-HURWITZ NUMBERS FROM REFINED TOPOLOGICAL RECURSION

NITIN KUMAR CHIDAMBARAM, MACIEJ DOŁĘGA, AND KENTO OSUGA

ABSTRACT. We prove that single G-weighted b-Hurwitz numbers with internal faces are computed by refined topological recursion on a rational spectral curve, for certain rational weights G. Consequently, the b-Hurwitz generating function analytically continues to a rational curve. In particular, our results cover the cases of b-monotone Hurwitz numbers, and the enumeration of maps and bipartite maps (with internal faces) on non-oriented surfaces. As an application, we prove that the correlators of the Gaussian, Jacobi and Laguerre β -ensembles are computed by refined topological recursion.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

Motivated by topological string theory, Bouchard and Mariño [\[BMn08\]](#page-37-0) conjectured that simple Hurwitz numbers can be computed using the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion formalism $[CEO₀₆, EO₀₇]$. Subsequently proved in $[EMS₁₁]$, this conjecture sparked an explosion of activity relating Hurwitz theory and topological recursion [\[DDM17,](#page-37-4) [DLN16,](#page-37-5) [KPS22,](#page-38-0) [DBKPS19,](#page-37-6) [BDK](#page-37-7)⁺23] culminating with the following recent result of $[ACEH18, BDBKS20]$ $[ACEH18, BDBKS20]$ $[ACEH18, BDBKS20]$: whenever the weight G is a rational function times an exponential, G-weighted Hurwitz numbers can be computed by topological recursion on an associated spectral curve. The existence of topological recursion is a key result that controls the structure of weighted Hurwitz numbers. Particular important consequences include the existence of a rational parametrization for their generating functions, existence of quantum curves and determinantal formulas, and ELSV-type formulas generalizing [\[ELSV01\]](#page-37-8).

NKC acknowledges the support of the ERC Starting Grant 948885, and the Royal Society University Research Fellowship. This research was funded in whole or in part by Narodowe Centrum Nauki, grant 2021/42/E/ST1/00162. KO is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 22KJ0715 and 23K12968 (also in part by 21H04994 and 24K00525). For the purpose of Open Access, the authors have applied a CC-BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission.

b-deformation. Motivated in part by the β -deformation of matrix models, one-parameter deformations of both Hurwitz theory and topological recursion have been studied intensely in the last few years. Defined by $[CD22]$, G-weighted b-Hurwitz theory is a one-parameter interpolation between classical (complex) Hurwitz theory and its non-orientable (real) version. Given a formal power series $G(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} g_i z^i$, the tau function of G-weighted b-Hurwitz numbers, denoted $\tau_{G}^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ $\mathcal{L}_G^{(0)}$, is defined as

$$
\tau_G^{(\mathfrak{b})}:=\sum_{d\geq 0}\left(\frac{t\cdot \sqrt{\alpha}}{\hbar}\right)^d\sum_{\lambda\vdash d}\check{J}_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(\sqrt{\alpha}\check{\mathbf{p}})\prod_{\square\in \lambda}G(\hbar\cdot \tilde{c}_{\alpha}(\square)),
$$

where $\check{J}_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ is an appropriately normalized Jack symmetric function and \tilde{c}_α is the deformed content of the box of the Young diagram (see Section 2 for a detailed explanation). The tau function admits a topological expansion

$$
(1) \t\t\t \tau_G^{(b)} = \exp \left(\sum_{g \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \frac{\hbar^{2g-2+n}}{n!} F_{g,n} [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n] \frac{\tilde{p}_{\mu_1}}{\mu_1} \cdots \frac{\tilde{p}_{\mu_n}}{\mu_n} \right),
$$

and the coefficients $F_{g,n}$ admit a combinatorial interpretation in terms of weighted real (non-oriented) branched coverings where the parameter $\mathbf{b} = \sqrt{\alpha}^{-1}$ – $\sqrt{\alpha}$ measures non-orientability in a precise sense [\[CD22\]](#page-37-9). When $\vec{b} = 0$, only orientable contributions survive and $\tau_G^{(0)}$ $\mathop{G}\limits^{(0)}$ is the generating function of G -weighted Hurwitz numbers, which is known to be a Kadomtsev–Petviashvili tau function [\[GPH17\]](#page-38-1).

Refined topological recursion, defined by [\[Osu24b\]](#page-38-2) building on [\[CE06,](#page-37-10) [KO23\]](#page-38-3), is a oneparameter deformation of the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion whose underlying (global) symmetry is the Virasoro algebra at central charge $c = 1 - 6b^2$. Refined topological recursion takes as input a refined spectral curve, denoted \mathcal{S}_{μ} , which consists of the data $(\Sigma, x, y, \mathcal{P}_+, \{\mu_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{P}_+}) - \Sigma$ is a compact Riemann surface; x, y are two non-constant meromorphic functions on Σ such that $x : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is of degree two; \mathcal{P}_+ is a subset of the set of the zeroes and poles of ydx (possibly with some shift); and $\mu_a \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex number for every such $a \in \mathcal{P}_+$.

The data of a refined spectral curve defines the unstable correlators $\omega_{0,1}, \omega_{0,2}$, and $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$, and the refined topological recursion is a recursive (on the integer $(2g-2+n)$) construction of the stable correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ for $(g,n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $(2g - 2 + n) > 0$. The $\omega_{g,n}$ are symmetric meromorphic *n*-differentials on Σ that are polynomials in the parameter **b** of degree at most 2g (see Section [3](#page-9-0) for their definition). Upon setting $\mathfrak{b} = 0$, we recover the usual Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion correlators.

Refined topological recursion for b-Hurwitz numbers. Our first main result is that for certain rational weights $G(z)$, G-weighted b-Hurwitz numbers can be computed by refined topological recursion. In order to state the result, let $G(z)$ be one of the following rational weights:

.

(2)
$$
G(z) = \frac{(u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)}{v - z}
$$
, $(u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)$, $\frac{1}{v - z}$, or $\frac{u_1 + z}{v - z}$

We consider the corresponding refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}$ defined as follows. Set $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ and choose the meromorphic functions $x(z) = t \frac{G(z)}{z}$ $\frac{(z)}{z}$ and $y(z) = \frac{z}{x(z)}$ $\frac{z}{x(z)}$, and the data \mathcal{P}_+ as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{+} = \left\{0, -u_1, -\frac{u_1 u_2}{u_1 + u_2 + v}\right\}, \quad \{0, -u_1\}, \quad \{0\}, \quad \text{or} \quad \{0, -u_1\},
$$

for G as in [\(2\)](#page-1-0) respectively. In each of the above cases, we choose $\mu_a = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{P}_+$ except $\mu_0 = -1$ to complete the definition of the refined spectral curve.

Theorem 1.1. For each of the weights $G(z)$ given in [\(2\)](#page-1-0), the refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ on the corresponding refined spectral curve S_{μ} are generating functions for Gweighted **b**-Hurwitz numbers. More precisely, for any $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ except $(g, n) = (\frac{1}{2})^n$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1), expanding the $\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ near the point $z_i = 0$ in the local coordinate $x(z_i)^{-1}$ gives

$$
\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=\sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n\geq 1}F_{g,n}[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n]\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{dx(z_i)}{x(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}},
$$

where the $F_{q,n}$ are the expansion coefficients of the tau function $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $i_G^{(0)}$ in [\(1\)](#page-1-1). The above formula also holds for $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ up to certain explicit corrections (see Theorem [4.6](#page-18-0) and Theorem [5.11\)](#page-27-0).

Our theorem is the first known result that the generating function of b-Hurwitz numbers has an analytic continuation to a rational algebraic curve (the spectral curve). Simultaneously, we extend the topological recursion result of [\[BDBKS20,](#page-36-2) [ACEH18\]](#page-36-1) and the study of rational parametrizations in enumerative combinatorics $[Gaog3, Chao9, GGPN13]$ $[Gaog3, Chao9, GGPN13]$ to new models with non-orientable topologies. It is worth noting that the structure of this analytic continuation differs substantially from the $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ case – indeed, the $\omega_{q,n}$ have poles along the "anti-diagonals" $z_i = \sigma(z_j)$ for any $i, j \in [n]$ (and at a subset of P for the models with internal faces which will be discussed below). The weights $G(z) = (u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)$ and $G(z) = \frac{1}{v-z}$ covered in the above theorem correspond to b-bipartite maps and b-monotone Hurwitz numbers respectively [\[CD22,](#page-37-9) [BCD23\]](#page-36-3).

Application to β **-ensembles.** As an application of Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) we prove in Section [6.1](#page-28-1) that refined topological recursion computes the correlators of three important models of β -ensembles. A β -ensemble is defined by a certain probability measure $\widetilde{d\mu}_{N,\beta}^V$ on \mathbb{R}^N which depends on a function V known as the potential. We consider three classical cases:

• Gaussian β -ensemble where $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$ $\frac{x^2}{2}$;

•
$$
\text{Jacobi }\beta\text{-}ensemble \text{ where } V(x) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - c\right) \log(x) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - d\right) \log(1-x) \text{ with } c, d > 0;
$$

• and, Laguerre β -ensemble where $V(x) = x + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - c \right) \log(x)$ with $c > 0$;

which correspond to the spectra of tridiagonal random matrices constructed in [\[DE02\]](#page-37-12).

In random matrix theory, one is interested in computing the connected correlators $\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^N\lambda_k^{k_1},\ldots,\sum_{k=1}^N\lambda_k^{k_n}\right.$ $\binom{k_n}{k}$ $\mu_{N;\beta}^V$ which we can encode in the following formal power series in t :

(3)
$$
W_n^V(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dx_i}{x_i^{k_i+1}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_1}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_n} \right\}_{\mu_{N;\beta}^V}^{\circ}.
$$

When the potential V is chosen appropriately, various β -ensembles, including the three classical models above, admit a topological expansion in $1/N$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [\[BG13\]](#page-37-13), whose expansion coefficients can be identified as weighted $\mathfrak b$ -Hurwitz numbers [\[Ruz23\]](#page-38-6). Thus, applying Theorem 1.1 (and Theorem [A.3](#page-35-0) for the Gaussian case), we prove in Theorem [6.1](#page-30-0) that the topological expansion of the correlators W_n^V can be computed by refined topological recursion. An abbreviated version of this statement reads as follows.

Corollary 1.2. With the identification $\mathbf{b} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ $\frac{\beta}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}}$, the correlators W^V_n of the Gaussian, $\frac{1}{4}$ acobi and Laguerre β -ensembles can be computed by refined topological recursion. More precisely, for any $n > 1$, we have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}}^n W_n^V(x(z_1),\ldots,x(z_n)) = \sum_{g\in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}}N\right)^{2-2g-n} \omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n),
$$

as a series expansion near $z_i = 0$, when $N \to \infty$. The correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ are computed by refined topological recursion on a refined spectral curve S_{μ} that depends on the β -ensemble considered (see Theorem [6.1](#page-30-0) for the definition of S_{μ} in each of the three cases). The above formula also holds for $n = 1$ up to an explicit correction for $g = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$.

It is worth noting that the formula of Corollary [1.2](#page-3-0) holds as an equality of formal power series in t such that for any fixed power of t , the LHS is an analytic function of N whose expansion as $N \to \infty$ is given by the RHS. In particular, we emphasize that we do not need to treat the β -ensembles formally in $1/N$. Our theorem makes the recursive structure found in [\[CE06\]](#page-37-10) (in the formal setting) mathematically precise, and gives an explicit description of the analytic structure of the correlators using the formalism of refined topological recursion.

Inserting internal faces. Our second main result is an extension of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) to the case of b-Hurwitz numbers with internal faces. The terminology "internal faces" stems from the interpretation of $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $G_G^{(0)}$ as a generating function for colored monotone Hurwitz maps, for rational weight G [\[BCD23,](#page-36-3) [Ruz23\]](#page-38-6). The expansion coefficient $F_{g,n}[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n]$ is (up to an automorphism factor) a weighted count of colored monotone Hurwitz maps on nonoriented surfaces of genus g with n faces of degrees μ_1, \dots, μ_n . In this interpretation, the oriented surfaces of genus *y* with *n* faces of degrees μ_1, \dots, μ_n . In this interpretation, the dependence on **b** is through the factor $(-\sqrt{\alpha}b)^{\nu(M)}$, where $\nu(M)$ is the so-called measure of non-orientability of a map M – see Section [2.2](#page-6-0) for precise statements.

We are interested in counting colored monotone Hurwitz maps of genus q with n marked boundary faces of degrees μ_1, \dots, μ_n , where we allow the maps to also have arbitrarily many internal faces of degree at most $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote the appropriately weighted many miernal faces of degree at most $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote the appropriately weighted
generating series of such maps, where an internal face of degree *i* is weighted by $\sqrt{\alpha} \epsilon \tilde{p}_i$, by $F_{q,n}^D[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n;\epsilon]$. The equivalent algebraic definition is given by the following formula:

$$
(4) \qquad \qquad F^{D}_{g,n}[\mu_{1},\ldots,\mu_{n};\epsilon] := [\hbar^{2g-2+n}] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_{\mu_{i}}} \cdot \log \tau_{G}^{(b)} \right) \Bigg|_{\substack{\tilde{p}_{i} \mapsto \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar} p_{i} \forall \, i \leq D, \\ \tilde{p}_{i}=0 \forall \, i>D}}.
$$

Note in particular that these $F_{q,n}^D$ are also functions of p_1, \ldots, p_D and ϵ . When $\mathfrak{b} = 0$, the problem of counting weighted Hurwitz numbers with internal faces was considered in [\[BDBKS22,](#page-36-4) [BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5), and was proved to be governed by topological recursion.

We prove that when G is one of the weights in (2) , G-weighted b-Hurwitz numbers with internal faces are computed by refined topological recursion. The definition of the corresponding genus zero refined spectral curve, denoted $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}^D = (\mathbb{P}^1, X(z), Y(z), \mathcal{P}_+, \{\mu_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{P}_+})$), is rather technical and can be found in Definition 5.5 and Section 5.5 .

Theorem 1.3. For any of the weights $G(z)$ given in [\(2\)](#page-1-0), the refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ on the corresponding refined spectral curve S_{μ}^D are generating functions for Gweighted $\ddot{\textbf{b}}$ -Hurwitz numbers with internal faces of degree at most D. More precisely, for any $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ except $(g, n) = (0, 1), (\frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, expanding the $\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ near the point $z_i = 0$ in the local coordinate $X(z_i)^{-1}$ gives

$$
\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n \geq 1} F_{g,n}^D[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n;\epsilon] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dX(z_i)}{X(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}}
$$

where the $F_{g,n}^D$ are defined in [\(4\)](#page-3-1). The above formula also holds for $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ up to certain explicit corrections (see Theorem 5.10 and Theorem 5.12).

When the weight is $G(z) = (u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)$, [\[CD22\]](#page-37-9) proves that the coefficients $F_{q,n}^D[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n;\epsilon]$ are the b-weighted generating series of bipartite maps on a non-oriented surface of genus g with *n* boundary faces of degrees μ_1, \dots, μ_n and arbitrarily many internal faces of degree at most D . Another important case in which a result analogous to Theorem [1.3](#page-3-2) holds is the enumeration of (not necessarily bipartite) maps with internal faces, which we address in Appendix [A.](#page-33-0) We extend the previously known results on rational parametrization of generating series of maps and bipartite maps to arbitrary topologies and weighted internal faces of arbitrary bounded degrees. The precise statements for bipartite maps and maps can be found in Theorem [6.3.](#page-32-0)

Context and future work. To prove Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-0) we use Virasoro-type constraints found in [\[CDO24\]](#page-37-14) that uniquely determine the tau function $\tau_C^{(b)}$ $G^{(0)}$. We turn these constraints into formal loop equations for the generating functions of the $F_{q,n}$ and prove that the refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ uniquely solve these loop equations using the results of $[KO23]$. Our result extends the result of $[ACEH18, BDBKS20]$ $[ACEH18, BDBKS20]$ $[ACEH18, BDBKS20]$ to arbitrary $\mathfrak b$ for the restricted choice of weights $G(z)$ in equation [\(2\)](#page-1-0). However, the results of loc. cit. rely heavily on KP integrability, which is unknown in the b-deformed setting and hence we cannot use their methods to prove our result.

The strategy of our proof applies to any rational weight $G(z)$, and indeed can be used to show that the generating function of rationally weighted b-Hurwitz numbers analytically continues to a rational curve $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ (extending the result of [\[BCU24\]](#page-36-6) to arbitrary b). The only obstruction is that the refined topological recursion formalism is yet to be defined when the associated branched covering $x : \Sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is of degree greater than 2. We will return to this question in the future.

The key idea in extending Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.3 for b-Hurwitz numbers with internal faces is that inserting internal faces in the combinatorial models can be interpreted as the application of the variational formula in topological recursion. This technique was employed by [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5) in the $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ setting, and we use the refined variational formula proved in [\[Osu24a\]](#page-38-7) to extend the result to arbitrary b.

In his list of unsolved problems in map enumeration [\[Ben91\]](#page-37-15), Bender posed a question regarding the origin of a universal pattern that has been discovered and proven for various models of maps over the years. This universal pattern matches physics predictions from the so-called double scaling limits in quantum gravity. Eynard explained in [\[Eyn16,](#page-37-16) Chapter 5] how the structural properties of the topological recursion correlators can be used to prove these predictions. In particular, the recent results in the $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ setting on topological recursion applied to weighted Hurwitz numbers with internal faces provide a general answer to Bender's question in the case of orientable surfaces. However, in the non-orientable case, this asymptotic pattern is proved only for some special models, and remains conjectural in general. We plan to use refined topological recursion to tackle this problem in future work.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Guillaume Chapuy and Elba Garcia-Failde for many helpful discussions.

2. **b-HURWITZ NUMBERS**

2.1. Jack polynomials. Let $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\lambda_\lambda^{(\alpha)}$ be the integral version of the Jack symmetric function indexed by an integer partition $\hat{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell)$ and a parameter α . There are many equivalent definitions of these symmetric functions, and the standard references are [\[Sta89,](#page-38-8) [Mac95\]](#page-38-9). We will follow the presentation from $[CDO₂₄, Section 2.1]$ that is well suited for our purposes. After identifying the normalized power sum symmetric functions

$$
\sqrt{\alpha}^{-1} \sum_{j \ge 1} x_j^i
$$

with the formal variable \tilde{p}_i , we can characterize $J^{(\alpha)}_\mathbf{1}$ λ ^(a) as eigenfunctions of a certain differential operator acting on the graded polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})[\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}]:=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})[\tilde{p}_1,\tilde{p}_2,\dots]$ with the grading deg(\tilde{p}_i) := *i*. Let

$$
J_k = \begin{cases} \hbar k \partial_{\tilde{p}_k} & k \ge 0, \\ 0 & k = 0, \\ \hbar \tilde{p}_{-k} & k < 0. \end{cases}
$$

be a representation of the Heisenberg algebra acting on $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})[\tilde{\mathbf{p}}]$. Then, the Jack symmetric functions $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\chi^{(\alpha)}$ are the unique homogeneous elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})[\tilde{p}]$ of degree $|\lambda| :=$ $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_\ell$ such that:

J1: $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^{(\alpha)}$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplace–Beltrami operator

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha} := \frac{\hbar^{-3}}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} J_{-k}^1 \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} J_{\ell}^1 J_{k-\ell}^1 - (k-1)\hbar \cdot \mathfrak{b} \cdot J_k^1 \right), \quad \mathfrak{b} := \sqrt{\alpha}^{-1} - \sqrt{\alpha},
$$

with eigenvalue equal to

$$
\sum_{(x,y)\in\lambda}\tilde{c}_{\alpha}(x,y), \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{c}_{\alpha}(x,y):=\sqrt{\alpha}(x-1)-\sqrt{\alpha}^{-1}(y-1);
$$

- **J2:** the transition matrix from $\left\{ \int_{1}^{(\alpha)} \right\}$ $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \lambda \end{matrix} \right\}$ to the monomial symmetric functions ${m_\lambda}_{|\lambda|=n}$ is lower triangular;
- J3: $J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\tilde{p}_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ is normalized such that $[\tilde{p}_1^{|\lambda|}]$ $\int_1^{|\lambda|} J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ is equal to $\sqrt{\alpha}^{|\lambda|}$; with this normalization, we denote $j_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}$ $\chi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)} := \langle J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ $\langle \hat{\rho}_\lambda, \hat{\rho}_\mu \rangle := \delta_{\lambda, \mu} \frac{|\lambda|!}{\text{Aut}(\lambda)}$ $\frac{|\lambda|!}{\text{Aut}(\lambda)}$.

