

DRT-o1: Optimized Deep Reasoning Translation via Long Chain-of-Thought

Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng*, Yunlong Liang, Jie Zhou

Pattern Recognition Center, WeChat AI, Tencent Inc

{torchwang, fandongmeng, yunlonliang, withtomzhou}@tencent.com

 DRT-o1  DRT-o1-7B  DRT-o1-8B  DRT-o1-14B

Abstract

Recently, O1-like models have emerged as representative examples, illustrating the effectiveness of long chain-of-thought (CoT) in reasoning tasks such as math and coding tasks. In this paper, we introduce DRT-o1, an attempt to bring the success of long CoT to neural machine translation (MT). Specifically, in view of the literature books that might involve similes and metaphors, translating these texts to a target language is very difficult in practice due to cultural differences. In such cases, literal translation often fails to convey the intended meaning effectively. Even for professional human translators, considerable thought must be given to preserving semantics throughout the translation process. To simulate LLMs' long thought ability in MT, we first mine sentences containing similes or metaphors from existing literature books, and then develop a multi-agent framework to translate these sentences via long thought. In the multi-agent framework, a translator is used to iteratively translate the source sentence under the suggestions provided by an advisor. To ensure the effectiveness of the long thoughts, an evaluator is also employed to quantify the translation in each round. In this way, we collect tens of thousands of long-thought MT data, which is used to train our DRT-o1. Using Qwen2.5 and Llama-3.1 as the backbones, DRT-o1 models can learn the thought process during machine translation, and outperform vanilla LLMs as well as existing O1-like LLMs, showing their effectiveness

1 Introduction

Recently, the emergence of the O1-like models shows great performance in reasoning tasks, *e.g.*, math and coding tasks (OpenAI, 2024b; Qin et al., 2024; Huang et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024). With the help of long thought, LLMs tend to explore, reflect and self-improve the reasoning processes to achieve more accurate answers.

In this paper, our goal is not to achieve competitive performance with OpenAI's O1 in neural machine translation (MT). Instead, we explore technical routes to bring the success of long thought to MT. To this end, we introduce DRT-o1, *a byproduct of our exploration*, and we hope it could facilitate the corresponding research in this direction. There are two key points in achieving this goal:

- i) **A suitable translation scenario to employ long thought in MT:** Not all scenarios require long thought during translation. For example, in simple expressions, literal translation can meet most needs, and translation via long thought may be unnecessary.
- ii) **A method to synthesize MT data with long thought:** Long thought SFT (supervised fine-tuning) data plays a vital role in simulating LLMs' long thought ability (Huang et al., 2024). Previous work typically pays much attention to how to synthesize long-thought SFT data in math and coding tasks (Qin et al., 2024; Huang et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024).

For i), inspired by Van den Broeck (1981), a possible scenario is translating sentences with similes or metaphors, where literal translation often fails to convey the intended semantics. Given that, we decide to mine such sentences from literature books. The mining process uses an advanced large language model (LLM) to first judge Q_1 : *whether each literature sentence has any similes or metaphors*. If has, the LLM will be asked to literally translate the sentence to a target language, and give a final judgment on Q_2 : *whether literal translation is effective for native speakers of the target language to comprehend*. If the answers of Q_1 and Q_2 are "yes" and "no", respectively, the corresponding literature sentences will be reserved, and regarded as "suitable to translate via long thought".

For ii), after collecting the literal sentences with similes or metaphors, the next question is how to synthesize long thought MT samples. Previous

* Corresponding author.

work typically utilizes Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) (Qin et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024) or data distillation (Huang et al., 2024) (from existing O1-like models) to collect long thought SFT samples. Nevertheless, MCTS is typically used in math and coding tasks where multiple reasoning behaviors should be considered, and the method emphasizes complex reasoning that might not be efficient for machine translation. Besides, utilizing existing O1-like models for data distillation might (1) constrain the potential quality of the long-thought data; and (2) have a data gap in MT since current O1-like models are typically optimized toward math and coding tasks.

