

Investigation of Super-Poissonian Nonclassical Nature of Inflaton Field in Flat FRW Universe through Cosmological Mandel's Q Parameter

Dhwani Gangal¹, Sudhava Yadav¹, K.K. Venkataratnam^{1*}

¹Department of Physics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology
Jaipur, J. L. N. Marg, Jaipur, 302017, India.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): kvkamma.phy@mnit.ac.in;

Abstract

This study investigates the nonclassical properties of the inflaton field within the framework of semiclassical gravity by analyzing Cosmological Mandel's Q parameter for Squeezed Number States (SNS) and Coherent Squeezed Number States (CSNS). Mandel's Q parameter serves as a critical tool for identifying nonclassical states by differentiating between sub-Poissonian and super-Poissonian statistics. The values of Cosmological Mandel's Q are positive shows the super-Poissonian non-classical nature of inflaton for Squeezed Number States (SNS) and Coherent Squeezed Number States (CSNS). These results provide deeper insights into the statistical properties of quantum states in early-universe cosmology and emphasize the relevance of SNS and CSNS in understanding quantum effects on cosmic inflation and particle production.

Keywords: Squeezing Parameter, Inflaton, Quantum Number States, Inflation, Cosmological Mandel's Q Parameter, Sub-Poissonian, Super-Poissonian, Nonclassical Nature of FRW Universe

1 Introduction

Cosmology provides a detailed analysis of the origin and evolution of FRW Universe [1, 2]. In this reference, the Big Bang Theory successfully suggests the best understanding of its structure and expansion of the universe as per the theory of inflation. Still, it has limited justification for the horizon, singularity, homogeneity, flatness, monopole, etc. problems of the universe. It is easy to explain the inflationary period

using a slowly-varying massive homogeneous scalar field i.e. inflaton. As proposed by Guth [3] inflationary theory using an inflaton field can provide a solution to these problems. Out of all available explanations, the simplest explanation is based on the time-dependent exponential expansion (Hubble Rule) of the universe. Where the total energy density of the universe, is dominated by the potential energy density of the scalar field (inflaton) keeping the kinetic contribution much smaller. In cosmology, it is considered that this potential energy of the inflaton field is responsible for negative pressure on space-time generated fast exponentially expansion of universe initially. After inflation, quasi-periodic motion becomes important for the inflaton field with timely decreasing amplitude. This motion of the inflaton field generated various particles in the universe, created re-thermalization in the Universe, and made it hot again [4]. Evolution and inflation field at initial stage are responsible for reheating in universe [5–8]. This change of phase of the universe shall be optimized using other reheating parameters for a better understanding of standard matter production in the universe [9–13]. In general cosmological principles, the behavior of the universe is considered as isotropic and homogeneous. Its Cosmological description can also be produced using Friedmann and Einstein equations of scalar fields. Considering the assumptions, while gravity is considered as classical, Friedmann equations [14, 15] are valid at all stages of the universe. Further, various researchers have shown that quantum fluctuations, density fluctuations, and other quantum properties of matter fields in the universe are also important in cosmology [16]. Semi-Classical Theory of Gravity (SCTG) provides a suitable approach to understanding these quantum effects within classical gravity. SCTG has also demonstrated that gravitational field has a close relation with quantized matter field with curved space-time[17–20]. Quantum mechanical representation of gravity and matter fields is significant for the best understanding of the Universe, but sometimes for simplicity of cosmological description, in the absence of a suitable quantum theory of gravity, quantum effects were taken negligible at an early stage. Further researchers [21–35], has started using SCGT to explain the inflation. The best explanation for this inflationary theory is provided by Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW). Scalar field and FRW metric within Friedmann’s equation of classical gravity show the validity of inflationary scenarios. This shows that semi-classical Friedmann equations with classical consideration of gravity and quantum consideration of matter field(s) can create a genius cosmological model to produce a suitable picture of the early universe. Here it can be easily realized that quantum fluctuations as well as quantized matter fields have an important role in cosmology even the quantum effect of gravity is considered negligible. Within the context of the general theory of relativity, semiclassical Einstein’s field equations established the fundamental idea of the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric [21, 36–39]. Recently many researchers have started using quantum consideration of inflaton within SCTG [21, 40, 41]. They had successfully shown that the cosmological inflation depends on the quantum effect of inflaton, thermal condition, re-thermalization, one-loop effective potential [42], particle production [21] probability distribution [43], quantum inflaton in stochastic inflationary scenarios [44] etc. Nowadays SCTG with other suitable quantum effects become an important tool to explain cosmic evolution for a complete inflation period in a matter-dominated universe. Kennard et. al. working in the field of optical physics,

for detailed analysis of wave packet quantum mechanically [45, 46] involved the phenomena of quantum squeezed states in optics. Thereafter Squeezed States (SS) and Coherent Squeezed (CSS) became an important class for the analysis of the quantum effect of inflaton in SCTG and cosmology [45, 47]. Squeezed States (SS) are also receiving much attention in cosmology evolution for defining stress tensor, particle production, decoherence phenomena, state polarization, entanglement phenomena, relic graviton, negative energy density, perturbations created, quantum fluctuations as they are nonclassical states with various quantum effects in cosmology. Their quantum number representation for complex and scalar fields is also becoming important for analysis of the expanding and anisotropic nature of FRW universe [21, 48–54]. Few researchers have described particle creation in expanding universe for oscillating inflaton field [55–59]. Even though there is same proportionality in the power-law expansion of inflaton obtained through quantum and classical laws, but still there is many other discrepancies were reported in the results obtained through classical and semiclassical gravity, like for correction to expansion obtained through semiclassical gravity not showing oscillatory behaviour as obtained in classical gravity, quantum effect on particle creation, possibility distribution of close or open nature of universe [21–29]. This show importance and relevance of quantum mechanical behaviour consideration in cosmic inflationary theories [21–35, 60–64], using non-classical state formalism become more important and grabbing much attention to researchers [65–80].

Hence present paper aims to investigate of massive minimal nonclassical nature of inflaton field in a flat FRW Universe through cosmological Mandel’s Q Parameter in SNS and CSNS. It is important in the investigation that squeezed states behave like many-particle states so here related fields can be classical, but their statistical properties greatly differ from coherent states whose related fields behave like highly nonclassical. As quantum-optical phenomena is gaining much attention in quantum cosmology, it is important to determine the statistical nature of various particles in a cosmological model. This can easily be obtained by determining the Mandel’s Q parameter. The Q parameter of Mandel’s is helpful for examining nonclassical nature of inflaton field, also a characteristic of deviation in photon statistics from Poisson photon statistics for inflaton field, it as an indicator of photon number distribution exhibits oscillatory behavior. Hence we investigated and determined of Q parameter in the cosmological reference and associated cosmological parameters. As SNS and CSNS states may fluctuate widely in the cosmological background of the early universe.

In this study, we described nonclassical nature of inflaton field in a flat FRW Universe through cosmological Mandel’s Q Parameter in SNS and CSNS. in the framework of SCGT [81–84]. These states are greatly used in cosmology for explaining the production of particles, entropy enhancement [79], gravitational wave detection [85] and inflationary scenario [86] etc. The first section of the paper describes the current status in the field of cosmology particularly for nonclassical nature of inflaton field and the importance of the proposed work, second section describes the energy-momentum tensor. Third section talks about the formulation of Squeezed Number State (SNS) for Non-classical FRW metric under SCTG, in forth section describe formulation of Coherent Squeezed Number States (CSNS) for Non-classical FRW metric under SCTG. Fifth section shows the nonclassical nature of inflaton field for flat FRW Universe through

Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} parameter determined for both SNS as well as CSNS. The sixth section describes the outcome of the research paper.

2 Energy-Momentum Tensor in SCTG

Number of contemporary cosmological models are developed using Einstein's classical gravity field equations with a scalar field utilizing the FRW metric. While establishing a cosmological model, the matter field is described as quantum mechanical, with the background metric as classical known as SCTG. In this case, the gravitational field may be described using semi-classical gravity theory as follows (hence, we assume the unit system $c=\hbar=1$ and $G=\frac{1}{m_p^2}$).

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi \langle : \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} : \rangle}{m_p^2}. \quad (1)$$

Where $\langle : \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} : \rangle$ is the normal ordered expectation values of the energy-momentum tensor and $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu}$ is an Einstein tensor. The time-dependent Schrodinger equation is satisfied by the quantum state $|\psi\rangle$, can be expressed as

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{H}} |\psi\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ represents the Hamiltonian operator. Generalized coordinates (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) for FRW space-time follow the relation as

$$(dr_1^2 + dr_2^2 + dr_3^2) \mathcal{G}^2(\mathbf{t}) - dr_4^2 = ds^2, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t})$ is known as scale factor. Further Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} for inflaton is

$$\mathcal{L} = - \frac{\sqrt{(-\mathbf{g})} (\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi + m^2 \Phi^2)}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Since Φ is a homogeneous scalar field [21, 87]. Eq (4) can be rewritten using metric (3) as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t})(\dot{\Phi}^2 - m^2 \Phi^2)}{2}. \quad (5)$$

K-G (Klein-Gordon) Eq. derived using equation (4) takes the form as

$$\ddot{\Phi} + \frac{3\dot{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{t})}{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t})} \dot{\Phi} + m^2 \Phi = 0, \quad (6)$$

where the Hubble parameter $\mathfrak{H} = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{t})}{\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{t})}$ and momentum conjugate of $\hat{\Phi}$, is $\hat{\Pi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\Phi}}$. Now on the basis of canonical quantization, the Hamiltonian for inflaton is

$$\langle : \hat{\mathcal{H}}_m : \rangle = m^2 \frac{\mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t}) \langle : \hat{\Phi}^2 : \rangle}{2} + \frac{\langle : \hat{\Pi}^2 : \rangle}{2\mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t})}, \quad (7)$$

where $\langle : \hat{\Phi}^2 : \rangle$ and $\langle : \hat{\Pi}^2 : \rangle$ are normal ordered expectation values. Temporal component of energy-momentum tensor [21, 87] will be

$$\mathcal{T}_{00} = \mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t}) \left(m^2 \frac{\hat{\Phi}^2}{2} + \frac{\hat{\dot{\Phi}}^2}{2} \right). \quad (8)$$