Remark 2.1. The use of \tilde{p}_i instead of usual (non-normalized) power sum symmetric functions $p_i = \sqrt{\alpha} \tilde{p}_i$, as well as using the parameter **b** instead of $b := \alpha - 1$ is not standard. However, we will see later that this normalization is more natural in the context of refined topological recursion.

2.2. b-Hurwitz numbers: combinatorial interpretation. Let $G(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i z^i$ be an invertible formal power series. We define the tau function of G -weighted b -deformed single Hurwitz numbers as the following formal power series:

$$
(5) \quad \tau_G^{(b)} = \sum_{d \ge 0} \left(\frac{t \cdot \sqrt{\alpha}}{\hbar} \right)^d \sum_{\lambda \vdash d} \frac{J_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}(\sqrt{\alpha}\tilde{\mathbf{p}})}{j_{\lambda}^{(\alpha)}} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} G(\hbar \cdot \tilde{c}_{\alpha}(\square)) =
$$

$$
\exp \left(\sum_{g \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}} \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}} \frac{\hbar^{2g-2+n}}{n!} F_{g,n}^G[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n] \frac{\tilde{p}_{\mu_1}}{\mu_1} \cdots \frac{\tilde{p}_{\mu_n}}{\mu_n} \right)
$$

 $\frac{1}{2}$. The set of This function was introduced in $[CD22]$ in the more general case of b-deformed double Hurwitz numbers, where the topological/combinatorial interpretation of $F_{q,n}^G$ as the generating series of weighted real (non-oriented) branched coverings of genus q with n boundaries was proved. In the special case $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ it reduces to the tau function of the 2D Toda hierarchy corresponding to the generating series of G -weighted double Hurwitz numbers studied in $[GPH17]$. In the case of rationally weighted single b -Hurwitz numbers the partition function $\tau_G^{\mathfrak{b}}$ is the generating function of colored monotone Hurwitz numbers introduced in $[BCD23]$ for the monotone case and extended in $[Ruz23]$ to the general case^{[1](#page-6-1)}.

Definition 2.2 (Colored monotone Hurwitz map). A monotone Hurwitz map M of genus g with $v(M) = d$ vertices and $e(M) = r$ edges is a 2-cell embedding of a loopless labeled multigraph on a compact surface (orientable or not) of genus q , with the following properties:

- HM1: the vertices of the map are labeled from 1 to d , and the neighborhood of each vertex is equipped with an orientation, which defines a local orientation at every vertex. Moreover each vertex has a distinguished corner called active;
- **HM2:** the edges of the map are labeled from e_1 to e_r . We let \mathcal{M}_i be the submap of $\mathcal M$ induced by edges e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_i .
- **HM3:** for each *i* in {1, ..., *r*}, let $a_i < b_i$ be the two vertices incident to the edge e_i . Then $b_1 \leq \cdots \leq b_r;$
- **HM4:** in the map M_i , the following conditions (with respect to the local orientations inherited from M) must be met:
	- (i): the active corner at b_i immediately follows the edge e_i ;
	- (ii): the active corner at a_i is opposite (with respect to e_i) to the active corner at b_i ;
	- (iii): if the edge e_i is disconnecting in M_i , then the local orientations at a_i and b_i are compatible in M_i (i.e. they can be jointly extended to a neighborhood of e_i).

The **degree** of a face is its number of active corners. These face degrees form a partition of d called the degree profile of M. Note that the genus g of a monotone Hurwitz map M with degree profile (k_1, \ldots, k_n) is given by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula

$$
d-r+n=2-2g.
$$

A colored monotone Hurwitz map (M, c) is a monotone Hurwitz map M equipped with an $(M|N)$ -coloring $c: \{1, ..., r\} \longrightarrow \{1, ..., M+N\}$, where $M, N \geq 0$, such that

• if $1 \le i < j \le r$ and $c(i) = c(j) \le N$ then $b_i < b_j$;

.

¹In case of a polynomial weight, there is a different model of non-orientable constellations introduced in [\[CD22\]](#page-37-9) that we will discuss in Section [6](#page-28-0)

FIGURE 1. On the left hand side we show a graphical representation of the conditions $HM_4:(i)+(ii)$ and $HM_4:(iii)$ for a monotone Hurwitz map represented as a ribbon graph. In the middle we represent a monotone Hurwitz map embedded into the Klein bottle. On the right hand side we show the same map as a ribbon graph.

• if $1 \le i < j \le r$ and $b_i = b_j$ then $c(i) \le c(j)$.

Example 2.3. Consider the monotone Hurwitz map depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-7-0) In the middle we represent it as an embedding into the Klein bottle, i.e. the non-orientable surface of genus 1. We realize the Klein bottle by identifying the opposite edges of a rectangle, so that the identification is consistent with the black arrows. The map has $d = 3$ vertices and their labels and local orientations are depicted in blue. It has $r = 5$ edges and the active corners are indicated by the small red arrows. The green and yellow regions corresponds to the faces so that the degree profile is (2, 1). The same monotone Hurwitz map is represented on the right as a ribbon graph, which is a small open neighborhood of the graph embedded in the surface. Note that the choice of which ribbons are "twisted" in this graphical representation is not unique. There is a unique (0|1)-coloring given by $c(i) \equiv 1$. There are 4 possible (1|1)colorings: $c(1) = c(3) = c(4) = 1$, $c(2), c(5) \in \{1, 2\}$. However, there are no (1|0) colorings, because $b_1 = b_2 = 2$, and the condition $c(1) < c(2)$ is violated.

In the following we collect the colored monotone Hurwitz maps by the generating series that will additionally "measure their non-orientability" by the following algorithm.

Definition 2.4. Let M be a monotone Hurwitz map. We iteratively remove either the vertex of maximum label (if it is isolated) or the edge of maximal label. Doing so we also collect a weight 1 or $-\sqrt{\alpha}b$ whenever we remove an edge e, by the following rules. Let M' be the map obtained from M by removing e . Then:

- (i) if *e* joins two active corners in the same face of \mathcal{M}' , the weight is 1 if *e* splits the face into two, and $-\sqrt{\alpha}$ b otherwise;
- (ii) if *e* joins two active corners in different faces of \mathcal{M}' , the weight is 1 if the local orientations of the active corners are compatible, and $-\sqrt{\alpha}$ b otherwise.

The product of all the collected weights is necessarily of the form $(-\sqrt{\alpha}b)^{\nu(M)}$ for some non-negative integer $\nu(\mathcal{M})$, which is called the **measure of non-orientability** of \mathcal{M} .

Example 2.5. We continue the example from Fig. [1.](#page-7-0) By removing the edge e_5 the associated active corners belong to the same face of M_4 , and M_4 has one face less than M_5 , so the associated weight is 1. Passing from M_4 to M_3 , the active corners belong to different

faces of M_3 , so by comparing the local orientation of 1 and 3 joined by e_4 , we see that it is not consistent, and the associated weight is $-\sqrt{\alpha}b$. By removing e_3 we split the map into two connected components, and in this case the weight is always 1 as a consequence of HM4:(iii). Finally, by removing e_2 we are in case (i) which assigns the weight 1, and at the end we end up with a single edge whose removal always gives the weight 1 as it disconnects end we end up with a single edge whose removal
the map. The total weight of the map is ($-\sqrt{\alpha}$ b).

Theorem 2.6. [\[BCD23,](#page-36-3) [Ruz23\]](#page-38-6) Let $G(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N} (1+u_i z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} (1+u_i z)}$ $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + u_i z)}{\prod_{i=N+1}^{M+N} (1 - u_i z)}$. Then

(6)
$$
\frac{\alpha^g |\mu|!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i} F_{g,n}[\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n] = t^{|\mu|} \sum_{(M,c)} (-\sqrt{\alpha} b)^{\nu(M)} u_{c(1)} \cdots u_{c(r)},
$$

where we sum over all colored monotone Hurwitz maps of genus q with the degree profile $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n).$

2.3. b-Hurwitz numbers with internal faces. In the following we would like to compute a variant of the b-Hurwitz generating function considered in the previous section, where some of the faces will be treated as marked boundaries.

Definition 2.7. Let M be a monotone Hurwitz map with the degree profile given by a permutation of the sequence $(k_1,\ldots,k_n,k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m})$. We say that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a monotone Hurwitz map with n boundaries and m internal faces if $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is obtained from $\mathcal M$ by additionally marking *n* active corners c_1, \ldots, c_n in different faces of *M*. We define the *boundary degree profile* $\deg_B(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) := [k_1, \ldots, k_n]$ where the corner c_i belongs to a face of degree k_i , and the internal degree profile $\deg_I(\tilde{M}) := (k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_{n+m})$. The weight of \tilde{M} is defined by assigning the weight $\epsilon t^i p_i$ to each internal face of degree *i*, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}):=\epsilon^m\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m}t^{k_i}p_{k_i}.
$$

Proposition 2.8. Let D, $n, k_1, \ldots, k_n > 0$. The following quantity is the weighted generating function of colored monotone Hurwitz maps with boundary degree $\deg_B(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) := [k_1, \dots, k_n]$ and internal faces of degree at most D :

$$
F_{g,n}^D\left[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon\right] := \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!} \sum_{k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m}=1}^D F_{g,n}\left[k_1,\ldots,k_n,k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m}\right] \prod_{i=n+1}^{m+n} \frac{p_{k_i}}{k_i}
$$
\n
$$
(7)
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha^{-g} t^{k_1+\cdots+k_n} \sum_{(\tilde{\mathcal{M}},c)} \frac{\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})}{v(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})!} \left(-\sqrt{\alpha} \mathfrak{b}\right)^{\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})} u_{c(1)} \cdots u_{c(r)}
$$

where we sum over all colored monotone Hurwitz maps of genus q with boundary degree profile $[k_1, \ldots, k_n]$ and internal faces of degree at most D.

Proof. Theorem 2.6 implies that the LHS of (7) is given by

$$
\alpha^{-g}t^{k_1+\cdots+k_n}\sum_{m\geq 0}\sum_{k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m}=1}^{D}\sum_{(M,c)}\frac{k_1\cdots k_n|\operatorname{Aut}(k_1,\ldots,k_{n+m})|}{\nu(M)!m!}\left(-\sqrt{\alpha}b\right)^{\nu(M)}\prod_{i=n+1}^{m+n}(\epsilon t^{k_i}p_{k_i})u_{c(1)}\cdots u_{c(r)},
$$

where we sum over colored monotone Hurwitz maps with degree profile (k_1, \ldots, k_{n+m}) . Fix such a map M and note that one can mark its n corners to obtain a monotone Hurwitz map

 $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with *n* boundaries and boundary degree profile $[k_1, \ldots, k_n]$ in $k_1 \cdots k_n \cdot \frac{|\text{Aut}(k_1, \ldots, k_{n+m})|}{|\text{Aut}(k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_{n+m})|}$ $|\text{Aut}(k_{n+1},...,k_{n+m})|$ ways. Therefore we can rewrite the above expression as a sum over all colored monotone Hurwitz maps with *n* boundaries, boundary degree profile $[k_1, \ldots, k_n]$, and internal degree profile $(k_{n+1}, \ldots, k_{n+m})$:

$$
\alpha^{-g}t^{k_1+\cdots+k_n}\sum_{k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m}=1}^D\sum_{(\tilde{M},c)}\frac{\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{M})}{v(\tilde{M})!}\frac{|\mathrm{Aut}(k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m})|}{m!}\left(-\sqrt{\alpha}\mathfrak{b}\right)^{v(\tilde{M})}u_{c(1)}\cdots u_{c(r)}.
$$

We conclude the proof by noting that the orbit of the action of the permutation group on the sequence $[k_{n+1},...,k_{n+m}]$ has size $\frac{m!}{|\text{Aut}(k_{n+1},...,k_{n+m})|}$. Thus the expression above is equal to the RHS of (7) .

Remark 2.9. Note that for the choice of the weight $G(z) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N} (u_i + z_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{M+N} (u_i - z_i)}$ $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{i} (u_i + z)}{\prod_{i=N+1}^{M+N} (u_i - z)}$ we also have com-binatorial interpretations analogous to Theorem [2.6](#page-8-0) and Proposition [2.8.](#page-8-2) Indeed, in order to get these interpretations it is enough to change variables $t \mapsto t \frac{u_1 \cdots u_N}{u_1 \cdots u_N}$ $\frac{u_1\cdots u_N}{u_{N+1}\cdots u_{N+M}}, u_i \mapsto u_i^{-1}$ in [\(6\)](#page-8-3) and [\(7\)](#page-8-1). In the following, we will use this parametrization as it produces less complicated equations, is consistent with the parametrization used in $[CDO₂₄]$, and is more suited to the applications discussed in Section [6.](#page-28-0)

2.4. Constraints for $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $G^{(0)}$. We finish this section by stating one of the main results of [\[CDO24\]](#page-37-14), which gives explicit constraints satisfied by $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $\chi_G^{(0)}$ in the case of rational weight G as in Theorem [2.6.](#page-8-0) These constraints are related to representations of finite rank W-algebras, and they uniquely determine $\tau_{G}^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ $L_G^{(b)}$. When $G(z) = \frac{(u_1+z)(u_2+z)}{v-z}$ $\frac{z_1(u_2+z)}{v-z}$, the constraints read as follows.

= 0

Theorem 2.10. [CDO₂₄, Theorem 4.8] For any $k \ge 0$ one has

$$
(8) \t\t D_k \tau_G^{(\mathfrak{b})} =
$$

where

$$
(9) \quad D_k := t\left(\sum_{j\geq 1} J_{k-j}J_j\right) + t(u_1 + u_2 - \hbar b k)J_k + tu_1u_2\delta_{k,0} + \sum_{j\geq 0} J_{k-j}J_{j+1} - (v + \hbar b k)J_{k+1}.
$$

Henceforth, we always work with the weight $G(z) = \frac{(u_1+z)(u_2+z)}{n-z}$ $\frac{z_1(u_2+z)}{v-z}$ unless specified otherwise.

3. Refined topological recursion

We give a brief review of the refined topological recursion formalism as introduced in [Osu $24b$] building on work done in [\[CE06,](#page-37-10) KO 23].

3.1. Refined spectral curve. For our purposes, we restrict to the setting of genus 0 curves. We refer the readers to [\[Osu24b,](#page-38-2) Section 2] for higher-genus curves.

Definition 3.1 ([\[Osu24b\]](#page-38-2)). A (genus-zero) refined spectral curve S_μ consists of the following data:

S1: (Σ, x, y) : the Riemann sphere $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ with two non-constant meromorphic functions (x, y) satisfying

$$
P(x, y) = 0
$$

where $P(x, y)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree 2 in y, such that dx and dy do not have common zeroes^{[2](#page-10-0)}. We use $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ to denote the canonical global involution of $x:\Sigma\to{\mathbb P}^1$ and ${\mathcal R}\subset\Sigma$ to denote the set of ramification points of $x,$ i.e., the set of σ -fixed points.

S2: $(\mathcal{P}_+, {\mu_a}_{a \in \mathcal{P}_+})$: a choice of a decomposition $\mathcal{P}_+ \sqcup \sigma(\mathcal{P}_+) = \mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal P$ is the set of zeroes and poles of $(y(z) - y(\sigma(z)))dx(z)$ which are not ramification points, and associated parameters $\mu_a \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{P}_+$.

Let us denote by B the *fundamental bidifferential* which is the unique symmetric bidifferential on Σ with a double pole on the diagonal with biresidue 1 and no other poles. In any global coordinate z^3 z^3 , the bidifferential B admits the following rational expression:

$$
B(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2},
$$

and it satisfies

(10)
$$
B(z_1, z_2) + B(z_1, \sigma(z_2)) = B(z_1, z_2) + B(\sigma(z_1), z_2) = \frac{dx(z_1)dx(z_2)}{(x(z_1) - x(z_2))^2}
$$

Furthermore, for $c \in \Sigma \setminus \mathcal{R}$, we define η^c by

(11)
$$
\eta^{c}(z) := \int_{\sigma(c)}^{c} B(z, \cdot)
$$

which is a differential in z with residue ± 1 at $z = c, \sigma(c)$ and no other poles.

3.2. Refined topological recursion. Before giving the definition of refined topological recursion, or RTR for short, let us introduce some notation.

Definition 3.2. Given a meromorphic function $x:\Sigma\to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree two and a sequence of symmetric multidifferentials $\{\omega_{g,n}\}_{(g,n)\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq1}}$ on Σ with coordinate z , we define for any $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$
(12) \quad Q_{g,1+n}^{\omega}(z; z_{[n]}) := \omega_{g-1,2+n}(z, z, z_{[n]}) + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g\\J_1\sqcup J_2=[n]}} \omega_{g_1,1+|J_1|}(z, z_{J_1}) \omega_{g_2,1+|J_2|}(z, z_{J_2})
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega_{g,n}(z, z_{[n]}\setminus\{z_i\})dx(z)dx(z_i)}{(x(z)-x(z_i))^2} + bd_z \frac{\omega_{g-\frac{1}{2},n+1}(z, z_{[n]})dx(z)}{dx(z)}
$$

where $(z, z_{[n]}) \in \Sigma^{n+1}$, d_z is the exterior derivative with respect to z, and whenever $\omega_{0,1}$ appears, we replace it by the antisymmetrized version $\frac{1}{2} (\omega_{0,1}(z) - \omega_{0,1}(\sigma(z)))$ with respect to σ , the canonical involution of x. We similarly define $\operatorname{Rec}_{g,1+n}^{\omega}$ by excluding the terms with $(g_1, J_1) = (g, [n])$ or $(g_2, J_2) = (g, [n]).$

Remark 3.3. In Section [4,](#page-13-0) we will use the same notation Q^ϕ and Rec^{ϕ} for $\phi_{q,n}$ which are germs of meromorphic functions on a formal disk with coordinate x^{-1} , where we choose the required meromorphic function to be x. In this formal case, the involution operator σ is not defined, and we keep $\phi_{0,1}$ in Q^ϕ or Rec $^\phi$ without replacing.

