given the above, it is natural to transfer the ”radial part algebra isomorphism”  $\text{Rad}$  on  $\mathbb{D}(X)$  to a homomorphism  $\text{Rad}^{\mathfrak{R}}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$ . In order to formulate this properly, we introduce the ring of operators on functions on  $A$  (or  $T$ , or  $T_u$ , depending on the case) in which the Dunkl-Cherednik operators find their natural home.

Let  $T$  be the complex algebraic torus  $T = A(\mathbb{C})/(A(\mathbb{C}) \cap K_c)$  as above. The coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  of  $T$  is a subring  $\mathbb{C}[T] \subset \mathbb{C}[A]$ . Then we have  $T = T_u A$ , the polar decomposition, with  $T_u = \text{Hom}(P, S^1)$  the compact form, and  $A := \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{R}_+) \simeq \mathfrak{a}$ , the real vector group of  $T$ .

The Weyl denominator is a very important element of  $\mathbb{C}[A]$  (or  $\mathbb{C}[T]$ ), defined by and also on  $A$  by:

$$\Delta(a) := \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (a^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} - a^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}[A]$$

where  $R^0$  denotes the set of unmultipliable roots.

**Definition 6.12.** *We denote by  $\mathbb{A}(T, W) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[T])$  the ring of algebraic regular differential-reflection operators on  $T$ , by which we*

mean the ring of differential operators on  $T$  with coefficients in the ring  $\mathbb{C}(T)[W]$  (the twisted group ring of  $W$ , with coefficients in the field  $\mathbb{C}(T)$  of rational functions on  $T$ ) which preserve the ring  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  of Laurent polynomials on  $T$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{A}(W \setminus T)$  the ring of polynomial partial differential operators on  $W \setminus T \simeq \mathbb{C}^r$ . Observe  $\mathbb{A}(W \setminus T)\epsilon_+ \subset \mathbb{A}(T, W)$ .

The restriction of elements of  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  to functions on  $T_u$  or on  $A$  defines injective ring homomorphisms, whose images are denoted by  $\mathbb{C}[T_u]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[A]$  respectively. We sometimes denote by  $\mathbb{A}(A, W)$  or  $\mathbb{A}(T_u, W)$  the rings of restrictions of the operators from  $\mathbb{A}(T, W)$  to  $A$  or  $T_u$  respectively.

It is not difficult to show that:

**Proposition 6.13.** (cf. [65, Lemma 9.6]) *The elements of  $\mathbb{A}(T, W)$  have coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[\Delta^{-1}][T][W]$ . By restriction to  $A$  and  $T_u$  respectively, we have similar results for these cases.*

Observe that the Dunkl-Cherednik operators belong to this ring:  $T(\xi, k) \in \mathbb{A}(A, W)$ . We define  $\text{Rad}^{\mathfrak{R}}$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rad}^{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K) &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}(W \setminus A)\epsilon_+ \subset \mathbb{A}(T, W) \\ D * e_K &\rightarrow \text{Rad}(D)\epsilon_+ \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbb{H}_v^D(R_+, k) \subset \mathbb{A}(A, W)$  denote the image of the injective homomorphism  $\eta_v$  (as in Theorem 6.9), and let  $\mathbb{H}_v^{D, sph}(R_+, k) \subset \mathbb{H}_v^D(R_+, k)$  be its spherical algebra. We transfer the Harish–Chandra homomorphism  $\gamma_S^{\mathfrak{R}}$  to  $\mathbb{H}_v^{D, sph}(R_+, k)$  via  $\text{Rad}^{\mathfrak{R}}$ , to obtain an isomorphism

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_S(k) : \mathbb{H}_v^{D, sph}(R_+, k) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{a})^W$$

characterised by  $\tilde{\gamma}_S(k)(D(p, k)\epsilon_+) = p$  for all  $p \in S(\mathfrak{a})^W$ .

Now we are finally able to formulate the appropriate inversion of the Harish–Chandra isomorphism in the present context, in analogy with the explicit inversion of the Satake isomorphism Theorem 5.4 in the  $p$ -adic context:

**Corollary 6.14.** *The inversion of  $\tilde{\gamma}_S(k) : \mathbb{H}_v^{D, sph}(R_+, k) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{a})^W$  is given by the isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(k) : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^W = S(\mathfrak{a})^W &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_v^{D, sph}(R_+, k) \\ p &\rightarrow \eta_v(k)(p)\epsilon_+ \end{aligned}$$

(and similar for  $\eta_u$ ).

Recall that  $\eta_v(k)$  (or  $\eta_u(k)$ ) extends to an injective algebra homomorphism on the full graded affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ , as we saw



in the  $p$ -adic case with Bernstein's homomorphism. In other words,  $\eta_v(k)$  and  $\eta_u(k)$  are faithful representations of  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ . A remarkable feature of the construction given here, is that the parameters  $k_\alpha$  of the restricted roots  $\alpha \in R_+$  need no longer be bound to be half the dimensions of the restricted root spaces in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The representations  $\eta_v(k)$  and  $\eta_u(k)$  are merely specialisations of faithful representations  $\eta_v$  and  $\eta_u$  of the generic graded affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}$ . In particular, we may specialise these parameters to arbitrary complex values (constant on  $W$ -orbits in  $R_+$ ).

**Remark 6.15.** *As in the  $p$ -adic case, two generalizations of the theory of zonal spherical functions for  $(G, K)$  present themselves. First of all, the parameters  $q_\alpha$  (or  $k_\alpha$  in the real case) can now vary freely in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Secondly we can study the spectral problems for the commuting Dunkl-Cherednik operators  $\{T(\xi, k)\}$  on  $T_u$  or  $A$ , rather than for the  $W$ -invariant differential operators  $\{D(p, k)\}$ . See [32], [35], [65].*

**Remark 6.16.** *Studying such "generalised hypergeometric equations" has led to applications in mathematical physics (in conformal field theory [11], integrable models such as the Calogero-Moser system [32], [63], [73] and the Yang system [38]), algebraic geometry (study of certain period maps of moduli spaces [39], [15]) and algebraic combinatorics (the Macdonald conjectures [64], [35], [12]).*

**Remark 6.17.** *In fact Oda [61] has improved much on the above remarks regarding a correspondence between spherical  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -modules and spherical representations of  $G$ . Oda defined various functorial maps from the category of  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -modules to the category of  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -modules extending this. He achieved this by extending the radial part construction to non-invariant operators and functions, for certain  $K$ -types called single petaled. He also set up a detailed comparison between Helgason's Fourier decomposition of  $C_c^\infty(X)$  and the decomposition of  $C_c^\infty(A)$  studied in [65] (see also Section 7.4), allowing him to establish the mentioned functorial correspondences.*

*For some classical groups, intricate exact functors from the category of admissible representations of  $G$  to the category of modules over a graded affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  have been constructed, providing further analogy with the  $p$ -adic case. See [60], [14]. These functors map an irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  to a  $*$ -unitary  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -module which is irreducible or 0.*

**Remark 6.18.** *As was remarked at the end of Section 3, for  $p$ -adic reductive groups, the Borel-Casselman functor  $V \rightarrow V^B$  from Iwahori-spherical smooth representations to  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$ -modules is an equivalence.*

Moreover, irreducible unitary representations are mapped to irreducible  $*$ -unitary modules of  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$ , where  $*$  is the anti-linear anti-involution of  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$  defined by  $T_w^* = T_{w^{-1}}$ . Remarkably, in this situation the converse also holds true [1]. In particular, the irreducible  $K$ -spherical unitary representations of  $G$  are in bijection with the irreducible  $*$ -unitary  $e_K$ -spherical representations of  $\mathbf{H}(G, B)$ .

