

RIGIDITY OF SPACELIKE HYPERSURFACE WITH CONSTANT CURVATURE AND INTERSECTION ANGLE CONDITION

SHANZE GAO

ABSTRACT. In the Minkowski space, we consider a spacelike hypersurface with boundary, which can be written as a graph. We prove that, if its k -th mean curvature is constant, and its boundary is on a hyperplane with constant intersection angles, then the hypersurface must be a part of the hyperboloid. This result can be seen as an analog of the sphere theorem for the hypersurface of constant k -th mean curvature in the Euclidean space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Characterization of hypersurfaces with constant curvature functions is a classical question in differential geometry. In the Euclidean space, it has been known that the sphere is the only embedded, closed hypersurface with constant mean curvature or constant higher order mean curvature (see [1, 8] etc.).

The Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is \mathbb{R}^{n+1} endowed with the Lorentzian metric

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i^2 - dx_{n+1}^2.$$

Hypersurfaces with constant curvatures in the Minkowski space, especially the noncompact case, have attracted the interest of researchers. Cheng and Yau [4] prove the Bernstein type theorem for the maximal spacelike hypersurface. Treibergs [11] shows that there are many entire spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature. Existence results for the cases of constant higher order mean curvatures are also obtained (see [7, 12] etc.). Among these hypersurfaces, the hyperboloid

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_{n+1}^2 = -1$$

is the umbilic one, which can be seen as the "sphere" in the Minkowski space.

In this paper, we show that the part of the hyperboloid cut by a hyperplane is the only spacelike hypersurface with constant k -th mean curvature under boundary conditions.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C50; 53C42; 35N25.

Key words and phrases. rigidity of hypersurface, spacelike hypersurface, hypersurface of constant curvature, intersection angle.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $u(x)$ is a smooth function in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then the graph of u , $M := \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$, is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ with boundary $\partial M = \{(x, u(x)) | x \in \partial\Omega\}$. Such M is called *spacelike* if $|Du(x)| < 1$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ denote the principal curvatures of M . The k -th mean curvature (also called higher order mean curvature) of M is

$$H_k := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sigma_k(\lambda),$$

where $\sigma_k(\lambda)$ is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial of λ .

If two hypersurfaces intersect, the *intersection angle* of two hypersurfaces refers to the angle between their normal vectors on the intersection. In the case that ∂M is on the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{c\}$, the intersection angle is that between the normal vector of M and the direction of x_{n+1} -axis on ∂M . We will discuss the hypersurface with such boundary under the constant intersection angle condition.

Theorem 1. *Suppose M , the graph of a smooth function $x_{n+1} = u(x)$, is a spacelike hypersurface with boundary ∂M in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. If the k -th mean curvature of M is constant and ∂M is on the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{c\}$ with constant intersection angles, then M must be a part of the hyperboloid.*

The theorem can be seen as an overdetermined boundary value problem from a PDE perspective. In fact, the boundary conditions are equivalent to

$$u = c_1 \text{ and } |Du| = c_2 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Serrin [10] proved that the solution to $\Delta u = -1$ is radially symmetric under such boundary conditions. Symmetry results for some nonlinear equations are also obtained (see [3, 6, 5] etc.).

The proof of the theorem is inspired by Weinberger's approach [13] to the Serrin's result. We consider an auxiliary function and establish an integral equality which are both related to the boundary conditions. Via the maximum principle, we see the rigidity of the hypersurface.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some facts of hypersurfaces in the Minkowski space and properties of the elementary symmetric polynomials. We show the hypersurface is k -convex in Section 3. The proof of the theorem is given in the last section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some facts of hypersurfaces in the Minkowski space. Readers may refer to [4, 7] etc.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, i.e.,

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle = y_1 z_1 + \dots + y_n z_n - y_{n+1} z_{n+1}$$

for $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})$, $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1})$.

For a smooth hypersurface M in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$, which can be written as a graph of $u(x)$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the position vector of M is $X = (x, u(x))$. Denote $E_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where 1 is in the j -th place for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial x_i} = E_i + u_i E_{n+1}.$$

The induced metric of M is

$$g_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle = \delta_{ij} - u_i u_j.$$

It is Riemannian if M is spacelike. Let ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection on M . The Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma_{ij}^m = \frac{1}{2} g^{ml} \left(\frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_l} \right),$$

where

$$g^{ml} = \delta_{ml} + \frac{u_m u_l}{1 - |Du|^2}$$

is the inverse matrix of g_{ij} and repeated indexes are summed from 1 to n here and later (unless otherwise stated).