Therefore, we propose a multi-agent framework to synthesize MT data with long thought. In detail, there are three agents in the framework, *i.e.*, a translator, an advisor and an evaluator. The synthesis process is iterative, consisting of the following three steps during each iteration: (1) the translator generates a new translation conditioned on the previous step’s translation and the corresponding refinement suggestions from the advisor; (2) the advisor evaluates the current translation and offers detailed feedback; (3) the evaluator assesses the current translation and gives an evaluation score using predefined scoring criteria. Once the translation score provided by the evaluator reaches a pre-defined threshold or the number of iterations reaches a maximum value, the iteration will stop. After that, the translation and suggestions in every step could form the long-thought MT samples. To improve the readability and fluency of the long-thought data, we employ GPT-4o (OpenAI, 2024a) to reformulate the long-thought content.

Based on the collected long-thought MT samples, we train (SFT) our DRT-o1-7B, DRT-o1-8B and DRT-o1-14B using the backbones of Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct, Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct (Dubey et al., 2024) and Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct (Qwen Team, 2024), respectively. Experimental results on literature translation demonstrate the effectiveness of the DRT-o1. For example, DRT-o1-14B outperforms vanilla Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct and QwQ-32B-preview in terms of BLEU, CometKiwi, CometScore and GPT-4 evaluators.

Our main contributions are concluded as follows:

- We propose DRT-o1 aiming at building LLMs with long-thought machine translation ability. To achieve this, we mine literature sentences with similes or metaphors, and collect MT samples with long-thought processes.

- To synthesize the long-thought MT samples, we propose a multi-agent framework that involves a translator, an advisor and an evaluator. These three agents collaborate in an iterative manner to produce long thoughts during MT. Lastly, GPT-4o is used to further improve the quality of the synthetic long-thought MT samples.
- Experimental results on literature translation verify the effectiveness of our DRT-o1. With the help of long thought, LLMs can learn to think during the machine translation.

2 DRT-o1 Data

We focus on English-to-Chinese translation, and we introduce how to collect DRT-o1 training data via three steps in this section: (1) collecting English sentences that tend to require long thoughts during translation (§ 2.1); (2) synthesizing the long-thought translation process for the collected sentences by a designed multi-agent framework (§ 2.2); (3) improving the readability and fluency of the long-thought content to form the final long-thought MT samples (§ 2.3). In the end, we provide data statistics of the collected data to give a deeper understanding (§ 2.4).

2.1 Literature Book Mining

Following Kryscinski et al. (2022), we leverage the literature books from the Project Gutenberg public-domain book repository¹, where the books are typically more than fifty years old and their copyrights have expired. About 400 English books are used to mine sentences with similes or metaphors.

First, we extract all sentences from these books, and filter out too short or too long sentences, *i.e.*, less than 10 words or more than 100 words, resulting in 577.6K literature sentences. Second, for each sentence, we use Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct (Qwen Team, 2024) to judge whether the sentence involves similes or metaphors, and discard the sentences that do not contain any ones. Third, for the remaining sentences, we let Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct literally translate them to Chinese, and then judge whether the translation satisfies native Chinese people. If the answer is negative, the corresponding sentence will be reserved, and regarded as “suitable to translate via long thought”. In this manner, we finally collect 63K (out of 577.6K) literature sentences involving similes or metaphors whose literal

¹<https://www.gutenberg.org/>




Figure 1: The illustration of the multi-agent framework to synthesize long-thought MT samples. (a) A translator iteratively produces translations under the suggestions provided by an advisor; (b) An advisor reviews the translation results and gives suggestions; (c) An evaluator assesses the translation results and gives an overall score to indicate the translation quality.

translations also have flaws, called *pre-collected sentences*.

2.2 Multi-Agent Framework

For each pre-collected sentence (denoted as s), we design a multi-agent framework to translate it from English to Chinese via long thought. As shown in Figure 1, our multi-agent framework includes three agents: a translator, an advisor, and an evaluator. The synthetic process is illustrated as follows:

(1) *Word-level Translation*. The translator first identifies the keywords that lie in the sentence, and then provides their translations under the consideration of the context. The keywords are denoted as $\mathcal{W}^{\text{src}} = \{w_1^{\text{src}}, w_2^{\text{src}}, \dots, w_k^{\text{src}}\}$, where w_i^{src} indicates the i -th keyword in s , and k is the number of keywords. The translation of keywords is denoted as $\mathcal{W}^{\text{tgt}} = \{w_1^{\text{tgt}}, w_2^{\text{tgt}}, \dots, w_k^{\text{tgt}}\}$. This step enables the model to identify potential challenges in translating the entire sentence by breaking it down into sub-problems (*i.e.*, word-level translation).