In the present situation for real reductive groups, one only has the partial results on functorial maps from representations of  $G$  to  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -modules mentioned above. In general we only have a much weaker correspondence between unitary representations of  $G$  and  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -modules: It is well known that the irreducible unitary  $K$ -spherical representations  $(V, \pi)$  of a noncompact real reductive group  $G$ , are in one to one correspondence with the elementary positive definite  $K$ -spherical functions  $\varphi_\pi$  on  $X = G/K$ . Given such an elementary spherical function  $\varphi_\pi$ , we obtain a character of the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$ , thus also of  $\text{Rad}^{\text{st}}(\mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K))$ , the spherical algebra of  $\mathbb{H}^D(R_+, k)$ . Hence we obtain an irreducible spherical representation  $(V^{\mathbb{H}}, \pi^{\mathbb{H}})$  of  $\mathbb{H}^D(R_+, k)$  corresponding to  $(V, \pi)$ .

By analogy with the situation for  $p$ -adic reductive groups, it would seem natural to expect that  $(V^{\mathbb{H}}, \pi^{\mathbb{H}})$  is unitary for  $(\mathbb{H}^D(R_+, k), *)$ . Indeed, in the special cases mentioned above, this follows from the properties of the constructed functorial maps, see [14]. However, in these cases the converse does not always hold. Worse, for certain complex simple groups it is not always true that  $(V^{\mathbb{H}}, \pi^{\mathbb{H}})$  is  $*$ -unitary <sup>5</sup>.

**Remark 6.19.** Another natural and compelling generalisation of the commuting differential-reflection operators  $\{T(\xi, k)\}$  was provided by Cherednik (see e.g. [12]), where the commuting operators are further deformed to commuting difference reflection operators. This development was originally motivated by their crucial role for the solution of the Macdonald conjectures, which can be understood as the explicit determination of the harmonic analysis relative to the Macdonald and Koornwinder families of orthogonal polynomials [57], [52]. The Macdonald polynomials can be interpreted for certain values of their parameters as spherical functions on compact quantum symmetric spaces [58], [53]. The noncompact harmonic analysis associated to such systems of eigenfunction equations (related to the spectrum of noncompact quantum symmetric spaces) is an active area of research, with deep ramifications to representation theory and mathematical physics [25], [47].

---

<sup>5</sup>Dan Ciubotaru, private communication.

## 7. Generalised spherical transforms

In this section we will give a brief overview of results regarding the joint eigenfunctions of the operators  $T(\xi, k)$  and the related spectral decompositions.

### 7.1. Harmonic analysis; the Weyl density functions

We will consider generalisations of the spherical Fourier transforms on Riemannian symmetric spaces. The first generalisation is that we no longer assume that the root multiplicity parameters arise from the dimensions of root spaces of restricted roots of a Riemannian symmetric pair. Secondly, we will not just work with  $|W|$ -invariant functions on  $A$  (the restrictions to  $A$  of spherical functions on  $X$ ) but rather with general functions on  $A$ .

In the section, let  $\mathcal{K} = \{k \in \mathbf{C}^R \mid k = (k_\alpha), k_{w\alpha} = k_\alpha \forall w \in W, \alpha \in R\}$  the space of multiplicity parameters. Put  $\mathcal{K}_+^T = \{k \in \mathcal{K} \mid \forall \alpha \in R^0 : k_\alpha + k_{\alpha/2} \geq 0, k_\alpha \geq 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_+^A = \{k \in \mathcal{K} \mid \forall \alpha \in R^0 : k_\alpha + k_{\alpha/2} \geq 0\}$

Recall we write  $T = T_u A$  for the polar decomposition, with  $T_u = \text{Hom}(P, S^1)$  the compact form,  $A := \text{Hom}(P, \mathbf{R}_+) \simeq \mathfrak{a}$ , the split real form of  $T$ .

When  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^T$ , define a  $W$ -invariant positive "Weyl density function" on  $T_u$ :

$$\delta(k; t) := \prod_{\alpha > 0} |t^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}|^{2k_\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} (2 - t^\alpha - t^{-\alpha})^{k_\alpha}$$

If  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^A$  we define a  $W$ -invariant positive Weyl density function on  $A$ , by:

$$\delta(k; a) := \prod_{\alpha > 0} |a^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} - a^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}|^{2k_\alpha} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} (a^\alpha + a^{-\alpha} - 2)^{k_\alpha}$$

### 7.2. The inner product spaces

We assume that  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^T$ , so that  $\delta(k; t)$  is an integrable function on  $T_u$ . Define a pre-Hilbert space structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  on  $\mathbf{C}[T_u]$  by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_k = |W|^{-1} \int_{T_u} f(t) \overline{g(t)} \delta(k; t) dt = |W|^{-1} \int_{T_u} f(t) \overline{g(t)} d\mu^T(k; t)$$

with  $dt$  the normalized Haar measure on  $T_u$ .

Similarly, if  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^A$  then  $\delta(k; a)$  is locally integrable function on  $A$ , and we define  $(\cdot, \cdot)_k$  on  $C_c^\infty(A)$  by:

$$(f, g)_k = |W|^{-1} \int_A f(a) \overline{g(a)} \delta(k; a) da = |W|^{-1} \int_A f(a) \overline{g(a)} d\mu^A(k; a)$$

with  $da$  the Haar measure on the vector group  $A$  such that  $2\pi Q^\vee$  has covolume 1.

### 7.3. \*-structures and \*-representations

**Theorem 7.1.** *We have the following formula's for the formal adjoints (compact and noncompact case) of the Dunkl-Cherednik operators:*

- (i) For  $f, g \in \mathbf{C}[T]$  we have  $\langle T(\xi, k)f, g \rangle_k = \langle f, T(\bar{\xi}, k)g \rangle_k$ .
- (ii) Suppose that  $f, g \in C^\infty(A)$ . Then, provided that at least one of  $f, g$  belongs to  $C_c^\infty(A)$ :  $(T(\xi, k)f, g)_k = (f, {}^{w_0}T(-\overline{w_0(\xi)}, k)g)_k$ .

These formula's for the formal adjoints of the Dunkl-Cherednik operators on  $T_u$  and  $A$  give rise to two distinct anti-linear anti-involutions ("\*-operators") on  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ : The  $\bullet$  (associated to the representation of  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $\mathbf{C}[T_u]$ ) and  $*$  (associated to the anti-involution of  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $C_c^\infty(A)$ ):

**Theorem 7.2.** *We define an anti-involution  $\bullet : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  by  $\xi^\bullet = \bar{\xi}$  and  $w^\bullet = w^{-1}$ , turning  $\mathbb{H}$  into a "star algebra". Then  $\eta_u$  is pre-unitary with respect to  $\bullet$ , in the sense that for all  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $f, g \in \mathbf{C}[T]$  we have  $\langle \eta_u(h^\bullet)(f), g \rangle_k = \langle f, \eta_u(g) \rangle_k$ .*

**Theorem 7.3.** *We define an anti-involution  $*$  :  $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  by  $\xi^* = -w_0.w_0(\bar{\xi}).w_0$  and  $w^* = w^{-1}$ , turning  $\mathbb{H}$  into a "star algebra". Then  $\eta_v$  is pre-unitary with respect to  $*$ , in the sense that for all  $h \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(A)$  we have  $(\eta_v(h^*)(f), g)_k = (f, \eta_v(g))_k$ .*

### 7.4. Harmonic analysis; joint eigenfunctions

The anti-involution  $*$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  is the well known from the theory of unitary representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups. It was shown by Barbasch and Ciubotaru [2] that these two anti-involutions are the only ones which are equal to the inverse on  $W$  (if  $R$  is irreducible). The relevant  $\mathbb{H}$ -modules for the spectral decomposition of the representations  $\eta_u, \eta_v$  must in any case be unitary (for  $\bullet$  in  $\mathbf{C}[T]$ , for  $*$  in  $C_c^\infty(A)$ ), and  $W$ -spherical. Additional requirements apply, as we will see.