3 Formulation of Squeezed Number State (SNS) under SCTG

The definition of a single mode squeezed state is

$$|\mathcal{Y}, \zeta\rangle = \hat{W}(\rho, \Psi) \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y}) |0\rangle, \quad (9)$$

where the displacement operator $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ and the squeezing operator $\hat{W}(\rho, \Psi)$ are defined as

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{Y}) = \exp(\mathcal{Y} \hat{e}^\dagger - \mathcal{Y}^* \hat{e}), \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{W}(\rho, \Psi) = \exp \left(\frac{\rho \exp(-i\Psi) \hat{e}^2 - \rho \exp(i\Psi) \hat{e}^{\dagger 2}}{2} \right), \quad (11)$$

where the squeezing angle (Ψ) varies between $-\Pi \leq \Psi \leq \Pi$ while the squeezing parameter (ρ) varies between $0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$. Further $\hat{W}(\rho, \Psi)$ has following properties

$$\hat{W}^\dagger \hat{e} \hat{W} = (\cosh \rho) \hat{e} - (\exp(i\Psi) \sinh \rho) \hat{e}^\dagger, \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{W}^\dagger \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{W} = (\cosh \rho) \hat{e}^\dagger - (\exp(-i\Psi) \sinh \rho) \hat{e}. \quad (13)$$

When squeezing operator is applied to a number state, resulted as following is Squeezed Number State

$$\hat{W}(\rho, \Psi) |n\rangle = |\zeta, n\rangle. \quad (14)$$

The annihilation (\hat{e}) and creation (\hat{e}^\dagger) operators have characteristics such as [88]

$$\hat{e} |n, \Phi, \mathbf{t}\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1, \Phi, \mathbf{t}\rangle, \quad (15)$$

$$\hat{e}^\dagger |n, \Phi, \mathbf{t}\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1, \Phi, \mathbf{t}\rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$\left[\hat{e}, \hat{e}^\dagger \right] = 1. \quad (17)$$

$$\hat{e}^\dagger(\mathbf{t})\hat{e}(\mathbf{t})|n, \Phi, \mathbf{t}\rangle = n|n, \Phi, \mathbf{t}\rangle. \quad (18)$$

Hence \hat{e}^\dagger operated n-times on vacuum state $|0\rangle$ gives rise to n^{th} number state while \hat{e} operated on $|1\rangle$ produces vacuum state $|0\rangle$.

$$\frac{[\hat{e}^\dagger]^n|0, \mathbf{t}\rangle}{\sqrt{n!}} = |n, \mathbf{t}\rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{e}|0, \mathbf{t}\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad (20)$$

Here creation and annihilation operators can be computed as [21, 87]

$$\hat{e}^\dagger(\mathbf{t}) = \Phi(\mathbf{t})\hat{\Pi} - \mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\hat{\Phi}. \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{e}(\mathbf{t}) = \Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\hat{\Pi} - \mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\hat{\Phi}, \quad (22)$$

$\hat{\Pi}$ and $\hat{\Phi}$ can be computed as using Eqs. (15-22)

$$\hat{\Phi} = \frac{1}{i} \left(\Phi^* \hat{e}^\dagger - \Phi \hat{e} \right), \quad (23)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}^2 = \left(2\hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} + 1 \right) \Phi^* \Phi - \left(\Phi^* \hat{e}^\dagger \right)^2 - \left(\Phi \hat{e} \right)^2, \quad (24)$$

$$\hat{\Pi} = i\mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t}) \left(\dot{\Phi} \hat{e} - \dot{\Phi}^* \hat{e}^\dagger \right), \quad (25)$$

$$\hat{\Pi}^2 = \mathcal{G}^3(\mathbf{t}) \left[\left(2\hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} + 1 \right) \dot{\Phi}^* \dot{\Phi} - \left(\dot{\Phi}^* \hat{e}^\dagger \right)^2 - \left(\dot{\Phi} \hat{e} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (26)$$

4 Formulation of Coherent Squeezed Number States (CSNS) under SCTG

Now we discuss the displacement operation of CSNS. The Single mode coherent state is described as

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Upsilon)|0\rangle = |\Upsilon\rangle, \quad (27)$$

action of \hat{e} on $|\Upsilon\rangle$ is

$$\hat{e}|\Upsilon\rangle = \Upsilon|\Upsilon\rangle. \quad (28)$$

\hat{e} and \hat{e}^\dagger combined with displacement operator $\mathfrak{D}(\Upsilon)$ to satisfy properties as

$$\mathfrak{D}^\dagger \hat{e}^\dagger \mathfrak{D} = \hat{e}^\dagger + \Upsilon^*, \quad (29)$$

$$\mathfrak{D}^\dagger \hat{e} \mathfrak{D} = \hat{e} + \Upsilon. \quad (30)$$

Also operation of $\mathfrak{D}(\Upsilon)$ and $\hat{W}(\rho, \Psi)$ on $|0\rangle$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Upsilon) \hat{W}(\rho, \Psi) |0\rangle = |\Upsilon, \zeta, 0\rangle. \quad (31)$$

while operation of $\mathfrak{D}(\Upsilon)$ and $\hat{W}(\rho, \Psi)$ on Number State $|n\rangle$ gives the CSNS as

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Upsilon) \hat{W}(\rho, \Psi) |n\rangle = |\Upsilon, \zeta, n\rangle. \quad (32)$$

Using Eqs. (12-13, 18-30), we get $\langle : \hat{\Pi}^2 : \rangle$ for CSNS as [21, 87]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : \hat{\Pi}^2 : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}} = & 2\mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t}) \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sinh^2 \rho + n \cosh^2 \rho + \frac{1}{2} + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon \right] \dot{\Phi}^* \dot{\Phi} \\ & - \left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cosh \rho \sinh \rho - \frac{\Upsilon^{*2}}{2} \right) \dot{\Phi}^{*2} \\ & - \left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cosh \rho \sinh \rho - \frac{\Upsilon^2}{2} \right) \dot{\Phi}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

using Eqs. (12-13, 18-30), we get $\langle : \hat{\Phi}^2 : \rangle$ for CSNS as [21, 87]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : \hat{\Phi}^2 : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}} = & 2 \left[\left(n \text{Cosh}^2 \rho + \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{Sinh}^2 \rho + \frac{1}{2} + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon \right) \Phi^* \Phi \right. \\ & - \left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{Sinh} \rho \text{Cosh} \rho - \frac{\Upsilon^{*2}}{2} \right) \Phi^{*2} \\ & \left. - \left(\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{Sinh} \rho \text{Cosh} \rho - \frac{\Upsilon^2}{2} \right) \Phi^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

5 Nonclassical Nature of Inflaton Field in Flat FRW Universe through Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter

The nonclassical nature of inflaton field in a flat FRW Universe can be identified through cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter. Also, it is important to determine the statistical nature of various particles in a cosmological model, which can easily be obtained by determining Mandel's \mathbb{Q} parameter. Hence, in this section of the paper, we have computed the \mathbb{Q} parameter in cosmological reference for SNS and CSNS state in semiclassical gravity. In cosmological reference mathematically the Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter is defined as

$$\mathbb{Q} = \frac{\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}^2(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle - \langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle^2 - \langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle}{\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle}, \quad (35)$$

Here $\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}^2(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle - \langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle^2 = \langle: (\Delta \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}))^2 : \rangle$ is the dispersion relation for cosmological number operator, using the same in Eqs. (35), the Mandel's Q Parameter can be represented as

$$\mathbb{Q} = \frac{\langle: (\Delta \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}))^2 : \rangle - \langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle}{\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle}. \quad (36)$$

Now when in Eq. (36) when $\mathbb{Q} = 0$ i.e. $\langle: (\Delta \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}))^2 : \rangle = \langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle$ the corresponding state will demonstrate the classical nature otherwise it will show the non-classical cosmological nature [50, 64]. Here also when $(\langle: (\Delta \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}))^2 : \rangle) < (\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle)$ i. e. for negative values of Mandel's Q Parameter the behaviour of states is sub-Poissonian non-classical, while for $(\langle: (\Delta \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}))^2 : \rangle) > (\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle)$ i. e. for positive values of Mandel's Q Parameter the behaviour of states is super-Poissonian non-classical. For both sub-Poissonian and super-Poissonian non-classical states, mathematical distribution can't be obtained from any classical states. This shows the importance of Mandel's Q Parameter to differentiate the classical and non-classical nature of states in cosmology. In Eqs. (36) $\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle$ is known as the normal order expectation value of the cosmological number operator, related to the number of particles produced at any time t concerning the initial time t_0 is given by [21, 87]

$$\mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_0) = \langle n, \Phi, \mathbf{t}_0 | \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) | n, \Phi, \mathbf{t}_0 \rangle, \quad (37)$$

Here n is the quantum mechanical number state, while number operator defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) = e^\dagger e. \quad (38)$$

Here by using Eqs. (18-21) in Eq. (38), normal order expectation value of number operator in cosmological reference can be computed as

$$\langle: \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{t}) : \rangle = R^6 \dot{\Phi} \dot{\Phi}^* \langle: \hat{\Phi}^2 : \rangle - R^3 \dot{\Phi} \dot{\Phi}^* \langle: \hat{\Phi} \hat{\Pi} : \rangle - R^3 \Phi \dot{\Phi}^* \langle: \hat{\Pi} \hat{\Phi} : \rangle + \Phi \Phi^* \langle: \hat{\Pi}^2 : \rangle. \quad (39)$$