(2) *Preliminary Translation*. The translator then provides a preliminary sentence translation (t^0) conditioned on both the source sentence (s) and its keyword bilingual pairs ($\langle \mathcal{W}^{\text{src}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{tgt}} \rangle$).

(3) *Translation Refine Loop*. In the refine loop, three agents work together to refine the translation iteratively. In each iteration step k (start from $k = 1$), the advisor first evaluates the translation in the previous step, *i.e.*, t^{k-1} , and provides detailed feedback f^{k-1} for polishing it. Then, the evaluator gives an overall score of t^{k-1} conditioned on both pre-defined scoring criteria and f^{k-1} , the score is denoted as s^{k-1} . In the last of the iteration

step, the translator takes its previous translation t^{k-1} , the corresponding feedback f^{k-1} and overall score s^{k-1} into account to provide a new translation t^k . The translation refine loop will stop when the overall score reaches a pre-defined threshold or the number of iteration steps meets the maximum.

2.3 Long Thought Reformulation

After the multi-agent collaboration, we obtain a long thought process:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(s) : s &\Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}^{\text{src}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{tgt}} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle t^0, f^0, s^0 \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle t^1, f^1, s^1 \rangle \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \langle t^m, f^m, s^m \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{P}(s)$ denotes the multi-agent thought process for s , and m is the number of iteration steps. To emphasize the valid thought process, translations without score change will be removed. That is, if s^i is equal to s^{i-1} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), we will discard $\langle t^i, f^i, s^i \rangle$ in $\mathcal{P}(s)$, resulting in:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}'(s) : s &\Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{W}^{\text{src}}, \mathcal{W}^{\text{tgt}} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle t^0, f^0, s^0 \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle t^{r_1}, f^{r_1}, s^{r_1} \rangle \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \langle t^{r_n}, f^{r_n}, s^{r_n} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_n \leq m$, and n is the number of remaining steps. If $n < 3$, we will discard the whole sample, *i.e.*, $\mathcal{P}(s) / \mathcal{P}'(s)$.

For the remaining samples, we follow Qin et al. (2024), and leverage GPT-4o (OpenAI, 2024a) to modify and polish $\mathcal{P}'(s)$ into a self-reflection description. Finally, we obtain 22,264 machine translation samples with long thought. Figure 2 gives an example sample to illustrate the synthetic results.

It is also worth noting that during the GPT-4o reformulation, we specify the translation with the

[Source Sentence] Passing by the tower with her husband on the path to the gate she could feel the vibrant air humming round them from the louvred belfry in the circle of sound, and it matched the highly-charged mental atmosphere in which she was living.

[Thought During Translation] I start by identifying and translating the key terms from the English sentence: 'tower' as '塔', 'husband' as '丈夫', 'gate' as '大门', 'vibrant' as '充满活力的', 'humming' as '嗡嗡作响', 'louvred' as '有百叶窗的', 'belfry' as '钟楼', 'circle' as '圆圈', 'sound' as '声音', 'highly-charged' as '高度紧张的', 'mental' as '精神的', and 'atmosphere' as '氛围'.

With these translations in mind, I attempt my first translation: 经过塔时, 她和丈夫走在通往大门的路上, 能感受到有百叶窗的钟楼在声音的圆圈中发出的充满活力的空气在他们周围嗡嗡作响, 这与她所处的高度紧张的精神氛围相匹配。

I reflect on this attempt and realize that while it is generally accurate, the phrasing could be improved for better fluency and clarity. The segment '有百叶窗的钟楼在声音的圆圈中发出的充满活力的空气' feels cumbersome and could be simplified. Additionally, '高度紧张的精神氛围' might not fully capture the nuance of 'highly-charged mental atmosphere.'

I revise my translation: 经过塔时, 她和丈夫走在通往大门的路上, 感受到从有百叶窗的钟楼传来的充满活力的空气在他们周围轻轻回响, 这与她所处的高度活跃的精神氛围相契合。

This revision feels more fluent and natural, effectively conveying the original meaning. The phrase '轻轻回响' improves the flow and captures the sense of the air humming around them. The term '高度活跃的精神氛围' seems a better fit for 'highly-charged mental atmosphere,' making the translation more accurate and easier to understand for a Chinese reader.