We remark that the classification of irreducible spherical unitary representations of  $(\mathbb{H}, \bullet)$  is easy [2]; The classification of the spherical

$(\mathbb{H}, *)$ -unitary modules is a difficult problem (a lot of interesting results in this direction have been obtained by Ciubotaru, cf. [13]). For  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+$  the spectral measure of  $L^2(A, \delta(a, k)da)$  has support in the unitary principal series [65]. For  $k$  negative,  $|k| \in \mathcal{K}_+$  small, discrete series occurs (supported in the set of tempered spherical irreducible representations of  $(\mathbb{H}, *)$ ) [66].

In order to study the decomposition of  $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)$  in irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  relative to the representation  $\eta_v$  we need to study the joint eigenfunctions of the Dunkl-Cherednik operators  $T(\xi, k)$  in  $C^\infty(A)$ . We have:

**Theorem 7.4.** (cf. [65]) *There exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset T_u$  of  $1 \in T_u$  and a unique holomorphic function  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathcal{K}_+ \times A.U \subset T$  with the following properties:*

- (i)  $G(\lambda, k; 1) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{t} : T(\xi, k)G(\lambda, k; \cdot) = \lambda(\xi)G(\lambda, k; \cdot)$ .

If we put

$$(7.1) \quad F(\lambda, k; x) := |W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} G(\lambda, k; w \exp(x))$$

then  $F(\lambda, k; x)$  is the hypergeometric function for root systems introduced in [32] (cf. [36], [68]), which can be uniquely characterised by the requirements ([32], [67]):

- (i)  $F(\lambda, k; 0) = 1$ , and  $F(\lambda, k; \cdot)$  is  $W$ -invariant.
- (ii)  $\forall p \in S(\mathfrak{a}_c)^W : D(p, k)F(\lambda, k; \log(\cdot)) = p(\lambda)F(\lambda, k; \log(\cdot))$ .

We remark that  $G$  has a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathfrak{t}^* \times \mathcal{K} \times \exp(\mathfrak{a} + iU)$  ([65]).

If  $(R, k)$  corresponds to the Riemannian symmetric space  $X = G/K$ , with  $A \subset X$  a maximal flat subspace, then  $F(\lambda, k; x) = \varphi_\lambda(\exp(x))$  ( $x \in (\mathfrak{a} + i \log(U))$ ), with  $\varphi_\lambda$  the elementary spherical function.

In rank one, where  $R = \{\pm\alpha, \pm 2\alpha\}$ , then  $F(\lambda, k_1, k_2; x) = {}_2F_1(a, b, c; z)$  with  $z = 1/4 - 1/2(e^{\alpha(x)} + e^{-\alpha(x)})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \rho(k))(\alpha^\vee) \\ b &= \frac{1}{2}(-\lambda + \rho(k))(\alpha^\vee) \\ c &= \frac{1}{2} + k_\alpha + k_{2\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which explains the name of the function  $F$ .

### 7.5. Variations on Harish–Chandra’s $c$ -function

The unitary representation  $\eta_u(k)$  on  $L^2(T_u, \delta(t, k)dt)$  of  $(\mathbb{H}(R_+, k), \bullet)$  can be decomposed as a direct sum of spherical irreducible unitary  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -representations for  $\bullet$ . Similarly, the unitary representation  $\eta_v(k)$  on  $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)$  of  $(\mathbb{H}(R_+, k), *)$  can be decomposed as a direct Hilbert integral of spherical irreducible unitary  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  representations with respect to  $*$ .

The asymptotic expansion on  $A_+$  of the hypergeometric function  $F$  was studied in [32] and [67], and this leads to the following formula if  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{a}_+$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ :

$$(7.2) \quad c(\lambda, k) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{(\rho(k) - \lambda)(tx)} F(\lambda, k; tx) = \frac{\tilde{c}(\lambda, k)}{\tilde{c}(\rho(k), k)}$$

with

$$\tilde{c}(\lambda, k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda(\alpha^\vee) + \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2})}{\Gamma(\lambda(\alpha^\vee) + \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2} + k_\alpha)}$$

This is a meromorphic continuation over the parameter space  $\mathcal{K}$  of the marvellous Harish–Chandra  $c$ -function (cf. (2.22)), in its product form as provided by the work of Gindikin and Karpelivič [22], [23]. To make the harmonic analyses with respect to  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  precise, we will now introduce some variations on the  $c$ -function.

**Definition 7.5.** *We define*

- (a) For  $w \in W$  define  $\delta_w : R_+ \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by  $\delta_w(\alpha) = 0$  if  $w(\alpha) > 0$  and  $\delta_w(\alpha) = 1$  if  $w(\alpha) < 0$ .
- (b) Define

$$c_w^*(\lambda, k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda(\alpha^\vee) - \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2} - k_\alpha + \delta_w(\alpha))}{\Gamma(-\lambda(\alpha^\vee) - \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2} + \delta_w(\alpha))}$$

- (c) Define:

$$\tilde{c}_w(\lambda, k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda(\alpha^\vee) + \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2} + \delta_w(\alpha))}{\Gamma(\lambda(\alpha^\vee) + \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2} + k_\alpha + \delta_w(\alpha))}$$

- (d) Put  $\tilde{c}(\lambda, k) := \tilde{c}_e(\lambda, k)$ ,  $c^*(\lambda, k) := c_{w_0}^*(\lambda, k)$ .

### 7.6. Compact case; decomposition of $L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt)$

The main reference for the material in this section is [65]. In this section we assume that  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^T$ . Let us first look at the spectral decomposition in the compact case, i.e. the representation of  $(\mathbb{H}_u^D(R_+, k), \bullet)$  on  $L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt)$ . The general reference for this material is [65]. The

first step is to consider the joint eigenfunctions of the Dunkl operators on  $\mathbb{C}[T_u]$ .

**Theorem 7.6.** (*Polynomial eigenfunctions  $T(\xi, k)$  (Heckman, see [65, Section 2])*) For  $\mu \in P$ , let  $\{\mu_+\} = P_+ \cap W\mu$  and let  $w^\mu \in W$  be the element of maximal length such that  $\mu = w^\mu \mu_+$ . There exists a unique joint  $T(\xi, k)$ -eigenfunction of the form  $E(\mu, k; t) = t^\mu + \sum_{\nu \triangleleft \mu} c_\nu t^\nu$  where  $\nu \triangleleft \mu$  iff  $\nu_+ \leq \mu_+$ , or  $\nu_+ = \mu_+$  and  $w^\nu \leq_W w^\mu$ . The joint eigenvalue is:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\xi, k)E(\mu, k) &= w^\mu(\mu_+ + \rho(k))(\xi)E(\mu, k) \\ &= (\mu + w^\mu(\rho(k)))(\xi)E(\mu, k) \end{aligned}$$

From our condition  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^T$  it follows that  $\rho(k) \in \mathfrak{a}_+^*$ , which implies that the joint eigenvalues  $w^\mu(\mu_+ + \rho(k))$  (with  $\mu \in P$ ) are all distinct. Of course these polynomial eigenfunctions are - up to normalisation - just specialisations of the family  $\{G(\lambda, k; \cdot)\}$  of general eigenfunctions. More precisely, we have:

**Proposition 7.7.** ([65]) *If  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+$ , up to normalization the only joint  $T(\xi, k)$ -eigenfunctions in  $\mathbb{C}[P]$  are of the form  $G(w(\lambda + \rho(k)), k)$  with  $\lambda \in P_+$  and  $w$  maximal in the coset  $wW_\lambda$ . We have*

$$E(w\lambda, k) = \frac{\tilde{c}_{w_0}(\rho(k), k)}{\tilde{c}_w(w(\lambda + \rho(k)))} G(w(\lambda + \rho(k)), k).$$

These polynomial eigenfunctions form by construction a complete orthogonal set in  $L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt)$ . As the joint eigenvalues  $\mu + w^\mu(\rho(k))$  are all real and - provided  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+$  - distinct, these polynomials are mutually orthogonal.

