

AsymLLIC: Asymmetric Lightweight Learned Image Compression

Shen Wang*, Zhengxue Cheng*, Donghui Feng*, Guo Lu*, Li Song*, Wenjun Zhang*
*Department of Electronic Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

Abstract—Learned image compression (LIC) methods often employ symmetrical encoder and decoder architectures, inevitably increasing decoding time. However, practical scenarios demand an asymmetric design, where the decoder requires low complexity to cater to diverse low-end devices, while the encoder can accommodate higher complexity to improve coding performance. In this paper, we propose an asymmetric lightweight learned image compression (AsymLLIC) architecture with a novel training scheme, enabling the gradual substitution of complex decoding modules with simpler ones. Building upon this approach, we conduct a comprehensive comparison of different decoder network structures to strike a better trade-off between complexity and compression performance. Experiment results validate the efficiency of our proposed method, which not only achieves comparable performance to VVC but also offers a lightweight decoder with only 51.47 GMACs computation and 19.65M parameters. Furthermore, this design methodology can be easily applied to any LIC models, enabling the practical deployment of LIC techniques.

Index Terms—Learned image compression, neural network, lightweight, asymmetric

I. INTRODUCTION

In real-world image compression scenarios, client-side computational capabilities are often limited. To achieve high compression performance while maintaining low decoding times, it becomes necessary to offload some of the computational burden to the encoding side. This approach is known as asymmetric computational architecture.

Traditional image compression algorithms, such as JPEG [1], JPEG2000 [2], HEVC intra [3] (BPG), and VVC intra [4] are designed with this requirement in mind. For instance, consider the process of mode selection: the encoder performs multiple calculations to find the mode with the lowest rate-distortion (RD) cost, while the decoder only needs to decode the mode information and perform a single calculation. This asymmetric design allows for efficient content delivery even to devices with constrained processing power.

Learned image compression (LIC) has consistently employed symmetric encoder-decoder architectures since Balle introduced the first LIC method in 2016 [12]. This symmetric design mirrors DCT transforms, where forward and inverse transformations exhibit equivalent computational complexity.

Shen Wang, Zhengxue Cheng, Donghui Feng, Guo Lu, Li Song and Wenjun Zhang are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China (e-mail: wangshen22206@sjtu.edu.cn; zxcheng@sjtu.edu.cn; faymek@sjtu.edu.cn; luguo2014@sjtu.edu.cn; song_li@sjtu.edu.cn; zhangwenjun@sjtu.edu.cn). Corresponding author: Zhengxue Cheng.




Fig. 1: Performance versus decoder complexity on Kodak dataset. Performance is measured by BD-rate against BPG, and decoder complexity metrics include the number of Multiply-Accumulate Operations (MACs) with the input size of 768×512 and the number of model parameters. Notable methods like Ballé18 [5], Minnen18 [6], Cheng20 [7], Xie21 [8], Qian22 [9], TinyLIC [10], TCM [11] and the VVC Intra [4] are evaluated.

Subsequent developments have maintained this design philosophy in pursuit of enhanced performance. Notable advancements include Ballé18 [5], Minnen18 [6], [13], Cheng20 [7], TinyLIC [10], and TCM [11]. These models, characterized by increasingly complex components, have significantly outperformed traditional algorithms [14], [15] like VVC intra.

However, the symmetric design in LIC results in comparable encoding and decoding times. As models have grown more complex, the high decoding time poses challenges for practical deployment. While general lightweight design methods exist, such as simplified model structures [16] and knowledge distillation [17], the asymmetric demands in compression remain largely unaddressed to our knowledge.

In this paper, we adopt an asymmetric lightweight design for learned image compression architecture, which is called AsymLLIC. We propose a stage-by-stage training strategy that gradually replaces complex decoder modules with simpler ones. Building on this foundation, we explore various efficient decoder architectures. Our experiments demonstrate more efficient decoding while maintaining the same encoding performance. This proves that in an LIC system, computational load can be effectively offloaded to the encoding side.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- An asymmetric encoder-decoder structure and training




Fig. 2: The overall framework of our asymmetric structure and asymmetric training strategy. The snowflake pattern indicates that the module parameters are fixed during training, while the flame pattern indicates that the module parameters are trainable. The yellow boxes indicate that the module parameters have already been altered.

strategy that maintains high image quality and low bitrate while reducing decoder complexity.