I make another revision: 经过塔时, 她和丈夫走在通往大门的路上, 感受到从有百叶窗的钟楼传来的充满活力的气息在他们周围轻轻回荡, 这与她内心的高度活跃氛围相契合。

This version is very smooth and natural, effectively conveying the original meaning. The use of '轻轻回荡' is particularly apt, capturing the sense of the air humming around them. The phrase '高度活跃的精神氛围' accurately reflects the 'highly-charged mental atmosphere,' making the translation both precise and fluent. There are no significant issues that would hinder understanding for a Chinese reader.

Finally, I decide on the final translation: 经过塔时, 她和丈夫走在通往大门的路上, 感受到从有百叶窗的钟楼传来的充满活力的气息在他们周围轻轻回荡, 这与她内心的高度活跃氛围相映成趣。

Figure 2: An example of long thought synthesized by the designed multi-agent framework and GPT-4o reformulation.

	# Sample	Query	Thought	Output
o1-journey	327	41.53	486.05	3.41
Marco-O1 CoT data	10,000	52.73	673.98	52.73
DRT-o1 data (training)	19,264	37.25	527.64	44.67
DRT-o1 data (validation)	1,000	37.43	531.36	44.98
DRT-o1 data (testing)	2,000	37.19	525.44	44.70

Table 1: The number of samples and average token-level length of query, thought and output. "Query" and "Output" in DRT-o1 data mean the source sentences and the translated outputs, respectively.

highest score s^{rj} as the final translation. Thus, the final translation is not necessarily the last one during refinement, *i.e.*, t^n .

2.4 Data Statistics

We split the collected 22,264 samples into training, validation and testing sets with 19,264, 1,000 and 2,000 samples, respectively. Table 1 shows the data statistics of DRT-o1 data and previous O1-like data. For Marco-O1 CoT data (Zhao et al., 2024), since it is not fully released, we use its demo data to calculate the data statistics.² As we can see, the average number of tokens in our synthesized thought reaches 500+ tokens, showing the long thought process in our data.

Figure 3 shows the number of refinement steps/rounds in the DRT-o1 data, which ranges from three to eight. We can find that most samples (73.22%) involve three refinement steps, while




Figure 3: The distribution of the number of refinement steps in DRT-o1 data.

only one sample involves eight steps. Furthermore, to provide a deeper understanding of the refinement process, we calculate the average edit distance before and after each refinement step. Specifically, the first three refinement steps cause 21.44, 13.16 and 10.90 character-level edit distance. This observation is consistent with intuition. As the refinement progresses, the magnitude of the modification gradually decreases.

3 Experiments

3.1 Experimental Setups

Metrics. Following previous work, we adopt BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002), reference-free CometKiwi and reference-based CometScore (Rei et al., 2022) to evaluate the model translations. BLEU evaluates n-grams overlap between the gen-

²<https://github.com/AIDC-AI/Marco-o1>

Model	reference-free		reference-based		
	GRF	CometKiwi	GRB	BLEU	CometScore
<i>Vanilla LLMs</i>					
Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct	79.25	70.14	73.30	18.55	74.58
Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	81.53	70.36	77.92	27.02	76.78
Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct	84.74	72.01	80.85	30.23	78.84
Marco-o1-7B	82.41	71.62	77.50	29.48	77.41
QwQ-32B-preview	86.31	71.48	83.08	27.46	78.68
<i>DRT-o1</i>					
DRT-o1-8B (Backbone: Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct)	84.49	70.85	80.80	32.67	78.81
DRT-o1-7B (Backbone: Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct)	85.57	71.78	82.38	35.54	80.19
DRT-o1-14B (Backbone: Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct)	87.19	72.11	83.20	36.46	80.64

Table 2: Experimental results on literature translation.

erated translations and corresponding references, while CometScore evaluates the semantic similarity of translations against references. CometKiwi uses a language model to judge whether a translation conveys the semantics of the source sentence. To calculate CometKiwi and CometScore, we leverage the official codes³ and the official models⁴. To calculate the BLEU score, we use the *sacrebleu* toolkit⁵ to calculate the corpus-level BLEU.

In addition, recent studies (Kocmi and Federmann, 2023; Wang et al., 2023) also show the strong ability of LLMs in NLP evaluation. Thus, we use evaluators implemented using GPT-4o in reference-based and reference-free styles, which we refer to as *GRB* and *GRF*, respectively. Since GRB and GRF need the API costs, we randomly select 400 samples from the testing set, and conduct the GRB and GRF evaluation. The evaluation prompts are given in Appendix A.