Given  $\lambda \in P_+$ , let  $w_\lambda \in W$  be the longest element in the isotropy group  $W_\lambda$  of  $\lambda$ , and define  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + w_\lambda(\rho(k))$ . Let  $\mathbb{H}_\lambda = \mathbb{H}(R_{\lambda,+}, k) \subset \mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  be the "Levi subalgebra" associated to  $R_\lambda$ , the root system of the standard Levi Weyl group  $W_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$  denote the one dimensional  $W_\lambda$ -spherical representation of  $\mathbb{H}_\lambda$ , with joint eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}$  for the commuting elements  $\xi \in S(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathbb{H}_\lambda$ . We now define:

$$(7.3) \quad V_{\tilde{\lambda}} := \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{H}_\lambda}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}_\lambda} \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$$

**Theorem 7.8.** ([65]) *Let  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+$ . The  $\mathbb{H}$ -module  $V_{\tilde{\lambda}}$  with  $\lambda \in P_+$  is irreducible and  $W$ -spherical. Moreover, there exists a unique  $\mathbb{H}$ -module isomorphism*

$$j : V_{\tilde{\lambda}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}_\lambda := \langle E(w\lambda, k) \rangle_{w \in W} \subset \mathbb{C}[T_u]$$

such that  $j(1 \otimes 1) = E(\lambda, k)$ .

There exists a multiplicity free orthogonal decomposition:

$$(7.4) \quad L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathcal{E}_\lambda}$$

of the unitary  $(\mathbb{H}, \bullet)$ -representation  $L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt)$  into spherical irreducible unitary subrepresentations (where the algebraic direct sum equals  $\mathbb{C}[T_u] \subset L^2(T_u, \delta(k; t)dt)$ ). The functions  $E(\lambda, k; \cdot)$  with  $\lambda \in P$  are mutually orthogonal, and:

$$\|E(w\lambda, k)\|_k^2 = \frac{c_{ww\lambda}^*(-(\lambda + \rho), k)}{\tilde{c}_{ww\lambda}(\lambda + \rho, k)}$$

### 7.7. Non-compact case; decomposition of $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)$

The main reference for this section is [65]. In the present section we assume that  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^A$ . Let  $\mathbb{A} = S(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathbb{H}$ , a commutative subalgebra, and for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  consider the one dimensional  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$  define the  $\mathbb{H}$ -representation

$$I_\lambda := \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathbb{H}}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda) = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$$

Then there exists a  $*$ -invariant nondegenerate sesquilinear pairing

$$I_\lambda \times I_{-\bar{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by  $(w_1 \otimes 1, w_2 \otimes 1) = \delta_{w_1, w_2}$  for all  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . In particular, for  $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$  we see that  $I_\lambda$  admits a  $*$  unitary structure defined by this pairing.

We have the representation  $\eta_v$  of  $\mathbb{H}$  defined on the dense subspace  $C_c^\infty(A) \subset L^2(A, \delta(k; a))$ . This inclusion provides the representation space  $C_c^\infty(A)$  with a pre-Hilbertian structure with respect to which  $\eta_v$  is a  $*$ -representation, thanks to Theorem 7.3. We have [65, Theorem 9.10]:

**Theorem 7.9.** *Let  $k \in \mathcal{K}_+^A$ . For every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$  there exists an intertwining map  $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda, k} : I_\lambda \rightarrow C^\infty(A)$  of  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -representations defined by  $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda, k}(w \otimes 1) = {}^wG(\lambda, k; \cdot)$ .*

Let  $\text{PW}(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)$  denote the space of Paley-Wiener functions on  $\mathfrak{a}_c^*$ , which is the space of entire functions  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{a}_c^*$  such that for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}_+$  there exist a constant  $C_N \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(7.5) \quad |\phi(\lambda)| \leq C_N(1 + |\lambda|)^{-N} e^{|\text{Re}(\lambda)|}$$

We define some additional structure which uses the  $W$ -action on  $A$ . Given  $a \in A$ , denote by  $C_a \subset A$  the convex hull of  $Wa$  (i.e. the image under the exponential map of the convex hull of  $W \log(a) \in \mathfrak{a}$ ). Let  $H_a : \mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be the function  $H_a(\lambda) := \max_{y \in C_a} \lambda(\log(y))$ . We say



that  $\phi \in \text{PW}_a(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)$  if for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a constant  $C_N \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ :

$$(7.6) \quad |\phi(\lambda)| \leq C_N(1 + |\lambda|)^{-N} e^{H_a(\lambda)}$$

Then it is not difficult to see that  $\text{PW}(\mathfrak{a}_c^*) = \cup_{a \in A} \text{PW}_a(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)$ .

We now formulate a Paley-Wiener theorem in this context, based on the embedding maps  $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda, k}$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ . First we define the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$  of a compactly supported smooth function  $f \in C_c^\infty(A)$  as follows.<sup>6</sup> Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ . Then:

$$(7.7) \quad \mathcal{F}_k(f)(\lambda) := \int_{a \in A} f(a) G(-w_0 \lambda, k; w_0 a) d\mu^A(k; a)$$

The wave packet operator  $\mathcal{J}_k$  is defined as follows<sup>7</sup> on the space of Paley-Wiener function on  $\mathfrak{a}_c^*$ . Let  $\phi \in \text{PW}(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)$ . Then we define for  $a \in A$ :

$$(7.8) \quad \mathcal{J}_k(\phi)(a) := \int_{ia^*} \phi(\lambda) G(\lambda, k; a) \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} \left(1 - \frac{k_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2}}{\lambda(\alpha^\vee)}\right) d\nu(\lambda)$$

where

$$(7.9) \quad d\nu(\lambda) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-n} \tilde{c}_{w_0}^2(\rho(k), k)}{\tilde{c}(\lambda, k) \tilde{c}(w_0 \lambda, k)} d\text{Im}(\lambda)$$

The main Theorem in this section is the refined Paley-Wiener Theorem in this context: For all  $a \in A$  we have:

**Theorem 7.10.** ([65, Theorem 9.13]) *We have  $\mathcal{F}_k : C_c^\infty(A) \rightarrow \text{PW}(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_k : \text{PW}(\mathfrak{a}_c^*) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(A)$ , and these operators are  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ -module morphisms which are inverse to each other. Moreover, for each  $a \in A$  we have  $\mathcal{F}_k(C_c^\infty(C_a)) = \text{PW}_a(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_k(\text{PW}_a(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)) = C_c^\infty(A)$ .*

**Remark 7.11.** (Comparison of  $\mathcal{F}_k$  to the spherical transform  $\mathcal{F}_{sph}^G$  of Harish-Chandra.) *The restriction  $\mathcal{F}_k^W$  of  $\mathcal{F}_k$  to  $C_c^\infty(A)^W$  yields an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_k^W : C_c^\infty(A)^W \rightarrow \text{PW}(\mathfrak{a}_c^*)^W$ .*

*In case the parameters  $k_\alpha$  correspond to a Riemannian symmetric space  $X$ , the restriction map  $\text{Res} : C_c^\infty(G//K) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(A)^W$  given by  $\text{Res}(f) = f|_A$  is bijective (this follows easily from the Paley-Wiener Theorem of the spherical transform  $\mathcal{F}_{sph}^G$  of  $X$ ), and we have for  $f \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$  that:*

$$\mathcal{F}_k^W(\text{Res}(f)) = \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f).$$

(See the discussion following Proposition 2.6, Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.9.)

<sup>6</sup> $\mathcal{F}_k$  corresponds to the operator  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  in [65, Section 8].

<sup>7</sup> $\mathcal{J}_k$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{J}_k\pi$  in [65, Section 8].

Observe that (for all  $D \in \mathfrak{R}(K, \mathfrak{g}, K)$ ,  $f \in C_c(G//K)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_c^*$ ):

$$(7.10) \quad \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(D * f)(\lambda) = \tilde{\gamma}_S(D)(\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{sph}^G(f)(\lambda),$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}_S$  is the Harish–Chandra homomorphism from (6.2).