Now using Eq. (38) in Eq. (35), nature of cosmological state can be examined using

$$\mathbb{Q} = \frac{\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \rangle - \langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle^2}{\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle} \quad (40)$$

5.1 Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter for Squeezed Number State (SNS)

Now to identify the classical or non-classical nature of Squeezed Number State (SNS) in FRW Universe, in this section, we will compute Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter for SNS using Eq. (40). Using Eqs. (12-13, 18-26) the values of $\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \rangle_{\text{SNS}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \rangle_{\text{SNS}} &= \langle n | W^{\dagger} \left[6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 + 12 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} + 3 - 6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger 3} \hat{e} - 6 \hat{e}^2 + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e}^3 \right. \\ &\quad + \hat{e}^{\dagger 4} + \hat{e}^4 \left. \right] \left[\mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\ &\quad + \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\ &\quad + \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right) \right. \\ &\quad - \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\ &\quad + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\ &\quad + \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Big] \hat{W} \Big| n \Big\rangle, \quad (41)$$

in Eq. (41) by considering

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X} = & \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ & + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Y} = & \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) + \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ & + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} = & \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) + \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ & + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ & + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ & + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

using Eqs. (42-44) in Eqs. (41) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}} = & \left\langle n \left| \hat{W}^\dagger \left[6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 + 12 \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} + 3 - 6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger 3} \hat{e} - 6 \hat{e}^2 + 4 \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e}^3 \right. \right. \right. \\ & + \hat{e}^{\dagger 4} + \hat{e}^4 \left. \left. \right] \left[\mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \right. \\ & + \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\ & \left. \left. - \mathbb{X} \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{Y} \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \right] \hat{W} \Big| n \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

the value of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}$ can be further computed using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) in Eq. (45)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}} = & \left(\frac{1}{16m^4 \mathbf{t}^4 \mathbf{t}_0^4} + \frac{1}{16m^4} + \frac{3}{8m^4 \mathbf{t}^2 \mathbf{t}_0^2} - \frac{1}{4m^4 \mathbf{t}^3 \mathbf{t}_0^3} - \frac{1}{4m^4 \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t}_0} \right) \left[3 \right. \\ & \left. + \text{Cosh}^4 \rho (6n^2 - 6n) + \text{Sinh}^4 \rho (6n^2 + 18n + 12) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Cosh}^2\rho\text{Sinh}^2\rho(36n^2 + 36n + 12) + \text{Cosh}^3\rho\text{Sinh}\rho(24n^2) \\
& + \text{Cosh}\rho\text{Sinh}^3\rho(24n^2 + 48n + 24) + \text{Cosh}\rho\text{Sinh}\rho(24n + 12) \\
& + \text{Sinh}^2\rho(12n + 12) + \text{Cosh}^2\rho(12n) \Big]. \tag{46}
\end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) the values of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}} &= \left\langle n \left| \hat{W}^\dagger \left[2\hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} + 1 - \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{e}^2 \right] \left[\mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \right. \right. \\
& + \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0)\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& - \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& \left. \left. \left. - \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right] \hat{W} \right| n \right\rangle, \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

the value of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}$ can be further computed using Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) in Eq. (47)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}} &= \left(\frac{1}{4m^2\mathbf{t}^2\mathbf{t}_0^2} + \frac{1}{4m^2} - \frac{1}{2m^2\mathbf{t}\mathbf{t}_0} \right) [\text{Cosh}^2\rho(2n) + \text{Sinh}^2\rho(2n + 2) \\
& + \text{Cosh}\rho\text{Sinh}\rho(4n + 2) + 1]. \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) the values of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}^2 &= \left\langle n \left| \hat{W}^\dagger \left[2\hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} + 1 - \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{e}^2 \right]^2 \left[\mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \right. \right. \\
& + \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0)\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& - \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& \left. \left. \left. - \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right] \hat{W} \right| n \right\rangle, \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

the value of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}^2$ can be further computed using Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) in Eq. (49)

$$\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{16m^4\mathbf{t}^4\mathbf{t}_0^4} + \frac{1}{16m^4} + \frac{3}{8m^4\mathbf{t}^2\mathbf{t}_0^2} - \frac{1}{4m^4\mathbf{t}^3\mathbf{t}_0^3} - \frac{1}{4m^4\mathbf{t}\mathbf{t}_0} \right) \Big[1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Cosh}^4 \rho (4n^2) + \text{Sinh}^4 \rho (4n^2 + 8n + 4) \\
& + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (24n^2 + 24n + 4) + \text{Cosh}^3 \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (16n^2 \\
& + 8n) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh}^3 \rho (16n^2 + 24n + 8) \\
& + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (8n + 4) + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (4n + 4) + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho (4n) \Big]. \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}$, $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}$ and $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{SNS}}^2$ in Eq. (40), Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter for squeezed number state is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Q}_{\text{SNS}} = & \left(\frac{1}{4m^2 \mathbf{t}^2 \mathbf{t}_0^2} + \frac{1}{4m^2} - \frac{1}{2m^2 \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t}_0} \right) \left[2 + \text{Cosh}^4 \rho (2n^2 - 6n) \right. \\
& + \text{Sinh}^4 \rho (2n^2 + 10n + 8) + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (12n^2 + 12n + 8) \\
& + \text{Cosh}^3 \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (8n^2 - 8n) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh}^3 \rho (8n^2 + 24n + 16) \\
& + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (16n + 8) + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (8n + 8) + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho (8n) \Big] / \left[\text{Cosh}^2 \rho (2n) \right. \\
& \left. + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (2n + 2) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (4n + 2) + 1 \right] \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

Table 1 Numerical values of Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter while $n=1$, $\mathbf{t}_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and $\Delta \mathbf{t}$

ρ	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 0.1$	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 0.2$	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 0.3$	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 0.4$	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 0.5$	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 1$	$\Delta \mathbf{t} = 5$
0.002	0.0041	0.0139	0.0267	0.0410	0.0558	0.1255	0.3213
0.004	0.0042	0.0140	0.0268	0.0411	0.0560	0.1260	0.3226
0.006	0.0042	0.0141	0.0269	0.0413	0.0562	0.1265	0.3239
0.008	0.0042	0.0141	0.0271	0.0415	0.0565	0.1270	0.3252
0.010	0.0042	0.0142	0.0272	0.0416	0.0567	0.1275	0.3265
0.020	0.0043	0.0145	0.0277	0.0425	0.0578	0.1301	0.3331
0.040	0.0045	0.0150	0.0288	0.0442	0.0602	0.1354	0.3467
0.060	0.0047	0.0157	0.0300	0.0460	0.0626	0.1409	0.3608
0.080	0.0048	0.0163	0.0312	0.0479	0.0652	0.1467	0.3755
0.100	0.0050	0.0170	0.0325	0.0499	0.0679	0.1527	0.3908
0.200	0.0062	0.0207	0.0397	0.0609	0.0829	0.1865	0.4774
0.400	0.0092	0.0309	0.0593	0.0908	0.1236	0.2782	0.7122
0.600	0.0137	0.0461	0.0884	0.1355	0.1845	0.4150	1.0624
0.800	0.0205	0.0688	0.1319	0.2022	0.2752	0.6191	1.5850
1.000	0.0305	0.1026	0.1967	0.3016	0.4105	0.9236	2.3645
1.200	0.0456	0.1531	0.2935	0.4499	0.6124	1.3779	3.5274
1.400	0.0680	0.2284	0.4379	0.6712	0.9136	2.0556	5.2623
1.600	0.1014	0.3407	0.6532	1.0013	1.3629	3.0666	7.8504
1.800	0.1512	0.5083	0.9745	1.4938	2.0332	4.5748	11.7114
2.000	0.2256	0.7583	1.4538	2.2285	3.0332	6.8248	17.4714

Table 2 Numerical values of Mandel's \mathbb{Q} Parameter while $n=2$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0058	0.0195	0.0374	0.0574	0.0781	0.1757	0.4498
0.004	0.0058	0.0196	0.0376	0.0576	0.0784	0.1764	0.4516
0.006	0.0059	0.0197	0.0377	0.0578	0.0787	0.1771	0.4534
0.008	0.0059	0.0198	0.0379	0.0581	0.0790	0.1778	0.4552
0.010	0.0059	0.0198	0.0380	0.0583	0.0793	0.1785	0.4570
0.020	0.0060	0.0202	0.0388	0.0595	0.0810	0.1821	0.4663
0.040	0.0063	0.0211	0.0404	0.0619	0.0843	0.1896	0.4853
0.060	0.0065	0.0219	0.0420	0.0644	0.0877	0.1973	0.5051
0.080	0.0068	0.0228	0.0437	0.0671	0.0913	0.2054	0.5257
0.100	0.0071	0.0237	0.0455	0.0698	0.0950	0.2137	0.5472
0.200	0.0086	0.0290	0.0556	0.0852	0.1160	0.2611	0.6683
0.400	0.0129	0.0433	0.0830	0.1272	0.1731	0.3895	0.9970
0.600	0.0192	0.0646	0.1238	0.1897	0.2582	0.5810	1.4874
0.800	0.0287	0.0963	0.1846	0.2830	0.3852	0.8668	2.2190
1.000	0.0427	0.1437	0.2755	0.4222	0.5747	1.2931	3.3103
1.200	0.0638	0.2143	0.4109	0.6299	0.8574	1.9291	4.9384
1.400	0.0951	0.3198	0.6130	0.9397	1.2790	2.8778	7.3672
1.600	0.1419	0.4770	0.9145	1.4019	1.9081	4.2932	10.9906
1.800	0.2117	0.7116	1.3643	2.0913	2.8465	6.4047	16.3960
2.000	0.3159	1.0616	2.0353	3.1199	4.2465	9.5547	24.4600