- Cost-effective designs for synthesis decoder, hyperprior decoder, and context model, optimizing computational complexity and compression performance.
- Experimental results demonstrating an ideal trade-off between rate-distortion performance and lightweight decoder design. Our model achieves an 18.68% BD-rate improvement over BPG on Kodak, with only 19.65M parameters and 51.47GMACs in decoder.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Learned image compression

Ballé et al. [12] made pioneering work in this field by using neural networks to construct an image compression pipeline comprising an encoder, a decoder, and an entropy model, which was later widely adopted. This approach is based on the transformation coding paradigm, aiming to reduce redundancy through learned transformation. However, the resultant latent space is not independently distributed, leaving spatial redundancy unaddressed. One solution is to get more accurate estimations of the distributions of latent codes. Ballé et al. [5] proposed a hyperprior to capture the spatial dependencies in the latent code by signaling side information. Following this, more sophisticated entropy models have been introduced to capture these dependencies, such as mean and scale Gaussian distribution [6], context models [18], discretized Gaussian Mixture Likelihoods [7], and transformer-based entropy models [19] [9]. Another line of research [20] [21] [8] [22] [23] [24] [25] focuses on designing more powerful encoders and decoders to better decorrelate the spatial dependencies. For example, Xie et al. [8] introduced an invertible convolution block for the transformation between images and latent codes. Zou et al. [26] proposed a new Transformer-based encoder/decoder that fully leverage both global structure and local texture.

B. Lightweight model design

While complex network architectures deliver remarkable outcomes, they impose significant computational costs, hindering practical use. Therefore, the pursuit of lightweight model design focuses on optimizing computational efficiency without sacrificing performance. For instance, ShuffleNet [27] enhances performance by introducing channel shuffling to

address inter-group information interaction issues in grouped convolutions. Similarly, C-GhostNet [28] exploits channel redundancy through cost-effective operations, generating similar channel compositions for efficient feature extraction. G-GhostNet [29] employs a lightweight stage-level network to further enhance feature extraction efficiency. Additionally, some lightweight learned image compression designs have been proposed [30]. BG-VAE [31] achieves a more efficient model through knowledge distillation, while Qin et al. [32] accelerate coding speed and reduce complexity by eliminating redundancy between features. However, as overall computational complexity decreases, encoding performance inevitably declines.


III. PROPOSED METHOD

In this section, we first introduce the architecture of learned image compression and propose an asymmetric training strategy to replace decoder modules with simpler ones. We then explore and identify the optimal cost-performance designs for the synthesis decoder, hyperprior decoder, and context model modules, achieving a balance between compression performance and computational overhead.

A. Asymmetric Training Strategy

The overall framework of learned image compression is illustrated in Figure 2a. The input image x is mapped into a compact latent representation y through the analysis encoder g_a , while the quantized latent representation \hat{y} is inversely mapped to the reconstructed image \hat{x} by the synthesis decoder g_s . The hyperprior encoder h_a captures spatial dependency, producing side information z . The hyperprior decoder h_s and context model f_c provide the hyperprior parameters for the entropy model. The entire network undergoes end-to-end training with a loss function defined as $L = R + \lambda D$. Most learned image compression (LIC) methods employ a symmetric model design, where the structures of g_a and g_s , and h_a and h_s are symmetrical. The decoder side, consisting of g_s , h_s , and f_c , is replaced with simpler modules g_s^s , h_s^s , and f_c^s in our approach.

Designing effective training strategies for this asymmetric architecture is a key challenge. In the first step of our asymmetric training strategy, we fix the parameters of all modules except g_s , modify g_s to a lightweight network structure, and train with a loss that includes only the distortion part. This



(a) The original structure of synthesis decoder g_s (b) The proposed structure of synthesis decoder g_s^s (c) Complexity analysis of synthesis decoder

Fig. 3: The designed architecture of synthesis decoder. Subfig (a) and (b) show the original structures of TCM-small and our proposed synthesis decoder. Their receptive fields (RF) are visualized in subfig (c) and (d). Subfig (e) shows the MACs for each layer of the synthesis decoder, where RBU1-4 and WTB1-3 represent the ResidualBlockUpsample layers and transform-based layers from \hat{y} to \hat{x} .

allows for quick fine-tuning to obtain the lightweight g_s^s model. In the second step, we fix the parameters of all modules except h_a , h_s , and the context model f_c , and perform lightweight modifications on h_s^s and f_c^s . At this stage, both distortion and rate are included in the training loss. Notably, we do not fix the parameters of h_a because after lightweighting h_s , the original hyperprior obtained by h_a cannot adapt to the new h_s^s , thus requiring joint training with the h_a module. Finally, we combine all the required parameters for the decoder to obtain our proposed asymmetric lightweight LIC model, as shown in Figure 2d.