.

,

²This condition was forgotten to mention in $\left[O_{\text{SU}(2)}\right]$, Section 2 but implicitly assumed.

³By abuse of notation, we use z both as a point in Σ and as a global coordinate on Σ . In our genus-zero setting this does not cause any issue.

The objects $Q_{g,1+n}(z; z_{[n]})$ and $\text{Rec}_{g,1+n}(z; z_{[n]})$ are quadratic differentials in the first entry $z \in \Sigma$, and differentials in the other entries. For readers familiar with the usual Chekhov– Eynard–Orantin topological recursion, it is worth noting that the second sum in the first line is merely an artifact of our unconventional definition of $\omega_{0,2}$ (see equation [\(13\)](#page-11-0) below). The main difference to note is the $\mathfrak b$ -dependent term appearing in [\(12\)](#page-10-2).

We are ready to give the definition of refined topological recursion. Given a refined spectral curve S_{μ} , refined topological recursion will produce a sequence of multidifferentials $\omega_{g,n}$, where $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, on the Riemann surface Σ underlying the spectral curve. First, we define the unstable correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ where $(2g - 2 + n) \leq 0$.

Definition 3.4 ([\[Osu24b\]](#page-38-2)). Given a refined spectral curve S_{μ} , we define the differentials $\omega_{0,1}(z), \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z)$ and the bi-differential $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$ on the underlying Riemann surface Σ , which we will often refer to as the unstable refined topological recursion correlators:

(13)
$$
\omega_{0,1}(z) := y(z)dx(z), \quad \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) := -B(z_1, \sigma(z_2)),
$$

(14)
$$
\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z) := \frac{b}{2} \left(-\frac{d (y(z) - y(\sigma(z)))}{y(z) - y(\sigma(z))} + \sum_{c \in \mathcal{P}_+} \mu_c \, \eta^c(z) \right).
$$

Given these unstable correlators, the refined topological recursion is a formula that constructs the stable correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ for $(2g - 2 + n) > 0$.

Definition 3.5 ([\[Osu24b\]](#page-38-2)). Given a genus zero refined spectral curve \mathcal{S}_{μ} , and the associated unstable correlators, we define the stable refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{g,1+n}(z_0, z_{[n]})$ for $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $(2g - 2 + n) \geq 0$ as the following multidifferentials:

(15)
$$
\omega_{g,1+n}(z_0,z_{[n]})=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z\in C_+-C_-}\frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{2(\omega_{0,1}(z)-\omega_{0,1}(\sigma(z)))}\text{Rec}_{g,1+n}^{\omega}(z;z_{[n]}),
$$

where C_+ is a contour that encircles all the points of $\mathcal{P}_+ \cup z_0 \cup z_{[n]}$ inside but none of the points of $\mathcal{R} \cup \sigma(\mathcal{P}_+) \cup \sigma(z_0) \cup \sigma(z_{[n]})$ and C_− encircles all the points of $\mathcal{R} \cup \sigma(\mathcal{P}_+) \cup \sigma(z_{[n]})$ inside but none of the points of $\mathcal{P}_+ \cup z_{[n]}$. This formula is recursive on the integer $(2g-2+n)$, and is called the refined topological recursion.

The collection of multidifferentials $\omega_{g,n}$ for $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ (i.e., unstable and stable correlators together) are called the refined topological recursion correlators, or RTR correlators for short. Notice that the variable z_0 is treated non-symmetrically in the refined topological recursion formula and hence it is not clear, a priori, that the $\omega_{g,n}$ constructed by the refined topological recursion are in fact symmetric multidifferentials. Along with this symmetry, a few other important properties regarding the structure of the poles of the correlators are proved in [\[KO23,](#page-38-3) [Osu24b\]](#page-38-2).

Theorem 3.6 (Pole structure of the $\omega_{q,n}$). The RTR correlators $\{\omega_{q,n}\}_{q,n}$ satisfy the following properties:

- The correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ are symmetric meromorphic multidifferentials.
- The stable correlators $\omega_{g,n}(z_{n})$ have possible poles in any variable, say z_i , at the points $\mathcal{R} \cup \sigma(\mathcal{P}_+) \cup \sigma(z_{[n]})$ except the poles of the anti-invariant part of ydx w.r.t. σ.
- The stable correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ are residue free, i.e., at any pole, they do not have residues.
- The possible poles of $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ are at the points $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{P}$.

The RTR correlators depend on a parameter $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, and hence it's worth noting the following properties concerning the dependence on $\mathfrak b$ [\[KO23,](#page-38-3) [Osu24a\]](#page-38-7).

Proposition 3.7 (b-dependence of the $\omega_{q,n}$). As functions of b, the RTR correlators have the following properties:

- The correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ are polynomials in **b** of degree at most 2g.
- The refined topological recursion correlators reduce to the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion correlators [\[CEO06,](#page-37-1) [EO07\]](#page-37-2) under the substitution $\mathfrak{b} = 0$.

Remark 3.8. It is worth noting that one can express the refined topological recursion formula (15) in a slightly different form:

(16)
$$
\omega_{g,1+n}(z_0,z_{[n]})=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z\in C_+}\frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{(\omega_{0,1}(z)-\omega_{0,1}(\sigma(z)))}\text{Rec}_{g,1+n}^{\omega}(z;z_{[n]}),
$$

where we notice that the integrand as a function of z only has poles at the points contained in the region bounded by $C_+ \cup C_-$. As the sum of the residues at all the poles of a meromorphic differential is zero, the contributions from the contour $-C_$ equal the contributions from the contour C_+ , which gives the above formula.

3.3. Variational formula. We often consider a family of refined spectral curves $S_{\mu}(t)$ depending on a tuple of parameters $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_{|t|})$. In our genus-zero setting, this means that we restrict to the case when the underlying Riemann surface Σ is always of genus 0. The two meromorphic functions x, y depend on t and consequently, all the correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ as well. Then, a natural question is to ask whether the $\{\omega_{q,n}\}_{q,n}$ behaves well in the family (i.e., under the variation of the parameters t). In general, this is quite a difficult global question (see [\[BBC](#page-36-7)⁺23] about when one can take limits in topological recursion in the $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ setting).

However, it turns out that $\{\omega_{g,n}\}_{g,n}$ behaves well (locally) under the so-called *variation for* fixed x. Let us explain how this works. Choose $t \in \mathbf{t}$. For a meromorphic multidifferential ω on Σⁿ, one can associate the corresponding function W by

(17)
$$
\omega(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t)=:\mathcal{W}(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t)\,dx(z_1;t)\cdots dx(z_n;t),
$$

where d_i denotes the exterior derivative with respect to z_i and $dx(z_i; t) = d_i x(z_i; t)$. Instead of naively considering the partial derivative with respect to t , we define the variational *operator* $\delta_t^{(n)}$ with respect to *t* by (c.f. [\[Osu24a,](#page-38-7) Definition 3.1])

$$
\delta_t^{(n)} \, W(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t) := \frac{\partial W(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t)}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial x(z_i;t)}{\partial t} \frac{d_i W(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t)}{dx(z_i;t)}.
$$

Similarly for a multidifferential, we define

$$
\delta_t^{(n)} \omega(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t) := dx(z_1;t)\cdots dx(z_n;t) \delta_t^{(n)} \mathcal{W}(z_1,\ldots,z_n;t).
$$

See Lemma [5.8](#page-25-0) to understand how the variational operator $\delta_t^{(n)}$ naturally arises in our context.

Henceforth, we drop the t -dependence in x for brevity. With this definition, it is easy to check that

$$
\delta_t^{(1)} x(p_1) = 0, \qquad \delta_t^{(1)} dx(p_1) = 0,
$$

and furthermore, for a meromorphic function f pulled back from the base curve \mathbb{P}^1 , we have

$$
\delta_t^{(n)} f(x(z_1),\ldots,x(z_n);t) = \partial_t f(x(z_1),\ldots,x(z_n);t).
$$

Thus, $\delta_t^{(n)}$ indeed acts as the "derivative for fixed x " as in [\[EO07\]](#page-37-2).

Let us denote by \mathcal{P}^{∞} the set of poles of $(y(z) - y(\sigma(z)))dx(z)$ which may be ramification points, and by $D_i + 1$ the order of the pole at $a_i \in \mathcal{P}^{\infty}$. Typically, "good parameters" of topological recursion with respect to which one would like to understand the variation of the correlators are associated with \mathcal{P}^{∞} . More concretely, it is proven in [\[Osu24a\]](#page-38-7) (and in [\[EO07\]](#page-37-2) when $\mathfrak{b} = 0$) that the action of $\delta_t^{(n)}$ on $\omega_{g,n}$ is related to a certain integral of $\omega_{g,n+1}$:

Definition 3.9 ([\[Osu24a\]](#page-38-7)). We say that a refined spectral curve $S_{\mu}(t)$ satisfies the *refined* deformation condition with respect to $t \in t$ if there exists a contour $\gamma \subset \Sigma$ and a function Λ holomorphic along γ such that

D1: The poles of Λ lie in \mathcal{P}^{∞} and the order of the pole at $a_i \in \mathcal{P}^{\infty}$ is D_i or less. D2: The pair (y, Λ) satisfies

(18)
$$
\delta_t^{(1)}(\omega_{0,1}(z_1)-\omega_{0,1}(\sigma(z_1)))=\int_{z\in\gamma}\Lambda(z) (\omega_{0,2}(z,z_1)-\omega_{0,2}(z,\sigma(z_1))),
$$

(19)
$$
\delta_t^{(2)} \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \int_{z \in \gamma} \Lambda(z) \omega_{0,3}(z, z_1, z_2)
$$

(20)
$$
\delta_t^{(1)} \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z_1) = \int_{z \in \gamma} \Lambda(z) \omega_{\frac{1}{2},2}(z,z_1).
$$

Theorem 3.10 ([\[Osu24a\]](#page-38-7)). If a refined spectral curve $S_{\mu}(t)$ satisfies the refined deformation condition with respect to $t \in t$, then for all $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,

(21)
$$
\delta_t^{(n)} \omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \int_{z \in \gamma} \Lambda(z) \omega_{g,1+n}(z,z_1,\ldots,z_n).
$$

If formula [\(21\)](#page-13-1) holds for some t, we say that the $\omega_{q,n}$ satisfy the variational formula with respect to t.

Let us mention that (y, Λ) in [Osu_{24a}] is chosen to be anti-invariant under the involution σ , whereas we did not impose this condition here. There is no difference between the two in practice. This is because for $2g - 2 + n \ge 1$, $\omega_{g,n+1}(z_0, z_{n}) + \omega_{g,n+1} (\sigma(z_0), z_{n})$ has a zero of order D_i at every $z_0 = a_i \in \mathcal{P}$ as shown in [\[Osu24b,](#page-38-2) Lemma 3.1], and thus only the anti-invariant parts contribute to the variational formula. We also note that not all refined spectral curves satisfy the refined deformation condition, and the parameters μ_a need to be chosen appropriately. See [\[Osu24a\]](#page-38-7) for some concrete examples.

4. **b-HURWITZ NUMBERS FROM REFINED TOPOLOGICAL RECURSION**

In this section, we prove that refined topological recursion can be used to compute G weighted b-Hurwitz numbers with the weight

$$
G(z) = \frac{(u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)}{(v - z)},
$$

which, as before, is fixed throughout this section. Our approach is to derive a set of loop equations (using Theorem [2.10\)](#page-9-1) satisfied by certain formal power series, called $\phi_{q,n}$, which are essentially generating series of G -weighted b -Hurwitz numbers. Then, we will show that these generating functions analytically continue to meromorphic differentials on a certain rational curve. Finally, we show that these analytic continuations coincide with the RTR correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ on a certain refined spectral curve.

4.1. **Formal loop equations.** We begin by rewriting the constraints [\(8\)](#page-9-2) on $\tau_G^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ $\mathcal{L}_G^{(\mathfrak{v})}$ in terms of $F_{q,n}$ (which are the b-Hurwitz numbers up to certain rescalings as given in [\(6\)](#page-8-3)). Recall that the $F_{q,n}$ are the expansion coefficients of $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $\mathcal{L}_G^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ as in [\(5\)](#page-6-2). Define the operator $\nabla(x)$ (sometimes

$$
\nabla(x) := \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{k \, dx}{x^{k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_k}.
$$

Definition 4.1. We define the generating function of $F_{q,n}$ as the following formal series (which is a germ of meromorphic n -differentials on the n -th product of formal disks centered around $x = \infty$:

$$
\phi_{g,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1} F_{g,n}[k_1,\ldots,k_n] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dx_i}{x_i^{k_i+1}} = [\hbar^{2g-2+n}] \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \nabla(x_i) \cdot \log \tau_G^{(b)} \right) \Big|_{\tilde{p}=0},
$$
\nor any $(g,n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

for any $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

For the proofs in this section, it is convenient to add some shifts when $(g, n) = (0, 1)$, $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2})$ as follows. Define the shifted generating functions $\phi_{g,n}$ for any $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ as

$$
(22) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{g,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \phi_{g,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) + \delta_{(g,n),(0,1)}\left(\frac{t(u_1+u_2)-vx_1}{2x_1(t+x_1)}dx_1\right) + \delta_{(g,n),(\frac{1}{2},1)}\left(\frac{b\,dx_1}{2(t+x_1)} + \frac{b\,dx_1}{2x_1}\right).
$$

Then, we have the following loop equations.

referred to as the loop insertion operator) as

Proposition 4.2 (Formal loop equations). For $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the generating functions $\tilde{\phi}_{q,1+n}(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ satisfy

(23)
$$
Q_{g,1+n}^{\tilde{\phi}}(x;x_{[n]}) = U_{g,1+n}(x,x_{[n]}) + (dx)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_{x_i} \left(\frac{x_i(t+x_i)}{x(t+x)} \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{g,n}(x_{[n]})}{(x-x_i)dx_i} \right),
$$

as formal power series in $x^{-1}, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}$ where we assume that $|x| < |x_i|$, $Q_{g_i}^{\tilde{\phi}}$ $_{g,1+n}^{\varphi}$ is defined in Remark [3.3,](#page-10-3) and we define

(24)
$$
U_{0,1}(x) := \frac{v^2x^2 - 2t(u_1v + u_2v + 2u_1u_2)x + t^2(u_1 - u_2)^2}{4x^2(t + x)^2} (dx)^2,
$$

$$
U_{\frac{1}{2},1}(x) := -\frac{\mathfrak{b} v\,(dx)^2}{2x(t+x)}, \qquad U_{1,1}(x) := -\frac{t^2\mathfrak{b}^2\,(dx)^2}{4x^2(t+x)^2},
$$

and $U_{q,1+n}(x, x_{[n]}) := 0$ for all other (g, n) .

Proof. The statement is a consequence of the constraints of Theorem [2.10](#page-9-1) that characterize the generating function $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $G^{(0)}$. More precisely, equation [\(23\)](#page-14-0) is a rewriting of

(25)
$$
[\hbar^{2g+n}] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \nabla(x_i) \left(\frac{1}{\tau_G^{(b)}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{D_k(dx)^2}{x^{k+2}} \tau_G^{(b)} \right) \right) \Big|_{\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = 0} = 0.
$$

We will give a brief proof as the derivation of loop equations from differential constraints arising from W -algebra representations has been worked out in various recent papers (see

for instance $[BBCC24, Lemma 5.4], [BKS24, Section 4]$ or $[BBC⁺24, Section 2]$ $[BBC⁺24, Section 2]$). Note that the operator D_k [\(9\)](#page-9-3) is a sum of terms that are either linear or quadratic in the J_i. Computing the contribution from the linear terms is straightforward. For instance, we get

$$
[\hbar^{2g+n}] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \nabla(x_i) \left(\frac{1}{\tau_G^{(b)}} \sum_{k>0} \frac{J_k dx}{x^{k+1}} \tau_G^{(b)} \right) \right) \Big|_{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}=0} = \phi_{g,1+n}(x, x_{[n]})
$$

Let us compute the contributions coming from the quadratic term $\sum_{j\geq 1} \int_{k-j} J_j$ which appears in the operator D_k . First, we rewrite this term as

$$
(26) \frac{1}{\tau_G^{(b)}} \sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{J_{k-j}}{x^{k-j+1}} \frac{J_j}{x^{j+1}} \tau_G^{(b)} \\
= \frac{1}{\tau_G^{(b)}} \left(\sum_{k,j>0} \frac{J_k}{x^{k+1}} \frac{J_j}{x^{j+1}} + \sum_{k,j>0} J_{-k} x^{k-1} \frac{J_j}{x^{j+1}} - \sum_{k,j>0} J_{-k-j} x^{k-2} J_j \right) \tau_G^{(b)}\n\tag{6}
$$

Applying the operator $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \nabla(x_i)$, extracting the power of \hbar^{2g+n} , and setting $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = 0$, we get the following contributions from the three terms in the RHS of equation [\(26\)](#page-15-0). The third term is the most complicated to analyze, so let us start with that:

$$
-[\hbar^{2g+n}]\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\nabla(x_{i})\left(\frac{1}{\tau_{G}^{(b)}}\sum_{k,j>0}(dx)^{2}J_{-k-j}x^{k-2}J_{j}\tau_{G}^{(b)}\right)\right)\Big|_{\tilde{p}=0}
$$

$$
=-(dx)^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k,j>0}\frac{(k+j)x^{k-2}}{x_{i}^{k}}\sum_{k_{1},\dots,k_{n-1}>0}\frac{F_{g,n}[j,k_{1},\dots,k_{n-1}]}{x_{i}^{j+1}}\prod_{\substack{1\leq\ell\leq n\\ \ell\neq i}}\frac{dx_{\ell}}{x_{\ell}^{k-\delta_{\ell\geq i}}}
$$

$$
=-(dx)^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_{x_{i}}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{x(x-x_{i})}\frac{\phi_{g,n}(x_{[n]})}{dx_{i}}\right).
$$

In order to get the last line, we use the formula for the sum of a geometric series in the regime $|x| < |x_i|$. The first term gives

$$
(27) \quad [\hbar^{2g+n}] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \nabla(x_i) \left(\frac{1}{\tau_G^{(b)}} \sum_{k>0} \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{J_{k-j} dx J_j dx}{x^{k-j+1} x^{j+1}} \tau_G^{(b)} \right) \right) \Big|_{\tilde{p}=0} =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g\\J_1 \sqcup J_2=[n]}} \phi_{g_1, 1+|J_1|}(x, x_{J_1}) \phi_{g_2, 1+|J_2|}(x, x_{J_2}) + \phi_{g-1, 2+n}(x, x, x_{[n]}),
$$

while the second term gives

$$
\left[\hbar^{2g+n}\right] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \nabla(x_{i}) \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{G}^{(b)}} \sum_{k>0} \sum_{j\geq 1} J_{-k} x^{k-1} dx \frac{J_{j} dx}{x^{j+1}} \tau_{G}^{(b)}\right)\right)\Big|_{\tilde{p}=0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k>0} \frac{k x^{k-1} dx dx_{i}}{x_{i}^{k+1}} \phi_{g,n}(x, x_{[n]} \setminus \{x_{i}\})
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\phi_{g,n}(x, x_{[n]} \setminus \{x_{i}\}) dx dx_{i}}{(x - x_{i})^{2}}.
$$

Using the above computations, equation (25) can be converted into the following form

$$
(t+x)\left(Q_{g,1+n}^{\phi}(x;x_{[n]})\right)+\left(\frac{t(u_1+u_2)}{x}-v\right)dx\,\phi_{g,1+n}(x,x_{[n]})+\frac{tu_1u_2(dx)^2}{x^2}\delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,0}+\left(\frac{t\mathfrak{b}}{x}+2\mathfrak{b}\right)dx\phi_{g-\frac{1}{2},1+n}(x,x_{[n]})=(dx)^2\sum_{i=1}^n d_{x_i}\left(\frac{x_i(t+x_i)}{x(x-x_i)}\frac{\phi_{g,n}(x_{[n]})}{dx_i}\right).
$$

Finally, we obtain [\(23\)](#page-14-0) after applying the shifts [\(22\)](#page-14-2) and dividing by $(t + x)$

It is worth noting that the loop equations of Proposition [4.2](#page-14-3) are not merely constraints on the behavior of the generating functions $\phi_{g,1+n}$ (as is often the case for loop equations that one typically encounters in the topological recursion literature). Rather, our loop equations provide an explicit formula for the $\tilde{\phi}_{g,1+n}$ in terms of $\tilde{\phi}_{g',1+n'}$ where 2 $g'-2+n' < 2g-2+n.$ More concretely,

Corollary 4.3. For $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ except $(g, n) = (0, 0)$, the generating function $\tilde{\phi}_{a,1+n}(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ can be expressed as

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{g,1+n}(x,x_{[n]}) =
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{\text{Rec}_{g,1+n}^{\tilde{\phi}}(x;x_{[n]})}{2\tilde{\phi}_{0,1}(x)} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\phi}_{0,1}(x)} \left(U_{g,1+n}(x,x_{[n]}) + (dx)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n d_{x_i} \left(\frac{x_i(t+x_i)}{x(t+x)} \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{g,n}(x_{[n]})}{(x-x_i)dx_i} \right) \right),
$$

as formal power series in $x^{-1}, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}$ where we assume that $|x| \le |x_i|$ and Rec $\tilde{\phi}$ $\int_{g,1+n}^{\varphi}$ is defined in Remark [3.3.](#page-10-3)

Proof. This is a direct corollary of the formal loop equations of Proposition 4.2 .