The timelike unit normal vector of M is

$$N = \frac{(Du, 1)}{\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2}}.$$

Let $\nabla_i \nabla_j$ denote the Hessian operator. Then

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j X = \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \Gamma_{ij}^m \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_m} = h_{ij} N,$$

where h_{ij} is the second fundamental form.

It is easy to check that

$$h_{ij} = -\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, N \right\rangle = \frac{u_{ij}}{\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2}}.$$

The shape operator $A = (h_i^j)$ satisfies

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} N = h_i^j \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_j} = h_i^j E_j + h_i^j u_j E_{n+1}.$$

From (1), we have

$$h_i^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_j \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{u_j}{\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2}} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle = -h_i^j u_j.$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} h_i^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} h_l^j.$$

The covariant derivative of tensor h_i^j satisfies

$$\nabla_j h_i^l = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h_i^l - \Gamma_{ij}^m h_m^l + \Gamma_{mj}^l h_i^m.$$

The Codazzi equation implies

$$\nabla_j h_i^l = \nabla_i h_j^l.$$

Since principal curvatures $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ are the eigenvalues of the shape operator A , we have

$$\sigma_k(\lambda) = \sigma_k(A) := \frac{1}{k!} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} h_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots h_{i_k}^{j_k},$$

where $\delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is the generalized Kronecker symbol defined by

$$\delta_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i_1 \dots i_k) \text{ is an even permutation of } (j_1 \dots j_k), \\ -1, & \text{if } (i_1 \dots i_k) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (j_1 \dots j_k), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We also set $\sigma_0(A) = 1$ and $\sigma_k(A) = 0$ for $k < 0$ and $k > n$. The k -th mean curvature of M can be written by

$$H_k = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sigma_k(A).$$

Define

$$(\sigma_k(A))_j^i := \frac{\partial \sigma_k(A)}{\partial h_i^j} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \delta_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1} j}^{i_1 \dots i_{k-1} i} h_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots h_{i_{k-1}}^{j_{k-1}}.$$

The following properties will be used in later calculations (see [9, 2] etc.).

Proposition 2. *The following identities hold:*

- (i) $(\sigma_k(A))_j^i h_i^j = k \sigma_k(A)$,
- (ii) $(\sigma_k(A))_j^i \delta_i^j = (n - k + 1) \sigma_{k-1}(A)$,
- (iii) $(\sigma_k(A))_j^i h_i^m h_m^j = \sigma_1(A) \sigma_k(A) - (k + 1) \sigma_{k+1}(A)$,
- (iv) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\sigma_k(A))_j^i = 0$.

The Gårding's cone is defined by

$$\Gamma_k := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_i(\lambda) > 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k \}.$$

We say $A \in \Gamma_k$ if its eigenvalues $\lambda(A) \in \Gamma_k$.

The Newton-MacLaurin inequalities hold for $\lambda \in \Gamma_k$,

$$(2) \quad \frac{H_{k+1}}{H_k} \leq \frac{H_k}{H_{k-1}}$$

and

$$(3) \quad H_{k+1} \leq H_k^{\frac{k+1}{k}},$$

where the equality occurs if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n$.

We also know the operator

$$(\sigma_k(A))_j^i \nabla_i \nabla^j := (\sigma_k(A))_j^i g^{jl} \nabla_i \nabla_l$$

is elliptic if $A \in \Gamma_k$.

3. THE k -CONVEXITY OF THE HYPERSURFACE

Now we discuss under the assumption of Theorem 1, without loss of generality, we suppose $H_k = 1$. We have

$$(4) \quad \sigma_k(A) = \binom{n}{k} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and, since $\langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}}$,

$$(5) \quad u = c_1 \text{ and } |Du| = c_2 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where c_1 and $0 < c_2 < 1$ are constants.

The boundary condition implies $\partial\Omega$ is a level set of u , and also a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . The outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ can be given by $\nu = \frac{Du}{|Du|}$.

We show that M is k -convex, i.e., the shape operator $A \in \Gamma_k$ everywhere in M .