Hence, Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} Parameter for using quantum mechanical number state for Squeezed Number State (SNS) is shown by (51). The \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} is the proportional function of squeezing parameter (ρ), number state (n), and inversely proportional to various powers of t and t_0 . The dependency of \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} is more prominent on number state (n) in comparison to (ρ). Using Eqs. (51), calculated values of \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} for various squeezed number states for $n=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ is tabulated in tables 1-5, for simplicity of evaluation here we are assuming $m=t_0=1$. Numerical values are calculated for the squeezing parameter (ρ) ranging between 0.002 to 2.000, At the same time, time period t varies from 1.1 to 6 w.r.t to initial time $t_0=1$,

i.e. the Δt is ranging between 0.1 to 5. According to calculations, for ρ ranging between 0.002 to 1.000 variation in Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} Parameter is significantly less than that for ρ ranging between 1.000 to 2.000 for all number state and Δt taken into consideration. For all of these states, the super-Poissonian non-classical nature of the inflaton is demonstrated by the positive values of Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} . In Eqs. (51) while considering $n=0$, it transforms into eq. For Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} parameter for squeezed vacuum state [61–63] with the nature of evaluation changes from super-Poissonian non-classical nature to sub-Poissonian non-classical nature. we have also depicted variations in Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} parameter for various squeezed number states with squeezing parameter ρ in Fig. 1, which exhibits increasing nature with increasing ρ as well as n . A 3-D plot between Cosmological Mandel's \mathbb{Q} parameter, ρ , and t for different number state parameters is presented in Fig. (2-6). While 7 highlights the nonclassical behavior of the inflaton field by showing how the \mathbb{Q}_{SNS} parameter depends on time and quantum state number for a flat

Table 3 Numerical values of Mandel's \mathcal{Q} Parameter while $n=3$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0077	0.0259	0.0496	0.0761	0.1036	0.2331	0.5967
0.004	0.0077	0.0260	0.0498	0.0764	0.1040	0.2340	0.5991
0.006	0.0078	0.0261	0.0500	0.0767	0.1044	0.2349	0.6015
0.008	0.0078	0.0262	0.0502	0.0770	0.1048	0.2359	0.6039
0.010	0.0078	0.0263	0.0504	0.0773	0.1053	0.2368	0.6063
0.020	0.0080	0.0268	0.0515	0.0789	0.1074	0.2416	0.6185
0.040	0.0083	0.0279	0.0536	0.0821	0.1118	0.2515	0.6438
0.060	0.0087	0.0291	0.0558	0.0855	0.1163	0.2617	0.6701
0.080	0.0090	0.0303	0.0580	0.0890	0.1211	0.2724	0.6974
0.100	0.0094	0.0315	0.0604	0.0926	0.1260	0.2835	0.7259
0.200	0.0114	0.0385	0.0738	0.1131	0.1539	0.3463	0.8866
0.400	0.0171	0.0574	0.1101	0.1687	0.2296	0.5166	1.3226
0.600	0.0255	0.0856	0.1642	0.2517	0.3426	0.7707	1.9731
0.800	0.0380	0.1278	0.2449	0.3754	0.5110	1.1498	2.9435
1.000	0.0567	0.1906	0.3654	0.5601	0.7624	1.7153	4.3912
1.200	0.0846	0.2843	0.5451	0.8356	1.1373	2.5590	6.5509
1.400	0.1262	0.4242	0.8132	1.2465	1.6967	3.8175	9.7728
1.600	0.1883	0.6328	1.2131	1.8596	2.5311	5.6951	14.5793
1.800	0.2809	0.9440	1.8098	2.7742	3.7760	8.4960	21.7498
2.000	0.4190	1.4083	2.6999	4.1386	5.6331	12.6746	32.4469

FRW universe. The parameter's sensitivity to quantum states and temporal evolution reflects its utility in characterizing statistical properties of the inflaton within semiclassical gravity frameworks.

5.2 Cosmological Mandel's \mathcal{Q} Parameter for Coherent Squeezed Number State (CSNS)

Now to identify the classical or non-classical nature of Coherent Squeezed Number State (CSNS) in FRW Universe, in this section, we will compute Mandel's \mathcal{Q} Parameter for CSNS using Eq. (40). Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) the values of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}} &= \left[\mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\
&\quad + \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
&\quad - \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\
&\quad + \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
&\quad + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Table 4 Numerical values of Mandel's Q Parameter while $n=4$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0097	0.0325	0.0624	0.0956	0.1301	0.2928	0.7497
0.004	0.0097	0.0327	0.0626	0.0960	0.1307	0.2940	0.7527
0.006	0.0098	0.0328	0.0629	0.0964	0.1312	0.2952	0.7557
0.008	0.0098	0.0329	0.0631	0.0968	0.1317	0.2964	0.7587
0.010	0.0098	0.0331	0.0634	0.0972	0.1322	0.2976	0.7618
0.020	0.0100	0.0337	0.0647	0.0991	0.1349	0.3036	0.7771
0.040	0.0104	0.0351	0.0673	0.1032	0.1404	0.3160	0.8089
0.060	0.0109	0.0365	0.0701	0.1074	0.1462	0.3289	0.8419
0.080	0.0113	0.0380	0.0729	0.1118	0.1521	0.3423	0.8762
0.100	0.0118	0.0396	0.0759	0.1163	0.1583	0.3562	0.9120
0.200	0.0144	0.0483	0.0927	0.1421	0.1934	0.4351	1.1139
0.400	0.0215	0.0721	0.1383	0.2120	0.2885	0.6491	1.6617
0.600	0.0320	0.1076	0.2063	0.3162	0.4304	0.9684	2.4790
0.800	0.0478	0.1605	0.3077	0.4717	0.6421	1.4446	3.6983
1.000	0.0712	0.2395	0.4591	0.7037	0.9578	2.1551	5.5172
1.200	0.1063	0.3572	0.6849	1.0498	1.4289	3.2151	8.2306
1.400	0.1586	0.5329	1.0217	1.5662	2.1317	4.7964	12.2787
1.600	0.2365	0.7950	1.5242	2.3364	3.1801	7.1553	18.3176
1.800	0.3529	1.1861	2.2739	3.4856	4.7442	10.6745	27.3267
2.000	0.5264	1.7694	3.3922	5.1998	7.0775	15.9244	40.7666

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\
& + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& \left. + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right) \\
& + \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \left(\Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\
& + \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& \left. + \Phi(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right) \left\langle n \left| \hat{W}^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\dagger \left[6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. + 12 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} + 3 - 6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger 3} \hat{e} - 6 \hat{e}^2 + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e}^3 + \hat{e}^{\dagger 4} + \hat{e}^4 \right] \mathcal{D} \hat{W} \right| n \right\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

using Eqs. (42-44) in Eqs. (52) as

Table 5 Numerical values of Mandel's Q Parameter while $n=5$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0117	0.0393	0.0753	0.1155	0.1572	0.3537	0.9054
0.004	0.0117	0.0395	0.0756	0.1160	0.1578	0.3551	0.9091
0.006	0.0118	0.0396	0.0759	0.1164	0.1585	0.3565	0.9127
0.008	0.0118	0.0398	0.0763	0.1169	0.1591	0.3580	0.9164
0.010	0.0119	0.0399	0.0766	0.1174	0.1597	0.3594	0.9200
0.020	0.0121	0.0407	0.0781	0.1197	0.1630	0.3666	0.9386
0.040	0.0126	0.0424	0.0813	0.1246	0.1696	0.3816	0.9769
0.060	0.0131	0.0441	0.0846	0.1297	0.1765	0.3972	1.0168
0.080	0.0137	0.0459	0.0881	0.1350	0.1837	0.4134	1.0583
0.100	0.0142	0.0478	0.0917	0.1405	0.1912	0.4303	1.1015
0.200	0.0174	0.0584	0.1119	0.1716	0.2336	0.5255	1.3454
0.400	0.0259	0.0871	0.1670	0.2560	0.3484	0.7840	2.0070
0.600	0.0387	0.1300	0.2491	0.3819	0.5198	1.1696	2.9941
0.800	0.0577	0.1939	0.3717	0.5697	0.7755	1.7448	4.4667
1.000	0.0860	0.2892	0.5545	0.8499	1.1569	2.6030	6.6636
1.200	0.1284	0.4315	0.8272	1.2680	1.7259	3.8832	9.9409
1.400	0.1915	0.6437	1.2340	1.8916	2.5747	5.7930	14.8301
1.600	0.2857	0.9602	1.8409	2.8219	3.8409	8.6421	22.1238
1.800	0.4262	1.4325	2.7463	4.2098	5.7300	12.8926	33.0050
2.000	0.6358	2.1370	4.0971	6.2803	8.5482	19.2334	49.2376

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}} &= \left[\mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\
&\quad + \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{t}) \dot{\Phi}^{*2}(\mathbf{t}) \Phi^2(\mathbf{t}_0) \Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
&\quad \left. - \mathbb{X} \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{Y} \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) + \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{G}^{12}(\mathbf{t}) \right] \left\langle n \left| \hat{W}^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\dagger \left[6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 + 12 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 3 - 6 \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger 3} \hat{e} - 6 \hat{e}^2 + 4 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e}^3 + \hat{e}^{\dagger 4} + \hat{e}^4 \right] \mathcal{D} \hat{W} \right| n \rangle, \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

the value of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}}$ can be further computed using Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) in Eq. (53)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}} &= \left(\frac{1}{16m^4 \mathbf{t}^4 \mathbf{t}_0^4} + \frac{1}{16m^4} + \frac{3}{8m^4 \mathbf{t}^2 \mathbf{t}_0^2} - \frac{1}{4m^4 \mathbf{t}^3 \mathbf{t}_0^3} - \frac{1}{4m^4 \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t}_0} \right) \left[3 + \Upsilon^{*4} \right. \\
&\quad + \Upsilon^4 + 6\Upsilon^{*2} \Upsilon^2 + 12\Upsilon^* \Upsilon - 6\Upsilon^{*2} - 6\Upsilon^2 - 4\Upsilon^{*3} \Upsilon - 4\Upsilon^* \Upsilon^3 \\
&\quad + \text{Cosh}^4 \rho (6n^2 - 6n) + \text{Sinh}^4 \rho (6n^2 + 18n + 12) \\
&\quad + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (36n^2 + 36n + 12) \\
&\quad \left. + \text{Cosh}^3 \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (24n^2) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh}^3 \rho (24n^2 + 48n + 24) \right]
\end{aligned}$$