4.2. The spectral curve. Let us introduce the spectral curve. Set $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1_z$, and define two meromorphic functions x , y on it as

(28)
$$
x(z) = t \frac{G(z)}{z}, \quad y(z) = \frac{z}{x(z)}.
$$

We also define $\tilde{y}(z) = y(z) + \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2x(z)} - \frac{u_1 + u_2 + v}{2(t + x(z))}$, so that the functions x, \tilde{y} satisfy the following polynomial equation

(29)
$$
(\tilde{y}(z)dx(z))^{2} - U_{0,1}(x(z)) = 0.
$$

The set of poles of $\tilde{y}(z)dx(z) = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $(y(z) - y(\sigma(z))) dx(z)$ can easily be computed as

(30)
$$
\mathcal{P} = \{0, v\} \cup \{-u_1, -u_2\} \cup \left\{-\frac{u_1 u_2}{u_1 + u_2 + v}, \infty\right\},\
$$

which are the two preimages of $x = \infty, 0, -t$ respectively. For convenience^{[4](#page-16-0)}, we choose $\mathcal{P}_+ = \{0, -u_1, -\frac{u_1 u_2}{u_1 + u_2}\}$ $\frac{u_1u_2}{u_1+u_2+v}$ and $\mu = \{-1,0,0\}$. These data define a refined spectral curve \mathcal{S}_{μ} . In particular, we have $\omega_{0,1}(z) = y(z)dx(z)$ and $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = -B(z_1, \sigma(z_2))$, and

(31)
$$
\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z) = \frac{b}{2} \left(-\frac{d\tilde{y}(z)}{\tilde{y}(z)} - \eta^0(z) \right).
$$

⁴It does not matter which preimages of $x = 0, -t$ we choose to be in P_+ because the associated μ_a are zero. On the other hand, some of our statements are sensitive to the choice $0 \in \mathcal{P}_+$. If we choose v to be in \mathcal{P}_+ instead, equivalent results would hold with appropriately modified conventions.

Recall that the differentials $\phi_{a,n}$ were defined on a formal disk centered at $x = \infty$. Let us identify this disk with a formal neighborhood of the point $z = 0$ (where $x(z) = \infty$) on the curve Σ. In the following lemma, we show that the unstable terms $\phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \tilde{\phi}_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ analytically continue to the meromorphic differentials $\omega_{0,1}, \omega_{0,2}, \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ respectively on the above curve Σ .

Lemma 4.4. When $(g, n) = (0, 1), (0, 2)$ or $(\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1), the differentials $\phi_{g,n}$ analytically continue to meromorphic differentials on the refined spectral curve S_{μ} under the identification $x = x(z)$. Moreover, the analytic continuations of $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{0,2}$ coincide with the unstable RTR correlators $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$ respectively, while for $(g, n) = (\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1), we have

$$
\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z) - b dx(z) \left(\frac{1}{2(t+x(z))} + \frac{1}{2x(z)} \right) = \phi_{\frac{1}{2},1}(x(z)).
$$

Proof. As refinement does not affect genus 0 correlators, the cases of $(q, n) = (0, 1), (0, 2)$ are covered by [\[BDBKS20\]](#page-36-2). In particular, the equation satisfied by $\tilde{y}dx$ [\(29\)](#page-16-1) agrees with the loop equation of Proposition [4.2](#page-14-3) when $(g, n) = (0, 0)$ under the identification $x = x(z)$. This means that $\phi_{0,1}$ analytically continues to $\tilde{y}dx(z)$ which is anti-invariant under the involution σ . The shifts required to obtain y from \tilde{y} and $\phi_{0,1}$ from $\tilde{\phi}_{0,1}$ cancel each other, and thus $\phi_{0,1}$ analytically continues to $\omega_{0,1} = ydx$. Also, by definition $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = -B(z_1, \sigma(z_2))$ instead of $B(z_1, z_2)$, hence we do not need to shift $\omega_{0,2}$ in our convention, in contrast to [\[BDBKS20\]](#page-36-2).

Let us turn to $\phi_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ now. The loop equation of Proposition [4.2](#page-14-3) when $(g,n)=(\frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ reads

$$
2\tilde{\phi}_{0,1}(x)\tilde{\phi}_{\frac{1}{2},1}(x)+\mathfrak{b}dx\,_{x}\frac{\tilde{\phi}_{0,1}(x)}{dx}=-\mathfrak{b}\frac{v(x)^{2}}{2x(t+x)}.
$$

As we already know that $\tilde{\phi}_{0,1}$ analytically continues to the curve Σ under the identification $x = x(z)$, the above equation implies that $\tilde{\phi}_{\frac{1}{2},1}(x)$ also admits an analytic continuation.

Let us now show that this analytic continuation is precisely $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z)$. First, note that η^0 (defined in [\(11\)](#page-10-4)) can be expressed in terms of x and \tilde{y} as

(32)
$$
\eta^{0}(z) = \frac{v dx(z)}{2\tilde{y}(z)x(z)(t+x(z))}.
$$

One can derive this by considering the pole structure and the anti-invariance with respect to the involution σ . More concretely, [\(29\)](#page-16-1) implies that the only zeroes of the combination $\tilde{y}(z)x(z)(t + x(z))$ are of order 1 at ramification points and its only poles are of order 1 at $z = 0, v$. In particular, it grows as $\frac{v}{2}x + O(1)$ as $z \to 0$, and this ensures that the RHS of [\(32\)](#page-17-0) has the same pole structure as η^0 giving [\(32\)](#page-17-0). Plugging this into the definition of $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ [\(14\)](#page-11-2) gives

$$
2\tilde{y}(z)dx(z)\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}(z)+\mathbf{b}dx(z)d\tilde{y}(z)=-\mathbf{b}\frac{v\,dx(z)dx(z)}{2x(z)(t+x(z))}.
$$

which matches the loop equation for $\tilde{\phi}_{\frac{1}{2},1}(x)$ under the identification $x = x(z)$. Expressing $\phi_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ in terms of $\tilde{\phi}_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ gives the result.

4.3. **Refined topological recursion.** Finally, we prove that all the differentials $\phi_{q,n}$ analytically continue to the curve Σ and, in addition, match the RTR correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ on the refined spectral curve \mathcal{S}_{μ} of Section [4.2.](#page-16-2) Let us first introduce a useful lemma:

Lemma 4.5. We have

(33)
$$
\tilde{y}(z)dx(z)\frac{\eta^{z_i}(z)}{\tilde{y}(z_i)dx(z_i)} = \frac{1}{x(z) - x(z_i)}\frac{x(z_i)(t + x(z_i))}{x(z)(t + x(z))}\frac{dx(z)^2}{dx(z_i)}.
$$

Proof. The equation can easily by checked by direct computation. A more analytic approach is similar to the proof of [\(32\)](#page-17-0). Namely, as the LHS is invariant under the involution $z \to \sigma(z)$, it is a quadratic differential in $x(z)$ that has poles at the preimages of $x(z) = 0, -t, x(z_i), \infty$ which are all simple. With respect to z_i , the LHS is a σ -invariant (-1)-form whose only poles are simple at the preimages of $x(z) = x(z_i)$ and ramification points. Then, by considering how the LHS behaves at each pole, e.g. LHS ~ $\frac{dx(z)^2}{(x(z)-x(z))}$ $\frac{dx(z)^2}{(x(z)-x(z_i))dx(z_i)}$ as $x(z) \rightarrow x(z_i)$, we see that the RHS of (33) is the unique expression with the prescribed behavior. \Box

Theorem 4.6. For every $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the RTR correlators $\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ are the analytic continuations of the generating functions $\phi_{g,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ to Σ^n under the identification $x_i = x(z_i)$ (up to the explicit shift for $(g, n) = (\frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ below). In particular, as a series expansion near $z_i = 0$ (where $x(z_i) = \infty$), we have

$$
\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)-\delta_{g,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{n,1}\left(\frac{bdx(z_1)}{2(t+x(z_1))}+\frac{bdx(z_1)}{2x(z_1)}\right)=\sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n\geq 1}F_{g,n}[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n]\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{dx(z_i)}{x(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}}.
$$

Proof. The unstable cases $(g, n) = (0, 1), (0, 2), (\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1) are already covered by Lemma [4.4.](#page-17-1)

Let us turn to the stable cases where $(2g - 2 + n) > 0$. First, we prove by induction on $(2g - 2 + n)$ that the generating functions $\phi_{q,n}$ analytically continue to meromorphic differentials on the curve Σ under the identification $x = x(z)$. Indeed, Corollary [4.3](#page-16-3) provides an expression for $\tilde{\phi}_{q,n}$ in terms of the correlators $\tilde{\phi}_{q',n'}$ with $(2g'-2+n') < (2g-2+n)$. By the induction hypothesis, we see that the stable $\phi_{g,n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \phi_{g,n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ also admit an analytic continuation to the curve Σ. Let us denote these analytically continued differentials by $\phi_{q,n}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$.

For any stable $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the formal loop equations of Proposition [4.2](#page-14-3) imply that the $\phi_{g,1+n}(z, z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ are given by the following explicit formula:

$$
(34) \quad \check{\phi}_{g,1+n}(z,z_{[n]}) = -\frac{\text{Rec}_{g,1+n}^{\check{\phi}}(z;z_{[n]})}{2\check{\phi}_{0,1}(z)} - \frac{U_{g,n+1}(x(z),x(z_{[n]}))}{2\check{\phi}_{0,1}(z)} + \frac{(dx(z))^2}{2\check{\phi}_{0,1}(z)} \sum_{i=1}^n d_{z_i} \left(\frac{x(z_i)(t+x(z_i))}{x(z)(t+x(z))} \frac{\check{\phi}_{g,n}(z_{[n]})}{(x(z)-x(z_i))dx(z_i)} \right),
$$

where we use the notation Rec $\check{\phi}$ $_{g,1+n}^{\varphi}$ as defined in [\(12\)](#page-10-2) with the choice of the required meromorphic function to be $x(z)$. Note that $\phi_{0,1}(z)$ is anti-invariant under the involution σ .

On the other hand, the refined TR correlator $\omega_{g,1+n}$ can be expressed in terms of $\omega_{g',1+n'}$ such that $2g' - 2 + 1 + n' < 2g - 2 + 1 + n$: (35)

$$
\omega_{g,1+n}(z,z_{[n]})=-\frac{\text{Rec}_{g,1+n}^{\omega}(z;z_{[n]})}{2\tilde{y}(z)dx(z)}-\frac{U_{g,1+n}(x(z),x(z_{[n]}))}{2\tilde{y}(z)dx(z)}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_{z_i}\left(\frac{\eta^{z_i}(z)}{2\tilde{y}(z_i)dx(z_i)}\omega_{g,n}(z_{[n]})\right).
$$

This statement holds for all stable $\omega_{q,1+n}$ such that $2q - 2 + 1 + n > 0$ (except $(q, 1 + n) =$ $(0, 3), (1, 1)$ due to [\[KO23,](#page-38-3) equation (A.15)]. The case $(g, 1+n) = (0, 3)$ is proved in equation (A.13) of loc. cit., and the last remaining case $(q, 1 + n) = (1, 1)$ follows from equation (A.14) of loc. cit. after evaluating the residues at the points $p \in \mathcal{P}_+$ explicitly^{[5](#page-19-1)}.

After substituting the formula (33) in Lemma [4.5](#page-17-2) into equation (35) , we observe that equa-tion [\(35\)](#page-18-2) takes exactly the same form as the formula [\(34\)](#page-18-3) for $\dot{\phi}_{q,1+n}(z, z_n)$. Finally, by induction on $(2g - 2 + n)$, we see that $\dot{\phi}_{g,n}$ analytically continues to the $\omega_{g,n}$, which finishes the proof. \square

5. Extension: inserting internal faces

In this section, we consider G-weighted b-Hurwitz numbers (with $G(z) = \frac{(u_1+z)(u_2+z)}{n-z}$ $\frac{1}{v-z}$ fixed as before) with internal faces, and prove that refined topological recursion can be used to compute them.

5.1. Inserting internal faces. Consider the correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ computed by refined topological recursion on the refined spectral curve S_{μ} of Section [4.2.](#page-16-2) Recall that these correlators were proved to compute G -weighted b-Hurwitz numbers in Theorem 4.6 . Now, for D, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, consider a tuple of parameters (p_1, \ldots, p_D) and define^{[6](#page-19-2)} (36)

$$
F_{g,n}^{D,m}[k_1,\ldots,k_n] := (-1)^{n+m} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1=0} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{z_{n+m}=0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x(z_i)^{k_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^{n+m} V(x(z_j)) \right) \omega_{g,n+m}(z_1,\ldots,z_{n+m})
$$

where we define the *potential* V as

$$
V(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{p_i}{i} x^i.
$$

By introducing the degree counting parameter ϵ , we further define a series in ϵ by

(37)
$$
F_{g,n}^D [k_1, ..., k_n; \epsilon] := F_{g,n} [k_1, ..., k_n] + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!} F_{g,n}^{D,m} [k_1, ..., k_n].
$$

We claim that $F_{q,n}^D$ $[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon]$ in [\(37\)](#page-19-3) and $F_{q,n}^D$ $[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon]$ introduced in Proposition [2.8](#page-8-2) is the same object, so that it is (up to the combinatorial factor given in (7)) the generating series of colored monotone Hurwitz maps of genus q with n marked boundaries of degrees k_1, \ldots, k_n and with internal faces of degree *i* weighted by $\epsilon t^i p_i$. Indeed, the RHS of [\(36\)](#page-19-4) can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{k_{n+1},\dots,k_{n+m}=1}^{D} \prod_{i=n+1}^{m+n} \frac{p_{k_i}}{k_i} (-1)^{n+m} \text{Res}_{z_1=0} \cdots \text{Res}_{z_{n+m}=0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+m} x(z_i)^{k_i} \right) \omega_{g,n+m}(z_1,\dots,z_{n+m}),
$$

which, by Theorem [4.6,](#page-18-0) is equal to $F_{q,n}^D$ $[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon]$ introduced in Proposition [2.8.](#page-8-2) In particular, the shift for $(g, n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1) appearing in Theorem [4.6](#page-18-0) does not contribute here as $m \geq 1$, and thus $m + n \geq 2$.

⁵The definition of \mathcal{P}_+ in the present article is $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_-$ in [\[KO23\]](#page-38-3).

⁶There is a missing minus sign in the analogous equation appearing in [\[BCCGF24,](#page-36-5) Definition 3.3].

Definition 5.1. Consider the generating function of $F_{g,n}^D$ [$k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon$], for $(g,n)\in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\times\mathbb{Z}_+$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ given by

$$
\phi_{g,n}^D(X_1,\ldots,X_n) := \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1} F_{g,n}^D \left[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon \right] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dX_i}{X_i^{k_i+1}} + \delta_{g,0} \delta_{n,1} \epsilon V'(X_1) dX_1.
$$

Again these $\phi_{a,n}^D$ are germs of meromorphic differentials on the *n*-th product of formal disks with coordinates X_i^{-1} . The goal of this section is to prove that the $\phi_{q,n}^D$ analytically continue to meromorphic differentials on an algebraic curve, and moreover that these analytic continuations coincide with the RTR correlators on a certain refined spectral curve (up to an explicit shift for $(g, n) = (\frac{1}{2})$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$). This result will simultaneously prove that $F_{g,n}^D$ $[k_1, \ldots, k_n; \epsilon]$ is a convergent series in ϵ .

5.2. The (unrefined) spectral curve. When $\mathfrak{b} = 0$, [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5) and [\[BDBKS22\]](#page-36-4) prove independently that TR on a certain spectral curve computes Hurwitz numbers with internal faces. Let us briefly review these results following the presentation of $[BCCGF_{24}]$. We only focus on the statements that are relevant for this paper.