B. Lightweight Design of synthesis decoder

We adopt the network models from TCM-small [11], incorporating the Swin Transformer blocks. The encoder and decoder both use CNN and Transformer blocks stacked with identical channels. We redesign the synthesis decoder g_s , the hyperprior decoder h_s , and the context network f_c to reduce the decoder-side computational complexity.

Swin Transformer Simplification: In the g_a and g_s modules, the Swin Transformer expands the network’s receptive field, leveraging pixel correlations across the entire image to produce a compact latent representation. For the g_s module, we retain only the window-based multi-head self-attention from the Swin Transformer block and remove the subsequent shifted windowing configuration, as shown in Figure 3b. Visualization of the synthesis decoders’ receptive fields (RF) in Figures 3c and 3d shows minimal change after removing the shifted windowing configuration. While this approach limits information gathering to a fixed window size, experiments demonstrate that the performance loss is acceptable.

Reversed Pyramid Channel Structure: We design the g_s module with a reversed pyramid channel structure, where the number of channels in the latent representation y gradually narrows while the width and height progressively increase. Figure 3e illustrates the MACs for each layer of the g_s module

in both TCM and our method. RBU1-4 and WTB1-3 represent the ResidualBlockUpsample layers and transform-based layers from \hat{y} to \hat{x} . This structure prevents the computational complexity of subsequent layers from increasing significantly, maintaining it within a reasonable range. This design ensures effective information utilization while reducing complexity.

C. Lightweight Design of hyperprior path

For the hyperprior path, we adopt the 5-slice channel-wise context model and remove the shifted windowing configuration from the hyperprior decoder and context model. In the context model’s slice networks, we further reduce the number of channels in the self-attention module and the number of layers in the residual network. Additionally, we compare the performance impact of changing the number of slices in the hyperprior path while maintaining similar computational complexity. As shown in the experimental results (see Table III), our simplified design results in minimal performance loss.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Experimental Setting

Training Details: For training, we randomly choose 300k images of size larger than 256×256 from ImageNet [33], and randomly crop them with the size of 256×256 during the training process. We adopt Adam [34] with a batch size 8 to optimize the network. The initial learning rate is set as 1×10^{-4} . After 0.5M steps, the learning rate is reduced to 1×10^{-5} for the last 0.1M steps. The model is optimized by RD-loss as $L = R + \lambda D$. Mean square error (MSE) are used to represent the distortion D . The λ belongs to $\{0.0025, 0.0035, 0.0067, 0.0130, 0.0250, 0.0500\}$.

Evaluation: We test our method on three datasets, i.e., Kodak image set with the image size of 768×512 , old Tecnick test set with the image size of 1200×1200 , CLIC professional validation dataset with up to 2K resolution. PSNR are used to measure the distortion, while bits per pixel (bpp) are used to evaluate bitrates.




Fig. 4: R-D performance of traditional codec and LIC methods evaluated on different datasets.

TABLE I: Results of BD-rate (%) comparison upon BPG and complexity analysis.

	BD-rate	Dec Par.	Dec MACs	Tot Par.	Tot MACs
Balle18	3.38	5.79	130.96	11.82	164.34
Minnen18	-11.76	14.36	143.41	25.50	176.79
Cheng20	-17.85	20.31	241.41	29.63	403.27
Xie21	-21.86	26.52	205.29	50.03	407.30
Qian22	-18.48	32.86	156.55	44.99	193.55
TinyLIC	-22.41	18.27	120.18	28.34	193.41
TCM	-26.32	41.79	145.71	44.96	211.54
Ours	-18.68	19.65	51.47	22.83	117.12

B. Rate-Distortion Performance and Complexity Analysis

From Figure 4, it can be observed that, compared to previous LIC models, our model maintains high R-D performance similar to VVC. Table I presents the BD-rate of our proposed method and other LIC models on the Kodak dataset with BPG (HEVC intra) as anchor. It also shows the total computational complexity (Tot MACs and Tot Parameters) and the decoding complexity (Dec MACs and Dec Parameters). Compared to other methods, our proposed method achieves the lowest decoding and total computational complexity while maintaining high compression performance. Our method strikes an optimal balance between compression performance and computational complexity.