Fig. 1 Dependence of Mandel's Q_{SNS} Parameter on the squeezing parameter (ρ) and quantum number (n). The plot illustrates the relationship highlighting the non-classical characteristics as influenced by varying levels of squeezing and quantum states.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \text{Cosh}\rho\text{Sinh}\rho(24n + 12) \{1 + 2\Upsilon^*\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \\
& + \text{Sinh}^2\rho(12n + 12) \{1 + 2\Upsilon^*\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \\
& + \text{Cosh}^2\rho(12n) \{1 + 2\Upsilon^*\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \Big]. \quad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) the values of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle : \hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}} = & \left[\mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right. \\
& + \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0)\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& - \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t}_0) \\
& \left. - \mathcal{G}^6(\mathbf{t})\Phi(\mathbf{t})\dot{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{t})\Phi^*(\mathbf{t}_0)\dot{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}_0) \right] \left\langle n \left| \hat{W}^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\dagger \left[2\hat{e}^\dagger \hat{e} \right. \right. \right.
\end{aligned}$$




Fig. 2 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{SNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=1$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

$$+ 1 - \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{e}^2 \mathcal{D} \hat{W} |n\rangle, \quad (55)$$

the value of $\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}}$ can be further computed using Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) in Eq. (55)

$$\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}} = \left(\frac{1}{4m^2 t^2 t_0^2} + \frac{1}{4m^2} - \frac{1}{2m^2 t t_0} \right) [\text{Cosh}^2 \rho (2n) + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (2n + 2) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (4n + 2) + 1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2]. \quad (56)$$

Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) the values of $\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle_{\text{SNS}}^2 &= \left[\mathcal{G}^6(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^*(t) \dot{\Phi}(t_0) \dot{\Phi}(t_0) \right. \\ &\quad + \mathcal{G}^6(t) \dot{\Phi}(t) \dot{\Phi}^*(t) \Phi(t_0) \Phi(t_0) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{G}^6(t) \dot{\Phi}(t) \Phi^*(t) \Phi(t_0) \dot{\Phi}^*(t_0) \\ &\quad \left. - \mathcal{G}^6(t) \Phi(t) \dot{\Phi}^*(t) \Phi^*(t_0) \dot{\Phi}(t_0) \right]^2 \langle n | \hat{W}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \left[2 \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} \right. \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 3 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{SNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=2$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

$$+ 1 - \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} - \hat{e}^2]^2 \mathfrak{D}\hat{W}|n\rangle, \quad (57)$$

the value of $\left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}}^2$ can be further computed using Using Eqs. (18-26, 33-34) in Eq. (57)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \right\rangle_{\text{CSNS}}^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{16m^4 t^4 t_0^4} + \frac{1}{16m^4} + \frac{3}{8m^4 t^2 t_0^2} - \frac{1}{4m^4 t^3 t_0^3} - \frac{1}{4m^4 t t_0} \right) \left[1 \right. \\ &+ \Upsilon^{*4} + \Upsilon^4 + 3\Upsilon^{*2}\Upsilon^2 \\ &+ 2\Upsilon^*\Upsilon - 2\Upsilon^{*2} - 2\Upsilon^2 - 2\Upsilon^{*3}\Upsilon - 2\Upsilon^*\Upsilon^3 \\ &+ \text{Cosh}^4 \rho (4n^2) + \text{Sinh}^4 \rho (4n^2 + 8n + 4) \\ &+ \text{Cosh}^2 \rho \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (24n^2 + 24n + 4) + \text{Cosh}^3 \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (16n^2 \\ &+ 8n) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh}^3 \rho (16n^2 + 24n + 8) \\ &+ \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (8n + 4) \{1 + \Upsilon^*\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \\ &+ \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (4n + 4) \{1 + \Upsilon^*\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \\ &\left. + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho (4n) \{1 + \Upsilon^*\Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \right]. \quad (58) \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 4 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{SNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=3$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

Substituting the values of $\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger 2} \hat{e}^2 : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}}$, $\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}}$ and $\langle : \hat{e}^{\dagger} \hat{e} : \rangle_{\text{CSNS}}^2$ in Eq. (40), Cosmological Mandel's Q Parameter for Coherent squeezed number state is

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\text{CSNS}} = & \left(\frac{1}{4m^2 t^2 t_0^2} + \frac{1}{4m^2} - \frac{1}{2m^2 t t_0} \right) \left[2 + 3\Upsilon^{*2} \Upsilon^2 + 10\Upsilon^* \Upsilon - 4\Upsilon^{*2} \right. \\
& - 4\Upsilon^2 - 2\Upsilon^{*3} \Upsilon - 2\Upsilon^* \Upsilon^3 + \text{Cosh}^4 \rho (2n^2 - 6n) \\
& + \text{Sinh}^4 \rho (2n^2 + 10n + 8) + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (12n^2 + 12n + 8) \\
& + \text{Cosh}^3 \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (8n^2 - 8n) + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh}^3 \rho (8n^2 + 24n + 16) \\
& + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (16n + 8) \{1 - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (40n \\
& + 20) \{\Upsilon^* \Upsilon\} + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (8n + 8) \{1 - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \\
& + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (20n + 20) \{\Upsilon^* \Upsilon\} + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho (8n) \{1 - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2\} \\
& \left. + \text{Cosh}^2 \rho (20n) \{\Upsilon^* \Upsilon\} \right] / \left[\text{Cosh}^2 \rho (2n) + \text{Sinh}^2 \rho (2n + 2) \right. \\
& \left. + \text{Cosh} \rho \text{Sinh} \rho (4n + 2) + 1 + \Upsilon^* \Upsilon - \Upsilon^{*2} - \Upsilon^2 \right] \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$




Fig. 5 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{CSNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=4$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

Hence, Cosmological Mandel's Q_{CSNS} Parameter for using quantum mechanical number state for Coherent Squeezed Number State (CSNS) is shown by (59). The Q_{CSNS} is the proportional function of squeezing parameter (ρ), Coherent state parameter (\mathcal{Y}^* , \mathcal{Y}), number state (n) and inversely proportional to various powers of t and t_0 . The dependency of Q_{CSNS} is more prominent on the Coherent state parameter (\mathcal{Y}^* , \mathcal{Y}) and number state (n) in compression of (ρ). Using Eqs. (59), calculated values of Q_{CSNS} for various squeezed number state for $n=1, 2, 3, 4$ is tabulated in tables 6-10, for simplicity of evaluation here we are assuming $\mathcal{Y}^*=\mathcal{Y}=m=t_0=1$. Numerical values are calculated for the squeezing parameter (ρ) ranging between 0.002 to 2.000, while time period t varies from 1.1 to 6 w.r.t to initial time $t_0=1$, i.e. the Δt is ranging between 0.1 to 5. Calculations show, for ρ ranging between 0.002 to 1.000 variation in Cosmological Mandel's Q_{CSNS} Parameter is much smaller than that for ρ ranging between 1.000 to 2.000 for all number state and Δt taken into consideration. All the values of Cosmological Mandel's Q_{CSNS} are positive shows the super-Poissonian non-classical nature of inflaton for all these states. In Eqs. (59) while considering $\mathcal{Y}^*=\mathcal{Y}=n=0$, it converts into eq. for Cosmological Mandel's Q parameter for squeezed vacuum state [61–63] with the nature of evaluation changes from super-Poissonian non-classical nature to sub-Poissonian non-classical nature. We have also plotted variation of Cosmological Mandel's Q parameter for various squeezed number states with squeezing parameter ρ in Fig. 8, that shows increasing nature with increasing ρ as well as n . Fig. (9-13) shows a 3-D plot between Cosmological Mandel's Q parameter, ρ , and t for various number state parameters. While 14 illustrates the dependence of the




Fig. 6 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{SNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=5$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

Q_{SNS} parameter on time and quantum state number for a flat FRW universe, highlighting the nonclassical behavior of the inflaton field. The parameter's sensitivity to quantum states and temporal evolution reflects its utility in characterizing statistical properties of the inflaton within semiclassical gravity frameworks.

6 Results and Discussion

In this paper, We worked out for consideration the quantum effect on the Squeezed and Coherent State of the flat FRW universe using SCTG. The inclusion of quantum number state in Squeezed and Coherent State is essential for understanding the non-classical nature of gravity, particularly in scenarios involving Particle Production, Density Fluctuations, and Quantum Fluctuations [55, 56]. Many universal phenomena can be explained using semiclassical procedures in the lack of a good quantum theory of gravity, where the matter field uses the quantum effect and the background metric remains classical [55, 56].