Backbones. We adopt the following three LLMs as the backbones of our DRT-o1: (1) Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct (Dubey et al., 2024)⁶; (2) Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct⁷ and (3) Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct (Yang et al., 2024)⁸.

Implementation Details. Llama-Factory (Zheng et al., 2024) is used to instruct-tune LLMs. Following Wang et al. (2024a), all LLMs are tuned on 8×NVIDIA A100 GPUs (40G) with 1e-5 learning rate and 8 (8×1) batch size. We use the DeepSpeed

optimization (Rasley et al., 2020), and set ZeRO-3 optimization. Following Qin et al. (2024), we set the number of training epochs to 3, and the training process costs 70 GPU hours and 124 GPU hours for 7B and 14B models, respectively.

Inference Details. When evaluating model performance on the test set, we use vLLM toolkit (Kwon et al., 2023)⁹ to accelerate the model generation. We use the sampling decoding strategy with 0.1 temperature, and set the repetition penalty to 1.05.

3.2 Comparison Models

We use vanilla Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct, Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct and Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct as the comparison models. Besides, two O1-like LLMs, *i.e.*, Marco-o1-7B and QwQ-32B-preview, are also conducted as baselines.

3.3 Main Results

Table 2 shows the experimental results. After instruction tuning on the long-thought MT training data, DRT-series LLMs significantly outperform the corresponding vanilla backbones. For example, DRT-o1-7B outperforms Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct by 4.04 GRF, 1.42 CometKiwi, 4.46 GRB, 8.52 BLEU and 3.41 CometScore. DRT-o1-8B outperforms Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct by 5.24 GRF, 0.71 CometKiwi, 7.50 GRB, 14.12 BLEU and 4.23 CometScore. Besides, DRT-o1-14B outperforms all baselines (including QwQ-32B-preview and Marco-o1-7B) in terms of all metrics, showing the effectiveness of long thought in MT.

3.4 Case Study

Table 3 provides some literature translation cases of Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct, QwQ-32B-Preview and

³<https://github.com/Unbabel/COMET>

⁴<https://huggingface.co/Unbabel/wmt22-cometkiwi-da> and <https://huggingface.co/Unbabel/wmt22-comet-da>

⁵<https://github.com/mjpost/sacrebleu>

⁶<https://huggingface.co/meta-llama/Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct>

⁷<https://huggingface.co/Qwen/Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct>

⁸<https://huggingface.co/Qwen/Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct>