Lemma 3. *If u is a solution to (4) and (5), then $A \in \Gamma_k$ in $\overline{\Omega}$.*

Proof. At a fixed point of $\partial\Omega$, we can choose a suitable coordinate such that $E_n = \nu$ and E_1, \dots, E_{n-1} are tangent vectors on the principal directions of $\partial\Omega$.

Since $N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}}(c_2\nu + E_{n+1})$ on $\partial\Omega$, at the point,

$$h_i^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_j \rangle = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \nu, E_j \rangle = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} \kappa_i \delta_{ij}$$

and $h_i^n = 0$ for fixed $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, where $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ are principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$. Since $u_j = 0$ and $u_{nj} = 0$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ at the point, we have

$$h_n^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left(\frac{u_j}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Consequently, the matrix $A = (h_i^j)$ is diagonal and

$$(6) \quad 0 < \sigma_k(A) = h_n^n \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\kappa}) + \sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa}),$$

where $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} \kappa$.

It is known that there exists $y \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\kappa_1(y), \dots, \kappa_{n-1}(y)$ are nonnegative. At y , (6) and Newton-MacLaurin inequality (2) imply

$$\sigma_{k-1}(A) = h_n^n \sigma_{k-2}(\tilde{\kappa}) + \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\kappa}) \geq -\frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa}) \sigma_{k-2}(\tilde{\kappa})}{\sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\kappa})} + \sigma_{k-1}(\tilde{\kappa}) > 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain $\sigma_l(A) > 0$ for $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ at y , i.e., $A \in \Gamma_k$ at y . This shows the set $\{x \in \overline{\Omega} | A(x) \in \Gamma_k\}$ is nonempty. Then the smoothness of u , Newton-MacLaurin inequality (3) and $\sigma_k(A) > 0$ imply $A \in \Gamma_k$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ (see [5, Page 183] for details). \square

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

From now, let $\sigma_k = \sigma_k(A)$ and $(\sigma_k)_j^i = (\sigma_k(A))_j^i$ for convenience. We establish an integral equality at first.

Lemma 4. *If u is a solution to (4) and (5), then the following equality holds*

$$k \binom{n}{k} \int_{\Omega} (u - c_1) dx + (n - k + 1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - c_2^2}} \right) \sigma_{k-1} dx = 0.$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2 (ii) and (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & (n - k + 1) \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{k-1} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_k)_j^i \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} ((\sigma_k)_j^i x_j \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle) dx - \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_k)_j^i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary condition $\langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - c_2^2}}$ and the divergence theorem imply

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} ((\sigma_k)_j^i x_j \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle) dx = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - c_2^2}} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\sigma_k)_j^i x_j \nu_i dS \\ & = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - c_2^2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} ((\sigma_k)_j^i x_j) dx = -\frac{n - k + 1}{\sqrt{1 - c_2^2}} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{k-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We also notice

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_k)_j^i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_k)_j^i x_j h_i^l u_l dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_k)_i^l x_j h_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (u - c_1) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} ((\sigma_k)_i^l x_j h_j^i) (u - c_1) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} ((\sigma_k)_i^l x_j h_j^i) = (\sigma_k)_i^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} (x_j h_j^i) \\ & = (\sigma_k)_i^j h_j^i + x_j (\sigma_k)_i^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h_l^i = k \sigma_k + x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \sigma_k \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_k = \binom{n}{k}$, we know

$$(9) \quad - \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_k)_j^i x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle dx = -k \binom{n}{k} \int_{\Omega} (u - c_1) dx.$$

Combining (7), (8) and (9), we finish the proof. \square

Now we proof the theorem. We consider an auxiliary function

$$P = u + \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle.$$

By direct computation, we have

$$\nabla_i P = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} P = u_i - h_i^l u_l$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = u_{ij} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h_i^l u_l - h_i^l u_{lj}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \nabla_j P &= \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \Gamma_{ij}^m \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_m} \\ &= \nabla_i \nabla_j u - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h_i^l u_l - h_i^l u_{lj} + \Gamma_{ij}^m h_m^l u_l \\ &= \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j X, E_{n+1} \rangle - \nabla_j h_i^l u_l + \Gamma_{mj}^l h_i^m u_l - h_i^l u_{lj} \\ &= h_{ij} \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle - \nabla_j h_i^l u_l - h_i^m \langle \nabla_m \nabla_j X, E_{n+1} \rangle \\ &= (h_{ij} - h_i^m h_{mj}) \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle - \nabla_j h_i^l u_l. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_k)_j^i \nabla_i \nabla^j P &= (\sigma_k)_j^i g^{lj} \nabla_i \nabla_l P \\ &= (\sigma_k)_j^i (h_i^j - h_i^m h_m^j) \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle - (\sigma_k)_j^i \nabla^j h_i^l u_l \\ &= (k\sigma_k - \sigma_1 \sigma_k + (k+1)\sigma_{k+1}) \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle - \nabla^l \sigma_k u_l. \end{aligned}$$