In this context, we analyze the non-classical behavior of SNS and CSNS for a flat FRW universe, with particular emphasis on the cosmological background. Initially, we introduce the quantum number state evolution effect for a massive inflaton in SNS and CSNS using SCTG. Further, we have computed the expression for Cosmological Mandel's Q Parameter for SNS (51) and CSNS (59). Our results reveal that the Cosmological Mandel's Q parameter for CSNS varies with parameters such as the number state (n), the coherent state parameter (γ^*, γ), the squeezing parameter (ρ), and is inversely proportional to various powers of t and t_0 . In contrast, the Q parameter




Fig. 7 Dependence of Mandel's Q_{SNS} Parameter on Time (t) and Quantum Number (n): The plot highlights the non-classical characteristics as influenced by temporal evolution and quantum states.

for SNS depends on the number state (n), the squeezing parameter (ρ), and is also inversely proportional to different powers of \mathbf{t} and \mathbf{t}_0 . Using suitable approximations in Eqs. (51) and (59), the Cosmological Mandel's Q parameter for the universe in a vacuum state can be obtained [33–35, 60, 61, 63]. This demonstrates the validity of the formulation employed in our study using SCTG and SCEE, where the inflaton is minimally coupled with the FRW universe. Here, We investigated the dynamics of a massive inflaton field in an isotropic and homogeneous expanding universe with similar power law growth by considering minimum coupling between a massive inflaton and gravity. In order to examine the behavior of a massive inflaton field within the framework of an isotropic and homogeneous expanding universe with comparable power law expansion, we have taken into consideration the smallest coupling between a massive inflaton and gravity.

The calculated values of the Cosmological Mandel's Q Parameter for various $n=1,2,3,4,5$, using Eqs. (51) and (59), are presented in Tables 1-10. 2-D plots in Figures (1, 7, 8, 14) and 3-D plots in Figures (2-6, 8-13) provide a clearer visualization of Mandel's Q Parameter with respect to various variables.

Normally the results demonstrate an increase in Q Parameter with increasing ρ , n as well as \mathbf{t} due to the quantum behavior. The aforementioned analysis shows that all the values of Cosmological Mandel's Q are positive showing the super-Poissonian non-classical nature of inflaton for all these states. This effectively illustrates the quantum behavior of number states of consideration for SNS and CSNS in the system of a massive inflaton. Mandel's Q Parameter for both of the above states depends on nature states and \mathbf{t} shows the physical significance of the above analysis to demonstrate quantum properties of oscillating inflaton field concerning general states. So, In order to better understand the early FRW cosmos, it is important to consider the

Table 6 Numerical values of Mandel's Q Parameter while $n=1$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0114	0.0382	0.0733	0.1123	0.1529	0.3439	0.8805
0.004	0.0114	0.0382	0.0733	0.1124	0.1529	0.3441	0.8810
0.006	0.0114	0.0383	0.0733	0.1124	0.1530	0.3443	0.8815
0.008	0.0114	0.0383	0.0734	0.1125	0.1531	0.3445	0.8820
0.010	0.0114	0.0383	0.0734	0.1126	0.1532	0.3447	0.8825
0.020	0.0114	0.0384	0.0737	0.1129	0.1537	0.3458	0.8854
0.040	0.0115	0.0387	0.0742	0.1138	0.1548	0.3484	0.8918
0.060	0.0116	0.0390	0.0748	0.1147	0.1561	0.3513	0.8994
0.080	0.0117	0.0394	0.0756	0.1158	0.1576	0.3547	0.9080
0.100	0.0118	0.0398	0.0764	0.1170	0.1593	0.3584	0.9176
0.200	0.0127	0.0426	0.0816	0.1251	0.1703	0.3831	0.9808
0.400	0.0153	0.0515	0.0987	0.1513	0.2059	0.4632	1.1858
0.600	0.0196	0.0659	0.1264	0.1937	0.2637	0.5933	1.5189
0.800	0.0262	0.0881	0.1690	0.2590	0.3526	0.7933	2.0309
1.000	0.0362	0.1217	0.2333	0.3576	0.4868	1.0952	2.8038
1.200	0.0512	0.1720	0.3297	0.5054	0.6879	1.5478	3.9624
1.400	0.0735	0.2472	0.4738	0.7263	0.9886	2.2244	5.6945
1.600	0.1069	0.3594	0.6890	1.0562	1.4376	3.2347	8.2808
1.800	0.1568	0.5269	1.0102	1.5485	2.1077	4.7424	12.1406
2.000	0.2311	0.7769	1.4894	2.2831	3.1076	6.9921	17.8997

super-Poissonian non-classical behavior of SNS and CSNS.

References

- [1] Kubik, B., Karska, A. & Opitom, C. Origin of the universe and planetary systems (2022). URL <https://books.rsc.org/books/edited-volume/2003/chapter/4583607/Origin-of-the-Universe-and-Planetary-Systems>.
- [2] Moore, B. & Moore, B. The big bang. *Elephants in Space: The Past, Present and Future of Life and the Universe* 43–55 (2014). URL https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-05672-2_3.
- [3] Guth, A. H. & Weinberg, E. J. Cosmological consequences of a first-order phase transition in the $SU(5)$ grand unified model. *Physical Review D* **23**, 876 (1981).
- [4] Green, D., Guo, Y. & Wallisch, B. Cosmological implications of axion-matter couplings. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2022**, 019 (2022). URL <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1475-7516/2022/02/019/meta>.
- [5] Albrecht, A., Ferreira, P., Joyce, M. & Prokopec, T. Inflation and squeezed quantum states. *Physical Review D* **50**, 4807–4820 (1994). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.50.4807>.

Table 7 Numerical values of Mandel’s Q Parameter while $n=2$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0119	0.0400	0.0767	0.1175	0.1600	0.3600	0.9215
0.004	0.0119	0.0401	0.0768	0.1177	0.1602	0.3605	0.9230
0.006	0.0119	0.0401	0.0769	0.1179	0.1605	0.3611	0.9245
0.008	0.0120	0.0402	0.0771	0.1181	0.1608	0.3617	0.9260
0.010	0.0120	0.0403	0.0772	0.1183	0.1610	0.3623	0.9275
0.020	0.0121	0.0406	0.0778	0.1193	0.1624	0.3653	0.9353
0.040	0.0123	0.0413	0.0792	0.1214	0.1652	0.3717	0.9514
0.060	0.0125	0.0420	0.0806	0.1235	0.1681	0.3783	0.9685
0.080	0.0127	0.0428	0.0821	0.1258	0.1713	0.3854	0.9866
0.100	0.0130	0.0436	0.0837	0.1283	0.1746	0.3928	1.0057
0.200	0.0144	0.0485	0.0929	0.1424	0.1939	0.4362	1.1166
0.400	0.0185	0.0621	0.1191	0.1826	0.2485	0.5591	1.4313
0.600	0.0247	0.0830	0.1592	0.2440	0.3321	0.7473	1.9130
0.800	0.0341	0.1145	0.2196	0.3366	0.4582	1.0309	2.6391
1.000	0.0481	0.1618	0.3101	0.4754	0.6470	1.4558	3.7268
1.200	0.0691	0.2323	0.4454	0.6827	0.9293	2.0909	5.3526
1.400	0.1005	0.3377	0.6474	0.9923	1.3507	3.0390	7.7799
1.600	0.1472	0.4949	0.9488	1.4544	1.9796	4.4540	11.4022
1.800	0.2170	0.7295	1.3985	2.1438	2.9179	6.5653	16.8070
2.000	0.3212	1.0795	2.0695	3.1723	4.3178	9.7151	24.8706

- [6] Albrecht, A., Steinhardt, P. J., Turner, M. S. & Wilczek, F. Reheating an Inflationary Universe. *Physical Review Letters* **48**, 1437–1440 (1982).
- [7] Kofman, L., Linde, A. & Starobinsky, A. A. Reheating after Inflation. *Physical Review Letters* **73**, 3195–3198 (1994).
- [8] Allahverdi, R., Brandenberger, R., Cyr-Racine, F.-Y. & Mazumdar, A. Reheating in Inflationary Cosmology: Theory and Applications. *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **60**, 27–51 (2010).
- [9] Cook, J. L., Dimastrogiovanni, E., Easson, D. A. & Krauss, L. M. Reheating predictions in single field inflation. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2015**, 047 (2015). URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2015/04/047>.
- [10] Martin, J. & Ringeval, C. First cmb constraints on the inflationary reheating temperature. *Physical Review D* **82**, 023511 (2010). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.023511>.
- [11] Yadav, S., Goswami, R., Venkataratnam, K. & Yajnik, U. A. Reheating constraints on modified quadratic chaotic inflation. *The European Physical Journal Plus* **139**, 185 (2024). URL <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-024-04979-6>.
- [12] Dai, L., Kamionkowski, M. & Wang, J. Reheating constraints to inflationary models. *Physical review letters* **113**, 041302 (2014). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.041302>.

Table 8 Numerical values of Mandel's Q Parameter while $n=3$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0135	0.0452	0.0867	0.1329	0.1809	0.4071	1.0422
0.004	0.0135	0.0453	0.0869	0.1332	0.1813	0.4080	1.0444
0.006	0.0135	0.0454	0.0871	0.1335	0.1817	0.4088	1.0466
0.008	0.0135	0.0455	0.0873	0.1338	0.1821	0.4097	1.0488
0.010	0.0136	0.0456	0.0875	0.1341	0.1825	0.4106	1.0511
0.020	0.0137	0.0461	0.0884	0.1355	0.1844	0.4150	1.0624
0.040	0.0140	0.0471	0.0904	0.1385	0.1885	0.4242	1.0859
0.060	0.0143	0.0482	0.0924	0.1416	0.1928	0.4338	1.1105
0.080	0.0147	0.0493	0.0945	0.1449	0.1973	0.4438	1.1362
0.100	0.0150	0.0505	0.0968	0.1484	0.2019	0.4544	1.1632
0.200	0.0170	0.0572	0.1096	0.1680	0.2287	0.5146	1.3174
0.400	0.0225	0.0757	0.1451	0.2225	0.3028	0.6814	1.7443
0.600	0.0308	0.1037	0.1988	0.3047	0.4148	0.9332	2.3890
0.800	0.0433	0.1456	0.2792	0.4280	0.5826	1.3108	3.3557
1.000	0.0620	0.2084	0.3995	0.6124	0.8335	1.8754	4.8009
1.200	0.0899	0.3020	0.5791	0.8876	1.2082	2.7184	6.9590
1.400	0.1315	0.4418	0.8471	1.2985	1.7673	3.9765	10.1799
1.600	0.1935	0.6504	1.2470	1.9114	2.6017	5.8538	14.9857
1.800	0.2861	0.9616	1.8436	2.8260	3.8465	8.6546	22.1557
2.000	0.4242	1.4259	2.7337	4.1904	5.7036	12.833	32.8525