Consider $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ with the global coordinate z as before. Let $\{A^{(i)}\}_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$ and $\{B^{(i)}\}_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$ be polynomials in z and z^{-1} respectively, which are determined by the following relations between them

$$
A^{(1)}(z) = 1 + \frac{u_2 t}{v} \left\{ z \frac{B^{(2)}(z)}{B^{(3)}(z)} \right\}^{\geq 0}, \qquad B^{(1)}(z) = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{u_1} \sum_{s=1}^{D} p_s \left[\frac{A^{(1)}(z)^{s-1} A^{(2)}(z)^s}{A^{(3)}(z)^s} \right]^{< 0},
$$

$$
A^{(2)}(z) = 1 + \frac{u_1 t}{v} \left\{ z \frac{B^{(1)}(z)}{B^{(3)}(z)} \right\}^{\geq 0}, \qquad B^{(2)}(z) = 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{u_2} \sum_{s=1}^{D} p_s \left[\frac{A^{(1)}(z)^s A^{(2)}(z)^{s-1}}{A^{(3)}(z)^s} \right]^{< 0},
$$

$$
A^{(3)}(z) = 1 - \frac{t u_1 u_2}{v^2} \left\{ z \frac{B^{(1)}(z) B^{(2)}(z)}{B^{(3)}(z)^2} \right\}^{\geq 0}, \quad B^{(3)}(z) = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{v} \sum_{s=1}^{D} p_s \left[\frac{A^{(1)}(z)^s A^{(2)}(z)^s}{A^{(3)}(z)^{s+1}} \right]^{< 0},
$$

where for $f(z) = \sum_{k \ge d} f_k z^k$ and $g(z) = \sum_{k \ge d} g_k z^{-k}$ we define $[f]^{< 0} = \sum_{k=d}^{\infty} f_k z^k$ and $\{g\}^{\ge 0} =$ $\sum_{k=d}^{0} g_k z^{-k}$.^{[7](#page-20-0)} Then, we define the meromorphic functions X, Y on Σ as

(38)
$$
X(z) := \frac{A^{(1)}(z)A^{(2)}(z)}{zA^{(3)}(z)}, \qquad Y(z) := \frac{u_1 - u_1A^{(1)}(z)B^{(1)}(z)}{X(z)}.
$$

The involution $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is given by the non-trivial solution of $X(z) = X(\sigma(z))$. Furthermore, recall that *B* is the fundamental bidifferential on Σ , and define the unstable correlators

$$
\omega_{0,1}^D(z) := Y(z)dX(z), \qquad \omega_{0,2}^D(z_1,z_2) := -B(z_1,\sigma(z_2)),
$$

to complete the definition of the (unrefined) spectral curve.

Then one of the main results of [\[BCCGF24,](#page-36-5) [BDBKS22\]](#page-36-4) (which works in a much more general context than we are considering in this paper, namely for double weighted Hurwitz numbers with the weight being the product of an exponential and a rational function) is that

⁷Note that the dictionary between our parameters and the parameters in $[BCCGF24]$ is

$$
u_1^{\text{BCCG}} = \frac{1}{u_1}, \quad u_2^{\text{BCCG}} = \frac{1}{u_2}, \quad u_3^{\text{BCCG}} = -\frac{1}{v}, \quad t^{\text{BCCG}} = \frac{u_1 u_2 t}{v}, \quad \alpha^{\text{BCCG}} = \epsilon.
$$

the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion correlators are generating functions for weighted Hurwitz numbers with internal faces for any $(g, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The statements that we will use in this paper only concern the unstable correlators, so let us recall those.

Theorem 5.2 ([\[BCCGF24,](#page-36-5) [BDBKS22\]](#page-36-4)). The differentials ϕ_0^D $_{0,1}^D$ and $\phi_{0,}^D$ $_{\rm 0,2}^D$ viewed as meromorphic differentials on a formal neighborhood of $z = 0$ (where $X(z) = \infty$) on Σ analytically continue to meromorphic differentials on the whole Riemann surface Σ under the identification $X = X(z)$. Moreover, these analytic continuations coincide with the unstable TR correlators ω_0^D $_{0,1}^D$ and $\omega_{0,}^{\acute{D}}$ $\bar{0}, 2$ respectively.

As part of their proof, the authors of $[BCCGF24]$ also prove a variational formula for the TR correlators w.r.t the parameter ϵ , and this will be crucial for our computations.

Theorem 5.3. The following variational formulas hold for the correlators $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$:

(39)
$$
\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \omega_{0,1}^{D}(z_{0}) = -\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} V(X(z)) \left(\omega_{0,2}^{D}(z, z_{0}) + \frac{dX(z)dX(z_{1})}{(X(z) - X(z_{1}))^{2}} \right), \n\delta_{\epsilon}^{(2)} \omega_{0,2}^{D}(z_{0}, z_{1}) = -\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} V(X(z)) \omega_{0,3}^{D}(z, z_{0}, z_{1}).
$$

Note that for [\(39\)](#page-21-0), ω_0^D $_{0,2}^D(z_1,z_2)$ differs from $\omega_{0,2}^{\text{BCCG}}(z_1,z_2)$ in [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5), but the difference is $\frac{dX(z_1)dX(z_2)}{(X(z_1)-X(z_2)^2}$ which vanishes after acting by the variational operator. One of the key ideas of the proof of [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5) is that inserting internal faces as in (36) is very closely related to the variational formula of Theorem [5.3](#page-21-1) with the choice $\Lambda = -V(X)$. We will import this idea to the setting of b-Hurwitz numbers to deduce the correct refined spectral curve.

5.3. Refined spectral curve with internal faces. In this section, we will find a refined spectral curve satisfying the deformation condition of Definition [3.9](#page-13-2) with respect to ϵ . Then, in the next section, we will use the variational formula to prove that the correlators on this refined spectral curve are precisely the analytic continuations of $\phi_{a,n}^D$ to the spectral curve. Let us define

(40)
$$
\tilde{Y}(z) := Y(z) + \frac{t(u_1 + u_2) - vX(z)}{2X(z)(t + X(z))} - \epsilon \frac{V'(X(z))}{2}.
$$

This shift makes $\tilde{Y}(z)$ anti-invariant under the involution σ as shown below.

Lemma 5.4. For a generic choice of $(\epsilon, p_1, \ldots, p_D)$, there exists a polynomial $M(X)$ of degree D such that $M(X)|_{\epsilon=0} = 1$ and

(41)
$$
\tilde{Y}^2 = \frac{(vX - a)(vX - b)M(X)^2}{4X^2(t + X)^2}.
$$

Here, generic means that we avoid a set of values of $(\epsilon, p_1, \ldots, p_D)$ where a coincides with *b* or where roots of $M(X)$ coincide with $\frac{a}{v}$, $\frac{b}{v}$ $\frac{b}{v}$, or each other.

Proof. Let us consider the loop equation for ϕ_0^D $_{0,1}^D$, or equivalently Y, given by

$$
[\hbar^1] \left(\frac{1}{\tau_G^{(b)}} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{D_k (dx)^2}{x^{k+2}} \tau_G^{(b)} \right) \Bigg|_{\tilde{p}_{k>D} = 0} = 0.
$$

The only difference from [\(25\)](#page-14-1) is that we set $\tilde{p}_k = 0$ only for $k > D$, hence one can mostly repeat computations in Lemma [4.2.](#page-14-3) After some manipulation, we find:

(42)
$$
(t+X)Y(X)^2 + \left(\frac{t(u_1+u_2)}{X} - v - \epsilon(t+X)V'(X)\right)Y(X)
$$

 $+ \frac{tu_1u_2}{X^2} - \epsilon \left(\frac{t(u_1+u_2)}{X} - v\right)V'(X) + \epsilon [(t+X)V'(X)Y(X)]_{\leq -2} = 0$

where for $f = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{q} a_n x^n$, we define $[f]_{\leq p} := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{p} a_n x^p$. Although the last term depends on $Y(X)$, one can show by degree counting of [\(42\)](#page-22-1) and by shifting Y to \tilde{Y} that there exists a polynomial $P^{D}(X)$ of degree $2D + 2$ such that $\tilde{Y}^{2} = \frac{P^{D}(X)}{4X^{2}(t+X)}$ $\frac{F^{\top}(A)}{4X^2(t+X)^2}$.

One can further constrain the form of $P^D(X)$ as follows. First, $\tilde{Y}(X)$ admits an analytic continuation to Σ as \tilde{Y} and X satisfy an algebraic equation. Next, since \tilde{Y} is a meromorphic function on $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$, $P^D(X)$ can have at most two simple zeroes. The other zeroes must be double zeroes, i.e. $P^{D}(X) = (vX - a)(vX - b)M(X)^{2}$ – otherwise \tilde{Y} would be a function on a higher-genus curve. Finally, in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ while all p_1, \ldots, p_D are fixed, $\frac{p_D(x)(dX)^2}{4X^2(t+X)^2}$ $4X^2(t+X)^2$ should reduce to $U_{0,1}(x)$ in [\(24\)](#page-14-4), hence we have $M(X)|_{\epsilon=0} = 1$. Note that $(\epsilon, p_1, \ldots, p_D)$ is generic, so all the roots of $M(X)$ are distinct and a does not coincide with b.

From the form of equation [\(41\)](#page-21-2) for $\tilde{Y}(z)$, we see that the set P of poles and zeroes (excluding ramification points) of the differential $(Y(z) - Y(\sigma(z))dX(z)$ consists of the preimages of $X = \infty, 0, -t$ and the preimages of all D (generically distinct) roots of $M(X)$. Let us choose a splitting $\mathcal{P}_+ \sqcup \sigma(\mathcal{P}_+) = \mathcal{P}$ as follows. From the definition of $X(z)$ in equation [\(38\)](#page-20-1), we know that $z = 0$ is one of the preimages of $X(z) = \infty$, and we choose $z = 0$ to be in \mathcal{P}_+ . In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, we know that two preimages a_1, a'_1 of $X(z) = 0$ tend to $a_1 = -u_1 + O(\epsilon)$, a' $\mathcal{L}_1' = -u_2 + O(\epsilon)$ respectively (see equation [\(30\)](#page-16-4)), and we choose $a_1 \in \mathcal{P}_+$. Similarly, from the preimages of $X(z) = -t$, we choose $a_2 \in \mathcal{P}_+$ satisfying $a_2 = -\frac{u_1 u_2}{u_1 + u_2}$ $\frac{u_1u_2}{u_1+u_2+v} + O(\epsilon).$

Since $M(X)|_{\epsilon=0} = 1$, all the roots of $M(X)$ must tend to ∞ as $\epsilon \to 0$. This implies that for each root, one of the preimages b_i behaves as $b_i = O(\epsilon)$ in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ and we choose such b_1, \ldots, b_D as elements of \mathcal{P}_+ . With this choice, we are ready to define the refined spectral curve that we are interested in.

Definition 5.5. We define the *refined spectral curve* $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^D$ as the collection

- $(\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1_z, X, Y)$, where X and Y are defined in equations [\(38\)](#page-20-1) and [\(40\)](#page-21-3) respectively;
- and, $(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_+, {\{\mu_a\}}_{a \in \mathcal{P}_+})$ as

$$
\mathcal{P}_{+} = \{ 0, a_1, a_2, b_1, \ldots, b_D \}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{ \mu_0, \mu_{a_1}, \mu_{a_2}, 1, \ldots, 1 \},
$$

where $\mu_0, \mu_{a_1} \mu_{a_2} \in \mathbb{C}$.

We use $\omega_{g,n}^D$ to denote the correlators constructed by refined topological recursion on $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^D.$

For convenience, we define $\tilde{\omega}_0^D$ $\tilde{D}_{0,1}$:= $\tilde{Y}(z)dX(z)$, which only differs from $\omega_{0,1}^D$ $_{0,1}^{D}(z) =$ $Y(z) dX(z)$ by a differential in $X(z)$. Lemma [5.4](#page-21-4) implies that we can write $2\tilde{\omega}_0^D$ $_{0,1}^{\dot{D}}(z) =$ ω_{α}^D $_{0,1}^D(z) - \omega_{0,}^D$ $_{0,1}^{D}(\sigma(z))$. Let us now prove the following useful lemma that gives a different expression for ω_1^D $_{\frac{1}{2},1}^{D}$ that is more suited for understanding its variations with respect to $\epsilon.$

Lemma 5.6. The correlator ω_1^D $\frac{D}{2,1}$ can be expressed as

$$
(43) \quad \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D(z_0) = \left(\frac{b}{2\pi i} \oint_{z \in C_+} \frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z)} dX(z) d\tilde{Y}(z)\right) - \frac{b}{2} (\mu_0 - \kappa_0) \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \eta^z(z_0) \frac{dX(z)}{X(z)} + \frac{b}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 (\mu_{a_i} - \kappa_{a_i}) \operatorname{Res}_{z=a_i} \eta^z(z_0) \frac{dX(z)}{X(z) - X(a_i)},
$$

where $\kappa_0 = 1 - D$ and $\kappa_{a_1} = \kappa_{a_2} = -1$.

Proof. We start with the definition of ω_1^D $\frac{D}{\frac{1}{2},1}$ and rewrite as

$$
\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^{D}(z_{0}) = \frac{6}{2} \left(-\frac{d\tilde{Y}(z_{0})}{\tilde{Y}(z_{0})} + \sum_{c \in \mathcal{P}_{+}} \mu_{c} \eta^{c}(z_{0}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{6}{2} \left(\underset{z=z_{0}}{\text{Res}} \frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)} dX(z) d\tilde{Y}(z) + \sum_{c \in \mathcal{P}_{+}} \mu_{c} \eta^{c}(z_{0}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{6}{2} \left(\underset{z=z_{0},\mathcal{P}_{+}}{\text{Res}} \frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)} dX(z) d\tilde{Y}(z) + \sum_{c \in \mathcal{P}_{+}} (\mu_{c} - \kappa_{c}) \eta^{c}(z_{0}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{6}{2\pi i} \oint_{z \in C_{+}} \frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)} dX(z) d\tilde{Y}(z) \right) + \frac{6}{2} \sum_{c=0, a_{1}, a_{2}} (\mu_{c} - \kappa_{c}) \eta^{c}(z_{0})
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{6}{2\pi i} \oint_{z \in C_{+}} \frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)} dX(z) d\tilde{Y}(z) \right) - \frac{6}{2} (\mu_{0} - \kappa_{0}) \underset{z=0}{\text{Res}} \eta^{z}(z_{0}) \frac{dX(z)}{X(z)}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{6}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\mu_{a_{i}} - \kappa_{a_{i}}) \underset{z=a_{i}}{\text{Res}} \eta^{z}(z_{0}) \frac{dX(z)}{X(z) - X(a_{i})},
$$

where we use the property that $\eta^z(z_0)$ is a differential in z_0 with residue ± 1 at $z_0 = z, \sigma(z)$ to get the second line, the form of \tilde{Y} given by [\(41\)](#page-21-2) to evaluate the residues at $z = \mathcal{P}_+$ and get the third line, and the form of X [\(38\)](#page-20-1) near $z = 0$, a_1 , a_2 to get the last equality. We define κ_c for $c \in \mathcal{P}_+$ as

$$
(\kappa_0, \kappa_{a_1}, \kappa_{a_2}, \kappa_{b_1}, \cdots, \kappa_{b_D}) = (1 - D, -1, -1, 1, \ldots, 1),
$$

and notice that we have used the specific choice of the parameters $\mu_{b_i} = 1$ to remove the terms corresponding to $c = b_i$ and get equation [\(43\)](#page-23-0).

Now we show that the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^D$ satisfies the refined deformation condition.

Proposition 5.7. For any choice of μ_0 , μ_{a_1} , $\mu_{a_2} \in \mathbb{C}$, the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^D$ satisfies the refined deformation condition (Definition [3.9\)](#page-13-2) with respect to ϵ , where we choose the contour γ to be a small circle around $z = 0$ and the function Λ to be $\Lambda = -V(X(z))$.

Proof. We need to check Conditions **D1** and **D2** of Definition [3.9.](#page-13-2) First, the function $\Lambda(z)$ = $-V(X(z))$ only has poles at the preimages of $X = \infty$, and the poles are of order *D*. Since $\omega_{0,1}^D \sim X^{D-1}dX$ near $X = \infty$, it has a pole of order $D + 1$. Thus, Condition **D1** of Definition [3.9](#page-13-2) holds.

As for condition D₂, the required variations of $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$ are known thanks to the results of [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5) (see Theorem [3.10\)](#page-13-3). Thus, all we need to check is the following formula for the variation of $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ w.r.t ϵ :

(44)
$$
\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D(z_0) = - \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \Lambda(z) \omega_{\frac{1}{2},2}^D(z,z_0).
$$

We will apply the variational operator $\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}$ to the expression for ω_1^D $\frac{D}{2}$ derived in equation (43) . For the first term in the RHS, we can apply [\[Osu24a,](#page-38-7) Lemma A.3] to get

$$
\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{b}}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\oint_{z\in C_{+}}\frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)}dX(z)d\tilde{Y}(z)\right)
$$
\n
$$
=\frac{\mathfrak{b}}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\oint_{z\in C_{+}}\frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)}\left(dX(z)d\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\tilde{Y}(z)+\frac{2\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\oint_{\check{z}\in C_{+}}\frac{\eta^{\check{z}}(z)}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)}dX(\check{z})d\tilde{Y}(\check{z})\right),
$$

where the first term comes from the variation of $\tilde{Y}(z)$ in the numerator and the second term comes from the variation of $\frac{\eta^2(z_0)}{25R}$ $\frac{\eta^{(2)}(z)}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)}$. As for the second term in the RHS of [\(43\)](#page-23-0) which corresponds to $c = 0$, we have

$$
\begin{split} \delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\left(\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\eta^{z}(z_{0})\frac{dX(z)}{X(z)}\right) & =\operatorname*{Res}_{z=0}\frac{dX(z)}{X(z)}\delta_{\epsilon}^{(2)}\eta^{z}(z_{0})\\ & =\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\oint_{z\in C_{+}}\frac{\eta^{z}(z_{0})}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)}\left(2\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^{D}(z)\operatorname*{Res}_{\check{z}=0}\eta^{\check{z}}(z)\frac{dX(\check{z})}{X(\check{z})}\right), \end{split}
$$

where, in the first line $\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}$ commutes with the residue as the contour can be chosen independent of ϵ . To get the second equality, we follow the same technique as the proof of [\[Osu24a,](#page-38-7) Lemma A.3]. Analogous results hold for the contributions from $c = a_1, a_2$. All together, we find

$$
(45) \qquad \delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{z \in C_+} \frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z)} \left(2\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D(z) \ \delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z) + b dX(z) d\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \tilde{Y}(z)\right),
$$

where we have obtained ω_1^D $\frac{1}{2}$, $\left(z \right)$ inside the contour integral by using the expression [\(43\)](#page-23-0).

Let us compare this with the form of ω_1^D $\frac{1}{2}$. We take the definition of $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},2}^D$. $\frac{D}{2,2}$ as given by the refined topological recursion formula in (16) and rewrite as

$$
(46)\quad \omega_{\frac{1}{2},2}^D(z_0,z_1)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z\in C_+}\frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z)}\left(\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D(z)\left(\omega_{0,2}^D(z,z_1)-\omega_{0,2}^D(\sigma(z),z_1)\right)\right.\\ \left. +\left.\mathfrak{b}dX(z)d_z\frac{\omega_{0,2}^D(z,z_1)-\omega_{0,2}^D(\sigma(z),z_1)}{2dX(z)}\right),\right.
$$

using the following formula

$$
\oint_{z \in C_+} \frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{2 \tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z)} \mathbf{b} dX(z) d_z \left(\frac{dX(z_1)}{(X(z) - X(z_1))^2} \right) = 0,
$$

which holds as integrating along $z \in C_+$ gives the same contribution as integrating along $z \in C_$, but on the other hand, integrating along $z \in C_+ \cup C_-$ gives zero as it contains all the poles of the integrand.