It is not difficult to see from Theorem 7.1(ii) that  $\mathcal{F}_k$  and  $\mathcal{J}_k$  are also formally adjoint to each other. It follows that:

**Theorem 7.12.** ([65, Theorem 9.13]) *The transform  $\mathcal{F}_k$  has a unique extension to  $L^2(A, d\mu^A)$ , and this defines an isometric isomorphism of unitary  $*$ -representations of  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$ :*

$$(7.11) \quad L^2(A, d\mu^A) \simeq \int_{i\mathfrak{a}_+^*}^{\oplus} I_\lambda \nu(\lambda)$$

## 7.8. Further applications and developments

Let us make some comments on some of the many very interesting further developments from here.

**Remark 7.13.** *The orthogonal polynomials  $P(\lambda, k; \cdot)$ , and their non-symmetric counterparts  $E(\lambda, k; \cdot)$  have been generalised by Macdonald [56], [57] and Koornwinder [52]. This family is a common generalisation of the Askey–Wilson orthogonal polynomials in one variable, and the root system orthogonal polynomials  $P(\lambda, k; \cdot)$ . They are joint eigenfunctions of a commutative ring of difference-reflection operators which arises from a representation of the so-called double affine Hecke algebra, constructed by I. Cherednik [11], [12]. These polynomials depend on a set of parameters  $q_i$  and  $t$ , and in the limit for  $t \rightarrow 1$  (with  $q_i$  certain powers of  $t$ ) we recover the  $P(\lambda, k; \cdot)$ .*

*This creates a uniform framework for the Macdonald–Koornwinder polynomials and the double affine Hecke algebra, in which the  $p$ -adic case and the (compact) real case coexist. The double affine Hecke algebra is built from two ordinary affine Hecke algebras sharing a finite type Hecke algebra in common, and whose translation parts are in duality. The Cherednik Fourier transform gives an isomorphism of the double affine Hecke algebra for  $G$  to the double affine Hecke algebra of its Langlands dual group  $G^\vee$ . Macdonald has made the remarkable observation that the spherical functions of a  $p$ -adic group and their real counterparts seem to exist on the opposite sides of this duality. An in dept analysis of this situation can be found in [8].*

*One of the many remarkable aspects of the double affine Hecke algebra is its large group of automorphisms, which one cannot be seen at the level of the affine Hecke algebra. These have important consequences*

for the theory of the Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials. Important contributions and insights were made by Sahi [74], Ion and Sahi [41], and Sahi, Stokman, and Venkateswaran [75].

Formidable work and a lot of activity by many mathematicians has been aimed at attempting to understand Cherednik's double affine Hecke algebra in terms of more conventional geometric, representation theoretic and mathematical physical structures. We do not attempt to give a comprehensive overview, as there are simply too many directions and contributions to be mentioned and this would lead us too far afield. The authors content themselves mentioning some of the authors involved in these endeavors (and apologises for the many omissions and oversights): Braverman, Etingof and Finkelberg [7], Jordan [44], Kato, Khoroshkin and Makedonskyi [45], Kapranov [46], Oblomkov [59], Varagnolo and Vasserot [78], [79], Wen [80], Yun [81].

**Remark 7.14.** *The commutativity of the spherical algebras  $\mathbf{H}(G, K)$  and  $\eta(e_+\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)e_+) = \eta(S(\mathfrak{a})^W) = \langle D(p, k) \mid p \in S(\mathfrak{a})^W \rangle$  can be interpreted as the complete integrability of two famous 1-dimensional  $n$ -particle quantum systems: The Yang system and the Calogero-Moser system respectively. The "Macdonald generalisation" of these systems, known as the Macdonald-Ruijsenaars-Schneider integrable model [73] or relativistic Calogero-Moser system, is subject of an active field of research. The open quantum Toda chain is known to be a confluent limit of such systems [18]. Further elliptic generalisations have been found and studied [17], [48].*

**Remark 7.15.** *The spectral decomposition of the (densely defined) representation of the spherical algebra  $e_+\mathbb{H}.e_+ \subset \mathbb{H}$  on  $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)^W$  for parameters in  $\mathcal{K}_+^A$  has also been proved by P. Delorme [16], by introducing an appropriate Schwartz space, more in the spirit of the original approach by Harish-Chandra. It would be interesting to generalise this approach to the the representation  $\eta_v$ , densely defined on  $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)$ .*

*The spectral decomposition of the representation of the spherical algebra  $e_+\mathbf{H}.e_+$  on  $L^2(A, \delta(k; a)da)^W$  is also well understood for negative parameters  $k$  such that  $\delta(k; a)da$  is still locally integrable [66]. The spectrum is now no longer purely continuous, but lower dimensional spectral series appear. It would be interesting to extend the Paley-Wiener Theorem and the definition of the Schwartz space to this context.*

**Remark 7.16.** *A deep aspect of the theory of these higher rank hypergeometric functions  $F(\lambda, k)$  is their role as period map for certain algebraic surfaces, for very special values of the parameters (analogous*

to the interpretation of e.g.  ${}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2, 1; z)$  as a period integral of elliptic curves).

Couwenberg, Heckman and Looijenga [15] have made deep studies of the parameters where the monodromy group of (subsystems of) the hypergeometric system becomes an arithmetic group, and Heckman and Looijenga [39] found period map interpretations for certain  $F(\lambda, k)$  for the root system of  $R = E_8$ .

## 8. Shift operators

We have studied the representations  $\eta_v(k)$  and  $\eta_u(k)$  of the graded affine Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  on  $C_c^\infty(A)$  and  $\mathbb{C}[T_u]$  respectively. For special half-integral values of the parameters  $k = (k_\alpha)$ , the restriction of  $\eta_v(k)$  or  $\eta_u(k)$  to the spherical algebra  $e_+ \cdot \mathbb{H}(R_+, k) \cdot e_+ \simeq S(\mathfrak{a})^W$  can be thought of as taking the radial part on  $A \subset X = G/K$  of the inverse of Harish–Chandra’s isomorphism. In the present section, we study the relation between these representations of  $\mathbb{H}(R_+, k)$  for different values of  $k$ .

### 8.1. The Abel transform

If  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  arises from a Riemannian symmetric space  $X = G/K$ , the Abel transform  $F_f$  provides such a relation on the level of the spherical algebra, between  $k$  and the parameter  $k = 0$ . Recall the restriction map  $\text{Res} : C_c^\infty(G//K) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(A)^W$ . It follows from the Paley–Wiener theorem and Helgason’s Paley–Wiener Theorem [40] that for any  $f \in C_c^\infty(A)^W$ , there is a unique  $\tilde{f} \in C_c^\infty(G//K)$  such that  $\text{Res}(\tilde{f}) = f$ . Thus,  $\text{Res}$  is bijective and we may define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_k : C_c^\infty(A)^W &\rightarrow C_c^\infty(A)^W \\ f &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{f}} \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Harish–Chandra’s result (Prop. 2.6), the following “transmutation property” holds for all  $p \in S(\mathfrak{a})^W$  (with  $D(p, k) := \eta_v(k)(p)$ ):

$$(8.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_k(\eta_v(k)(p)) = \mathcal{A}_k(D(p, k)f) = \partial(p)\mathcal{A}_k(f) = \eta_v(0)(p)(\mathcal{A}_k(f))$$

### 8.2. Hypergeometric shift operators

These are  $W$ -invariant *differential* operators which have a similar transmutation property, this time not between  $k$  and 0 but between  $k$  and  $k + b$ , where  $b$  is a fixed translation vector in  $\mathcal{K}$ . The fact that we require the shift operator to be a differential one implies that the

translation  $b \in \mathcal{K}$  needs to be an element in a certain integral lattice  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{Z}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ . For simplicity of presentation we will concentrate on the main case, where  $b = \pm 1 \in \mathcal{K}$ , where 1 is given by the following

**Definition 8.1.** *We denote by  $1 \in \mathcal{K}$  the multiplicity parameter such that  $1_{\alpha} = 1$  if  $2\alpha \notin R$ , and otherwise  $1_{\alpha} = 0$ .*

The defining property of a hypergeometric shift operator  $G$  is that for all  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  one should have:

$$G_{\pm}(k) \circ D(p, k) = D(p, k \pm 1) \circ G_{\pm}(k)$$

Hypergeometric shift operators  $G_{\pm}(k)$  are effective tools in the study of the hypergeometric system. To list a few nontrivial results in which they play a crucial role (cf. [64]):

- (a) The inner product  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle_k$  has been computed using these operators (leading to Macdonald's constant term conjecture, and the Mehta-Dyson conjecture).
- (b) The norms and values at 1 of the Jacobi polynomials  $P(\lambda, k)$  (Macdonald's norm and evaluation formula's).
- (c) The computation of the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of the discriminant  $d = (\prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} \alpha)^2 \in \mathbf{C}[t]^W$  of  $W$ .
- (d) The proof that  $F(\lambda, k; 1) = 1$ , with the given normalisations [67].
- (e) The inversion of the Abel transform in case the multiplicities of the restricted roots are all even [4].