⁹<https://github.com/vllm-project/vllm>

Source Sentence	Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct	QwQ-32B-Preview	DRT-o1-14B
Women were weeping and children crying, and all were going as fast as seemingly lay in their power, looking behind now and then as if pursued by some deadly enemy.	妇女在哭泣，孩子们在哀号，所有人都似乎在尽全力快速前行，不时回头张望，仿佛背后有某个致命的敌人在追赶。	妇女们哭泣着，孩子们哭喊着，每个人都在尽力加快脚步，不时回头张望，仿佛背后有致命的敌人在追击。	妇女悲泣，孩童哀号，众人疾奔，不时回首，仿佛有致命之敌在后紧追。
Wait through this season of the rain Till suns of autumn dry the plain, Then shall thy giant foe, and all His host and realm, before thee fall.	等待这雨季过去，直到秋日的阳光晒干原野，那时你的巨敌及其部众和王国都将倒在你面前。	忍过雨季漫长夜，待秋阳升空，晒干大地湿润色，那时，巨敌垮台，他的军队和王国，全在你脚下。	历尽这雨季，待秋日阳光驱散平原上的最后一丝湿润，那时，你那巨敌，连同他的军队与王国，都将匍匐在你脚下。
And if their words had taken another turn...if he himself had been less fastidious about intruding on another man's secrets...it was cruel to think how thin a film had shut out rescue from all this guilt and misery.	而如果他们的言辞转向了另一个方向.....如果他自己对侵扰他人秘密不那么介意.....想到正是那层薄薄的隔阂将救援拒之门外，使得这一切的罪恶和痛苦无法避免，真是残酷。	而且，假如他们的谈话走向不同.....假如他自己不对干涉他人私事如此谨慎.....那时他会意识到，只要一层薄薄的屏障就阻隔了救援，使得所有这些罪恶和痛苦无法解脱，这真是残忍。	若他们的言辞稍作转变.....若他本人不那么介意侵入他人的心扉.....想到这层薄薄的隔阂竟将所有内疚与痛苦隔离于救援之外，实为残忍。
This cold officer upon a monument, who dropped epithets unconcernedly down, would be finer as a dead man, he thought.	他认为，这个站在纪念碑上的冷漠官员，若死了会更好，他不带任何感情地抛下了一些称呼。	这个冷冰冰的官员站在纪念碑上，毫不在意地抛下一些称号，他想，如果作为一个死人会更出色。	纪念碑上的冷淡官员，漫不经心地吟咏那些修饰语，他心想，若化为亡者，或许更显尊贵。
I see on your cheek two tears which I know are hot as two sparks, and salt as two crystals of the sea.	我看见你脸颊上有两滴泪水，我知道它们像两簇火花一样滚烫，像两颗海水结晶一样咸。	我见你面颊两颗泪，温热如火花，咸涩如海晶。	我看见你脸颊上的两滴眼泪，它们既如两簇火花般炽热，又似两粒海盐般咸涩。
The night wore out, and, as he stood upon the bridge listening to the water as it splashed the river-walls of the Island of Paris, where the picturesque confusion of houses and cathedral shone bright in the light of the moon, the day came coldly, looking like a dead face out of the sky.	夜深了，当他站在桥上，听着水花拍打巴黎岛河岸的声音，月光下房屋与教堂错落有致的景象闪烁着光芒时，冷冰冰的白天来临了，仿佛是从天空中露出一张死人脸。	夜晚消逝了，当他站在桥上，聆听水流拍打着巴黎岛的河岸，那里的房屋与大教堂构成了一幅混乱而又美丽的图景，在月光下闪耀着明亮的光芒，而白天则冷酷地到来，仿佛是从天空中一张死人脸。	夜色渐渐消逝，他站在桥上，倾听河水轻拍巴黎城岛的河岸。月光下，房屋与大教堂错落交织，闪烁着迷人的光辉。白日冷冰冰地降临，宛如从天际浮现的一张死寂的脸。

Table 3: Case Studies of literature translation. Green indicates good translations, while red indicates bad ones.




Figure 4: Time cost during inference on the testing set.

DRT-o1-14B. With the help of long thought, the translations of DRT-o1-14B align more closely with the conventions of the Chinese language and exhibit a greater literary quality. Besides, in addition to DRT-o1-14B, some translation snippets of other LLMs can also show a great performance (marked in green). This indicates that vanilla LLMs might have the capability to translate literature, and long thought could further activate the capability.

3.5 Inference Time Analysis

When evaluating LLMs' literature translation performance on our test set, we leverage vLLM to accelerate the model generation. Specifically, we

use a single NVIDIA A100 GPU (40G) to deploy and call each LLM, and calculate the corresponding generation time in the test set. As shown in Figure 4, the average time costs of DRT-o1 models are significantly higher than vanilla LLMs. This is because DRT-o1 models should first generate the long thought and then provide the final translation, thus needing more inference time. This also indicates that the O1-like LLMs may not be applicable to some scenarios with high real-time requirements.

4 Related Work

4.1 O1-like LLMs

Recently, O1-like LLMs have shown great performance in reasoning tasks, especially math and coding tasks. After the emergency of OpenAI O1 model (OpenAI, 2024b), many efforts are given in reproducing OpenAI O1. For example, Qin et al. (2024) propose journey learning, a training paradigm, to encourage LLMs to learn not just shortcuts, but the complete exploration process. With only 327 training samples, the journey learning enhances LLMs' long-thought ability. Huang et al. (2024) explore the data distillation from existing O1-like models, and show the effectiveness of data distillation. Zhang et al. (2024) leverage Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) to synthesize reasoning-enhanced code data, and train O1-Coder.

Marco-o1 (Zhao et al., 2024) is proposed to deal with open-ended text generation. Marco-o1 shows the effectiveness of a MCTS-enhanced inference method, and achieves great performance on math problems. Besides, Marco-o1 studies the machine translation cases with long thought, and shows the potentiality of O1-like models in dealing with MT.