Finally, we compute the RHS of equation [\(44\)](#page-24-0) using the expression for $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},2}$ derived in [\(46\)](#page-24-1):

$$
-\mathop{{\rm Res}}\limits_{z_1=0} \Lambda(z_1)\omega_{\frac{1}{2},2}(z_0,z_1)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{z\in C_+}\frac{\eta^z(z_0)}{2\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z)}\left(2\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D(z)\ \delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\tilde{\omega}_{0,1}^D(z)+{\mathfrak{b}}dX(z)d\delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)}\tilde{Y}(z)\right),
$$

where we use the fact that both $z = 0$ and $z = z_1$ are contained in C_+ to commute the contour integral with respect to z and the residue at $z_1 = 0$. The RHS of this equation matches the RHS of equation [\(45\)](#page-24-2) which proves the variational formula [\(44\)](#page-24-0) for ω_1^D $\frac{D}{2}$,1 and thus the lemma. □

5.4. Refined topological recursion with internal faces. We need two more lemmas to prove that the refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{a,n}^D$ are the analytic continuations of the $\phi_{g,n}^D$ to the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm{\mu}}^D.$

Lemma 5.8. Let $\{P_i\}_{i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}}$ be a set of polynomials whose coefficients do not depend on ϵ . Then, for a symmetric meromorphic n-differential ω on \mathbb{P}^1_z , we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \left(\operatorname{Res}_{z_1=0} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{z_n=0} P_1(X(z_1)) \cdots P_n(X(z_n)) \omega(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \right) \n= \operatorname{Res}_{z_1=0} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{z_n=0} P_1(X(z_1)) \cdots P_n(X(z_n)) \delta_{\epsilon}^{(n)} \omega(z_1, \ldots, z_n).
$$

Proof. When $n = 1$, let W be the associated function of ω , i.e. $\omega(z) = W(z) dX(z)$. Then,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} P(X(z))\omega(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Big(P(X(z))W(z)dX(z) \Big)
$$
\n
$$
= \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{\partial X(z)}{\partial \epsilon} \frac{\partial P(X(z))}{\partial X(z)} W(z)dX(z) + P(X(z)) \frac{\partial W(z)}{\partial \epsilon} dX(z) + P(X(z))W(z)d \frac{\partial X(z)}{\partial \epsilon} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(d \left(P(X(z))W(z) \frac{\partial X(z)}{\partial \epsilon} \right) + P(X(z)) \left(\frac{\partial W(z)}{\partial \epsilon} - \frac{\partial X(z)}{\partial \epsilon} \frac{dW(z)}{dX(z)} \right) dX(z) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} P(X(z)) \delta_{\epsilon}^{(1)} \omega(z)
$$

The first equality holds because one can always choose a contour encircling $z = 0$ independent of ϵ . The second equality is due to the assumption that $P(X)$ does not depend on ϵ . The fourth equality holds because the first term is the total derivative of a meromorphic function. One can repeat the same argument when $n \geq 2$.

The next lemma shows that the correlators $\omega_{g,n}^D$ on the spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^D$ reduce to the correlators $\omega_{q,n}$ on the spectral curve \mathcal{S}_{μ} of Section [4.2](#page-16-2) (after specializing the μ) upon setting $\epsilon = 0$.

Lemma 5.9. Set $(\mu_0, \mu_{a_1}, \mu_{a_2}) = (-1-D, 0, 0)$. Then, for every $g \in \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have ω^D $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $\Big|_{\epsilon=0} = \omega_{g,n+1}.$

Proof. By the construction of [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5), we know that $X|_{\epsilon=0} = x$ and $Y|_{\epsilon=0} = y$ (and $\tilde{Y}|_{\epsilon=0} = \tilde{y}$), and in particular, ω_0^D $_{0,1}^D|_{\epsilon=0} = \omega_{0,1}$. It is also clear that $\omega_{0,1}^D$ $_{0,2}^D|_{\epsilon=0} = \omega_{0,2}$ thanks to the relation [\(10\)](#page-10-5). For the above choice of μ , we have

$$
\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D := \frac{b}{2} \left(-\frac{d\tilde{Y}}{\tilde{Y}} - (1+D)\eta^0 + \sum_{i=1}^D \eta^{b_i} \right).
$$

Since each $b_i = O(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, we have $\eta^{b_i}|_{\epsilon=0} = \eta^0$, and thus ω_1^D $_{\frac{1}{2},1}^D|_{\epsilon=0} = \omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}.$

We proceed by induction on $(2g - 2 + n)$. Assume that the statement holds for all (g', n') with $(2g' - 2 + n') < (2g - 2 + n)$. Then consider the refined topological recursion formula for $\omega_{q,n}$ and consider the contour C_+ in the formula. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_+ = \{0, a_1, a_2, b_1, \ldots, b_D\}.$ For sufficiently small ϵ , the elements $b_i \in \mathcal{P}_+$ for $i \in [D]$ are close to the origin, while the elements a_1, a_2 are close to $-u_1, -\frac{u_1u_2}{u_1+u_2}$ $\frac{u_1 u_2}{u_1 + u_2 + v}$ respectively. Thus we can choose C_+ to be a contour (independent of ϵ) such that all the points in \mathcal{P}_+ remain inside C_+ in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. This implies that we can commute the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ through the contour integral along C_{+} . Finally, we use the induction hypothesis to conclude the proof. $□$

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section. Recall that the differentials $\phi_{a,n}^D$ were defined on the *n*-th product of formal disks centered around $X = \infty$. We view the $\phi_{a,n}^D$ as defined on a formal neighborhood of $z = 0$ (where $X(z) = \infty$) on the refined spectral curve S_{μ} by identifying this formal neighborhood with the aforementioned formal disk, via the identification of X with the function $X(z)$ on the spectral curve.

Theorem 5.10. Set $(\mu_0, \mu_{a_1}, \mu_{a_2}) = (-1 - D, 0, 0)$. For every $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{a,n}^{D}$ on the refined spectral curve S_{μ} are the analytic continuations of the shifted generating functions $\phi_{a,n}^D$ to Σ^n under the identification $X = X(z)$ (up to an explicit shift for $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ given below). In particular,

$$
(47) \quad \omega_{g,n}^{D}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) - \delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}\epsilon V'(X(z_1))dX(z_1) - \delta_{g,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{n,1}\left(\frac{bdX(z_1)}{2(t+X(z_1))} + \frac{bdX(z_1)}{2X(z_1)}\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n\geq 1} F_{g,n}^{D}[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n;\epsilon] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dX(z_i)}{X(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}},
$$

as series expansions near $z_i = 0$.

Proof. For the proof, we define $\mathcal{F}_{q,n}^D[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon]$ as the expansion coefficients of $\omega_{q,n}^D(z_1, ..., z_n)$ near $z_i = 0$:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{g,n}^D[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon] := (-1)^n \operatorname{Res}_{z_1=0} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{z_n=0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X(z_i)^{k_i} \right) \omega_{g,n}^D(z_{[n]}),
$$

where $k_1, \ldots, k_n \ge 1$. Let us consider the ϵ -dependence of the $\mathcal{F}_{q,n}^D$. For any $m \ge 1$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial \epsilon^{m}} \mathcal{F}_{g,n}^{D}[k_{1},...,k_{n};\epsilon] = \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial \epsilon^{m-1}} \left((-1)^{n} \underset{z_{1}=0}{\text{Res}} \cdots \underset{z_{n}=0}{\text{Res}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X(z_{i})^{k_{i}} \right) \delta_{\epsilon}^{(n)} \omega_{g,n}^{D}(z_{[n]}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial \epsilon^{m-1}} \left(\underset{z_{1}=0}{\text{Res}} \cdots \underset{z_{n}=0}{\text{Res}} \underset{z_{n+1}=0}{\text{Res}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X(z_{i})^{k_{i}} \right) V(X(z_{n+1})) \omega_{g,n+1}^{D}(z_{[n+1]}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= (-1)^{n+m} \underset{z_{1}=0}{\text{Res}} \cdots \underset{z_{n+m}=0}{\text{Res}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X(z_{i})^{k_{i}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^{n+m} V(X(z_{j})) \right) \omega_{g,n+m}^{D}(z_{[n+m]}),
$$

where the first equality follows from Lemma [5.8.](#page-25-0) To get the second equality, we note that the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^D$ satisfies the refined deformation condition, and use the variational formula of Theorem 3.10 . Repeating the process m times, we arrive at the last line.

Applying a similar argument to Lemma [5.9,](#page-25-1) the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and the above residues commute, and as a consequence we get

$$
\frac{\partial^m}{\partial \epsilon^m} \mathcal{F}_{g,n}^D[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon] \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = (-1)^{n+m} \operatorname{Res}_{z_1=0} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{z_{n+m}=0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x(z_i)^{k_i} \right) \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^{n+m} V(x(z_j)) \right) \omega_{g,n+m}(z_{[n+m]})
$$

$$
= F_{g,n}^{D,m} [k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon],
$$

where we recall the definition of the $F_{q,n}^{D,m}$ from [\(36\)](#page-19-4) to get the last line. When $m = 0$, a slightly modified equation holds due to Lemma $_5.9$ $_5.9$ and Theorem $_4.6$ $_4.6$ – the only change is the extra term for $(g, n) = (\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1) that appears in Theorem [4.6.](#page-18-0) Thus, we get equation [\(47\)](#page-26-1) claimed in the theorem, and the statement regarding analytic continuation is an immediate \Box consequence. \Box

5.5. Other rational weights. The strategy used to prove Theorem [4.6](#page-18-0) and Theorem [5.10](#page-26-0) can be repeated verbatim to obtain analogous versions for the rational weights

(48)
$$
G(z) = (u_1 + z)(u_2 + z), \frac{1}{v - z}, \text{ or } \frac{u_1 + z}{v - z},
$$

which can be obtained as limiting cases of the weight considered so far. In the case without internal faces, the corresponding unrefined spectral curves are given by choosing $x(z)$ = $t^{\frac{G(z)}{2}}$ $\frac{(z)}{z}$ and $y(z) = \frac{z}{x(z)}$ $\frac{z}{x(z)}$. As for the refinement, we choose

$$
\mathcal{P}_+ = \{0, -u_1\}, \quad \{0\}, \quad \text{or} \quad \{0, -u_1\},
$$

respectively. In all the above cases, we choose $\mu_a = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{P}_+$ except $\mu_0 = -1$ to complete the definition of the refined spectral curve, which we denote \mathcal{S}_{μ}^G for clarity. Then, we have the following theorem, which is analogous to Theorem [4.6.](#page-18-0)

Theorem 5.11. For any weight G in [\(48\)](#page-27-2) and every $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the refined TR correlators $\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ on \mathcal{S}_{μ}^G are the analytic continuations of the generating functions $\phi_{g,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ to Σ^n under the identification $x_i = x(z_i)$ (up to the explicit shift for (g,n) $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1) below). In particular, as a series expansion near $z_i = 0$ (where $x(z_i) = \infty$), we have

$$
\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)-\delta_{g,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{n,1}\mathfrak{b}\frac{dx(z)}{2x(z)}S=\sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n\geq 1}F_{g,n}[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n]\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{dx(z_i)}{x(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}},
$$

where $S = 1$ for $G(z) = (u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)$ and $S = 2$ otherwise.

The case of internal faces is similar. The unrefined spectral curves can be obtained directly from [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5) or by taking an appropriate limit of the $X(z)$ and $Y(z)$ defined in [\(38\)](#page-20-1). By abuse of notation, we also denote the limiting functions by X and Y . As for the refinement, we choose

$$
\mathcal{P}_+ = \{0, a_1, b_1, \cdots, b_D\}, \{0, b_1, \cdots, b_D\}, \text{ or } \{0, a_1, b_1, \cdots, b_D\},\
$$

for the weights G in [\(48\)](#page-27-2) respectively. Here we choose a_1 such that $a_1 = -u_1 + O(\epsilon)$ and $b_i = O(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ as in Definition [5.5.](#page-22-0) We also set $\mu_0 = -1 - D$, $\mu_{a_1} = 0$ and $\mu_{b_i} = 1$ for all $i \in [D]$. We denote this refined spectral curve by $S_{\mu}^{G,D}$ for clarity. Then the following theorem which is analogous to Theorem [5.10](#page-26-0) holds.

Theorem 5.12. For any weight G in [\(48\)](#page-27-2) and every $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the refined topological recursion correlators $\omega_{g,n}^D$ on the refined spectral curve $S_{\mu}^{G,D}$ are the analytic continuations of the shifted generating functions $\phi_{a,n}^D$ to Σ^n under the identification $X = X(z)$ (up to an explicit shift for $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}$ given below). In particular,

$$
\omega_{g,n}^{D}(z_1, \dots, z_n) - \delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}\epsilon V'(X(z_1))dX(z_1) - \delta_{g,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{n,1}\mathfrak{b}\frac{dX(z_1)}{2X(z_1)}S
$$

=
$$
\sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \ge 1} F_{g,n}^{D}[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n; \epsilon] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dX(z_i)}{X(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}},
$$

as series expansions near $z_i = 0$, where $S = 1$ for $G(z) = (u_1 + z)(u_2 + z)$ and $S = 2$ otherwise.

6. Applications

In this section, we will discuss how $F^G_{a,n}$ appears in various areas such as random matrix theory, representation theory, and combinatorics, where their computation is of great importance. Applying the results of the previous sections, we show that they can be computed by refined topological recursion. To avoid notational conflict in this section, we use

- \bullet $\omega_{q,n}^{V,D}$ for the refined topological recursion correlators,
- \bullet $\phi_{q,n}^{\vec{V},D}$ for colored monotone Hurwitz numbers as before, and
- $W_{q,n}^{V,D}$ for β -ensembles which we will introduce below,

where V indicates a type of model and D denotes the degree of internal faces similar to Theorem [5.10.](#page-26-0) The main purpose of this section is to show that $\omega_{a,n}^{V,D} = \phi_{a,n}^{V,D} = W_{a,n}^{V,D}$ in several applications.

6.1. β -**Ensemble.** Let $\beta > 0$, and $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$. A β -ensemble, also known in statistical mechanics as a 1d log-gas system, of N particles in a potential V at inverse temperature β (see [\[For10\]](#page-37-18)) is the probability measure μ_{λ}^{V} $_{N;\beta}^V$ on \mathbb{R}^N defined as:

$$
(49) \t d\mu_{N;\beta}^V := \frac{1}{Z_{\beta,N,V}}\prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i \, \exp\left(-\frac{N\beta}{2}V(\lambda_i)\right) {\bf 1}_{[a,b]}(\lambda_i) \prod_{1\leq i < j \leq N} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^\beta,
$$

where

$$
Z_{\beta,N,V}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}d\mu_{N;\beta}^V
$$

is the normalization constant. For $\beta = 1, 2, 4$ this measure coincides with the measure induced on the spectrum of matrices M by the probability distribution $dM \exp(-\operatorname{Tr} V(M))$ on the space of Symmetric/Hermitian/Symplectic $N \times N$ matrices, respectively. Studying the so-called $1/N$ -expansion of these models is an important problem in random matrix theory. For general $\beta > 0$ such an expansion was studied under some technical analytic assumptions on the potential V in [\[BG13\]](#page-37-13). There are three classical cases of the potential V that are of special interest:

(G
$$
\beta
$$
E) $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$, $a = -\infty$, $b = \infty$, known as the Gaussian β Ensemble,

(J
$$
\beta
$$
E) $V(x) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - c \right) \log(x) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - d \right) \log(1 - x), a = 0, b = 1, c, d > 0$, known as the *Jacobi* β *Ensemble*,

(L
$$
\beta
$$
E) $V(x) = x + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - c\right) \log(x), a = 0, b = \infty, c > 0$, known as the Laguerre β Ensemble.

For arbitrary $\beta > 0$ the random tridiagonal matrix model whose spectrum is distributed by $d\mu_{N;\beta}^V$ was constructed by Dumitriu and Edelman in [\[DE02\]](#page-37-12) for these three special cases. Moreover, based on the result of Okounkov [\[Oko97\]](#page-38-10) La Croix has proven that the $1/N$ expansion of G β E (that exists in many other cases by [\[BG13\]](#page-37-13)) has a topological expansion in terms of b-Hurwitz numbers, with the identification of parameters $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ (see Section [2\)](#page-5-0). It was recently extended to the cases of J β E and L β E by Ruzza [\[Ruz23\]](#page-38-6) who used the re-sult of Kadell [\[Kad97\]](#page-38-11) to show that the appropriately parametrized $1/N$ expansion of these models (studied before for instance in $[FRW17]$) also has a topological expansion in terms of weighted b-Hurwitz numbers. We further explore this connection and we show that the $1/N$ expansion of the correlators can be computed by RTR. We note that $[CE06]$ first discussed a recursive structure in β -ensembles. Although their approach was inspiring, some subtleties were not addressed (e.g. the pole structure, choice of μ , choice of the contour C_{\pm} in particular for multidifferentials, variational formula, and deformation condition) which are carefully addressed in [\[KO23,](#page-38-3) [Osu24a,](#page-38-7) [Osu24b\]](#page-38-2).

The connected correlators $\left\{\sum_{k=1}^N\lambda_k^{k_1},\ldots,\sum_{k=1}^N\lambda_k^{k_n}\right\}$ $\left(\frac{k_n}{k}\right)^{\circ}$ $\mu_{N;\beta}^V$ also known as the cumulants are

defined by the following combinatorial formula:

$$
\langle f_1,\ldots,f_n\rangle_{\mu^V_{N,\beta}}^\circ:=\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}(-1)}(-1)^{|\pi|-1}(|\pi|-1)!\prod_{B\in\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\prod_{b\in B}f_b(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_N)d\mu^V_{N;\beta},
$$

where we sum over set-partitions of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For example:

$$
\langle f_{1} \rangle_{\mu_{N;\beta}^{V}}^{\circ} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V},
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}, f_{2} \rangle_{\mu_{N;\beta}^{V}}^{\circ} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} f_{2} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{2} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V},
$$
\n
$$
\langle f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3} \rangle_{\mu_{N;\beta}^{V}}^{\circ} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} f_{2} f_{3} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{2} f_{3} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{2} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{3} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V},
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{3} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} f_{2} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{1} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{2} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f_{3} d\mu_{N;\beta}^{V}.
$$

It is convenient to assemble them into a generating function:

$$
W_n^V(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dx_i}{x_i^{k_i+1}} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_1},\ldots, \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_n} \right\rangle_{\mu_{N,\beta}^V}^{\circ},
$$

which is a formal power series in t .

The first main application of our results proves that $W_n^V(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ for the three classical cases of β ensembles can be computed by the refined topological recursion.

Theorem 6.1. Let
$$
b = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}}
$$
, and let $(V(x), S_{\mu})$ be the following pairs: **J** βE : $V(x)$ is as in (J βE) with $c = N \cdot (y - 1) + 1$, $d = N \cdot (\delta - 1) + 1$, where $y, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and S_{μ} is defined by

$$
x(z) = t \frac{(1+z)(\gamma + z)}{z(\delta + \gamma + z)}, \quad y(z) = \frac{z}{x(z)}, \quad \mathcal{P}_+ = \{0, -1, \frac{\gamma}{1 - \delta}\}, \quad \mu = \{-1, 0, 0\};
$$

L β **E**: $V(x)$ is as in (**L** β **E**) with $c = N \cdot (y - 1) + 1$ and S_u is given by

$$
x(z) = t \frac{(1+z)(y+z)}{z}, \quad y(z) = \frac{z}{x(z)}, \quad \mathcal{P}_+ = \{0, -1\}, \quad \mu = \{-1, 0\};
$$

G β **E**: $V(x)$ is as in (G β **E**) and $S_{\mu} = S_{\mu}^{GBE}$ from [A](#page-33-0)ppendix A (see [\(52\)](#page-34-0)-[\(53\)](#page-35-1)). Then $W_n^V(x_1,...,x_n)$ can be computed by refined topological recursion. We have

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}}^n W_n^V(x(z_1), \dots, x(z_n)) = \sum_{g \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2}} N \right)^{2-2g-n} \omega_{g,n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)
$$

$$
- \begin{cases} \delta_{g, \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{n,1} \left(\frac{b v}{2(x(z_1) - t)} + \frac{b}{2x(z_1)} \right) dx(z_1) & \text{for } J \beta \mathbf{E} \\ \delta_{g, \frac{1}{2}} \delta_{n,1} \frac{b}{2x(z_1)} dx(z_1) & \text{for } L \beta \mathbf{E} \end{cases}
$$

as a series expansion near $z = 0$ (where $x(z) = \infty$). More precisely, for a fixed power of t, the LHS is an analytic function of N whose $1/N$ expansion is given by the RHS.