### 8.3. Heckman's construction

Heckman [35] introduced an elementary method to obtain the hypergeometric shift operators which were originally constructed in [63] by transcendental methods. In [65], a slight variation of Heckman's method was discussed. Let  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  and let  $\Delta = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2}) \in \mathbf{C}[T]$  denote the Weyl denominator.

- (a) Set  $\pi^{\pm}(k) := \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (\alpha^{\vee} \pm (k_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}k_{\alpha/2})) \in S(\mathfrak{t})$ , and let  $\epsilon^+$  (resp.  $\epsilon^-$ ) be the central idempotent in  $\mathbf{C}[W]$  corresponding to the trivial (resp. sign) character. Then, the following holds in  $\mathbf{H}(R_+, k)$

$$(8.2) \quad \epsilon^{\mp} \cdot \pi^{\pm}(k) \cdot \epsilon^{\pm} = \pi^{\pm}(k) \cdot \epsilon^{\pm}$$

- (b) Set  $G_+(k) := \Delta^{-1} \circ T(\pi^+(k), k)|_{\mathbf{C}[T]^W}$ . This is a  $W$ -invariant polynomial differential operator on  $T$  such that, for any  $p \in S(\mathfrak{t})^W$  we have:  $G_+(k) \circ D(p, k) = D(p, k+1) \circ G_+(k)$  (Hence  $G_+(k)$  is a raising operator).

- (c) Define  $G_-(k) := T(\pi^-(k-1), k-1) \circ \Delta|_{\mathbb{C}[T]^W}$ . This is a  $W$ -invariant polynomial differential operator on  $T$  such that, for any  $p \in S(\mathfrak{t})^W$  we have:  $G_-(k) \circ D(p, k) = D(p, k-1) \circ G_-(k)$  (Hence  $G_-(k)$  is a lowering operator).

#### 8.4. Nonsymmetric shift operators

A natural question in the present discussion concerns the existence of shift operators for the Dunkl–Cherednik operators  $T(\xi, k)$  themselves, not just for the  $W$ -invariant differential operators  $D(p, k)$ .

Recall that a *differential-reflection* operator on  $T$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}(T)$  of the form  $D = \sum_{w \in W} D_w w$ , where each  $D_w$  is a differential operator on  $T$  whose coefficients are rational functions. We announce the following result.<sup>8</sup>

**Theorem 8.2.** ([71]) *There is a differential-reflection operator  $S$  on  $T$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{K}][T](\Delta^{-1})$ , such that*

- (i)  $S \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{K}]}(\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{K}][T])$ , that is  $S$  preserves polynomials.
- (ii)  $S(k) \circ T(\xi, k) = T(\xi, k+1) \circ S(k)$  for any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ .
- (iii)  $S(k)|_{\mathbb{C}[T]^W} = G_+(k) \in \mathbf{A}(W \setminus T)$ .

Moreover,  $S$  is the unique  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{K})$ -linear endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{K})[T]$  satisfying (ii) and (iii).

We call  $S$  the *nonsymmetric shift operator*.

#### 8.5. Adjoints of nonsymmetric shift operators

Interestingly, a nonsymmetric lowering operator, that is a  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{K})$ -linear endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{K})[T]$  satisfying property (ii) with  $k+1$  replaced by  $k-1$ , and restricting to  $G_-(k)$  on invariant polynomials does *not* exist [71]. However, an operator satisfying a variant of the restriction property (iii) does exist, and may be obtained from  $S(k)$  using adjoints as follows.

Let  $S(k)^\bullet$  be the formal adjoint of  $S(k)$  with respect to the inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{k+1}$  on  $C^\infty(T_u)$  (see 7.2), so that  $\langle S(k)^\bullet f, g \rangle_{k+1} = \langle f, S(k)g \rangle_k$  for all  $f, g \in C^\infty(T_u)$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $S_-(k) := S(k-1)^\bullet$  is a differential-reflection operator which preserves polynomials, satisfies the transmutation property

$$S_-(k) \circ T(\xi, k) = T(\xi, k-1) \circ S_-(k)$$

---

<sup>8</sup>The results in this section were announced at Patrick Delorme’s 70th birthday conference in Luminy in June 2023, and the Harish–Chandra centenary conference held in Allahabad in October 2023 (a video recording of the latter talk is available at: <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLt4li39O1V1Vq1yzPdIsOp7yo92XOsHh0>).

together with the *left* restriction property  $\epsilon_+ S_- = \epsilon_+ G_-(k)$ . This follows because  $T(\xi, k)^\bullet = T(\bar{\xi}, k)$  by Theorem 7.1, and  $G_+(k-1)^\bullet = G_-(k)$ .

Similarly, let  $S(k)^*$  be the formal adjoint of  $S(k)$  with respect to the inner products  $(\cdot, \cdot)_k$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{k+1}$  on  $C_c^\infty(A)$ . Then one can prove that the operator  $\tilde{S}_-(k) := w_0(S(k-1)^*)$  is a differential–reflection operator which preserves  $C_c^\infty(A)$ , satisfies the transmutation property

$$\tilde{S}_-(k) \circ T(\xi, k) = T(\xi, k-1) \circ \tilde{S}_-(k)$$

and the left restriction property  $\epsilon_+ \tilde{S}_- = (-1)^{|R_+^0|} \epsilon_+ G_-(k)$ .<sup>9</sup>

## 8.6. Compositional identities

Motivated in part by the above, we consider the composition of  $S(k)$  with its formal adjoints.

**Theorem 8.3.** ([71]) *The following identities hold. On  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  one has:*

$$(8.3) \quad S(k)^\bullet \circ S(k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0) \circ (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) - k_\alpha^0 - 1)$$

while on  $C_c^\infty(A)$  one has:

$$(8.4) \quad w_0(S(k)^*) \circ S(k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0) \circ (-T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0 + 1)$$

The above identities extend those satisfied by the hypergeometric shift operator  $G(k)_+$ . These can be easily obtained from [68, Lemma 5.10, Def. 5.11, Thm. 5.13(b)], and read as follows

$$G(k)_+^\bullet \circ G(k)_+ = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0) \circ (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) - k_\alpha^0)|_{\mathbb{C}[T]^W}$$

$$G(k)_+^* \circ G(k)_+ = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0) \circ (-T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0)|_{C_c^\infty(A)^W}$$

Note the subtle difference between the two sets of identities. The right–hand side of those involving  $G(k)_+$  are  $W$ –invariant, as expected, while those involving  $S(k)$  are not. Nevertheless, the latter give rise to the former upon being left and right multiplied by the idempotent  $\epsilon_+$ .

---

<sup>9</sup>It is shown in [71] that  $\tilde{S}_-(k)$  and  $S_-(k)$  coincide up to a sign as differential–reflection operators. Specifically,  $S(k)^*$  extends to  $C^\infty(A)$ , and the restriction of  $w_0(S(k)^*)$  to  $\mathbb{C}[T] \subset C^\infty(A)$  is equal to  $(-1)^{|R_+^0|} S(k)^\bullet$ .