- [13] Yadav, S., Gangal, D. & Venkataratnam, K. K. Reheating constraints on mutated hilltop inflation. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **33**, 2450040 (2024). URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271824500408>.
- [14] Mohajan, H. Friedmann, Robertson-Walker (FRW) Models in Cosmology (2013). URL <https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/52402/>.
- [15] Suresh, P. K. Particle Creation in the Oscillatory Phase of Inflaton. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **43**, 425–436 (2004). URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1023/B:IJTP.0000028875.07382.4e>.
- [16] Martin, J. & Vennin, V. Quantum discord of cosmic inflation: Can we show that cmb anisotropies are of quantum-mechanical origin? *Physical Review D* **93**, 023505 (2016).
- [17] Kim, J. K. & Kim, S. P. One-parameter squeezed Gaussian states of a time-dependent harmonic oscillator and the selection rule for vacuum states. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **32**, 2711–2718 (1999). URL <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0305-4470/32/14/012>.
- [18] Finelli, F., Gruppuso, A. & Venturi, G. Quantum fields in an expanding universe. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **16**, 3923–3935 (1999). URL <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0264-9381/16/12/310>.

Table 9 Numerical values of Mandel’s Q Parameter while $n=4$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0153	0.0513	0.0984	0.1509	0.2054	0.4621	1.1829
0.004	0.0153	0.0515	0.0987	0.1512	0.2059	0.4632	1.1857
0.006	0.0153	0.0516	0.0989	0.1516	0.2064	0.4643	1.1886
0.008	0.0154	0.0517	0.0991	0.1520	0.2069	0.4654	1.1915
0.010	0.0154	0.0518	0.0994	0.1523	0.2074	0.4666	1.1944
0.020	0.0156	0.0525	0.1006	0.1542	0.2099	0.4723	1.2091
0.040	0.0160	0.0538	0.1031	0.1581	0.2152	0.4842	1.2396
0.060	0.0164	0.0552	0.1058	0.1622	0.2207	0.4966	1.2714
0.080	0.0168	0.0566	0.1086	0.1664	0.2265	0.5096	1.3046
0.100	0.0180	0.0581	0.1114	0.1708	0.2325	0.5231	1.3393
0.200	0.0198	0.0667	0.1278	0.1960	0.2667	0.6002	1.5364
0.400	0.0268	0.0902	0.1729	0.2650	0.3607	0.8115	2.0775
0.600	0.0373	0.1255	0.2405	0.3687	0.5018	1.1291	2.8904
0.800	0.0530	0.1782	0.3417	0.5238	0.7130	1.6042	4.1068
1.000	0.0765	0.2571	0.4929	0.7556	1.0284	2.3140	5.9238
1.200	0.1115	0.3748	0.7186	1.1015	1.4993	3.3735	8.6361
1.400	0.1638	0.5505	1.0554	1.6178	2.2020	4.9544	12.6833
1.600	0.2418	0.8126	1.5578	2.3880	3.2503	7.3132	18.7218
1.800	0.3581	1.2036	2.3074	3.5370	4.8143	10.8322	27.7304
2.000	0.5316	1.7869	3.4258	5.2513	7.1476	16.0821	41.1701

- [19] Geralico, A., Landolfi, G., Ruggeri, G. & Soliani, G. Novel approach to the study of quantum effects in the early Universe. *Physical Review D* **69**, 043504 (2004). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.043504>.
- [20] Padmanabhan, T. Gravity and the thermodynamics of horizons. *Physics Reports* **406**, 49–125 (2005). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0370157304004582>.
- [21] Gangal, D., Yadav, S. & Venkataratnam, K. Density fluctuations for squeezed number state and coherent squeezed number state in flat frw universe. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00432* (2024).
- [22] Robertson, H. P. Kinematics and world-structure iii. *The Astrophysical Journal* **83**, 257 (1936).
- [23] Shaviv, G. Did edwin hubble plagiarize? *arXiv preprint arXiv:1107.0442* (2011).
- [24] Zel’Dovich, Y. B. & Starobinskij, A. Creation of particles and vacuum polarization in an anisotropic gravitational field. *Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi i Teoreticheskoi Fiziki* **61**, 2161–2175 (1971).
- [25] Bergström, L. & Goobar, A. *Cosmology and particle astrophysics* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2006).

Table 10 Numerical values of Mandel’s Q Parameter while $n=5$, $t_0 = 1$ for various values of ρ and Δt

ρ	$\Delta t = 0.1$	$\Delta t = 0.2$	$\Delta t = 0.3$	$\Delta t = 0.4$	$\Delta t = 0.5$	$\Delta t = 1$	$\Delta t = 5$
0.002	0.0172	0.0578	0.1108	0.1698	0.2312	0.5201	1.3315
0.004	0.0172	0.0579	0.1111	0.1703	0.2318	0.5215	1.3350
0.006	0.0173	0.0581	0.1114	0.1707	0.2324	0.5229	1.3386
0.008	0.0173	0.0583	0.1117	0.1712	0.2330	0.5243	1.3421
0.010	0.0174	0.0584	0.1120	0.1716	0.2336	0.5257	1.3457
0.020	0.0176	0.0592	0.1135	0.1739	0.2368	0.5327	1.3638
0.040	0.0181	0.0608	0.1166	0.1787	0.2432	0.5473	1.4011
0.060	0.0186	0.0625	0.1198	0.1837	0.2500	0.5625	1.4400
0.080	0.0191	0.0643	0.1232	0.1889	0.2570	0.5784	1.4806
0.100	0.0197	0.0661	0.1267	0.1943	0.2644	0.5949	1.5229
0.200	0.0228	0.0765	0.1467	0.2249	0.3061	0.6887	1.7630
0.400	0.0312	0.1050	0.2013	0.3086	0.4200	0.9451	2.4193
0.600	0.0439	0.1477	0.2832	0.4340	0.5908	1.3293	3.4029
0.800	0.0629	0.2115	0.4055	0.6216	0.8461	1.9036	4.8732
1.000	0.0913	0.3068	0.5882	0.9016	1.2272	2.7612	7.0686
1.200	0.1336	0.4490	0.8608	1.3195	1.7960	4.0410	10.3449
1.400	0.1967	0.6612	1.2676	1.9430	2.6447	5.9506	15.2334
1.600	0.2909	0.9777	1.8744	2.8733	3.9109	8.7995	22.5267
1.800	0.4314	1.4500	2.7798	4.2612	5.7999	13.0498	33.4075
2.000	0.6410	2.1545	4.1305	6.3316	8.6181	19.3906	49.6400

- [26] Ellis, G. F. & Van Elst, H. Cosmological models: Cargese lectures 1998. *Theoretical and Observational Cosmology* 1–116 (1999).
- [27] Kuo, C.-I. & Ford, L. H. Semiclassical gravity theory and quantum fluctuations. *Physical Review D* **47**, 4510–4519 (1993). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.4510>.
- [28] Caves, C. M. Quantum-mechanical noise in an interferometer. *Physical Review D* **23**, 1693–1708 (1981). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.1693>.
- [29] Matacz, A. L. Coherent state representation of quantum fluctuations in the early Universe. *Physical Review D* **49**, 788–798 (1994). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.788>.
- [30] Suresh, P. K. Thermal squeezing and density fluctuations in semiclassical theory of gravity. *Modern Physics Letters A* **16**, 707–717 (2001). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217732301003802>.
- [31] Suresh, P. K. & Kuriakose, V. C. Squeezed state representation of quantum fluctuations and semiclassical theory. *Modern Physics Letters A* **13**, 165–172 (1998). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217732398000218>.
- [32] Suresh, P. K. Nonclassical state representation of inflaton and power-law expansion in frw universe. *Modern Physics Letters A* **16**, 2431–2438 (2001). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217732301005874>.




Fig. 8 Dependence of Mandel's Q_{CSNS} Parameter on the squeezing parameter (ρ) and quantum number (n). The plot illustrates the relationship highlighting the non-classical characteristics influenced by varying levels of squeezing and quantum states.

- [33] Ellis, G. F. R. & Elst, H. in *Cosmological Models* (ed. Lachièze-Rey, M.) *Theoretical and Observational Cosmology* 1–116 (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1999). URL http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-011-4455-1_1.
- [34] Takahashi, Y. & Umezawa, H. Thermo field dynamics. *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **10**, 1755–1805 (1996). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217979296000817>.
- [35] Xu, X.-L., Li, H.-Q. & Wang, J.-S. Quantum fluctuations of mesoscopic RLC circuit involving complicated coupling in thermal squeezed state. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **396**, 199–206 (2007). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0921452607002256>.
- [36] Mahajan, G. & Padmanabhan, T. Particle creation, classicality and related issues in quantum field theory: I. Formalism and toy models. *General Relativity and Gravitation* **40**, 661–708 (2008). URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10714-007-0526-z>.




Fig. 9 3D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{CSNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state with $n = 1$, illustrating the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing and temporal evolution.