4.2 Literature Translation

Different from translating standard MT corpora (e.g., news articles), translating literature books is more difficult since it often requires equivalence beyond the word level (Thai et al., 2022). Besides, it is also difficult to evaluate literature translation using automatic metrics, and previous literature translation work typically relies on human evaluation (Fonteyne et al., 2020; Karpinska and Iyyer, 2023). Due to its difficulty, early work is limited to small-scale attempts (Genzel et al., 2010; Jones and Irvine, 2013; Besacier and Schwartz, 2015; Toral et al., 2018). Recently, Karpinska and Iyyer (2023) utilize LLMs to perform literature translation, and show that discourse-level LLM translators achieve better performances compared with sentence-level approaches. Thai et al. (2022) introduce Par3 to benchmark LLMs’ literature translation capability from non-English languages to English. Wang et al. (2024b) propose DeLTA, an online document-level MT agent to translate book-level long documents based on short-term memory, long-term memory, summaries and proper noun records.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce DRT-o1, an attempt to bring the success of long-thought reasoning to neural machine translation (MT). Specifically, we synthesize the machine translation long-thought samples by a designed multi-agent framework and GPT-4o reformulation. To collect the source sentences that are suitable for translation via long thought, we mine sentences with similes or metaphors from existing literature books. To synthesize the long thought machine translation process for these sentences, a translator, an advisor and an evaluator collaborate to translate the source sentence iteratively. GPT-4o is further employed to enhance the readability and fluency of the thought process collected via the multi-agent framework. Based on the synthesized data, we train DRT-o1 models (using Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct, Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct and Qwen2.5-14B-Instruct as backbones), and show

their effectiveness in literature translation.

References

- Laurent Besacier and Lane Schwartz. 2015. Automated translation of a literary work: a pilot study. In *Fourth Workshop on Computational Linguistics for Literature-co-located with NAACL 2015*.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*.
- Margot Fonteyne, Arda Tezcan, and Lieve Macken. 2020. *Literary machine translation under the magnifying glass: Assessing the quality of an NMT-translated detective novel on document level*. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 3790–3798, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Dmitriy Genzel, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Franz Josef Och. 2010. “poetic” statistical machine translation: rhyme and meter. In *Proceedings of the 2010 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 158–166.
- Zhen Huang, Haoyang Zou, Xuefeng Li, Yixiu Liu, Yuxiang Zheng, Ethan Chern, Shijie Xia, Yiwei Qin, Weizhe Yuan, and Pengfei Liu. 2024. O1 replication journey—part 2: Surpassing o1-preview through simple distillation, big progress or bitter lesson? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.16489*.
- Ruth Jones and Ann Irvine. 2013. The (un) faithful machine translator. In *Proceedings of the 7th Workshop on Language Technology for Cultural Heritage, Social Sciences, and Humanities*, pages 96–101.
- Marzena Karpinska and Mohit Iyyer. 2023. *Large language models effectively leverage document-level context for literary translation, but critical errors persist*. In *Proceedings of the Eighth Conference on Machine Translation*, pages 419–451, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tom Kocmi and Christian Federmann. 2023. Large language models are state-of-the-art evaluators of translation quality. In *24th Annual Conference of the European Association for Machine Translation*, page 193.
- Wojciech Kryscinski, Nazneen Rajani, Divyansh Agarwal, Caiming Xiong, and Dragomir Radev. 2022. *BOOKSUM: A collection of datasets for long-form narrative summarization*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022*, pages 6536–6558, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Woosuk Kwon, Zhuohan Li, Siyuan Zhuang, Ying Sheng, Lianmin Zheng, Cody Hao Yu, Joseph E.