Indeed, the left and right restriction properties of  $S(k)$  and its adjoints imply that

$$\begin{aligned} G(k)_+^\bullet \circ G(k)_+ &= \epsilon_+ S(k)^\bullet \circ S(k) \epsilon_+ \\ &= \epsilon_+ \prod_{\alpha \in R_+^0} (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) + k_\alpha^0) \circ (T_{\alpha^\vee}(k) - k_\alpha^0) \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_+ T(p, k) \pi_k^+ \epsilon_+ \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p = \sum_{m=0}^{|R_+^0|-1} (-1)^{|R_+^0|-m} \sum_{\substack{\bar{R} \subset R_+^0: \\ |\bar{R}|=m}} \prod_{\alpha \in \bar{R}} T_{\alpha^\vee}(k)$$

This yields the required result since  $\pi_k^+ \epsilon_+ = \epsilon_- \pi_k^+ \epsilon_+$  by (8.2), and  $\epsilon_+ T(p, k) \epsilon_- = 0$  for all  $p \in S(\mathfrak{a})$  with  $\deg(p) < |R_+^0|$ .

### 8.7. Rank 1

As an illustration, let  $R = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\alpha/2\}$  be the root system of type  $\text{BC}_1$ . The corresponding dual root system is  $R^\vee = \{\pm\alpha^\vee, \pm 2\alpha^\vee\}$ , the weight lattice is  $P = \mathbb{Z}\alpha/2$ , and the torus  $T = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  has the natural coordinate  $X = e^{\alpha/2}$ .

A direct computation shows that the nonsymmetric shift operator of Theorem 8.2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \Delta^{-1} \left( X \partial_X - \frac{1-s}{1-X^{-2}} \right) \\ &= \Delta^{-1} \left( T(\alpha^\vee; k) + k_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} k_{\alpha/2} - k_{\alpha/2} \frac{1-s}{1-X^{-1}} - (2k_\alpha + 1) \frac{1-s}{1-X^{-2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta = X - X^{-1}$ .

Similarly, the hypergeometric shift operator for the basic shift  $(k_{\alpha/2}, k_\alpha) \rightarrow (k_{\alpha/2} + 2, k_\alpha - 1)$  (as considered in [62, p. 26]) admits a nonsymmetric version. It is given by

$$S_{(2,-1)} = \frac{X+1}{X-1} X \partial_X - \frac{X}{(X-1)^2} (1-s) + k_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}$$

Contrary to the shift by  $1 \in \mathcal{K}$ , the corresponding nonsymmetric shift operator with the opposite shift  $(k_{\alpha/2}, k_\alpha) \rightarrow (k_{\alpha/2} - 2, k_\alpha + 1)$  also exists now. It is given by

$$S_{(-2,1)} = \frac{X-1}{X+1} X \partial_X + \frac{X}{(X+1)^2} (1-s) + \left( k_\alpha + k_{\alpha/2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$



## References

- [1] D. Barbasch, A. Moy, *A unitary criterion for  $p$ -adic groups*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **98** (1989) pp. 19–38.
- [2] D. Barbasch, D. Ciubotaru, *Star operations for graded affine Hecke algebras*, In: *Representation Theory, Automorphic Forms & Complex Geometry (A Tribute to Wilfried Schmid)*, International Press Boston (2020) pp. 107–138.
- [3] V. Bargman, *Irreducible unitary representations of the Lorentz group*, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. **48** (1947) pp. 568–640.
- [4] R. J. Beerends, *The Abel transform and shift operators*, *Compositio Mathematica*, **66**, no 2 (1988), pp. 145–197.
- [5] J. N. Bernstein, *On the support of Plancherel measure*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **5** (1988), no. 4, pp. 663–710.
- [6] A. Borel, *Admissible representations of a semi-simple group over a local field with vectors fixed under an Iwahori subgroup*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **35** (1976), pp. 233–259.
- [7] A. Braverman, P. Etingof, and M. Finkelberg, *Cyclotomic double affine Hecke algebras*, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **53**(5) (2020) pp. 1249–1312. With an appendix by Hiraku Nakajima and Daisuke Yamakawa.
- [8] A. Braverman, D. Kazhdan, and Manish M. Patnaik, *Iwahori Hecke algebras for  $p$ -adic loop groups*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **204** (2016) pp. 347–442.
- [9] W. Casselman, *The unramified principal series of  $p$ -adic groups. I. The spherical function*, *Compositio Mathematica*, tome **40**, no 3 (1980), pp. 387–406.
- [10] W. Casselman; Jeff Adler, Peter Anspach, John Boller, Neil Chriss, Stephen DeBacker, Bill Graham, Jason Levy, Alan Roche, and Colin Rust, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups*, *Notes of W. Casselman’s lectures in the Séminaire Paul Sally* (1992–93).
- [11] I. Cherednik, *A unification of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras*. *Invent. Math.* **106** (1991), pp. 411–432.
- [12] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures*, *Ann. Math. (2)* **141** (1995), pp. 191–216.
- [13] D. Ciubotaru, *Types and unitary representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, *Invent. Math.* **213** (2018) no. 1, pp. 237–269.
- [14] D. Ciubotaru, P. E. Trapa, *Functors for unitary representations of classical real groups and affine Hecke algebras*, *Advances in Mathematics* **227**, Issue 4 (2011), pp. 1585–1611.
- [15] W. Couwenberg, G. J. Heckman, E. Looijenga, *Geometric structures on the complement of a projective arrangement*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **101** (2005), pp. 69–161.
- [16] P. Delorme, *Schwartz space for the hypergeometric Fourier transform. (With an appendix by Mustapha Tinfou)*. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **168**(1) (1999) pp. 1999–3449.
- [17] J.–F. van Diejen *Integrability of difference Calogero-Moser systems*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **35**(6) (1994), pp. 2983–3004.
- [18] J.–F. van Diejen, E. Emsiz, *Bispectral dual difference equations for the quantum Toda chain, with boundary perturbations*, *IMRN Vol.* **2019** (2019) Issue 12 pp. 3740–3767.

- [19] L. Ehrenpreis and F. I. Mautner, *Some properties of the Fourier transform on semi-simple Lie groups*, I (Ann. of Math. **61** (1955) 406–443), II (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1957), 1–55, II (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **901** (1959), 431–484).
- [20] I. Gelfand, *Spherical functions on symmetric Riemann spaces*, Doklady Akad. Nauk. SSSR. vol. **70** (1950) pp. 5–8.
- [21] I. Gelfand, M. Naimark, *The principal series of irreducible representations of the complex unimodular group*, Doklady Acad. Nauk. SSSR. vol. **56** (1947) pp. 3–4.
- [22] S. G. Gindikin, F. I. Karpelevich, *Plancherel measure for symmetric Riemannian spaces of non-positive curvature*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962) pp. 252–255.
- [23] S. G. Gindikin, F. I. Karpelevich, *On an integral associated with Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Twelve Papers on Functional Analysis and Geometry, American Mathematical Society translations, vol. **85**, (1969) pp. 249–258.
- [24] R. Godement, *A theory of spherical functions. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **73**, (1952), pp. 496–556.
- [25] W. Groenevelt, E. Koelink, and J. Kustermans, *The Dual Quantum Group for the Quantum Group Analog of the Normalizer of  $SU(1,1)$  in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$* , IMRN **2010** (2009), pp. 1167–1314.
- [26] Harish–Chandra, *On some applications of the universal enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), pp. 28–96.
- [27] Harish–Chandra, *On the Plancherel Formula for the Right  $K$ -Invariant Functions on a Semisimple Lie Group*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Vol. **40**, No. 3 (1954), pp. 200–204.
- [28] Harish–Chandra, *A formula for semisimple Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), pp. 733–760.
- [29] Harish–Chandra, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, I*, American J. of Math. **80** (1958), pp. 241–310.
- [30] Harish–Chandra, *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, II*, American J. of Math. **80** (1958), pp. 553–613.
- [31] Harish–Chandra, *Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II, Explicit determination of the character*, Acta Mathematica, **116** (1966) pp. 1–111.
- [32] G. J. Heckman and E. M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Compositio Math **64** (1987), pp. 329–352.
- [33] G. J. Heckman, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions II*, Compositio Math **64** (1987), pp. 353–373.
- [34] G. J. Heckman, *A Remark on the Dunkl Differential-Difference Operators*, In: Harmonic analysis on reductive groups, Birkhäuser Boston, 1991, pp. 181–191.
- [35] G. J. Heckman, *An elementary approach to the hypergeometric shift operators of Opdam*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), 341–350.
- [36] G. J. Heckman, H. Schlichtkrull, *Harmonic Analysis and Special Functions on Symmetric Spaces*, Perspectives in Mathematics, Academic Press 1995.
- [37] G. J. Heckman, E. M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for affine Hecke algebras*, Current Developments in Mathematics, 1996 (Cambridge, MA), 37–60, Intern. Press, 1997
- [38] G. J. Heckman, E. M. Opdam, *Yang’s system of particles and Hecke algebras*, Annals of mathematics **145** (1997), pp. 139–173.