- [37] Lachièze-Rey, M. & Luminet, J.-P. Cosmic topology. *Physics Reports* **254**, 135–214 (1995). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/037015739400085H>.
- [38] Ellis, G. F. R. & van Elst, H. Cosmological models (Cargèse lectures 1998) (1998). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9812046>.
- [39] Carvalho, A. D. M., Furtado, C. & Pedrosa, I. A. Scalar fields and exact invariants in a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker spacetime. *Physical Review D* **70**, 123523 (2004). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.123523>.
- [40] Bak, D., Kim, S. P., Kim, S. K., Soh, K.-S. & Yee, J. H. Quantum inflaton dynamics. *Physical Review D* **59**, 027301 (1998).
- [41] Kim, S. & Page, D. Thermal inflation model in semiclassical quantum gravity. *Journal of Korean Physical Society* **35**, S660–S665 (1999).
- [42] Guth, A. H. & Pi, S.-Y. Quantum mechanics of the scalar field in the new inflationary universe. *Physical Review D* **32**, 1899 (1985).
- [43] Habib, S. Stochastic inflation: Quantum phase-space approach. *Physical Review D* **46**, 2408 (1992).




Fig. 10 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{CSNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=2$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

- [44] Linde, A., Linde, D. & Mezhlumian, A. From the big bang theory to the theory of a stationary universe. *Physical Review D* **49**, 1783 (1994).
- [45] Kennard, E. H. Zur Quantenmechanik einfacher Bewegungstypen. *Zeitschrift for Physik* **44**, 326–352 (1927). URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF01391200>.
- [46] Venkataratnam, K. K. & Suresh, P. K. Particle production of coherently oscillating nonclassical inflaton in frw universe. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **13**, 239–252 (2004). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0218271804004578>.
- [47] Bakke, K., Pedrosa, I. A. & Furtado, C. Geometric phases and squeezed quantum states of relic gravitons. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **50**, 113521 (2009). URL <https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article/930980>.
- [48] Stoica, O. C. The Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker Big Bang Singularities are Well Behaved. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **55**, 71–80 (2016). URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10773-015-2634-y>.
- [49] Hu, B. L. & Parker, L. Anisotropy damping through quantum effects in the early universe. *Physical Review D* **17**, 933–945 (1978). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.17.933>.




Fig. 11 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{CSNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=3$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

- [50] Dhayal, R., Rathore, M. & Venkataratnam, K. K. Quantum fluctuations and particle production in the oscillatory phase of a thermal inflaton in a FRW universe. *Modern Physics Letters A* **35**, 2050022 (2020). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217732320500224>.
- [51] Fischetti, M. V., Hartle, J. B. & Hu, B. L. Quantum effects in the early universe. I. Influence of trace anomalies on homogeneous, isotropic, classical geometries. *Physical Review D* **20**, 1757–1771 (1979). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.20.1757>.
- [52] Hartle, J. B. & Hu, B. L. Quantum effects in the early universe. II. Effective action for scalar fields in homogeneous cosmologies with small anisotropy. *Physical Review D* **20**, 1772–1782 (1979). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.20.1772>.
- [53] Hartle, J. B. & Hu, B. L. Quantum effects in the early universe. III. Dissipation of anisotropy by scalar particle production. *Physical Review D* **21**, 2756–2769 (1980). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.2756>.
- [54] Hartle, J. B. Quantum effects in the early universe. V. Finite particle production without trace anomalies. *Physical Review D* **23**, 2121–2128 (1981). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.2121>.
- [55] Anderson, P. Effects of quantum fields on singularities and particle horizons in the early universe. *Physical Review D* **28**, 271–285 (1983). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.28.271>.




Fig. 12 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{CSNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=4$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

[org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.28.271](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.28.271).

- [56] Campos, A. & Verdaguer, E. Semiclassical equations for weakly inhomogeneous cosmologies. *Physical Review D* **49**, 1861–1880 (1994). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.1861>.
- [57] Geralico, A., Landolfi, G., Ruggeri, G. & Soliani, G. Novel approach to the study of quantum effects in the early Universe. *Physical Review D* **69**, 043504 (2004). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.043504>.
- [58] Pedrosa, I., Furtado, C. & Rosas, A. Exact linear invariants and quantum effects in the early universe. *Physics Letters B* **651**, 384–387 (2007). URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0370269307007599>.
- [59] Lopes, C. E. F., Pedrosa, I. A., Furtado, C. & De M. Carvalho, A. M. Gaussian wave packet states of scalar fields in a universe of de Sitter. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **50**, 083511 (2009). URL <https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article/920134>.
- [60] Venkataratnam, K. K. & Suresh, P. K. Nonclassical scalar field in the frw universe. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **19**, 37–61 (2010). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S021827181001621X>.
- [61] Venkataratnam, K. K. & Suresh, P. K. Density fluctuations in the oscillatory phase of a nonclassical inflaton in the frw universe. *International Journal of*




Fig. 13 3-D representation of the variation in Mandel's Q_{CSNS} as a function of the squeezing parameter (ρ) and time (t) for the quantum state characterized by $n=5$. The plot highlights the dynamic behavior of non-classical states under varying squeezing conditions and temporal evolution.

Modern Physics D **17**, 1991–2005 (2008). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0218271808013662>.

- [62] Venkataratnam, K. K. & Suresh, P. K. Oscillatory phase of nonclassical thermal inflaton in frw universe. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **19**, 1147–1195 (2010). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0218271810017184>.
- [63] Venkataratnam, K. K. Behavior of non-classical inflaton in the frw universe. *Modern Physics Letters A* **28**, 1350168 (2013). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S021773231350168X>.
- [64] Dhayal, R., Rathore, M. & Venkataratnam, K. K. Quantum fluctuations and particle production in the oscillatory phase of a thermal inflaton in a FRW universe. *Modern Physics Letters A* **35**, 2050022 (2020). URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/S0217732320500224>.
- [65] Koh, S., Kim, S. P. & Song, D. J. Gravitational Wave Spectrum in Inflation with Nonclassical States. *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2004**, 060–060 (2004). URL <http://stacks.iop.org/1126-6708/2004/i=12/a=060?key=crossref.cd1ab511cad13ad8cdd715eeafc03f08>.
- [66] Lopes, C. E. F., Pedrosa, I. A., Furtado, C. & De M. Carvalho, A. M. Gaussian wave packet states of scalar fields in a universe of de Sitter. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **50**, 083511 (2009). URL <https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article/>




Fig. 14 Dependence of Mandel's Q_{CSNS} Parameter on Time (t) and Quantum Number (n): The plot highlights the non-classical characteristics as influenced by temporal evolution and quantum states.

920134.

- [67] Lachièze-Rey, M. (ed.) *Theoretical and observational cosmology: proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Theoretical and Observational Cosmology, Cargèse, France, August 17 - 29, 1998* No. v. 541 in NATO science series Series C, Mathematical and physical sciences (Kluwer Acad. Publ, Dordrecht, 1999).
- [68] Lopes, C. E. F., Pedrosa, I. A., Furtado, C. & De M. Carvalho, A. M. Gaussian wave packet states of scalar fields in a universe of de Sitter. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **50**, 083511 (2009). URL <https://pubs.aip.org/aip/jmp/article/920134>.
- [69] Sinha, S., Raval, A. & Hu, B. Black hole fluctuations and backreaction in stochastic gravity. *Foundations of Physics* **33**, 37–64 (2003).
- [70] Shaviv, G. Did Edwin Hubble plagiarize (2011). URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.0442>.
- [71] Berger, B. K. Classical analog of cosmological particle creation. *Physical Review D* **18**, 4367 (1978).
- [72] Berger, B. K. Scalar field in the early universe: Coherent-state representation and thermal density matrix. *Physical Review D* **23**, 1250 (1981).
- [73] Grishchuk, L. & Sidorov, Y. V. Squeezed quantum states of relic gravitons and primordial density fluctuations. *Physical Review D* **42**, 3413 (1990).

- [74] Brandenberger, R., Mukhanov, V. & Prokopec, T. Entropy of a classical stochastic field and cosmological perturbations. *Physical Review Letters* **69**, 3606 (1992).
- [75] Brandenberger, R., Mukhanov, V. & Prokopec, T. Entropy of the gravitational field. *Physical Review D* **48**, 2443 (1993).
- [76] Kuo, C.-I. & Ford, L. Semiclassical gravity theory and quantum fluctuations. *Physical Review D* **47**, 4510 (1993).
- [77] Matacz, A., Davies, P. & Ottewill, A. C. Quantum vacuum instability near rotating stars. *Physical Review D* **47**, 1557 (1993).
- [78] Albrecht, A., Ferreira, P., Joyce, M. & Prokopec, T. Inflation and squeezed quantum states. *Physical Review D* **50**, 4807 (1994).
- [79] Gasperini, M. & Giovannini, M. Quantum squeezing and cosmological entropy production. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **10**, L133 (1993).
- [80] Hu, B. L., Kang, G. & Matacz, A. Squeezed vacua and the quantum statistics of cosmological particle creation. *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **9**, 991–1007 (1994).
- [81] Nieto, M. M. Displaced and squeezed number states. *Physics Letters A* **229**, 135–143 (1997).
- [82] Ellis, G. F. & Van Elst, H. Deviation of geodesics in flrw spacetime geometries. *On Einstein's Path: Essays in Honor of Engelbert Schucking* 203–225 (1999).
- [83] Penzias, A. A. & Wilson, R. W. A measurement of excess antenna temperature at 4080 mc/s. *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 142, p. 419–421 **142**, 419–421 (1965).
- [84] Handley, W. Curvature tension: Evidence for a closed universe. *Physical Review D* **103**, L041301 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.L041301>.
- [85] Savage, C. & Walls, D. Inhibition of tunneling in optical bistability by a squeezed vacuum. *Physical review letters* **57**, 2164 (1986).
- [86] Albrecht, A. & Steinhardt, P. J. Cosmology for grand unified theories with radiatively induced symmetry breaking. *Physical Review Letters* **48**, 1220 (1982).
- [87] Gangal, D., Yadav, S. & Venkataratnam, K. Particle production and density fluctuations of non-classical inflaton in coherent squeezed vacuum state of flat frw universe. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.13409* (2024).
- [88] Kim, S. P. & Lee, C. H. Nonequilibrium quantum dynamics of second order phase transitions. *Physical Review D* **62**, 125020 (2000).