- Gonzalez, Hao Zhang, and Ion Stoica. 2023. Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGOPS 29th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*.
- OpenAI. 2024a. Gpt-4o system card. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21276*.
- OpenAI. 2024b. Learning to reason with large language models. <https://openai.com/index/learning-to-reason-with-llms/>.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. **Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation**. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yiwei Qin, Xuefeng Li, Haoyang Zou, Yixiu Liu, Shijie Xia, Zhen Huang, Yixin Ye, Weizhe Yuan, Hector Liu, Yuanzhi Li, et al. 2024. O1 replication journey: A strategic progress report—part 1. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.18982*.
- Qwen Team. 2024. **Qwen2.5: A party of foundation models**.
- Jeff Rasley, Samyam Rajbhandari, Olatunji Ruwase, and Yuxiong He. 2020. Deepspeed: System optimizations enable training deep learning models with over 100 billion parameters. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 3505–3506.
- Ricardo Rei, Marcos Treviso, Nuno M. Guerreiro, Chrysoula Zerva, Ana C Farinha, Christine Maroti, José G. C. de Souza, Taisiya Glushkova, Duarte Alves, Luisa Coheur, Alon Lavie, and André F. T. Martins. 2022. **CometKiwi: IST-unbabel 2022 submission for the quality estimation shared task**. In *Proceedings of the Seventh Conference on Machine Translation (WMT)*, pages 634–645, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates (Hybrid). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Katherine Thai, Marzena Karpinska, Kalpesh Krishna, Bill Ray, Moira Inghilleri, John Wieting, and Mohit Iyyer. 2022. **Exploring document-level literary machine translation with parallel paragraphs from world literature**. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 9882–9902, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Antonio Toral, Martijn Wieling, and Andy Way. 2018. Post-editing effort of a novel with statistical and neural machine translation. *Frontiers in Digital Humanities*, 5:9.
- Raymond Van den Broeck. 1981. The limits of translatability exemplified by metaphor translation. *Poetics today*, 2(4):73–87.
- Score the following translation from English to Chinese with respect to the human reference on a continuous scale from 0 to 100, where score of zero means "no meaning preserved" and score of one hundred means "perfect preservation of meaning, with faithfulness, expressiveness, and elegance".

English source: [src]
 Chinese human reference: [ref]
 Chinese translation: [hyp]

Score:
- Score the following translation from English to Chinese on a continuous scale from 0 to 100, where score of zero means "no meaning preserved" and score of one hundred means "perfect preservation of meaning, with faithfulness, expressiveness, and elegance".

English source: [src]
 Chinese translation: [hyp]

Score:
- Figure 5: The prompts in GRB (upper part) and GRF (lower part). “[src]”, “[ref]” and “[hyp]” denote the source sentence, human translation and model translation, respectively.
- Jiaan Wang, Yunlong Liang, Fandong Meng, Zengkui Sun, Haoxiang Shi, Zhixu Li, Jinan Xu, Jianfeng Qu, and Jie Zhou. 2023. **Is ChatGPT a good NLG evaluator? a preliminary study**. In *Proceedings of the 4th New Frontiers in Summarization Workshop*, pages 1–11, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng, Yingxue Zhang, and Jie Zhou. 2024a. Retrieval-augmented machine translation with unstructured knowledge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.04342*.
- Yutong Wang, Jiali Zeng, Xuebo Liu, Derek F Wong, Fandong Meng, Jie Zhou, and Min Zhang. 2024b. **Delta: An online document-level translation agent based on multi-level memory**. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.08143*.
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Haoran Wei, et al. 2024. Qwen2. 5 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15115*.
- Yuxiang Zhang, Shangxi Wu, Yuqi Yang, Jiangming Shu, Jinlin Xiao, Chao Kong, and Jitao Sang. 2024. **o1-coder: an o1 replication for coding**. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.00154*.
- Yu Zhao, Huifeng Yin, Bo Zeng, Hao Wang, Tianqi Shi, Chenyang Lyu, Longyue Wang, Weihua Luo, and Kaifu Zhang. 2024. **Marco-o1: Towards open reasoning models for open-ended solutions**. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.14405*.
- Yaowei Zheng, Richong Zhang, Junhao Zhang, Yanhan Ye, and Zheyang Luo. 2024. **LlamaFactory: Unified efficient fine-tuning of 100+ language models**. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 3: System Demonstrations)*, pages 400–410, Bangkok, Thailand. Association for Computational Linguistics.




Figure 6: An generation case of DRT-o1-14B.

A GPT-4o Evaluator

For GRB and GRF, we prompt GPT-4o (2024-08-06 version) as the MT evaluator in the reference-based and reference-free manners, respectively. The corresponding prompts borrow from [Kocmi and Federmann \(2023\)](#), and make some adaptations to literature translation (c.f. Figure 5).

B Complete Generation of DRT-o1

Figure 6 shows an example of DRT-o1-14B. As we can see, the model learns the thought process in our data collection. DRT-o1-14B first performs the word-level translation, and then attempts the preliminary translation. Next, it iteratively refines its translation until it thinks the translation is good enough.