- [39] G. J. Heckman, E. Looijenga, *The Moduli Space of Rational Elliptic Surfaces*, Algebraic Geometry 2000, Azumino **36**, 185–249
- [40] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis. Integral Geometry, Invariant Differential Operators and Spherical Functions*, Academic Press, (1984).
- [41] B. Ion, S. Sahi, *Double Affine Hecke Algebras and Congruence Groups*, *Memiors of the American Mathematical Society* **268** (2021).
- [42] N. Iwahori, H. Matsumoto, *On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of  $p$ -adic Chevalley groups*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **25** (1965) 5–48,
- [43] N. Iwahori, *Generalized Tits system (Bruhat decomposition) on  $p$ -adic semisimple groups*, in: Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. IX. A.M.S. Providence, 1966.
- [44] D. Jordan, *Quantized multiplicative quiver varieties*, *Adv. Math.* **250** (2014), pp. 420–466.
- [45] S. Kato, A. Khoroshkin, L. Makedonskyi, *Categorification of DAHA and Macdonald polynomials*, [arXiv:2103.10009](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.10009) (2024).
- [46] M. Kapranov, *Double Affine Hecke Algebras and 2-Dimensional Local Fields*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, **14** (2000) pp. 239–262.
- [47] E. Koelink and J. V. Stokman. *The Askey-Wilson function transform*, *IMRN* **22** (2001), pp. 1203–1227.
- [48] Y. Komori, K. Hikami *Quantum integrability of the generalized elliptic Ruijsenaars models*, *J. Phys. A*, **30** (12) (1997) pp. 4341–4364
- [49] A. W. Knap, *The Gindikin-Karpelevič formula and intertwining operators. Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, **210** (2003) pp. 145–159.
- [50] A. W. Knap, D. Vogan, *Cohomological Induction and Unitary Representations*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 1995.
- [51] F. Knop, *A Harish-Chandra Homomorphism for Reductive Group Actions*, *Annals of Mathematics*, **140**, No. 2 (1994), pp. 253–288.
- [52] T. H. Koornwinder, *Askey-Wilson polynomials for root systems of type BC*, *Contemp. Math.* **138** (1992), 189–204.
- [53] G. Letzter, *Quantum zonal spherical functions and Macdonald polynomials*, *Adv. Math.* **189** (2004), 88–147.
- [54] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke Algebras and Their Graded Version*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, **2**, No. 3 (1989), pp. 599–635.
- [55] I. G. Macdonald, *Spherical functions on a group of  $p$ -adic type*, *Publ. Ramanujan Institute* **2** (1971).
- [56] I. G. Macdonald, *Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems*, unpublished manuscript, 1988; later published in *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **45** (2000).
- [57] I. G. Macdonald, *Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials*, *Astérisque* **237** (1996), pp. 189–207.
- [58] M. Noumi, *Macdonalds symmetric polynomials as zonal spherical functions on some quantum homogeneous spaces*, *Adv. Math.* **123** (1) (1996), pp. 16–77.
- [59] A. Oblomkov, *Deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism for the cyclic quiver*. *Math. Res. Lett.*, **14** (3) (2007), pp. 359–372.
- [60] H. Oda, *Generalization of Harish-Chandra’s basic theorem for Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-compact type*, *Adv. Math.*, **208** (2) (2007), pp. 549–596.

- [61] H. Oda, *Differential-difference operators and radial part formulas for non-invariant elements*, preprint (2014), [arXiv:1402.3231](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.3231).
- [62] E. M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions III*, *Compositio Math.* **67** (1988), 21–49.
- [63] E. M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions IV*, *Compositio Math.* **67** (1988), 191–209.
- [64] E. M. Opdam, *Some applications of hypergeometric shift operators*, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 1–18.
- [65] E. M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, *Acta Math.*, **175** (1995), pp. 75–121.
- [66] E. M. Opdam, *Cuspidal hypergeometric functions*, *Methods and applications of analysis* **6** (1999) pp. 67–80.
- [67] E. M. Opdam, *An analogue of the Gauss summation formula for hypergeometric functions related to root systems*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **212**, Issue: 3, (1993) pp. 311–336.
- [68] E. M. Opdam, *Lecture Notes on Dunkl Operators for Real and Complex Reflection Groups*, *Mathematical Society of Japan Memoirs* **8**, 2000.
- [69] E.M. Opdam, *A generating formula for the trace of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra*, In: *Studies in Memory of Issai Schur* (eds. Anthony Joseph, Anna Melnikov, Rudolf Rentschler), *Progress in Mathematics* **210** (2003), pp. 301–323.
- [70] E. M. Opdam, *On the spectral decomposition of affine Hecke algebras*, *Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu* **3**(4), pp. 531–648 (2004).
- [71] E. M. Opdam, V. Toledano Laredo, *Nonsymmetric shift operators*, To appear.
- [72] J. Rosenberg, *A Quick Proof of Harish-Chandra’s Plancherel Theorem for Spherical Functions on a Semisimple Lie Group*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1977), pp. 143–149
- [73] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero–Moser systems and elliptic function identities*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987), pp. 191–213.
- [74] S. Sahi, *Nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials and duality*. *Ann. of Math.* **150** (1999), no. 1, pp. 267–282.
- [75] S. Sahi, J. Stokman, V. Venkateswaran, *Quasi-polynomial representations of double affine Hecke algebras*, [arXiv:2204.13729](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.13729) (2022).
- [76] Satake, I., *Theory of spherical functions on reductive algebraic groups over  $p$ -adic fields*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **18** (1963), pp. 5–69.
- [77] G. Schiffmann, *Intégrales d’entrelacement et fonctions de Whittaker*, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* **99** (1971), pp. 3–72.
- [78] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Double affine Hecke algebras at roots of unity*. *Represent. Theory*, **14** (2010), pp. 510–600.
- [79] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Double Affine Hecke Algebras and Affine Flag Manifolds, I*, In: *Affine Flag Manifolds and Principal Bundles*, *Trends in Mathematics* (2010) pp. 233–289.
- [80] J. J. Wen, *Harish-Chandra isomorphism for cyclotomic double affine hecke algebras*, [arXiv:2407.07679](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.07679) (2024).
- [81] Z. Yun, *Global Springer Theory*, *Advances in Mathematics Volume* **228** (2011), pp. 266–328

KORTEWEG DE VRIES INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, SCIENCE PARK 904, 1098 XH AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS, EMAIL: E.M.OPDAM@UVA.NL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, 360 HUNTINGTON AVENUE, BOSTON, MA, 02115, USA, EMAIL: V.TOLEDANOLAREDO@NEU.EDU