The Newton-MacLaurin inequalities and $H_k = 1$ imply $\nabla^l \sigma_k = 0$ and

$$\sigma_1 \sigma_k - (k+1)\sigma_{k+1} \geq \frac{k}{n} \sigma_1 \sigma_k \geq k H_k^{\frac{1}{k}} \sigma_k = k \sigma_k.$$

Here the equality occurs if and only if $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 1$.

Combining these with $\langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}} < 0$, we have

$$(\sigma_k)_j^i \nabla_i \nabla^j P \geq 0.$$

Lemma 3 ensures the operator $(\sigma_k)_j^i \nabla_i \nabla^j$ is elliptic. Then the strong maximum principle gives either $P < c_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}}$ in Ω , or $P \equiv c_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$.

In fact, the former can be excluded. Lemma 4 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left(P - c_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} \right) \sigma_{k-1} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u - c_1) \sigma_{k-1} dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} \right) \sigma_{k-1} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u - c_1) \left(\sigma_{k-1} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We notice

$$(\sigma_k)_j^i \nabla_i \nabla^j u = (\sigma_k)_j^i h_i^j \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle = k \sigma_k \langle N, E_{n+1} \rangle < 0.$$

The maximum principle implies $u > c_1$ in Ω . The Newton-MacLaurin inequality shows

$$\sigma_{k-1} \geq \binom{n}{k-1} H_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} = \binom{n}{k-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(P - c_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} \right) \sigma_{k-1} dx \geq 0,$$

which contradicts $P < c_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}}$ in Ω .

Hence $P \equiv c_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ which implies $\left(\frac{u_j}{\sqrt{1-|Du|^2}} \right)_i = h_i^j = \delta_{ij}$. As a result, M is a hyperboloid, $\Omega = B_R(a)$ is a ball and

$$u = c_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}} + \sqrt{1+|x-a|^2},$$

where $R = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{1-c_2^2}}$ and fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aleksandr Danilovich Aleksandrov, Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. V, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **13** (1958), no. 19, 5–8.
- [2] João Lucas Marques Barbosa and Antônio Gervasio Colares, Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r -mean curvature, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **15** (1997), no. 3, 277–297.
- [3] Barbara Brandolini, Carlo Nitsch, Paolo Salani and Cristina Trombetti, Serrin-type overdetermined problems: an alternative proof, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **190** (2008), no. 2, 267–280.
- [4] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing Tung Yau, Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **104** (1976), no. 3, 407–419.
- [5] Shanze Gao, Hui Ma and Mingxuan Yang, Overdetermined problems for fully nonlinear equations with constant Dirichlet boundary conditions in space forms, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **62** (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 183, 19 pp.
- [6] Xiaohan Jia, Overdetermined problems for Weingarten hypersurfaces, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **59** (2020), no. 2, Paper No. 78, 15 pp.

- [7] An Min Li, Spacelike hypersurfaces with constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature in the Minkowski space, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **64** (1995), no. 6, 534–551.
- [8] Sebastián Montiel and Antonio Ros, Compact hypersurfaces: the Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures, *Differential geometry*, 279–296, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math., 52, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow.
- [9] Robert C. Reilly, On the Hessian of a function and the curvatures of its graph, *Michigan Math. J.* **20** (1973), 373–383.
- [10] James Serrin, A symmetry problem in potential theory, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **43** (1971), 304–318.
- [11] Andrejs E. Treibergs, Entire spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space, *Invent. Math.* **66** (1982), no. 1, 39–56.
- [12] Zhizhang Wang and Ling Xiao, Entire spacelike hypersurfaces with constant σ_k curvature in Minkowski space, *Math. Ann.* **382** (2022), no. 3-4, 1279–1322.
- [13] Hans F. Weinberger, Remark on the preceding paper of the Serrin, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **43** (1971), 319–320.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGXI UNIVERSITY, NANNING
530004, GUANGXI, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: gaoshanze@gxu.edu.cn