Proof. In the case of $(G\beta E)$ [\[Oko97\]](#page-38-10) proved that

$$
\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_1}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_n} \right\rangle_{\mu_{N,\beta}^V}^{\circ} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \sum_{g \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \frac{1}{N} \right)^{2g-2+n} F_{g,n}^{G\beta E}[k_1, \ldots, k_n],
$$

where $F_{q,n}^{\rm GGE}$ are defined by [\(50\)](#page-34-1) in Appendix [A,](#page-33-0) so Theorem [A.3](#page-35-0) completes the proof in that case. Likewise, for the cases J β E and L β E [\[Ruz23\]](#page-38-6) proved that

$$
\left\langle \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_1}, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^N (t\lambda_k)^{k_n} \right\rangle_{\mu_{N,\beta}^V}^{\circ} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \sum_{g \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \frac{1}{N} \right)^{2g-2+n} F_{g,n}^G[k_1, \dots, k_n]
$$

for $G = \frac{(1+z)(y+z)}{y+\delta+z}$ $\frac{+z}{\gamma+\delta+z}$, and $G = (1+z)(\gamma+z)$, respectively. Thus, the statement for J β E follows directly from Theorem [4.6,](#page-18-0) while the statement for $L\beta E$ follows from Theorem [5.11.](#page-27-0) \Box

6.2. β Brézin–Gross–Witten integral and b-monotone Hurwitz numbers. When $G =$ $\frac{1}{v-z}$, the tau function $\tau_G^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ $\sigma_G^{(0)}$ interpolates between the partition functions for the unitary (at $b = 0$) and orthogonal (at $b = \sqrt{2}$ – √ $\overline{2}^{-1})$ Brézin–Gross–Witten integrals, so that it can be interpreted as their natural β deformation whose topological expansion was found in terms of $\mathfrak b$ -monotone Hurwitz maps (described in Theorem [2.6;](#page-8-0) see [\[BCD23\]](#page-36-3) and references therein). Thus, Theorem 5.11 shows that b-monotone Hurwitz numbers are computed by refined topological recursion.

It is worth noting that a slight variant of $\tau^{(\mathfrak{b})}_G$ $i_G^{(b)}$ is known to be the Gaiotto state of 4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ pure SU(2) gauge theory in arbitrary Ω-background [\[AGT10,](#page-36-10) [MO19,](#page-38-13) [SV13\]](#page-38-14) (see [\[CDO24,](#page-37-14) Remark 4.9]). Thus, the Gaiotto state can also be computed by refined topological recursion, but this study is beyond the scope of this paper.

6.3. Maps and bipartite maps with internal faces on arbitrary surface. The main motivation to study the extension to internal faces in Section [5](#page-19-0) comes from the fact that the associated $\omega_{q,n}$ produces generating functions of special interest in enumerative combinatorics and quantum gravity, as we will explain below.

Recall that $\phi_{q,n}^D(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ defined in Section [5](#page-19-0) is the generating series for colored monotone Hurwitz numbers of genus g with n marked boundaries and with internal faces of degree i weighted by ϵp_i . It was proved in [\[CD22\]](#page-37-9) that for two special cases of G-weighted b-Hurwitz numbers the function $\phi_{q,n}^D(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ posses a different, particularly simple, combinatorial interpretation that turns into two classical generating functions for $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ and $b = \sqrt{2}^{-1}$ – ∪1.
ا 2.

Recall that a map is a 2-cell embedding of a multigraph on a compact surface (orientable or not). We say that a map M is bipartite if one can partition its vertex set $V(M) = V_o(M) \cup$ $V_{\bullet}(M)$ into disjoint subsets such that no edge links two vertices of the same subset. The degree of a face f of a map M is the number of corners inside f that are adjacent to $V_o(M)$. By convention, we set $V(M) = V_0(M)$ if we work with ordinary (not bipartite) maps. We are interested in enumerating maps with *n* marked faces of degrees k_1, \ldots, k_n , that we will call boundaries and arbitrarily many faces of degree at most D that we will call internal faces, as in Section 2.3 . As in Section 2.3 , each boundary has a distinguished corner that "marks" this face as a boundary. See Fig. [2](#page-32-1) for an illustration of a bipartite map on the torus with internal faces of degree at most 3.

Finally, we will be interested in generating series for rooted maps, which means that maps will have a distinguished and oriented corner called the *root*. This can be any corner in M , including the marked corners lying on boundaries. For a rooted map M , one can use the root to define an order on the set of edges. Then one can define the measure of non-orientability of M by successively removing the edges from M and collecting a weight 1 or $-\sqrt{\alpha}$ b as of *M* by successively removing the edges from *M* and cohecting a weight 1 or $-\sqrt{\alpha} \nu$ as
in Definition [2.4,](#page-7-1) so that the final weight is of the form $(-\sqrt{\alpha} \nu)^{\nu(M)}$ for some non-negative integer $\nu(M)$. We will not need this specific algorithm here, so we refer the reader to [\[CD22,](#page-37-9) Exection 3] for more details. In the special cases of $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \sqrt{2}^{-1}$ √ 2, the role of the root is not important any more, and instead of counting rooted maps weighted by the inverse of the number of its edges, we could equivalently count unrooted maps weighted by the inverse of the size of their automorphism group.

Remark 6.2. One important property of this measure is that for $\mathfrak{b} = \sqrt{2}^{-1}$ – √ **Remark 6.2.** One important property of this measure is that for $\mathbf{b} = \sqrt{2}$ $\hat{i} - \sqrt{2}$ the weight $(-\sqrt{\alpha} \mathbf{b})^{\nu(M)} \equiv 1$ for all maps, and for $\mathbf{b} = 0$ the weight $(-\sqrt{\alpha} \mathbf{b})^{\nu(M)} = 1$ only for orientable maps M and vanishes for non-orientable maps.

Define the generating series of all (orientable or non-orientable) rooted maps of genus g with *n* marked boundaries of degree k_1, \ldots, k_n , and internal faces of degree at most D weighted by the variable $\epsilon p_{\text{deg}(f)}$ as

$$
\phi_{g,n}^{\text{maps},D}(X_1,\ldots,X_n) := \alpha^{-g} \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n \geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dX_i}{X_i^{k_i+1}} \sum_M (-\sqrt{\alpha} \mathfrak{b})^{\nu(M)} \frac{t^{2|E(M)|}}{2|E(M)|} u^{|V(M)|} \prod_{f \in F_i(M)} (\epsilon \cdot p_{\text{deg}(f)}).
$$

FIGURE 2. A bipartite map on the torus with three boundaries of degrees 4, 9, 9, and with internal faces of degree at most 3, so that it contributes to the coefficient of $[X_1^{-5}]$ $1^{-5}X_2^{-10}$ $2^{-10}X_3^{-10}$ $\binom{(-10)}{3}$ in $\phi_{1,3}^{\text{bip},3}$ $1,3$. The marked corners are indicated by blue arrows, and the root is indicated by the yellow oriented arrow.

Similarly, for bipartite maps, define $\phi_{a,n}^{\text{bip},D}$ as

$$
\phi_{g,n}^{\mathrm{bip},D}(X_1,\ldots,X_n):=\alpha^{-g}\sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1}\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{dX_i}{X_i^{k_i+1}}\sum_M(-\sqrt{\alpha}\mathfrak{b})^{\nu(M)}\frac{t^{\mid E(M)\mid}}{\mid E(M)\mid}u_{\circ}^{\mid V_{\circ}(M)\mid}u_{\bullet}^{\mid V_{\bullet}(M)\mid}\prod_{f\in F_i(M)}(\epsilon\cdot p_{\deg(f)})
$$

to be the generating series of all (orientable or non-orientable) rooted bipartite maps of genus g with *n* marked boundaries of degree k_1, \ldots, k_n , and internal faces of degree at most D weighted by the variable $\epsilon p_{\text{deg}(f)}$.

Then the main result of this section is that these generating functions can be computed by refined topological recursion.

Theorem 6.3. For maps and bipartite maps, we have

• Maps: We have

$$
\phi_{g,n}^{\text{maps},D}(X(z_1),\ldots,X(z_n))=\omega_{g,n}^{G\beta E,D}(z_1,\ldots,z_n)-\delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}\epsilon V'(X(z_1))dX(z_1)
$$

where $\omega_{q,n}^{GBED}$ are the refined topological recursion correlators on the spectral curve given in Appendix [A.1.](#page-35-2)

• Bipartite maps: We have

$$
\phi_{g,n}^{\text{bip},D}(X(z_1),...,X(z_n)) = \omega_{g,n}^{\text{bip},D}(z_1,...,z_n) - \delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}\epsilon V'(X(z_1))dX(z_1) - \delta_{g,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{n,1}\frac{\mathfrak{b}}{2X(z_1)}dX(z_1),
$$

where $\omega_{g,n}^{\text{bip},D}$ are refined topological recursion correlators on the spectral curve \mathcal{S}_{μ}^D de-fined in Section [5.5](#page-27-1) for $G(z) = (u_0 + z)(u_0 + z)$.

Proof. Let $F_{q,n}^{G\beta E}[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n]$ be defined by [\(50\)](#page-34-1), and $F_{q,n}^{\text{bip}}[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n] = F_{q,n}^G[\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n]$ for $G(z) = (u_0 + z)(u_0 + z)$. Then, [CD₂₂] proves that

$$
\frac{\alpha^g}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i} F_{g,n}^{\text{GBE}}[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n] = \frac{t^{2(k_1 + \dots + k_n)}}{2(k_1 + \dots + k_n)} \sum_{M} (-\sqrt{\alpha} \mathbf{b})^{\nu(M)} u^{|V(M)|},
$$

$$
\frac{\alpha^g}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)| \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i} F_{g,n}^{\text{bip}}[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n] = \frac{t^{k_1 + \dots + k_n}}{k_1 + \dots + k_n} \sum_{M} (-\sqrt{\alpha} \mathbf{b})^{\nu(M)} u^{|V_{\text{o}}(M)|}_\bullet u^{|V_{\text{o}}(M)|}_\bullet
$$

,

where the first sum is over all rooted maps of genus g with *n* faces of degrees μ_1, \ldots, μ_n , and the second sum is over all rooted bipartite maps of genus g with n faces of degrees μ_1, \ldots, μ_n . Define $F_{g,n}^{G\beta E,D}[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon]$ by (54) and $F_{g,n}^{bip,D}[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon]$ by (7) for $G(z) = (u_0+z)(u_0+z)$. Then, using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition [2.8](#page-8-2) one can show that for both maps and bipartite maps, we have

$$
\phi_{g,n}^{\text{maps(bip)},D}(X_1,\ldots,X_n)=\sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n\geq 1}\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{dX_i}{X_i^{k_i+1}}F_{g,n}^{\text{GBE (bip)},D}[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon].
$$

Then, the first part of Theorem 6.3 concerning maps follows from Theorem [A.4,](#page-36-12) while the second part concerning bipartite maps follows from Theorem 5.12 .

Corollary 6.4. For $2g - 2 + n \ge 1$ the formal series $\phi_{g,n}^D(X(z_1),...,X(z_n))$ from Theorem [6.3,](#page-32-0) for both maps and bipartite maps, can be written as a rational multidifferential in z_1, \ldots, z_n .

While the above corollary is a straightforward consequence of refined topological recursion, the problem of rational parametrization of generating series in enumerative combinatorics of maps has a rich history of studies employing algebraic, analytic, and bijective methods [\[BC86,](#page-36-13) [BC91,](#page-36-14) [Gao93,](#page-38-4) [BMJ06,](#page-37-19) Chao9, [CMS09,](#page-37-20) [CD17\]](#page-37-21). In the special case $\mathfrak{b} = 0$, which corresponds to the generating series of orientable maps/bipartite maps, the rational parametrization of generating series was known before. It was recently reproved using topological recursion in [\[BCCGF24,](#page-36-5) [BDBKS22\]](#page-36-4) for a much wider class of maps than the ones studied here. √

ıdıed nere.
On the other hand, the rational parametrization even in the special case of $\mathfrak{b}=\sqrt{2}^{-1}-$ 2, which corresponds to the generating series of non-oriented maps/bipartite maps, is a new result obtained here. In fact, while the rational parametrization manifests itself through topological recursion in both the refined and unrefined setting, the analytic structure of the correlators in the refined setting differs substantially. Indeed, the RTR correlators have poles along the "anti-diagonals" $z_i = \sigma(z_i)$ and at $z_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}_+)$, for any $i, j \in [n]$.

It was noticed in the past that the structure of the generating series of non-oriented maps is not always as simple as their orientable counterparts [\[AG00,](#page-36-15) [DL22,](#page-37-22) [BCD22\]](#page-36-16). We plan to address this problem in future work, especially from the point of view of a conjectural universal pattern in asymptotic enumeration that so far was explained in the orientable case by the structural properties of TR [\[Eyn16\]](#page-37-16), but in the non-orientable case remains elusive.

Appendix A. Gaussian Beta Ensembles

So far, we studied tau functions of weighted single b-Hurwitz numbers, which are obtained from tau functions of weighted double <code>b-Hurwitz</code> numbers $\tau_{G}^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ $\zeta^{(\mathfrak{b})}_{G}(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}},\tilde{\mathfrak{q}})$ by the specialization $\tau_G^{(\mathfrak{b})}$ $\tau^{(\mathfrak{b})}_{G} := \tau^{(\mathfrak{b})}_{G}$ $\mathcal{L}_G^{(\mathbf{b})}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}},\tilde{\mathbf{q}})\big|_{qi=\delta_{i,1}}.$ This more general tau function for double Hurwitz numbers

is defined as

$$
\tau_G^{(\mathfrak{b})}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}},\tilde{\mathbf{q}})=\sum_{d\geq 0}t^d\sum_{\lambda\vdash d}\frac{J^{(\alpha)}_\lambda(\sqrt{\alpha}\tilde{\mathbf{p}})J^{(\alpha)}_\lambda(\sqrt{\alpha}\hbar^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{q}})}{j^{(\alpha)}_\lambda}\prod_{\square\in\lambda}G(\hbar\cdot\tilde{c}_\alpha(\square)).
$$

In this appendix we briefly discuss one extra case of weighted b-Hurwitz theory that is of special interest due to its relation to random matrices and combinatorial maps. We define $\bar{\tau}_{\alpha}^{(b)}$ $\bar{C}_{\rm G/E}^{(b)}$ as the following specialization of $\tau_G^{(b)}$ $\zeta^{(\mathfrak{b})}_G(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}},\tilde{\mathfrak{q}})$

 (50)

$$
\tau_{\text{GGE}}^{(\mathfrak{b})} := \tau_{G}^{(\mathfrak{b})}(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}},\tilde{\mathfrak{q}})\big|_{\tilde{q}_i = \delta_{i,2}} = \exp\left(\sum_{g \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}\sum_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}\frac{\hbar^{2g-2+n}}{n!}\;F_{g,n}^{\text{GBE}}\big[\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n\big]\;\frac{\tilde{p}_{\mu_1}}{\mu_1}\cdots\frac{\tilde{p}_{\mu_n}}{\mu_n}\right),
$$

with the choice $G(z) = u + z$. We use the terminology G β E, given that this model essentially coincides with the Gaussian β ensemble considered in Section [6.1.](#page-28-1) Although $\tau_{CR}^{(b)}$ $G_{\beta E}^{(0)}$ is not covered by the results of [\[CDO24\]](#page-37-14), the constraints required to apply the methods of this paper are known. More precisely, we have the following Virasoro constraints^{[8](#page-34-2)}.

Proposition A.1 ([\[AvM01,](#page-36-17) [BCD23\]](#page-36-3)). For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge -1}$, the operators L_k defined by

$$
L_k = \sum_{j\geq 1} J_{k-j} J_j + (2u - b\hbar(k+1))J_k - uJ_{-1}\delta_{k,-1} + (u^2 - u\hbar b)\delta_{k,0} - \frac{1}{t^2}J_{k+2}.
$$

annihilate the tau function:

$$
L_k \tau_{G\beta E}^{(b)} = 0, \quad \text{for } k \ge -1.
$$

For any $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we consider the generating functions

$$
W_{g,n}^{G\beta E}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := [\hbar^{2g-2+n}] \prod_{i=1}^n \nabla(x_i) \log \tau_{G\beta E}^{(b)}\Big|_{\mathbf{p}=0}
$$

.

We also consider a shifted version which is helpful for the calculations below, defined as $\tilde{W}_{g,n}^{G\beta E}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := W_{g,n}^{G\beta E}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) - \delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}\left(\frac{x_1}{2t^2}\right)$ $\frac{x_1}{2t^2} - \frac{u}{x_1}$ $\overline{x_1}$ $\Bigl) \, dx_1.$ Then, we obtain the following loop equations, whose proof is completely parallel to Proposition [4.2](#page-14-3) and hence omitted.

Proposition A.2. We have the following formal loop equations

$$
(51) \ \ Q_{g,1+n}^{\tilde{W}}(x;x_{[n]})=\delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,0}\left(\frac{x^2}{4t^4}-\frac{u}{t^2}\right)dx^2-\delta_{g,\frac{1}{2}}\delta_{n,0}\left(\mathfrak{b}\frac{1}{2t^2}\right)dx^2+dx^2\sum_{i=1}^n d_i\left(\frac{\tilde{W}_{g,n}^{G\beta E}(J)}{(x-x_i)dx_i}\right),
$$

where d and d_i denote the exterior derivative with respect to x and x_i respectively.

From these loop equations, we can derive the refined topological recursion. Let us first consider two meromorphic functions x, y on \mathbb{P}^1_z as

(52)
$$
x(z) = t \frac{1 + uz^2}{z}, \quad y(z) = \frac{u^2 z^3}{t(1 + uz^2)},
$$

⁸There is a sign typo in [BCD₂₃, Proposition A.1]; the corresponding tau functions defined by eq. (81) should be corrected by replacing the minus sign in front of c_b by a plus sign.

and define $\tilde{y}(z) := y(z) - \left(\frac{x(z)}{2t^2}\right)$ $\frac{c(z)}{2t^2} - \frac{u}{x(z)}$ $\left(\frac{u}{x(z)}\right)$. The functions x and \tilde{y} satisfy an algebraic relation 2

$$
\tilde{y}(z)^2 - \frac{x(z)^2}{4t^4} + \frac{u}{t^2} = 0,
$$

which coincides with the equation [\(51\)](#page-34-3) for $(g, n) = (0, 1)$ under the identification $x = x(z)$ and $\tilde{W}^{G\beta E}_{01}$ $\tilde{y}_{0,1}^{G\beta E}(x) = \tilde{y}(z)dx(z)$. By removing the shifts, we immediately get that ydx is the analytic continuation of $W_{0,1}^{\text{G/E}}$ $_{\rm 0,1}^{\rm G\beta E}$. We define $\omega_{0,1}^{\rm G\beta E}$ $_{0,1}^{G\beta E}$:= ydx on \mathbb{P}^1_z as usual.

