

Emerging microelectronic materials by design: Navigating combinatorial design space with scarce and dispersed data

Hengrui Zhang¹, Alexandru B. Georgescu^{2,3}, Suraj Yerramilli⁴, Christopher Karpovich⁵, Daniel W. Apley⁴, Elsa A. Olivetti⁵, James M. Rondinelli^{2,*}, and Wei Chen^{1,*}

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

³Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

⁴Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Sciences, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

⁵Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

*Emails: {jrondinelli, weichen}@northwestern.edu

Abstract

The increasing demands of sustainable energy, electronics, and biomedical applications call for next-generation functional materials with unprecedented properties. Of particular interest are emerging materials that display exceptional physical properties, making them promising candidates in energy-efficient microelectronic devices. As the conventional Edisonian approach becomes significantly outpaced by growing societal needs, emerging computational modeling and machine learning (ML) methods are employed for the rational design of materials. However, the complex physical mechanisms, cost of first-principles calculations, and the dispersity and scarcity of data pose challenges to both physics-based and data-driven materials modeling. Moreover, the combinatorial composition–structure design space is high-dimensional and often disjoint, making design optimization nontrivial.

In this Account, we review a team effort toward establishing a framework that integrates data-driven and physics-based methods to address these challenges and accelerate materials design. We begin by presenting our integrated materials design framework and its three components in a general context. (1) Using text mining and natural language processing (NLP) techniques, our framework first extracts and organizes relevant information dispersed in the literature. (2) From this initial database of relevant materials, data-driven models can be trained and subsequently employed to screen the unknown materials space. This virtual screening process can identify promising materials families for further investigation, thus narrowing down the candidate space. (3)

Within the identified materials families, a Bayesian optimization (BO)-based adaptive discovery workflow is applied to search for materials with optimal properties. To extend the capability of BO, which was previously restricted to small data and numerical variables, we develop a family of uncertainty-aware ML methods for mixed numerical and categorical variables.

We then provide an example of applying this materials design framework to metal-insulator transition (MIT) materials, a specific type of emerging materials with practical importance in next-generation memory technologies. We identify multiple new materials which may display this property and propose pathways for their synthesis. The classifiers used to identify new possible MIT materials also identified previously unknown features that may be used for a predictive theory for this class of materials.

Finally, we identify some outstanding challenges in data-driven materials design, such as materials data quality issues and property-performance mismatch. We seek to raise awareness of these overlooked issues hindering materials design, thus stimulating efforts toward developing methods to mitigate the gaps.

1 Introduction

The growing energy demand for computing that poses increasing challenges to climate change, as well as the desire for novel computing devices that go beyond Moore’s law, urge the need for next-generation energy-efficient computing technologies. Beyond continually improving upon current microelectronic materials, a technological breakthrough requires emerging materials with unprecedented properties. For example, metal-insulator transition (MIT) materials [1], which can switch between conductive and insulating through a rapid electronic phase transition, are promising alternatives to the current (structural) phase change memory (PCM) materials, and are candidates for a variety of microelectronic devices. However, the current pace of new materials discovery, following the conventional trial-and-error approach, makes it impossible to fulfill these societal needs [2]. With recent developments in the fields of computational modeling, artificial intelligence, and design automation, the materials science community seeks “materials by design” [3, 4], i.e., systematically and rationally optimizing materials that meet the demand without serendipity. Mainly enabled by physics-based predictive modeling and data-driven design methods [5], this methodology has facilitated the development of a wide variety of materials to address pressing needs in advanced technologies [6-8].

Despite the growing number of successes, the design of materials faces significant challenges. The design space for potential materials formed by chemical composition and structure usually has high dimensionality. Even among defect-free crystalline materials, the various crystal structures and configurations of different atoms within these structures lead to a combinatorial explosion: thousands, or even millions, of configurations, could be possible at the atomic scale, forming an enormous design space. Physics-based computational models, in particular first-principles models

based on density functional theory (DFT), are expensive and time-consuming. This makes it prohibitive to evaluate all candidates in the design space. Finally, these materials are often scarce: for example, at the time we began the project, the number of known materials with a thermally-driven MIT was under 70, and spread in several materials families such as perovskite, spinel, and rutile.

As a complement to the physics-based methods, data-driven design utilizes existing data for materials property prediction and optimization. Machine learning (ML) can surrogate costly simulations to predict materials’ properties and performance accurately yet inexpensively [9–11], thus facilitating “virtual screening” that rapidly locates promising candidates out of an enormous design space. On the other hand, adaptive design optimization techniques such as Bayesian optimization (BO) [12] allow for finding the global optimal design efficiently starting from a small amount of data. It has been applied to the design optimization of a wide spectrum of materials, as well as materials processing conditions [13–15].

One challenge hindering the application of data-driven methods is the disjoint design space. Mathematically, data-driven design is viewed as the optimization of several design variables. Numerical design variables are well handled by common ML models and are easy to optimize. However, materials design usually involves mixed categorical (e.g., element type) and numerical (e.g., fraction of element) variables. Not only does the mixed-variable complexity pose difficulty to ML models, but it also makes the design space disjoint, thus challenging optimization techniques such as BO. This calls for ML models that can handle mixed variables and support BO.

Another challenge is associated with data acquisition. For specific functional materials of interest, there could be data accumulated over the decades of materials science studies. However, the previous findings are reported in literature across publishers, topics, and even fields. This dispersity makes collecting initial data a bottleneck. Text mining and natural language processing (NLP) techniques have been applied to extract knowledge of materials from literature [16] and assist in synthesis planning [17]. These successes show an extraordinary potential of mining data from the literature to promote materials design, which has yet to be systematically exploited.

In this account, we summarize the methods we have developed towards addressing the challenges and propose a systematic framework (Figure 1) for designing materials in the combinatorial space using scarce and dispersed data. We demonstrate our approach in the design of MIT materials. As a general approach to combinatorial materials design, the framework is applicable to other materials systems as well. The sections are organized as follows: Section 2 presents an overview of our proposed framework and the MIT materials design problem. Sections 3–5 describe the three major components of the framework that address the aforementioned challenges. Section 6 discusses remaining challenges and outlooks in accelerating materials design in the combinatorial space.

2 Framework

Figure 1 shows the overall workflow of our proposed materials design framework. At the problem formulation stage, the set of design variables (i.e., input), and target properties (i.e., output) are determined based on the intended application and design requirements, and prior knowledge of the scope and relevant physical mechanisms may also be available. These guide the collection of relevant published literature, from which text mining can extract information including investigated materials, key descriptors, material properties, and synthesis procedures. ML models are trained on the extracted data. The models can predict target properties of unseen materials, thus enabling virtual screening of vast materials databases. Through virtual screening, material families that are promising candidates are identified, thus decomposing the intractable large design space into smaller sub-spaces. The design options could be reduced to thousands, or hundreds, from a design space of size over 10^6 .




Figure 1: An integrated computational materials design framework. (1) The problem definition specifies design variables and target properties, as well as a knowledge base from published literature. Text mining techniques help to extract relevant data from the literature. (2) Using the data, ML models are trained and employed to virtually screen the vast materials databases to identify candidate materials families. (3) Bayesian optimization and physics-based computational models are combined to search for an optimal material within the candidate families.

Afterward, adaptive design optimization combining Bayesian optimization (BO) and physics-based simulations is performed within each identified material family. Adaptive design methods, such as BO, could guide computational simulation toward the optimal solution, or optimal solution sets, thus significantly reducing the amount of samples to be simulated and the computational cost. The design options are thus reduced from 10^3 to 10^1 . Note that based on knowledge learned from this adaptive discovery process, new design criteria and scope could be defined, thus initiating

a new design process. The technologies that make up this framework will be introduced in the following sections.

As a demonstration of the proposed materials design framework, we apply it to the design of materials exhibiting metal–insulator transitions (MITs) [1], which are promising materials for energy-efficient microelectronic devices, including neuromorphic computing devices [18, 19], Mott field effect transistors [20], radio-frequency antenna, and cross-point selector memories (Figure 2).




Figure 2: (a) An illustration of MIT material: upon temperature change across a critical value, the material undergoes a structural distortion, which leads to a drastic change in electrical resistivity. (b) Some previously discovered MIT materials, with their transition temperature T_{MIT} and resistivity change range shown. A material dearth is present for the desired large resistivity change at high temperatures. (c) The expansive design space formed by the combination of composition and structure.

Materials with a MIT can undergo rapid changes in electrical resistivity (by orders of magnitude) upon external stimuli, such as a temperature change or electrical field. This property enables information encoding and decoding at a higher rate and lower energy consumption. While some materials exhibiting MITs, such as VO_2 , have been discovered and applied in devices [21, 22] none of the known MIT materials achieve a desired reversible resistivity change $\sim 10^5$ near room temperature, which is required to outperform silicon-based (and PCMs) devices [23]. On the other hand, the discovery of new MIT materials following the conventional approach cannot fulfill the demand in a timely manner, and the large atomic structure–composition design space (Figure 2c)

of prospective MIT materials is mostly unexplored. Our proposed framework provides a systematic and efficient way of searching for high-performance synthesizable MIT materials in this large design space to promote the development of next-generation microelectronic devices.

3 Knowledge Extraction from Literature

As the available data relevant to MITs are sparse and dispersed, we start by gathering the data from the literature using text mining, which enables subsequent data-driven studies.

3.1 Text Mining Pipeline

Prior knowledge related to the materials of interest may be scattered in millions of scientific publications, which form an enormous text corpus. This initial large corpus could be narrowed down by keyword-directed searching. To do so, we first define a list of keywords relevant to compounds, performance, and synthesis. In the case of MIT materials, keywords could include physical phenomena (correlated electrons, Jahn-Teller, etc), performance metrics (resistivity), synthesis methods (hydrothermal reaction, molecular beam epitaxy), and known candidate families (perovskite, spinel). Figure 3 provides a more comprehensive list of keywords we utilized for text mining focused on MIT materials. Following the workflow that our team established in previous works [24, 25], we have employed a variety of text parsing and pattern matching algorithms to find papers whose title, abstract, main text, or figure captions contain relevant keywords, thus curating a specialized text corpus. Searching in a text corpus of over 4 million published scientific articles, we locate around 70,000 articles that are specialized in MITs or contain relevant data.

Feature	Search Phrases
(a) MIT Compound	Metal-Insulator Transition, MIT, Insulator-Metal Transition, IMT, Mott-Hubbard, Peierls, Charge Order, Orbital Order, Jahn-Teller, Isosymmetric, Singlet, Dimerization, Trimerization, Tetramerization, Mott Minimum Conductivity, Fermi Surface Gapping, 1D Conductor, Low-Dimensional Conductor, Low-dimensional magnetism, Paramagnetic Transition, Antiferromagnetic Transition, Mott Gap, Upper Hubbard Band, Lower Hubbard Band, Nonsymmorphic, Electron-Electron Interactions, Strong Correlation
(b) Performance	Electrical Resistivity, Electrical Conductivity, Metallic Conductivity, Room Temperature Resistivity, Room Temperature Conductivity, Resistivity Ratio, Optical Conductivity, Critical Temperature, Ordering Temperature, Phase transition Temperature, Single crystal transport, Variable Range Hopping, Activated Conduction, Weak Localization, Anderson Location, Power Law Resistivity, 298 K, 300 K, Ambient Temperature
(c) Synthesizability	Solid-state Reaction, Hydrothermal reaction, Sol-Gel, Ion Exchange, Metastable, Convex Hull, Formation Free energy, Thermodynamic Driving Force

Figure 3: A list of keywords related to MIT materials, their performances, and synthesis.

With the specialized corpus, we can then extract information using NLP methods. Using unsupervised word embedding techniques [26] (such as FastText and word2vec), words are converted to digital tokens that allow streamlined processing. The distribution of word embeddings encodes semantic information. Hence, the similarity (or distance) between words can be quantified, which enables classification, clustering, or identifying novel concepts. We then apply a pipeline [16] to

automatically classify paragraphs in a paper and find those that likely contain pertinent concepts (synthesis recipes, properties, etc.). As a result, an extended collection of relevant compounds is identified, along with their synthesis recipes (precursors and operations), synthesis conditions (e.g., temperature), and measured properties. In this process, concepts beyond our initial knowledge are discovered, we define new keywords and we can repeat the search process iteratively. With the advancement of large language models (LLMs) and their increasing applications in physical sciences and engineering [27, 28], more powerful tools are expected to facilitate this knowledge extraction process.

3.2 Synthesis Recipe Models

Not only does this literature-extracted compound–property data fuel the screening and design of materials, it also allows building ML models for synthesis recipes of materials. This can make a valuable addition to our materials design framework, as the synthesizability of materials determines the realizability of a “design”.

Our team’s initial effort towards this direction [29] explores the use of various ML methods to predict synthesis recipes directly from the chemical formula of precursors and targets. Using the data collected from mining the literature, three supervised ML models are trained: (1) a classification model that predicts the broad category of viable synthesis route; (2) a regression model that predicts synthesis conditions (temperature and reaction time); and (3) a conditional generative model for synthesis conditions. Using these models, we could predict a viable synthesis recipe given a target material (e.g., $\text{LiMn}_{1.8}\text{Ni}_{1.2}\text{O}_4$) and precursors (e.g., Li_2O_3 , Mn_2O_3 , and NiO): the synthesis route (solid-state, sol-gel, or solution-based reaction), calcination temperature, sintering temperature and time, etc. The models’ predictions have been validated and shown high accuracy in literature-reported synthesis processes, demonstrating practical usefulness in guiding materials synthesis recipe design.

Beyond this preliminary step, the literature-extracted database fosters potential in assessing materials synthesizability, planning synthesis recipes, and facilitating scientific understanding in materials physics and chemistry. As automated and autonomous laboratories are actively developed [30, 31], synthesis recipe modeling enabled thereby could be a valuable component of these systems.

4 Virtual Screening

High-throughput-calculated materials data platforms, such as the Materials Project (MP) [32] and OQMD [33], contain data for a vast amount of potentially synthesizable materials. However, these calculations are high-throughput and focus on ground-state energies, while transitions driven by temperature and electric fields are not ground-state properties. These databases often contain systematic inaccuracies in electronic and magnetic properties that are relevant to MIT behavior, which we will discuss in detail in Section 4.2. Despite the limitations, the materials data platforms provide a candidate pool of crystal structures, which we can virtually screen with a predictive

model.

4.1 Interpretable Machine Learning

From the literature-extracted data, we build an initial database of known materials that display a metal–insulator transition (MIT) as a function of temperature, as well as materials that do not display MIT and have only been found in an insulating or a metallic state, but have similar compositions and crystal structures. With this data, we can train a machine learning (ML) predictive model. In this case, we built a classifier predicting whether a material displays an MIT or not. Importantly, such a classifier performs significantly better than a ternary classifier that further differentiates between non-MIT materials and separates them into materials that always display metallic or insulating physical behavior.

In building this ML model, two key issues are (1) data acquisition and (2) data representation. Regarding (1), a structure–property model takes materials structure as input, but many MIT compounds undergo a structural change or distortion upon temperature change, which is sometimes the cause of MIT. Since most materials are first discovered in their high-temperature, high-symmetry phases, we choose only the high-temperature structures that are experimentally validated (acquired from the ICSD database [34]) to train the classifier. This prevents issues such as biasing the model or fusing multi-fidelity data. Nonetheless, leveraging recent advances in multi-fidelity ML [35, 36], the restriction could be relaxed, allowing for more flexibility in data sources.

Regarding (2), a representation of materials, i.e., digital formats encoding its composition and crystal structure information, is necessary for it to be accessible to ML models. Various materials representation techniques have been developed based on stoichiometry, atomic positions, graph theory, etc., and chosen for different tasks. In the virtual screening we perform, we pursue not just predictive power but also interpretability, therefore, physically meaningful representations and simple, interpretable ML models are preferred. Physics-based materials descriptors are particularly suitable for this purpose, despite their limitations when it comes to design optimization. We adopt a commonly used set of descriptors as defined in Magpie [37]. These descriptors are derived from the composition and atomic properties, and can be easily obtained for any material using established toolkits [38, 39]. Represented by descriptors, materials data form a tabular structure where columns are heterogeneous in physical meanings, units, and scales. For tabular data, decision tree-based ensemble models (e.g., gradient boosting, random forest) are found to be effective [40] (also confirmed by our trials of various ML models).

With the descriptors as data representation and the tree-based ensemble methods, we have trained a classification model [41] that predicts whether a compound is likely metal, insulator, or MIT, attaining accuracy comparable to human experts. Moreover, a range of model analysis methods is available to the ensemble models, including the accumulated local effects (ALE) plots [42] that our team developed, as well as earlier efforts by the ML community, the SHAP score [43] being an example. With these methods, we can interpret a trained ML model to assess the importance of descriptors as well as their effects on the property of interest. It is particularly important in

models trained on small datasets that the number of features is not too high to prevent overfitting, and as a result, we down-selected to 10 features. Some are relatively well-known, or are related to existing physical models, including an unscreened Hubbard U related to electron-electron Coulomb interactions on the transition metal site, and transition metal-transition metal distances which are related to the kinetic energy of the electrons; these features can be connected, for example, with the well known Zaanen-Sawatzky-Allen (ZSA) classification scheme. The classifier proves its value not just in the potential discovery of new features, but also in potentially building new models. For example, one feature the model identifies is the Ewald energy, a measure of how ionic a material is. This feature is predictive on its own on individual binary oxide material families, such as Ti_nO_m , and V_nO_m , with n and m integers. This feature finds that the least ionic (or the most covalent) materials are metallic, the most ionic ones are insulating, and the metal-insulator transition materials are somewhere in between. Another key feature is the average deviation of the covalent radius (ADCR), a measure of how different in size the ions in a material are. In perovskite oxides, the ADCR measure is proportional to the tolerance factor, which is directly correlated to transition temperatures within certain materials' classes (for example, in the rare-earth nickelates, $RNiO_3$). This suggests that ADCR may be a general feature, similar to the tolerance factor in perovskite oxides. Figure 4 shows the interplay of Eward energy and ADCR in determining the MIT behavior of materials.




Figure 4: MIT behaviors' relation to Eward energy and ADCR, adopted from [41].

With our classification model, we have performed virtual screening of the candidate pool, from which promising candidates of displaying MIT are selected. Upon the results of virtual screening,

we build an online database of materials potentially displaying MIT. As the MIT properties of these materials are predicted by an ML model, the fidelity does not yet qualify for experimental verification. Nonetheless, by inspecting the database, we identify materials families that are promising to host candidates that show desired MIT properties. Within these families, we can perform adaptive design optimization to find optimal MIT materials, as will be described in Section 5. Meanwhile, we are leveraging physics-based computation to develop high-fidelity models for virtual screening.

4.2 Physics-based Computational Modeling

For large-scale, high-throughput materials databases, including Materials Project and OQMD, the calculations are often not performed at the required level of physics to understand metal–insulator transitions. In addition, magnetic ordering could significantly affect MIT behaviors. However, MP and OQMD do not consider spin, or assume a simple ferromagnetic configuration, which, for many materials, is not the ground state configuration. For example, even though recent calculations on Materials Project are performed using the r2SCAN exchange–correlation functional, NdNiO₃ is currently listed as a ferromagnetic metal, different from its experimentally observed ground state as an antiferromagnetic insulator. For these reasons, more accurate models are required to characterize the degrees of freedom, the electronic correlations, and as a result, MIT behaviors of materials.

In our recent work [44], we have used the meta-GGA SCAN exchange–correlation functional to simulate three key materials; this has been found to provide robust results for transition metal oxide ions with partially filled d-orbitals. For the spinel compounds [45], DFT+ U has been used, which is often slightly faster than meta-GGA functionals, and can be appropriately parameterized for a materials family. However, the U parameter is not universal and may require adjustment when skewing away from a certain materials family.

Nonetheless, modeling metal–insulator transitions remains difficult: DFT with various exchange–correlation functionals, or DFT+ U , remain band theories that provide a second-order energy landscape for the metal–insulator transition. DFT+Dynamical Mean Field Theory (DMFT) [46] is significantly more complex in theory and higher in computational cost. As a result, method development often focuses on well-known materials, that can be synthesized experimentally with high precision, as in the case of rare-earth nickelate perovskites (RNiO₃, with R a rare-earth) and Ca₂RuO₄. Recent works have found that a first-order transition energy landscape can be obtained within DFT+DMFT [47]. A temperature-induced transition can be obtained as well, as DMFT calculations are done at finite electronic temperature; what is missing however is the entropy of the crystal lattice vibrations (phonon entropy), and as a result transition temperatures are severely overestimated. Models developed as part of this work can also allow scientists to separate the role of the crystal lattice, the partially filled electron state, and the coupling between the two. Despite the low number of MIT materials, we have developed ML models to predict transition temperatures of possible MIT materials [48], and apply them to the materials identified via virtual screening using our classifier.

Separately, metal–insulator transitions may display local variations in transition temperatures;

with the transitions usually first order, this can be understood as a nucleation-like phenomenon. Calculations may not easily be performed within DFT at such large length scales: as a result, model calculations - including Landau theory calculations - may need to be performed instead, with only phenomenological input from ab initio computational methods, often with synthetic layered materials in which the local transition temperatures are controlled [49, 50].

5 Adaptive Design Optimization

5.1 Bayesian Optimization

ML-based virtual screening allows rapid identification of potential materials families for the target property. Yet, locating the candidate(s) with optimal performance remains a non-trivial effort. Determining the target property with high fidelity requires experiments or physics-based models, particularly DFT. Investigating the whole materials family experimentally or computationally has a high cost, and the cost is multiplied when more than one property is of interest. Investigating a single crystal structure for a particular stoichiometry can take days with a high-fidelity calculation, however identifying the lowest energy crystal structure may take multiple days or longer, with intense human supervision. Still, one is not guaranteed to identify an MIT material, due to the approximations inherent in DFT, and the lack of temperature dependence in the calculations. Therefore, it is more advisable to perform adaptive design: start from a small amount of initial data, selectively add new samples with computation or experiments, and move towards the optimum.

Bayesian optimization (BO) has been commonly adopted as an efficient and versatile approach to adaptive design. In Figure 5, we present a BO framework for adaptive design in a general multi-objective setting. Let \boldsymbol{x} be the design variable that incorporates chemical and structural configuration that can be manipulated; y_1, y_2 be the properties of interest (POIs) to be optimized (though only two are presented, the workflow applies to more than two POIs as well). Since the design problem is multi-objective, the aim is to seek the Pareto front—where every design is not dominated by others, i.e., improvement in one objective is not viable without the deterioration of other objectives.

Prior to the BO process, we select a series of initial samples $\{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n\}$. These are selected based on prior knowledge, using statistical design of experiments (DoE) techniques [51, 52], or from existing data. High-fidelity evaluation methods, such as DFT calculations, are employed to acquire properties for these samples. The subsequent BO process consists of two main components: an uncertainty-aware ML model [53] that is trained on the available data to predict the POIs from the design variables, and an acquisition function that defines a strategy to select a new design to be evaluated. The acquisition function trades off exploration against exploitation: exploitation prioritizes designs with better predicted objective values, while exploration prioritizes sampling in the less explored regions in the design space. Acquisition functions are usually agnostic about data format or ML model form, while the ML model’s compatibility with data limits where BO can be applied. In the following, we present our development of uncertainty-aware ML models, in pursuit




Figure 5: Schematic of BO-based multi-objective adaptive design workflow. A series of initial designs are evaluated with high-fidelity simulations. Therefrom, an ML model is trained, and its predictions guide the acquisition of new designs. The iteration proceeds toward the discovery of the Pareto front.

of extending BO’s applicability.

5.2 Uncertainty-aware Mixed-variable ML

Gaussian processes (GPs) [54] are often the preferred choice of the uncertainty-aware ML models in BO applications, owing to their principled uncertainty quantification and modeling flexibility. GPs specify a prior over a space of functions such that any finite collection of function values $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ follows a joint Gaussian distribution. As such, GPs are fully characterized by a mean function, which captures a global trend, and a covariance function, which captures the extent of similarity between two observations as a function of the corresponding design points. GPs offer principled uncertainty quantification by providing not only point estimates but also predictive distributions for the properties of unseen data points. This ability to quantify model uncertainty is crucial in BO applications, particularly in driving exploration.

Despite their advantages, GPs face issues in modeling mixed-variable design spaces that we often encounter in materials design scenarios. Traditionally, GP models have been developed for numerical inputs alone. Standard GP covariance functions, which are based on distances in the design space, cannot be directly applied to categorical predictors as there is no inherent distance metric in the categorical space. To overcome this challenge and extend the capabilities of the GP models to mixed-variable scenarios, we have developed the latent-variable Gaussian process (LVGP) model [55]. The LVGP method maps each level of a categorical variable t onto a low-dimensional numerical latent variable (LV) space, as illustrated in Figure 6a. The LV values for each level are parameters to be estimated from data along with any other GP hyperparameters. The LV values quantify the relative distances between the different levels, and hence can be used with standard GP kernel functions.

Through our BO-based adaptive discovery method, we anticipate uncovering and scrutinizing an expanding array of materials. However, for applications where large data are available or feasible




Figure 6: Illustration of the methods for extending GP models’ capability and what they enable. (a) The categorical variables are mapped to a latent space that captures the effects of underlying variables, thus enabling mixed-variable modeling [55]. (b) Two approaches are taken to deal with the “many-level” challenge: 1) Bayesian inference of latent variable locations [56]; and 2) using descriptors to aid the learning of latent space configuration [57]. (c) SVI to overcome the big data challenge [58].

to collect, scalability issues with GPs become increasingly apparent. With N denoting the total number of observations, fitting GP models incurs a computational cost of $O(N^3)$, with memory overhead scaling quadratically. Naturally, this scaling problem also impacts LVGPs. For standard GPs with numerical inputs, a viable solution is to employ stochastic variational inference (SVI) [59]. SVI approximates the GP model using a much smaller set ($M \ll N$) of points, known as inducing points. By adopting this method, computational and storage costs become decoupled from the number of observations. We have extended the SVI method to accommodate LVGPs [58], addressing challenges in specifying inducing points in the mixed-variable design space and optimizing them using modern stochastic gradient optimization methods. We refer to this large-scale variant of the LVGP as LVGP-SVI. The LVGP-SVI enables the application of LVGPs to large datasets while preserving the quality of uncertainty predictions.

In certain mixed-variable materials modeling applications, a challenging scenario arises when one or more categorical variables have many levels. For the LVGP model to effectively learn the similarity between these levels, it necessitates at least one observation for each category. However, collecting a sufficiently sized training dataset for a robust machine learning (ML) model in such scenarios can incur prohibitively high costs. Furthermore, situations may arise where some levels lack any observations altogether.

To address this challenge, we pursued two distinct approaches. Firstly, we improved the learning efficiency during LVGP estimation by introducing a fully Bayesian variant of the model [56].

Unlike the standard LVGP, this fully Bayesian iteration estimates a posterior distribution for the possible latent variable (LV) values, rather than yielding a single point estimate. Practically, this is achieved by leveraging Markov chain Monte Carlo methods to draw multiple samples from the posterior distribution, as depicted in Figure 6b. Each posterior sample yields different predictions, which are then aggregated to obtain the final predictions. This fully Bayesian approach incorporates uncertainty in LV estimation into prediction uncertainties, thereby enhancing the quality of uncertainty quantification crucial for exploration in Bayesian optimization (BO) applications. Moreover, it can generate predictions and reliable uncertainty estimates even for levels absent from the training data. Empirical studies have demonstrated substantial improvements in prediction accuracy and uncertainty quantification with the fully Bayesian LVGP.

In the second approach, we aimed to enhance the model by leveraging domain-specific information, particularly numerical attributes known as descriptors. These descriptors are expected to encapsulate, at least partially, the effects of qualitative variable levels on the property of interest. For instance, in the case of a categorical variable representing the atom type within a compound, potential descriptors may include atomic weight and covalent radius. To this end, we introduced the descriptor-augmented LVGP [57], capable of utilizing available descriptors for a categorical variable to infer its corresponding latent variables, as illustrated in Figure 6b. Through empirical results on some mathematical and real applications, we have shown that even incomplete knowledge of the descriptors can be utilized to reduce the design cost for BO applications with high-dimensional categorical variables.

These augmentations have equipped the LVGP model with versatile capabilities to adapt to various situations in materials design problems, ranging from small data to large data.

5.3 Mixed-variable BO for Materials Design

Empowered by the LVGP model family, we extend the applicability of Bayesian optimization to mixed-variable design spaces. Mixed-variable BO, as a flexible framework, can incorporate various experimental or computational techniques as the “evaluation” module and be applied to the adaptive design of a wide range of materials systems. Using experiments and finite element analysis as evaluation methods, the framework has been used to optimize the composition and microstructure of nanocomposite towards optimal dielectrics properties [60]. Built upon mixed-variable BO and DFT calculations, we created an adaptive optimization engine [61] to design for materials properties directly by optimizing the chemical composition. Other applications include the design of solar cell materials [62] and gas-capturing metal–organic frameworks [63]. Owing to the wide applicability of LVGP models and the flexibility of the BO framework, LVGP-enabled mixed-variable BO provides an efficient and generally suitable solution to various materials design tasks.

5.4 Optimizing MIT properties

In the virtual screening process (Section 4), we have found materials families of particular interest to MITs, as they contain more materials predicted to be potential MITs than other families. Examples

include (1) lacunar spinels with composition AM_4X_8 , where A is a metal of group IIIA, M_4 are same or different transition metals, and X is from group VIA; (2) Ruddlesden-Popper perovskites $A_{n+1}B_nO_{3n+1}$, where A is among alkaline earth metals and lanthanides, and B is a transition metal from the first two columns. Their crystal structures and compositions of interest are shown in Figure 7a,b.




Figure 7: (a,b) Illustration of compositions and structures of the lacunar spinel and Ruddlesden-Popper perovskite families. (c) Single-objective optimization results of bandgap (left) and stability (right). (d) Results of multi-objective optimization, adopted from [61].

This has reduced the candidates from over 10^6 composition-structures to hundreds of compounds within the candidate families, of which searching for the optimal candidates has a manageable cost. We employ the BO-based adaptive design framework (Figure 5) with DFT calculations as the evaluator to optimize the performance and synthesizability of MITs within the families. We choose the ground state bandgap E_g as an indicator of the MIT performance: a compound with larger E_g generally shows higher resistivity in its insulating state, thus allowing a larger resistivity change ratio upon the MIT transition (phase transition to the metallic state under the stimuli). Also considered is the stability of compounds, characterized by the decomposition enthalpy ΔH_d , as stable compounds are more likely to be synthesizable and operable in devices. The two properties of interest can be optimized separately using single-objective BO, or concurrently using multi-objective BO.

In Figure 7c,d, we present an example of optimization within AM_4X_8 lacunar spinels family [61].

Considering the symmetry requirements of MITs, we reduce the design space to those candidates whose M sites are occupied by two elements with a 1:3 ratio, i.e., $AM^aM_3^bX_8$. With 3, 6, 5, and 3 candidate element types on each site, a total of 270 candidates are searched among. Without using any hand-crafted features, we represent the candidates by their compositions only, using four categorical variables, each corresponding to the element choice at a site, which can be modeled by LVGP. We demonstrate the adaptive design using BO under both the single- and multi-objective settings. In a single-objective setting, starting from 12 initial samples, the global optima candidates of both E_g and ΔH_d are identified within 15 iterations, which requires exploring less than 10% of the whole design space (Figure 7c). Under a multi-objective setting, the first 60 iterations successfully find all candidates on the Pareto front (Figure 7d). Separately, we have also performed calculations on the Ruddlesden-Popper A_2BO_4 . For this materials class, we assumed that a possible MIT would be similar to that of the only known MIT compound in the family, namely Ca_2RuO_4 - which is nonetheless one of the most widely studied MIT materials. For this class of materials, we do not necessarily know that - even if the material displays a gap within DFT - it means that it is indeed an MIT rather than an insulator. As a proxy for the insulating state, we simulate the materials in an anti-ferromagnetic (AFM) state (which is most likely to have a gap, and is a proxy for the insulating state), and a non-magnetic state. As a result, we have identified several new possible MIT materials which are currently being suggested for experimental synthesis. Interestingly, we find that the latent variables used to describe the A-site atom seem to learn about the radius of the A-site, and its ionization state, with one variable seeming to describe each. Moving forward to materials families with limited prior knowledge, this adaptive design approach could, requiring a significantly reduced amount of DFT calculations, efficiently outline the Pareto front. The Pareto front contains MIT materials that attain balances in the performance–stability tradeoff with different emphases, offering options for materials selection according to the application.

6 Challenges and Outlooks

In this Account, we present a framework for systematically accelerating materials design. We have demonstrated the framework’s effectiveness in the application case of designing MIT materials. The designed materials are predicted to possess promising performances and synthesizability, and they currently are being experimentally synthesized and characterized by our collaborators for suitability in microelectronic devices.

In the framework, we integrate various forms of AI/ML techniques, including text mining, supervised classification, and mixed variable GP-BO, which address challenges in different phases of the materials design process (from conceptual to detailed design), in particular (1) data acquisition from dispersed sources and (2) design optimization in large, disjoint design spaces. In the application case of designing synthesizable materials with high metal–insulator transition performances, the framework has been demonstrated to provide progressive knowledge acquisition and uncertainty reduction efficiently and effectively. Moreover, as a general framework, it can be extended

and applied to a wide range of other materials design problems.

That being said, there are challenges associated with both of the critical problems mentioned above that must be addressed to unlock the full power of data-driven design approaches. Firstly, the quality of data strongly impacts data-driven studies [64]. Data quality can be assessed from multiple aspects, such as fidelity, diversity, and bias. Both text mining from published literature and virtual screening of materials databases use the available materials data as is and thus are subject to all problems that may present in the data, e.g., bias and lack of diversity. As Figure 8a illustrates, if the data provided to models do not sufficiently represent the design space, the obtained models will not generalize well and may thereby miss the desired design target. To ensure the reliability of data-driven design models, attention should be paid to acquiring and curating high-quality materials data. There have been efforts toward this end by our team [65] and others [66, 67], and we believe more awareness of data quality will make data-driven materials design workflows including ours more powerful and robust.




Figure 8: Illustration of the challenges. (a) The data of previously known materials may not cover the desired target region, limiting the applicability of data-driven models developed therefrom. Adopted and reproduced from [62]. (b) The property–performance mismatch: manufacturing of devices requires interaction between material- and device-level objectives and constraints; optimal materials properties do not necessarily


Secondly, the presented framework’s design optimization focuses on the properties of materials, such as MIT behavior. In application, the designed MIT materials will be part of microelectronic devices whose holistic performances are the ultimate design goal. Ideally, the design of materials and devices should be considered concurrently. To realize this “co-design”, a few gaps need to be bridged. One is that the material-level and device-level design problems have interactions. For example, the device fabrication process may require certain material properties, such as mechanical strength and thermal stability. Conversely, the material properties may add constraints to the device configuration design. These require the information to be propagated between material and device levels in the design framework.

Another gap is the property–performance mismatch: the material with optimal properties may not lead to the best device performance. One possible reason is that the materials’ properties

are often predicted at the ground state or under standard conditions, whereas in real devices the operating conditions vary, e.g., under different usages and environments. Filling this gap requires taking the operating conditions into account in materials property evaluation in the co-design framework.

Finally, going beyond conceptual design, a complete materials development workflow involves validation and deployment. In the case of microelectronic materials, this includes materials synthesis, characterization, and device fabrication and testing. In these processes, data are generated from both experiments and simulations, manifesting multiple fidelities and modalities. We propose the following directions towards integrating experiments and simulations for closed-loop materials development: (1) Fusing multi-fidelity and multimodal data in ML surrogate modeling. (2) Utilizing new data collected from experiments and simulation to “online” update the surrogate model. (3) Using model predictive control to facilitate precision synthesis. Beyond guiding adaptive sampling, the ML model could tune processing conditions in real time to optimize synthesis quality. With these innovations, we envision a “digital twin” for materials design and deployment.

Table of Contents Graphics



Acknowledgments

The information, data, or work presented herein was funded in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E), U.S. Department of Energy, under award number DE-AR0001209, and the National Science Foundation (NSF), Division of Materials Research, under award number DMR-2324173. H.Z. acknowledges support from the Ryan Graduate Fellowship. The authors thank Wei “Wayne” Chen and Ramin Bostanabad for valuable discussions.

References

1. Imada, M., Fujimori, A. & Tokura, Y. Metal-insulator transitions. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **70**, 1039–1263 (1998).

2. Correa-Baena, J.-P. *et al.* Accelerating Materials Development via Automation, Machine Learning, and High-Performance Computing. *Joule* **2**, 1410–1420 (2018).
3. Arróyave, R. & McDowell, D. L. Systems Approaches to Materials Design: Past, Present, and Future. *Annual Review of Materials Research* **49**, 103–126 (2019).
4. Peng, J. *et al.* Human- and machine-centred designs of molecules and materials for sustainability and decarbonization. *Nature Reviews Materials* **7**, 991–1009 (2022).
5. Axelrod, S. *et al.* Learning Matter: Materials Design with Machine Learning and Atomistic Simulations. *Accounts of Materials Research* **3**, 343–357 (2022).
6. Lee, W.-K. *et al.* Concurrent design of quasi-random photonic nanostructures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 8734–8739 (2017).
7. Balachandran, P. V., Young, J., Lookman, T. & Rondinelli, J. M. Learning from data to design functional materials without inversion symmetry. *Nature Communications* **8**, 14282 (2017).
8. Tran, K. & Ulissi, Z. W. Active learning across intermetallics to guide discovery of electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction and H₂ evolution. *Nature Catalysis* **1**, 696–703 (2018).
9. Kadulkar, S., Sherman, Z. M., Ganesan, V. & Truskett, T. M. Machine Learning–Assisted Design of Material Properties. *Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering* **13**, 235–254 (2022).
10. Rao, Z. *et al.* Machine learning–enabled high-entropy alloy discovery. *Science* **378**, 78–85 (2022).
11. Deng, B. *et al.* CHGNet as a pretrained universal neural network potential for charge-informed atomistic modelling. *Nature Machine Intelligence* **5**, 1031–1041 (2023).
12. Shahriari, B., Swersky, K., Wang, Z., Adams, R. P. & Freitas, N. d. Taking the Human Out of the Loop: A Review of Bayesian Optimization. *Proceedings of the IEEE* **104**, 148–175 (2016).
13. Gantzler, N., Deshwal, A., Doppa, J. R. & Simon, C. M. Multi-fidelity Bayesian optimization of covalent organic frameworks for xenon/krypton separations. *Digital Discovery* **2**, 1937–1956 (2023).
14. Chaney, L. E. *et al.* Bayesian optimization of environmentally sustainable graphene inks produced by wet jet milling. *Small* **20**, 2309579 (2024).
15. Karadaghi, L. R. *et al.* Multivariate Bayesian Optimization of CoO Nanoparticles for CO₂ Hydrogenation Catalysis. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **146**, 14246–14259 (2024).
16. Olivetti, E. A. *et al.* Data-driven materials research enabled by natural language processing and information extraction. *Applied Physics Reviews* **7**, 041317 (2020).
17. Mehr, S. H. M., Craven, M., Leonov, A. I., Keenan, G. & Cronin, L. A universal system for digitization and automatic execution of the chemical synthesis literature. *Science* **370**, 101–108 (2020).

18. Zhang, S. & Galli, G. Understanding the metal-to-insulator transition in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CoO}_{3-\delta}$ and its applications for neuromorphic computing. *npj Computational Materials* **6**, 170 (2020).
19. Schofield, P. *et al.* Harnessing the Metal–Insulator Transition of VO_2 in Neuromorphic Computing. *Advanced Materials* **35**, 2205294 (2022).
20. Shukla, N. *et al.* A steep-slope transistor based on abrupt electronic phase transition. *Nature Communications* **6**, 7812 (2015).
21. Mahanta, P., Munna, M. & Coutu, R. A. Performance Comparison of Phase Change Materials and Metal-Insulator Transition Materials for Direct Current and Radio Frequency Switching Applications. *Technologies* **6**, 48 (2018).
22. Bohaichuk, S. M. *et al.* Fast Spiking of a Mott VO_2 –Carbon Nanotube Composite Device. *Nano Letters* **19**, 6751–6755 (2019).
23. Zhang, H.-T. *et al.* Wafer-scale growth of VO_2 thin films using a combinatorial approach. *Nature Communications* **6** (2015).
24. Kim, E. *et al.* Machine-learned and codified synthesis parameters of oxide materials. *Scientific Data* **4**, 170127 (2017).
25. Jensen, Z. *et al.* A Machine Learning Approach to Zeolite Synthesis Enabled by Automatic Literature Data Extraction. *ACS Central Science* **5**, 892–899 (2019).
26. Tshitoyan, V. *et al.* Unsupervised word embeddings capture latent knowledge from materials science literature. *Nature* **571**, 95–98 (2019).
27. Microsoft Research AI4Science & Microsoft Azure Quantum. The Impact of Large Language Models on Scientific Discovery: a Preliminary Study using GPT-4. *arXiv:2311.07361* (2023).
28. Liao, C., Yu, Y., Mei, Y. & Wei, Y. From Words to Molecules: A Survey of Large Language Models in Chemistry. *arXiv:2402.01439* (2024).
29. Karpovich, C., Pan, E., Jensen, Z. & Olivetti, E. Interpretable Machine Learning Enabled Inorganic Reaction Classification and Synthesis Condition Prediction. *Chemistry of Materials* **35**, 1062–1079 (2023).
30. Stach, E. *et al.* Autonomous experimentation systems for materials development: A community perspective. *Matter* **4**, 2702–2726 (2021).
31. Strieth-Kalthoff, F. *et al.* Delocalized, asynchronous, closed-loop discovery of organic laser emitters. *Science* **384**, eadk9227 (2024).
32. Jain, A. *et al.* Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Materials* **1**, 011002 (2013).
33. Saal, J. E., Kirklin, S., Aykol, M., Meredig, B. & Wolverton, C. Materials Design and Discovery with High-Throughput Density Functional Theory: The Open Quantum Materials Database (OQMD). *JOM* **65**, 1501–1509 (2013).

34. Zagorac, D., Müller, H., Ruehl, S., Zagorac, J. & Rehme, S. Recent developments in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database: theoretical crystal structure data and related features. *Journal of Applied Crystallography* **52**, 918–925 (2019).
35. Chen, C., Zuo, Y., Ye, W., Li, X. & Ong, S. P. Learning properties of ordered and disordered materials from multi-fidelity data. *Nature Computational Science* **1**, 46–53 (2021).
36. Chen, Y.-P., Wang, L., Comlek, Y. & Chen, W. A Latent Variable Approach for Non-Hierarchical Multi-Fidelity Adaptive Sampling. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **421**, 116773 (2024).
37. Ward, L., Agrawal, A., Choudhary, A. & Wolverton, C. A general-purpose machine learning framework for predicting properties of inorganic materials. *npj Computational Materials* **2**, 16028 (2016).
38. Ong, S. P. *et al.* Python Materials Genomics (pymatgen): A robust, open-source python library for materials analysis. *Computational Materials Science* **68**, 314–319 (2013).
39. Ward, L. *et al.* Matminer: An open source toolkit for materials data mining. *Computational Materials Science* **152**, 60–69 (2018).
40. Grinsztajn, L., Oyallon, E. & Varoquaux, G. *Why do tree-based models still outperform deep learning on typical tabular data?* in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **35** (Curran Associates, Inc., 2022), 507–520.
41. Georgescu, A. B. *et al.* Database, Features, and Machine Learning Model to Identify Thermally Driven Metal–Insulator Transition Compounds. *Chemistry of Materials* **33**, 5591–5605 (2021).
42. Apley, D. W. & Zhu, J. Visualizing the Effects of Predictor Variables in Black Box Supervised Learning Models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* **82**, 1059–1086 (2020).
43. Lundberg, S. M. & Lee, S.-I. *A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions* in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **30** (Curran Associates, Inc., 2017).
44. Georgescu, A. B., Ren, P., Karpovich, C., Olivetti, E. & Rondinelli, J. M. Machine-Learning Based Selection and Synthesis of Candidate Metal-Insulator Transition Metal Oxides. *arXiv:2404.08653* (2024).
45. Wang, Y., Puggioni, D. & Rondinelli, J. M. Assessing exchange-correlation functional performance in the chalcogenide lacunar spinels GaM_4Q_8 ($M = \text{Mo, V, Nb, Ta}$; $Q = \text{S, Se}$). *Physical Review B* **100**, 115149 (2019).
46. Georgescu, A. B. & Millis, A. J. Quantifying the role of the lattice in metal–insulator phase transitions. *Communications Physics* **5**, 135 (2022).
47. Georgescu, A. B., Peil, O. E., Disa, A. S., Georges, A. & Millis, A. J. Disentangling lattice and electronic contributions to the metal–insulator transition from bulk vs. layer confined RNiO_3 . *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 14434–14439 (2019).

48. Spring, J. *et al.* Engineering the Magnetic Transition Temperatures and the Rare Earth Exchange Interaction in Oxide Heterostructures. *arXiv:2406.09937* (2024).
49. Domínguez, C. *et al.* Length scales of interfacial coupling between metal and insulator phases in oxides. *Nature Materials* **19**, 1182–1187 (2020).
50. Varbaro, L. *et al.* Electronic Coupling of Metal-to-Insulator Transitions in Nickelate-Based Heterostructures. *Advanced Electronic Materials* **9**, 2201291 (2023).
51. Jin, R., Chen, W. & Sudjianto, A. An efficient algorithm for constructing optimal design of computer experiments. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **134**, 268–287 (2005).
52. Shang, B. & Apley, D. W. Fully-sequential space-filling design algorithms for computer experiments. *Journal of Quality Technology* **53**, 173–196 (2020).
53. Zhang, H., Chen, W. W., Iyer, A., Apley, D. W. & Chen, W. Uncertainty-aware mixed-variable machine learning for materials design. *Scientific Reports* **12**, 19760 (2022).
54. Rasmussen, C. E. & Williams, C. K. I. *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning* (MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2005).
55. Zhang, Y., Tao, S., Chen, W. & Apley, D. W. A Latent Variable Approach to Gaussian Process Modeling with Qualitative and Quantitative Factors. *Technometrics* **62**, 291–302 (2020).
56. Yerramilli, S., Iyer, A., Chen, W. & Apley, D. W. Fully Bayesian Inference for Latent Variable Gaussian Process Models. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification* **11**, 1357–1381 (2023).
57. Iyer, A., Yerramilli, S., Rondinelli, J. M., Apley, D. W. & Chen, W. Descriptor Aided Bayesian Optimization for Many-Level Qualitative Variables With Materials Design Applications. *Journal of Mechanical Design* **145**, 031701 (2023).
58. Wang, L. *et al.* Scalable Gaussian Processes for Data-Driven Design Using Big Data With Categorical Factors. *Journal of Mechanical Design* **144**, 021703 (2022).
59. Hensman, J., Fusi, N. & Lawrence, N. D. *Gaussian processes for Big data* in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence* (2013), 282–290.
60. Iyer, A. *et al.* Data centric nanocomposites design via mixed-variable Bayesian optimization. *Molecular Systems Design & Engineering* **5**, 1376–1390 (2020).
61. Wang, Y., Iyer, A., Chen, W. & Rondinelli, J. M. Featureless adaptive optimization accelerates functional electronic materials design. *Applied Physics Reviews* **7**, 041403 (2020).
62. Zhang, Y., Apley, D. W. & Chen, W. Bayesian Optimization for Materials Design with Mixed Quantitative and Qualitative Variables. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 4924 (2020).
63. Comlek, Y., Pham, T. D., Snurr, R. Q. & Chen, W. Rapid design of top-performing metal-organic frameworks with qualitative representations of building blocks. *npj Computational Materials* **9**, 170 (2023).

64. Liang, W. *et al.* Advances, challenges and opportunities in creating data for trustworthy AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence* **4**, 669–677 (2022).
65. Zhang, H., Chen, W. W., Rondinelli, J. M. & Chen, W. ET-AL: Entropy-targeted active learning for bias mitigation in materials data. *Applied Physics Reviews* **10**, 021403 (2023).
66. Li, K. *et al.* Exploiting redundancy in large materials datasets for efficient machine learning with less data. *Nature Communications* **14**, 7283 (2023).
67. Ottomano, F., De Felice, G., Gusev, V. V. & Sparks, T. D. Not as simple as we thought: a rigorous examination of data aggregation in materials informatics. *Digital Discovery* **3**, 337–346 (2024).