C. Ablation Study

Discussion on synthesis decoder structure: In the synthesis decoder module, four upsampling processes are necessary to transform the latent representation \hat{y} into the reconstructed image \hat{x} . We design four different structures of g_s and adjusted parameters to maintain similar MACs. Since the synthesis decoder only affects the PSNR of the reconstructed image, Table II presents the MACs, parameter counts, and average PSNR on the Kodak dataset for different synthesis decoder structures with $\lambda = 0.0067$. Conv_k5 employs convolutions with a kernel size of 5 for upsampling, RBU utilizes the ResidualBlockUpsample module, TCM_pruned reduces the number of Swin Transformer blocks to 2 while retaining the shifted windowing configurations, and Ours represents our proposed structure. As shown in Table II, our proposed

TABLE II: Comparison results of synthesis decoder structures.

Structures	PSNR(dB)	Params	MACs
Conv_k5	31.51	1.49	30.83
RBU	32.14	4.83	39.44
TCM_pruned	31.81	3.94	45.04
Ours	32.32	4.84	35.06

TABLE III: Comparison results of hyperprior path structures.

Structures	Bpp	Params	MACs
slice number = 1	0.412	20.13	9.41
slice number = 2	0.364	18.9	19.45
slice number = 5, TCM_pruned	0.325	16.67	19.27
slice number = 5, Ours	0.324	14.81	16.41

structure achieves the highest image quality with the second-lowest computational complexity.

Discussion on hyperprior path: Similarly, we compare various hyperprior decoder and context model structures. First, we compared the impact of dividing channels into different numbers of slices on compression efficiency in the context model. As shown in Table III, even with similar MACs of hyperprior path, the slice number of 5 achieved significantly lower bit rates compared to structures with fewer slices. TCM_pruned indicates Swin Transformer blocks with reduced channel numbers while retaining shifted windowing configurations. Table III shows that our proposed structure achieves the lowest bit rate and the second-lowest complexity.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we investigate the issue of asymmetric design in learned image compression, which is crucial for practical LIC deployment. Specifically, we introduce an asymmetric training strategy that progressively replaces complex decoder modules with simpler ones. Further, we examine the cost-effectiveness of various decoder block structures, evaluating them based on computational complexity and compression performance. Experiments demonstrate that our proposed AsymLLIC requires only 51.47 GMACs of computation and 19.65M decoder parameters to achieve performance comparable to VVC, with significantly lower decoding complexity than previous LIC methods. This implies that in an LIC system, computation load can be effectively offloaded to the encoding

side. In the future, we plan to extend the asymmetric design to learned video compression to enable efficient video decoding.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, National Natural Science Foundation of China (62102024, 62331014, 62431015) and Shanghai Key Laboratory of Digital Media Processing and Transmissions, China.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gregory K Wallace, "The jpeg still picture compression standard," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 30–44, 1991.
- [2] Majid Rabbani and Rajan Joshi, "An overview of the jpeg 2000 still image compression standard," *Signal processing: Image communication*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 3–48, 2002.
- [3] Gary J Sullivan, Jens-Rainer Ohm, Woo-Jin Han, and Thomas Wiegand, "Overview of the high efficiency video coding (hevc) standard," *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems for video technology*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1649–1668, 2012.
- [4] Jens-Rainer Ohm and Gary J Sullivan, "Versatile video coding—towards the next generation of video compression," in *Picture Coding Symposium*, 2018, vol. 2018.
- [5] Johannes Ballé, David Minnen, Saurabh Singh, Sung Jin Hwang, and Nick Johnston, "Variational image compression with a scale hyperprior," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.01436*, 2018.
- [6] David Minnen, Johannes Ballé, and George D Toderici, "Joint autoregressive and hierarchical priors for learned image compression," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 31, 2018.
- [7] Zhengxue Cheng, Heming Sun, Masaru Takeuchi, and Jiro Katto, "Learned image compression with discretized gaussian mixture likelihoods and attention modules," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 7939–7948.
- [8] Yueqi Xie, Ka Leong Cheng, and Qifeng Chen, "Enhanced invertible encoding for learned image compression," in *Proceedings of the 29th ACM international conference on multimedia*, 2021, pp. 162–170.
- [9] Yichen Qian, Ming Lin, Xiuyu Sun, Zhiyu Tan, and Rong Jin, "Entropyformer: A transformer-based entropy model for learned image compression," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.05492*, 2022.
- [10] Ming Lu, Fangdong Chen, Shiliang Pu, and Zhan Ma, "High-efficiency lossy image coding through adaptive neighborhood information aggregation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.11448*, 2022.
- [11] Jinming Liu, Heming Sun, and Jiro Katto, "Learned image compression with mixed transformer-cnn architectures," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 14388–14397.
- [12] Johannes Ballé, Valero Laparra, and Eero P Simoncelli, "End-to-end optimized image compression," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01704*, 2016.
- [13] David Minnen and Saurabh Singh, "Channel-wise autoregressive entropy models for learned image compression," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 3339–3343.
- [14] Youneng Bao, Fanyang Meng, Chao Li, Siwei Ma, Yonghong Tian, and Yongsheng Liang, "Nonlinear transforms in learned image compression from a communication perspective," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1922–1936, 2022.
- [15] Youneng Bao, Wen Tan, Linfeng Zheng, Fanyang Meng, Wei Liu, and Yongsheng Liang, "Taylor series based dual-branch transformation for learned image compression," *Signal Processing*, vol. 212, pp. 109128, 2023.
- [16] Andrew G Howard, Menglong Zhu, Bo Chen, Dmitry Kalenichenko, Weijun Wang, Tobias Weyand, Marco Andreetto, and Hartwig Adam, "Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861*, 2017.
- [17] Haisheng Fu, Feng Liang, Jie Liang, Yongqiang Wang, Guohe Zhang, and Jingning Han, "Fast and high-performance learned image compression with improved checkerboard context model, deformable residual module, and knowledge distillation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.02529*, 2023.
- [18] Jooyoung Lee, Seunghyun Cho, and Seung-Kwon Beack, "Context-adaptive entropy model for end-to-end optimized image compression," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.10452*, 2018.
- [19] Jun-Hyuk Kim, Byeongho Heo, and Jong-Seok Lee, "Joint global and local hierarchical priors for learned image compression," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022, pp. 5992–6001.
- [20] Weigui Li, Wenyu Sun, Yadong Zhao, Zhuqing Yuan, and Yongpan Liu, "Deep image compression with residual learning," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 4023, 2020.
- [21] Zhengxue Cheng, Heming Sun, Masaru Takeuchi, and Jiro Katto, "Deep residual learning for image compression.," in *Cvpr workshops*, 2019, p. 0.
- [22] Ge Gao, Pei You, Rong Pan, Shunyu Han, Yuanyuan Zhang, Yuchao Dai, and Hojae Lee, "Neural image compression via attentional multi-scale back projection and frequency decomposition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 14677–14686.
- [23] Dipti Mishra, Satish Kumar Singh, and Rajat Kumar Singh, "Wavelet-based deep auto encoder-decoder (wdaed)-based image compression," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 1452–1462, 2020.
- [24] Chajin Shin, Hyeongmin Lee, Hanbin Son, Sangjin Lee, Dogyoon Lee, and Sangyoun Lee, "Expanded adaptive scaling normalization for end to end image compression," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2022, pp. 390–405.
- [25] Zhisen Tang, Hanli Wang, Xiaokai Yi, Yun Zhang, Sam Kwong, and C-C Jay Kuo, "Joint graph attention and asymmetric convolutional neural network for deep image compression," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 421–433, 2022.
- [26] Renjie Zou, Chunfeng Song, and Zhaoxiang Zhang, "The devil is in the details: Window-based attention for image compression," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 17492–17501.
- [27] Xiangyu Zhang, Xinyu Zhou, Mengxiao Lin, and Jian Sun, "Shufflenet: An extremely efficient convolutional neural network for mobile devices," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 6848–6856.
- [28] Kai Han, Yunhe Wang, Qi Tian, Jianyuan Guo, Chunjing Xu, and Chang Xu, "Ghostnet: More features from cheap operations," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 1580–1589.
- [29] Kai Han, Yunhe Wang, Chang Xu, Jianyuan Guo, Chunjing Xu, Enhua Wu, and Qi Tian, "Ghostnets on heterogeneous devices via cheap operations," *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 130, no. 4, pp. 1050–1069, 2022.
- [30] Ziyang He, Lei Luo, Le Zhang, Hongwei Guo, and Ce Zhu, "Efficient lightweight attention based learned image compression," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Visual Communications and Image Processing (VCIP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [31] Yichi Zhang, Zhihao Duan, Yuning Huang, and Fengqing Zhu, "Theoretical bound-guided hierarchical vae for neural image codecs," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.18535*, 2024.
- [32] Peng Qin, Youneng Bao, Fanyang Meng, Wen Tan, Chao Li, Genhong Wang, and Yongsheng Liang, "Leveraging redundancy in feature for efficient learned image compression," in *ICASSP 2024-2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 3055–3059.
- [33] Jia Deng, "A large-scale hierarchical image database," *Proc. of IEEE Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2009, 2009.
- [34] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.