Similar computations show that $\omega_{0,2}^{\text{G/E}}$ $G_{0,2}^{G/E}(z_1,z_2) := -B(z_1,\sigma(z_2))$ is the analytic continuation of $W_{0,2}^{\text{GBE}}$ $\sigma_{0,2}^{G\beta E}(x_1, x_2)$ where $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{uz}$. In order to fix the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}^{G\beta E}$, we choose $P_+ = \{0\}$ and the associated parameter $\mu = \{-1\}$ to define

(53)
$$
\omega_{\frac{1}{2},1}^{\text{GBE}} = \frac{\mathfrak{b}}{2} \left(-\frac{d\tilde{y}}{\tilde{y}} - \eta^0 \right).
$$

Let us denote the correlators constructed by the refined topological recursion on $\mathcal{S}_{\bm \mu}^{\rm GGE}$ by $\omega_{g,n}^{\text{G/E}}$. Then, the $W_{g,n}^{\text{G/E}}$ coincide with the RTR correlators $\omega_{g,n}^{\text{G/E}}$ (note that there is no shift for $(g, n) = (\frac{1}{2})$ $\frac{1}{2}$, 1) in contrast with Theorem [4.6\)](#page-18-0).

Theorem A.3. For $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the G βE correlators analytically continue to the refined TR correlators $\omega_{g,n}^{G\beta E}$ on the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\mu}^{G\beta E}$. As a series expansion near $z_i = 0$ (where $x(z_i) = \infty$), we have

$$
\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\dots,z_n) = \sum_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n \geq 1} F_{g,n} \left[\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n \right] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dx(z_i)}{x(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}}.
$$

Proof. In place of equations (32) and (33) , in this model we have

$$
\eta^0(z)=\frac{dx(z)}{2t^2\tilde{y}(z)},\qquad \tilde{y}(z)dx(z)\frac{\eta^{z_1}(z)}{\tilde{y}(z_1)dx(z_1)}=\frac{1}{x(z)-x(z_1)}\frac{dx(z)^2}{dx(z_1)}.
$$

The rest of the arguments run parallel to the proof of Theorem 4.6 .

A.1. Internal faces. We can also extend this result to the model with internal faces. The special case $\mathfrak{b} = 0$ was already treated in [\[BCCGF24\]](#page-36-5), where the authors constructed two meromorphic functions X , Y that define the spectral curve for this model (see Section 2.1 of *loc.cit.*). Using these two functions and defining a shifted version \tilde{Y} of Y that is anti-invariant under the involution σ , one can show (as in Lemma [5.4\)](#page-21-4) that there exists a polynomial $M(X)$ of degree *D* such that $M(X)|_{\epsilon=0} = 1$ and

$$
\tilde{Y}^{2} = \frac{(X-a)(X-b)M(X)^{2}}{4t^{4}}.
$$

We then choose $P_+ = \{0, b_1, \ldots, b_D\}$ such that $b_i = O(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, and the associated parameter μ is set to

$$
\mathcal{P}_{+} = \{ 0, b_1, \ldots, b_D \}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{ \mu_0, 1, \ldots, 1 \},
$$

For any $\mu_0\,\in\,\mathbb{C}$, one can show that the refined spectral curve $\mathcal{S}_{\bm\mu}^{\mathrm{G}\beta\mathrm{E},D}$ satisfies the refined deformation condition with respect to ϵ , similar to Proposition [5.7.](#page-23-1) The proof is completely

$$
\Box
$$

parallel, except that in place of the κ used in the proof of Lemma [5.6,](#page-22-2) we should define $\kappa(\mathcal{P}_+) = \{-D-1, 1, \ldots, 1\}.$

To state the result, we define the function $F_{g,n}^{G\beta E,D}$ $[k_1, \ldots, k_n; \epsilon]$ as

$$
(54) \quad F_{g,n}^{G\beta E,D}\left[k_1,\ldots,k_n;\epsilon\right] := \sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!} \sum_{k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m}=1}^D F_{g,n}^{G\beta E}\left[k_1,\ldots,k_n,k_{n+1},\ldots,k_{n+m}\right] \prod_{i=n+1}^{m+n} \frac{p_{k_i}}{k_i},
$$

which allows for internal faces of degree $i \leq D$, which are weighted by ϵp_i . Then, by repeating the proof of Theorem [5.10,](#page-26-0) we get the following theorem whose proof is omitted.

Theorem A.4. Set $\mu_0 = -1 - D$. For $(g, n) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the function $F_{g,n}^{G\beta E,D}$ where we allow arbitrary many internal faces can be computed by refined topological recursion. More precisely, near $z_i = 0$ where $X(z_i) = \infty$, we have

$$
\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\dots, z_n) - \delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}\epsilon V'(X(z_1))dX(z_1) = \sum_{\mu_1,\dots, \mu_n \geq 1} F_{g,n}^{G\beta E,D} [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n; \epsilon] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{dX(z_i)}{X(z_i)^{\mu_i+1}}.
$$

REFERENCES

- [ACEH18] A. Alexandrov, G. Chapuy, B. Eynard, and J. Harnad, Weighted Hurwitz numbers and topological recursion: an overview, J. Math. Phys. 59 (2018), no. 8, 081102, 21. MR 3849573 [1,](#page-0-1) [3,](#page-2-1) [5](#page-4-0)
- [AGoo] D. Arquès and A. Giorgetti, *Counting rooted maps on a surface*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 234 (2000), no. 1-2, 255–272. MR 1745078 (2001f:05078) [34](#page-33-1)
- [AGT10] Luis F. Alday, Davide Gaiotto, and Yuji Tachikawa, Liouville correlation functions from fourdimensional gauge theories, Lett. Math. Phys. 91 (2010), no. 2, 167-197. MR 2586871 [32](#page-31-0)
- [AvM01] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, Hermitian, symmetric and symplectic random ensembles: PDEs for the distribution of the spectrum, Ann. of Math. (2) 153 (2001), no. 1, 149–189. MR 1826412 [35](#page-34-4)
- $[BBC^+23]$ 23] Gaëtan Borot, Vincent Bouchard, Nitin K. Chidambaram, Reinier Kramer, and Sergey Shadrin, Taking limits in topological recursion, Preprint arXiv:2309.01654, 2023. [13](#page-12-1)
- $[BBC^+24]$ Gaëtan Borot, Vincent Bouchard, Nitin K. Chidambaram, Thomas Creutzig, and Dmitry Noshchenko, Higher Airy structures, W algebras and topological recursion, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (2024), no. 1476, v+108. MR 4744795 [16](#page-15-1)
- [BBCC24] Gaëtan Borot, Vincent Bouchard, Nitin K. Chidambaram, and Thomas Creutzig, Whittaker vectors for W-algebras from topological recursion, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 30 (2024), no. 2, Paper No. 33, 91. MR 4713845 [16](#page-15-1)
- [BC86] Edward A. Bender and E. Rodney Canfield, The asymptotic number of rooted maps on a surface, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 43 (1986), no. 2, 244–257. MR 867650 (88a:05080) [34](#page-33-1)
- [BC91] , The number of rooted maps on an orientable surface, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 53 (1991), no. 2, 293–299. MR 1129556 [34](#page-33-1)
- [BCCGF24] Valentin Bonzom, Guillaume Chapuy, Séverin Charbonnier, and Elba Garcia-Failde, Topological recursion for Orlov-Scherbin tau functions, and constellations with internal faces, Comm. Math. Phys. 405 (2024), no. 8, Paper No. 189, 53. MR 4779558 [4,](#page-3-3) [5,](#page-4-0) [20,](#page-19-5) [21,](#page-20-2) [22,](#page-21-5) [25,](#page-24-3) [27,](#page-26-2) [29,](#page-28-3) [34,](#page-33-1) [36](#page-35-3)
- [BCD22] Valentin Bonzom, Guillaume Chapuy, and Maciej Dołęga, Enumeration of non-oriented maps via integrability, Algebr. Comb. 5 (2022), no. 6, 1363–1390. MR 4529929 [34](#page-33-1)
- $[BCD23]$, b-monotone Hurwitz numbers: Virasoro constraints, BKP hierarchy, and $O(N)$ -BGW integral, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2023), no. 14, 12172–12230. MR 4615229 [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-3) [7,](#page-6-3) [9,](#page-8-5) [31,](#page-30-1) [35](#page-34-4)
- [BCU24] Gaëtan Borot, Nitin K. Chidambaram, and Giacomo Umer, Whittaker vectors at finite energy scale, topological recursion and Hurwitz numbers, Preprint arXiv:2403.16938, 2024. [5](#page-4-0)
- [BDBKS20] Boris Bychkov, Petr Dunin-Barkowski, Maxim Kazarian, and Sergey Shadrin, Topological recursion for Kadomtsev-Petviashvili tau functions of hypergeometric type, Preprint arXiv:2012.14723, 2020. [1,](#page-0-1) [3,](#page-2-1) [5,](#page-4-0) [18](#page-17-3)
- [BDBKS22] ____, Symplectic duality for topological recursion, Preprint arXiv:2206.14792, 2022. [4,](#page-3-3) [21,](#page-20-2) [22,](#page-21-5) [34](#page-33-1)
- $[BDK^+23]$ Gaëtan Borot, Norman Do, Maksim Karev, Danilo Lewański, and Ellena Moskovsky, Double Hurwitz numbers: polynomiality, topological recursion and intersection theory, Math. Ann. 387 (2023), no. 1-2, 179–243. MR 4631045 [1](#page-0-1)
- [Ben91] Edward Bender, Some unsolved problems in map enumeration, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 3 (1991), 51–56. MR 1160008 [5](#page-4-0)
- [BG13] G. Borot and A. Guionnet, Asymptotic expansion of β matrix models in the one-cut regime, Comm. Math. Phys. 317 (2013), no. 2, 447-483. MR 3010191 [3,](#page-2-1) [30](#page-29-0)
- [BKS24] Gaëtan Borot, Reinier Kramer, and Yannik Schüler, Higher Airy structures and topological recursion for singular spectral curves, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré D 11 (2024), no. 1, 1-146. MR 4705584 [16](#page-15-1)
- [BMJ06] M. Bousquet-Mélou and A. Jehanne, Polynomial equations with one catalytic variable, algebraic series and map enumeration, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 96 (2006), no. 5, 623–672. MR 2236503 [34](#page-33-1)
- [BMn08] Vincent Bouchard and Marcos Mariño, Hurwitz numbers, matrix models and enumerative geometry, From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 263–283. MR 2483754 [1](#page-0-1)
- [CD17] Guillaume Chapuy and Maciej Dołęga, A bijection for rooted maps on general surfaces, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 145 (2017), 252–307. MR 3551653 [34](#page-33-1)
- [CD22] , Non-orientable branched coverings, b-Hurwitz numbers, and positivity for multiparametric Jack expansions, Adv. Math. 409 (2022), no. part A, Paper No. 108645, 72. MR 4477016 [2,](#page-1-2) [3,](#page-2-1) [5,](#page-4-0) [7,](#page-6-3) [32,](#page-31-0) [34](#page-33-1)
- [CDO24] Nitin K. Chidambaram, Maciej Dołęga, and Kento Osuga, b-Hurwitz numbers from Whittaker vectors for W-algebras, Preprint arXiv:2401.12814, 2024. [5,](#page-4-0) [6,](#page-5-1) [10,](#page-9-4) [32,](#page-31-0) [35](#page-34-4)
- [CE06] Leonid Chekhov and Bertrand Eynard, Matrix eigenvalue model: Feynman graph technique for all genera, J. High Energy Phys. (2006), no. 12, 026, 29. MR 2276715 [2,](#page-1-2) [4,](#page-3-3) [10,](#page-9-4) [30](#page-29-0)
- [CEO06] Leonid Chekhov, Bertrand Eynard, and Nicolas Orantin, Free energy topological expansion for the 2-matrix model, J. High Energy Phys. (2006), no. 12, 053, 31. MR 2276699 [1,](#page-0-1) [13](#page-12-1)
- [Cha09] Guillaume Chapuy, Asymptotic enumeration of constellations and related families of maps on orientable surfaces, Combin. Probab. Comput. 18 (2009), no. 4, 477–516. MR 2507734 (2010j:05034) [3,](#page-2-1) [34](#page-33-1)
- [CMS09] Guillaume Chapuy, Michel Marcus, and Gilles Schaeffer, A bijection for rooted maps on orientable surfaces, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 23 (2009), no. 3, 1587–1611. [34](#page-33-1)
- [DBKPS19] P. Dunin-Barkowski, R. Kramer, A. Popolitov, and S. Shadrin, Cut-and-join equation for monotone Hurwitz numbers revisited, J. Geom. Phys. 137 (2019), 1–6. MR 3892091 [1](#page-0-1)
- [DDM17] N. Do, A. Dyer, and D. V. Mathews, *Topological recursion and a quantum curve for monotone Hur*witz numbers, J. Geom. Phys. 120 (2017), 19–36. MR 3712146 [1](#page-0-1)
- [DE02] Ioana Dumitriu and Alan Edelman, Matrix models for beta ensembles, J. Math. Phys. 43 (2002), no. 11, 5830–5847. MR 1936554 [3,](#page-2-1) [30](#page-29-0)
- [DL22] Maciej Dołęga and Mathias Lepoutre, Blossoming bijection for bipartite pointed maps and parametric rationality of general maps of any surface, Adv. in Appl. Math. 141 (2022), Paper No. 102408. MR 4461608 [34](#page-33-1)
- [DLN16] Norman Do, Oliver Leigh, and Paul Norbury, Orbifold Hurwitz numbers and Eynard-Orantin in-variants, Math. Res. Lett. 23 (20[1](#page-0-1)6), no. 5, 1281-1327. MR 3601067 1
- [ELSV01] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, Hurwitz numbers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves, Invent. Math. 146 (2001), no. 2, 297–327. MR 1864018 [1](#page-0-1)
- [EMS11] Bertrand Eynard, Motohico Mulase, and Bradley Safnuk, The Laplace transform of the cut-and-join equation and the Bouchard-Mariño conjecture on Hurwitz numbers, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 47 (2011), no. 2, 629–670. MR 2849645 [1](#page-0-1)
- [EO07] Bertrand Eynard and Nicolas Orantin, Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 1 (2007), no. 2, 347–452. MR 2346575 [1,](#page-0-1) [13,](#page-12-1) [14](#page-13-4)
- [Eyn16] Bertrand Eynard, Counting surfaces, Progress in Mathematical Physics, vol. 70, Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016, CRM Aisenstadt chair lectures. MR 3468847 [5,](#page-4-0) [34](#page-33-1)
- [For10] P. J. Forrester, Log-gases and random matrices, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 34, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010. MR 2641363 [29](#page-28-3)

- $[FRW17]$ Peter J. Forrester, Anas A. Rahman, and Nicholas S. Witte, Large N expansions for the Laguerre and $Jacobi \beta$ -ensembles from the loop equations, J. Math. Phys. 58 (2017), no. 11, 113303, 25. MR 3725731 [30](#page-29-0)
- [Gao93] Zhicheng Gao, The number of degree restricted maps on general surfaces, Discrete Math. 123 (1993), no. 1-3, 47–63. MR 1256081 (94j:05008) [3,](#page-2-1) [34](#page-33-1)
- [GGPN13] I. P. Goulden, Mathieu Guay-Paquet, and Jonathan Novak, Polynomiality of monotone Hurwitz numbers in higher genera, Adv. Math. 238 (2013), 1–23. MR 3033628 [3](#page-2-1)
- [GPH17] M. Guay-Paquet and J. Harnad, Generating functions for weighted Hurwitz numbers, J. Math. Phys. 58 (2017), no. 8, 083503, 28. MR 3683833 [2,](#page-1-2) [7](#page-6-3)
- [Kad97] K. W. J. Kadell, The Selberg-Jack symmetric functions, Adv. Math. 130 (1997), no. 1, 33-102. MR MR1467311 (98k:05141) [30](#page-29-0)
- [KO23] Omar Kidwai and Kento Osuga, Quantum curves from refined topological recursion: the genus 0 case, Adv. Math. 432 (2023), Paper No. 109253, 52. MR 4632570 [2,](#page-1-2) [5,](#page-4-0) [10,](#page-9-4) [12,](#page-11-3) [13,](#page-12-1) [19,](#page-18-4) [20,](#page-19-5) [30](#page-29-0)
- [KPS22] Reinier Kramer, Alexandr Popolitov, and Sergey Shadrin, Topological recursion for monotone orbifold Hurwitz numbers: a proof of the Do-Karev conjecture, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 23 (2022), no. 2, 809–827. MR 4453964 [1](#page-0-1)
- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications. MR 1354144 [6](#page-5-1)
- [MO19] Davesh Maulik and Andrei Okounkov, Quantum groups and quantum cohomology, Astérisque (2019), no. 408, ix+209. MR 3951025 [32](#page-31-0)
- [Oko97] Andrei Okounkov, Proof of a conjecture of Goulden and Jackson, Canad. J. Math. 49 (1997), no. 5, 883–886. MR 1604110 [30,](#page-29-0) [31](#page-30-1)
- [Osu24a] Kento Osuga, Deformation and quantisation condition of the Q-top recursion, Ann. Henri Poincaré 25 (2024), no. 9, 4033–4064. MR 4789305 [5,](#page-4-0) [13,](#page-12-1) [14,](#page-13-4) [25,](#page-24-3) [30](#page-29-0)
- [Osu24b] , Refined Topological Recursion Revisited: Properties and Conjectures, Comm. Math. Phys. 405 (2024), no. 12, Paper No. 296. MR 4829559 [2,](#page-1-2) [10,](#page-9-4) [11,](#page-10-6) [12,](#page-11-3) [14,](#page-13-4) [30](#page-29-0)
- [Ruz23] Giulio Ruzza, Jacobi beta ensemble and b-Hurwitz numbers, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 19 (2023), Paper No. 100. MR 4679258 [4,](#page-3-3) [7,](#page-6-3) [9,](#page-8-5) [30,](#page-29-0) [31](#page-30-1)
- [Sta89] Richard P. Stanley, Some combinatorial properties of Jack symmetric functions, Adv. Math. 77 (1989), no. 1, 76–115. MR 1014073 (90g:05020) [6](#page-5-1)
- $[SV13]$ O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, Cherednik algebras, W-algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on A^2 , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 118 (2013), 213-342. MR 3150250 [32](#page-31-0)

School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, James Clerk Maxwell Building, Peter Guthrie TAIT RD, EDINBURGH EH9 3FD, U.K.

Email address: nitin.chidambaram@ed.ac.uk

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, POLAND.

Email address: mdolega@impan.pl

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba 3-8-1, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan

Email address: osuga@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp