

SIMPLE MODULES OVER SECOND QUANTUM WEYL ALGEBRA

SANU BERA

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the multiparameter second quantum Weyl algebra at roots of unity. In this setting, the algebra is a polynomial identity (PI) algebra, and the dimension of its simple modules is bounded above by its PI degree. We explicitly determine the PI degree and provide a complete classification of simple modules. This classification offers a comprehensive solution to [23, Problem 2] for the second quantum Weyl algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be a field and \mathbb{K}^* denote the multiplicative group of nonzero elements of \mathbb{K} and let n be a positive integer. Let $\Lambda := (\lambda_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix over \mathbb{K} , that is, $\lambda_{ii} = 1$ and $\lambda_{ij}\lambda_{ji} = 1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and let $\underline{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ be an n -tuple elements of $\mathbb{K} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Definition 1.1. Given such Λ and \underline{q} , the multiparameter quantum Weyl algebra $A_n^{q, \Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ is the algebra generated over the field \mathbb{K} by the variables $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n$ subject to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j &= q_i \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, & x_i y_j &= \lambda_{ij}^{-1} y_j x_i, & 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ y_i y_j &= \lambda_{ij} y_j y_i, & y_i x_j &= q_i^{-1} \lambda_{ij}^{-1} x_j y_i, & 1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ x_i y_i - q_i y_i x_i &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (q_k - 1) y_k x_k & & & 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

The quantum Weyl algebra $A_n^{q, \Lambda}$, which arises from the work of Maltsiniotis on noncommutative differential calculus [16], has been extensively studied in [1, 8, 9, 13]. Analogous to the Weyl algebra, the quantum version can be viewed as algebras of partial q -difference operators on quantum affine spaces (see [2]). The algebra $A_n^{q, \Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$ possesses an iterated skew polynomial presentation twisted by both automorphisms and derivations (see (2.1)). The prime spectrum, the automorphism group, and the isomorphism problem of quantum Weyl algebras were studied in [9, 21, 10, 2, 22]. Most of these results concern the generic case in which the deformation parameters are non-roots of unity. Typically, when the deformation parameters of a quantized algebra are specialized to roots of unity, the algebra becomes a finitely generated module over its center and hence a polynomial identity (PI) algebra. The theory of PI algebras is a crucial tool to study this algebra, with the minimal degree and PI degree serving as fundamental invariants of the PI algebra. In the case of a prime affine PI algebra over an algebraically closed field, the PI degree bounds the \mathbb{K} -dimension of a simple module [4, Theorem I.13.5] and this bound will be attained by the algebra [4, Lemma III.1.2]. In this article, we will focus on quantum Weyl algebras in which the deformation parameters are roots of unity.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D60, 16D70, 16S36, 16R20, 16T20.

Key words and phrases. Quantum Weyl Algebra, Simple Modules, Polynomial Identity Algebra, Skew Polynomial Ring.

The quantum Weyl algebras have appeared in numerous works in mathematics and physics, including deformation theory, knot theory, category theory, and quantum mechanics. In quantum mechanics, the algebra of observables is noncommutative and the objects which play the role of points are the irreducible representations of the algebra of observables. Hence, it is natural to understand the irreducible representations of quantum Weyl algebras. For an infinite dimensional noncommutative algebra, classifying its simple modules is a challenging problem, in general. When $n = 1$, the first quantum Weyl algebra $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$ is the \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by x, y with relation $xy - qyx = 1$. If q is a root of unity, then the irreducible representations of $A_1^q(\mathbb{K})$ are finite-dimensional and were first classified in [7, 12, 3] and later explicitly described up to equivalence in [5] and [11] via studying the matrix solutions (X, Y) of the equation $xy - qyx = 1$.

In 2019, C. Walton posed a problem [23, Problem 2] concerning the explicit classification of irreducible representations of quantum Weyl algebra $A_n^{q,\Lambda}$ in the uniparameter case, where $q_i = q^2$ and $\lambda_{ij} = q^{-1}$, up to equivalence. In [18], we compute the PI degree and classified simple modules over the (multiparameter) second quantum Weyl algebra (when $n = 2$) at roots of unity, under the assumption that $\text{ord}(\lambda_{12})$ divides $\text{ord}(q_1)$ (call it divisibility condition). Notably, this finding offered a solution to the problem for $n = 2$ when $\text{ord}(q)$ is odd. The present article extends this work by classifying simple modules over the (multiparameter) second quantum Weyl algebras at roots of unity without assuming the divisibility condition. In particular, this provides a complete solution to [23, Problem 2] for the second quantum Weyl algebra.

Assumptions. We will use $\lambda := \lambda_{12}$ in the definition of the multiparameter second quantum Weyl algebra and denote it by $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda) := A_2^{q,\Lambda}(\mathbb{K})$. In the root of unity setting, we assume that the q_1, q_2 and λ are primitive l_1 -th, l_2 -th, and l_3 -th roots of unity, respectively. The choice of parameters in the definition of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ ensures that $l_1 \geq 2$ and $l_2 \geq 2$. Throughout the article, \mathbb{K} denotes an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic, and all modules are right modules.

Arrangements. The article is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the essential facts about second quantum Weyl algebras and polynomial identity (PI) algebras. In addition, certain isomorphisms of the algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ are introduced that play a crucial role in classifying simple modules. In Section 3, we explicitly determine the PI degree of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ as a function of the parameters q_1, q_2 and λ . Section 4 categorizes simple modules over $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ into three types based on the action of certain normal elements. Sections 5 and 6 focus on the construction and classification of Type-I simple modules, while Sections 7 and 8 address the construction and classification of Type-II simple modules. Finally, Section 9 examines Type-III simple modules, which can be viewed as modules over a factor of quantum affine space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall essential facts about the second quantum Weyl algebras and polynomial identity algebras, which will be applied to compute the PI degree (an invariant) and to classify simple modules.

2.1. Commutation Relations. First recall that the algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is an associative \mathbb{K} -algebra generated by x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 together with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x_2 &= q_1\lambda x_2x_1 & x_1y_2 &= \lambda^{-1}y_2x_1 \\ y_1y_2 &= \lambda y_2y_1 & y_1x_2 &= q_1^{-1}\lambda^{-1}x_2y_1 \\ x_1y_1 - q_1y_1x_1 &= 1 & x_2y_2 - q_2y_2x_2 &= 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1x_1. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ has an iterated skew polynomial presentation (cf. [2]) with respect to the order of the variables y_1, x_1, y_2, x_2 of the form

$$\mathbb{K}[y_1][x_1, \tau_1, \delta_1][y_2, \sigma_2][x_2, \tau_2, \delta_2] \quad (2.1)$$

where the τ_1, τ_2 and σ_2 are \mathbb{K} -linear automorphisms and the δ_j are \mathbb{K} -linear τ_j -derivations such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(y_1) &= q_1y_1, & \sigma_2(y_1) &= \lambda^{-1}y_1, & \sigma_2(x_1) &= \lambda x_1, \\ \tau_2(y_1) &= q_1\lambda y_1, & \tau_2(x_1) &= (q_1\lambda)^{-1}x_1, & \tau_2(y_2) &= q_2y_2, \\ \delta_1(y_1) &= 1, & \delta_2(x_1) &= \delta_2(y_1) = 0, & \delta_2(y_2) &= 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1x_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Thus the skew polynomial version of the Hilbert Basis Theorem (cf. [15, Theorem 2.9]) yields that the algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is a prime affine Noetherian domain and the family of ordered monomials $\{y_1^{a_1}x_1^{b_1}y_2^{a_2}x_2^{b_2} : a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \geq 0\}$ form a \mathbb{K} -basis.

Let us define $z_0 = 1$, $z_1 = x_1y_1 - y_1x_1$ and $z_2 = x_2y_2 - y_2x_2$ with in $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. Then we can easily verify the following equivalent expressions:

$$z_1 = 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1x_1, \quad z_2 = z_1 + (q_2 - 1)y_2x_2. \quad (2.3)$$

These elements commute with each other, i.e., $z_1z_2 = z_2z_1$, and are normal elements satisfying the following commutation relations with the generators:

$$\begin{aligned} z_1x_1 &= q_1^{-1}x_1z_1, & z_1y_1 &= q_1y_1z_1, & z_1x_2 &= x_2z_1, & z_1y_2 &= y_2z_1, \\ z_2x_1 &= q_1^{-1}x_1z_2, & z_2y_1 &= q_1y_1z_2, & z_2x_2 &= q_2^{-1}x_2z_2, & z_2y_2 &= q_2y_2z_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Lemma 2.1. ([2, 1.4]) *For $k \geq 1$, the following identities hold in $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$:*

- (1) $x_i^k y_i = q_i^k y_i x_i^k + \frac{q_i^k - 1}{q_i - 1} z_{i-1} x_i^{k-1}$ for $i = 1, 2$.
- (2) $x_i y_i^k = q_i^k y_i^k x_i + \frac{q_i^k - 1}{q_i - 1} z_{i-1} y_i^{k-1}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that $q_1^l = q_2^l = \lambda^l = 1$. Then the elements $x_1^l, y_1^l, x_2^l, y_2^l$ are in the center of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$.*

Proof. This follows from the defining relations of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ together with Lemma 2.1. \square

2.2. Polynomial Identity Algebra. In the roots of unity setting, the quantum Weyl algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ becomes a finitely generated module over its center, by Corollary 2.2. Hence as a result [15, Corollary 13.1.13], the algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ becomes a polynomial identity algebra. This sufficient condition on the parameters to be PI algebra is also necessary.

Proposition 2.3. ([18, Proposition 2.4.1]) *The quantum Weyl algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is a PI algebra if and only if the parameters q_1, q_2 and λ are roots of unity.*

Kaplansky's Theorem has a striking consequence in the case of a prime affine PI algebra over an algebraically closed field.

Proposition 2.4. ([4, Theorem I.13.5]) *Let A be a prime affine PI algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} and V be a simple module over A . Then V is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{K} with $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(V) \leq \text{PI-deg}(A)$.*

This result provides the important link between the PI degree of a prime affine PI algebra over an algebraically closed field and its irreducible representations. Moreover, the upper bound $\text{PI-deg}(A)$ is attained for such an algebra A (cf. [4, Lemma III.1.2]).

Remark 2.5. *The algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ at roots of unity is classified as a prime affine PI algebra. From the above discussion, it is evident that each simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module is finite-dimensional and has dimension at most $\text{PI-deg } A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. Therefore the computation of the PI degree for $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is of substantial importance. Section 3 will focus on determining the PI degree of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$.*

2.3. Isomorphisms of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. We discuss certain isomorphisms of the algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ derived from the symmetry of its defining relations, which play a crucial role in classifying simple modules. Let $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$ (resp. $\{X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2\}$) be a generating basis for $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ (resp. $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$).

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^3$ is one of the following tuples:*

- (1) (q_1, q_2, λ) ,
- (2) $(q_1^{-1}, q_2, \lambda^{-1})$,
- (3) $(q_1, q_2^{-1}, (q_1\lambda)^{-1})$,
- (4) $(q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}, q_1\lambda)$.

Then the algebra $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$.

Proof. We define a rule $\theta : A(p_1, p_2, \gamma) \rightarrow A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ in each case by

- (1) $X_1 \mapsto x_1, Y_1 \mapsto y_1, X_2 \mapsto x_2, Y_2 \mapsto y_2$;
- (2) $X_1 \mapsto -q_1 y_1, Y_1 \mapsto x_1, X_2 \mapsto x_2, Y_2 \mapsto q_1 y_2$;
- (3) $X_1 \mapsto x_1, Y_1 \mapsto y_1, X_2 \mapsto -q_2 y_2, Y_2 \mapsto x_2$;
- (4) $X_1 \mapsto -q_1 y_1, Y_1 \mapsto x_1, X_2 \mapsto -q_2 y_2, Y_2 \mapsto q_1 x_2$.

We can easily verify that these images satisfy the defining relations of $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ and therefore extend to bijective homomorphisms. \square

3. PI DEGREE FOR SECOND QUANTUM WEYL ALGEBRA

In this section, we compute an explicit expression of the PI degree for second quantum Weyl algebra at roots of unity. In [18, Theorem 3.1], we determined the PI degree under the divisibility condition. Here we aim to obtain this invariant without assuming the divisibility condition. To achieve this, we employ the derivation erasing process, independent of characteristic, as established by Leroy and Matczuk [14, Theorem 7], and subsequently apply a key algorithm for computing the PI degree of quantum affine spaces introduced by De Concini and Procesi [6, Proposition 7.1]. Given a multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})$, the quantum affine n -space is the \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ generated by the variables x_1, \dots, x_n subject to the relations

$$x_i x_j = \lambda_{ij} x_j x_i, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

In the roots of unity context, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ becomes a PI algebra (cf. [4, Proposition I.14.2]). The following result simplifies the key algorithm [6, Proposition 7.1] through the properties of the integral matrix associated with Λ .

Proposition 3.1. ([20, Lemma 5.7]) *Suppose that $\lambda_{ij} = q^{h_{ij}}$ where $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$ is a primitive m -th root of unity and $H = (h_{ij})$ be a skew-symmetric integral matrix with $\text{rank}(H) = 2s$ and invariant factors $h_1, h_1, \dots, h_s, h_s$. Then PI degree of $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^n)$ is given as*

$$\text{PI-deg } \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^n) = \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{m}{\gcd(h_i, m)}.$$

Step 1: (Derivation Erasing) The algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ possesses an iterated skew polynomial presentation of the form

$$\mathbb{K}[y_1][x_1, \tau_1, \delta_1][y_2, \sigma_2][x_2, \tau_2, \delta_2]$$

where the twisted automorphisms and derivations are mentioned in (2.2). Note that

$$\delta_1(\tau_1(y_1)) = q_1 \tau_1(\delta_1(y_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2(\tau_2(y_2)) = q_2 \tau_2(\delta_2(y_2)).$$

The second equality holds trivially if y_2 is replaced by y_1 and x_1 . So the pair (τ_i, δ_i) satisfies the skew relation $\delta_j \tau_j = q_j \tau_j \delta_j$ ($q_j \neq 1$) for each $j = 1, 2$. Moreover, we can check that all the hypotheses of the derivation erasing process in [14, Theorem 7] are satisfied by the skew polynomial presentation of the PI algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. Hence it follows that $\text{PI-deg } A(q_1, q_2, \lambda) = \text{PI-deg } \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)$ where the (4×4) -matrix of relations Λ is

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q_1^{-1} & \lambda & q_1^{-1} \lambda^{-1} \\ q_1 & 1 & \lambda^{-1} & q_1 \lambda \\ \lambda^{-1} & \lambda & 1 & q_2^{-1} \\ q_1 \lambda & q_1^{-1} \lambda^{-1} & q_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Step 2: (Integral matrix) Now we wish to form an integral matrix associated with Λ under the root of unity assumption. Recall that q_1, q_2 and λ are primitive l_1 -th, l_2 -th, and l_3 -th roots of unity, respectively. Suppose Γ denotes the multiplicative group generated by q_1, q_2 and λ . Then Γ becomes a cyclic group of order $l := \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ with a generator q , say. Then we can choose $0 < s_1, s_2, s_3 \leq l$ with s_i divides l such that

$$\langle q_1 \rangle = \langle q^{s_1} \rangle, \quad \langle q_2 \rangle = \langle q^{s_2} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \lambda \rangle = \langle q^{s_3} \rangle.$$

Therefore there exists nonzero integers k_1, k_2 and an integer k_3 such that

$$q_1 = q^{s_1 k_1}, \quad q_2 = q^{s_2 k_2}, \quad \lambda = q^{s_3 k_3}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $l = s_1 l_1 = s_2 l_2 = s_3 l_3$ and $\gcd(k_1, l_1) = \gcd(k_2, l_2) = 1$. Thus the skew-symmetric integral matrix associated with Λ becomes

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -s_1 k_1 & s_3 k_3 & -s_1 k_1 - s_3 k_3 \\ s_1 k_1 & 0 & -s_3 k_3 & s_1 k_1 + s_3 k_3 \\ -s_3 k_3 & s_3 k_3 & 0 & -s_2 k_2 \\ s_1 k_1 + s_3 k_3 & -s_1 k_1 - s_3 k_3 & s_2 k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we can verify that $\det(B) = (s_1 k_1 s_2 k_2)^2 \neq 0$ and hence $\text{rank}(B) = 4$.

Step 3: (Invariant factors) Suppose that $h_1 | h_1 | h_2 | h_2$ are the invariant factors for B . In this context, by applying Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\text{PI-deg } A(q_1, q_2, \lambda) = \text{PI-deg}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)) = \frac{l}{\gcd(h_1, l)} \times \frac{l}{\gcd(h_2, l)}. \quad (3.3)$$

In the following, we derive a simplified expression for the denominators of (3.3). Now it follows from the relations between the invariant factors and determinantal divisors (cf. [19,

Chapter 2]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1 &= \text{first determinantal divisor of } B = \gcd(s_1 k_1, s_2 k_2, s_3 k_3) \\
 h_2 &= \frac{\text{fourth determinantal divisor of } B}{\text{third determinantal divisor of } B} \\
 &= \frac{(s_1 k_1 s_2 k_2)^2}{\gcd(s_1 k_1 (s_2 k_2)^2, (s_1 k_1)^2 s_2 k_2, s_1 k_1 s_2 k_2 s_3 k_3)} \\
 &= \frac{s_1 k_1 s_2 k_2}{\gcd(s_1 k_1, s_2 k_2, s_3 k_3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h_1 h_2 = s_1 k_1 s_2 k_2$. The following lemma is instrumental for obtaining a simplified expression of the PI degree as in (3.3).

Lemma 3.2. *Under the above setting the following results hold:*

- (1) $l = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2) \gcd(s_1, s_2) = \text{lcm}(s_1, s_2) \gcd(l_1, l_2)$.
- (2) $l = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2) \times \text{ord}(\lambda^{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2)})$ and $\text{ord}(\lambda^{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2)}) = \gcd(s_1, s_2)$.

Proof. (1) The equality $l = l_1 s_1 = l_2 s_2$ can be rewritten as

$$l = l_1 \gcd(s_1, s_2) \times \frac{s_1}{\gcd(s_1, s_2)} = l_2 \gcd(s_1, s_2) \times \frac{s_2}{\gcd(s_1, s_2)}$$

and

$$l = s_1 \gcd(l_1, l_2) \times \frac{l_1}{\gcd(l_1, l_2)} = s_2 \gcd(l_1, l_2) \times \frac{l_2}{\gcd(l_1, l_2)}.$$

This implies that

$$l = \text{lcm}(l_1 \gcd(s_1, s_2), l_2 \gcd(s_1, s_2)) = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2) \gcd(s_1, s_2) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$l = \text{lcm}(s_1 \gcd(l_1, l_2), s_2 \gcd(l_1, l_2)) = \text{lcm}(s_1, s_2) \gcd(l_1, l_2).$$

(2) As $l = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2, l_3)$, so it can be written as

$$l = \frac{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2) \times l_3}{\gcd(\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2), l_3)} = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2) \times \text{ord}(\lambda^{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2)}). \quad (3.5)$$

Now comparing the equality (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain $\gcd(s_1, s_2) = \text{ord}(\lambda^{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2)})$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *The invariant factors h_1 and h_2 for B satisfy the following relations*

$$\gcd(h_1, l) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(h_2, l) = \frac{s_1 s_2}{\text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2})}.$$

Proof. Recall the expressions of h_1 and h_2 from Step 3. Suppose $\gcd(h_1, l) = d$. Then d divides $s_1 k_1, s_2 k_2, s_3 k_3$ and l . Now using the equalities in (3.2) we can simplify

$$q_1^{\frac{l}{d}} = q^{\frac{s_1 k_1 l}{d}} = 1, \quad q_2^{\frac{l}{d}} = q^{\frac{s_2 k_2 l}{d}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{\frac{l}{d}} = q^{\frac{s_3 k_3 l}{d}} = 1.$$

This implies that l_1, l_2 and l_3 divide $\frac{l}{d}$ and hence $l = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2, l_3)$ divides $\frac{l}{d}$. Thus we can conclude that $\gcd(h_1, l) = 1$.

For the second part, let us simplify the following using Lemma 3.2 and the equality $\gcd(k_1, l_1) = \gcd(k_2, l_2) = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gcd(h_2, l) &= \gcd(h_1 h_2, l) = \gcd(s_1 k_1 s_2 k_2, l) \\
&= \text{lcm}(s_1, s_2) \gcd(k_1 k_2 \gcd(s_1, s_2), \gcd(l_1, l_2)) \\
&= \text{lcm}(s_1, s_2) \gcd(\gcd(s_1, s_2), \gcd(l_1, l_2)) \\
&= s_1 s_2 \frac{\gcd(\gcd(s_1, s_2), \gcd(l_1, l_2))}{\gcd(s_1, s_2)} \\
&= s_1 s_2 \frac{\gcd(\text{ord}(\lambda^{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2)}), \gcd(l_1, l_2))}{\text{ord}(\lambda^{\text{lcm}(l_1, l_2)})} \\
&= \frac{s_1 s_2}{\text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Step 4: (Final step) Finally simplify the equality (3.3) with Proposition 3.3 as follows:

$$\text{PI-deg } A(q_1, q_2, \lambda) = \text{PI-deg}(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)) = \frac{l^2}{s_1 s_2} \times \text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2}) = l_1 l_2 \text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2}).$$

Notation: Denote the $\text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2})$ by L_3 .

Thus we have proved that

Theorem 3.4. *The PI degree of quantum Weyl algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is given by*

$$\text{PI-deg}(A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)) = l_1 l_2 L_3.$$

Corollary 3.5. *Assume the divisibility condition $l_3 := \text{ord}(\lambda)$ divides $l_1 := \text{ord}(q_1)$. Then $L_3 = \text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2}) = 1$. Consequently, the PI degree of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ established in [18, Theorem 3.1] under this divisibility condition is recovered as a special case of the above theorem.*

Remark 3.6. *Note that $\gcd(l_1, L_3) = \gcd(l_2, L_3) = 1$. Since $\gcd(h_1, l) = 1$, it follows from equality (3.3) that $\text{PI-deg } A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is divisible by $l = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2, l_3)$.*

Corollary 3.7. *In the following, we identify certain key commuting elements.*

- (1) *The elements $x_1^{l_1 L_3}, y_1^{l_1 L_3}, x_2^{l_2}, y_2^{l_2}, z_1$ and z_2 commute with each other in $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$.*
- (2) *The elements $x_1^{l_1}, y_1^{l_1}, x_2^{l_2 L_3}, y_2^{l_2 L_3}, z_1$ and z_2 commute with each other in $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$.*

This can be easily verified using the defining relations of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ together with Lemma 2.1 and Remark 3.6. These commuting elements will play an important role in the classification of simple modules.

4. SIMPLE MODULES OVER $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$

In the root of unity context, the second quantum Weyl algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ is classified as a prime affine PI algebra. Suppose M is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module. Then M is finite-dimensional vector space and can have dimension at most $\text{PI-deg } A(q_1, q_2, \lambda) = l_1 l_2 L_3$. As each z_i is a normal element satisfying (2.4), then the \mathbb{K} -space

$$\ker(z_i) := \{v \in M : v z_i = 0\}$$

is a submodule of the simple module M . Thus the action of a normal element z_i on M is either zero (called M is z_i -torsion) or invertible (called M is z_i -torsionfree). Based on this observation, the classification can be divided into the following cases:

Type-I: Simple z_1, z_2 -torsionfree $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules.

Type-II: Simple z_1 -torsionfree and z_2 -torsion $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules.

Type-III: Simple z_1 -torsion $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules.

In the following sections, we will focus on the classification process for each type.

5. CONSTRUCTION OF TYPE-I SIMPLE MODULES

In this section we wish to construct Type-I simple modules over $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$.

5.1. Simple Modules $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$. For $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^4$, let $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ be the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis $e(a_1, a_2)$ where $0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 L_3 - 1$ and $0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$. Define the action of each generator of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ on $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e(a_1, a_2)x_1 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1 e(a_1 + 1, a_2), & 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 L_3 - 2 \\ \mu_1 \lambda^{-a_2 l_1 L_3} e(0, a_2), & a_1 = l_1 L_3 - 1 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)x_2 &= \begin{cases} \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{a_1} e(a_1, a_2 + 1), & 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 2 \\ \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{a_1} e(a_1, 0), & a_2 = l_2 - 1 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_1 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1^{-1} \frac{q_1^{a_1} \mu_3 - 1}{q_1 - 1} e(a_1 - 1, a_2), & a_1 \neq 0 \\ \mu_1^{-1} \lambda^{a_2 l_1 L_3} \frac{\mu_3 - 1}{q_1 - 1} e(l_1 L_3 - 1, a_2), & a_1 = 0 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_2 &= \begin{cases} \mu_2^{-1} \lambda^{-a_1} \frac{q_2^{a_2} \mu_4 - \mu_3}{q_2 - 1} e(a_1, a_2 - 1), & a_2 \neq 0 \\ \mu_2^{-1} \lambda^{-a_1} \frac{\mu_4 - \mu_3}{q_2 - 1} e(a_1, l_2 - 1), & a_2 = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the \mathbb{K} -endomorphisms of $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ defined by the above rules indeed preserve the defining relations of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. Thus $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ becomes an $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module. The action of the normal elements z_1 and z_2 are given by $e(a_1, a_2)z_1 = \mu_3 q_1^{a_1} e(a_1, a_2)$ and $e(a_1, a_2)z_2 = \mu_4 q_1^{a_1} q_2^{a_2} e(a_1, a_2)$, respectively.

Theorem 5.1. *The module $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module of dimension $l_1 l_2 L_3$.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{W} is a nonzero submodule of $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$. We claim that $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$. Let $w = \sum_{a,b} \xi_{ab} e(a, b)$, with $\xi_{ab} \in \mathbb{K}$, be a nonzero element of \mathcal{W} . Suppose there are two nonzero scalars, say ξ_{kt}, ξ_{rs} in the presentation of w . Here (k, t) and (r, s) are distinct pairs with $0 \leq k, r \leq l_1 L_3 - 1$ and $0 \leq t, s \leq l_2 - 1$. Since \mathcal{W} is a submodule, then the vectors wz_1, wz_2 and $wx_2^{l_2}$ are in \mathcal{W} , where

$$wz_1 = \sum_{a,b} \mu_3 q_1^a \xi_{ab} e(a, b), \quad wz_2 = \sum_{a,b} \mu_4 q_1^a q_2^b \xi_{ab} e(a, b)$$

$$\text{and } wx_2^{l_2} = \sum_{a,b} \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{a l_2} \xi_{ab} e(a, b), \text{ respectively.}$$

Case 1: Suppose $k \not\equiv r \pmod{l_1}$. Then the vector $wz_1 - \mu_3 q_1^k w \in \mathcal{W}$, where

$$wz_1 - \mu_3 q_1^k w = \sum_{a,b: a \neq k} \mu_3 (q_1^a - q_1^k) \xi_{ab} e(a, b).$$

This is a nonzero vector in \mathcal{W} since l_1 does not divide $(r - k)$ and $\mu_3 \xi_{rs} \neq 0$.

Case 2: Suppose $k \equiv r \pmod{l_1}$ and $1 \leq t \neq s \leq l_1 - 1$. Then the vector

$$wz_2 - \mu_4 q_1^k q_2^t w = \sum_{a \neq k; b \neq t} \mu_4 (q_1^a q_2^b - q_1^k q_2^t) \xi_{ab} e(a, b)$$

is a nonzero vector in \mathcal{W} , because $\mu_4 (q_1^r q_2^s - q_1^k q_2^t) \xi_{rs} \neq 0$.

Case 3: Suppose $k \equiv r \pmod{l_1}$ and $t = s$. Then consider the vector $wx_2^{l_2} - \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} w \in \mathcal{W}$, where

$$wx_2^{l_2} - \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} w = \sum_{a, b: a \neq k} \mu_2 \left((q_1 \lambda)^{al_2} - (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} \right) \xi_{ab} e(a, b).$$

If $wx_2^{l_2} - \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} w = 0$, then in particular we have

$$(q_1 \lambda)^{rl_2} = (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} \implies \lambda^{(r-k)l_2} = 1 \implies L_3 = \text{ord}(\lambda^{l_1 l_2}) \text{ divides } (r - k).$$

Also by our assumption, l_1 divides $(r - k)$. Thus we obtain $l_1 L_3$ divides $(r - k)$, since $\gcd(l_1, L_3) = 1$. This contradicts the fact that (k, t) and (r, s) are distinct pairs. Therefore $wx_2^{l_2} - \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} w \in \mathcal{W}$ is nonzero.

Thus in either case $wz_1 - \mu_3 q_1^k w$ or $wz_2 - \mu_4 q_1^k q_2^t w$ or $wx_2^{l_2} - \mu_2 (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} w$ is a nonzero vector in \mathcal{W} of length smaller than w . Hence by induction, it follows that \mathcal{W} contains a basis vector of the form $e(a, b)$. Then by the action of the generators of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ on $e(a, b)$, we obtain $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *The simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ and $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu}')$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist $0 \leq r \leq l_1 L_3 - 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq l_2 - 1$ such that*

$$\mu_1^{l_1 L_3} = (\mu_1' \lambda^{-s})^{l_1 L_3}, \mu_2^{l_2} = (\mu_2')^{l_2} (q_1 \lambda)^{rl_2}, \mu_3 = q_1^r \mu_3' \text{ and } \mu_4 = q_1^r q_2^s \mu_4'. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Suppose $\psi : \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu}')$ is a module isomorphism. Observe that $e(a_1, a_2) = \mu_1^{-a_1} \mu_2^{-a_2} e(0, 0) x_2^{a_2} x_1^{a_1}$ holds in $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$. Then ψ can be uniquely determined by the image $\psi(e(0, 0))$, i.e., say

$$\psi(e(0, 0)) = \sum_{a, b} \xi_{ab} e(a, b), \text{ for } \xi_{ab} \in \mathbb{K}^*. \quad (5.2)$$

Suppose two nonzero coefficients exist in (5.2), say, ξ_{kt} and ξ_{rs} . Then $(k, t) \neq (r, s)$. Now equating the coefficients of basis vectors on both sides of the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(e(0, 0)z_1) &= \psi(e(0, 0))z_1, \quad \psi(e(0, 0)z_2) = \psi(e(0, 0))z_2 \\ \text{and } \psi(e(0, 0)x_2^{l_2}) &= \psi(e(0, 0))x_2^{l_2} \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

we obtain, respectively,

$$\mu_3 = \mu_3' q_1^k = \mu_3' q_1^r, \mu_4 = \mu_4' q_1^k q_2^t = \mu_4' q_1^r q_2^s \text{ and } \mu_2 = \mu_2' (q_1 \lambda)^{kl_2} = \mu_2' (q_1 \lambda)^{rl_2}.$$

This implies that

$$k \equiv r \pmod{l_1}, \quad t \equiv s \pmod{l_2} \text{ and } k \equiv r \pmod{L_3},$$

which contradicts the fact $(k, t) \neq (r, s)$. Therefore the image in (5.2) is of the form

$$\psi(e(0, 0)) = \xi e(r, s),$$

for some $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and for some r, s with $0 \leq r \leq l_1 L_3 - 1$, $0 \leq s \leq l_2 - 1$. Now the equalities in (5.3) and $\psi(e(0, 0)x_1^{l_1 L_3}) = \psi(e(0, 0))x_1^{l_1 L_3}$ gives the required relations (5.1) between $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}'$.

Conversely assume the relations (5.1) between $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}'$. Let us define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi : \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu}')$ by specifying the images of the basis vectors as

$$\phi(e(a, b)) = \xi_{ab} e(a \dot{+} r, b \oplus s),$$

where $\dot{+}$ and \oplus are addition modulo $l_1 L_3$ and l_2 , respectively, and

$$\xi_{ab} = \begin{cases} (\mu_1^{-1} \mu_1')^a (\mu_2^{-1} \mu_2')^b (q_1 \lambda)^{rb} & \text{when } a \dot{+} r > 0 \\ (\mu_1^{-1} \mu_1')^a (\mu_2^{-1} \mu_2')^b (q_1 \lambda)^{rb} \lambda^{-sl_1 L_3} & \text{when } a \dot{+} r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that ϕ is a bijection. Then using the relations (5.1), we can easily verify that ϕ is an $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module isomorphism. \square

5.2. Simple Modules $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$. For $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^2$, let $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$ denotes the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis $e(a_1, a_2)$ where $0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$. Now define the action of each generator of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ on $e(a_1, a_2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e(a_1, a_2)x_1 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1 e(a_1 + 1, a_2), & 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 2 \\ \lambda^{a_2 l_1} \mu_1 e(0, a_2), & a_1 = l_1 - 1 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_1 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1^{-1} \frac{q_1^{a_1} \mu_2 - 1}{q_1 - 1} e(a_1 - 1, a_2), & a_1 \neq 0 \\ \lambda^{-a_2 l_1} \mu_1^{-1} \frac{\mu_2 - 1}{q_1 - 1} e(l_1 - 1, a_2), & a_1 = 0 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)x_2 &= \begin{cases} (q_1 \lambda)^{a_1} \frac{q_2^{-a_2} - 1}{q_2 - 1} \mu_2 e(a_1, a_2 - 1), & a_2 \neq 0 \\ 0, & a_2 = 0 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_2 &= \begin{cases} \lambda^{-a_1} e(a_1, a_2 + 1), & 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 2 \\ 0, & a_2 = l_2 - 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the above action indeed defines an $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$.

Theorem 5.3. *The module $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$ is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module of dimension $l_1 l_2$.*

Proof. Each vector $e(a_1, a_2)$ is an eigenvector under the action of z_1 and z_2 with eigenvalues $\mu_2 q_1^{a_1}$ and $\mu_2 q_1^{a_1} q_2^{-a_2 - 1}$, respectively. Given this fact, the proof follows the same approach as that of Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.4. *The simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$ and $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mu_1^{l_1} = (\mu_1')^{l_1}$ and $\mu_2 = q_1^r \mu_2'$ for some $0 \leq r \leq l_1 - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $\psi : \mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}')$ is a module isomorphism. Using an argument similar to the one in Theorem 5.2, we obtain $\psi(e(0, 0)) = \xi e(r, s)$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and for some $0 \leq r \leq l_1 - 1$, $0 \leq s \leq l_2 - 1$. Simplifying the equality $\psi(e(0, 0)x_2) = \psi(e(0, 0))x_2$ yields $0 = \xi e(r, s)x_2$ in $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}')$. This implies, by the action of x_2 in $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}')$, that $s = 0$. Therefore $\psi(e(0, 0)) = \xi e(r, 0)$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and for some $0 \leq r \leq l_1 - 1$. Then the action of $x_1^{l_1}$ and z_1 under ψ provide the required relations between $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}'$.

Conversely assume the relations between $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}'$. Define a linear map $\phi : \mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}')$ on the \mathbb{K} -basis $e(a, b)$ of $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$ by $\phi(e(a, b)) = (\mu_1^{-1} \mu_1')^a \lambda^{-rb} e(a \oplus r, b)$, where \oplus denotes addition modulo l_1 . It can be easily verify that ϕ is an $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module isomorphism. \square

5.3. Simple Modules $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$. For $\underline{\mu} := (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^2$, let $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$ denotes the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis $e(a_1, a_2)$ where $0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$. Now define the action of each generator of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ on $e(a_1, a_2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e(a_1, a_2)x_1 &= \begin{cases} \frac{q_1^{-a_1} - 1}{q_1 - 1} e(q_1 - 1, a_2), & a_1 \neq 0 \\ 0, & a_1 = 0 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_1 &= \begin{cases} e(a_1 + 1, a_2), & 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 2 \\ 0, & a_1 = l_1 - 1 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)x_2 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1 (q_1 \lambda)^{-a_1} e(a_1, a_2 + 1), & 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 2 \\ \mu_1 (q_1 \lambda)^{-a_1} e(a_1, 0), & a_2 = l_2 - 1 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_2 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1^{-1} \lambda^{a_1} \frac{q_2^{a_2} \mu_2 - q_1^{-1}}{q_2 - 1} e(a_1, a_2 - 1), & a_2 \neq 0 \\ \mu_1^{-1} \lambda^{a_1} \frac{\mu_2 - q_1^{-1}}{q_2 - 1} e(a_1, l_2 - 1), & a_2 = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the above action defines an $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$.

Theorem 5.5. *The module $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$ is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module of dimension $l_1 l_2$.*

Proof. Each vector $e(a_1, a_2)$ is an eigenvector under the action of z_1 and z_2 with eigenvalues $q_1^{-a_1-1}$ and $\mu_2 q_1^{-a_1} q_2^{a_2}$, respectively. Given this, the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.6. *The simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$ and $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu}')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mu_1^{l_2} = (\mu_1')^{l_2}$ and $\mu_2 = q_1^s \mu_2'$ for some $0 \leq s \leq l_2 - 1$.*

Proof. The proof is parallel to Theorem 5.4. \square

5.4. Simple Modules \mathcal{V}_4 . Let \mathcal{V}_4 be the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis $e(a_1, a_2)$ where $0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 1$ and $0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$. The action of the generators of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ on each $e(a_1, a_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e(a_1, a_2)x_1 &= \begin{cases} \frac{q_1^{-a_1} - 1}{q_1 - 1} e(a_1 - 1, a_2), & a_1 \neq 0 \\ 0, & a_1 = 0 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_1 &= \begin{cases} e(a_1 + 1, a_2), & 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 2 \\ 0, & a_1 = l_1 - 1 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)x_2 &= \begin{cases} (q_1 \lambda)^{-a_1} \frac{q_2^{-a_2} - 1}{q_2 - 1} q_1^{-1} e(a_1, a_2 - 1), & a_2 \neq 0 \\ 0, & a_2 = 0 \end{cases} \\ e(a_1, a_2)y_2 &= \begin{cases} \lambda^{a_1} e(a_1, a_2 + 1), & 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 2 \\ 0, & a_2 = l_2 - 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the above action defines an $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module structure on \mathcal{V}_4 .

Theorem 5.7. *The module \mathcal{V}_4 is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module of dimension $l_1 l_2$.*

Proof. Each vector $e(a_1, a_2)$ is an eigenvector under the action of z_1 and z_2 with eigenvalues $q_1^{-a_1-1}$ and $q_1^{-a_1-1}q_2^{-a_2-1}$, respectively. Given this, the proof follows the same approach as that of Theorem 5.1. \square

Remark 5.8. *It is evident from the action of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ that*

- (1) *no nonzero vector in $\mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu})$ is annihilated by x_1 or x_2 .*
- (2) *no nonzero vector in $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$ is annihilated by x_1 , whereas $e(0, 0)$ in $\mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu})$ is annihilated by x_2 .*
- (3) *no nonzero vector in $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$ is annihilated by x_2 , while $e(0, 0)$ in $\mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu})$ is annihilated by x_1 .*
- (4) *The vector $e(0, 0)$ in \mathcal{V}_4 is annihilated by both x_1 and x_2 .*

Thus the four simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules are not isomorphic to each other.

6. CLASSIFICATION OF TYPE-I SIMPLE MODULES

Let M be a z_1, z_2 -torsionfree simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module. This means the actions of z_1 and z_2 on M are invertible. By Schur's lemma, each central element x_1^l, y_1^l, x_2^l , and y_2^l acts as a scalar multiple of the identity operator on M . Consequently, the operators x_1, y_1, x_2 , and y_2 are either nilpotent or invertible on M . Recall the key commuting elements of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ as described in Corollary 3.7. In the subsequent analysis, we will determine the structure of simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules M based on whether these operators exhibit nilpotent or invertible actions.

6.1. The operators $(x_1 \text{ or } y_1)$ -invertible and $(x_2 \text{ or } y_2)$ -invertible.

Case 1: Suppose that M is a Type-I simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module with invertible actions of x_1 and x_2 . Note that each of the elements

$$x_1^{l_1 L_3}, x_2^{l_2}, z_1, z_2 \tag{6.1}$$

of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ commutes with each other (see Corollary 3.7). As M is finite-dimensional, there is a common eigenvector v of the commuting operators (6.1). Take

$$vx_1^{l_1 L_3} = \alpha_1 v, vx_2^{l_2} = \alpha_2 v, vz_1 = \gamma_1 v, vz_2 = \gamma_2 v,$$

for some scalars $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then the vectors

$$vx_2^{a_2} x_1^{a_1}, \quad 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 L_3 - 1, \quad 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$$

of M are nonzero. Take $\underline{\mu} := (\alpha_1^{\frac{1}{l_1 L_3}}, \alpha_2^{\frac{1}{l_2}}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^4$. Define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi_1 : \mathcal{V}_1(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow M$ by $\phi_1(e(a_1, a_2)) = \mu_1^{-a_1} \mu_2^{-a_2} vx_2^{a_2} x_1^{a_1}$. We can verify that ϕ_1 is a nonzero $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module homomorphism. Thus by Schur's lemma, ϕ_1 becomes a module isomorphism.

Case 2: Suppose M is a Type-I simple module over $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ with invertible actions of y_1 and x_2 . Then by Proposition 2.6, M can be a simple module over $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ for $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) = (q_1^{-1}, q_2, \lambda^{-1})$ with invertible actions of X_1 and X_2 . This type of simple $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ -module is classified in [Subsection 6.1, Case 1].

Case 3: Suppose that M is a Type-I simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module with invertible actions of x_1 and y_2 . Then by Proposition 2.6, M becomes a simple module over $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ for $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) = (q_1, q_2^{-1}, (q_1 \lambda)^{-1})$ with invertible action of X_1 and X_2 . This kind of simple $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ -module is classified in [Subsection 6.1, Case 1].

Case 4: Suppose M is a Type-I simple module over $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ with invertible actions of y_1 and y_2 . Then by Proposition 2.6, M becomes a simple module over $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ for $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) = (q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1}, q_1 \lambda)$ with invertible action of X_1 and X_2 . This type of simple

$A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ -module is classified in [Subsection 6.1, Case 1].

6.2. The operators $(x_1$ or $y_1)$ -invertible and $(x_2$ and $y_2)$ -nilpotent. Suppose that M is a Type-I simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module with nilpotent action of each x_2 and y_2 . Consider the \mathbb{K} -space $\ker(x_2) := \{v \in M \mid vx_2 = 0\}$. Clearly $\ker(x_2) \neq \{0\}$. Based on the commutation relation with x_2 , the \mathbb{K} -space $\ker(x_2)$ is invariant under each of the commuting operators

$$x_1^{l_1}, y_1^{l_1}, y_2^{l_2 L_3}, z_1, z_2. \quad (6.2)$$

Therefore there is a common eigenvector w in $\ker(x_2)$ of the commuting operators (6.2). So we can take

$$\begin{aligned} wx_1^{l_1} &= \alpha_1 w, & wx_2 &= 0, & wz_1 &= \gamma_1 w, \\ wy_1^{l_1} &= \beta_1 w, & wy_2^{l_2 L_3} &= \beta_2 w, & wz_2 &= \gamma_2 w. \end{aligned}$$

for some scalars $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Then from the equality $q_2 z_2 = z_1 + (q_2 - 1)x_2 y_2$ we obtain $\gamma_2 = q_2^{-1} \gamma_1$. As y_2^l is central and $l_2 L_3$ divides l , the nilpotent action of y_2 implies that $\beta_2 = 0$. Suppose there exists an integer $1 \leq r \leq l_2 L_3$ such that $wy_2^{r-1} \neq 0$ and $wy_2^r = 0$. Now we can simplify the equality $(wy_2^r)x_2 = 0$ using Lemma 2.1 as follows

$$0 = (wy_2^r)x_2 = wq_2^{-r} \left(x_2 y_2^r - \frac{q_2^r - 1}{q_2 - 1} \right) z_1 y_2^{r-1} = -\gamma_1 q_2^{-r} \frac{q_2^r - 1}{q_2 - 1} w y_2^{r-1}.$$

This implies that $q_2^r = 1$ for some $1 \leq r \leq l_2 L_3$ and so l_2 divides r . Now if $r > l_2$, the $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -submodule generated by $wy_2^{l_2}$ becomes a nonzero proper submodule of the simple module M . Therefore we obtain $r = l_2$.

Case 1: First consider $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Then x_1 is an invertible operator on M , as $x_1^{l_1}$ is central and l_1 divides l . Note that the vectors

$$wy_2^{a_2} x_1^{a_1}, \quad 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 1, \quad 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$$

of M are nonzero. Take $\underline{\mu} := (\alpha_1^{-\frac{1}{l_1}}, \gamma_1) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^2$. Define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi_2 : \mathcal{V}_2(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow M$ by $\phi_2(e(a_1, a_2)) = \mu_1^{-a_1} wy_2^{a_2} x_1^{a_1}$. We can verify that ϕ_2 is a nonzero $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module homomorphism. Thus by Schur's lemma, ϕ_2 becomes a module isomorphism.

Case 2: Next consider $\beta_1 \neq 0$. Then y_1 is an invertible operator on M . In this case, M becomes a simple module over $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ for $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) = (q_1^{-1}, q_2, \lambda^{-1})$ with invertible action of X_1 and nilpotent actions of X_2 and Y_2 . The structure of this simple module is classified in [Subsection 6.2, Case 1].

6.3. The operators $(x_1$ and $y_1)$ -nilpotent and $(x_2$ or $y_2)$ -invertible. Suppose that M is a Type-I simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module with nilpotent action of each x_1 and y_1 . Then the \mathbb{K} -space

$$\ker(x_1) := \{v \in M \mid vx_1 = 0\}$$

is a nonzero subspace of M . Note that this space is invariant under each of the commuting operators

$$y_1^{l_1 L_3}, x_2^{l_2}, y_2^{l_2}, z_1, z_2. \quad (6.3)$$

Therefore there is a common eigenvector w in $\ker(x_1)$ of the commuting operators (6.3). Take

$$\begin{aligned} wx_1 &= 0, & wx_2^{l_2} &= \alpha_2 w, & wz_1 &= \gamma_1 w, \\ wy_1^{l_1 L_3} &= \beta_1 w, & wy_2^{l_2} &= \beta_2 w, & wz_2 &= \gamma_2 w, \end{aligned}$$

for some scalars $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{K}$. From this action, the equality $q_1 z_1 = 1 + (q_1 - 1)x_1 y_1$ gives $\gamma_1 = q_1^{-1}$. The nilpotent action of y_1 implies that $\beta_1 = 0$. Suppose there

exists an integer $1 \leq r \leq l_1 L_3$ such that $wy_1^{r-1} \neq 0$ and $wy_1^r = 0$. Now we can simplify the equality $(wy_1^r)x_1 = 0$ as follows

$$0 = (wy_1^r)x_1 = wq_1^{-r} \left(x_1 y_1^r - \frac{q_1^r - 1}{q_1 - 1} \right) y_1^{r-1} = -q_1^{-r} \frac{q_1^r - 1}{q_1 - 1} w y_1^{r-1}.$$

This implies that $q_1^r = 1$ for some $1 \leq r \leq l_1 L_3$ and hence l_1 divides r . Now if $r > l_1$, the $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -submodule generated by $wy_1^{l_1}$ becomes a nonzero proper submodule of the simple module M . Therefore we obtain $r = l_1$.

Case 1: First assume that $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Then x_2 acts as an invertible operator on M , as x_2^l is central and l_2 divides l . Therefore from the above discussion, we obtain that the vectors

$$wx_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1}, \quad 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 1, \quad 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$$

in M are nonzero. Set $\underline{\mu} := (\alpha_2^{\frac{1}{l_2}}, \gamma_2) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^2$. Define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi_3 : \mathcal{V}_3(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow M$ by $\phi_3(e(a_1, a_2)) = \mu_2^{-a_2} wx_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1}$. We can verify that ϕ_3 is a nonzero $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module homomorphism. Thus by Schur's lemma, ϕ_3 becomes a module isomorphism.

Case 2: Next assume that $\beta_2 \neq 0$. This implies that the operator y_2 acts as an invertible operator on M . In this case, M can be considered as a simple module over $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ for $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) = (q_1, q_2^{-1}, (q_1 \lambda)^{-1})$ with invertible action of X_2 and nilpotent actions of X_1 and Y_1 . The structure of this simple module is classified in [Subsection 6.3, Case 1].

6.4. The operators x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 -nilpotent. Suppose that M is a Type-I simple module over the algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$, with nilpotent action of each x_1, y_1, x_2 , and y_2 . Then the \mathbb{K} -spaces $\ker(x_1)$ and $\ker(x_2)$ are nonzero subspaces of M . The nilpotent operator x_2 must keep the \mathbb{K} -space $\ker(x_1)$ invariant, because of the commutation relation between x_1 and x_2 . Therefore $\ker(x_1) \cap \ker(x_2) \neq \{0\}$ and is invariant under each of the commuting operators

$$y_1^{l_1}, y_2^{l_2 L_3}, z_1, z_2. \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore there is a common eigenvector w in $\ker(x_1) \cap \ker(x_2)$ of the commuting operators (6.4). Take

$$\begin{aligned} wx_1 &= 0, & wy_1^{l_1} &= \beta_1 w, & wz_1 &= \gamma_1 w, \\ wx_2 &= 0, & wy_2^{l_2 L_3} &= \beta_2 w, & wz_2 &= \gamma_2 w, \end{aligned}$$

for some scalars $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{K}$. Based on the equality (2.3), we can determine the constant values $\gamma_1 = q_1^{-1}$ and $\gamma_2 = q_1^{-1} q_2^{-1}$. The fact that the actions of y_1 and y_2 are nilpotent means that $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$. Using a similar argument as in Subsections 6.2 and 6.3, we can show that l_1 and l_2 are the smallest positive integers for which $wy_1^{l_1-1} \neq 0$, $wy_1^{l_1} = 0$ and $wy_2^{l_2-1} \neq 0$, $wy_2^{l_2} = 0$, respectively. Therefore the vectors

$$wy_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1}, \quad 0 \leq a_1 \leq l_1 - 1, \quad 0 \leq a_2 \leq l_2 - 1$$

in M are non-zero. Define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi_4 : \mathcal{V}_4 \rightarrow M$ by $\phi_4(e(a_1, a_2)) = wy_2^{a_2} y_1^{a_1}$. We can verify that ϕ_4 is a nonzero $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module homomorphism. Thus by Schur's lemma, ϕ_4 becomes a module isomorphism.

7. CONSTRUCTION OF TYPE-II SIMPLE MODULES

In this section, we wish to construct Type-II simple modules over $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. Denote $d := \text{lcm}(l_1, l_3)$.

7.1. Simple Modules $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$. For $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^3$, let $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$ be the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis $\{v_k : 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$. Define the action of each generator of $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ on $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} v_k x_1 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1 v_{k+1} & 0 \leq k \leq d-2 \\ \mu_1 v_0 & k = d-1 \end{cases} & v_k x_2 &= (q_1 \lambda)^k \mu_2 v_k \\ v_k y_1 &= \begin{cases} \mu_1^{-1} \frac{q_1^k \mu_3 - 1}{q_1 - 1} v_{k-1} & k \neq 0 \\ \mu_1^{-1} \frac{\mu_3 - 1}{q_1 - 1} v_{d-1} & k = 0 \end{cases} & v_k y_2 &= \frac{\mu_2^{-1} \lambda^{-k} \mu_3}{1 - q_2} v_k. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the above action defines a $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$.

Theorem 7.1. *The module $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$ is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module of dimension $d = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_3)$.*

Proof. Each vector v_k is an eigenvector of the operators x_1 and x_2 corresponding to the eigenvalues $q_1^k \mu_3$ and $(q_1 \lambda)^k \mu_2$, respectively. Given this, the proof follows the same approach as that of Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 7.2. *The simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$ and $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu}')$ are isomorphic if and only if there is an integer r with $0 \leq r \leq d-1$ such that $\mu_1^d = (\mu_1')^d$, $\mu_2 = (q_1 \lambda)^r \mu_2'$ and $\mu_3 = q_1^r \mu_3'$.*

Proof. The proof is parallel to Theorem 5.2. \square

7.2. Simple Modules $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$. For each $\mu \in \mathbb{K}^*$, let $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ be the \mathbb{K} -vector space with basis $\{v_k : 0 \leq k \leq l_1 - 1\}$. Define the action of the generators on $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} v_k x_1 &= \begin{cases} \frac{q_1^{-k} - 1}{q_1 - 1} v_{k-1} & k \neq 0 \\ 0 & k = 0 \end{cases} & v_k x_2 &= (q_1 \lambda)^{-k} \mu v_k \\ v_k y_1 &= \begin{cases} v_{k+1} & 0 \leq k \leq l_1 - 2 \\ 0 & k = l_1 - 1 \end{cases} & v_k y_2 &= \frac{\lambda^k q_1^{-1} \mu^{-1}}{1 - q_2} v_k \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that the above action defines a $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module structure on $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$.

Theorem 7.3. *The module $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ is a simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module of dimension l_1 .*

Proof. Each vector v_k is an eigenvector under the action of $x_1 y_1$ on $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ with eigenvalue $\frac{q_1^{-k} - 1}{q_1 - 1}$. Given this, the proof follows the same approach as that of Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 7.4. *The simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ and $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mu = \mu'$.*

Proof. The proof is parallel to Theorem 5.4. \square

Remark 7.5. *It is evident from the above action that no nonzero vector in $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$ is annihilated by x_1 , while v_0 in $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ is annihilated by x_1 . Therefore the simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules $\mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu})$ and $\mathcal{V}_6(\mu)$ are nonisomorphic.*

8. CLASSIFICATION OF TYPE-II SIMPLE MODULES

Let M be a z_1 -torsionfree and z_2 -torsion simple module over $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$. This means that the operator z_1 is invertible on M , while the operator z_2 is zero on M . Then using the identity $z_2 = z_1 + (q_2 - 1)y_2x_2$, we can deduce that the operators x_2 and y_2 are invertible on M . Now we can verify using the defining relations and Lemma 2.1 that the elements x_1^d, y_1^d, x_2, z_1 in $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ commute with each other, where $d = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_3)$. Since M is finite-dimensional, there is a common eigenvector $v \in M$ under the action of the commuting operators x_1^d, y_1^d, x_2 and z_1 . Take

$$vx_1^d = \alpha v, \quad vy_1^d = \beta v, \quad vx_2 = \xi v, \quad vz_1 = \eta v$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{K}$. The invertible action of x_2 and z_1 implies that ξ and η are nonzero scalars. In the following, we shall determine the structure of simple module M based on the scalars α and β .

Case 1: Assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. This means, as x_1^l is central and d divides l , that x_1 acts as an invertible operator on M . Consequently the vectors vx_1^r where $0 \leq r \leq d-1$ are non-zero. Set $\underline{\mu} := (\alpha^{\frac{1}{d}}, \xi, \eta)$. Define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi_5 : \mathcal{V}_5(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow M$ by $\phi_5(v_r) = \mu_1^{-r} vx_1^r$. We can verify that ϕ_5 is a nonzero $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module homomorphism. Thus by Schur's lemma, ϕ_5 becomes a module isomorphism.

Case 2: Assume that $\beta \neq 0$. This gives that y_1 is an invertible operator on M . In this case, the simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module M can be considered as a simple module over $A(p_1, p_2, \gamma)$ for $(p_1, p_2, \gamma) = (q_1^{-1}, q_2, \lambda^{-1})$ with invertible action of X_1 . The structure of such a simple module is classified in [Section 8, Case 1].

Case 3: Assume that $\alpha = \beta = 0$. This means that x_1 and y_1 are nilpotent operators on M . Then the \mathbb{K} -space $\ker(x_1) := \{v \in M \mid vx_1 = 0\}$ is nonzero subspace of M . Now we can check that the $\ker(x_1)$ is invariant under the action of each commuting operator y_1^d, x_2 and z_1 . Therefore these commuting operators have a common eigenvector w in $\ker(x_1)$. Put

$$wx_1 = 0, \quad wy_1^d = \beta_1 w, \quad wx_2 = \xi_1 w, \quad wz_1 = \gamma_1 w.$$

for some scalars $\beta_1, \xi_1, \gamma_1 \in \mathbb{K}$. From the equality $q_1 z_1 = 1 + (q_1 - 1)x_1 y_1$, we can derive $\gamma_1 = q_1^{-1}$. The nilpotent action of y_1 and invertible action of x_2 results in $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\xi_1 \neq 0$, respectively. Suppose there exists an integer $1 \leq r \leq d$ such that $wy_1^{r-1} \neq 0$ and $wy_1^r = 0$. Simplifying the equality $wy_1^r x_1 = 0$, we obtain

$$0 = wy_1^r x_1 = wq_1^{-r} \left(x_1 y_1^r - \frac{q_1^r - 1}{q_1 - 1} \right) y_1^{r-1} = -q_1^{-r} \frac{q_1^r - 1}{q_1 - 1} wy_1^{r-1}.$$

This gives $q_1^r = 1$ for some $1 \leq r \leq d$ and so l_1 divides r . If $r > l_1$, the $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -submodule generated by $wy_1^{l_1}$ becomes a nonzero proper submodule of M , which is a contradiction. Thus $r = l_1$ and so the vectors wy_1^k , where $0 \leq k \leq l_1 - 1$ are nonzero and $wy_1^{l_1} = 0$. Set $\underline{\mu} := \xi_1 \in \mathbb{K}^*$. Define a \mathbb{K} -linear map $\phi_6 : \mathcal{V}_6(\underline{\mu}) \rightarrow M$ by $\phi_6(v_k) = wy_1^k$. We can verify that ϕ_6 is a nonzero module homomorphism. Thus by Schur's lemma, ϕ_6 becomes a module isomorphism.

9. SIMPLE MODULES OF TYPE-III

Let M be a z_1 -torsion simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -module. This means that the action of z_1 on M is zero. The relation $z_1 = 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1 x_1$ ensures that the actions of x_1 and y_1 on M are invertible. Thus M becomes a simple module over the factor algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)/\langle z_1 \rangle$ with invertible actions of x_1 and y_1 . Moreover the factor algebra $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)/\langle z_1 \rangle$ is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)/\langle 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1 x_1 \rangle$, where Λ is the 4×4 multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix

with the ordering of generators x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 is given by

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & q_1 q_3 & q_3^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 & q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} & q_3 \\ q_1^{-1} q_3^{-1} & q_1 q_3 & 1 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_3^{-1} & q_2^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore M can be considered as a simple module over $O_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)/\langle 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1x_1 \rangle$ with invertible actions of x_1 and y_1 . In the root of unity context, similarly to [Step 2, Section 3], the skew-symmetric integral matrix associated with Λ has rank 2 and invariant factors h_1, h_1 , where $h_1 = \gcd(s_1k_1, s_2k_2, s_3k_3)$. Consequently $\gcd(h_1, l) = 1$ follows from Proposition 3.3. By Proposition 3.1, we proceed to compute that $\text{PI-deg } O_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4) = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2, l_3)$. It is important to note that the simple modules over $O_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)$ have been classified in [17]. Based on this, we can classify simple modules M over $O_\Lambda(\mathbb{K}^4)/\langle 1 + (q_1 - 1)y_1x_1 \rangle$ with invertible actions of x_1 and y_1 . The possible \mathbb{K} -dimensions of such a simple module M are as follows:

- (1) If the actions of x_2 and y_2 are invertible on M , then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(M) = \text{lcm}(l_1, l_2, l_3)$.
- (2) If the action of x_2 is invertible and y_2 is nilpotent on M , then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(M) = \text{ord}(q_1\lambda)$.
- (3) If the action of y_2 is invertible and x_2 is nilpotent on M , then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(M) = \text{ord}(\lambda)$.
- (4) If the actions of x_2 and y_2 are both nilpotent on M , then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(M) = 1$.

This concludes the comprehensive classification of simple $A(q_1, q_2, \lambda)$ -modules up to isomorphism at roots of unity and, in particular, provides a complete solution to [23, Problem 2] for the second quantum Weyl algebra.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alev and F. Dumas, Rigidité des plongements des quotients primitifs minimaux de $U_q(sl(2))$ dans l'algèbre quantique de Weyl-Hayashi, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **143**, (1996), 119-146.
- [2] M. Akhavi-zadegan and D. Jordan, Prime ideals of quantized Weyl algebras, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **38**(3), (1996), 283-297.
- [3] V. V. Bavula, Classification of the simple modules of the quantum Weyl algebra and the quantum plane, Quantum groups and quantum spaces (Warsaw, 1995), 193-201, Banach Center Publ., 40, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1997.
- [4] K. A. Brown and K. R. Goodearl, *Lectures on Algebraic Quantum Groups*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.
- [5] J. Boyette, M. Leyk, J. Talley, T. Plunkett and K. Sipe, Explicit representation theory of the quantum Weyl algebra at roots of 1, *Communications in Algebra*, **28**(11), (2000), 5269-5274.
- [6] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, Quantum Groups, in: *D-modules, Representation Theory, and Quantum Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1565, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993, 31-140.
- [7] Y. A. Drozd, B. L. Guzner, and S. A. Ovsienko, Weight modules over generalized Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra*, **184**(2), (1996), 491-504.
- [8] A. Giaquinto and J. J. Zhang, Quantum Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra*, **176**(3), (1995), 861-881.
- [9] K. R. Goodearl, Prime ideals in skew polynomial rings and quantized Weyl algebras, *J. Algebra*, **150**(2), (1992), 324-377.
- [10] K. R. Goodearl and J. T. Hartwig, The isomorphism problem for multiparameter quantized Weyl algebras, *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.*, **9**, (2015), 53-61.
- [11] B. Heider and L. Wang, Irreducible Representations of the Quantum Weyl Algebra at Roots of Unity Given by Matrices, *Communications In Algebra*, **42**(5), (2014), 2156-2162.
- [12] David A. Jordan, Finite-dimensional simple modules over certain iterated skew polynomial rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **98**(1), (1995), 45-55.
- [13] D. Jordan, A simple localization of the quantized Weyl algebra, *J. Algebra*, **174**(1), (1995), 267-281.
- [14] A. Leroy and J. Matczuk, On q-skew iterated Ore extensions satisfying a polynomial identity, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **10**(4), (2011), 771-781.
- [15] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

- [16] G. Malsinot, Groupes quantique et structures différentielles, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **311**, (1990), 831-834.
- [17] S. Mukherjee, S. Bera, Construction of simple modules over the quantum affine space, *Algebra Colloquium* 31:1 (2024) 1–10.
- [18] S. Mukherjee, S. Bera, Simple modules over quantized Weyl algebras at roots of unity, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, [DOI](#).
- [19] M. Newman, *Integral Matrices*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 45, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [20] Alexandra Rogers, Representations of Quantum Nilpotent Algebras at Roots of unity and their completely prime quotients, PhD Thesis, University of Kent, 2019.
- [21] L. Rigal, Spectre de l’algèbre de Weyl Quantique, *Beitrage Algebra Geom.*, **37**, (1996), 119-148.
- [22] Xin Tang, Automorphisms for Some Symmetric Multiparameter Quantized Weyl Algebras and Their Localizations, *Algebra Colloq.*, **24**(3), (2017), 419–438.
- [23] C. Walton, An invitation to Noncommutative Algebra, *Assoc. Women Math. Ser.*, **18**, Springer, Cham, (2019), 339-366.